

BMJ Open

Overweight And Its Associated Risk Factors Among Urban School Adolescents In Nepal

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2015-010335
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	22-Oct-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Piryani, Suneel; Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health Baral, Kedar; Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Department of Community Health Sciences Pradhan, Bandana ; Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health Poudyal, Amod; Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health Piryani, Rano; Chitwan Medical College, Department of Internal Medicine
Primary Subject Heading:	Public health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Nutrition and metabolism, Public health, Epidemiology
Keywords:	NUTRITION & DIETETICS, PUBLIC HEALTH, Nutrition < TROPICAL MEDICINE, EPIDEMIOLOGY, PAEDIATRICS

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

ABSTRACT

Objectives

The prevalence of non-communicable diseases is increasing in Nepal. Overweight and obesity are the major risk factors of many non-communicable diseases. Adolescence is a critical phase for development of overweight and obesity. Risk factors associated with overweight are not well understood in Nepal. The objective of the study was to identify the factors associated with overweight and obesity among adolescent students.

Setting

A cross-sectional descriptive study was carried out in the Lalitpur sub-metropolitan city, Nepal among higher secondary school students.

Participants

A random sample of 360 students of the age-group 16 to 19 years from eight schools were included in the study.

Results

The prevalence of overweight among adolescent students was 12.2% (CI: 8.9-15.5). Being male (AOR=2.64, 95% CI: 1.18-4.88), studying in private school (AOR=2.10, 95% CI: 1.03-4.28), high socio-economic status family (AOR=4.77, 95%CI: 1.36-16.72), watching TV for more than 2 hours/day (AOR=8.86, 95% CI: 3.90-20.11), consuming fruits \leq 4 times/week (AOR=3.13, 95%CI: 1.39-7.01) were more likely to be overweight. There was no statistically significant association between adolescence overweight and age, ethnicity, mother's education level, mother's occupation, number of siblings or family type.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Conclusions

Socioeconomic status, watching TV for longer time, and consuming less fruits are major risk factors of overweight among adolescents in Nepal. Interventions are needed to increase the awareness about the risk factors of adolescence overweight and obesity to decrease prevalence of overweight-associated non-communicable diseases.

Keywords

Adolescent, overweight, risk factors, cross-sectional study, Nepal

Strengths and limitations of this study

Strength: This is among a few studies conducted in Nepal, which analyzed risk factors associated with overweight among urban adolescent students.
Limitation: This is not a nationally representative study, therefore not generalized specially for rural adolescents.

1 Introduction

2 Today overweight and obesity are the major public health problems worldwide. Although
3 once considered a major problem in affluent countries, overweight and obesity are now on
4 the rise even in low and middle-income nations like Nepal (1). This is primarily due to rapid
5 unplanned urbanization, along with the transition of Nepal's economy from subsistence to
6 market economy, which consequently led to changes in occupation, which requires different
7 change in lifestyle, and more importantly change in food habits. Prevalence and risk of
8 overweight and obesity during childhood and adolescence are increasing at greater pace in
9 developing nations rather than in developed ones (1). Overweight and obesity are the major
10 risk factors of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) such as diabetes, osteoarthritis and
11 cardiovascular diseases. WHO estimates that, NCDs cause 68% of deaths globally and nearly
12 three quarters of all NCDs deaths occur in low and middle-income countries (2).

14 Adolescence is a critical phase for the development of obesity due to various biological,
15 psychological, social and environmental changes (3, 4). Adolescence overweight and obesity
16 may persist into adulthood and increases the risk for development of non-communicable
17 diseases at a younger age and consequently a premature death. In addition to future health
18 risks, overweight and obese adolescent also suffer from short-term health consequences (1).
19 In addition, their participation in school and other daily activities also limit depending on the
20 degree of obesity.

22 In Nepal, nearly one-quarter of the population (24%) comprise of adolescents (5). NCDs
23 account 60% of all deaths in Nepal and 23% caused by cardiovascular diseases (6). A survey
24 conducted in Nepal in 2013 reported the prevalence of overweight among Nepalese people
25 (15-69 years age) to be 17.7% (7). Limited research has been done as yet on the prevalence

1 and factors associated with overweight among Nepalese adolescents (8). This study was an
2 attempt to fill the information and knowledge gap in this area and potential use of it will be
3 policy and program design to appropriately address problem in timely manner.

4
5 **Methods**

6 ***Study area***

7 This study was conducted in Lalitpur sub-metropolitan city, one of the major cities in Nepal.
8 It is adjacent to the capital city Kathmandu and is located in the southeast part of Kathmandu
9 valley. It is growing at faster pace and density of schools in Kathmandu valley is the highest
10 in this city.

11
12 ***Study design and Selection of participants***

13 A cross sectional study was conducted during October to November 2014. Multistage random
14 sampling method was used to select the participants. The study area was purposively
15 selected. Out of fifty-two higher secondary schools, eight schools (4 government and 4
16 private) were randomly chosen and out of the included schools either one of the grades 11 or
17 12 was randomly selected. All students of the selected grade were included in the study. A
18 total of 381 students filled the self-administered questionnaire, 21 questionnaires were
19 discarded during data analysis (eight questionnaire incompletely filled, two students were
20 physically disabled and 11 students found to be above 19 years age) therefore only 360
21 students' response and anthropometric measurements were included in the study.

22
23 ***Data collection and statistical analysis***

24 Data were collected using self-administered, pre-tested and structured questionnaire.
25 Anthropometric measurements (height and weight) were obtained as per WHO guidelines

1 using SECA digital weighing scale and stadiometer (9). Accuracy of weighing scale and
2 stadiometer were checked using standard weight and height at the beginning of every data
3 collection session and after every five students' measurements. Data were entered in Epi-data
4 3.1. Anthropometric calculation was done using WHO Anthro Plus software version 1.0.4
5 (10). The statistical analysis was done using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS)
6 software version 21. Bivariate and multivariate binary logistic regression analyses were done
7 to find out the association between dependent (overweight) and independent (risk-factors)
8 variables. Initially in bivariate analysis, single variable at a time was entered; unadjusted odds
9 ratio (OR) and 95 percent confidence interval (CI) were computed for all independent
10 variables. Multicollinearity was checked among the variables and there was no significant
11 collinearity (VIF 1-2). Then in multivariate analysis all independent variable were entered at
12 same time to adjust the effect of confounding, and adjusted OR and 95% CI were computed.
13 Hosmer and Lemeshow test was done to test the goodness-of-fit for multivariate logistic
14 regression model and model was found to be good/fit (p-value > 0.05).

15 **Variables**

16 Adolescent whose BMI-for-age was above plus one standard deviations (+1SD) from the
17 median of the WHO reference population were classified as overweight (11). Age was
18 calculated by subtracting the date of birth, which was mentioned by the students, from date of
19 data collection. Ethnicities of students were dichotomies into advantaged group (includes
20 advantaged janajatis and upper caste) and relatively disadvantaged group (includes dalits,
21 disadvantaged janajatis, disadvantaged non-dalit terai people and religious minorities). For
22 socio-economic status, wealth index was computed using Principal Component Analysis
23 (PCA) considering the assets holdings of the participants; and tertiles were generated namely
24 low (poor), middle and high (rich). The components included in wealth index were ownership
25

1 of house, vehicles, animals, electronic goods (refrigerator, radio, TV, computer, fan),
2 furniture (sofa, bed, cupboard, table, chair), mobile phone, telephone, housing characteristics
3 and type of fuel for cooking.

4
5 **Ethical consideration**

6 The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Institute of Medicine,
7 Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal. Informed written consent was obtained from the
8 sampled school authorities and participants. Confidentiality of information was assured and
9 insured throughout the study. Information about nutritional problems (underweight,
10 overweight and micronutrients deficiency) focusing the causes, health consequences, and
11 prevention was given to the students.

12
13 **Results**

14 The prevalence of overweight was 12.2% (95%CI: 8.9-15.5). Table 1 shows the general
15 characteristics of adolescent students. The mean age, weight, height and BMI of the
16 participants were 16.98 years (95%CI: 16.88-17.08), 52.53 Kg (95%CI: 51.57-53.50), 159.90
17 cm (95%CI: 158.99-160.80), and 20.52 Kg/m² (95%CI: 20.20-20.83) respectively. Bivariate
18 analysis showed that six factors were independently associated with overweight in adolescent
19 (Table 2). In multivariate analysis being male, studying in private school, high socio-
20 economic status, watching TV more than 2hours/day, and consuming fruits ≤4 times per
21 week were significantly statistically associated with overweight. Whilst age, ethnicity,
22 mother's educational level, mother's occupation, family type and number of siblings were not
23 significantly statistically associated with overweight (Table 2).

