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ABSTRACT

Introduction Physical activity is one of the most important health behaviours as a determinant of physical and mental health. Although intervention strategies for promoting physical activity among workers are needed, evidence for the effectiveness of multilevel workplace interventions with environmental changes on the promotion of physical activity are still limited due to lack of cluster randomised controlled trials (RCTs). The aim of this study is to investigate effects of a 3-month workplace intervention programme with environmental changes on the improvement in physical activity among Japanese white collar employees.

Methods and analysis This study will be a two-arm and parallel-group cluster (worksite) RCT. Japanese workplaces and employees who are employed by the workplaces will be recruited through health insurance associations and chambers of commerce. Worksites that meet the inclusion criteria will be randomly allocated to intervention or control groups. The intervention workplaces will be offered the original intervention programme that consists of 13 contents with environmental changes. The control workplaces will be able to get three times feedback of the assessment of the amount of physical activity and basic occupational health service in each worksite. The primary outcome will be the total amount of physical activity measured by the Global Physical Activity Questionnaire at baseline, 3 months and 6 months. Multilevel latent growth modelling will be conducted to examine the effectiveness of the intervention programme.

Ethics and dissemination This study was ethically approved by the research ethics committee of the Graduate School of Medicine and Faculty of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Japan (No. 11230). Results will be submitted and published in a scientific peer-reviewed journal.

Trial registration number UMIN000024069; Pre-results.

INTRODUCTION

Physical activity is one of the most important health behaviours as a determinant of physical and mental health.¹ Promotion of physical activity is effective in reducing risks for coronary heart disease, type 2 diabetes, cancers and all-cause mortality.²⁴ In addition, physical activity is effective for prevention and treatment of depression, anxiety and improvement of health-related quality of life.³⁵ The benefits of promoting physical activity among the working population have also been demonstrated.⁹¹⁰ Moreover, although the evidence is still limited, significant associations between physical activity and improved work-related outcomes have been reported.¹¹⁻¹³ However, despite the importance in promoting physical activity, levels of physical activity in the population are usually low.¹⁴ Modern changes in working styles, including technological advances, have

Strengths and limitations of this study

► This study will be the first cluster randomised controlled trial of the multicomponent workplace intervention programme with environmental changes among white collar employees, including the majority of the workplaces in Japan, which are small-sized workplaces.

► The findings will be generalisable because of the validated scale used for the standard operational definitions.

► The study will also be the first to investigate the effects of a multicomponent workplace intervention both on physical activity and psychological distress.

► The shortage of our human and monetary resources could be a limitation causing selection bias and impacting small sample size and high attrition rates.

► Another limitation is that all measurements (eg, physical activity, psychological distress) are self-reported, causing potential measurement errors and informational bias.
resulted in a large increase in workers engaged in sedentary occupations. Intervention strategies for promoting physical activity among workers are therefore needed.

Many systematic reviews have already been conducted for workplace intervention strategies to promote physical activity. Recently, Schröer et al. conducted a meta-review of workplace health interventions for promoting healthy lifestyles and concluded that physical activity among employees was increased by multicomponent interventions. Some other systematic reviews suggested a similar strategy. Multicomponent interventions typically include both individual and environmental modifications, such as education, cognitive-behavioural and motivational approaches, counselling, involvement of families in interventions, provision of information messages, using signs for stair-use, active commuting, implementing new policies encouraging physical activity, employer incentives and provision of facilities and equipment for physical activity.

An ecological model is also proposed to describe that various multilevel factors such as intrapersonal, interpersonal, organisational, community and public policy-level factors, could influence specific health behaviours interactively, across different levels and domains. The model also implies that multilevel interventions may be effective in changing behaviour.

