Incidence and outcomes of emergency self-harm among adolescents: a descriptive epidemiological study in Osaka City, Japan
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To evaluate the incidence and outcomes of self-harm from ambulance records.

Design: A retrospective, observational study.

Setting: Osaka City, Japan.

Participants: A total of 365 adolescents aged 10–19 years with emergency self-harm such as poisoning by drugs or gas, cutting skin, jumping from heights, hanging and drowning and treated by emergency medical service personnel from January 2010 through December 2012.

Primary outcome measurements: Incidence per 100 000 persons and outcome at the scene or hospital arrival by age and gender. Poisson regression models for incidence evaluation were used; reporting relative risks (RRs) and their 95% CIs.

Results: During the study period, a total of 425 self-harm events were documented in 365 adolescents. The incidence of self-harm increased significantly between the ages of 11 and 19 years, from 6.3 to 81.0 among boys and the ages of 12 and 19 years from 6.3 to 228.3 among girls, respectively (both p<0.001).


Introduction

WHO reported that upwards of 800 000 people commit suicide all over the world, with one death by suicide every 40 s.1 While the annual suicide incidence decreased from 12.5 to 10.4 per 100 000 persons in the 1990s, incidence has been rising again since the 2000.2 Suicide is one of the major causes of death in a number of populations, particularly adolescents, and the third leading cause of death among those aged 10–14 years and the leading cause of death among those aged 15–19 years in Japan,3 as well as the second leading cause of death among those aged 15–24 years in the USA.4

Self-harm is the strongest risk factor for future suicide.5 6 A number of studies have been conducted on the topic of paediatric self-harm. While incidence of self-harm has been shown to be higher among adolescents than adults,2 7 rates of lifetime experience of self-harm vary by community, producing conflicting findings.8 For example, where some studies found that women were more likely to have had a self-harm experience than men among adolescents, others noted no significant gender disparities among adolescents.9–11

Strengths and limitations of this study

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to assess emergency medical service (EMS)-related adolescent self-harm and provides important epidemiological information which may help prevent incidents of self-harm among adolescents in Asia.

No other such large-scale evaluations have been conducted using the ambulance records in Asia.

This study included only emergency patients treated by EMS personnel, and we therefore have no information on walk-ins with self-harm or patients who did not request emergency services.

We did not obtain information on the purpose/motivation of self-harm such as suicidal intention.
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Of note, most reports on adolescent self-harm have collected data using interviews of theoretical sampling or from single-centre medical records, but relatively few population-based studies have evaluated the incidence of emergency self-harm and their outcomes treated by emergency medical service (EMS) personnel.

Osaka City is the largest metropolitan community in western Japan, and ambulances are dispatched more than 200 000 times every year. Using the ambulance records in Osaka City, we conducted a population-based epidemiological study to provide fundamental information for the prevention of adolescent self-harm.

METHODS

Study design, population and settings

Our descriptive study retrospectively observed the ambulance records of Osaka Municipal Fire Department from January 2010 through December 2012. All adolescents (aged 10–19 years) treated by EMS personnel for self-harm in Osaka City were included. If two or more incidents of self-harm were confirmed from one adolescent (eg, both cutting skin and poisoning were confirmed simultaneously from one adolescent), each event was treated as an independent case. In this study, self-harm was classified by the EMS/physicians as the following: poisoning by drugs, poisoning by gas, cutting skin, jumping from heights, hanging and drowning according to previous studies. For patients transported to a hospital, the diagnosis of self-harm was clinically confirmed by the physicians caring for the patient after hospital arrival in collaboration with EMS personnel. For patients not transported to any hospital, the diagnosis was made by EMS personnel based on on-site observations and the EMS interview with the patient. Since the personal identifiers were already removed from the database by the EMS personnel, the requirement of informed consent of patients was waived by the Personal Information Protection Law and the national research ethics guidelines of Japan.

EMS system and emergency hospitals in Osaka City

Osaka City has an area of 222 km², and the population is ~2.7 million in 2010 (population density; about 12 000 persons/km²). The municipal EMS system of Osaka City is similar to that used in other areas of Osaka Prefecture, as previously described. The system is operated by the Osaka Municipal Fire Department and is activated by dialling the emergency number ‘119’ on a telephone. In 2010, Osaka City had 25 fire stations (60 ambulances in total) and one dispatch centre. Usually, each ambulance typically operates with a crew of three emergency care providers including at least one emergency life-saving technician, highly trained in providing prehospital emergency care. Osaka City had 186 hospitals (32 922 beds) in 2012, 94 of which—including 6 critical care centres—were equipped to treat patients with life-threatening emergencies. All patients who requested emergency services were transported to one of these 186 hospitals. During the study period, emergency dispatchers in Osaka City EMS did not call a hospital for acceptance, leaving ambulance crews to select an appropriate hospital for emergency care.

