BMJ Open Comparison of the cerebroprotective effect of inhalation anaesthesia and total intravenous anaesthesia in patients undergoing cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Feng Chen,¹ Guangyou Duan,¹ Zhuoxi Wu,¹ Zhiyi Zuo,² Hong Li¹

To cite: Chen F, Duan G, Wu Z, *et al.* Comparison of the cerebroprotective effect of inhalation anaesthesia and total intravenous anaesthesia in patients undergoing cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 2017;7:e014629. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014629

► Prepublication history and additional material for this paper are available online. To view these files please visit the journal (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014629>).

Received 19 October 2016

Revised 9 June 2017

Accepted 20 July 2017

CrossMark

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Xinqiao Hospital, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing, China

²Department of Anesthesiology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA

Correspondence to

Dr Hong Li; lh78553@163.com

ABSTRACT

Objective Neurological dysfunction remains a devastating postoperative complication in patients undergoing cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB), and previous studies have shown that inhalation anaesthesia and total intravenous anaesthesia (TIVA) may produce different degrees of cerebral protection in these patients. Therefore, we conducted a systematic literature review and meta-analysis to compare the neuroprotective effects of inhalation anaesthesia and TIVA.

Design Searching in PubMed, EMBASE, Science Direct/Elsevier, China National Knowledge Infrastructure and Cochrane Library up to August 2016, we selected related randomised controlled trials for this meta-analysis.

Results A total of 1485 studies were identified. After eliminating duplicate articles and screening titles and abstracts, 445 studies were potentially eligible. After applying exclusion criteria (full texts reported as abstracts, review article, no control case, lack of outcome data and so on), 13 studies were selected for review. Our results demonstrated that the primary outcome related to S100B level in the inhalation anaesthesia group was significantly lower than in the TIVA group after CPB and 24 hours postoperatively (weighted mean difference (WMD); 95% CI (CI): $-0.41(-0.81 \text{ to } -0.01)$, $-0.32(-0.59 \text{ to } -0.05)$, respectively). Among secondary outcome variables, mini-mental state examination scores of the inhalation anaesthesia group were significantly higher than those of the TIVA group 24 hours after operation (WMD (95% CI): $1.87(0.82 \text{ to } 2.92)$), but no significant difference was found in arteriovenous oxygen content difference, cerebral oxygen extraction ratio and jugular bulb venous oxygen saturation, which were assessed at cooling and rewarming during CPB.

Conclusion This study demonstrates that anaesthesia with volatile agents appears to provide better cerebral protection than TIVA for patients undergoing cardiac surgery with CPB, suggesting that inhalation anaesthesia may be more suitable for patients undergoing cardiac surgery.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the first systematic review and meta-analysis to compare the neuroprotective effects of inhalation anaesthesia and those of total intravenous anaesthesia (TIVA) in cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass.
- This study focused on the overall comparison between inhalation anaesthesia and TIVA, different inhalation and intravenous anaesthetics were investigated in the included studies.
- The methodological quality of each study was assessed using the Jadad scale for randomised controlled trials. Meta-analysis, heterogeneity test, bias assessment, sensitivity analysis and subgroup analysis were also conducted.
- Because of the shortage of reported clinical trials, limited outcome data could be considered for subgroup analysis. The strength of the conclusion is limited by the quality and number of studies.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) is a necessary and common procedure to support the patient's circulation during cardiac surgery. Although previous studies^{1 2} reported that CPB does not increase the postoperative morbidity and mortality in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery, it was demonstrated that the incidence of some postoperative complications for these patients remains high. Neurological dysfunction is one of the most commonly reported postoperative complications in patients undergoing cardiac surgery.^{3 4} Several factors including cerebral anoxia, embolism, excessive excitatory neurotransmitter release and systemic inflammatory response have been demonstrated to

Figure 1 Flow diagram for the selection of eligible studies.

