BMJ Open

Chronic symptoms in a representative sample of Swiss older people: prevalence and association with disability and quality of life

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2016-014485
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	27-Sep-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Henchoz, Yves; University of Lausanne Hospital Center, Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine Bula, Christophe; Lausanne University Hospital, Service of Geriatric Medicine and Geriatric Rehabilitation Guessous, Idris; Geneva University Hospitals, Unit of Population Epidemiology; University of Lausanne, Department of Ambulatory Care and Community Medicine Rodondi, Nicolas; University Hospital of Bern, Department of General Internal Medicine; University of Bern, Institute of Primary Health Care (BIHAM) Goy, René; Pro Senectute Vaud Demont, Maurice; Pro Senectute Geneva Santos-Eggimann, Brigitte; University of Lausanne Hospital Center, Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine
Primary Subject Heading :	Geriatric medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Public health
Keywords:	Chronic symptoms, Older people, Disability, Quality of life, Population attributable fraction

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

BMJ Open

Chronic symptoms in a representative sample of Swiss older people: prevalence and association with disability and quality of life

Yves Henchoz¹, Christophe Büla², Idris Guessous^{3,4}, Nicolas Rodondi^{5,6}, René Goy⁷, Maurice Demont⁸, Brigitte Santos-Eggimann¹

¹ Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Lausanne Hospital Centre, Switzerland

² Service of Geriatric Medicine and Geriatric Rehabilitation, Lausanne University Hospital, Switzerland

³ Unit of Population Epidemiology, Geneva University Hospitals, Switzerland

⁴ Department of Ambulatory Care and Community Medicine, University of Lausanne, Switzerland

⁵ Department of General Internal Medicine, University Hospital of Bern, Switzerland;

⁶ Institute of Primary Health Care (BIHAM), University of Bern, Switzerland

⁷ Pro Senectute Vaud, Lausanne, Switzerland

⁸ Pro Senectute Geneva, Switzerland

Corresponding author: Yves Henchoz; Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine (IUMSP), University of Lausanne Hospital Centre, Route de la Corniche 10, CH-1010 Lausanne, Switzerland; yves.henchoz@chuv.ch; Tel.:+41 21 314 88 13; Fax: +41 21 314 97 67

ABSTRACT

Objectives. The burden of multiple diagnoses is well documented in older people, but less is known about chronic symptoms, many of which are even not brought to medical attention. This study aimed to determine the prevalence of chronic symptoms, their relationships with disability in basic activities of daily living (BADL) and quality of life (QoL), and their public health impact.

Design. A large cross-sectional population-based study.

Setting. Community in two regions of French-speaking Switzerland.

Participants. Community-dwelling older adults aged 68 years and older in 2011 (N=5,300).

Outcomes. Disability in BADLs defined as difficulty or help needed with any of dressing, bathing, eating, getting in/out of bed or an arm-chair, and using the toilet. Overall QoL dichotomized as favourable (i.e. excellent or very good) or unfavourable (i.e. good, fair, or poor). Disturbance by any of the following 14 chronic symptoms for at least 6 months: joint pain, back pain, chest pain, dyspnea, persistent cough, swollen legs, memory gaps, difficulty concentrating, difficulty making decisions, dizziness/vertigo, skin problems, stomach/intestine problems, urinary incontinence, and impaired sexual life.

Results. Only 17.1% of participants did not report being disturbed by any of these chronic symptoms. Weighted prevalence ranged from 3.1% (chest pain) to 47.7% (joint pain). Most chronic symptoms were significantly associated with disability in BADL or unfavourable QoL, with substantial gender differences. The number of chronic symptoms was significantly associated with disability in BADL and unfavourable QoL, with gradients suggesting dose-response relationships. Joint pain and back pain had the highest population attributable fractions.

Conclusions. Chronic symptoms are highly prevalent in older people, and are associated with disability in BADL and unfavourable QoL, particularly when multiple chronic symptoms co-occur. Due to their high public health impact, musculoskeletal chronic symptoms represent good targets for preventive interventions.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- This study is based on a representative sample of community-dwelling older men and women.
- Data from the Lc65+ cohort study allowed to adjusting for a substantial number of potential confounders.
- In addition to 14 chronic symptoms assessed in the present study, others may have been considered, such as headache, tiredness, impaired hearing/sight, and sleeping problems.
- Each chronic symptom was recorded as present or absent, without attempting to assess • severity.
- The study's cross-sectional design precludes any causal inference. •

Symptoms account for over half of all outpatient visits,¹ even though many individuals who suffer from symptoms do not consult. This phenomenon has been referred to as the "symptom iceberg".² The visible part represents the proportion of symptoms known to the general practitioner, whereas the submerged part encompasses symptoms which are not brought to medical attention. Faced with the complexity of the whole human body, biomedical research has favoured objective over subjective outcomes, and has therefore largely focused on medical diagnoses rather than on symptoms. However, a comprehensive overview of a patient's symptomatology is certainly meaningful when trying to fully understand his or her burden.³

Most studies on symptom prevalence have been conducted in a clinical setting. Not only did a limited number of population-based studies investigate this issue, but many of these focused on middle-aged adults⁴⁻⁸ or even exclusively on middle-aged women.^{9 10} Similarly, many studies on older people focused on a single symptom such as back pain,¹¹ neuropsychiatric,¹² anxiety,¹³ or urinary¹⁴ symptoms. Furthermore, the few studies that considered multiple symptoms enrolled only small samples,¹⁵⁻¹⁷ or older persons with severe comorbidities¹⁸ or advanced chronic diseases.¹⁹ As a result, little is known about symptom burden in community-dwelling older people.

Common symptoms generally relapse within a few weeks, but a quarter persist over time and often require more comprehensive management.¹ Also, there is strong evidence that the prevalence of chronic conditions substantially increases with age, and that multimorbidity – the coexistence of multiple chronic conditions – is associated with poor functional status, quality of life and health outcomes.²⁰ Such associations have also been found when considering symptoms not reported to the physician, although more scarcely. In a cross-sectional study including older adults with high rates of comorbid diagnoses, symptoms correlated with mobility function at least as strongly as – if not more

strongly than – a list of diseases did.¹⁸ Nevertheless, the epidemiology of chronic symptoms still needs to be investigated in more details in older people. From a public health perspective, a better understanding of the prevalence of chronic symptoms would help healthcare policies to focus on chronic symptoms that strongly affect functional status and quality of life.

This study sought to determine the prevalence of chronic symptoms in older men and women, their ity in basic . associations with disability in basic activities of daily living and guality of life, and their public health impact.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014485 on 17 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

METHODS

Population

Data used in the present study came from the population-based Lausanne cohort 65+ study (Lc65+)²¹ and two additional, stratified, random samples selected from population lists in the cantons of Vaud and Geneva.²² The pooled dataset comprising 5,300 community-dwelling adults aged 68 years and older is representative of older people in two French-speaking Swiss regions. Persons living in institutions or unable to respond by themselves due to significant cognitive impairment or advanced dementia were excluded. More details on participants' enrolment can be found elsewhere.²² Data were collected by means of a postal questionnaire in 2011. The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committees of the Faculty of Biology and Medicine of the University of Lausanne (19/04), and Geneva University Hospitals (11-154).

Measures

Chronic symptoms

Respondents were asked whether they had been disturbed by any of the following 14 chronic symptoms for at least 6 months: joint pain, back pain, chest pain (on exertion), dyspnea, persistent cough, swollen legs, memory gaps (affecting daily life), difficulty concentrating, difficulty making decisions (in daily life), dizziness or vertigo, skin problems (e.g. eczema, psoriasis), stomach/intestine problems (including diarrhea and constipation), urinary incontinence, and impaired sexual life (due to pain, decreased sex drive, erectile dysfunction, etc.). This list of chronic symptoms was adapted from the methodology of the Survey of Health, Aging, and retirement in Europe (SHARE).²³ Because of very high bivariable associations between memory gaps, difficulty concentrating, and difficulty making decisions (see online

BMJ Open

supplementary table S1), they were grouped into 'mental impairments', defined as the presence of any of these three symptoms.

Disability in basic activities of daily living (BADL)

Participants indicated whether they had had difficulty dressing, bathing, eating, getting in/out of bed or an arm-chair, and using the toilet over the last four weeks.²⁴ Response choices were 'no difficulty', 'difficulty but no help', or 'received help'. Disability in BADL was dichotomised as 'yes' (i.e. participants reporting 'difficulty but no help' and those reporting 'received help' with one or more of the five BADL) or 'no' (i.e. participants reporting 'no difficulty' in all five BADL).

Quality of life (QoL)

Overall QoL was assessed with a single item: "How do you rate your current QoL?" Answers ranged from 'excellent' to 'very good', 'good', 'fair', and 'poor'. Corresponding response choices in the French version of the SF-36 were used.²⁵ Unfavourable QoL was defined as an answer other than 'excellent' and 'very good' (i.e. 'good', 'fair', or 'poor'). A cut-off between 'very good' and 'good' was recently reported to best reflect the multidimensional nature of QoL.²⁶

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014485 on 17 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Covariates

At the stage of study sampling and recruitment, the Residents' Registration Office provided information about residents' age, gender, canton of residence, and commune of residence. The latter was used to determine whether or not participants were living in the main city of the canton of Vaud (Lausanne) or the canton of Geneva (Geneva). Additional information was gathered by means of a postal questionnaire that provided information about living arrangement ('alone'; 'with others'), Swiss citizenship, ever having children, highest level of education achieved ('basic compulsory'; 'apprenticeship'; 'post-compulsory'), financial difficulties (defined as answering 'yes' to the question

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014485 on 17 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

"Are you sometimes struggling to make ends meet?"), and the presence of depressive symptoms. The latter was defined as answering 'yes' to either of the two following questions of the Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders Procedure: "During the past month, have you often been bothered by 1) feeling down, depressed, or hopeless? 2) little interest or pleasure in doing things?". As compared with a standardised interview, these two questions had a sensitivity of 96% and a specificity of 57% in diagnosing depression.²⁷

Statistical analysis

All analyses were stratified by gender because of the expected differences in QoL and in the prevalence of disability and chronic conditions between women and men.²⁸ ²⁹ Sampling weights were used to account for unequal selection probabilities and response.

The association between chronic symptoms and disability in BADL was assessed using multiple logistic regression analyses, which were conducted separately for each chronic symptom. Models were adjusted for covariates (model 1), and additionally for the number of other chronic symptoms (model 2). The association between each chronic symptom and unfavourable QoL was also assessed using model 1 and model 2. A third model was additionally adjusted for disability in BADL to assess the association between each chronic symptom and unfavourable QoL over and above the contribution of disability in BADL (model 3). Multiple logistic regression models were also used to assess the association between the number of chronic symptoms and both disability in BADL and unfavourable QoL (model 1 only). Dose-response relationship was assessed by entering the number of chronic symptoms into model 1 as a continuous variable.

To estimate the proportion of disability in BADL or unfavourable QoL that may be hypothetically reduced by the elimination of each chronic symptoms, population attributable fraction (PAF) was calculated using the user-written command 'punaf' in Stata.³⁰ After fitting a logistic regression (model 2),

this command follows the method recommended by Greenland and Drescher for cohort and crosssectional studies³¹ to calculate the PAF and its 95% confidence interval (CI).

