BMJ Open Association of obesity and overweight with the prevalence of insulin resistance, pre-diabetes and clinical-biochemical characteristics among infertile Mexican women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a cross-sectional study

Enrique Reyes-Muñoz,¹ Carlos Ortega-González,¹ Nayeli Martínez-Cruz,¹ Lidia Arce-Sánchez,¹ Guadalupe Estrada-Gutierrez,² Carlos Moran,³ Ana Paola Sánchez-Serrano,⁴ Rodolfo Higareda-Sánchez,⁴ Julio Francisco de la Jara-Díaz⁴

To cite: Reyes-Muñoz E, Ortega-González C, Martínez-Cruz N, *et al.* Association of obesity and overweight with the prevalence of insulin resistance, pre-diabetes and clinical-biochemical characteristics among infertile Mexican women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 2016;6:e012107. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012107

► Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012107>).

Received 30 March 2016

Revised 30 June 2016

Accepted 1 July 2016

CrossMark

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Enrique Reyes Muñoz;
dr.enriquereyes@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Objective: To study the association of obesity and overweight with the prevalence of insulin resistance (IR), pre-diabetes and clinical-biochemical characteristics among infertile Mexican women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS).

Design: Retrospective cross-sectional study.

Setting: Level-three medical institution, an infertility clinic in Mexico City.

Participants: We included infertile Mexican women with diagnosis of PCOS according to the Rotterdam criteria: group 1 (n=83), normal weight (body mass index (BMI) 18.5–24.9 kg/m²); group 2 (n=217), overweight (BMI 25–29.9 kg/m²); and group 3 (n=238), obese (BMI ≥30 kg/m²).

Primary and secondary outcome measures: IR was determined by homeostatic model assessment (HOMA) >2.5 and pre-diabetes by fasting glucose between 5.6 and 6.9 mmol/L and/or glucose value between 7.8 and 11 mmol/L at 2 hours during an oral glucose tolerance test. We compared clinical-biochemical characteristics among groups.

Results: Prevalence of IR for groups 1, 2 and 3 was 19.3%, 56.2% and 78.2%; overweight and obesity increase the IR OR (CI 95%) to 5.3 (2.9 to 9.8) and 14.9 (8.0 to 28), respectively. Prevalence of pre-diabetes for groups 1, 2 and 3 was 7.2%, 17.5% and 31.5%; overweight and obesity increase the pre-diabetes OR (CI 95%) to 2.7 (1.1 to 6.7) and 5.9 (2.4 to 14), respectively. Acanthosis nigricans was more frequent in group 3 than group 1. Free Androgen Index (FAI) and thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) levels were lower in group 1 than in groups 2 and 3. Progesterone and sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) levels were higher in group 1 than in groups 2 and 3. Dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEA-S) was higher in group 1 than group 3.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the first study in Mexico and Latin America exploring the prevalence of insulin resistance (IR), pre-diabetes and clinical-biochemical characteristics among infertile women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), analysing separately normal-weight, overweight and obese women.
- We employed homeostatic model assessment (HOMA)-IR for IR diagnosis, a technique which is not the most appropriate for clinical practice in the evaluation of IR.
- The 75 g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) for diagnosis of pre-diabetes was used only when fasting glucose was >5.2 mmol/L at the first medical visit, a factor that could affect the real prevalence of pre-diabetes.
- As we included only women with PCOS and infertility, the results are not generalisable to patients with PCOS without infertility.

Conclusions: Obese and overweight infertile Mexican women with PCOS, attending to an infertility clinic, have a higher prevalence of IR and pre-diabetes compared with normal-weight women with PCOS. Therapeutic interventions should include those that improved metabolic functioning prior to attempting pregnancy in these groups of women.

INTRODUCTION

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is the most common endocrine disorder affecting

women of reproductive age.¹ The prevalence of PCOS is 4–7% in women of reproductive age using the National Institutes of Health criteria and up to 15–18% using the Rotterdam criteria.² PCOS is present in 6.6% of Mexican women.³ The aetiology of PCOS is not completely understood; however, one condition that correlates closely with the pathogenesis of PCOS is insulin resistance (IR), which is present in 50–75% of women with PCOS.^{4–8} IR is not included in the current diagnostic criteria for PCOS, though some clinicians assume that all women with PCOS exhibit some degree of IR and compensatory hyperinsulinemia.⁹

Another factor frequently identified among women with PCOS is overweight or obesity, with a reported prevalence ranging from 6% to 100% between different populations.² Although the mechanisms that link obesity to IR and endocrine abnormalities in women with PCOS are still controversial,^{2 6 9 10} the general consensus is that obese women with PCOS are insulin resistant.¹¹ In contrast, some studies have failed to demonstrate IR in slim women with PCOS.^{9 12}

IR prevalence rates ranging from 44% to 70% have been reported,^{13–16} but most studies did not analyse overweight/obese and normal-weight women separately. A recent systematic review² showed that only a few studies compared IR rates between these groups, and only one study compared pre-diabetes among obese, overweight and normal-weight women with PCOS. All of these studies do not provide data from Latin American population.