1 Male students were nearly three times more likely to be overweight than females (AOR=2.64,
2 95% CI: 1.18-4.88). Likewise, students studying in private schools were nearly two times
3 more likely to be overweight than the students studying in government schools (AOR=2.10,
4 95% CI: 1.03-4.28). Similarly, the students from rich families were five times more likely to
5 be overweight than students from poor families (AOR=4.77, 95%CI: 1.36-16.72). Students
6 who spent more than 2hours per day in watching TV were nearly nine times more likely to be
7 overweight than the students who spent less than 2hours per day (AOR=8.86, 95% CI: 3.90-
8 20.11). Students who consumed fruits ≤ 4 times a week were three times more likely to be
9 overweight than student who consumed >4 times/week (AOR=3.13, CI: 1.39-7.01). (Table 2)

10

11 Discussion

12 The study data suggests that being male, studying in a private school, belonging to high
13 socio-economic status family, watching TV for more than 2 hours/day, consuming fruits ≤ 4
14 times per week were potential risk factors of overweight among Nepalese adolescent
15 students. In this study, prevalence of overweight among adolescents was found to be 12.2%,
16 which is lower than the reported by studies from Pakistan, India and China (12-15). This
17 finding is higher than the study conducted in Kaski district of Nepal (8). The males were
18 nearly three times more likely to be overweight than females. The finding is consistent with
19 the studies from Pakistan, India and China, which reported high prevalence in males than
20 females (12-19).

21

22 This study showed adolescents studying in private schools were twice more likely to be
23 overweight than adolescents studying in government schools. The finding is consistent with
24 the studies conducted in India (14, 17). In this study, overweight among adolescents was
25 found to be significantly associated with the socio-economic status. The students from higher

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 socio-economic background families were nearly five times more likely to be overweight
2 than students from poor families. The finding is compatible with the findings of studies done
3 in India (13, 16-18, 20). The students from higher socio-economic background families have
4 more purchasing power for calorie dense fast foods.
5
6 This study found that students who spent more than 2hours per day in watching TV were
7 nearly nine times more likely to be overweight than the students who spent less than
8 2hours/day. Watching TV could be contributing to overweight in many ways like (a) increase
9 sedentary behavior and decrease physically activity (b) increase snacking while watching (c)
10 disturb normal sleeping pattern (d) cause unhealthy eating pattern from advertisements of
11 junk/fast foods (4, 21-23). A study conducted in Nepal showed that 1/4th advertisements
12 appeared on selected Nepali and Indian TV channels were related to junk foods and most
13 these of advertisements were targeted the children (24). A study conducted among adolescent
14 girls in Sri-Lanka showed that risk of overweight was three times higher among those who
15 had screen time >2 hours/day (25). Another study done in India among adolescent reported
16 the risk of overweight was seven times higher who had screen time >4hours/day (20).
17
18 Fruits and vegetables are important part of a healthy diet, their adequate daily consumption
19 could help loose weight and prevent many non-communicable diseases (26). In this study,
20 students who consumed fruits ≤ 4 times a week were three times more likely to be overweight
21 than student who consumed >4 times/week. A study among Pakistani adolescents showed
22 that students who consumed fruits ≥ 4 a week were less likely to be obese than those who
23 consumed <4 times a week (12). Another study conducted among adolescent girls in Sri-
24 Lanka showed that risk of overweight was two times higher among those who consumed
25 fruits <4 days per week (25).

1

2 Conclusion

3 The study provides evidence of high prevalence of overweight among adolescents living in
4 the urban area of Nepal. Socioeconomic status, watching TV for a long period of time, and
5 consuming less fruits are major risk factors of overweight among adolescents in Nepal.
6 Policies and programs not limited to Ministry of Health and Population but also Ministry of
7 Education and Ministry of Youth and Sports are needed to address the fast growing problem
8 appropriately and timely manner. An enabling environment is paramount important to
9 increase the awareness about the risk factors of adolescence overweight to decrease
10 prevalence of overweight-associated non-communicable diseases for coming generation of
11 Nepal.

12

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 **Abbreviations**

2	AOR	Adjusted Odd’s Ratio
3	BMI	Body Mass Index
4	CI	Confidence Interval
5	NCDs	Non-Communicable Diseases
6	OR	Odd’s Ratio
7	PCA	Principal Component Analysis
8	SD	Standard Deviation
9	SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Science
10	TV	Television
11	VIF	Variance inflation factor
12	WHO	World Health Organization

13

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

SP was involved in conceptualizing the study, reviewing the literature, designing protocol, developing questionnaire, data collection, analysis and preparing the manuscript. AKP supported in statistical analyses, interpretation of data and critically reviewed the manuscript. KPB, BP, RMP helped in conceptualizing the study and critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Mr. Anurag Marasini, Mr. Bimash Babu Shrestha and Ms. Ranjana Jha for their help in data collection. We would like to thank all schools authorities and students who participated in the study.

Author details

SP: MPH graduate, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health, Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal

KPB: Rector, Professor, and Head of Department of Community Health Sciences, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Lalitpur, Nepal

BP: Professor, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health, Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal

AKP, Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health, Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal

RMP: Professor of Medical Education, Professor of Internal Medicine and Head of Department of Internal Medicine, Chitwan Medical College, Nepal

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

REFERENCES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1. World Health Organization, Obesity and overweight Fact sheet January 2015. Available from: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/>.

2. Mendis S. Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2014: World Health Organization; 2014.

3. Dietz WH. Critical periods in childhood for the development of obesity. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 1994;59(5):955-9.

4. Story M, Neumark-Sztainer D, French S. Individual and Environmental Influences on Adolescent Eating Behaviors. Journal of the American Dietetic Association. 2002;102(3):S40-S51.

5. Ministry of Health and Population GoN. Nepal Population Report 2011. Kathmandu: 2011.

6. World Health Organization - Noncommunicable Diseases (NCD) Country Profiles , 2014. Available from: http://www.who.int/nmh/countries/npl_en.pdf.

7. Aryal KK, Neupane S, Mehata S, Vaidya A, Singh S, Paulin F, et al. Non communicable diseases risk factors: STEPS Survey Nepal 2013. . Kathmandu: Nepal Health Research Council, 2014.

8. Acharya B, Chauhan HS, Thapa SB, Kaphle HP, Malla D. Prevalence and socio-demographic factors associated with overweight and obesity among adolescents in Kaski district, Nepal. Indian Journal of Community Health. 2014;26(6):118-22.

9. World Health O. Physical status: The use and interpretation of anthropometry, Report of a WHO Expert Committee. 1995.

10. WHO AnthroPlus for personal computers manual: software for assessing growth of the world's children and adolescents. Geneva: WHO. 2009.

11. WHO Growth References 2007: Geneva: WHO. Available from: <http://www.who.int/growthref/en/>.

12. Ahmed J, Laghari A., Naseer M, Mehraj V. Prevalence of and factors associated with obesity among Pakistani schoolchildren: a school-based, cross-sectional study. Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal. 2013;19(3):242-7.

13. Chhatwal J, Verma M, Riar SK. Obesity among pre-adolescent and adolescents of a developing country (India). Asia Pacific journal of clinical nutrition. 2003;13(3):231-5.

14. Gupta DK, Shah P, Misra A, Bharadwaj S, Gulati S, Gupta N, et al. Secular trends in prevalence of overweight and obesity from 2006 to 2009 in urban asian Indian adolescents aged 14-17 years. PloS one. 2011;6(2):e17221.

15. Guo X, Zheng L, Li Y, Zhang X, Yu S, Yang H, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of being overweight or obese among children and adolescents in northeast China. Pediatric research. 2013;74(4):443-9.

16. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Vinitha R, Thayyil M, Kumar CK, Sheeba L, et al. Prevalence of overweight in urban Indian adolescent school children. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice. 2002;57:185-90.

17. Kaur. S, Sachdev. H, Dwivedi. S, Lakshmy. R, Kapil. U. Prevalence of overweight and obesity amongst school children in Delhi. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 2008;17(4):592-6.

18. Alice Cherian, Sarah Cherian, Subbiah. S. Prevalence of Obesity and Overweight in Urban School Children in Kerala, India. INDIAN PEDIATRICS. june 2012;49:475-7.

19. Goyal RK, Shah VN, Saboo BD, Phatak SR, Shah NN, Gohel MC, et al. Prevalence of overweight and obesity in Indian adolescent school going children: its relationship with socioeconomic status and associated lifestyle factors. J Assoc Physicians India March 2010;58:151-8.