contribute to postoperative neurological dysfunction.⁵ However, at present, there is no definitive clinical evidence regarding cerebral protection for patients undergoing cardiac surgery with CPB.⁶ Previous studies on animals support the hypothesis that anaesthetics can produce cerebral protection.^{7–9} Many recent studies have found that anaesthetic agents may be neuroprotective and may provide cerebral protection to surgery patients.^{10–11} However, clinical studies show that the relative effects of inhalation anaesthesia or total intravenous anaesthesia (TIVA) on neuroprotection in cardiac surgery with CPB remain controversial and much debated.^{12–14} Therefore, which option provides better cerebral protection to patients undergoing cardiac surgery with CPB is unknown. As inhalation anaesthesia and TIVA are the most commonly used strategies for general anaesthesia, it is important to clarify this issue. Moreover, as it is difficult to include patients in neurological dysfunction studies for cardiac surgery with CPB, the sample size of these previous studies was generally small. For these reasons, it is necessary to systematically

review the available literature and perform a meta-analysis to compare the neuroprotective effects of inhalation anaesthesia and TIVA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The current systematic review and meta-analysis was performed according to the reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses reported guidelines for randomised controlled trials.¹⁵

Literature search

This meta-analysis was restricted to published studies that investigated the cerebral protective effects of anaesthetics in patients with CPB. The PubMed database, EMBASE, MEDLINE, Science Direct/Elsevier, Cochrane Library and China National Knowledge Infrastructure were searched by two independent reviewers up to August 2016, without restrictions on language or study type. The search terms combined text words and medical subject headings (MeSH) terms. For example,

Table 1 Study characteristics of the included studies

Study	Mean age(no. inhalation/TIVA)	Setting	Case	Volatile agents	Comparator	Outcomes
Min and Yanlin 2007 ¹⁷	36–62	CPB-cardiac surgery	15/15	Isoflurane	Propofol	SjvO ₂ %, CBP time
Huaping 2015 ¹⁸	40–65	CPB-cardiac valve replacement	15/15	Sevoflurane	Propofol	S100B,MMSE
Lei et al 2010 ¹⁹	60–70	CPB-CABG	15/15	Isoflurane	Propofol	S100B
Newman et al 1998 ²⁰	56±12/61±14	CPB-cardiac valve replacement	16/15	Isoflurane	Thiopental	CBF,CMRO ₂ ,D*a-v) O ₂ ,O ₂ ER%,SjvO ₂ %,CBP time
Woodcock et al 1987 ²¹	55.5±9.9/63.1±6.5	CPB-CABG	16/21	Isoflurane	Thiopental	CBF,CMRO ₂ , CBP time
Guçlu et al 2014 ²²	57.37±9.8/57.33±7.2	CPB-cardiac surgery	10/10	Sevoflurane	Midazolam	CBP time
Kanbak et al 2004 ²⁷	56±7.6/54.5±5.9	CPB-CABG	20/20	Isoflurane	Propofol	S100B, CBP time
Baki et al 2013 ²⁸	64.57±10.84/66.45±13.04	CPB-CABG	60/61	Desflurane	Propofol	S100B,CBP time
Singh et al 2011 ²⁶	60.10±7.9/59.54±8.83	CPB-CABG	15/15	Sevoflurane	Midazolam	S100B,CBP time
Tingting et al 2007 ²³	52±5/48±7	CPB-cardiac valve replacement	20/20	Isoflurane	Propofol	S100B,D*a-v) O ₂ ,O ₂ ER%,SjvO ₂ %,CBP time
Jianrong et al 2009 ²⁴	44±8/43±7	CPB-cardiac valve replacement	30/30	Isoflurane	Propofol	S100B,D*a-v) O ₂ ,O ₂ ER%,SjvO ₂ %,CBP time
Shudong 2015 ²⁵	49.5±2.6/49.1±2.4	CPB-cardiac valve replacement	15/15	Sevoflurane	Propofol	S100B,MMSE
Jiying et al 2010 ²⁹	75±5/74±4	CPB-CABG	25/25	Desflurane	Ketamine	S100B,MMSE

CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; CBF, cerebral blood flow; CMRO₂, cerebral metabolic rate of oxygen consumption; CPB, cardiopulmonary bypass; D(a-v)O₂, arteriovenous oxygen content difference; MMSE, mini-mental state examination; O₂ER, cerebral oxygen extraction; SjvO₂, jugular bulb venous oxygen saturation; TIVA, total intravenous anaesthesia.