However, the quality of evidence for the effectiveness of a multilevel workplace intervention for the promotion of physical activity is still limited. Most studies that have investigated the effects of a stair-use intervention used time-series study designs. Very few randomised controlled trials (RCTs) have been used to determine the effects of other components with environmental changes. It was concluded that there was limited and low quality data for the evidence; thus, studies with more rigorous research designs are needed. However, conducting RCTs in a workplace is difficult because of employees’ resistance to randomisation and potential contamination. In addition, because environmental modifications are conducted at worksite- or company level, randomisation at the individual level in a worksite cannot detect the effects of the workplace environment. Therefore, cluster RCTs (cRCTs) are needed to implement programme interventions using multilevel designs.

A systematic search was conducted to review previous cRCTs meeting the following criteria: participants were worksites and workers employed by a company/organisation; intervention programmes included multiple components (two or more components) with environmental changes (programmes, organisational policies and practice promoting internal physical environment, internal social environment and external physical and social environments) at the workplace; outcomes were individual-level physical activity; randomisation was conducted at the worksite or company level. We identified four cRCTs meeting our inclusion criteria; three studies were conducted in the USA and one in the UK. These studies had high quality of evidence;

however, they had inconsistent results: two studies reported significant effects resulting from the promotion of physical activity while the others reported insignificant effects. In addition, operational definitions of the components of the workplace environment investigated were also different and poor. As only one study quantitatively assessed workplace environment with the cRCT, the specific factors of workplace environment that influenced the behavioural changes among employees could not be detected. Furthermore, these studies targeted only a few companies and restricted areas. Participating worksites were predominantly large and had many resources to support employees’ healthy behaviours. However, 96.7% of worksites in Japan are small (≤50 employees). Further cRCTs are needed to produce more clear evidence and to be able to generalise the effectiveness of workplace interventions with environmental changes on the promotion of physical activity, including small-sized worksites.

Objectives

In this study, we aim to investigate the effects of a 3-month multilevel workplace intervention programme on improving the total amount of physical activity among Japanese white collar employees. The workplace environments that we will target will be operationally defined using scores from a validated scale, measuring programmes, organisational policies and practice promoting internal environments to promote physical activity. The findings will be generalisable because future intervention programme will be developed according to the same scale. Additionally, since we will include large-sized and small-sized worksites as participants, findings from this study will be useful in informing all employers, occupational health staff members and researchers. We will also examine the effects of the programme on enhancing self-regulation for physical activity as a psychological determinant for physical activity and improving psychological distress and subjective health status as secondary health outcomes. We hypothesise that physical activity among employees, self-regulation for physical activity, psychological distress and subjective health will be significantly improved in intervention worksites when compared with the control worksites.

Trial design

This study will be a two-arm, parallel-group cRCT. The randomisation procedure will be conducted at the cluster (worksite) level. The worksites will be randomly assigned to an intervention or a control (treatment as usual (TAU)) group; after completion of a baseline survey worksites will be randomised using a 1:1 ratio. The randomisation will be conducted stratified by worksite size (≥49, 50–299, and ≥300 employees); permuted-blocked (blocked size=2); non-blinded. Measurements will be collected at the worksite and worker level, and analysis for evaluating the efficacy of the intervention programme will be conducted at the worker level taking into consideration the cluster (worksite) level effects. The study
participants enrolled in this study.

work or sitting work). There will be no exclusion criteria technical, clerical and other job types which require desk or older; white collar workers (managerial, professional, the included worksites; workers who are 18 years of age in inclusion in this study if they are: workers employed by the worksites. As

the intervention programme will include environmental modifications at the workplace, the cluster level is each worksite. There will be no inclusion and exclusion criteria for worksites; any Japanese worksites will be able to participate in the study if they are interested in the promotion of physical activity. Employees will be considered for inclusion in this study if they are: workers employed by the included worksites; workers who are 18 years of age or older; white collar workers (managerial, professional, technical, clerical and other job types which require desk work or sitting work). There will be no exclusion criteria for participants enrolled in this study.