Because missing values were likely to be missing at random, they were imputed using multiple imputations with chained equations.³² Fifty imputation datasets were created. PAF was calculated using complete-case analysis, because the 'punaf' command does not support multiple imputations. Analyses .ata 14.u . were conducted using Stata 14.0 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX). Significance was set at P<0.05.

RESULTS

Socioeconomic and demographic characteristics

Table 1 shows the characteristics of participants. Compared to men, women were older, were more often living in a main city, and reported higher rates of Swiss citizenship, 'alone' living arrangement and 'no' children status, a lower level of education, a higher rate of depressive symptoms, and a less favourable QoL (all P≤0.001). There was no significant gender difference in the canton of residence, financial difficulties, and disability in BADL.

Table 1. Characteristics of Study Participants (Weighted Percentages)

	Total sample (n=5,300)	Women (n=2,781)	Men (n=2,519)	P-value ^a
Age (n=5,300)				
68-72 years	32.6%	30.7%	35.1%	0.001
73-77 years	24.9%	23.7%	26.4%	
78-99 years	42.6%	45.6%	38.5%	
Canton of residence (n=5,300)				
Geneva	41.5%	41.9%	41.0%	0.641
Vaud	58.5%	58.1%	59.0%	
Main city (n=5,300)				
No	71.4%	69.3%	74.3%	0.001
Yes	28.6%	30.7%	25.7%	
Swiss citizenship (n=5,230)				
No	13.4%	11.4%	16.1%	<0.001
Yes	86.6%	88.6%	83.9%	
Living arrangement (n=5,228)				
Alone	37.6%	52.7%	17.0%	< 0.001
With others	62.4%	47.3%	83.0%	
Ever having children (n=5,232)				
No	15.2%	17.5%	11.9%	< 0.001
Yes	84.8%	82.5%	88.1%	

Table 1.(Continued)

	Total sample	Women	Men	P-value ^a
	(n=5,300)	(n=2,781)	(n=2,519)	
Education (n=5,203)				
Basic compulsory	24.9%	31.6%	15.7%	< 0.001
Apprenticeship	35.5%	33.9%	37.7%	
Post-compulsory	39.6%	34.5%	46.7%	
Financial difficulties (n=4,7	95)			
No	85.9%	84.8%	87.5%	0.059
Yes	14.1%	15.8%	12.5%	
Depressive symptoms (n=5	,160)			
No	73.2%	68.8%	79.1%	<0.001
Yes	26.8%	31.2%	20.9%	
Disability in BADL (n=5,202)			
No	73.9%	72.6%	75.6%	0.100
Yes	26.1%	27.4%	24.4%	
Quality of life (n=4,847)				
Favourable	49.5%	46.7%	53.4%	<0.001
Unfavourable	50.5%	53.3%	46.6%	

^a logistic regression

Prevalence of chronic symptoms

Most pairwise associations between chronic symptoms were significant (see online supplementary table S1). In other words, participants reporting a given chronic symptom were more likely to report almost any other chronic symptom. As indicated in table 2, joint pain was the most prevalent chronic symptom in both women (51.5%) and men (42.5%). At the other end of the spectrum, the prevalence of chest pain was only around 3% regardless of gender. Four chronic symptoms (i.e. mental impairments, skin problems, persistent cough, and chest pain) did not display any significant gender difference. By contrast, impaired sexual life was dramatically more prevalent in men (33.9%) than in women (4.5%). All seven remaining chronic symptoms (i.e. joint pain, back pain, stomach/intestine problems, urinary

incontinence, dyspnea, swollen legs, and dizziness/vertigo) were more prevalent in women than in men. This translated in a higher proportion of women than men with 3, 4 or \geq 5 chronic symptoms (P=0.002).

Table 2.	Weighted Prevalence of Chronic Symptoms
----------	---

	Total sample (n=5,191)	Women (n=2,737)	Men (n=2,454)	P-value ^a
Joint pain	47.7% (45.7-49.7)	51.5% (48.6-54.3)	42.5% (39.9-45.1)	<0.001
Back pain	34.7% (32.8-36.5)	38.2% (35.4-40.9)	29.8% (27.4-32.2)	<0.001
Stomach/intestine problems	22.1% (20.5-23.8)	26.3% (23.8-28.9)	16.4% (14.4-18.3)	<0.001
Mental impairments	18.3% (16.7-19.9)	19.0% (16.8-21.3)	17.3% (15.3-19.3)	0.288
Urinary incontinence	17.4% (15.8-19.0)	21.4% (19.0-23.8)	11.9% (10.2-13.7)	<0.001
Impaired sexual life	16.9% (15.6-18.1)	4.5% (3.4-5.6)	33.9% (31.4-36.3)	<0.001
Dyspnea	16.9% (15.4-18.5)	18.4% (16.2-20.7)	14.9% (13.0-16.8)	0.022
Swollen legs	13.4% (12.0-14.7)	14.8% (12.8-16.9)	11.3% (9.6-13.0)	0.010
Dizziness/vertigo	10.3% (9.0-11.6)	12.2% (10.2-14.1)	7.7% (6.3-9.1)	<0.001
Skin problems	9.8% (8.6-11.0)	9.3% (7.6-11.0)	10.5% (8.9-12.2)	0.285
Persistent cough	4.6% (3.8-5.4)	5.0% (3.8-6.1)	4.1% (3.0-5.1)	0.285
Chest pain	3.1% (2.3-3.8)	3.3% (2.2-4.4)	2.7% (1.9-3.6)	0.459
Number of chronic symptoms				
0	17.1% (15.7-18.6)	15.9% (13.9-17.8)	18.9% (16.8-21.0)	0.002
1	24.9% (23.2-26.6)	24.1% (21.7-26.5)	26.0% (23.7-28.3)	
2	22.0% (20.3-23.6)	21.9% (19.5-24.2)	22.1% (19.9-24.4)	
3	16.8% (15.3-18.4)	17.6% (15.4-19.8)	15.8% (13.8-17.7)	
4	9.3% (8.2-10.5)	9.8% (8.1-11.5)	8.7% (7.2-10.2)	
≥5	9.8% (8.6-11.1)	10.8% (8.9-12.7)	8.5% (7.0-10.0)	

Data are weighted prevalence (95% confidence intervals).

^a logistic regression

Associations between chronic symptoms and disability in BADL

Table 3 indicates the gender-specific associations between chronic symptoms and disability in BADL. In model 1 – adjusted for socioeconomic and demographic characteristics – all chronic symptoms were significantly associated with disability in BADL, except impaired sexual life in women, and impaired sexual life, skin problems, and persistent cough in men. These associations were attenuated in model 2, further adjusted for the number of other chronic symptoms. Several chronic symptoms remained significantly associated with disability in BADL in both genders (i.e. joint pain, back pain, urinary incontinence, and swollen legs), or only in women (i.e. dizziness/vertigo [OR=1.7; P=0.019] and skin problems [OR=2.8; P<0.001]) or men (i.e. mental impairments [OR=1.7; P=0.003]). In both women and men, the number of chronic symptoms was significantly associated with disability in BADL. When the number of chronic symptoms was entered into model 1 as a continuous variable (not indicated in table 3), the positive dose–response relationship between the number of chronic symptoms and disability in BADL was confirmed in both women (OR=1.57; P<0.001) and men (OR=1.43; P<0.001).

2
3
4
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
6
7
0
ð
9
10
11
12
12
13
14
15
16
47
17
18
19
20
20
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 32 4 25 26 27 28 9 30 1 32 33 4 35 36 37 8 39
22
23
24
25
20
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
32
33
24
34
35
36
37
20
30
39
40
41
41 42
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1

Chronic symptoms	Women	(n=2,318)	Men (n=2,132)			
	Model 1 ^ª	Model 2 ^ª	Model 1 ^ª	Model 2		
Joint pain	1.8 ***	1.4 *	2.4 ***	2.1 ***		
Back pain	2.2 ***	1.7 **	2.3 ***	2.0 ***		
Stomach/intestine problems	1.5 *	1.1	1.7 **	1.3		
Mental impairments	1.9 **	1.5	2.0 ***	1.7 **		
Urinary incontinence	2.2 ***	1.8 **	2.5 ***	1.9 **		
Impaired sexual life	1.5	1.2	1.0	0.9		
Dyspnea	2.0 ***	1.4	1.9 ***	1.4		
Swollen legs	3.0 ***	2.2 ***	2.5 ***	1.7 *		
Dizziness/vertigo	2.4 ***	1.7 *	1.8 *	1.2		
Skin problems	3.2 ***	2.8 ***	1.0	0.8		
Persistent cough	1.8 *	1.2	1.3	0.9		
Chest pain	2.9 **	1.7	2.0 *	1.1		
Number of chronic symptoms						
0	Ref.		Ref.			
1	2.0 *		4.3 ***			
2	2.6 **		9.0 ***			
3	3.9 ***		10.1 ***			
4	4.8 ***		12.3 ***			
≥5	20.1 ***		18.0 ***			

Table 3. Associations Between Chronic Symptoms and Disability in BADL (Odds Ratios)

^a logistic regression; Model 1: adjusted for age, canton of residence, main city, Swiss citizenship, living arrangement, children, education, financial situation, and depressive symptoms; Model 2: adjusted for covariates in Model 1 and the number of other chronic symptoms.

* P<0.05; ** P<0.01; *** P<0.001; BADL=basic activities of daily living

Associations between chronic symptoms and unfavourable QoL

As indicated in table 4 (model 1), half of chronic symptoms were significantly associated with unfavourable QoL in women (i.e. joint pain, back pain, stomach/intestine problems, dyspnea, swollen legs, and skin problems). In men, all but two chronic symptoms (impaired sexual life and skin problems) were significantly associated with unfavourable QoL. After adjusting for the number of other chronic symptoms (model 2), all associations were attenuated. Two chronic symptoms in women (i.e. joint pain and back pain) and five chronic symptoms in men (i.e. joint pain, mental impairments, dyspnea, persistent cough, and chest pain) remained significantly associated with unfavourable QoL. Further adjustment for disability in BADL (model 3) only slightly changed the associations obtained in model 2. In both women and men, the number of chronic symptoms was significantly associated with unfavourable QoL. Entering the number of chronic symptoms into model 1 as a continuous variable (not indicated in table 4) confirmed the positive dose-response relationship between the number of chronic symptoms and unfavourable QoL in both women (OR=1.32; P<0.001) and men (OR=1.30; P<0.001).