Table 2 Methodology quality of the included RCTs

Study	Jadad score				
	Randomisation	Allocation concealment	Blinding	Attrition	Score
Min and Yanlin 2007 ¹⁷	1	0	1	0	2
Huaping 2015 ¹⁸	1	0	0	0	1
Lei <i>et al</i> 2010 ¹⁹	1	0	1	0	2
Newman <i>et al</i> 1998 ²⁰	1	0	0	0	1
Woodcock <i>et al</i> 1987 ²¹	1	0	0	0	1
Guçlu <i>et al</i> 2014 ²²	1	0	1	0	1
Kanbak <i>et al</i> 2004 ²⁷	1	2	1	0	4
Baki <i>et al</i> 2013 ²⁸	1	2	1	0	4
Singh <i>et al</i> 2011 ²⁶	2	2	1	0	5
Tingting <i>et al</i> 2007 ²³	1	0	0	0	1
Jianrong <i>et al</i> 2009 ²⁴	1	0	0	0	1
Shudong 2015 ²⁵	1	0	0	0	1
Jiying <i>et al</i> 2010 ²⁹	2	0	1	0	3

RCTs, randomised controlled trials.

the search terms for CPB were: 'cardiopulmonary bypass' and 'heart lung bypass'. Those for TIVA were: 'propofol', 'disoprofol', 'etomidate', 'midazolam', 'sodium pentothal', 'thiopental' and 'ketamine', while those for inhalation anaesthesia were 'halothane', 'sevoflurane', 'isoflurane', 'desflurane', 'enflurane' and 'methoxyflurane'. (The MEDLINE search strategy is

provided in the (online supplementary appendix), and the finalised MEDLINE search strategy will be adapted to the syntax and subject headings specifications of the other databases.) All relevant articles and abstracts were retrieved. In addition, references cited within relevant reviews were retrieved manually and only full articles were searched in this case.

Figure 2 Forest plot showing the meta-analysis outcomes of the difference in S100B levels of inhalation anaesthesia and TIVA groups. TIVA, total intravenous anaesthesia.

Figure 3 Forest plot showing the meta-analysis outcomes of the difference in MMSE scores of inhalation anaesthesia and TIVA groups. MMSE, mini-mental state examination; TIVA, total intravenous anaesthesia.

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria

Original articles in which all patients undergoing cardiac surgery with CPB were randomly allocated to receive the inhalation anaesthesia or TIVA. Patients underwent cardiac surgery with no restriction on dose and the administration time of anaesthetics.

Exclusion criteria

Case reports, review articles, duplicate publications and studies without outcome data were excluded. Studies involving patients with cerebrovascular disease, central nervous system disorders, use of psychotropic drugs or a history of alcohol or substance abuse were also excluded.

Outcomes

In the included studies, S100B levels in serum were detected before CPB (pre-CPB), after CPB (post-CPB) and 24 hours postoperatively. And the primary outcomes were protein S100B levels in serum post-CPB and 24 hours postoperatively. The secondary outcomes included mini-mental state examination (MMSE) scores assessed preoperatively and 24 hours postoperatively, the jugular bulb venous oxygen saturation (S_{jvO_2}), arteriovenous oxygen content difference ($D(a-v)O_2$) and cerebral oxygen

extraction ratio (O_2ER) were tested at cooling and rewarming during CPB.

Study selection and validity assessment

Study selection was completed by two independent reviewers by screening abstracts and titles of all included papers from the literature search. All the relevant papers were retrieved according to the inclusion criteria. Then based on the abstracts and titles, the second screening of full texts was performed to check if there was an ambiguous decision. Only randomised controlled trials were included in the analysis. Disagreements were resolved through consensus or by a third reviewer. According to the primary criteria for randomised and controlled trials, quality assessment was performed by two reviewers.