Figure 1 shows a participant flow chart for this study. We will recruit more than a hundred worksites in the Kanto area through some of the health insurance associations and chambers of commerce in the area, using snowball sampling methods. The corresponding author (KW) will send invitations to these organisations, asking them to participate in the recruitment of the worksites. If they agree to assist, the corresponding author will also provide an explanation asking each worksite to participate in the study. In our previous study conducted using the same sampling methods in Kanto area, approximately half of the worksites agreed to participate in the study.44 Therefore, this study is expected to recruit 50 or more worksites. After the worksite representatives’ agree to take part in the study, nested employees will be recruited. An average cluster size will be approximately 20 employees. In this study, coordinators in each worksite will be appointed with whom we will discuss sampling methods for the workers. Some worksites will recruit workers randomly, some will recruit workers using flyers and the others will recruit workers in one of the departments. As the response rate of workers was 87.8% in our previous study, about 878 workers are expected to agree and participate in the study.44 After the worksites and employees complete the baseline survey, the worksites will be allocated randomly to the intervention or control group. The intervention programme with environmental changes will last 3months. The postsurveys immediately after the completion of the intervention (3-month follow-up) and 6-month follow-up surveys will be conducted in both the intervention and control groups.

**Intervention programme**

We developed an original intervention programme that consists of multicomponent environmental changes based on a validated scale (the Environmental Assessment Tool (EAT)).38 39 good practices to promote physical activity among Japanese worksites and a literature review. Table 1 shows contents of the intervention programme. The EAT, used to define the workplace environment to promote physical activity in this study, was tested both in the USA and Japan, where its reliability and validity were confirmed.38 43 Higher scores on the EAT indicate a more supportive environment for physical activity promotion and a more invested environment by employers.39 The EAT consists of three subordinate scales.38 Of these, variables for Physical Activity Assessment and Organisational Characteristics and Support can be determined and measured for promoting physical activity among employees. We referred eight items in the two subordinate scales to develop the intervention programme: parking/bike, signs/bulletin boards/advertisements, shower/changing facilities, stairs/elevators, physical activity/fitness facilities, work rules, written policies and health promotion programmes for physical activity and weight management. Additionally, we conducted qualitative interviews for Japanese worksites in the Kanto area to learn about good practices with environmental changes already being conducted to promote physical activity. From the results, the 13 elements based on 7 items of the EAT (table 1) were considered feasible to conduct at Japanese workplaces. Each operational definition of the environmental change was defined by the EAT scoring system. Finally, literature reviews were conducted to investigate rationales and functions of each item to promote physical activity among workers. Three possible functions for the items were ascertained from the literature24 27 46: building awareness and social norms around physical activity, enhancing accessibility for physical activity and enhancing individual cognitive-behavioural skills. As
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Japanese EAT variables</th>
<th>Operational definitions</th>
<th>Functions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Policy-making and declaration</td>
<td>Written policies</td>
<td>Whether the worksite has a written policy statement supporting employee physical fitness and the policy is posted or otherwise communicated to employees</td>
<td>Employer policy support Building awareness and social norms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Posters detailing the programme contents and recommendations for physical activity</td>
<td>Signs/bulletin boards/advertisements</td>
<td>How many posters are displayed at the worksite</td>
<td>Informational messages Building awareness and social norms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Notifications provided on intrawebsite/electronic bulletin board systems</td>
<td>Signs/bulletin boards/advertisements</td>
<td>Whether the worksite inform the programme contents via an intrawebsite and/or an electronic bulletin board system</td>
<td>Informational messages Building awareness and social norms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Prompts for stair use at stairs and elevators</td>
<td>Stairs/elevator</td>
<td>Whether any prompt for stair use is displayed at stairs or elevators</td>
<td>Informational messages Building awareness and social norms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>Health promotion programmes: physical activity</td>
<td>Whether any exercise programme is provided before office hours, at lunch time or after office hours</td>
<td>Enhancing accessibility for physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Individual competition of physical activity within the worksite</td>
<td>Health promotion programmes: physical activity</td>
<td>Whether any competition programme is provided by employers</td>
<td>Employer incentives Support for self-regulation Enhancing accessibility for physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Psychological education to increase self-regulation for physical activity</td>
<td>Health promotion programmes: physical activity</td>
<td>Whether any education programme is provided that help employees enhance self-regulative strategies for physical activity</td>
<td>Behavioural-cognitive approach Increasing self-regulation for physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Subsidisation of a membership to offsite exercise facilities</td>
<td>Physical activity/fitness facilities</td>
<td>Whether membership at offsite exercise facilities is subsidised by employers</td>
<td>Enhancing accessibility for physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Providing onsite fitness facilities</td>
<td>Physical activity/fitness facilities</td>
<td>Whether any aerobic and strength equipment are provided at the workplace and whether four stations per 500 employees are provided at the worksite</td>
<td>Enhancing accessibility for physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Providing bike rack spaces</td>
<td>Parking/bike</td>
<td>Whether any bike rack space is provided at the worksite</td>
<td>Enhancing accessibility for physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Providing changing facilities</td>
<td>Shower/changing facilities</td>
<td>Whether any changing facility is provided at the worksite</td>
<td>Enhancing accessibility for physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Providing shower facilities</td>
<td>Shower/changing facilities</td>
<td>Whether any shower facility is provided at the worksite</td>
<td>Enhancing accessibility for physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Contract for sponsorship with sports teams</td>
<td>Physical activity/fitness facilities</td>
<td>Whether employers contract any sponsorship with any sports team</td>
<td>Employer support Building awareness and social norms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EAT, Environmental Assessment Tool.
our resources are limited, only seven elements (Nos 1–7, table 1) will be offered free of charge to the participating worksites. The other six elements (Nos 8–13) will be optional, offered with cofunding or no funding from the research team. If the worksite is not feasible for conducting the specific items, only feasible contents will be conducted. For instance, prompts for stair use (No 4) cannot be enacted if the worksite is located in a single-storied building. Taking these conditions into account, we will discuss with the coordinators which and how many elements can be feasibly conducted at each worksite. Here, details of the seven elements that will be offered in free are described.