Men (n=1,973)

Table 4. Associations Be
Chronic symptoms
Joint pain
Back pain
Stomach/intestine problem
Mental impairments
Urinary incontinence
Impaired sexual life
Dyspnea
Swollen legs
Dizziness/vertigo
Skin problems
Persistent cough
Chest pain
Number of chronic symptoms 0
1
2 3
4
≥5
^a logistic regression; Mode arrangement, children, ed covariates in Model 1 and Model 2 and disability in b * P<0.05; ** P<0.01; *** P

Table 4.	Associations Between Chronic Symptoms and Unfavourable QoL (Odds Ratios)
----------	--

Women (n=2,144)

			,						
	Model 1 ^ª	Model 2 ^a	Model 3 ^a	Model 1 ^a	Model 2 ^a	Model 3 ^a			
Joint pain	t pain 1.8 ***		1.5 **	1.5 **	1.3 *	1.2			
Back pain	1.9 ***	1.7 ***	1.6 **	1.4 *	1.2	1.1			
Stomach/intestine problems	1.5 *	1.3	1.2	1.5 *	1.2	1.2			
Mental impairments	1.2	1.0	0.9	1.9 **	1.6 *	1.5 *			
Urinary incontinence	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0 1.7 *		1.3			
Impaired sexual life	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.0			
Dyspnea	1.6 *	1.3	1.3	2.0 ***	1.7 **	1.6 *			
Swollen legs	2.0 **	1.5	1.4	1.6 *	1.2	1.1			
Dizziness/vertigo	1.6	1.2	1.1	1.8 *	1.4	1.3			
Skin problems	1.7 *	1.5	1.3	1.0	0.9	0.9			
Persistent cough	1.4	1.1	1.1	3.5 ***	3.0 **	3.1 **			
Chest pain	1.8	1.3	1.2	5.4 **	3.9 **	4.2 **			
Number of chronic symptoms									
0	Ref.			Ref.					
1	1.4			1.5 *					
2	2.4 ***			1.7 **					
3	3.3 ***			2.4 ***					
4	2.9 ***			2.8 ***					
≥5	5.7 ***			5.0 ***					

^a logisti el 1: adjusted for age, canton of residence, main city, Swiss citizenship, living lucation, financial situation, and depressive symptoms; Model 2: adjusted for arrange the number of other chronic symptoms; Model 3: adjusted for covariates in covariat asic activities of daily living. Model 2

* P<0.0 <0.001; QoL=quality of life

BMJ Open

Population attributable fraction

Figure 1 presents chronic symptoms in the order of their contribution to disability in BADL and unfavourable QoL in the total sample. Regarding disability in BADL, the PAF was significant in half of chronic symptoms, although these were not the same in women and men. In both genders, however, joint pain and back pain were the main contributors to disability in BADL. Regarding unfavourable QoL, the most important contributors in women were also joint pain (7.7%; P=0.012) and back pain (8.3%; P<0.001), whereas the top two factors in men were joint pain (6.5%; P=0.019) and mental impairments but s mination of p. (3.4%; P=0.012). Specifically in men, a small but statistically significant proportion of unfavourable QoL may be hypothetically reduced by the elimination of persistent cough (1.7%; P=0.001) and chest pain (1.4%; P<0.001).

Main findings

In this representative sample of community-dwelling older people, less than one person out of five did not report any chronic symptom and more than half reported multiple chronic symptoms. This observation is largely compatible with the increasingly high frequency of multimorbidity that characterises older age.²⁰ From a public health perspective, musculoskeletal symptoms (i.e. joint pain and back pain) were the most burdensome chronic symptoms due to both their high prevalence and their significant interference with BADL and QoL. Substantial gender differences were observed in the prevalence of chronic symptoms, as well as their associations with, and contribution to disability in BADL and unfavourable QoL.

Prevalence of chronic symptoms

Women reported a higher number of chronic symptoms than men did. This confirms and extends the gender difference reported in previous studies that did not focus solely on chronic symptoms.^{4 5 7 8 33} By contrast, Hellström et al. did not report any gender difference in the number of symptoms.³⁴ In their study, Ladwig et al. suggest that the gender gap may be mediated by a lower socioeconomic status and higher levels of chronic distress in women.⁷ The present study pointed to another striking gender difference, i.e. the prevalence of impaired sexual life, which was the second most frequently reported chronic symptom in men, but the second least frequently reported one in women. Interestingly, while none of the aforementioned studies assessed impaired sexual life, data from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing recently indicated that the percentage of individuals aged 70 or above who reported concerns about, or dissatisfaction with, their overall sex life was around 20% in men but only 5% in women.³⁵

In the present study joint pain was the most prevalent chronic symptom in both genders. Back pain ranked second in women and third in men. This is consistent with previous population-based studies reporting musculoskeletal symptoms as the most prevalent symptoms^{33 34} or among the most prevalent symptoms^{4 5 8} in older adults.

Associations between chronic symptoms and disability in BADL

Importantly, the present study indicates that the accumulation of several chronic symptoms is associated with disability in BADL. Once the number of other chronic symptoms have been accounted for, several chronic symptoms (i.e. stomach/intestine problems, dyspnea, and chest pain in both women and men) lose their association with disability in BADL. Since the majority of participants (58%) reported multiple chronic symptoms, these findings question the single-disease approach that still prevails in many health care systems. As Barnett et al. underlined in the context of multimorbidity,²⁰ a comprehensive, patient-centred approach would promote a more efficient coordination of care.

Interestingly, the associations between each chronic symptom and disability in BADL were generally consistent across gender. Nevertheless, skin problems showed the strongest association with disability in BADL in women, whereas this association was not significant in men, despite a similar prevalence of the symptom. Yet it is not clear whether this difference is due to a higher severity of skin problems in women, divergent types of skin diseases in women and men,³⁶ or gender-specific interference of skin problems with daily life.

Associations between chronic symptoms and unfavourable QoL

Overall, the associations between chronic symptoms and unfavourable QoL were less consistent across gender than they were with disability in BADL. Persistent cough and chest pain were strongly associated with unfavourable QoL in men, whereas these associations were not significant in women. In a previous

population-based study,³⁷ chronic persistent cough was also associated with impairments in healthrelated QoL, even though older women and men were not analyzed separately. Similarly, QoL was found to be worse among patients with chest pain compared with healthy controls,³⁸ with significant gender differences in the clinical characteristics of chest pain.³⁹ However, this issue has not been specifically addressed in older people. The present study suggests that chronic cardiopulmonary symptoms (i.e. persistent cough and chest pain) affect QoL differently in older women and men. Possible explanations include gender differences in coping strategies,⁴⁰ and clinical characteristics (including severity) of cardiopulmonary symptoms.

Population attributable fraction

The contribution of chronic symptoms to disability in BADL was about twice as high as that to unfavourable QoL. The multidimentional nature of QoL, which encompasses health as well as factors not directly related to health (e.g. material resources, feeling of safety, close entourage), may account for that contrast.²² Musculoskeletal chronic symptoms were the strongest contributors to disability in BADL. Indeed, interventions targeting prevention of joint pain may reduce disability in BADL by up to 14% in women and 22% in men, and interventions targeting prevention of back pain may reduce disability in BADL by up to 13% in women and 17% in men. Furthermore, musculoskeletal chronic symptoms strongly contributed to unfavourable QoL, particularly in women. By contrast, preventing chest pain or persistent cough would reduce unfavourable QoL by up to merely 2% in men only. Despite their high interference with daily life at the patient level, all the aforementioned chronic symptoms are not in the front line of preventive actions expecting a huge public health impact.

Strengths and limitations of the study

This study is based on a representative sample of community-dwelling older men and women. Furthermore, data from the Lc65+ allowed to adjusting for a substantial number of potential confounders. The present study also has some limitations. First, it focused on 14 chronic symptoms, whereas other studies have considered other ones, such as headache, tiredness, impaired hearing/sight, and sleeping problems.^{18 19 34} Second, each chronic symptom was recorded as present or absent, without attempting to assess severity. Finally, the study's cross-sectional design precludes any causal inference.

Conclusion

In older people, multiple chronic symptoms are the rule rather than the exception. Musculoskeletal chronic symptoms account for a large proportion of the whole chronic symptom iceberg. Due to both their high prevalence and their significant associations with disability and QoL, joint pain and back pain are good targets for preventive interventions seeking to reduce the burden of age-related disability and impairment in QoL.

 BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014485 on 17 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank all study participants for their involvement, and S. Fustinoni for providing statistical advice.

FOOTNOTES

Contributors. YH did all the statistical analyses and drafted the manuscript. YH and BSE had the idea of the paper and contributed to the interpretation of data. BSE contributed to the conception of the questionnaire. BSE and IG contributed to the data collection. BSE, CB, IG, NR, RG and MD contributed to the critical review of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the study concept and design, and approved the final version.

Funding. This work was awarded a prize by the Leenaards Foundation. The funding source had no role in the study design; in the data collection, analysis, and interpretation; in the preparation of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Competing interests. The authors declare no competing interests.


REFERENCES

- 1. Kroenke K. A practical and evidence-based approach to common symptoms: a narrative review. *Ann Intern Med* 2014;161:579-86.
- 2. Last JM, Adelaide DP. The iceberg: 'completing the clinical picture' in general practice. 1963. *Int J Epidemiol* 2013;42:1608-13.
- 3. Verbrugge LM, Ascione FJ. Exploring the iceberg. Common symptoms and how people care for them. *Med Care* 1987;25:539-69.
- 4. Elnegaard S, Andersen RS, Pedersen AF, et al. Self-reported symptoms and healthcare seeking in the general population--exploring "The Symptom Iceberg". *BMC Public Health* 2015;15:685.
- 5. McAteer A, Elliott AM, Hannaford PC. Ascertaining the size of the symptom iceberg in a UK-wide community-based survey. *Br J Gen Pract* 2011;61:e1-11.
- 6. Elliott AM, McAteer A, Hannaford PC. Revisiting the symptom iceberg in today's primary care: results from a UK population survey. *BMC Fam Pract* 2011;12:16.
- 7. Ladwig KH, Marten-Mittag B, Formanek B, et al. Gender differences of symptom reporting and medical health care utilization in the German population. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2000;16:511-8.
- 8. Tibblin G, Bengtsson C, Furunes B, et al. Symptoms by age and sex. The population studies of men and women in Gothenburg, Sweden. *Scand J Prim Health Care* 1990;8:9-17.
- 9. Krantz G, Ostergren PO. Common symptoms in middle aged women: their relation to employment status, psychosocial work conditions and social support in a Swedish setting. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2000;54:192-9.
- 10. Bardel A, Wallander MA, Wedel H, et al. Age-specific symptom prevalence in women 35-64 years old: a population-based study. *BMC Public Health* 2009;9:37.
- 11. Edmond SL, Felson DT. Prevalence of back symptoms in elders. *J Rheumatol* 2000;27:220-5.
- 12. Salazar R, Royall DR, Palmer RF. Neuropsychiatric symptoms in community-dwelling Mexican-Americans: results from the Hispanic Established Population for Epidemiological Study of the Elderly (HEPESE) study. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2015;30:300-7.
- 13. Forlani M, Morri M, Belvederi Murri M, et al. Anxiety symptoms in 74+ community-dwelling elderly: associations with physical morbidity, depression and alcohol consumption. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e89859.
- 14. Stenzelius K, Westergren A, Mattiasson A, et al. Older women and men with urinary symptoms. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2006;43:249-65.
- 15. Morgan R, Pendleton N, Clague JE, et al. Older people's perceptions about symptoms. *Br J Gen Pract* 1997;47:427-30.
- 16. Brody EM, Kleban MH. Physical and mental health symptoms of older people: who do they tell? *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1981;29:442-9.
- 17. Brody EM, Kleban MH, Moles E. What older people do about their day-to-day mental and physical health symptoms. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1983;31:489-98.
- 18. Whitson HE, Sanders LL, Pieper CF, et al. Correlation between symptoms and function in older adults with comorbidity. J Am Geriatr Soc 2009;57:676-82.
- 19. Walke LM, Gallo WT, Tinetti ME, et al. The burden of symptoms among community-dwelling older persons with advanced chronic disease. *Arch Intern Med* 2004;164:2321-4.
- 20. Barnett K, Mercer SW, Norbury M, et al. Epidemiology of multimorbidity and implications for health care, research, and medical education: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet* 2012;380:37-43.