Data extraction and statistical analysis

Three reviewers extracted all data recorded as authors, publication year, number of cases, mean age of participants, anaesthetics, study setting and outcomes. Disagreements between reviewers were resolved by consensus. In the study, meta-analysis was performed using Review Manager (RevMan) software (V.5.2, Nordic Cochrane Centre, Cochrane Collaboration, 2012, Copenhagen, Denmark) by two reviewers.

Figure 4 Forest plot showing the meta-analysis outcomes of the difference in $D(a-v)O_2$ of inhalation anaesthesia and TIVA groups. $D(a-v)O_2$, arteriovenous oxygen content difference; TIVA, total intravenous anaesthesia.

Figure 5 Forest plot showing the meta-analysis outcomes of the difference in SjvO₂ of inhalation anaesthesia and TIVA groups. SjvO₂, jugular bulb venous oxygen saturation, TIVA, total intravenous anaesthesia.

Table 3 Egger test of publication bias

Std_Eff	Coefficient	SE	t	p> t	(95% CI)
bias (S100B)	-2.67	2.35	-1.14	0.27	(-7.65 to 2.32)
bias (MMSE)	2.89	5.30	0.54	0.61	(-10.08 to 15.85)
bias(D(a-v)O ₂)	186.01	99.93	1.86	0.14	(-91.44 to 463.46)
bias(O ₂ ER%)	13.87	6.58	3.63	0.12	(5.59 to 42.14)
bias(SjvO ₂ %)	2.12	19.48	0.11	0.92	(-45.56 to 49.79)

D(a-v)O₂, arteriovenous oxygen content difference; MMSE, mini-mental state examination; O₂ER, cerebral oxygen extraction; SjvO₂, jugular bulb venous oxygen saturation.

The weighted mean differences (WMD) of outcomes in randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and their 95% CI were presented. Heterogeneity across studies was tested by the p value and the I^2 statistic, which is a quantitative measure of inconsistency.¹⁶ A random-effects model was used to analyse the summary estimate when the p value was <0.1 or the I^2 value was >50%. Otherwise, a fixed-effects model was applied. In the meta-analysis, potential publication bias was detected by Egger test. Publication bias was assumed existed if the $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the included studies

A total of 1485 studies were retrieved. Of these, 1148 remained after duplicate articles were eliminated. After screening titles and abstracts, 445 studies were potentially eligible. Based on the exclusion criteria, 13 studies were ultimately selected (figure 1). All reviewers agreed to include all 13 papers. Although all of these RCTs were considered to have a low risk of bias, nine studies included no details on the method of

Figure 6 Forest plot showing the meta-analysis outcomes of the difference in cerebral O₂ER of inhalation anaesthesia and TIVA groups. O₂ER, oxygen extraction ratio; TIVA, total intravenous anaesthesia.

Figure 7 The plot of sensitivity analysis of S100B levels.

random sequence generation and allocation.^{17–25} Only one study provided the details about the blinding of the data collection.²⁶

‘Inhalation anaesthesia’ was defined as a group receiving a volatile agent like isoflurane, sevoflurane or desflurane. In the included studies, patients in the ‘volatile anaesthesia’ group had not received propofol, thiopental or ketamine during the surgery and CPB. The patients in the ‘TIVA’ group had received only

intravenous anaesthetics, but not volatile agents. These studies involved 549 patients, including 272 patients with inhalation anaesthesia and 277 patients with TIVA (table 1). Patients’ age ranges in ‘inhalation anaesthesia’ and ‘TIVA’ groups were 44–75 years and 43–74 years, respectively. The mean age of patients was unavailable for three studies.^{17–19} All the articles had reported exclusion/inclusion criteria.^{17–29} Of these, seven studies had used isoflurane versus TIVA,^{17 19–21 23 24 27} four studies

Figure 8 The plot of sensitivity analysis of MMSE scores. MMSE, mini-mental state examination.

had used sevoflurane versus TIVA^{18 22 25 26} and two studies had used desflurane versus TIVA^{28 29} in patients.