**Intervention programme elements**

**Policy-making and declaration**

The effects of employers or worksite representatives making and declaring policy to encourage employees to be physically active have been discussed in previous studies.\(^{19,21,27}\) Policies can be attractive for building awareness and social norms among employees. In this study, details of the recommended policies will be discussed by the research team and the coordinator and endorsed by the worksite representatives in each worksite. We will determine if the worksite has a written policy, and whether the policy has been posted or communicated to employees.

**Posters detailing the programme contents and recommendations for physical activity**

The incorporation of informational messages in health interventions are also considered effective for building awareness and social norms.\(^{24}\) In this study, two kinds of posters will be attached at three or more locations within worksites: information about the intervention programme contents and recommendations for participating in the programme and being physically active. We will determine if the worksite has a written policy, and whether the policy has been posted or communicated to employees.

**Notification provided on intrawebsite/electronic bulletin board systems**

In addition to the use of posters, notifications regarding the programme contents and recommendations for physical activity will also be conducted on an intrawebsite or a bulletin board system. Based on the results of the assessment investigating good practices among Japanese worksites, we determined that most of the worksites had their own electronic systems to share confidential information within worksites; however, they did not use them for physical activity promotion. We will measure whether the worksite offers information via these electronic systems.

**Prompts for stair use at stairs and elevators**

The effect of using signs for stair use\(^{19,20,25,26}\) for promoting physical activity has repeatedly been suggested on the literature as well. In addition, the qualitative interviews revealed that some Japanese worksites already took it into the good practice. This intervention programme will also include the prompts for stair use to build awareness and social norms. We will determine whether any prompt for stair use is displayed at stairs or elevators.

### Exercise

Health promotion programmes at the workplace enhance the accessibility for physical activity among employees.\(^{24,46}\) Based on the EAT, we determined whether or not any exercise programme was provided outside of working hours. No criterion is set for duration, frequency and intensity of exercise. In this study, we will use the ‘radio physical fitness exercise’\(^{47}\) as a standard exercise programme. It is the most popular exercise programme in Japan and most of Japanese people can used this to work out without instruction. Any other exercise programmes will be accepted based on discussion between the research team and the coordinators.