While many women with PCOS are overweight or obese, findings on the effects of excess weight on the clinical and biochemical characteristics of PCOS have been inconsistent. Some studies have reported that obese patients with PCOS have a higher prevalence of clinical manifestations such as hirsutism and menstrual disorders than non-obese women with PCOS; other studies, however, have not found these differences.¹⁰ Moreover, a few studies have explored IR and pre-diabetes in infertile women with PCOS categorised by body mass index (BMI).

Therefore, the aim of this study was to analyse the association of obesity and overweight with the prevalence of IR, pre-diabetes and clinical-biochemical characteristics among infertile Mexican women with PCOS.

METHODS

Participants

This retrospective cross-sectional study was approved by the Internal Review Board of the Instituto Nacional de Perinatología (register number 212250-42131). All women diagnosed with PCOS according to the Rotterdam criteria¹⁷ without other additional causes of infertility, treated at the Infertility Clinic of the Instituto Nacional de Perinatología in Mexico City from 2009 to 2013, were enrolled. All women were presented

sequentially during the study period and were recruited at the first visit; they were not exposed to metformin or lifestyle modification intervention 3 months prior to this study. Clinical hyperandrogenism was defined by the presence of hirsutism (Ferriman-Gallwey Score ≥ 8) or acne, and biochemical hyperandrogenism was defined by a Free Androgen Index (FAI) ≥ 4.5 ¹⁸ or by androstenedione ≥ 3.6 ng/mL. FAI was calculated by dividing total serum testosterone (nmol/L) by sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG, nmol/L) $\times 100$. Oligo-ovulation and anovulation were defined by a menstrual cycle length >35 days and serum progesterone <4 ng/dL as measured on days 21–23 after progesterone-induced bleeding. Polycystic ovary was defined by the presence on ultrasound of 12 or more follicles in each ovary measuring 2–9 mm in diameter and/or increased ovarian volume (>10 mL); ultrasound was performed intravaginally using a General Electric (GE) RIC5-9-D endocavity ultrasound probe 4–9 MHz (GE Voluson E8 machine). Women with thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) >5 mIU/mL, serum prolactin (PRL) >25 ng/mL and any other concomitant endocrinopathy such as a history of hypothyroidism, Cushing's syndrome, hyperprolactinemia, premature ovarian failure and late-onset or non-classic congenital adrenal hyperplasia were excluded. Three study groups were integrated according to BMI (BMI=weight (kg)/height (m²)): group 1, normal weight (BMI 18.5–24.9 kg/m²); group 2, overweight (BMI 25.0–29.9 kg/m²); and group 3, obese (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²).

Procedure

All women seen at the infertility clinic with diagnosis of PCOS were evaluated for endocrine ovarian function. The following variables at the first clinical visit were systematically recorded: weight, height, BMI, irregular cycle, Ferriman-Gallwey Score, acne, acanthosis, characteristics of ovaries and uterus measured by vaginal ultrasound. Fasting glucose was measured in the Vitros DT60 II Chemistry System (Ortho-Clinical Diagnostics, Tilburg, the Netherlands), sensitivity (S): 1.11 nmol/L and coefficient of variation (CV): 1.4–1.8%, and hormonal profile was measured by chemiluminescence (IMMULITE 2000 Immunoassay System) on days 3–5 of the menstrual cycle including insulin, S: 2 μ IU/mL and CV: 4.1–7.3%, luteinising hormone (LH) (S: 0.005 mIU/mL, CV: 6.1–26.3%), follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) (S: 0.1 mIU/mL, CV: 4.1–7.9%), oestradiol (S: 15 pg/mL, CV: 6.7–16.0%), total testosterone (S: 0.5 nmol/L, CV: 7.2–24.3%), and SHBG (S: 0.02 nmol/L, CV: 4.2–6.6%), androstenedione (S: 0.3 ng/mL, CV: 8.5–17.8%), dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEA-S) (S: 3 μ g/mL, CV: 9.3–13.0%), TSH (S: 0.004 μ IU/mL, CV: 5.1–12.5%), total triiodothyronine (total T3) (S: 19 ng/mL, CV: 5.3–15.0%), free thyroxine (free T4) (S: 0.11 ng/dL, CV: 3.6–10.2%) and PRL (S: 0.5 ng/mL, CV: 4.0–5.3%). Progesterone was determined by chemiluminescence (IMMULITE 2000 Immunoassay System) on days

21–23 of the natural or induced menstrual cycle, S: 0.1 ng/mL and CV: 9.5–21.7%. 17-Hydroxyprogesterone (17-OHP4) was determined by radioimmunoassay (Cobra II Gamma Counter), S: 0.08 ng/mL and CV: 7.4–14.2%. An oral glucose tolerance test with 75 g (75 g OGTT) was performed in all women with fasting glucose >5.2 nmol/L. Diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus was made with fasting glucose ≥ 7 mmol/L or glucose ≥ 11.1 mmol/L at 2 hours during the OGTT. Clinical and ultrasound data were obtained from clinical records, and biochemical data were obtained from the database of the Endocrinology Department. A written informed consent from participants is not required by the Internal Review Board at our Institution for retrospective studies.