Data collection and quality control

The following data were uniformly collected via regular forms including age, gender, location of call, type of self-harm, temporal patterns and the time course of transportation, destination hospital/department type and patient outcomes. The forms were completed by EMS personnel in cooperation with the physicians caring for the patient, transferred to the EMS Information Center of Osaka Municipal Fire Department and then checked by the investigators. If any data were missing, the investigators returned the form to the relevant EMS personnel for data completion.

Incidence and outcomes

The study was to evaluate the incidence per 100 000 adolescents and their clinical outcomes of emergency self-harm at the scene or on hospital arrival. Outcomes were classified as follows: refusal of transport by patients, only prehospital treatments at the scene, no hospital admission after transportation, hospital admission or death (death confirmed at the scene or death confirmed at the hospital arrival).

Statistical analysis

Incidence and outcomes of self-harm were compared by the background characteristics and temporal patterns using either χ² test or Fisher’s exact test; outcomes were additionally compared by gender. Incidence of self-harm per 100 000 adolescents by age and gender was calculated with the 2010 Osaka census data. Poisson regression models were applied for incidence trends by age and gender with risk ratios (RRs) and their 95% CIs were calculated. Time of day was divided into four groups by 6-hour interval. As for season, the period from April to June was defined as spring: first quarter (1Q), July to September summer: second quarter (2Q), October to December autumn: third quarter (3Q), and January to March winter: fourth quarter (4Q), respectively. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS statistical package V.22.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, New York, New York, USA). All tests were two-tailed, and p values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Population

During the study period, a total of 633 359 emergency patients including 18 516 adolescents were documented in Osaka City. Of 18 516, a total of 365 adolescents with 425 incidents of self-harm were identified. The youngest boy and girl were 11 and 12 years old, respectively. The incidence per 100 000 persons by age and gender is...
shown in figure 1. The incidence of self-harm increased significantly between the ages of 11 and 19 years, from 6.3 to 81.0 among boys and the ages of 12 and 19 years from 6.3 to 228.3 among girls, respectively (both p<0.001). The incidence was highest in 19 years old. Although no marked difference in incidence was noted between girls and boys in the group aged 11–14 years (RR 1.20; 95% CI 0.59 to 2.47), the incidence was significantly higher among girls than boys in the group aged 15–19 years (RR 4.18; 95% CI 3.20 to 5.45).

Temporal patterns
Temporal patterns were described in figure 2. The number of cases by time of day was the lowest at the period of 6–12 hours, and the number was doubled during the period of 18–24 hours (RR 2.12; 95% CI 1.59 to 2.98). The number was the same between the period of 0–6 and 12–18 hours (figure 2A). Regarding influence of day of the week, the number did not markedly differ by day (figure 2B). As for seasons, the number was 1.36 times (95% CI 1.02 to 1.82) greater in spring: 1Q (April to June) than in winter: 4Q (January to March; figure 2C).

Characteristics
Population characteristics by type of self-harm are shown in table 1. A total of 88 (20.7%) incidents of self-harm were reported among boys, versus 337 (79.3%) among girls, with an overall mean age of 17.3 years. Proportions of types of self-harm were as follows: 210 (49.4%) cases of poisoning by drugs, 158 (37.2%) cases of cutting skin, 26 (6.1%) cases of jumping from heights, 22 (5.2%) cases of hanging, 6 (1.4%) cases of poisoning by gas and 3 (0.7%) cases of drowning. There was no self-harm by firearms in this area. Locations where self-harm occurred were home (80.9%), road (12.2%), building (5.4%), school (1.0%) and healthcare facility (1.0%), respectively. The mean time from call to EMS contact and to hospital arrival was 6.5 and 48.6 min, respectively. A total of 54 (12.7%) self-harm cases were transported to critical care medical centres and 289 (68.0%) to non-critical care medical centres, whereas the number of non-transported patients including cases with refusal of transport by themselves, only prehospital treatments or death confirmed at the scene was 82 (19.3%). Patients transported to medical centres were treated at the following departments: 168 (39.5%) in surgery, 162 (38.1%) in internal medicine, 8 (1.9%) in paediatrics and 5 (1.2%) in psychiatry.

Outcomes
Outcomes by type of self-harm are shown in table 2. A total of 73 (17.2%) patients (7.1% transportation

![Figure 1](image1.png) Incidence per 100,000 persons of adolescents with emergency self-harm by age and gender.