### Individual competition of physical activity within the worksite

There will be a special programme held during the intervention period (3 months) during which participating employees will track their physical activity completed. Such programmes can be effective in enhancing accessibility for physical activity and for increasing self-monitoring by providing the actual opportunity for individuals to trace their own activity.\(^{48}\) A website was newly developed by the corresponding author, which is password locked and includes an individual self-monitoring system. A password to access the website will be shared only among the employees in the intervention worksites. They will be able to log in their individual page after creating accounts and to record the self-reported duration of physical activity per day in three strata: work related, transport related and leisure time. The recorded data can be checked at any time by both the individuals and the research team during the study. Those who completed more physical activity (ranked by the total amount of physical activity during the intervention programme) will get prizes sponsored by the employers; the worksites will discuss the winners and the prizes. We will measure whether or not employers held physical activity competitions.

### Psychological education to increase self-regulation for physical activity

Self-regulation (goal setting, reinforcement, self-monitoring, corrective self-reaction, performance self-guidance and preparation for individual outcome expectations)\(^{40}\) has recently been indicated as a psychological determinant most strongly associated with physical activity.\(^{41}\) In this study, a 60 min single education seminar was developed to enhance self-regulation for physical activity, which consists of goal-setting and self-monitoring. The seminar will be held in a group-based style, and the participating employees will be instructed to gradually increase their time spent on physical activity by more than 10 min daily. Employees will be instructed to record their physical activity.
activity using digital devices (eg, smart watches, smartphones and the website used during this study). During the seminar, employees will also discuss the importance of remaining physically active despite high workloads. We will measure whether or not any psychological education is provided by the worksites, including components to enhance self-regulative strategies for physical activity among employees.

**Intervention worksites**

Worksites in the intervention group will be offered the intervention programme described above for 3 months. At the starting stage of the intervention, policies will be implemented and informational messages will be provided to employees (Nos 1–4). The individual competition programme (No 6) and the optional elements for facilitations (Nos 8–13) will also be started. The exercise programme (No 5) and the psychological education (No 7) will also be conducted in each worksite. In addition to the intervention programme, three-time feedback (baseline, 3-month and 6-month follow-up surveys) of the assessment of the amount of physical activity and basic occupational health service in each worksite will be offered as the TAU.

**Control worksites**

Worksites in the control group will be offered the three-time feedback and basic occupational health service as the TAU. Worksites and employees enrolled in the control group will be put on a waiting list to receive the same intervention programme with the intervention worksites after completing the 6-month follow-up survey.

**Outcomes**

All outcomes, including the primary and secondary outcomes (self-regulation for physical activity, psychological distress and subjective health) will be measured at the baseline survey and at the 3-month and 6-month follow-up surveys (table 2).

### Physical activity

Physical activity will be measured using the Japanese version of Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ V.2). This scale is widely used and has demonstrated reliability and convergent validity among nine countries, including Japan. The GPAQ can assess three domain-specific physical activities in moderate-to-vigorous intensity per week in fewer items than previous questionnaires (the International Physical Activity Questionnaire, IPAQ): occupational; transportation and leisure time and sitting time in a day. Although the GPAQ were developed as a tool for population surveillance across the world at first, it has also been used for assessment of the outcomes of intervention studies. In this study, we adopted the GPAQ because of its easiness and low cost to answer, while its criterion validity with pedometers and accelerometers was poor–fair. There will be certain limitation for overestimation of physical activity when compared with pedometers and accelerometers. Metabolic equivalents (METs) will be used as a unit of physical activity intensity. We will calculate the total amount of physical activity per week (METs—hours/week), according to the GPAQ analysis guide. We will assume that physical activity is promoted for employees with higher levels of physical activity.