- 1 20. Kotian MS, Kumar G, Kotian SS. Prevalence and determinants of overweight and
2 obesity among adolescent school children of South Karnataka, India. Indian journal of
3 community medicine: official publication of Indian Association of Preventive & Social
4 Medicine. 2010;35(1):176.
- 5 21. Amy B. Jordan, Emily K. Kramer-Golinkoff, Strasburger VC. Does Adolescent
6 Media Use Cause Obesity and Eating Disorders? Adolesc Med. 2008 19:431–49.
- 7 22. SJ Marshall, SJH Biddle, T Gorely, Cameron N, Murdey I. Relationships between
8 media use, body fatness and physical activity in children and youth: a meta-analysis.
9 International Journal of Obesity. 2004;28.
- 10 23. Mulligan DA. Policy Statement-Children, Adolescents, Obesity, and the Media (vol
11 128, pg 201, 2011). Pediatrics. 2011;128(3):594-.
- 12 24. Rapid Assessment on Media Coverage of Junk Food and its Content Analysis on
13 Selected Nepali and Indian Television Channels. Kathmandu, Nepal: Resource Centre for
14 Primary Health Care (RECPHEC), Kathmandu, 2014.
- 15 25. Rathnayake KM, Roopasingam T, Wickramasighe VP. Nutritional and behavioral
16 determinants of adolescent obesity: a case-control study in Sri Lanka. BMC public health.
17 2014;14(1):1291.
- 18 26. Tohill BC. Dietary intake of fruit and vegetables and management of body weight:
19 WHO; 2005.
- 20
21

1 **Table 1: General characteristics of sampled adolescent students**

Characteristics	Frequency	Percentage
Age		0.408
16-17 years	268	74.4
18-19 years	92	25.6
Mean (±SD)	16.98 (±0.98)	
Sex		
Female	190	52.8
Male	170	47.2
Ethnicity		
Advantaged	235	65.3
Relatively disadvantaged	125	34.7
School type		
Private	180	50
Government	180	50
Mother's educational level		
Formal education	226	62.8
No formal education	134	37.2
Mother's occupation		
Working outside home also/ Employed/non-housewife	185	51.4
Unemployed/housewife	175	48.6
Family type		
Nuclear	244	67.8
Extended/joint	116	32.2
No. of siblings		
Up to 2	264	73.3
More than 2	96	26.7
Socio-economic status		
Rich	120	33.3
Middle class	120	33.3
Poor	120	33.3
Watching TV		
≤ 2 hours/day	281	78.1
> 2 hours/day	79	21.9
Fruits consumption		
≤ 4 times/week	184	51.1
> 4times/week	176	48.9

Table 2. Determinants of overweight among adolescent students, Nepal

Characteristics	Overweight (n=44) No. (%)	No Overweight (n=316) No. (%)	Unadjusted OR (95%CI)	p- value	Adjusted OR (95%CI)	p- value
Age						
16-17 years	35 (13.1)	233 (86.9)	1.38 (0.64-3.00)	0.409	1.05 (0.37-2.94)	0.927
18-19 years	09 (09.8)	83 (90.2)	1		1	
Sex						
Male	27 (15.9)	143 (84.1)	1.92 (1.00-3.67)	0.048*	2.64 (1.18-4.88)	0.018*
Female	17 (08.9)	173 (91.1)	1		1	
Ethnicity						
Advantaged	32 (13.6)	203 (86.4)	1.48 (0.74-3.00)	0.270	1.38 (0.57-3.31)	0.476
Relatively disadvantaged	12 (09.6)	113 (90.4)	1		1	
School type						
Private	29 (16.1)	151 (83.9)	2.11 (1.09-4.09)	0.027*	2.10 (1.03-4.28)	0.042*
Government	15 (08.3)	165 (91.7)	1		1	
Mother's educational level						
Formal education	32 (14.2)	194 (85.8)	0.60 (0.30-1.20)	0.148	0.85 (0.32-2.22)	0.732
No formal education	12 (09.0)	122 (91.0)	1		1	
Mother's occupation						
Working outside home also/ Employed/ non-housewife	27 (14.6)	158 (85.4)	1.18 (0.54-2.60)	0.673	1.18 (0.54-2.60)	0.673
Unemployed/housewife	17 (09.7)	158 (90.3)	1		1	
Family type						
Nuclear	34 (13.9)	210 (86.1)	1.72 (0.82-3.61)	0.154	1.41 (0.59-3.39)	0.445
Extended/joint	10 (08.6)	106 (91.4)	1		1	
No. of siblings						
Up to 2	39 (14.8)	225 (85.2)	3.15 (1.20-8.26)	0.019*	1.85 (0.61-5.61)	0.097
More than 2	05 (05.2)	91 (94.8)	1		1	
Socio-economic status						
High (Rich)	28 (23.3)	92 (76.7)	4.26 (1.85-9.80)	0.001*	4.77 (1.36-16.72)	0.018*

Middle	08 (06.7)	112 (93.3)	1.00 (0.36-2.76)	1.000	0.93 (0.27-3.18)	0.912
Low (Poor)	08 (06.7)	112 (93.3)	1		1	
Watching TV						
> 2 hours/day	26 (32.9)	53 (67.1)	7.17 (3.67-14.00)	0.000*	8.86 (3.90-20.11)	0.000*
≤ 2 hours/day	18 (06.4)	263 (93.6)	1		1	
Fruits consumption						
≤ 4 times/week	31 (16.8)	153 (83.2)	2.54 (1.28-5.04)	0.008*	3.13 (1.39-7.01)	0.006*
> 4times/week	13 (07.4)	163 (92.6)	1		1	

Note: Adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, type of school, mother’s educational level, mother’s occupation, family type, number of siblings, socio-economic status, watching TV and fruits consumption.
*p-value <0.05

BMJ Open

Overweight And Its Associated Risk Factors Among Urban School Adolescents In Nepal: A Cross Sectional Study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2015-010335.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	26-Feb-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Pirayani, Suneel; Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health Baral, Kedar; Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Department of Community Health Sciences Pradhan, Bandana ; Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health Poudyal, Amod; Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health Pirayani, Rano; Chitwan Medical College, Department of Internal Medicine
Primary Subject Heading:	Public health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Nutrition and metabolism, Public health, Epidemiology
Keywords:	NUTRITION & DIETETICS, PUBLIC HEALTH, Nutrition < TROPICAL MEDICINE, EPIDEMIOLOGY, PAEDIATRICS

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 **Overweight And Its Associated Risk Factors Among Urban**
2 **School Adolescents In Nepal: A Cross Sectional Study**

3 Suneel Piryani, Kedar Prasad Baral, Bandana Pradhan, Amod Kumar Poudyal, Rano Mal
4 Piryani

5
6 **Corresponding author:** Suneel Piryani
7 Department of Community Medicine and Public Health, Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan
8 University, Kathmandu, Nepal
9 e-mail address: suneel.piryani@gmail.com
10 Contact No.: +977-9803166086

11
12 Kedar Prasad Baral
13 Department of Community Health Sciences, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Lalitpur,
14 Nepal
15 e-mail address: kedarbaral@pahs.edu.np

16
17 Bandana Pradhan
18 Department of Community Medicine and Public Health, Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan
19 University, Kathmandu, Nepal
20 E-mail address: bandana@reachpuba.org

21
22 Amod Kumar Poudyal
23 Department of Community Medicine and Public Health, Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan
24 University, Kathmandu, Nepal
25 Email address: amod103@gmail.com

26
27 Rano Mal Piryani
28 Department of Internal Medicine, Chitwan Medical College, Bharatpur, Chitwan, Nepal
29 Email address: r_piryani@yahoo.com

30
31 **Keywords:** Adolescent, overweight, risk factors, cross-sectional study, Nepal
32 **Total words** (Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, limitations & Conclusion): **2185**
33 **Number of tables:** **2**
34 **Number of references:** **30**
35

ABSTRACT

Objectives

The prevalence of non-communicable diseases is increasing in Nepal. Overweight and obesity are the major risk factors of many non-communicable diseases. Adolescence is a critical phase for development of overweight and obesity. Risk factors associated with overweight and obesity are not well understood in Nepal. The objective of the study was to identify the factors associated with overweight and obesity among adolescent students.

Setting

A cross-sectional descriptive study was carried out in the Lalitpur sub-metropolitan city, Nepal among higher secondary school students.

Participants

A random sample of 360 students of the age-group 16 to 19 years from eight schools were included in the study.

Results

The prevalence of overweight among adolescent students was 12.2% (CI: 8.9-15.5). Being male (AOR=2.64, 95% CI: 1.18-4.88), studying in private school (AOR=2.10, 95% CI: 1.03-4.28), high socio-economic status family (AOR=4.77, 95% CI: 1.36-16.72), watching TV for more than 2 hours/day (AOR=8.86, 95% CI: 3.90-20.11), consuming fruits \leq 4 times/week (AOR=3.13, 95%CI: 1.39-7.01) were more likely to be overweight. There was no statistically significant association between adolescence overweight and age, ethnicity, mother's education level, mother's occupation, number of siblings or family type.

Conclusions

Socioeconomic status, watching TV for longer time, and consuming less fruits are major risk factors of overweight among adolescents in Nepal. Interventions are needed to increase the awareness about the risk factors of adolescence overweight and obesity to decrease prevalence of overweight-associated non-communicable diseases.

Keywords

Adolescent, overweight, risk factors, cross-sectional study, Nepal

Strengths and limitations of the study

- This study is among a few studies conducted in Nepal, which analyzed risk factors associated with overweight among urban adolescent students.
- Schools and participants of the study have been selected randomly, which increases the strength of the study.
- This study was conducted in eight schools in Lalitpur sub metropolitan city. So, the risk factors that identified may not be representative of every urban city in Nepal.
- The study findings are based on self-report of the students because of which findings are likely to suffer from over-reporting and recall bias.
- Cross-sectional nature of the study limits drawing inferences about causation.

