

Phase 1

INFORMATION ON RESEARCH OPPORTUNITIES IN SLaM – AN EVALUATION

FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE

1. Which South London and Maudsley service did you contact? (This information is for eligibility purposes only and will not be reported in our findings)

2. How did you contact the SLaM service?

In Person

By Phone

Other (please specify)

3. Did staff mention research projects you could take part in?

Yes

No

Not sure

4. Did staff talk to you about research registers, 'consent for contact', C4C, or something similar to this?

Yes

No

Not sure

5. Did staff tell you where you could get more information (about research) from?

Yes

No

Not sure

6. How did you feel when talking to staff about research participation?(Please tick all that apply)

- Confident
 - Anxious
 - Concerned
 - Embarrassed
 - Excited
 - Confused
 - Curious
 - Fearful
 - Angry
 - Neutral
 - Other (please specify)
-

For Questions 7-9, please tick one answer to indicate how much you agree with the following statements:

7. Staff understood my questions about research

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree nor Disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

8. Staff were helpful to me in answering my question(s) about research

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree nor Disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

9. Staff were well informed about research in the Trust

-
-
-
-
-

Strongly Agree

Agree

Neither Agree nor
Disagree

Disagree

Strongly Disagree

10. What other comments do you have about your conversation?

11. Have you been involved in research before? If so, what types?

12. Have you signed up to any research projects since?

13. Have you signed up to a research register (such as C4C / 'consent for contact') since speaking to staff about research?

Yes

No

14. How long have you known the member of staff you spoke with?

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION!

Phase 2a

C4C AUDIT FORM

Date:

Background Information		
CAG	<input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> F	<input type="checkbox"/> SU <input type="checkbox"/> Proxy
Age group	<input type="checkbox"/> under 18 <input type="checkbox"/> 19-29 <input type="checkbox"/> 30-39	

Contact with Researcher	
Have you been contacted by a researcher recently?	
Did you end up participating in the project?	
Did anyone from your care team contact you before the researcher did?	
Had you participated in research projects before registering with C4C?	
Was there anything you disliked about being contacted through the register?	
Was there anything you liked about being contacted this way?	

Assessment of C4C in operation					
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly Agree

Dealing with the researcher directly was a positive experience	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

Comments

Being on C4C allows me to choose which projects I want to participate in	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

Comments

Being on C4C allows me to be more informed about research	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

Comments

Final Evaluation

How do you think C4C could be improved? (think about what you liked and what you disliked about your experience)	
--	--

Phase 2b

C4C AUDIT FORM

Date:

Background Information						
Job Title	Band	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Principle	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
		M	KCL		Investigator	
Role (briefly list key responsibilities)						
Years of Recruitment Exp.	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3-	Which of the following recruitment methods have you used in the past?		Are you still using C4C?	When did you use C4C?	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Referral	<input type="checkbox"/> Advertising	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes		
Project Description (type, length, phases, question)						

Recruitment	
What were your recruitment criteria? (age, diagnosis, ethnicity, location, medication, etc)	
Are you still recruiting?	
Would you be able to provide recruitment statistics for your project?	
What percentage of participants was recruited from C4C?	
Were you given any training or assistance in using C4C? If so, what was it?	
Was C4C the primary method of recruitment?	
What other methods did you use?	

Did C4C work in pre-screening participants?	
Did anyone later prove unsuitable?	
Did you meet your recruitment target?	

C4C

How did you find out about C4C?	
---------------------------------	--

Overview of the Experience

SCALE: 1 (not at all) to 10 (very)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
How valuable was C4C to your project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments</i>										
How easy was it to use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments</i>										
How likely are you to use C4C again?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments</i>										
Would you use it again for a similar project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments</i>										
I received enough information about how to use C4C.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments</i>										
How reasonable was it to obtain access to the system?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments</i>										
How reasonable was it to maintain access to the system?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments</i>										
How useful was C4C to you?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments</i>										

Compared to other recruitment methods C4C

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly Agree
produced more participants who matched recruitment criteria	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments</i>					
was faster	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments</i>					
was more time-effective	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments</i>					
was worthwhile	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments</i>					
required a lot of effort	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments</i>					
is one I would recommend to a colleague	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments</i>					

Final Evaluation	
How could C4C be improved?	