Study variables

The first aim was to compare IR across the three study groups. IR was calculated using the homeostasis model assessment (HOMA)-IR equation: $\text{HOMA-IR} = \text{insulin (}\mu\text{U/mL)} \times \text{glucose (mg/dL)} / 405$.¹⁹ Women with a HOMA-IR value ≥ 2.5 were considered insulin resistant; this cut-off point represents the 90th centile of the normal-weight Mexican population as previously reported.²⁰ The second aim was to compare the prevalence of pre-diabetes as defined by the American Diabetes Association:²¹ fasting glucose ≥ 5.6 mmol/L or glucose level between 7.8 and 11 mmol/L at 2 hours during a 75-g OGTT. The final aim was to compare the phenotypic, clinical and hormonal characteristics among the three groups.

Sample size

The sample size was calculated to observe a minimum difference of 20% in the prevalence of IR between obese and normal-weight women with PCOS, with an α of 0.05 and β of 0.20. Although the number required was 82 women per group, we decided to include all women with PCOS during the period of study.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences Software (SPSS V.15,

Chicago, Illinois, USA). Continuous variables were expressed as mean $\pm$ SD and categorical variables as frequency and proportions, according to data distribution; one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni correction or the Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare continuous variables and the χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test to evaluate differences in proportions. Statistical significance was set to $p \leq 0.05$.

RESULTS

During the study period, 613 women with PCOS were sequentially identified; 75 of them were excluded because they did not fulfil the inclusion criteria (35 due to TSH >5 μ IU/mL, 21 due to PRL >25 ng/mL, 2 due to 17-OHP4 >10 ng/mL and 17 due to incomplete records). The remaining 538 women with PCOS were included in the study: group 1, normal weight ($n=83$; 15.4%); group 2, overweight ($n=217$; 40.3%); and group 3, obese ($n=238$; 44.3%).

The diagnostic criteria for PCOS and phenotype at the moment of PCOS diagnosis of women enrolled in this study are shown in tables 1 and 2, respectively. Hyperandrogenism was present in 85.5%, oligoanovulation in 94.4% and polycystic ovary in 60.2%. The most common phenotype was hyperandrogenism+oligoanovulation or anovulation+polycystic ovary, and the least frequent was hyperandrogenism+polycystic ovary.

With respect to clinical characteristics (table 3), there were no differences in age, hirsutism and acne among the study groups. Weight and BMI showed a significant increase from group 1 to group 3. Oligomenorrhoea was present in 69.5% of all women and showed a trend to be higher but not significant in obese women, and it was significantly higher in overweight women compared with normal-weight women. Frequency of acanthosis nigricans was higher in obese women than in normal and overweight women.

We next assessed the prevalence of IR, pre-diabetes and type 2 diabetes (table 4), finding that fasting glucose and insulin levels were significantly higher in overweight and obese women than in normal-weight women. Prevalence of IR (CI 95%) was 19.3% (12.2% to

Table 1 Criteria for the diagnosis of PCOS among infertile Mexican women

Criterion	Total n=538	Normal weight n=83	Overweight n=217	Normal vs overweight p*	Obese n=238	Normal vs obese p*
Hyperandrogenism	480 (89.2)	71 (85.5)	195 (89.9)	0.45	214 (89.2)	0.37
Only clinical	190 (35.3)	29 (34.9)	72 (33.2)	0.91	89 (37.4)	0.78
Only biochemical	414 (77)	60 (72.2)	172 (79.3)	0.25	182 (76.5)	0.54
Clinical and biochemical	120 (21.6)	18 (21.6)	48 (21.2)	0.94	54 (21.8)	0.97
Oligo-ovulation or anovulation	508 (94.4)	78 (94)	203 (93.5)	0.89	227 (95.4)	0.83
Polycystic ovary	409 (76)	65 (78.3)	162 (74.6)	0.67	182 (76.4)	0.94

Values expressed as frequency and (proportion).

* χ^2 test.

PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome.

Table 2 Phenotype at the moment of PCOS diagnosis among infertile Mexican women

Phenotype	Normal weight n=83	Overweight n=217	Normal vs overweight p*	Obese n=238	Normal vs obese p*
Hyperandrogenism+oligo-ovulation or anovulation+polycystic ovary	36 (43.3)	110 (50.6)	0.31	120 (50.4)	0.32
Hyperandrogenism+oligo-ovulation or anovulation	30 (36.1)	71 (32.7)	0.67	83 (34.9)	0.94
Hyperandrogenism+polycystic ovary	5 (6)	14 (6.5)	0.89	11 (4.6)	0.83
Oligo-ovulation or anovulation +polycystic ovary	12 (14.4)	22 (10.1)	0.39	24 (10.1)	0.37

Values expressed as frequency and (proportion).