21. Santos-Eggimann B, Karmaniola A, Seematter-Bagnoud L, et al. The Lausanne cohort Lc65+: a population-based prospective study of the manifestations, determinants and outcomes of frailty. *BMC Geriatr* 2008;8:20.

- 22. Henchoz Y, Meylan L, Goy R, et al. Domains of importance to the quality of life of older people from two Swiss regions. *Age Ageing* 2015;44:979-85.
- 23. Alcser KH, Benson G, Börsch-Supan A, et al. *The Survey of Health, Aging, and Retirement in Europe—Methodology*. Manheim: Mannheim Research Institute for the Economics of Aging, 2005, p. 175.
- 24. Katz S, Downs TD, Cash HR, et al. Progress in development of the index of ADL. *Gerontologist* 1970;10:20-30.
- 25. Leplege A, Ecosse E, Verdier A, et al. The French SF-36 Health Survey: translation, cultural adaptation and preliminary psychometric evaluation. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1998;51:1013-23.
- 26. Henchoz Y, Botrugno F, Cornaz S, et al. Determinants of quality of life in community-dwelling older adults: Comparing three cut-offs on the excellent-to-poor spectrum. *Qual Life Res* (in press).
- 27. Whooley MA, Avins AL, Miranda J, et al. Case-finding instruments for depression. Two questions are as good as many. *J Gen Intern Med* 1997;12:439-45.
- 28. Alexandre Tda S, Corona LP, Nunes DP, et al. Gender differences in incidence and determinants of disability in activities of daily living among elderly individuals: SABE study. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2012;55:431-7.
- 29. Orfila F, Ferrer M, Lamarca R, et al. Gender differences in health-related quality of life among the elderly: the role of objective functional capacity and chronic conditions. *Soc Sci Med* 2006;63:2367-80.
- 30. Newson RB. Attributable and unattributable risks and fractions and other scenario comparisons. *Stata Journal* 2013;13:672-98.
- 31. Greenland S, Drescher K. Maximum likelihood estimation of the attributable fraction from logistic models. *Biometrics* 1993;49:865-72.
- 32. White IR, Royston P, Wood AM. Multiple imputation using chained equations: Issues and guidance for practice. *Stat Med* 2011;30:377-99.
- 33. Eckerblad J, Theander K, Ekdahl A, et al. Symptom burden in community-dwelling older people with multimorbidity: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Geriatr* 2015;15:1.
- 34. Hellström Y, Persson G, Hallberg IR. Quality of life and symptoms among older people living at home. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 2004;48:584-93.
- 35. Lee DM, Nazroo J, O'Connor DB, et al. Sexual Health and Well-being Among Older Men and Women in England: Findings from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. *Arch Sex Behav* 2016;45:133-44.
- 36. Yalcin B, Tamer E, Toy GG, et al. The prevalence of skin diseases in the elderly: analysis of 4099 geriatric patients. *Int J Dermatol* 2006;45:672-6.
- 37. Song WJ, Morice AH, Kim MH, et al. Cough in the elderly population: relationships with multiple comorbidity. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e78081.
- 38. Webster R, Norman P, Goodacre S, et al. The prevalence and correlates of psychological outcomes in patients with acute non-cardiac chest pain: a systematic review. *Emerg Med J* 2012;29:267-73.
- 39. Bosner S, Haasenritter J, Hani MA, et al. Gender differences in presentation and diagnosis of chest pain in primary care. *BMC Fam Pract* 2009;10:79.
- 40. Robb C, Small B, Haley WE. Gender differences in coping with functional disability in older married couples: the role of personality and social resources. *Aging Ment Health* 2008;12:423-33.





BMJ	Open
-----	------

Supplementary Table S1. Pairwise Associations Between Chronic Symptoms, By Gender^a (Odds Ratios^b, N=5,300)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1 Joint pain	-	2.5 ***	2.1 ***	1.9 ***	1.3	3.5 ***	1.8 ***	1.8 ***	1.9 ***	2.1 ***	1.4 ***	1.8 ***	1.5 ***	2.3 ***
2 Back pain	3.0 ***	-	2.0 ***	1.5 ***	1.2	1.6 ***	1.4 ***	1.7 ***	1.0	1.9 ***	1.4 ***	2.1 ***	1.4 ***	1.8 ***
3 Chest pain	2.6 ***	2.0 ***	_	11.2 ***	4.2 ***	2.9 ***	2.6 ***	3.0 ***	2.3 **	4.3 ***	1.5	2.7 ***	1.4	1.5
4 Dyspnea	1.4 ***	1.7 ***	10.3 ***	_	4.0 ***	3.5 ***	1.9 ***	2.1 ***	1.8 ***	2.3 ***	1.6 ***	1.6 ***	2.1 ***	1.3
5 Persistent cough	0.9	1.3 *	3.6 ***	3.3 ***	_	2.0 ***	2.4 ***	1.6 **	3.1 ***	1.9 ***	1.5 *	2.2 ***	2.2 ***	1.0
6 Swollen legs	2.8 ***	1.3 *	2.0 **	2.5 ***	1.3	-	1.3 *	2.2 ***	1.5 *	2.4 ***	2.1 ***	1.4 ***	2.0 ***	1.3
7 Memory gaps	1.5 ***	1.7 ***	2.3 ***	1.6 ***	1.3	1.6 ***	-	7.9 ***	7.3 ***	2.9 ***	2.2 ***	2.9 ***	1.9 ***	1.7 **
8 Difficulty concentrating	2.0 ***	1.7 ***	3.0 ***	1.4 *	1.9 **	1.0	13.1 ***	_	14.0 ***	2.2 ***	1.7 ***	1.9 ***	2.2 ***	2.4 ***
9 Difficulty making decisions	1.3 *	1.3 *	1.8 *	1.1	0.9	2.0 ***	10.8 ***	17.6 ***	-	1.7 **	2.3 ***	2.0 ***	2.0 ***	3.4 ***
10 Dizziness/vertigo	1.9 ***	2.6 ***	4.5 ***	2.3 ***	3.3 ***	2.0 ***	3.1 ***	3.6 ***	2.5 ***	_	2.0 ***	2.4 ***	1.2 *	1.6 *
11 Skin problems	1.7 ***	1.1	1.7 *	1.5 ***	1.5 *	2.6 ***	2.1 ***	2.1 ***	2.7 ***	2.7 ***	_	1.5 ***	1.8 ***	2.2 ***
12 Stomach/intestine problems	2.0 ***	2.3 ***	2.9 ***	2.0 ***	2.4 ***	1.7 ***	2.2 ***	2.1 ***	1.7 ***	3.3 ***	1.7 ***	_	1.4 ***	1.6 **
13 Urinary incontinence	1.7 ***	1.7 ***	2.9 ***	1.5 **	2.2 ***	2.8 ***	1.6 ***	1.7 ***	1.4 *	3.0 ***	1.4 *	2.1 ***	-	2.2 ***
14 Impaired sexual life	1.3 ***	1.5 ***	1.7 **	1.6 ***	0.7 *	1.6 ***	1.6 ***	1.4 **	1.9 ***	1.8 ***	1.6 ***	1.6 ***	2.2 ***	-

* P<0.05; ** P<0.01; *** P<0.001

^a Odds ratios for women and men are reported above and below the diagonal, respectively.

^b adjusted for age, canton of residence, main city, Swiss citizenship, living arrangement, children, education, and financial situation.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

Chronic symptoms in a representative sample of community-dwelling older people: a cross-sectional study in Switzerland

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2016-014485.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	04-Nov-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Henchoz, Yves; University of Lausanne Hospital Center, Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine Bula, Christophe; Lausanne University Hospital, Service of Geriatric Medicine and Geriatric Rehabilitation Guessous, Idris; Geneva University Hospitals, Unit of Population Epidemiology; University of Lausanne, Department of Ambulatory Care and Community Medicine Rodondi, Nicolas; University Hospital of Bern, Department of General Internal Medicine; University of Bern, Institute of Primary Health Care (BIHAM) Goy, René; Pro Senectute Vaud Demont, Maurice; Pro Senectute Geneva Santos-Eggimann, Brigitte; University of Lausanne Hospital Center, Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine
Primary Subject Heading :	Geriatric medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Public health
Keywords:	Chronic symptoms, Older people, Disability, Quality of life, Population attributable fraction

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

BMJ Open

Chronic symptoms in a representative sample of community-dwelling older people: a cross-sectional study in Switzerland

Yves Henchoz¹, Christophe Büla², Idris Guessous^{3,4}, Nicolas Rodondi^{5,6}, René Goy⁷, Maurice Demont⁸, Brigitte Santos-Eggimann¹

¹ Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Lausanne Hospital Centre, Switzerland

² Service of Geriatric Medicine and Geriatric Rehabilitation, Lausanne University Hospital, Switzerland

³ Unit of Population Epidemiology, Geneva University Hospitals, Switzerland

⁴ Department of Ambulatory Care and Community Medicine, University of Lausanne, Switzerland

⁵ Department of General Internal Medicine, University Hospital of Bern, Switzerland;

⁶ Institute of Primary Health Care (BIHAM), University of Bern, Switzerland

⁷ Pro Senectute Vaud, Lausanne, Switzerland

⁸ Pro Senectute Geneva, Switzerland

Corresponding author: Yves Henchoz; Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine (IUMSP), University of Lausanne Hospital Centre, Route de la Corniche 10, CH-1010 Lausanne, Switzerland; yves.henchoz@chuv.ch; Tel.:+41 21 314 88 13; Fax: +41 21 314 97 67

ABSTRACT

Objectives. The burden of multiple diagnoses is well documented in older people, but less is known about chronic symptoms, many of which are even not brought to medical attention. This study aimed to determine the prevalence of chronic symptoms, their relationships with disability in basic activities of daily living (BADL) and quality of life (QoL), and their public health impact.

Design. A large cross-sectional population-based study.

Setting. Community in two regions of French-speaking Switzerland.

Participants. Community-dwelling older adults aged 68 years and older in 2011 (N=5,300).

Outcomes. Disability in BADLs defined as difficulty or help needed with any of dressing, bathing, eating, getting in/out of bed or an arm-chair, and using the toilet. Overall QoL dichotomized as favourable (i.e. excellent or very good) or unfavourable (i.e. good, fair, or poor). Disturbance by any of the following 14 chronic symptoms for at least 6 months: joint pain, back pain, chest pain, dyspnea, persistent cough, swollen legs, memory gaps, difficulty concentrating, difficulty making decisions, dizziness/vertigo, skin problems, stomach/intestine problems, urinary incontinence, and impaired sexual life.

Results. Only 17.1% of participants did not report being disturbed by any of these chronic symptoms. Weighted prevalence ranged from 3.1% (chest pain) to 47.7% (joint pain). Most chronic symptoms were significantly associated with disability in BADL or unfavourable QoL, with substantial gender differences. The number of chronic symptoms was significantly associated with disability in BADL and unfavourable QoL, with gradients suggesting dose-response relationships. Joint pain and back pain had the highest population attributable fractions.