Methodology quality of the included trials

Methodology quality of the included studies was assessed using a modified Jadad scale. A score of 4–7 indicated a high-quality study, and a score of 1–3 indicated a low-quality study. Of the 13 included studies, 10 received scores of 1–3 and 3 received scores of 4–7 (table 2).

Meta-analysis

Summary estimate for S100B levels post-CPB and 24 hours postoperatively was analysed in a random-effects model because of the heterogeneity ($I^2=96\%$ and $I^2=99\%$, respectively). Based on six studies from 230 patients, S100B levels assessed at the end of CPB and 24 hours postoperatively in the inhalation anaesthesia group were significantly lower than those in the TIVA group (WMD (95% CI): -0.41 (-0.81 to -0.01), -0.32 (-0.59 to -0.05), respectively, figure 2). Based on three studies from 110 patients, postoperative MMSE scores of the inhalation anaesthesia group were significantly higher than those of the TIVA group (WMD (95% CI): 1.87 (0.82 to 2.92)), figure 3]. A significant heterogeneity was detected ($I^2=77\%$), and thus summary estimate was analysed in a random-effects model.

There was no significant difference in $D(a-v)O_2$, O_2ER and $SjvO_2$ assessed at cooling and rewarming during CPB between the inhalation anaesthesia group and the TIVA group (figures 4–6).

Egger's regression test of S100B levels, MMSE scores, $D(a-v)O_2$, O_2ER and $SjvO_2$ indicated little evidence of publication bias, respectively (table 3).

Sensitivity analysis for the current meta-analysis was also performed. We omitted one study in each turn, and calculated the combined WMD for the remaining studies. The results showed that no single study significantly changed the combined results in the overall meta-analysis, indicating that the results were reliable and statistically stable (figures 7 and 8).

DISCUSSION

In our study, 13 published articles were included to determine the difference in the extent of cerebral protection provided by inhalation anaesthesia and TIVA during cardiac surgery with CPB. Eight out of the 13 studies suggested that inhalation anaesthesia might be superior to TIVA in terms of their cerebroprotective effect after CPB.^{18 20–22 25–27 29} However, the results reported in other five studies were the opposite.^{17 19 23 24 28} These results underline the existing debate on which anaesthetic approach is better for the patients. However, in the current systematic review and meta-analysis, the results of primary and secondary outcomes showed that inhalation anaesthesia might be superior to TIVA during cardiac surgery with CPB.

S100B is mainly expressed in the astrocytes, and blood S100B level is commonly used as an outcome parameter for evaluating the postoperative neurological dysfunction.³⁰ Its level in the blood has been shown to increase in patients after ischaemic stroke and brain trauma.³¹ Serum S100B has also been detected after cardiac surgery complicated by neurological injury in adults; thus, it has the potential to serve as an early marker of brain damage.^{32 33} In this meta-analysis, the serum level of S100B after CPB in the inhalation anaesthesia group was found to be significantly lower than that in the TIVA group ($p<0.05$),^{18 25–27 29} suggesting that inhalation anaesthetics provide better cerebral protection than TIVA against brain damage.

As reported by Svenmarker *et al*,³⁴ it is inevitable that S100B contamination will occur due to the pericardial suction blood, which is often retransfused or processed in the cell saver and then retransfused during CPB. However, a strict control of clinical procedures may decrease its potential effect on the difference of S100B detection between the two groups. In the included studies, the use of retransfusion and cell salvage were not mentioned. Therefore, the possible effect of retransfusion and cell salvage should not be neglected, and this is a potential limitation of the current study.

Among the secondary outcomes, the MMSE is one of the most commonly used parameters for the clinical evaluation of cognitive function. Our results show that postoperative MMSE scores of patients in the inhalation anaesthesia group were significantly higher than those in the TIVA group ($p<0.05$).^{18 25 29} These results suggest that inhalation anaesthesia is better than TIVA in terms of protecting the postoperative cognitive function of patients undergoing cardiac surgery with CPB. The meta-analysis also showed that the other outcomes such as $D(a-v)O_2$, O_2ER and $SjvO_2$ were not significantly different for TIVA and inhalation anaesthesia groups. However, we found that in some studies, the cerebral oxygen metabolic rate ($CMRO_2$) in patients receiving inhalation anaesthetics assessed at cooling and rewarming during CPB was consistently lower than that in patients receiving TIVA.^{20 21} Additionally, the intraoperative cerebral blood flow (CBF) assessed at cooling and rewarming during CPB in the inhalation anaesthesia group was significantly higher than that in the TIVA group.^{20 21} A low ratio of global cerebral oxygen and adequate cerebral blood supply is an important parameter for evaluating cerebral protection.³⁵ Thus, these results based on $CMRO_2$ and CBF can strengthen the finding that inhalation anaesthesia may provide better neuroprotection than TIVA.