* χ^2 test.

PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome.

29%), 56.7% (49.5% to 62.6%) and 78.2% (72.4% to 82.9%) for normal-weight, overweight and obese women, respectively. Prevalence significantly increased from normal-weight to obese women, with a total prevalence of 60.2% (CI 95% 55.9% to 64.3%). Prevalence of pre-diabetes (CI 95%) was 7.2% (2.9% to 15.6%), 17.5% (12.8% to 23.3%) and 31.5% (25.7% to 37.8%) for normal-weight, overweight and obese women, respectively. Pre-diabetes was significantly higher in overweight and obese than in normal-weight women. There were no differences in the prevalence of type 2 diabetes among the three groups. There were positive correlations between BMI and (1) IR, (2) pre-diabetes and (3) type 2 diabetes mellitus ($r=0.38$, $p=0.0001$; $r=0.24$, $p=0.0001$; and $r=0.08$, $p=0.03$, respectively). No significant correlations for age and hyperandrogenism (clinical and/or biochemical hyperandrogenism) with IR, pre-diabetes and diabetes were observed. However, positive correlations among androstenedione, FAI and biochemical hyperandrogenism with HOMA-IR ($r=0.15$, $p=0.001$; $r=0.19$, $p=0.0001$; and $r=0.09$, $p=0.02$, respectively) were found.

We assessed fasting insulin, fasting glucose, HOMA-IR and the prevalence of IR, pre-diabetes and type 2 diabetes according to the phenotype (table 5). There were higher fasting insulin and HOMA-IR among women with phenotypes that included hyperandrogenism

+oligoanovulation with or without polycystic ovary than women with hyperandrogenism and polycystic ovary phenotype. Although a higher prevalence of IR and pre-diabetes among groups with hyperandrogenism+oligoanovulation than with hyperandrogenism+polycystic ovary phenotype was observed, they were not statistically different.

Regarding biochemical characteristics, there were no differences in levels of LH, FSH, LH/FSH, oestradiol, PRL, 17-OHP4, total testosterone and androstenedione among the three groups (table 6). Progesterone levels were significantly lower in overweight and obese women than in normal-weight women. The frequency of progesterone levels <4 ng/dL was 84.3% in normal-weight women, 91.2% in overweight women and 94.1% in obese women. This frequency was significantly higher in obese than in normal-weight women ($p=0.01$). TSH concentration was significantly higher among obese and overweight women than among normal-weight women, though all women had $TSH < 5$ μ IU/mL. SHBG was significantly lower among overweight and obese women than among normal-weight women. A similar relationship occurred with DHEA-S; however, this marker was significantly lower in obese women only. The FAI was higher in overweight and obese women than in normal-weight women. There was a negative correlation between SHBG and HOMA-IR ($r=-0.13$, $p=0.01$).

Table 3 Clinical characteristics among infertile Mexican women with polycystic ovary syndrome

Characteristic	Normal weight n=83	Overweight n=217	Normal vs overweight p*	Obese n=238	Normal vs obese p*
Age (years)	27.6 $\pm$ 4.2	28.7 $\pm$ 4.5	0.19	28.5 $\pm$ 3.9	0.35
Weight (kg)	55.5 $\pm$ 4.9	66.8 $\pm$ 5.6	0.0001	83.4 $\pm$ 10.4	0.0001
BMI (kg/m ²)	22.8 $\pm$ 1.6	27.6 $\pm$ 1.3	0.0001	34.0 $\pm$ 3	0.0001
Oligomenorrhoea	48 (57.8)	161 (74.2)	0.009	165 (69.3)	0.07
Hirsutism	21 (25.3)	67 (30.9)	0.42	81 (34)	0.18
Acne	11 (13.3)	17 (7.8)	0.22	21 (8.8)	0.34
Acanthosis nigricans	13 (15.7)	38 (17.5)	0.83	95 (39.9)	0.0001

Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD and/or frequency and (proportion).

*Analysis of variance (ANOVA) or χ^2 test.

BMI, body mass index.

Table 4 Insulin resistance, pre-diabetes and type 2 diabetes among infertile Mexican women with polycystic ovary syndrome

Characteristic	Total women n=538	Normal weight n=83	Overweight n=217	Normal vs overweight p	Obese n=238	Normal vs obese p
Fasting insulin (μU/mL)	16.5±11.6	9.3±8.2	14.7±9.1	0.0001	20.6±12.9	0.0001
Fasting glucose (mmol/L)	5.26±0.82	4.82±0.44	5.22±0.82	0.0001	5.45±0.87	0.0001
HOMA-IR	4.0±3.1	2.06±2.0	3.5±2.4	0.001	5.1±3.6	0.0001
HOMA-IR≥2.5	324 (60.2)	16 (19.3)	122 (56.2)	0.0001	186 (78.2)	0.0001
			*5.3 (2.9–9.8)		*14.9 (8.0–28)	
Pre-diabetes	119 (22.1)	6 (7.2)	38 (17.5)	0.03	75 (31.5)	0.0001
			*2.7 (1.1–6.7)		*5.9 (2.4–14)	
Type 2 diabetes	24 (4.5)	1 (1.2)	11 (5.1)	0.23	12 (5)	0.22
			*4.3 (0.5–34)		*4.3 (0.5–34)	

Values expressed as mean±SD and/or frequency and (proportion).