Conclusions. Chronic symptoms are highly prevalent in older people, and are associated with disability in BADL and unfavourable QoL, particularly when multiple chronic symptoms co-occur. Due to their high public health impact, musculoskeletal chronic symptoms represent good targets for preventive interventions.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- This study is based on a representative sample of community-dwelling older men and women.
- Data from the Lc65+ cohort study allowed to adjust for a substantial number of potential confounders.
- In addition to 14 chronic symptoms assessed in the present study, others may have been considered, such as headache, tiredness, impaired hearing/sight, and sleeping problems.
- Each chronic symptom was recorded as present or absent, without attempting to assess severity.
- The study's cross-sectional design precludes any causal inference.

Symptoms account for over half of all outpatient visits,¹ even though many individuals who suffer from symptoms do not consult. This phenomenon has been referred to as the "symptom iceberg".² The visible part represents the proportion of symptoms known to the general practitioner, whereas the submerged part encompasses symptoms which are not brought to medical attention. Faced with the complexity of the whole human body, biomedical research has favoured objective over subjective outcomes, and has therefore largely focused on medical diagnoses rather than on symptoms. However, a comprehensive overview of a patient's symptomatology is certainly meaningful when trying to fully understand his or her burden.³

Most studies on symptom prevalence have been conducted in a clinical setting. Not only did a limited number of population-based studies investigate this issue, but many of these focused on middle-aged adults⁴⁻⁸ or even exclusively on middle-aged women.^{9 10} Similarly, many studies on older people focused on a single symptom such as back pain,¹¹ neuropsychiatric,¹² anxiety,¹³ or urinary¹⁴ symptoms. Furthermore, the few studies that considered multiple symptoms enrolled only small samples,¹⁵⁻¹⁷ or older persons with severe comorbidities¹⁸ or advanced chronic diseases.¹⁹ As a result, little is known about symptom burden in community-dwelling older people.

Common symptoms generally relapse within a few weeks, but a quarter persist over time and often require more comprehensive management.¹ Also, there is strong evidence that the prevalence of chronic conditions substantially increases with age, and that multimorbidity – the coexistence of multiple chronic conditions – is associated with poor functional status, quality of life and health outcomes.²⁰ Such associations have also been found when considering symptoms not reported to the physician, although more scarcely. In a cross-sectional study including older adults with high rates of comorbid diagnoses, symptoms correlated with mobility function at least as strongly as – if not more

strongly than – a list of diseases did.¹⁸ Nevertheless, the epidemiology of chronic symptoms still needs to be investigated in more details in older people. From a public health perspective, a better understanding of the prevalence of chronic symptoms would help healthcare policies to focus on chronic symptoms that strongly affect functional status and quality of life.

This study sought to determine the prevalence of chronic symptoms in older men and women, their ity in basic . associations with disability in basic activities of daily living and guality of life, and their public health impact.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014485 on 17 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

METHODS

Study design and population

This cross-sectional study used data from the population-based Lausanne cohort 65+ study (Lc65+)²¹ and two additional, stratified, random samples selected from population lists in the cantons of Vaud and Geneva.²² The pooled dataset comprising 5,300 community-dwelling adults aged 68 years and older is representative of older people in two French-speaking Swiss regions. Persons living in institutions or unable to respond by themselves due to significant cognitive impairment or advanced dementia were excluded. More details on participants' enrolment can be found elsewhere.²² In brief, data were collected by means of a postal questionnaire in 2011 with a response rate of 71.2% (5,300 out of 7,443 eligible participants). The response rate was higher in participants from the Lc65+ cohort (95%) than in those from the stratified random samples (60%). This difference most likely reflects the motivation and adherence of Lc65+ participants who have been followed yearly since 2004. Small differences were observed according to sex, age and canton.²²

Measures

Chronic symptoms

Respondents were asked whether they had been disturbed by any of the following 14 chronic symptoms for at least 6 months: joint pain, back pain, chest pain (on exertion), dyspnea, persistent cough, swollen legs, memory gaps (affecting daily life), difficulty concentrating, difficulty making decisions (in daily life), dizziness or vertigo, skin problems (e.g. eczema, psoriasis), stomach/intestine problems (including diarrhea and constipation), urinary incontinence, and impaired sexual life (due to pain, decreased sex drive, erectile dysfunction, etc.). This list of chronic symptoms was adapted from the methodology of the Survey of Health, Aging, and retirement in Europe (SHARE).²³ Because of very high bivariate

BMJ Open

associations between memory gaps, difficulty concentrating, and difficulty making decisions (see online supplementary table S1), they were grouped into 'mental impairments', defined as the presence of any of these three symptoms.

Disability in basic activities of daily living (BADL)

Disability in BADL was assessed using 5 items of the Katz Index.²⁴ Although little evidence has been published on the psychometric properties of the Katz Index, it is one of the most frequently used tools to assess disability in older people.²⁵ Participants indicated whether they had had difficulty dressing, bathing, eating, getting in/out of bed or an arm-chair, and using the toilet over the last four weeks. Continence was not included, as in the large majority of studies incorporating the Katz Index.²⁶ Response choices were 'no difficulty', 'difficulty but no help', or 'received help'. Disability in BADL was dichotomised as 'yes' (i.e. participants reporting 'difficulty but no help' and those reporting 'received help' with one or more of the five BADL) or 'no' (i.e. participants reporting 'no difficulty' in all five BADL).

Quality of life (QoL)

Overall QoL was assessed with a single item: "How do you rate your current QoL?" Answers ranged from 'excellent' to 'very good', 'good', 'fair', and 'poor'. Corresponding response choices in the French version of the SF-36 were used.²⁷ A single global rating of QoL is a valid and sensible measure, as far as the purpose to assess QoL – in the broad sense – is concerned.²⁸ It may even be preferable to multidimensional scales,²⁹ which are more appropriate for a detailed QoL assessment. Unfavourable QoL was defined as an answer other than 'excellent' and 'very good' (i.e. 'good', 'fair', or 'poor'). In a recent study,³⁰ applying different cut-offs on the excellent-to-poor scale so as to define favourable QoL resulted in important changes in the type as well as in the number of significant QoL determinants. Dichotomizing QoL as being at least very good (i.e., 'excellent/very good' vs 'good/fair/poor') was the

BMJ Open

> only model that yielded significant determinants in every health, economic, and social dimensions. Therefore, this cut-off appears to best reflect the multidimensional nature of QoL.

Covariates

At the stage of study sampling and recruitment, the Residents' Registration Office provided information about residents' age, gender, canton of residence, and commune of residence. The latter was used to determine whether or not participants were living in the main city of the canton of Vaud (Lausanne) or the canton of Geneva (Geneva). Additional information was gathered by means of a postal questionnaire that provided information about living arrangement ('alone'; 'with others'), Swiss citizenship, ever having children, highest level of education achieved ('basic compulsory' [International Standard Classification of Education [ISCED³¹] level 0-2]; 'apprenticeship' [ISCED level 3]; 'post-compulsory' [ISCED level 4-8]), financial difficulties (defined as answering 'yes' to the question "Are you sometimes struggling to make ends meet?"), and the presence of depressive symptoms. The latter was defined as answering 'yes' to either of the two following questions of the Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders Procedure: "During the past month, have you often been bothered by 1) feeling down, depressed, or hopeless? 2) little interest or pleasure in doing things?". As compared with a standardised interview, these two questions had a sensitivity of 96% and a specificity of 57% in diagnosing depression.³² Education and financial difficulties served as indicators of the socioeconomic status.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were stratified by gender because of the expected differences in QoL and in the prevalence of disability and chronic conditions between women and men.^{33 34} Sampling weights were used to account for unequal selection probabilities and response.

BMJ Open

The association between chronic symptoms and disability in BADL was assessed using multiple logistic regression analyses, which were conducted separately for each chronic symptom. Models were adjusted for covariates (model 1), and additionally for the number of other chronic symptoms (model 2). The association between each chronic symptom and unfavourable QoL was also assessed using model 1 and model 2. A third model was additionally adjusted for disability in BADL to assess the association between each chronic symptom and unfavourable QoL over and above the contribution of disability in BADL (model 3). Multiple logistic regression models were also used to assess the association between the number of chronic symptoms and both disability in BADL and unfavourable QoL (model 1 only). Dose-response relationship was assessed by entering the number of chronic symptoms into model 1 as a continuous variable. All models were rerun by combining women and men to test interactions between gender and chronic symptoms.

To estimate the proportion of disability in BADL or unfavourable QoL that may be hypothetically reduced by the elimination of each chronic symptoms, population attributable fraction (PAF) was calculated using the user-written command 'punaf' in Stata.³⁵ After fitting a logistic regression (model 2), this command follows the method recommended by Greenland and Drescher for cohort and cross-sectional studies³⁶ to calculate the PAF and its 95% confidence interval (CI).

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014485 on 17 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Because missing values were likely to be missing at random, they were imputed using multiple imputations with chained equations.³⁷ Fifty imputation datasets were created. PAF was calculated using complete-case analysis, because the 'punaf' command does not support multiple imputations. Analyses were conducted using Stata 14.0 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX). Significance was set at P<0.05.

Socioeconomic and demographic characteristics

Table 1 shows the characteristics of participants. Compared to men, women were older, were more often living in a main city, and reported higher rates of Swiss citizenship, 'alone' living arrangement and 'no' children status, a lower level of education, a higher rate of depressive symptoms, and a less favourable QoL (all P \leq 0.001). There was no significant gender difference in the canton of residence, financial difficulties, and disability in BADL.

Table 1. Characteristics of Study Participants (Weighted Percentages)

	Total sample (n=5,300)	Women (n=2,781)	Men (n=2,519)	P-value ^a
Age (n=5,300)				
68-72 years	32.6%	30.7%	35.1%	0.001
73-77 years	24.9%	23.7%	26.4%	
78-99 years	42.6%	45.6%	38.5%	
Canton of residence (n=5,300)				
Geneva	41.5%	41.9%	41.0%	0.641
Vaud	58.5%	58.1%	59.0%	
Main city (n=5,300)				
No	71.4%	69.3%	74.3%	0.001
Yes	28.6%	30.7%	25.7%	
Swiss citizenship (n=5,230)				
No	13.4%	11.4%	16.1%	<0.001
Yes	86.6%	88.6%	83.9%	
Living arrangement (n=5,228)				
Alone	37.6%	52.7%	17.0%	< 0.001
With others	62.4%	47.3%	83.0%	
Ever having children (n=5,232)				
No	15.2%	17.5%	11.9%	< 0.001
Yes	84.8%	82.5%	88.1%	

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Table 1.(Continued)

	Total sample (n=5,300)	Women (n=2,781)	Men (n=2,519)	P-value ^a
Education (n=5,203)				
Basic compulsory	24.9%	31.6%	15.7%	<0.001
Apprenticeship	35.5%	33.9%	37.7%	
Post-compulsory	39.6%	34.5%	46.7%	
Financial difficulties (n=4,7	95)			
No	85.9%	84.8%	87.5%	0.059
Yes	14.1%	15.8%	12.5%	
Depressive symptoms (n=5	5,160)			
No	73.2%	68.8%	79.1%	< 0.001
Yes	26.8%	31.2%	20.9%	
Disability in BADL (n=5,202	:)			
No	73.9%	72.6%	75.6%	0.100
Yes	26.1%	27.4%	24.4%	
Quality of life (n=4,847)				
Favourable	49.5%	46.7%	53.4%	<0.001
Unfavourable	50.5%	53.3%	46.6%	

^a logistic regression

Prevalence of chronic symptoms

Most pairwise associations between chronic symptoms were significant (see online supplementary table S1). In other words, participants reporting a given chronic symptom were more likely to report almost any other chronic symptom. As indicated in table 2, joint pain was the most prevalent chronic symptom in both women (51.5%) and men (42.5%). At the other end of the spectrum, the prevalence of chest pain was only around 3% regardless of gender. Four chronic symptoms (i.e. mental impairments, skin problems, persistent cough, and chest pain) did not display any significant gender difference. By contrast, impaired sexual life was dramatically more prevalent in men (33.9%) than in women (4.5%). All seven remaining chronic symptoms (i.e. joint pain, back pain, stomach/intestine problems, urinary

incontinence, dyspnea, swollen legs, and dizziness/vertigo) were more prevalent in women than in men. This translated in a higher proportion of women than men with 3, 4 or \geq 5 chronic symptoms (P=0.002).