1 Introduction

Today overweight and obesity are the major public health problems worldwide. Although once considered a major problem in affluent countries, overweight and obesity are now on the rise even in low and middle-income nations like Nepal (1). This may be due to ongoing urbanization and economic transitions (subsistence to market) in Nepal. Nepal is urbanizing at faster pace, its urban population has increased to 17% of the total population in 2011 from 13.9% in 2001 (2). The intercensal urban population growth rate is 3.38% where rural and total population growth rates are 1.03 and 1.4 in 2011(2). Economic transition and urbanization process precipitates greatly increased levels of lifestyle-related risk factors like low physical activities and dietary habits changes (3). Prevalence and risk of overweight and obesity during childhood and adolescence are increasing at greater pace in developing nations rather than in developed ones (1). Overweight and obesity are the major risk factors of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) such as diabetes, osteoarthritis and cardiovascular diseases. WHO estimates that, NCDs cause 68% of deaths globally and nearly three quarters of all NCDs deaths occur in low and middle-income countries (4).

Adolescence is a critical phase for the development of obesity due to various biological, psychological, social and environmental changes (5, 6). Adolescence overweight and obesity may persist into adulthood. A prospective longitudinal study showed that the 56% males and 42% females who were overweight in adolescence remained overweight in adulthood whereas 47% males and 55% females who were obese in adolescence remained obese in adulthood (7). Adolescence overweight and obesity may increase the risk for development of non-communicable diseases at a younger age and consequently a premature death. In addition to future health risks, overweight and obese adolescent also suffer from short-term health

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 consequences (1). In addition, their participation in school and other daily activities also limit
2 depending on the degree of obesity.
3
4 In Nepal, nearly one-quarter of the population (24%) comprise of adolescents (8). NCDs
5 account 60% of all deaths in Nepal and 23% caused by cardiovascular diseases (9). A
6 national survey conducted in Nepal in 2013 reported the prevalence of overweight among
7 Nepalese people (15-69 years age) to be 17.7% (10). Limited research has been done as yet
8 on the prevalence and factors associated with overweight among Nepalese adolescents (11).
9 This study was an attempt to fill the information and knowledge gap in this area and potential
10 use of it will be for designing policy and program to appropriately address problem in timely
11 manner.

12
13 **Methods**

14 ***Study area***

15 This study was conducted in Lalitpur sub-metropolitan city, one of the major cities in Nepal.
16 It is adjacent to the capital city Kathmandu and is located in the southeast part of Kathmandu
17 valley. It is growing at faster pace and density of schools in Kathmandu valley is the highest
18 in this city.

19
20 ***Study design and Selection of participants***

21 A cross sectional study was conducted during October to November 2014. Multistage random
22 sampling method was used to select the participants. The study area Lalitpur sub-
23 metropolitan city was purposively selected. A list of affiliated Higher Secondary Schools
24 (HSS) to Higher Secondary Education Board (HSEB) of Nepal was downloaded from HSEB
25 website. There were 52 schools in the sampling frame (13 government and 39 privates) in

1 this study. Two separate lists of private and government schools were prepared. Out of 52
2 schools, eight schools (4 government and 4 private) were randomly were chosen through
3 lottery method. And from each selected school one of the grades 11 or 12 was randomly
4 selected. All students of the selected grade were included in the study. A total of 381 students
5 filled the self-administered questionnaire, 21 questionnaires were discarded during data
6 analysis (eight questionnaires were incompletely filled, two students were physically disabled
7 and 11 students found to be above 19 years age), therefore only 360 students' response and
8 anthropometric measurements were included in the study.

9 10 **Data collection and statistical analysis**

11 Data were collected using self-administered, pre-tested and structured questionnaire.
12 Anthropometric measurements (height and weight) were obtained as per WHO guidelines
13 using SECA digital weighing scale and stadiometer (12). Accuracy of weighing scale and
14 stadiometer were checked using standard weight and height at the beginning of every data
15 collection session and after every five students' measurements. Data were entered in Epi-data
16 3.1. Anthropometric calculation was done using WHO Anthro Plus software version 1.0.4
17 (13). The statistical analysis was done using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS)
18 software version 21. Bivariate and multivariate binary logistic regression analyses were done
19 to find out the association between dependent (overweight) and independent (risk-factors)
20 variables. Initially in bivariate analysis, single variable at a time was entered; unadjusted odds
21 ratio (OR) and 95 percent confidence interval (CI) were computed for all independent
22 variables. Multicollinearity was checked among the variables and there was no significant
23 collinearity (VIF 1-2). Then in multivariate analysis all independent variable were entered at
24 same time to adjust the effect of confounding, and adjusted OR and 95% CI were computed.

1 Hosmer and Lemeshow test was done to test the goodness-of-fit for multivariate logistic
2 regression model and model was found to be good/fit (p-value > 0.05).

3
4 **Variables**

5 Adolescent whose BMI-for-age was above plus one standard deviations (+1SD) from the
6 median of the WHO reference population were classified as overweight (14). Age was
7 calculated by subtracting the date of birth, which was mentioned by the students, from date of
8 data collection. Ethnicities of students were dichotomies into advantaged group (includes
9 advantaged janajatis and upper caste) and relatively disadvantaged group (includes dalits,
10 disadvantaged janajatis, disadvantaged non-dalit terai people and religious minorities). For
11 socio-economic status, a wealth index was computed using Principal Component Analysis
12 (PCA) considering the assets holdings of the participants; and tertiles were generated namely
13 low (poor), middle and high (rich). The components included in wealth index were ownership
14 of house, vehicles, animals, electronic goods (refrigerator, radio, TV, computer, fan),
15 furniture (sofa, bed, cupboard, table, chair), mobile phone, telephone, housing characteristics
16 and type of fuel for cooking. Number of times fruits consumed by the students during last one
17 week were dichotomies into ≤ 4 times/week and > 4 times/week. On an average numbers of
18 hours per day students watched TV were dichotomies into > 2 hours/day and ≤ 2 hours/day.

19
20 **Questionnaire**

21 A structured questionnaire was developed based on study objectives. For wealth index, a
22 validated Nepal demographic and health survey 2011 (NDHS) questionnaire measure was
23 used (15). For fruits consumptions, a list of locally available (market and locally grown)
24 fruits in the study season was developed and students were asked to tick number of times
25 they had consumed the particular fruit during last one week. For watching TV, students were

asked to tick the average numbers of hours per day they watched the TV during last one week. For validity of the questionnaire, a piloting study was done in one non-sampled school.

Ethical consideration

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal. Informed written consent was obtained from the sampled school authorities and participants. Confidentiality of information was assured and insured throughout the study. Information about nutritional problems (underweight, overweight and micronutrients deficiency) focusing the causes, health consequences, and prevention was given to the students.

Results

The prevalence of overweight was 12.2% (95%CI: 8.9-15.5). Table 1 shows the general characteristics of adolescent students. The mean age, weight, height and BMI of the participants were 16.98 years (95%CI: 16.88-17.08), 52.5 Kg (95%CI: 51.5-53.5), 159.9 cm (95%CI: 159.0-160.8), and 20.5 Kg/m² (95%CI: 20.2-20.8) respectively. Bivariate analysis showed that six factors were independently associated with overweight in adolescent (Table 2). In multivariate analysis being male, studying in private school, high socio-economic status, watching TV more than 2hours/day, and consuming fruits ≤ 4 times per week were significantly statistically associated with overweight. Whilst age, ethnicity, mother's educational level, mother's occupation, family type and number of siblings were not significantly statistically associated with overweight (Table 2). Separate analyses in males and females were performed. In males, school type, socio-economic status, watching TV more than 2hours/day and consuming fruits ≤ 4 times per week were significantly statistically associated with overweight (see supplementary Table 1 & Table 2). In females, socio-

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 economic status, watching TV more than 2hours/day and consuming fruits ≤ 4 times per week
2 were significantly statistically associated with overweight (see supplementary Table 1 &
3 Table 2).
4
5 Male students were 2.64 times more likely to be overweight than females (AOR=2.64, 95%
6 CI: 1.18-4.88). Likewise, students studying in private schools were 2.1 times more likely to
7 be overweight than the students studying in government schools (AOR=2.10, 95% CI: 1.03-
8 4.28). Similarly, the students from rich families were 4.77 times more likely to be overweight
9 than students from poor families (AOR=4.77, 95%CI: 1.36-16.72). Students who spent more
10 than 2hours per day in watching TV were 8.86 times more likely to be overweight than the
11 students who spent less than 2hours per day (AOR=8.86, 95% CI: 3.90-20.11). Students who
12 consumed fruits ≤ 4 times a week were 3.13 times more likely to be overweight than student
13 who consumed >4 times/week (AOR=3.13, CI: 1.39-7.01). (Table 2)

14
15 **Discussion**

16 The study data suggests that being male, studying in a private school, belonging to high
17 socio-economic status family, watching TV for more than 2 hours/day, consuming fruits ≤ 4
18 times per week were potential risk factors of overweight among Nepalese adolescent
19 students. In this study, prevalence of overweight among adolescents was found to be 12.2%,
20 which is lower than the reported by studies from Pakistan, India and China (16-19). This
21 finding is higher than the study conducted in Kaski district of Nepal (11). The males were
22 nearly three times more likely to be overweight than females. The finding is consistent with
23 the studies from Pakistan, India and China, which reported high prevalence in males than
24 females (16-23).