Experimental data suggest that inhalation anaesthetics' positive effects may be caused by preconditioning or postconditioning mechanisms,^{36 37} which attenuate apoptosis and necrosis of cerebral neurons, thereby reducing neurological dysfunction after ischaemia. Moreover, inhalation agents in preserving satisfactory haemodynamics may contribute to the adequate perfusion and oxygenation of other organ systems,^{38–41} and thus to improve the patients' recovery and survival after surgery. Because of the

neuroprotection that induced by anaesthetic can be long lasting,^{42 43} all these effects can be expanded well beyond the immediate perioperative period. Additionally, a recent meta-analysis found that in cardiac surgery,⁴⁴ as compared with TIVA, inhalation anaesthesia was associated with major benefits in outcome, including reduced mortality, as well as a lower incidence of pulmonary and other complications. Therefore, based on previous findings and the current meta-analysis, it is speculated that inhalation anaesthesia has the potential to serve as a preferential anaesthesia strategy for cardiac patients.

Our study has few limitations. First, the sample size of the included studies was relatively small and the total number of cases is very limited. Second, there was heterogeneity in some of our results. As trials were based in different countries and hospitals, we were unable to avoid the effects of race, age, gender and underlying disease(s) of patients in our study. Therefore, findings of the current study were limited by the overall low quality of evidence and the lack of robust data. Third, our study focused on the overall comparison between inhalation anaesthesia and TIVA, and different inhalation (isoflurane, desflurane or sevoflurane) and intravenous (sodium thiopental, propofol and so on) anaesthetics were investigated in the included studies. Because of the limited number of reported clinical trials, limited outcome data could be considered for subgroup analysis. Therefore, further studies with larger sample sizes are needed to demonstrate which anaesthetics are more beneficial for cardiac patients.

In summary, the results of this meta-analysis indicate that the cerebroprotective effect of inhalation anaesthesia is better than that of TIVA in patients undergoing cardiac surgery with CPB. Further high-quality trials with larger sample sizes are warranted to investigate the effect of anaesthetics on cerebral protection.

Contributors FC, HL and ZZ: conceived and designed the experiments. FC, GD, ZW and ZZ: performed the experiments. FC, GD and ZW: analysed the data. ZZ and HL: contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools. FC, GD, HL, ZZ: wrote the paper. All authors: reviewed the manuscript.

Funding This study was supported by a grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81571870) and the Natural Science Foundation Project of Chongqing (cstc20136jjB10026).

Competing interests None declared.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement No additional data are available.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2017. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