	Total sample (n=5,191)	Women (n=2,737)	Men (n=2,454)	P-value ^a
Joint pain	47.7% (45.7-49.7)	51.5% (48.6-54.3)	42.5% (39.9-45.1)	<0.001
Back pain	34.7% (32.8-36.5)	38.2% (35.4-40.9)	29.8% (27.4-32.2)	<0.001
Stomach/intestine problems	22.1% (20.5-23.8)	26.3% (23.8-28.9)	16.4% (14.4-18.3)	<0.001
Mental impairments	18.3% (16.7-19.9)	19.0% (16.8-21.3)	17.3% (15.3-19.3)	0.288
Urinary incontinence	17.4% (15.8-19.0)	21.4% (19.0-23.8)	11.9% (10.2-13.7)	<0.001
Impaired sexual life	16.9% (15.6-18.1)	4.5% (3.4-5.6)	33.9% (31.4-36.3)	<0.001
Dyspnea	16.9% (15.4-18.5)	18.4% (16.2-20.7)	14.9% (13.0-16.8)	0.022
Swollen legs	13.4% (12.0-14.7)	14.8% (12.8-16.9)	11.3% (9.6-13.0)	0.010
Dizziness/vertigo	10.3% (9.0-11.6)	12.2% (10.2-14.1)	7.7% (6.3-9.1)	<0.001
Skin problems	9.8% (8.6-11.0)	9.3% (7.6-11.0)	10.5% (8.9-12.2)	0.285
Persistent cough	4.6% (3.8-5.4)	5.0% (3.8-6.1)	4.1% (3.0-5.1)	0.285
Chest pain	3.1% (2.3-3.8)	3.3% (2.2-4.4)	2.7% (1.9-3.6)	0.459
Number of chronic symptoms				
0	17.1% (15.7-18.6)	15.9% (13.9-17.8)	18.9% (16.8-21.0)	0.002
1	24.9% (23.2-26.6)	24.1% (21.7-26.5)	26.0% (23.7-28.3)	
2	22.0% (20.3-23.6)	21.9% (19.5-24.2)	22.1% (19.9-24.4)	
3	16.8% (15.3-18.4)	17.6% (15.4-19.8)	15.8% (13.8-17.7)	
4	9.3% (8.2-10.5)	9.8% (8.1-11.5)	8.7% (7.2-10.2)	
≥5	9.8% (8.6-11.1)	10.8% (8.9-12.7)	8.5% (7.0-10.0)	

Data are weighted prevalence (95% confidence intervals).

^a logistic regression

Associations between chronic symptoms and disability in BADL

Table 3 indicates the gender-specific associations between chronic symptoms and disability in BADL. In model 1 – adjusted for socioeconomic and demographic characteristics – all chronic symptoms were significantly associated with disability in BADL, except impaired sexual life in women, and impaired sexual life, skin problems, and persistent cough in men. These associations were attenuated in model 2, further adjusted for the number of other chronic symptoms. Several chronic symptoms remained significantly associated with disability in BADL in both genders (i.e. joint pain, back pain, urinary incontinence, and swollen legs), or only in women (i.e. dizziness/vertigo [OR=1.7; P=0.019] and skin problems [OR=2.8; P<0.001]) or men (i.e. mental impairments [OR=1.7; P=0.003]). In both women and men, the number of chronic symptoms was significantly associated with disability in BADL. When the number of chronic symptoms was entered into model 1 as a continuous variable (not indicated in table 3), the positive dose–response relationship between the number of chronic symptoms and disability in BADL was confirmed in both women (OR=1.57; P<0.001) and men (OR=1.43; P<0.001). The interaction between gender and skin problems was significant in both model 1 (P=0.001) and model 2 (P<0.001), thereby indicating a significant association between skin problems and disability in BADL restricted to women. All other interactions between gender and chronic symptoms were ont significant.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014485 on 17 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
10
10
19
20
21
$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 23 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 12 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 27 \\ 28 \\ 29 \\ 03 \\ 12 \\ 33 \\ 34 \\ 35 \\ 36 \\ 37 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 32 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 26 \\ 27 \\ 28 \\ 29 \\ 03 \\ 12 \\ 33 \\ 34 \\ 35 \\ 36 \\ 37 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 32 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 26 \\ 27 \\ 28 \\ 29 \\ 03 \\ 12 \\ 33 \\ 34 \\ 35 \\ 36 \\ 37 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 $
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
24
25
30
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
49 50
50 51
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1

Chronic symptoms	Women	(n=2,318)	Men (n=2,132)			
	Model 1 ^ª	Model 2 ^ª	Model 1 ^ª	Model 2 ^ª		
Joint pain	1.8 ***	1.4 *	2.4 ***	2.1 ***		
Back pain	2.2 ***	1.7 **	2.3 ***	2.0 ***		
Stomach/intestine problems	1.5 *	1.1	1.7 **	1.3		
Mental impairments	1.9 **	1.5	2.0 ***	1.7 **		
Urinary incontinence	2.2 ***	1.8 **	2.5 ***	1.9 **		
Impaired sexual life	1.5	1.2	1.0	0.9		
Dyspnea	2.0 ***	1.4	1.9 ***	1.4		
Swollen legs	3.0 ***	2.2 ***	2.5 ***	1.7 *		
Dizziness/vertigo	2.4 ***	1.7 *	1.8 *	1.2		
Skin problems	3.2 ***	2.8 ***	1.0	0.8		
Persistent cough	1.8 *	1.2	1.3	0.9		
Chest pain	2.9 **	1.7	2.0 *	1.1		
Number of chronic symptoms						
0	Ref.		Ref.			
1	2.0 *		4.3 ***			
2	2.6 **		9.0 ***			
3	3.9 ***		10.1 ***			
4	4.8 ***		12.3 ***			
≥5	20.1 ***		18.0 ***			

Table 3. Associations Between Chronic Symptoms and Disability in BADL (Odds Ratios)

^a logistic regression; Model 1: adjusted for age, canton of residence, main city, Swiss citizenship, living arrangement, children, education, financial difficulties, and depressive symptoms; Model 2: adjusted for covariates in Model 1 and the number of other chronic symptoms.

* P<0.05; ** P<0.01; *** P<0.001; BADL=basic activities of daily living

Associations between chronic symptoms and unfavourable QoL

As indicated in table 4 (model 1), half of chronic symptoms were significantly associated with unfavourable QoL in women (i.e. joint pain, back pain, stomach/intestine problems, dyspnea, swollen legs, and skin problems). In men, all but two chronic symptoms (impaired sexual life and skin problems) were significantly associated with unfavourable QoL. After adjusting for the number of other chronic symptoms (model 2), all associations were attenuated. Two chronic symptoms in women (i.e. joint pain and back pain) and five chronic symptoms in men (i.e. joint pain, mental impairments, dyspnea, persistent cough, and chest pain) remained significantly associated with unfavourable QoL. Further adjustment for disability in BADL (model 3) only slightly changed the associations obtained in model 2. In both women and men, the number of chronic symptoms was significantly associated with unfavourable QoL. Entering the number of chronic symptoms into model 1 as a continuous variable (not indicated in table 4) confirmed the positive dose-response relationship between the number of chronic symptoms and unfavourable QoL in both women (OR=1.32; P<0.001) and men (OR=1.30; P<0.001). The interaction between gender and persistent cough was significant in model 1 (P=0.033), model 2 (P=0.021), and model 3 (P=0.018), thereby indicating a significant association between persistent cough and unfavourable QoL restricted to men. All other interactions between gender and chronic symptoms were not significant.

2
3
4
5
67
/ 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16 17
18
19
20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 12 3 4 15 16 7 8 9 20 1 22 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 12 3 4 15 16 7 8 9 20 1 22 3 24 25 26 7 8 9 30 1 32 3 34 35 6 37 8 9 20 1 2 2 3 2 4 5 6 7 8 9 30 1 3 2 3 3 4 3 5 6 3 7 8 9 20 1 2 2 3 2 4 5 6 7 8 9 30 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 2 3 2 4 5 6 7 8 9 30 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 2 3 2 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 2 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 2 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 2 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 2 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 1 2 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
22
23
24
25
20 27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44 45
46
47
45 46 47 48
49
50
51
52
つづ 5/
55 55
56
57
50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59
59
60

1

Table 4.	Associations Between Chronic Symptoms and Unfavourable QoL (Odds Ratios)
----------	--

Chronic symptoms	W	omen (n=2,1	.44)	Men (n=1,973)				
	Model 1 ^ª	Model 2 ^a	Model 3 ^ª	Model 1 ^ª	Model 2 ^a	Model 3 ^ª		
Joint pain	1.8 ***	1.5 **	1.5 **	1.5 **	1.3 *	1.2		
Back pain	1.9 ***	1.7 ***	1.6 **	1.4 *	1.2	1.1		
Stomach/intestine problems	1.5 *	1.3	1.2	1.5 *	1.2	1.2		
Mental impairments	1.2	1.0	0.9	1.9 **	1.6 *	1.5 *		
Urinary incontinence	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.7 *	1.4	1.3		
Impaired sexual life	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.0		
Dyspnea	1.6 *	1.3	1.3	2.0 ***	1.7 **	1.6 *		
Swollen legs	2.0 **	1.5	1.4	1.6 *	1.2	1.1		
Dizziness/vertigo	1.6	1.2	1.1	1.8 *	1.4	1.3		
Skin problems	1.7 *	1.5	1.3	1.0	0.9	0.9		
Persistent cough	1.4	1.1	1.1	3.5 ***	3.0 **	3.1 **		
Chest pain	1.8	1.3	1.2	5.4 **	3.9 **	4.2 **		
Number of chronic symptoms								
0	Ref.			Ref.				
1	1.4			1.5 *				
2	2.4 ***			1.7 **				
3	3.3 ***			2.4 ***				
4	2.9 ***			2.8 ***				
≥5	5.7 ***			5.0 ***) ***			

^a logistic regression; Model 1: adjusted for age, canton of residence, main city, Swiss citizenship, living arrangement, children, education, financial difficulties, and depressive symptoms; Model 2: adjusted for covariates in Model 1 and the number of other chronic symptoms; Model 3: adjusted for covariates in Model 2 and disability in basic activities of daily living.