*OR (95% CI).

HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance.

Table 5 Insulin resistance, pre-diabetes and type 2 diabetes among infertile Mexican women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) according to phenotype

Characteristic	HA+polycystic ovary n=30	HA+oligo-ovulation or anovulation+polycystic ovary n=266	p*	HA+oligo-ovulation or anovulation n=184	p*	Oligo-ovulation or anovulation+polycystic ovary n=58	p*
Fasting insulin (μU/mL)	11.9±6	17.1±11	0.009	17.4±12	0.01	13.2±8	0.80
Fasting glucose (mmol/L)	5.08±0.72	95.1±17	0.20	5.2±0.66	0.10	5.19±0.55	0.28
HOMA-IR	2.7±1.7	4.1±3.3	0.01	4.2±3.2	0.02	3.1±2.2	0.61
Insulin resistance	13 (43.3)	166 (62.4)	0.06	114 (62)	0.08	31 (53.4)	0.5
Pre-diabetes	4 (13.3)	52 (19.5)	0.56	49 (26.6)	0.18	14 (24.1)	0.36
Type 2 diabetes mellitus	1 (3.3)	14 (5.3)	0.98	8 (4.3)	0.81	1 (1.7)	0.78

*Mann-Whitney U test or χ^2 test.

Values expressed as mean±SD and/or frequency and (proportion).

HA, hyperandrogenism; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance.

Table 6 Biochemical characteristics among infertile Mexican women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS).

Characteristic	Normal weight n=83	Overweight n=217	Normal vs overweight p	Obese n=238	Normal vs obese p
LH (mIU/mL)	6.2±4.2	5.9±3.7	0.74	5.5±3.1	0.45
FSH (mIU/mL)	5.3±1.8	5.2±1.8	0.87	5.0±1.6	0.35
LH/FSH ratio	1.3±0.95	1.2±0.77	0.95	1.1±0.75	0.99
Progesterone (ng/mL)	2.4±4	1.6±3.1	0.02	1.1±2.1	0.001
Oestradiol (pg/mL)	44.3±19.8	47.0±18.9	0.18	47.2±19.5	0.06
TSH (μIU/mL)	2.0±1.1	2.3±1.1	0.04	2.5±1.3	0.005
Prolactin (ng/mL)	12.3±4.7	11.3±4.6	0.10	11.5±4.5	0.16
17-OHP4 (ng/mL)	1.2±0.6	1.3±0.9	0.83	1.1±0.6	0.27
Total testosterone (nmol/L)	1.7±0.9	1.9±1.06	0.08	1.9±1.01	0.08
SHBG (nmol/L)	43.2±28.6	34.7±29.8	0.002	30.8±27.1	0.0001
FAI (%)	5.3±3.8	8.2±5.9	0.001	8.5±5.3	0.0001
DHEA-S (μg/dL)	196.6±93	186.5±88	0.50	169.1±89	0.02
Androstenedione (ng/mL)	4.4±1.9	4.3±1.9	0.63	4.1±2.0	0.13

Values are expressed as mean±SD. Kruskal-Wallis test.

DHEA-S, dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate; FAI, Free Androgen Index; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; LH, luteinising hormone; 17-OHP4, 17-hydroxyprogesterone; SHBG, sex hormone-binding globulin; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we show a prevalence of IR of 60.2% and a prevalence of pre-diabetes of 22.1% among infertile Mexican women with PCOS; IR and pre-diabetes rates were higher in overweight and obese women than in normal-weight women. Other biochemical characteristics included lower levels of progesterone, SHBG and DHEA-S in obese women than in normal-weight women. In contrast, higher levels of TSH and FAI were observed in overweight and obese women.