### Self-regulation for physical activity

Self-regulation for physical activity will be measured using the Japanese version of the 12-item Physical Activity Self-Regulation scale (PASR-12). The internal consistency, convergent validity and structural validity of the Japanese version of the PASR-12 have been confirmed in a previous study. The PASR-12 asks the workers how frequently they used cognitive and behavioural methods for physical activity in the past 4 weeks (eg, ‘I mentally kept track of my physical activity’). The PASR-12 consists of 12 items and 6 factors: self-monitoring; goal setting; eliciting social support; reinforcements; time management and relapse prevention. All items are rated on a five-point Likert scale (1=never to 5=very often). We will calculate individual 6-factor scores and total PASR-12 scores.

### Psychological distress

Questions from the Brief Job Stress Questionnaire will be used to measure psychological distress at work in terms of vigour (three items, eg, ‘I have been very active’), irritation (three items, eg, ‘I have felt angry’), fatigue (three items, eg, ‘I have felt extremely tired’), anxiety (three items, eg, ‘I have felt tense’) and depression (six items, eg, ‘I have felt depressed’). This scale has been widely used to assess responses to stress in Japan and has demonstrated reliability and validity. All items are rated on a four-point Likert scale (1=hardly to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measurement</strong></td>
<td><strong>Baseline</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary outcome</strong></td>
<td>GPAQ V.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary outcomes</strong></td>
<td>PASR-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BJSSQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subjective health</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BJSSQ, Brief Job Stress Questionnaire; GPAQ, Global Physical Activity Questionnaire; PASR, Physical Activity Self-Regulation.
In this study, we will calculate each factor and total scores. As vigour measures a positive aspect of psychological distress, vigour scores will be reverse coded in calculating the total scores; higher scores indicate higher psychological distress.

**Subjective health**

Overall subjective health status will be measured using one question ‘Overall, how good is your health?’ rated on seven-point Likert scale (1=not very good to 7=very good). Higher scores indicate better subjective health status.

**Sample size calculation**

The required sample size was calculated according to the guidelines in the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) for cluster RCTs, taking into account intraclass correlations (ICC) of the outcomes nested by the worksites (table 3). Sample sizes in cRCTs should be multiplied by design effect (1+(m-1)ρ), where m is the average cluster size and ρ is ICC. In our previous study, ICC for physical activity among Japanese employees was 0.009. In another study, 1.1% in the variance of leisure time physical activity was found in working groups among employees. Therefore, the estimated ICC for the primary outcome in this study was set to 0.01 and cluster size was set to 20. An effect size of the intervention programme for individual physical activity was estimated based on a previous meta-analysis and an cRCT. The former meta-analysis concluded standardised mean difference (d) of workplace interventions on physical activity was 0.21. Cohen’s d of the cRCT was calculated using the reported descriptive statistics and the standardised Cohen’s d for vigorous and moderate physical activity was 0.24. The required sample size ranges between 436 and 569 employees in each arm; thus, from 22 to 29 worksites they should be recruited in the case of alpha error probability of 0.05 and a power (1-β) of 0.90, using G*Power V.3.1.9.2 (table 3).

**Randomisation**

Enrolled worksites which meet the inclusion criteria will be randomised to intervention or control groups. The randomisation will be stratified into three strata based on worksite size (≤49, 50–299 and ≥300 employees) because the intervention effect might be different based on this factor; it has been proven that it is easier for large worksites to facilitate health and welfare systems. Permutated-blocked randomisation (blocked size=2) will be adopted for equal randomisation. Because each employee who participate in the study will not be notified of the result of the randomisation, assessment of the amount of physical activity (self-reported) will be blinded. On the other hand, the coordinators in the participating worksites will be notified of the result of the randomisation. Data analysis conducted by the corresponding author (KW) will also be open to blinded. A stratified permuted block random table will be created by an independent biostatistician. This table will be managed
Latent growth modelling for the study. T1, enrollment; T2, 3-month follow up; T3, 6-month follow-up.