* P<0.05; ** P<0.01; *** P<0.001; QoL=quality of life

BMJ Open

Population attributable fraction

Figure 1 presents chronic symptoms in the order of their contribution to disability in BADL and unfavourable QoL in the total sample. Regarding disability in BADL, the PAF was significant in half of chronic symptoms, although these were not the same in women and men. In both genders, however, joint pain and back pain were the main contributors to disability in BADL. Regarding unfavourable QoL, the most important contributors in women were also joint pain (7.7%; P=0.012) and back pain (8.3%; P<0.001), whereas the top two factors in men were joint pain (6.5%; P=0.019) and mental impairments but . (3.4%; P=0.012). Specifically in men, a small but statistically significant proportion of unfavourable QoL may be hypothetically reduced by the elimination of persistent cough (1.7%; P=0.001) and chest pain (1.4%; P<0.001).

Main findings

In this representative sample of community-dwelling older people, less than one person out of five did not report any chronic symptom and more than half reported multiple chronic symptoms. This observation is largely compatible with the increasingly high frequency of multimorbidity that characterises older age.²⁰ From a public health perspective, musculoskeletal symptoms (i.e. joint pain and back pain) were the most burdensome chronic symptoms due to both their high prevalence and their significant interference with BADL and QoL. Substantial gender differences were observed in the prevalence of chronic symptoms, as well as their associations with, and contribution to disability in BADL and unfavourable QoL.

Prevalence of chronic symptoms

Women reported a higher number of chronic symptoms than men did. This confirms and extends the gender difference reported in previous studies that did not focus solely on chronic symptoms.^{4 5 7 8 38} By contrast, Hellström et al. did not report any gender difference in the number of symptoms.³⁹ In their study, Ladwig et al. suggest that the gender gap may be mediated by a lower socioeconomic status and higher levels of chronic distress in women.⁷ The present study pointed to another striking gender difference, i.e. the prevalence of impaired sexual life, which was the second most frequently reported chronic symptom in men, but the second least frequently reported one in women. Interestingly, while none of the aforementioned studies assessed impaired sexual life, data from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing recently indicated that the percentage of individuals aged 70 or above who reported concerns about, or dissatisfaction with, their overall sex life was around 20% in men but only 5% in women.⁴⁰

In the present study joint pain was the most prevalent chronic symptom in both genders. Back pain ranked second in women and third in men. This is consistent with previous population-based studies reporting musculoskeletal symptoms as the most prevalent symptoms^{38 39} or among the most prevalent symptoms^{4 5 8} in older adults.

Associations between chronic symptoms and disability in BADL

Importantly, the present study indicates that the accumulation of several chronic symptoms is associated with disability in BADL. Once the number of other chronic symptoms have been accounted for, several chronic symptoms (i.e. stomach/intestine problems, dyspnea, and chest pain in both women and men) lose their association with disability in BADL. Since the majority of participants (58%) reported multiple chronic symptoms, these findings question the single-disease approach that still prevails in many health care systems. As Barnett et al. underlined in the context of multimorbidity,²⁰ a comprehensive, patient-centred approach would promote a more efficient coordination of care.

Interestingly, the associations between each chronic symptom and disability in BADL were generally consistent across gender. Nevertheless, skin problems showed the strongest association with disability in BADL in women, whereas this association was not significant in men, despite a similar prevalence of the symptom. Yet it is not clear whether this difference is due to a higher severity of skin problems in women, divergent types of skin diseases in women and men,⁴¹ or gender-specific interference of skin problems with daily life.

Associations between chronic symptoms and unfavourable QoL

Persistent cough and chest pain were strongly associated with unfavourable QoL in men, whereas these associations were not significant in women. While the interaction between gender and persistent cough was significant, the lack of a significant interaction between gender and chest pain may be due to the

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014485 on 17 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

low prevalence of chest pain and the resulting lack of statistical power. In a previous population-based study,⁴² chronic persistent cough was also associated with impairments in health-related QoL, even though older women and men were not analyzed separately. Similarly, QoL was found to be worse among patients with chest pain compared with healthy controls,⁴³ with significant gender differences in the clinical characteristics of chest pain.⁴⁴ However, this issue has not been specifically addressed in older people. The present study points to gender-specific associations between cardiopulmonary symptoms (i.e. persistent cough and chest pain) and QoL in older women and men. Possible explanations include gender differences in coping strategies, and clinical characteristics (including severity) of cardiopulmonary symptoms. For instance, Robb et al. reported gender differences in coping with functional disability in older married couples.⁴⁵ Whereas neuroticism was negatively associated with subjective well-being in both husbands and wives, extraversion and social support were linked to subjective well-being only in husbands.

Population attributable fraction

The contribution of chronic symptoms to disability in BADL was about twice as high as that to unfavourable QoL. The multidimentional nature of QoL, which encompasses health as well as factors not directly related to health (e.g. material resources, feeling of safety, close entourage), may account for that contrast.²² Musculoskeletal chronic symptoms were the strongest contributors to disability in BADL. Indeed, interventions targeting prevention of joint pain may reduce disability in BADL by up to 14% in women and 22% in men, and interventions targeting prevention of back pain may reduce disability in BADL by up to 13% in women and 17% in men. Furthermore, musculoskeletal chronic symptoms strongly contributed to unfavourable QoL, particularly in women. By contrast, preventing chest pain or persistent cough would reduce unfavourable QoL by up to merely 2% in men only. Despite their high

BMJ Open

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014485 on 17 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

interference with daily life at the patient level, chest pain and persistent cough are not in the front line of preventive actions expecting a huge public health impact.

Strengths and limitations of the study

This study is based on a representative sample of community-dwelling older men and women. Furthermore, data from the Lc65+ allowed to adjusting for a substantial number of potential confounders. The present study also has some limitations. First, it focused on 14 chronic symptoms, whereas other studies have considered other ones, such as headache, tiredness, impaired hearing/sight, and sleeping problems.^{18 19 39} Second, each chronic symptom was recorded as present or absent, without attempting to assess severity. Finally, the study's cross-sectional design precludes any causal inference.

Conclusion

In older people, multiple chronic symptoms are the rule rather than the exception. Musculoskeletal chronic symptoms account for a large proportion of the whole chronic symptom iceberg. Due to both their high prevalence and their significant associations with disability and QoL, joint pain and back pain are good targets for preventive interventions seeking to reduce the burden of age-related disability and impairment in QoL.

 BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014485 on 17 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank all study participants for their involvement, and S. Fustinoni for providing statistical advice.

FOOTNOTES

Contributors. YH did all the statistical analyses and drafted the manuscript. YH and BSE had the idea of the paper and contributed to the interpretation of data. BSE contributed to the conception of the questionnaire. BSE and IG contributed to the data collection. BSE, CB, IG, NR, RG and MD contributed to the critical review of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the study concept and design, and approved the final version.

Funding. This work was awarded a prize by the Leenaards Foundation. The funding source had no role in the study design; in the data collection, analysis, and interpretation; in the preparation of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Competing interests. The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethics approval. The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committees of the Faculty of Biology and Medicine of the University of Lausanne (19/04), and Geneva University Hospitals (11-154).

Data sharing: No additional data

REFERENCES

- 1. Kroenke K. A practical and evidence-based approach to common symptoms: a narrative review. *Ann Intern Med* 2014;161:579-86.
- 2. Last JM, Adelaide DP. The iceberg: 'completing the clinical picture' in general practice. 1963. *Int J Epidemiol* 2013;42:1608-13.
- 3. Verbrugge LM, Ascione FJ. Exploring the iceberg. Common symptoms and how people care for them. *Med Care* 1987;25:539-69.
- 4. Elnegaard S, Andersen RS, Pedersen AF, et al. Self-reported symptoms and healthcare seeking in the general population--exploring "The Symptom Iceberg". *BMC Public Health* 2015;15:685.
- 5. McAteer A, Elliott AM, Hannaford PC. Ascertaining the size of the symptom iceberg in a UK-wide community-based survey. *Br J Gen Pract* 2011;61:e1-11.
- 6. Elliott AM, McAteer A, Hannaford PC. Revisiting the symptom iceberg in today's primary care: results from a UK population survey. *BMC Fam Pract* 2011;12:16.
- 7. Ladwig KH, Marten-Mittag B, Formanek B, et al. Gender differences of symptom reporting and medical health care utilization in the German population. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2000;16:511-8.
- 8. Tibblin G, Bengtsson C, Furunes B, et al. Symptoms by age and sex. The population studies of men and women in Gothenburg, Sweden. *Scand J Prim Health Care* 1990;8:9-17.
- 9. Krantz G, Ostergren PO. Common symptoms in middle aged women: their relation to employment status, psychosocial work conditions and social support in a Swedish setting. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2000;54:192-9.
- 10. Bardel A, Wallander MA, Wedel H, et al. Age-specific symptom prevalence in women 35-64 years old: a population-based study. *BMC Public Health* 2009;9:37.
- 11. Edmond SL, Felson DT. Prevalence of back symptoms in elders. J Rheumatol 2000;27:220-5.
- 12. Salazar R, Royall DR, Palmer RF. Neuropsychiatric symptoms in community-dwelling Mexican-Americans: results from the Hispanic Established Population for Epidemiological Study of the Elderly (HEPESE) study. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2015;30:300-7.
- 13. Forlani M, Morri M, Belvederi Murri M, et al. Anxiety symptoms in 74+ community-dwelling elderly: associations with physical morbidity, depression and alcohol consumption. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e89859.
- 14. Stenzelius K, Westergren A, Mattiasson A, et al. Older women and men with urinary symptoms. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2006;43:249-65.
- 15. Morgan R, Pendleton N, Clague JE, et al. Older people's perceptions about symptoms. *Br J Gen Pract* 1997;47:427-30.
- 16. Brody EM, Kleban MH. Physical and mental health symptoms of older people: who do they tell? *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1981;29:442-9.
- 17. Brody EM, Kleban MH, Moles E. What older people do about their day-to-day mental and physical health symptoms. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1983;31:489-98.
- 18. Whitson HE, Sanders LL, Pieper CF, et al. Correlation between symptoms and function in older adults with comorbidity. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2009;57:676-82.
- 19. Walke LM, Gallo WT, Tinetti ME, et al. The burden of symptoms among community-dwelling older persons with advanced chronic disease. *Arch Intern Med* 2004;164:2321-4.

20. Barnett K, Mercer SW, Norbury M, et al. Epidemiology of multimorbidity and implications for health care, research, and medical education: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet* 2012;380:37-43.