The aetiology of IR in women with PCOS, although intensively studied, is not entirely clear; the mechanisms involve a unique disorder of insulin action secondary to decreased insulin receptor signalling, likely caused by serine hyperphosphorylation of the receptor and of the insulin receptor substrate 1.⁷ Thus, 50% of women present an activity that inhibits autophosphorylation of the tyrosine kinase receptor of insulin.^{22–23}

Reported prevalence rates of IR in US women with PCOS range from 44% to 70%,⁷ similar to our results but significantly higher than in Thai women.²⁴ However, there are few reports about BMI and its relationship to IR.⁸ Most studies report a significant difference in the index used to measure IR between normal-weight and obese women with PCOS, but researchers have not defined the cut-off for IR and therefore have not reported IR prevalence among groups.^{14–15–25} According to our results, the most metabolically affected phenotypes included hyperandrogenism and oligomenorrhoea, as has been reported previously.²⁶

The prevalence of pre-diabetes/impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) and of type 2 diabetes among US women with PCOS has been reported to be between 23% and 35% and between 4% and 10%, respectively, and the prevalence of pre-diabetes has been reported as higher in obese women than in non-obese women,^{27–29} similar to our findings. However, the prevalence of pre-diabetes/IGT was found to be 17.0% vs 5.9% in

obese versus lean Korean women with PCOS—a result that differs significantly from ours and that might be attributable to ethnic group.³⁰

The mechanisms regulating DHEA and DHEA-S production remain uncertain. In addition to ageing, other factors known or suspected to affect adrenal androgen production include obesity, low-density lipoprotein production, ethnicity, gender, ovarian androgen production, menopausal status, insulin and insulin-like growth factors.^{31–32} Some studies have demonstrated diminished DHEA-S production in the presence of hyperinsulinemia in normal women,^{33–34} and one study showed diminished production in Caucasian women with PCOS.³⁵ In women with PCOS and hyperandrogenism, most studies have shown a stimulatory effect by insulin on adrenal androgen production. However, some researchers have reported the opposite relationship, and others have failed to demonstrate an association between DHEA-S concentrations and circulating insulin in women with PCOS.²⁹ In a small study of 27 African-American women with PCOS, no association between DHEA-S and BMI was detected,³⁵ whereas in a population of Swedish women with PCOS, researchers³⁶ found a positive association between BMI and DHEA-S. In our study, DHEA-S levels were significantly lower in obese women than in normal-weight women, as reported previously for the Mexican population.^{37–38}

In this study, the FAI was higher in overweight and obese women than in normal-weight women. FAI correlated positively with HOMA-IR. Although the use of FAI as an indirect method to measure free testosterone (fT) is controversial, studies performed in normal women and women with PCOS have shown a good correlation of FAI with fT measured by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry versus immunoassay. Bui *et al.*³⁹ reported reference intervals and biologic variation for testosterone, fT and FAI in women with accurate methods, showing the discriminative value of these

parameters in a PCOS population. These authors found that the areas under the curve (AUCs) of receiver operator characteristic plots were not different for testosterone, fT or FAI when testosterone was measured by liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) and by Architect 2nd Generation T Immunoassay. Barth *et al*⁴⁰ reported an LC–MS/MS method for analysing testosterone and androstenedione to study the reference ranges and diagnostic utility in PCOS. The diagnostic capacity using receiver operator characteristic plots showed AUC for FAI 0.81, testosterone 0.75 and androstenedione 0.66.

It is generally accepted that obesity is associated with chronic low-grade inflammation, which may contribute to IR.^{41–42} PCOS is also associated with low-grade systemic inflammation, as evidenced by elevation of multiple markers of inflammation such as C reactive protein, tumour necrosis factor α , cytokines such as interleukin 6 (IL-6) and IL-18, and white cell count.⁴³ Obese women with PCOS have a different metabolic profile than normal-weight women with PCOS. This finding is supported by evidence that obesity and PCOS per se show low-grade systemic inflammation and higher prevalence of IR and pre-diabetes, as confirmed by our findings. Therefore, the presence of obesity or excess weight in infertile women with PCOS implies different therapeutic interventions emphasising improvements in metabolic function prior to attempting pregnancy.

The present study has some limitations, including the use of HOMA for IR diagnosis, a technique which is not the most appropriate for clinical practice in the evaluation of IR. Although the hyperinsulinemic–euglycemic clamp technique is the gold standard for measuring insulin sensitivity, it is too expensive, time-consuming and labour-intensive to be of practical use in an office setting.⁴ In a recent meta-analysis, Quantitative Insulin Sensitivity Check Index (QUICKI) showed a better correlation than HOMA ($r=0.61$, CI 0.55 to 0.65 vs $r=-0.53$, CI -0.60 to -0.46 , respectively);⁴⁴ however, we decided to use HOMA because we have a cut-off to define IR for Mexican population and we do not have a cut-off to define IR by QUICKI. Another limitation was that the 75 g OGTT for diagnosis of pre-diabetes was employed only when fasting glucose was >5.22 nmol/L at the first medical visit, a factor that could affect the real prevalence of pre-diabetes. Finally, the severity of hirsutism is not comparable among groups, because in our institution, the total Ferriman-Gallwey Score is not documented; only a Ferriman-Gallwey Score >8 is considered as hirsutism.

Our results should be interpreted with caution, as we included only Mexican women with PCOS and infertility; therefore, the outcomes are not generalisable to patients with PCOS without infertility. Future research in Mexican women with PCOS is needed to clarify whether the high prevalence of IR and pre-diabetes is due to PCOS or BMI per se, as well as to establish androgen normal ranges and QUICKI values in this population.