1 This study showed adolescents studying in private schools were twice more likely to be
2 overweight than adolescents studying in government schools. The finding is consistent with
3 the studies conducted in India (18, 21). In this study, overweight among adolescents was
4 found to be significantly associated with the socio-economic status. The students from higher
5 socio-economic background families were nearly five times more likely to be overweight
6 than students from poor families. The finding is compatible with the findings of studies done
7 in India (17, 20-22, 24). The students from higher socio-economic background families have
8 more purchasing power for calorie dense fast foods.

9
10 This study found that students who spent more than 2hours per day in watching TV were
11 nearly nine times more likely to be overweight than the students who spent less than
12 2hours/day. Watching TV could be contributing to overweight in many ways like (a) increase
13 sedentary behavior and decrease physically activity (b) increase snacking while watching (c)
14 disturb normal sleeping pattern (d) cause unhealthy eating pattern from advertisements of
15 junk/fast foods (6, 25-27). A study conducted in Nepal showed that 1/4th advertisements
16 appeared on selected Nepali and Indian TV channels were related to junk foods and most
17 these of advertisements were targeted the children (28). A study conducted among adolescent
18 girls in Sri-Lanka showed that risk of overweight was three times higher among those who
19 had screen time >2 hours/day (29). Another study done in India among adolescent reported
20 the risk of overweight was seven times higher who had screen time >4hours/day (24).

21
22 Fruits and vegetables are important part of a healthy diet, their adequate daily consumption
23 could help lose weight and prevent many non-communicable diseases (30). In this study,
24 students who consumed fruits ≤ 4 times a week were three times more likely to be overweight
25 than student who consumed >4 times/week. A study among Pakistani adolescents showed

1 that students who consumed fruits ≥ 4 a week were less likely to be obese than those who
2 consumed < 4 times a week (16). Another study conducted among adolescent girls in Sri-
3 Lanka showed that risk of overweight was two times higher among those who consumed
4 fruits < 4 days per week (29).

5
6
7 **Strengths and limitations of the study**

- 8 • This study is among a few studies conducted in Nepal, which analyzed risk factors
9 associated with overweight among urban adolescent students.
10 • Schools and participants of the study have been selected randomly, which increases the
11 strength of the study.
12 • This study was conducted in eight schools in Lalitpur sub metropolitan city. So, the risk
13 factors that identified may not be representative of every urban city in Nepal.
14 • The study findings are based on self-report of the students because of which findings are
15 likely to suffer from over-reporting and recall bias.
16 • Cross-sectional nature of the study limits drawing inferences about causation.

17
18 **Conclusion**

19 The study provides evidence of high prevalence of overweight among adolescents living in
20 the urban area of Nepal. Socioeconomic status, watching TV for a long period of time, and
21 consuming less fruits are major risk factors of overweight among adolescents in Nepal.
22 Policies and programs not limited to Ministry of Health and Population but also Ministry of
23 Education and Ministry of Youth and Sports are needed to address the fast growing problem
24 appropriately and timely manner. An enabling environment is paramount important to

1 increase the awareness about the risk factors of adolescence overweight to decrease
2 prevalence of overweight-associated non-communicable diseases for coming generation of
3 Nepal.
4

For peer review only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 **Abbreviations**

2	AOR	Adjusted Odd’s Ratio
3	BMI	Body Mass Index
4	CI	Confidence Interval
5	NCDs	Non-Communicable Diseases
6	OR	Odd’s Ratio
7	PCA	Principal Component Analysis
8	SD	Standard Deviation
9	SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Science
10	TV	Television
11	VIF	Variance inflation factor
12	WHO	World Health Organization

13

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

SP was involved in conceptualizing the study, reviewing the literature, designing protocol, developing questionnaire, data collection, analysis and preparing the manuscript. AKP supported in statistical analyses, interpretation of data and critically reviewed the manuscript.

KPB, BP, RMP helped in conceptualizing the study and critically reviewed the manuscript.

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Mr. Anurag Marasini, Mr. Bimash Babu Shrestha and Ms. Ranjana Jha for their help in data collection. We would like to thank all schools authorities and students who participated in the study.

Author details

SP: MPH graduate, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health, Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal

KPB: Rector, Professor, and Head of Department of Community Health Sciences, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Lalitpur, Nepal

BP: Professor, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health, Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal

AKP, Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health, Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal

RMP: Professor of Medical Education, Professor of Internal Medicine and Head of Department of Internal Medicine, Chitwan Medical College, Nepal

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

REFERENCES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

1. World Health Organization, Obesity and overweight Fact sheet January 2015. Available from: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/>.

2. Central Bureau of Statistics. Preliminary Result of Census 2011. Kathmandu: National Planning Commission: 2011.

3. World Health O. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic: report of a WHO consultation on obesity, Geneva, 3-5 June 1997. 1998.

4. Mendis S. Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2014: World Health Organization; 2014.

5. Dietz WH. Critical periods in childhood for the development of obesity. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 1994;59(5):955-9.

6. Story M, Neumark-Sztainer D, French S. Individual and Environmental Influences on Adolescent Eating Behaviors. Journal of the American Dietetic Association. 2002;102(3):S40-S51.

7. Laitinen J, Power C, Järvelin M-R. Family social class, maternal body mass index, childhood body mass index, and age at menarche as predictors of adult obesity. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2001;74(3):287-94.

8. Ministry of Health and Population GoN. Nepal Population Report 2011. Kathmandu: 2011.

9. World Health Organization - Noncommunicable Diseases (NCD) Country Profiles , 2014. Available from: http://www.who.int/nmh/countries/npl_en.pdf.

10. Aryal KK, Neupane S, Mehata S, Vaidya A, Singh S, Paulin F, et al. Non communicable diseases risk factors: STEPS Survey Nepal 2013. . Kathmandu: Nepal Health Research Council, 2014.

11. Acharya B, Chauhan HS, Thapa SB, Kaphle HP, Malla D. Prevalence and socio-demographic factors associated with overweight and obesity among adolescents in Kaski district, Nepal. Indian Journal of Community Health. 2014;26(6):118-22.

12. World Health O. Physical status: The use and interpretation of anthropometry, Report of a WHO Expert Committee. 1995.

13. WHO AnthroPlus for personal computers manual: software for assessing growth of the world's children and adolescents. Geneva: WHO. 2009.

14. WHO Growth References 2007: Geneva: WHO. Available from: <http://www.who.int/growthref/en/>.

15. Ministry of Health and Population (MOHP) [Nepal] NE, and ICF International Inc. Nepal Demographic and Health Survey 2011. Kathmandu, Nepal2012.

16. Ahmed J, Laghari A., Naseer M, Mehraj V. Prevalence of and factors associated with obesity among Pakistani schoolchildren: a school-based, cross-sectional study. Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal. 2013;19(3):242-7.

17. Chhatwal J, Verma M, Riar SK. Obesity among pre-adolescent and adolescents of a developing country (India). Asia Pacific journal of clinical nutrition. 2003;13(3):231-5.

18. Gupta DK, Shah P, Misra A, Bharadwaj S, Gulati S, Gupta N, et al. Secular trends in prevalence of overweight and obesity from 2006 to 2009 in urban asian Indian adolescents aged 14-17 years. PloS one. 2011;6(2):e17221.

19. Guo X, Zheng L, Li Y, Zhang X, Yu S, Yang H, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of being overweight or obese among children and adolescents in northeast China. Pediatric research. 2013;74(4):443-9.

20. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Vinitha R, Thayyil M, Kumar CK, Sheeba L, et al. Prevalence of overweight in urban Indian adolescent school children. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice. 2002;57:185-90.