- 21. Santos-Eggimann B, Karmaniola A, Seematter-Bagnoud L, et al. The Lausanne cohort Lc65+: a population-based prospective study of the manifestations, determinants and outcomes of frailty. *BMC Geriatr* 2008;8:20.
- 22. Henchoz Y, Meylan L, Goy R, et al. Domains of importance to the quality of life of older people from two Swiss regions. *Age Ageing* 2015;44:979-85.
- 23. Alcser KH, Benson G, Börsch-Supan A, et al. *The Survey of Health, Aging, and Retirement in Europe—Methodology*. Manheim: Mannheim Research Institute for the Economics of Aging, 2005, p. 175.
- 24. Katz S, Downs TD, Cash HR, et al. Progress in development of the index of ADL. *Gerontologist* 1970;10:20-30.
- 25. Hartigan I. A comparative review of the Katz ADL and the Barthel Index in assessing the activities of daily living of older people. *Int J Older People Nurs* 2007;2:204-12.
- 26. Buurman BM, van Munster BC, Korevaar JC, et al. Variability in measuring (instrumental) activities of daily living functioning and functional decline in hospitalized older medical patients: a systematic review. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2011;64:619-27.
- 27. Leplege A, Ecosse E, Verdier A, et al. The French SF-36 Health Survey: translation, cultural adaptation and preliminary psychometric evaluation. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1998;51:1013-23.
- 28. Sloan JA, Aaronson N, Cappelleri JC, et al. Assessing the clinical significance of single items relative to summated scores. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2002;77:479-87.
- 29. Gill TM, Feinstein AR. A critical appraisal of the quality of quality-of-life measurements. *JAMA* 1994;272:619-26.
- 30. Henchoz Y, Botrugno F, Cornaz S, et al. Determinants of quality of life in community-dwelling older adults: Comparing three cut-offs on the excellent-to-poor spectrum. *Qual Life Res* (in press).
- 31. UNESCO. International Standard Classification of Education. UNESCO Institute for Statistics 2011. Accessed 26 October 2016. <u>http://www.uis.unesco.org/Education/Pages/international-standard-classification-of-education.aspx</u>.
- 32. Whooley MA, Avins AL, Miranda J, et al. Case-finding instruments for depression. Two questions are as good as many. *J Gen Intern Med* 1997;12:439-45.
- 33. Alexandre Tda S, Corona LP, Nunes DP, et al. Gender differences in incidence and determinants of disability in activities of daily living among elderly individuals: SABE study. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2012;55:431-7.
- 34. Orfila F, Ferrer M, Lamarca R, et al. Gender differences in health-related quality of life among the elderly: the role of objective functional capacity and chronic conditions. *Soc Sci Med* 2006;63:2367-80.
- 35. Newson RB. Attributable and unattributable risks and fractions and other scenario comparisons. *Stata Journal* 2013;13:672-98.
- 36. Greenland S, Drescher K. Maximum likelihood estimation of the attributable fraction from logistic models. *Biometrics* 1993;49:865-72.
- 37. White IR, Royston P, Wood AM. Multiple imputation using chained equations: Issues and guidance for practice. *Stat Med* 2011;30:377-99.
- 38. Eckerblad J, Theander K, Ekdahl A, et al. Symptom burden in community-dwelling older people with multimorbidity: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Geriatr* 2015;15:1.

BMJ Open


- 39. Hellström Y, Persson G, Hallberg IR. Quality of life and symptoms among older people living at home. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 2004;48:584-93.
- 40. Lee DM, Nazroo J, O'Connor DB, et al. Sexual Health and Well-being Among Older Men and Women in England: Findings from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. *Arch Sex Behav* 2016;45:133-44.
- 41. Yalcin B, Tamer E, Toy GG, et al. The prevalence of skin diseases in the elderly: analysis of 4099 geriatric patients. *Int J Dermatol* 2006;45:672-6.
- 42. Song WJ, Morice AH, Kim MH, et al. Cough in the elderly population: relationships with multiple comorbidity. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e78081.
- 43. Webster R, Norman P, Goodacre S, et al. The prevalence and correlates of psychological outcomes in patients with acute non-cardiac chest pain: a systematic review. *Emerg Med J* 2012;29:267-73.
- 44. Bosner S, Haasenritter J, Hani MA, et al. Gender differences in presentation and diagnosis of chest pain in primary care. *BMC Fam Pract* 2009;10:79.
- 45. Robb C, Small B, Haley WE. Gender differences in coping with functional disability in older married couples: the role of personality and social resources. *Aging Ment Health* 2008;12:423-33.

FIGURE LEGEND

Figure 1

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014485 on 17 January 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.





BMJ	Open
-----	------

Supplementary Table S1. Pairwise Associations Between Chronic Symptoms, By Gender^a (Odds Ratios^b, N=5,300)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1 Joint pain	-	2.5 ***	2.1 ***	1.9 ***	1.3	3.5 ***	1.8 ***	1.8 ***	1.9 ***	2.1 ***	1.4 ***	1.8 ***	1.5 ***	2.3 ***
2 Back pain	3.0 ***	-	2.0 ***	1.5 ***	1.2	1.6 ***	1.4 ***	1.7 ***	1.0	1.9 ***	1.4 ***	2.1 ***	1.4 ***	1.8 ***
3 Chest pain	2.6 ***	2.0 ***	_	11.2 ***	4.2 ***	2.9 ***	2.6 ***	3.0 ***	2.3 **	4.3 ***	1.5	2.7 ***	1.4	1.5
4 Dyspnea	1.4 ***	1.7 ***	10.3 ***	_	4.0 ***	3.5 ***	1.9 ***	2.1 ***	1.8 ***	2.3 ***	1.6 ***	1.6 ***	2.1 ***	1.3
5 Persistent cough	0.9	1.3 *	3.6 ***	3.3 ***	-	2.0 ***	2.4 ***	1.6 **	3.1 ***	1.9 ***	1.5 *	2.2 ***	2.2 ***	1.0
6 Swollen legs	2.8 ***	1.3 *	2.0 **	2.5 ***	1.3	_	1.3 *	2.2 ***	1.5 *	2.4 ***	2.1 ***	1.4 ***	2.0 ***	1.3
7 Memory gaps	1.5 ***	1.7 ***	2.3 ***	1.6 ***	1.3	1.6 ***	-	7.9 ***	7.3 ***	2.9 ***	2.2 ***	2.9 ***	1.9 ***	1.7 **
8 Difficulty concentrating	2.0 ***	1.7 ***	3.0 ***	1.4 *	1.9 **	1.0	13.1 ***	-	14.0 ***	2.2 ***	1.7 ***	1.9 ***	2.2 ***	2.4 ***
9 Difficulty making decisions	1.3 *	1.3 *	1.8 *	1.1	0.9	2.0 ***	10.8 ***	17.6 ***	-	1.7 **	2.3 ***	2.0 ***	2.0 ***	3.4 ***
10 Dizziness/vertigo	1.9 ***	2.6 ***	4.5 ***	2.3 ***	3.3 ***	2.0 ***	3.1 ***	3.6 ***	2.5 ***	_	2.0 ***	2.4 ***	1.2 *	1.6 *
11 Skin problems	1.7 ***	1.1	1.7 *	1.5 ***	1.5 *	2.6 ***	2.1 ***	2.1 ***	2.7 ***	2.7 ***	-	1.5 ***	1.8 ***	2.2 ***
12 Stomach/intestine problems	2.0 ***	2.3 ***	2.9 ***	2.0 ***	2.4 ***	1.7 ***	2.2 ***	2.1 ***	1.7 ***	3.3 ***	1.7 ***	-	1.4 ***	1.6 **
13 Urinary incontinence	1.7 ***	1.7 ***	2.9 ***	1.5 **	2.2 ***	2.8 ***	1.6 ***	1.7 ***	1.4 *	3.0 ***	1.4 *	2.1 ***	_	2.2 ***
14 Impaired sexual life	1.3 ***	1.5 ***	1.7 **	1.6 ***	0.7 *	1.6 ***	1.6 ***	1.4 **	1.9 ***	1.8 ***	1.6 ***	1.6 ***	2.2 ***	-

* P<0.05; ** P<0.01; *** P<0.001

^a Odds ratios for women and men are reported above and below the diagonal, respectively.

^b adjusted for age, canton of residence, main city, Swiss citizenship, living arrangement, children, education, and financial situation.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	(<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract The study is a cross-sectional study (see Title page 1 and Abstract page 2).
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found
		We believe that the abstract provides sufficient details on the methodology used, as well as the interpretation of the results (see page 2).
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported The rationale for the study and the existing literature is adequately summarized in the introduction (see pages 4-5).
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses The aim of the study is clearly stated (see page 5).
Methods		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper The study design is described in details in the section 'Study design and population' (see page 6).
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection These details are provided in the section 'Study design and population' (see page 6)
Participants	6	 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants These details are provided in the section 'Study design and population' (see page 6)
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effec modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable All variables are clearly defined in the section 'Measures' (see pages 6-8).
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of
measurement		assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods of assessment, as well as psychometric properties of the tools, are described in details in the section 'Measures' (see pages 6-8).
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Analyses were stratified by gender because of expected differences in QoL and in the prevalence of disability and chronic conditions between women and men.
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at The sample size is described in the section 'Study design and population' (see page 6).

1 2 3	
4 5 6	
7 8 9 10	
11 12 13	
14 15 16 17	
$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 101 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 9 \\ 201 \\ 22 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 26 \\ 27 \\ 28 \\ 9 \\ 31 \\ 32 \\ 33 \\ 45 \\ 37 \\ 8 \\ 33 \\ 35 \\ 37 \\ 38 \\ 38 \\ 38 \\ 38 \\ 38 \\ 38 \\ 38$	
22 23 24	
25 26 27 28	
29 30 31 32	
33 34 35	
39	
40 41 42 43	
44 45 46	
47 48 49 50	
51 52 53 54	
55 56 57	
58 59 60	

Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why All variables were categorical.
Statistical methods	12	(<i>a</i>) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding All statistical methods are described in the section 'Statistical analysis' (see pages 8-9).
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions
		Analyses were conducted separately in women and men.
		Interactions between gender and chronic symptoms were tested (se
		pages 8-9).
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed
		Because missing values were likely to be missing at random, they
		were imputed using multiple imputations with chained equations.
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy
		Sampling weights were used to account for unequal selection
		probabilities and response.
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses
		No sensitivity analysis was deemed necessary.
Results		
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially
		eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study,
		completing follow-up, and analysed
		These details are provided in the section 'Study design and
		population' (see page 6) and more in depth in a reference provided
		in this paragraph.
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage
		These details are provided in a reference provided in the section
		'Study design and population' (see page 6).
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram
		This was not deemed necessary.
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and
		information on exposures and potential confounders
		See Table 1.
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest
		For each variable, the number of participants with available data is
		indicated in parentheses in the Tables.
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures
		There are 2 outcomes (disability in basic activities of daily living,
		Quality of life), whose associations with chronic symptoms are
		reported in Table 3 and Table 4, respectively.
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and
		their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were
		adjusted for and why they were included
		Logistic regression models were adjusted for potential confounders
		In a separate model, analyses were additionally adjusted for the
		number of other chronic symptoms (see page 8).

BMJ Open

		(<i>b</i>) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized Not applicable.
		 (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period Not applicable.
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses The results of analyses of subgroups and interactions are reported in Tables and in the text (page 13, page 15), respectively.
Discussion		
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives
		This has been done at the beginning of the discussion (see page 18).
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or
		imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias
		Three potential limitations have been discussed (see page 21).
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations,
		multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence
		Caution has been taken when interpreting the results.
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results
		Participants are representative of community-dwelling older men
		and women in two French-speaking Swiss regions (see page 21).
Other information		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if
		applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based
		The funding source has been indicated (see page 22).

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.