CONCLUSIONS

Infertile Mexican women with PCOS who are obese or overweight show a higher prevalence of IR and pre-diabetes compared with normal-weight women with PCOS. IR and pre-diabetes should be considered when tailoring approaches to PCOS, with an emphasis on therapeutic interventions to improve metabolic function prior to attempting pregnancy, particularly in these groups of women.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Endocrinology, Instituto Nacional de Perinatología Isidro Espinosa de los Reyes, Mexico City, Mexico

²Biomedical Research Branch, Instituto Nacional de Perinatología Isidro Espinosa de los Reyes, Mexico City, Mexico

³Research Unit of Reproductive Medicine, Health Research Council, Mexican Institute of Social Security, IMSS, Mexico City, Mexico

⁴Division of Reproductive Medicine, Instituto Nacional de Perinatología Isidro Espinosa de los Reyes, Mexico City, Mexico

Twitter Follow Rodolfo Higareda-Sánchez at @DrHigareda

Contributors ER-M and CO-G conceived and designed the study, analysed the data and wrote the paper. NM-C, LA-S, GE-G and CM analysed the data and wrote the paper. APS-S, RH-S and JFdJ-D acquired the data, interpreted the results and reviewed the paper.

Funding This work was supported by the Instituto Nacional de Perinatología Isidro Espinosa de los Reyes, grant number 212250-42131.

Competing interests All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at http://www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf and declare no support from any organisation for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisation that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous 3 years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Ethics approval Internal Review Board of the Instituto Nacional de Perinatología.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

REFERENCES

1. Norman RJ, Dewailly D, Legro RS, *et al*. Polycystic ovary syndrome. *Lancet* 2007;370:685–97.
2. Lim SS, Davies MJ, Norman RJ, *et al*. Overweight, obesity and central obesity in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update* 2012;18:618–37.
3. Moran C, Tena G, Moran S, *et al*. Prevalence of polycystic ovary syndrome and related disorders in Mexican women. *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 2010;69:274–80.
4. Azziz R, Woods KS, Reyna R, *et al*. The prevalence and features of the polycystic ovary syndrome in an unselected population. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2004;89:2745–9.
5. Legro RS, Castracane VD, Kauffman RP. Detecting insulin resistance in polycystic ovary syndrome: purposes and pitfalls. *Obstet Gynecol Surv* 2004;59:141–54.
6. Dunaif A, Segal KR, Futterweit W, *et al*. Profound peripheral insulin resistance, independent of obesity, in polycystic ovary syndrome. *Diabetes* 1989;38:1165–74.
7. Diamanti-Kandaraki E, Dunaif A. Insulin resistance and the polycystic ovary syndrome revisited: an update on mechanisms and implications. *Endocr Rev* 2012;33:981–1030.
8. Moran C, Garcia-Hernandez E, Barahona E, *et al*. Relationship between insulin resistance and gonadotropin dissociation in obese