- 1 21. Kaur. S, Sachdev. H, Dwivedi. S, Lakshmy. R, Kapil. U. Prevalence of overweight
2 and obesity amongst school children in Delhi. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 2008;17(4):592-6.
- 3 22. Alice Cherian, Sarah Cherian, Subbiah. S. Prevalence of Obesity and Overweight in
4 Urban School Children in Kerala, India. *INDIAN PEDIATRICS*. June 2012;49:475-7.
- 5 23. Goyal RK, Shah VN, Saboo BD, Phatak SR, Shah NN, Gohel MC, et al. Prevalence
6 of overweight and obesity in Indian adolescent school going children: its relationship with
7 socioeconomic status and associated lifestyle factors. *J Assoc Physicians India* March
8 2010;58:151–8.
- 9 24. Kotian MS, Kumar G, Kotian SS. Prevalence and determinants of overweight and
10 obesity among adolescent school children of South Karnataka, India. *Indian journal of
11 community medicine: official publication of Indian Association of Preventive & Social
12 Medicine*. 2010;35(1):176.
- 13 25. Amy B. Jordan, Emily K. Kramer-Golinkoff, Strasburger VC. Does Adolescent
14 Media Use Cause Obesity and Eating Disorders? *Adolesc Med*. 2008 19:431–49.
- 15 26. SJ Marshall, SJH Biddle, T Gorely, Cameron N, Murdey I. Relationships between
16 media use, body fatness and physical activity in children and youth: a meta-analysis.
17 *International Journal of Obesity*. 2004;28.
- 18 27. Mulligan DA. Policy Statement-Children, Adolescents, Obesity, and the Media (vol
19 128, pg 201, 2011). *Pediatrics*. 2011;128(3):594-.
- 20 28. Rapid Assessment on Media Coverage of Junk Food and its Content Analysis on
21 Selected Nepali and Indian Television Channels. Kathmandu, Nepal: Resource Centre for
22 Primary Health Care (RECPHEC), Kathmandu, 2014.
- 23 29. Rathnayake KM, Roopasingam T, Wickramasighe VP. Nutritional and behavioral
24 determinants of adolescent obesity: a case-control study in Sri Lanka. *BMC public health*.
25 2014;14(1):1291.
- 26 30. Tohill BC. Dietary intake of fruit and vegetables and management of body weight:
27 WHO; 2005.
- 28
29

1 **Table 1: General characteristics of sampled adolescent students**

Characteristics	Frequency	Percentage
Age		
16-17 years	268	74.4
18-19 years	92	25.6
Mean (±SD)	16.98 (±0.98)	
Sex		
Female	190	52.8
Male	170	47.2
Ethnicity		
Advantaged	235	65.3
Relatively disadvantaged	125	34.7
School type		
Private	180	50
Government	180	50
Mother's educational level		
Formal education	226	62.8
No formal education	134	37.2
Mother's occupation		
Working outside home also/ Employed/non-housewife	185	51.4
Unemployed/housewife	175	48.6
Family type		
Nuclear	244	67.8
Extended/joint	116	32.2
No. of siblings		
Up to 2	264	73.3
More than 2	96	26.7
Socio-economic status*		
Rich	120	33.3
Middle class	120	33.3
Poor	120	33.3
Watching TV		
≤ 2 hours/day	281	78.1
> 2 hours/day	79	21.9
Fruits consumption		
≤ 4 times/week	184	51.1
> 4times/week	176	48.9

2 * Wealth index was computed using PCA, and the components included in wealth index were
3 ownership of house, vehicles, animals, electronic goods (refrigerator, radio, TV, computer,
4 fan), furniture (sofa, bed, cupboard, table, chair), mobile phone, telephone, housing
5 characteristics and type of fuel for cooking.
6

Table 2. Risk factors of the overweight among adolescent students, Nepal

Characteristics	Overweight (n=44) No. (%)	No Overweight (n=316) No. (%)	Unadjusted OR (95%CI)	p-value	Adjusted OR (95%CI)	p-value
Age						
16-17 years	35 (13.1)	233 (86.9)	1.38 (0.64-3.00)	0.409	1.05 (0.37-2.94)	0.927
18-19 years	9 (9.8)	83 (90.2)	1		1	
Sex						
Male	27 (15.9)	143 (84.1)	1.92 (1.00-3.67)	0.048*	2.64 (1.18-4.88)	0.018*
Female	17 (8.9)	173 (91.1)	1		1	
Ethnicity						
Advantaged	32 (13.6)	203 (86.4)	1.48 (0.74-3.00)	0.271	1.38 (0.57-3.31)	0.476
Relatively disadvantaged	12 (9.6)	113 (90.4)	1		1	
School type						
Private	29 (16.1)	151 (83.9)	2.11 (1.09-4.09)	0.027*	2.10 (1.03-4.28)	0.042*
Government	15 (8.3)	165 (91.7)	1		1	
Mother's educational level						
Formal education	32 (14.2)	194 (85.8)	1.67 (0.30-1.20)	0.148	0.85 (0.32-2.22)	0.732
No formal education	12 (9.0)	122 (91.0)	1		1	
Mother's occupation						
Working outside home also/ Employed/ non-housewife	27 (14.6)	158 (85.4)	1.59 (0.83-3.03)	0.673	1.18 (0.54-2.60)	0.673
Unemployed/housewife	17 (9.7)	158 (90.3)	1		1	
Family type						
Nuclear	34 (13.9)	210 (86.1)	1.72 (0.82-3.61)	0.154	1.41 (0.59-3.39)	0.445
Extended/joint	10 (8.6)	106 (91.4)	1		1	
No. of siblings						
Up to 2	39 (14.8)	225 (85.2)	3.15 (1.20-8.26)	0.019*	1.85 (0.61-5.61)	0.097
More than 2	5 (5.2)	91 (94.8)	1		1	
Socio-economic status						
High (Rich)	28 (23.3)	92 (76.7)	4.26 (1.85-9.80)	<0.001*	4.77 (1.36-16.72)	0.018*

Middle	8 (6.7)	112 (93.3)	1.00 (0.36-2.76)	1.00	0.93 (0.27-3.18)	0.912
Low (Poor)	8 (6.7)	112 (93.3)	1		1	
Watching TV						
> 2 hours/day	26 (32.9)	53 (67.1)	7.17 (3.67-14.00)	<0.001*	8.86 (3.90-20.11)	<0.001*
≤ 2 hours/day	18 (6.4)	263 (93.6)	1		1	
Fruits consumption						
≤ 4 times/week	31 (16.8)	153 (83.2)	2.54 (1.28-5.04)	0.008*	3.13 (1.39-7.01)	0.006*
> 4times/week	13 (7.4)	163 (92.6)	1		1	

Note: Adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, type of school, mother’s educational level, mother’s occupation, family type, number of siblings, socio-economic status, watching TV and fruits consumption.
*p-value <0.05

Separate analysis in male and female

Supplement Table 1: General characteristics of sampled adolescent students

Characteristics	Both Sexes		Male (n=170)		Female (n=190)	
	Overweight (n=44)	No Overweight (n=316)	Overweight (n=27)	No Overweight (n=143)	Overweight (n=17)	No Overweight (173)
	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)
Age						
16-17 years	35 (13.1)	233 (86.9)	24 (18.2)	108 (81.8)	11 (8.1)	125 (91.9)
18-19 years	9 (9.8)	83 (90.2)	3 (7.9)	35 (92.1)	6 (11.1)	48 (88.9)
Ethnicity						
Advantaged	32 (13.6)	203 (86.4)	22 (19.6)	90 (80.4)	10 (8.1)	113 (91.9)
Relatively disadvantaged	12 (9.6)	113 (90.4)	5 (8.6)	53 (91.4)	7 (10.4)	60 (89.6)
School type						
Private	29 (16.1)	151 (83.9)	21 (20.2)	83 (79.8)	8 (10.5)	68 (89.5)
Government	15 (8.3)	165 (91.7)	6 (9.1)	60 (90.9)	9 (7.9)	105 (92.1)
Mother's educational level						
Formal education	32 (14.2)	194 (85.8)	21 (18.4)	93 (81.6)	11 (9.8)	101 (90.2)
No formal education	12 (9.0)	122 (91.0)	6 (10.7)	50 (89.3)	6 (7.7)	72 (92.3)
Mother's occupation						
Working outside home also/ Employed/ non-housewife	27 (14.6)	158 (85.4)	19 (18.8)	82 (81.2)	8 (9.5)	76 (90.5)
Unemployed/housewife	17 (9.7)	158 (90.3)	8 (11.6)	61 (88.4)	9 (8.5)	97 (91.5)
Family type						
Nuclear	34 (13.9)	210 (86.1)	21 (19.3)	88 (80.7)	17 (8.9)	173 (91.1)
Extended/joint	10 (8.6)	106 (91.4)	6 (9.8)	55 (90.2)	4 (7.3)	51 (92.7)
No. of siblings						
Up to 2	39 (14.8)	225 (85.2)	24 (19.5)	99 (80.5)	15 (10.6)	126 (89.4)
More than 2	5 (5.2)	91 (94.8)	3 (6.4)	44 (93.6)	2 (4.1)	47 (95.9)
Socio-economic status						
High (Rich)	28 (23.3)	92 (76.7)	18 (32.1)	38 (67.9)	10 (15.6)	54 (84.4)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Middle	8 (6.7)	112 (93.3)	5 (8.3)	55 (91.7)	3 (5.0)	57 (95.0)
Low (Poor)	8 (6.7)	112 (93.3)	4 (7.4)	50 (90.6)	4 (6.1)	62 (93.9)
Watching TV						
> 2 hours/day	26 (32.9)	53 (67.1)	14 (41.2)	20 (58.8)	12 (26.7)	33 (73.3)
≤ 2 hours/day	18 (6.4)	263 (93.6)	13 (9.6)	123 (90.4)	5 (3.4)	140 (96.6)
Fruits consumption						
≤ 4 times/week	31 (16.8)	153 (83.2)	20 (20.6)	77 (79.4)	11 (12.6)	76 (87.4)
> 4times/week	13 (7.4)	163 (92.6)	7 (9.6)	66 (90.4)	6 (5.8)	97 (94.2)

SES: wealth index was computed using PCA, and the components included in wealth index were ownership of house, vehicles, animals, electronic goods (refrigerator, radio, TV, computer, fan), furniture (sofa, bed, cupboard, table, chair), mobile phone, telephone, housing characteristics and type of fuel for cooking.