![Figure 2A](image2A.png) Number of emergency self-harm cases by temporal patterns such as (A) hour, (B) week and (C) season. 1Q, first quarter; 2Q, second quarter; 3Q, third quarter; 4Q, fourth quarter.
### Table 1: Characteristics of emergency self-harm among adolescents in Osaka City

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total (n=425)</th>
<th>Poisoning by drugs (n=210)</th>
<th>Poisoning by gas (n=6)</th>
<th>Cutting skin (n=158)</th>
<th>Jumping from heights (n=26)</th>
<th>Hanging (n=22)</th>
<th>Drowning (n=3)</th>
<th>p Values*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Boy, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2 (0.5)</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (3.8)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>6 (1.5)</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>2 (1.3)</td>
<td>1 (3.8)</td>
<td>2 (9.1)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>6 (1.5)</td>
<td>5 (2.4)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (4.5)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>16 (4.2)</td>
<td>7 (3.3)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>2 (1.3)</td>
<td>7 (26.9)</td>
<td>1 (4.5)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>20 (5.2)</td>
<td>12 (5.7)</td>
<td>1 (16.7)</td>
<td>7 (4.4)</td>
<td>1 (3.8)</td>
<td>2 (9.1)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>49 (12.9)</td>
<td>32 (15.2)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>21 (13.3)</td>
<td>1 (3.8)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (33.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>65 (17.1)</td>
<td>37 (17.6)</td>
<td>1 (16.7)</td>
<td>24 (15.2)</td>
<td>7 (26.9)</td>
<td>2 (9.1)</td>
<td>2 (66.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>94 (24.7)</td>
<td>57 (27.1)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>43 (27.2)</td>
<td>4 (15.4)</td>
<td>6 (27.3)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>117 (30.7)</td>
<td>58 (27.6)</td>
<td>4 (66.7)</td>
<td>59 (37.3)</td>
<td>4 (15.4)</td>
<td>8 (36.4)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Girl, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>88 (20.7)</td>
<td>40 (19.0)</td>
<td>5 (83.3)</td>
<td>20 (12.7)</td>
<td>9 (34.6)</td>
<td>13 (59.0)</td>
<td>1 (33.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>337 (79.3)</td>
<td>170 (81.0)</td>
<td>1 (16.7)</td>
<td>138 (87.3)</td>
<td>17 (65.4)</td>
<td>9 (41.0)</td>
<td>2 (66.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, year, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Place, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>344 (80.9)</td>
<td>183 (87.1)</td>
<td>4 (66.7)</td>
<td>124 (78.5)</td>
<td>11 (42.3)</td>
<td>22 (100.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road</td>
<td>52 (12.2)</td>
<td>12 (5.7)</td>
<td>1 (16.7)</td>
<td>22 (13.9)</td>
<td>14 (53.8)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>3 (100)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building</td>
<td>23 (5.4)</td>
<td>10 (4.8)</td>
<td>1 (16.7)</td>
<td>12 (7.6)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>3 (1.0)</td>
<td>2 (1.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (3.8)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare facility</td>
<td>3 (1.0)</td>
<td>3 (1.4)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call to contact with a patient by EMS, min, mean (SD)†</td>
<td>6.5 (5.0)</td>
<td>6.4 (3.1)</td>
<td>7.3 (4.0)</td>
<td>6.1 (3.1)</td>
<td>6.5 (3.0)</td>
<td>7.0 (2.2)</td>
<td>33.7 (42.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call to hospital arrival, min, mean (SD)†</td>
<td>48.6 (25.6)</td>
<td>55.7 (27.6)</td>
<td>43.0 (17.0)</td>
<td>43.0 (20.6)</td>
<td>43.2 (23.3)</td>
<td>41.8 (30.8)</td>
<td>99.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of hospitals, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No transportation</td>
<td>82 (19.3)</td>
<td>30 (14.3)</td>
<td>3 (50.0)</td>
<td>38 (24.1)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>9 (40.9)</td>
<td>2 (66.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-critical care medical centre</td>
<td>289 (68.0)</td>
<td>154 (73.3)</td>
<td>1 (16.7)</td>
<td>117 (74.1)</td>
<td>9 (34.6)</td>
<td>7 (31.8)</td>
<td>1 (33.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical care medical centre</td>
<td>54 (12.7)</td>
<td>26 (12.4)</td>
<td>2 (33.3)</td>
<td>3 (1.9)</td>
<td>17 (65.4)</td>
<td>6 (27.3)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of transported department, n (%)†</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>168 (39.5)</td>
<td>24 (11.4)</td>
<td>1 (16.7)</td>
<td>108 (68.4)</td>
<td>26 (100.0)</td>
<td>9 (40.9)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal medicine</td>
<td>162 (38.1)</td>
<td>145 (69.0)</td>
<td>2 (33.3)</td>
<td>11 (7.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>3 (13.6)</td>
<td>1 (33.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paediatrics</td>
<td>8 (1.9)</td>
<td>8 (3.8)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatry</td>
<td>5 (1.2)</td>
<td>3 (1.4)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (4.5)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Comparisons between the six groups were evaluated with Fisher’s exact test.