1. Lamy A, Devereaux PJ, Prabhakaran D, *et al.* Effects of off-pump and on-pump coronary-artery bypass grafting at 1 year. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368:1179–88.
2. Lamy A, Devereaux PJ, Prabhakaran D, *et al.* Five-Year Outcomes after Off-Pump or On-Pump Coronary-Artery Bypass Grafting. *N Engl J Med* 2016;375:2359–68.
3. McKhann GM, Grega MA, Borowicz LM, *et al.* Stroke and encephalopathy after cardiac surgery: an update. *Stroke* 2006;37:562–71.
4. Hogue CW, Palin CA, Arrowsmith JE. Cardiopulmonary bypass management and neurologic outcomes: an evidence-based appraisal of current practices. *Anesth Analg* 2006;103:21–37.
5. Wimmer-Greinecker G, Matheis G, Brieden M, *et al.* Neuropsychological changes after cardiopulmonary bypass for coronary artery bypass grafting. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1998;46:207–12.
6. Blumenthal JA, Mahanna EP, Madden DJ, *et al.* Methodological issues in the assessment of neuropsychologic function after cardiac surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1995;59:1345–50.
7. Pape M, Engelhard K, Eberspächer E, *et al.* The long-term effect of sevoflurane on neuronal cell damage and expression of apoptotic factors after cerebral ischemia and reperfusion in rats. *Anesth Analg* 2006;103:173–9.
8. Sakai H, Sheng H, Yates RB, *et al.* Isoflurane provides long-term protection against focal cerebral ischemia in the rat. *Anesthesiology* 2007;106:92–9.
9. Selman WR, Spetzler RF, Roessmann UR, *et al.* Barbiturate-induced coma therapy for focal cerebral ischemia. Effect after temporary and permanent MCA occlusion. *J Neurosurg* 1981;55:220–6.
10. Iwata T, Inoue S, Kawaguchi M, *et al.* Comparison of the effects of sevoflurane and propofol on cooling and rewarming during deliberate mild hypothermia for neurosurgery. *Br J Anaesth* 2003;90:32–8.
11. Dabrowski W, Rzecki Z, Czajkowski M, *et al.* Volatile anesthetics reduce biochemical markers of brain injury and brain magnesium disorders in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2012;26:395–402.
12. Sagara Y, Hendler S, Khoh-Reiter S, *et al.* Propofol hemisuccinate protects neuronal cells from oxidative injury. *J Neurochem* 1999;73:2524–30.
13. Wang H, Lu S, Yu Q, *et al.* Sevoflurane preconditioning confers neuroprotection via anti-inflammatory effects. *Front Biosci* 2011;3:604–15.
14. McAuliffe JJ, Loepke AW, Miles L, *et al.* Desflurane, isoflurane, and sevoflurane provide limited neuroprotection against neonatal hypoxia-ischemia in a delayed preconditioning paradigm. *Anesthesiology* 2009;111:533–46.
15. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, *et al.* Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med* 2009;6:e1000097.
16. Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Stat Med* 2002;21:1539–58.
17. Min J, Yanlin B. Target controlled infusion of propofol in shallow perioperative cardiopulmonary bypass for brain protection. *Int J Clin Exp Med* 2007;4:120–1.
18. Huaping Y. Two methods of anesthesia of CPB heart valve replacement patients plasma level and the influence of cognitive function according to beta. *Shandong Med* 2015;55:71–2.
19. Lei L, Weifu L, Jianchun F, *et al.* Effects of different anesthesia on cognition function in geriatric patients following off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. *Chin J Geriatr Heart Brain Vessel Dis* 2010;12:1002–4.
20. Newman MF, Croughwell ND, White WD, *et al.* Pharmacologic electroencephalographic suppression during cardiopulmonary bypass: a comparison of thiopental and isoflurane. *Anesth Analg* 1998;86:246–51.
21. Woodcock TE, Murkin JM, Farrar JK, *et al.* Pharmacologic EEG suppression during cardiopulmonary bypass: cerebral hemodynamic and metabolic effects of thiopental or isoflurane during hypothermia and normothermia. *Anesthesiology* 1987;67:218–24.
22. Güçlü ÇY, Ünver S, Aydın B, *et al.* The effect of sevoflurane vs. TIVA on cerebral oxygen saturation during cardiopulmonary bypass-randomized trial. *Adv Clin Exp Med* 2014;23:919–24.
23. Tingting C, Gang W, Qi Z, *et al.* The comparison between isoflurane and propofol with effect on cerebral protection in cardiac valve replacement Surgery. *Chin J ECC* 2007;5:91–93–117.
24. Jianrong G, Donglin J, Liyuan R, *et al.* Isoflurane and propofol for extracorporeal circulation open-heart surgery in patients with a comparative study of perioperative cerebral protection. *Chin J Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2009;14:812–7.
25. Shudong M. Propofol and seven halothane Anesthesia for experacorporeal circulation of brain protection effect comparison. *Chin & F Med treatment* 2015;12:145–6.
26. Singh SP, Kapoor PM, Chowdhury U, *et al.* Comparison of S100β levels, and their correlation with hemodynamic indices in patients

- undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting with three different anesthetic techniques. *Ann Card Anaesth* 2011;14:197–202.
27. Kanbak M, Saricaoglu F, Avci A, *et al.* Propofol offers no advantage over isoflurane anesthesia for cerebral protection during cardiopulmonary bypass: a preliminary study of S-100 β protein levels. *Can J Anaesth* 2004;51:712–7.
 28. Baki ED, Aldemir M, Kokulu S, *et al.* Comparison of the effects of desflurane and propofol anesthesia on the inflammatory response and s100 β protein during coronary artery bypass grafting. *Inflammation* 2013;36:1327–33.
 29. Jiying Z, Feng X, Xianjie W, *et al.* Brain protection of desflurane in old patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *Chin J New Drugs Clin Rem* 2010;29:847–9.
 30. Wang DD, Bordey A. The astrocyte odyssey. *Prog Neurobiol* 2008;86:342–67.
 31. An SA, Kim J, Kim OJ, *et al.* Limited clinical value of multiple blood markers in the diagnosis of ischemic stroke. *Clin Biochem* 2013;46:710–5.
 32. Kuzumi E, Vuylsteke A, Guo X, *et al.* Serum S100 protein as a marker of cerebral damage during cardiac surgery. *Br J Anaesth* 2000;85:936–7.
 33. Rasmussen LS, Christiansen M, Eliassen K, *et al.* Biochemical markers for brain damage after cardiac surgery - time profile and correlation with cognitive dysfunction. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2002;46:547–51.
 34. Svenmarker S, Engström KG, Karlsson T, *et al.* Influence of pericardial suction blood retransfusion on memory function and release of protein S100B. *Perfusion* 2004;19:337–43.
 35. Goldman S, Sutter F, Ferdinand F, *et al.* Optimizing intraoperative cerebral oxygen delivery using noninvasive cerebral oximetry decreases the incidence of stroke for cardiac surgical patients. *Heart Surg Forum* 2004;7:E376–E381.
 36. McMurtrey RJ, Zuo Z. Isoflurane preconditioning and postconditioning in rat hippocampal neurons. *Brain Res* 2010;1358:184–90.
 37. Lee JJ, Li L, Jung HH, *et al.* Postconditioning with isoflurane reduced ischemia-induced brain injury in rats. *Anesthesiology* 2008;108:1055–62.
 38. Julier K, da Silva R, Garcia C, *et al.* Preconditioning by sevoflurane decreases biochemical markers for myocardial and renal dysfunction in coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a double-blinded, placebo-controlled, multicenter study. *Anesthesiology* 2003;98:1315–27.
 39. Kim M, Kim M, Kim N, *et al.* Isoflurane mediates protection from renal ischemia-reperfusion injury via sphingosine kinase and sphingosine-1-phosphate-dependent pathways. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 2007;293:F1827–F1835.
 40. Lee HT, Kim M, Kim J, *et al.* TGF- β 1 release by volatile anesthetics mediates protection against renal proximal tubule cell necrosis. *Am J Nephrol* 2007;27:416–24.
 41. Beck-Schimmer B, Breitenstein S, Urech S, *et al.* A randomized controlled trial on pharmacological preconditioning in liver surgery using a volatile anesthetic. *Ann Surg* 2008;248:909–18.
 42. Li H, Yin J, Li L, *et al.* Isoflurane postconditioning reduces ischemia-induced nuclear factor- κ B activation and interleukin 1 β production to provide neuroprotection in rats and mice. *Neurobiol Dis* 2013;54–216–24.
 43. Zuo Z. A novel mechanism for sevoflurane preconditioning-induced neuroprotection. *Anesthesiology* 2012;117:942–4.
 44. Uhlig C, Bluth T, Schwarz K, *et al.* Effects of Volatile Anesthetics on Mortality and Postoperative Pulmonary and Other Complications in Patients Undergoing Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Anesthesiology* 2016;124:1230–45.