Supplement Table 2. Risk factors of overweight among adolescent students, Nepal

Characteristics	Both sexes				Male				Female			
	Unadjusted OR (95%CI)	p-value	Adjusted OR (95%CI)	p-value	Unadjusted OR (95%CI)	p-value	Adjusted OR (95%CI)	p-value	Unadjusted OR (95%CI)	p-value	Adjusted OR (95%CI)	p-value
Age												
16-17 years	1.4 (0.6-3.0)	0.409	1.1 (0.4-2.9)	0.927	2.6 (0.7-9.1)	0.14	1.8 (0.4-8.7)	0.47	0.7 (0.3-2.0)	0.51	0.9 (0.2-3.8)	0.86
18-19 years	1		1		1		1		1		1	
Sex												
Male	1.9 (1.0-3.7)	0.048*	2.6 (1.2-4.9)	0.018*	NA		NA		NA		NA	
Female	1		1									
Ethnicity												
Advantaged	1.5 (0.7-3.0)	0.271	1.4 (0.6-3.3)	0.476	2.6 (0.9-7.2)	0.07	3.0 (0.8-11.0)	0.10	0.8 (0.3-2.1)	0.59	0.5 (0.1-2.1)	0.37
Relatively disadvantaged	1		1		1		1		1		1	
School type												
Private	2.1 (1.1-4.1)	0.027*	2.1 (1.0-4.3)	0.042*	2.5 (0.96-6.64)	0.06	2.1 (1.0-4.6)	0.046	1.4 (0.5-3.7)	0.54	0.7 (0.1-4.0)	0.69
Government	1		1		1		1		1		1	
Mother's Education												
Formal education	1.7 (0.3-1.2)	0.148	0.9 (0.3-2.2)	0.732	1.9 (0.7-5.0)	0.20	1.4 (0.4-5.2)	0.64	1.3 (0.5-3.7)	0.61	0.7 (0.1-3.1)	0.62
No formal education	1		1		1		1		1		1	
Mother's occupation												
Working outside home also/ Employed/ non-housewife	1.6 (0.8-3.0)	0.673	1.2 (0.5-2.6)	0.673	1.8 (0.7-4.3)	0.21	2.1 (0.7-6.1)	0.18	1.1 (0.4-3.1)	0.80	0.7 (0.2-2.5)	0.63
Unemployed/housewife	1		1		1		1		1		1	
Family type												

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Nuclear	1.7 (0.8-3.6) 1	0.154	1.4 (0.6-3.4) 1	0.445	2.2 (0.8-5.8) 1	0.11	2.8 (0.9-8.5) 1	0.06 9	1.4 (0.4-4.4) 1	0.61	1.1 (0.3-4.7) 1	0.86
Extended/joint												
No. of siblings												
Up to 2	3.2 (1.2-8.3) 1	0.019*	1.8 (0.6-5.6) 1	0.097	3.5 (1.01-12.4) 1	0.047	1.7 (0.4-7.3) 1	0.47	2.8 (0.6-12.7) 1	0.18	2.0 (0.3-11.7) 1	0.43
More than 2												
Socio-economic status												
High (Rich)	4.3 (1.9-9.8) 1	<0.001*	4.8 (1.4-16.7) 1	0.018*	5.9 (1.8-18.9) 1	0.003	6.0 (1.7-21.6) 1	.006	2.9 (0.8-9.7) 1	0.09	4.3 (1.1-16.7) 1	0.036
Middle	1.0 (0.4-2.8) 1	1.00	0.9 (0.3-3.2) 1	0.912	1.1 (0.3-4.5) 1	0.855	1.2 (0.3-5.2) 1	0.76	0.8 (0.2-3.8) 1	0.79	1.2 (0.2-6.4) 1	0.797
Low (Poor)												
Watching TV												
> 2 hours/day	7.17 (3.7-14.0) 1	<0.001*	8.9 (3.9-20.1) 1	<0.001*	6.6 (2.7-16.1) 1	<0.001	4.3 (1.6-11.6) 1	0.004	10.2 (3.3-30.9) 1	<0.001	11.5 (3.6-37.2) 1	<0.001
≤ 2 hours/day												
Fruits consumption												
≤ 4 times/week	2.5 (1.3-5.0) 1	0.008*	3.13 (1.4-7.0) 1	0.006*	2.5 (0.97-6.1) 1	.057	3.5 (1.2-10.0) 1	0.022	2.3 (0.8-6.6) 1	0.11	3.7 (1.1-12.0) 1	0.031
> 4times/week												

Note: Adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, school type, mother’s educational level, mother’s occupation, family type, number of siblings, socio-economic status, watching TV and fruits consumption
*p-value <0.05

STROBE 2007 (v4) Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cross-sectional studies*

Section/Topic	Item #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study’s design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	5
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	5
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	7
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	6
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	6
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	6
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	6
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	6
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	6
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	6
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	6
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Not applicable
Results			

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	5
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	5
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	-
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	16
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	No missing data
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	8
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	17
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	-
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	-
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	12
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	9
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	11
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	9
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	11
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	Not applicable

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Overweight And Its Associated Risk Factors Among Urban School Adolescents In Nepal: A Cross Sectional Study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2015-010335.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	29-Mar-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Piryani, Suneel; Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health Baral, Kedar; Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Department of Community Health Sciences Pradhan, Bandana ; Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health Poudyal, Amod; Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health Piryani, Rano; Chitwan Medical College, Department of Internal Medicine
Primary Subject Heading:	Public health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Nutrition and metabolism, Public health, Epidemiology
Keywords:	NUTRITION & DIETETICS, PUBLIC HEALTH, Nutrition < TROPICAL MEDICINE, EPIDEMIOLOGY, PAEDIATRICS

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 **Overweight And Its Associated Risk Factors Among Urban**
2 **School Adolescents In Nepal: A Cross Sectional Study**

3 Suneel Piryani, Kedar Prasad Baral, Bandana Pradhan, Amod Kumar Poudyal, Rano Mal
4 Piryani

5
6 **Corresponding author:** Suneel Piryani
7 Department of Community Medicine and Public Health, Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan
8 University, Kathmandu, Nepal
9 E-mail address: suneel.piryani@gmail.com
10 Contact No.: +977-9803166086

11
12 Kedar Prasad Baral
13 Department of Community Health Sciences, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Lalitpur,
14 Nepal
15 E-mail address: kedarbaral@pahs.edu.np

16
17 Bandana Pradhan
18 Department of Community Medicine and Public Health, Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan
19 University, Kathmandu, Nepal
20 E-mail address: bandana@reachpuba.org

21
22 Amod Kumar Poudyal
23 Department of Community Medicine and Public Health, Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan
24 University, Kathmandu, Nepal
25 Email address: amod103@gmail.com

26
27 Rano Mal Piryani
28 Department of Internal Medicine, Chitwan Medical College, Bharatpur, Chitwan, Nepal
29 Email address: r_piryani@yahoo.com

30
31 **Keywords:** Adolescent, overweight, risk factors, cross-sectional study, Nepal
32 **Total words** (Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, limitations & Conclusion): **2344**
33 **Number of tables:** **2**
34 **Number of references:** **30**
35

ABSTRACT

Objectives

The prevalence of non-communicable diseases is increasing in Nepal. Overweight and obesity are the major risk factors of many non-communicable diseases. Adolescence is a critical phase for development of overweight and obesity. Risk factors associated with overweight and obesity are not well understood in Nepal. The objective of the study was to identify the factors associated with overweight and obesity among adolescent students.

Setting

A cross-sectional descriptive study was carried out among higher secondary school students in the Lalitpur sub-metropolitan city, Nepal.

Participants

A random sample of 360 students aged 16 to 19 years from eight schools were included in the study.

Results

The prevalence of overweight among adolescent students was 12.2% (CI: 8.9-15.5). Factors associated with being overweight included being male (AOR=2.64, 95% CI: 1.18-4.88), studying in private school (AOR=2.10, 95% CI: 1.03-4.28), high socio-economic status family (AOR=4.77, 95% CI: 1.36-16.72), watching television for more than two hours per day (AOR=8.86, 95% CI: 3.90-20.11), and consuming fruits four times or less per week (AOR=3.13, 95%CI: 1.39-7.01). There was no statistically significant association between adolescent overweight and age, ethnicity, mother's education level, mother's occupation, number of siblings or family type.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 **Conclusions**

3 Socioeconomic status, watching television for longer time, and consuming less fruits are
4 major risk factors of overweight among adolescents in Nepal. Interventions are needed to
5 increase the awareness about the risk factors of adolescent overweight and obesity to
6 decrease prevalence of overweight-associated non-communicable diseases.