†Calculated only for self-harms transported to institutions.

EMS, emergency medical services.
rejection by patients and 10.1% only prehospital treatment) were not transported to hospitals. About 50% of adolescents transported to hospitals were only treated at the emergency room but were not admitted to hospitals. Hospital admission was more frequent among boys than among girls (38.6% vs 25.2%, p=0.016). The proportion of death by self-harm was 4.9% and was higher for boys than for girls (14.8% vs 2.4%, p<0.001). As for the type of self-harm, 41.9% of patients with poisoning by drugs were admitted to hospitals. Most of adolescents with cutting skin (70.9%) were not admitted to hospitals. A total of 15 (57.7%) adolescents who harmed themselves by jumping from heights were admitted to hospitals and 5 (19.2%) died. The proportion who died by hanging reached 59.1%. As for poisoning by gas, two patients were admitted to hospitals and two died (33.3%, respectively).

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we conducted a population-based study on adolescents treated for self-harm by EMS personnel by assessing ambulance records in Osaka City, Japan. The incidence of emergency treatment for self-harm by adolescents increased with age and our findings also demonstrated the gender paradox that whereas the incidence was higher among girls than boys, particularly in the group aged ≥15 years, the proportions of deaths were greater among boys. The overall proportion of deaths due to self-harm was ∼5%, with values differing by the type of self-harm. Although a number of studies have been conducted on the topic of adolescent self-harm, no other such large-scale evaluations have been conducted using ambulance records in Japan. In addition, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to assess EMS-related adolescent self-harm and provides important epidemiological information which may help prevent incidents of self-harm among adolescents in Asia.

Some reports have shown that the incidence of self-harm varied between communities and/or countries. In this study, ∼5% of total self-harm incidents resulted in death, a value similar to that reported by the WHO in another study. Most incidents of self-harm manifested as poisoning by drugs or cutting skin in our study, a finding similar to that in a previous report from the USA. However, some discrepancies were noted in our present findings and those in other similar studies; for example, in the USA, the most frequent manner of death by self-harm is reported to be firearm, and this is unlikely to be reported in Japan due to strict firearm regulations. Self-harm—even that performed outside of a suicide attempt—is well known to be a major risk factor for future suicide attempts; as such, ardent efforts should be made to reduce or prevent incidents of self-harm, irrespective of the type.

The youngest participants in this study were aged 11 years, and incidence increased with age among both
Adolescent self-harm is the greatest risk factors for future suicide.\textsuperscript{16, 17} A recent meta-analysis has shown that active interventions among adolescents following an instance of self-harm helped prevent future self-harm and suicide.\textsuperscript{29} Given the effectiveness of active and gender-specific interventions in preceding studies,\textsuperscript{26, 29} comprehensive measures of self-harm prevention for adolescents, especially girls, should be taken even in Japan as with the suicide prevention\textsuperscript{30} in cooperation with various organisations.

However, this study has several inherent limitations. First, the data used in this study were based on ambulance records by EMS personnel, and we did not obtain information on the purpose/motivation of self-harm such as suicidal intention, adolescents’ comorbidities or history of suicide or self-harm attempt, or outcomes after hospital admissions. At present, we are prospectively collecting data on emergency patients with these data in Osaka Prefecture since 2015 and will address these concerns in future. Second, our study included only emergency patients treated by EMS personnel, and we therefore have no information on walk-ins with self-harm or patients who did not request emergency services, although a previous study demonstrated that the number of patients with self-harm who did not request emergency services is about eight times as large as those who did.\textsuperscript{31} The last important limitation was that we could not detect repeating self-harm in an adolescent, thereby lifetime experience of self-harm might lead to being overestimated from our study.

CONCLUSION
The incidence of emergency self-harm in adolescents increased with age, and was higher among girls than boys in the group aged $\geq 15$ years. However, the proportion of hospital admissions and death due to self-harm was greater among boys than among girls. It would be necessary to establish active, gender-specific and comprehensive prevention strategies for adolescent self-harm, based on our findings showing the age and gender differences of self-harm among adolescents.
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