- and nonobese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertil Steril* 2003;80:1466–72.
9. Vrbliková J, Cibula D, Dvoráková K, *et al.* Insulin sensitivity in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2004;89:2942–5.
  10. Moran C, Arriaga M, Rodriguez G, *et al.* Obesity differentially affects phenotypes of polycystic ovary syndrome. *Int J Endocrinol* 2012;2012:317241.
  11. Azziz R, Carmina E, Dewailly D, *et al.* The androgen excess and PCOS Society criteria for the polycystic ovary syndrome: the complete task force report. *Fertil Steril* 2009;91:456–88.
  12. Ovesen P, Møller J, Ingerslev HJ, *et al.* Normal basal and insulin-stimulated fuel metabolism in lean women with the polycystic ovary syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1993;77:1636–40.
  13. de Paula Martins W, Santana LF, Nastro CO, *et al.* Agreement among insulin sensitivity indexes on the diagnosis of insulin resistance in polycystic ovary syndrome and ovulatory women. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2007;133:203–7.
  14. Ducluzeau PH, Cousin P, Malvoisin E, *et al.* Glucose-to-insulin ratio rather than sex hormone-binding globulin and adiponectin levels is the best predictor of insulin resistance in nonobese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2003;88:3626–31.
  15. Vigil P, Contreras P, Alvarado JL, *et al.* Evidence of subpopulations with different levels of insulin resistance in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Hum Reprod* 2007;22:2974–80.
  16. Ciampelli M, Leoni F, Cucinelli F, *et al.* Assessment of insulin sensitivity from measurements in the fasting state and during an oral glucose tolerance test in polycystic ovary syndrome and menopausal patients. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2005;90:1398–6.
  17. The Rotterdam ESHRE/ASRM-Sponsored PCOS Consensus Workshop Group. Revised 2003 consensus on diagnostic criteria and long-term health risks related to polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertil Steril* 2004;81:19–25.
  18. Fox R, Corrigan E, Thomas PA, *et al.* The diagnosis of polycystic ovaries in women with oligo-amenorrhoea: predictive power of endocrine tests. *Clin Endocrinol* 1991;34:127–31.
  19. Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, *et al.* Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* 1985;28:412–19.
  20. Aguilar-Salinas CA, Olaiz G, Valles V, *et al.* High prevalence of low HDL cholesterol concentrations and mixed hyperlipidemia in a Mexican nationwide survey. *J Lipid Res* 2001;42:1298–307.
  21. American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes—2011. *Diabetes Care* 2011;34:S11–61.
  22. Dunaif A. Hyperandrogenic anovulation (PCOS): a unique disorder of insulin action associated with an increased risk of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Am J Med* 1995;98:33S–9S.
  23. Dunaif A, Wu X, Lee A, *et al.* Defects in insulin receptor signaling in vivo in the polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2001;281:E392–9.
  24. Wongwananuruk T, Rattanachaiyanont M, Indhavivadhana S, *et al.* Prevalence and clinical predictors of insulin resistance in reproductive-aged Thai women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Int J Endocrinol* 2012;2012:529184.
  25. Hücking K, Watanabe RM, Stefanovski D, *et al.* OGTT-derived measures of insulin sensitivity are confounded by factors other than insulin sensitivity itself. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2008;16:1938–45.
  26. Chang WY, Knochauer ES, Bartolucci AA, *et al.* Phenotypic spectrum of polycystic ovary syndrome: clinical and biochemical characterization of the three major clinical subgroups. *Fertil Steril* 2005;83:1717–23.
  27. Legro RS, Kunesman AR, Dodson WC, *et al.* Prevalence and predictors of risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance in polycystic ovary syndrome: a prospective, controlled study in 254 affected women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1999;84:165–9.
  28. Ehrmann DA, Barnes RB, Rosenfield RL, *et al.* Prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance and diabetes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Diabetes Care* 1999;22:141–6.
  29. Ehrmann DA, Kasza K, Azziz R, *et al.* for the PCOS/Trogliatone Study Group. Effects of race and family history of type 2 diabetes on metabolic status of women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2005;90:66–71.
  30. Lee H, Oh JY, Sung YA, *et al.* The prevalence and risk factors for glucose intolerance in young Korean women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Endocrine* 2009;36:326–32.
  31. Kauffman RP, Baker VM, DiMarino P, *et al.* Hyperinsulinemia and circulating dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate in white and Mexican American women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertil Steril* 2006;85:1010–16.
  32. Moran C, Reyna R, Boots LS, *et al.* Adrenocortical hyperresponsiveness to corticotropin in polycystic ovary syndrome patients with adrenal androgen excess. *Fertil Steril* 2004;81:126–31.
  33. Falcone T, Finegood DT, Fantus IG, *et al.* Androgen response to endogenous insulin secretion during the frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance test in normal and hyperandrogenic women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1990;71:1653–7.
  34. Diamond MP, Grainger DA, Laudano AJ. Effect of acute physiological elevations of insulin on circulating androgen levels in nonobese women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1991;72:883–7.
  35. Kumar A, Woods KS, Bartolucci A, *et al.* Prevalence of adrenal androgen excess in patients with the polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). *Clin Endocrinol* 2005;62:644–9.
  36. Holte J, Bergh T, Gennarelli G, *et al.* The independent effects of polycystic ovary syndrome and obesity on serum concentrations of gonadotropins and sex steroids in premenopausal women. *Clin Endocrinol* 1994;41:473–81.
  37. Morán C, Knochauer ES, Boots LR, *et al.* Adrenal androgen excess in hyperandrogenism: relation to age and body mass. *Fertil Steril* 1999;71:671–4.
  38. Moran C, Arriaga M, Arechavaleta-Velasco F, *et al.* Adrenal androgen excess and body mass index in polycystic ovary syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2015;100:942–50.
  39. Bui HN, Sluss PM, Hayes FJ, *et al.* Testosterone, free testosterone, and free androgen index in women: Reference intervals, biological variation, and diagnostic value in polycystic ovary syndrome. *Clin Chim Acta* 2015;450:227–32.
  40. Barth JH, Field HP, Yasmin E, *et al.* Defining hyperandrogenism in polycystic ovary syndrome: measurement of testosterone and androstenedione by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry and analysis by receiver operator characteristic plots. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2010;162:611–15.
  41. Wellen KE, Hotamisligil GS. Inflammation, stress and diabetes. *J Clin Invest* 2005;115:1111–19.
  42. Carmina E. Obesity, adipokines and metabolic syndrome in polycystic ovary syndrome. *Front Horm Res* 2013;40:40–50.
  43. Duleba AJ, Dokras A. Is PCOS an inflammatory process? *Fertil Steril* 2012;97:7–12.
  44. Otten J, Åhrén B, Olsson T. Surrogate measures of insulin sensitivity vs the hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp: a meta-analysis. *Diabetologia*. 2014;57:1781–8.