Figure 2

Statistical analysis
Multilevel latent growth modelling (LGM) using robust maximum likelihood estimation will be conducted as the main analysis to examine the effects of the intervention programme on the promotion of physical activity among white collar employees (figure 2). In this study, we will determine three levels of information: repeated measures for employees at level 1, physical activity within employees at level 2 and workplace environment within worksites at level 3. However, because estimation for the total amount of physical activity at baseline and changes of physical activity can be accounted for in latent variables in LGM, the number of hierarchical levels will be 1 less than the number of hierarchical levels in other multilevel modelling approach. We will investigate the significance of the coefficient from a dummy variable for the intervention (control=0, intervention=1) compared with the linear slope of physical activity as the effect of the intervention programme. We will reference some model fit indices, such as $\chi^2$, comparative fit index (CFI), Tucker-Lewis index (TLI) and root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA). We will consider that the model demonstrates good fit if CFI and TLI exceed 0.95 and RMSEA is less than 0.06. Intention-to-treat analysis using full information maximum likelihood estimation will be conducted, including all employees who complete the baseline survey. When results of LGM are mis-specified or improper solutions, we will consider conducting three-level mixed model analysis using the restricted maximum likelihood estimation. Mplus V.7.4 for LGM and the PASW statistics V.18 (IBM SPSS software) for mixed model analysis will be used. Analyses for secondary outcomes (self-regulation for physical activity, psychological distress and subjective health) will also be conducted using the same methods.

Potential subgroup analyses will be conducted, stratified by worksite size, age, gender, job categories (eg, manufacture, services, construction, transportation) and initial levels of physical activity or self-regulation for physical activity.

Some mediation analyses will also be conducted using multilevel structural equation modelling. We will model (1) the intervention dummy variable for physical activity, mediated by self-regulation for physical activity and (2) the intervention dummy variable for psychological distress and subjective health, mediated by physical activity.

Data monitoring
A data monitoring committee (DMC) will be set up, consisting of the corresponding author (KW) and the coordinator in each worksite because human resources are limited. The DMC will be held every 3 months following the randomisation in each worksite. The purpose of the meetings will be to review the participation rates and reasons for study dropout. The DMC will be independent from any sponsor and competing interest.

Ethics and dissemination
Ethical considerations
This study protocol was ethically approved by the research ethics committee of the Graduate School of Medicine and Faculty of Medicine at The University of Tokyo, Japan (No. 11230). We will obtain informed consent from all representatives of the worksites and all employees (see online supplementary appendix 1 and 2). The consent form will inform the worksites and employees that we guarantee protection of personal information, and that the data will be anonymous and only used for academic purposes. The surveyed data will be saved on a password-protected digital device (USB memory stick). The device will be stored in a research room at the Department of Mental Health in the Graduate School of Medicine at The University of Tokyo. The data will be stored as anonymous. Only the authors will have access to the final dataset. There is no competing interest. This work is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Fellows (15J04085).

Dissemination of research findings
Results and findings will be submitted and published in a scientific peer-reviewed journal according to the guidelines in the CONSORT for cluster RCTs. Participants will be informed of conference presentations and publications.

Strengths and limitations
To the best of our knowledge, this study will be the first cRCT of a multicomponent workplace intervention programme with environmental changes among Japanese white collar employees. The study will include...
the majority of the worksites in Japan, including small-sized worksites. In addition, operational definitions and components of the intervention programme are developed based on a validated scale. The findings will be generalisable because future intervention programmes will be developed according to the same scale. The study will also be the first to investigate the effects of a multi-component workplace intervention both on physical activity and psychological distress.

This study is subject to several limitations. All measurements are self-reported; therefore, there will likely be measurement errors and information bias. Especially, the assessment of the amount of physical activity can be overestimated. This bias can also be applicable for conducting the specific element among the intervention programme (No 6, individual competition). Additionally, since there is a shortage in our human and monetary resources, we will not be able to recruit worksites from large areas within Japan. Sampling method will not be at random for both the worksite and the employee level, possibly causing a selection bias. Furthermore, we will not be able to offer all of our interventions for free, potentially limiting the impact of our proposed interventions. Other possible limitations will be small sample sizes and high attrition rates.
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