7
8 **Keywords**

9 Adolescent, overweight, risk factors, cross-sectional study, Nepal

10
11 **Strengths and limitations of the study**

- 12 • This study is among a few studies conducted in Nepal, which analyzed risk factors
13 associated with overweight among urban adolescent students.
- 14 • Schools and participants of the study have been selected randomly, which increases the
15 strength of the study.
- 16 • This study was conducted in eight schools in Lalitpur sub metropolitan city. So, the risk
17 factors that identified may not be representative of every urban city in Nepal.
- 18 • The study findings are based on self-reporting by the students and such findings are likely
19 to suffer from over - or under - reporting and recall bias.
- 20 • Cross-sectional nature of the study limits drawing inferences about causation.
- 21

1 Introduction

Today overweight and obesity contribute to the major public health problems worldwide. Although once considered a major problem in affluent countries, overweight and obesity are now on the rise even in low and middle-income nations like Nepal (1). This may be due to ongoing urbanization and economic transitions (subsistence to market) in Nepal. Nepal is urbanizing at faster pace, its urban population has increased to 17% of the total population in 2011 from 13.9% in 2001 (2). The urban population growth rate is 3.38% where rural and total population growth rates are 1.03 and 1.4 in 2011 (2). Economic transition and the urbanization process precipitates greatly increased levels of lifestyle-related risk factors like low physical activities and changes in dietary habits (3). Prevalence and risk of overweight and obesity during childhood and adolescence are increasing at greater pace in developing nations rather than in developed ones (1). Overweight and obesity are the major risk factors of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) such as diabetes, osteoarthritis and cardiovascular diseases. World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that NCDs cause 68% of deaths globally and nearly three quarters of all NCD deaths occur in low and middle-income countries (4).

Adolescence is a critical phase for the development of obesity due to various biological, psychological, social and environmental changes (5, 6). Adolescence overweight and obesity may persist into adulthood. A prospective longitudinal study showed that the 56% males and 42% females who were overweight in adolescence remained overweight in adulthood whereas 47% males and 55% females who were obese in adolescence remained obese in adulthood (7). Adolescence overweight and obesity may increase the risk for development of non-communicable diseases at a younger age and consequently a premature death. In addition to future health risks, overweight and obese adolescent also suffer from short-term health

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 consequences (1). In addition, their participation in school and other daily activities also limit
2 depending on the degree of obesity.
3
4 In Nepal, nearly one-quarter of the population (24%) comprise of adolescents (8). NCDs
5 account 60% of all deaths in Nepal and 23% caused by cardiovascular diseases (9). A
6 national survey conducted in Nepal in 2013 reported the prevalence of overweight among
7 Nepalese people (15-69 years age) to be 17.7% (10). Limited research has been done as yet
8 on the prevalence and factors associated with overweight among Nepalese adolescents (11).
9 This study is an attempt to fill the information and knowledge gap in this area and potential
10 use of it will be for designing policy and program to appropriately address this problem in
11 timely manner.

12
13 **Methods**

14 ***Study area***

15 This study was conducted in Lalitpur sub-metropolitan city, one of the major cities in Nepal.
16 It is adjacent to the capital city Kathmandu and is located in the southeast part of Kathmandu
17 valley. It is a fast growing area and has the highest density of schools in the Kathmandu
18 valley.

19
20 ***Study design and Selection of participants***

21 A cross sectional study was conducted during October to November 2014. A multistage
22 random sampling method was used to select the participants. The study area Lalitpur sub-
23 metropolitan city was purposively selected. A list of affiliated Higher Secondary Schools
24 (HSS) to Higher Secondary Education Board (HSEB) of Nepal was downloaded from HSEB
25 website. There were 52 schools in the sampling frame (13 government and 39 private) in this

study. Two separate lists of private and government schools were prepared. Out of 52 schools, eight schools (four government and four private) were randomly chosen through a lottery method and from each selected school one of the grades 11 or 12 was randomly selected. All students of the selected grade were included in the study. A total of 381 students filled out the self-administered questionnaire, and 21 questionnaires were discarded during data analysis (eight questionnaires were incompletely filled, 11 students were over 19 years age and two physically disabled students did not provide informed consent for measuring their weight). A total of 360 students' responses and anthropometric measurements were included in the study.

Data collection and statistical analysis

Data were collected using self-administered, pre-tested and structured questionnaire. Anthropometric measurements (height and weight) were obtained as per WHO guidelines using SECA digital weighing scale and stadiometer (12). The accuracy of the weighing scale and stadiometer were checked using standard weight and height at the beginning of every data collection session and after every five students measurement. Data were entered in Epi-data 3.1. Anthropometric calculation was done using WHO Anthro Plus software version 1.0.4 (13). The statistical analysis was done using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) software version 21. Bivariate and multivariate binary logistic regression analyses were done to find out the association between dependent (overweight) and independent (risk-factors) variables. Initially in bivariate analysis, a single variable at a time was entered; unadjusted odds ratio (OR) and 95 percent confidence interval (CI) were computed for all independent variables. Multicollinearity was checked among the variables and there was no significant collinearity (VIF 1-2). Multivariate analysis with all independent variable entered at same time was completed to adjust the effect of confounding, and adjusted OR and 95

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 percent CI were computed. Hosmer and Lemeshow test was done to test the goodness-of-fit
2 for multivariate logistic regression model and the model was found to be a good/fit (p-value >
3 0.05).

4
5 **Variables**

6 Adolescents whose BMI-for-age was above plus one standard deviations (+1SD) from the
7 median of the WHO reference population were classified as overweight (14). Age was
8 calculated by subtracting the date of birth, which was mentioned by the students, from date of
9 data collection. Ethnicities of students were organized into advantaged group (includes
10 advantaged Janajatis and upper caste) and relatively disadvantaged group (includes Dalits,
11 disadvantaged Janajatis, disadvantaged non-dalit Terai people and religious minorities).
12 Socio-economic status was computed by wealth index using Principal Component Analysis
13 (PCA), considering the assets holdings of the participants. Tertiles were generated data and
14 organized in low (poor), middle and high (rich) categories. The components included in the
15 wealth index were ownership of house, vehicles, animals, electronic goods (refrigerator,
16 radio, TV, computer, fan), furniture (sofa, bed, cupboard, table, chair), mobile phone,
17 telephone, housing characteristics and type of fuel for cooking. Fruit consumption by the
18 students during the past one week was grouped as four or less servings a week and more than
19 four servings a week. Similarly, the average number of hours of television watched was
20 grouped as two hours or less per day and more than two hours per day.

21
22 **Questionnaire**

23 A structured questionnaire was developed based on study objectives. For wealth index, a
24 validated Nepal demographic and health survey 2011 (NDHS) questionnaire measure was
25 adapted (15). For fruit consumptions, a list of locally available (market and locally grown)

fruits in the study season was developed and students were asked to tick number of times they had consumed the particular fruit during the past one week. Students were asked to tick the average numbers of hours per day they watched the television during last one week. A pilot study of the questionnaire was done in one non-sampled school. The questionnaire (consisting of socio-demographic, watching television and fruits consumption) was administered to 20 students. The questionnaires were found to be apt.

Ethical consideration

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal. Informed written consent was obtained from the sampled school authorities and participants. Confidentiality of information was assured and insured throughout the study. Information about the causes, health consequences, and prevention of nutritional problems such as underweight, overweight and micronutrients deficiency, was given to the students.

Results

The prevalence of overweight was 12.2% (95%CI: 8.9-15.5). Table 1 shows the general characteristics of adolescent student participants. The mean age, weight, height and BMI of the participants were 16.98 years (95% CI: 16.88-17.08), 52.5 Kg (95% CI: 51.5-53.5), 159.9 cm (95% CI: 159.0-160.8), and 20.5 Kg/m² (95% CI: 20.2-20.8) respectively. Bivariate analysis showed that six factors were independently associated with overweight in adolescents (Table 2). In multivariate analysis being male, studying in private school, having high socio-economic status, watching television more than two hours per day, and consuming fruits four times or less per week were significantly statistically associated with overweight. However, age, ethnicity, mother's educational level, mother's occupation, family type and

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

number of siblings did not show a statistically significant association with being overweight (Table 2). Separate analyses in males and females were performed (see supplementary Table 1 and Table 2). In males, school type, socio-economic status, watching television more than two hours per day and consuming fruits four times or less per week show a statistically significant association with being overweight (see supplementary Table 1 and Table 2). In females, socio-economic status, watching TV more than two hours per day and consuming fruits four times or less per week show a statistically significant association with being overweight (see supplementary Table 1 and Table 2).

9

Male students were 2.64 times more likely to be overweight than females (AOR=2.64, 95% CI: 1.18-4.88). Likewise, students studying in private schools were 2.1 times more likely to be overweight than the students studying in government schools (AOR=2.10, 95% CI: 1.03-4.28). Similarly, the students from rich families were 4.77 times more likely to be overweight than students from poor families (AOR=4.77, 95%CI: 1.36-16.72). Students who spent more than two hours per day in watching television were 8.86 times more likely to be overweight than the students who spent less than two hours per day (AOR=8.86, 95% CI: 3.90-20.11). Students who consumed fruits four times or less a week were 3.13 times more likely to be overweight than student who consumed fruits more than four times per week (AOR=3.13, CI: 1.39-7.01). (Table 2)

20

Discussion

The study data suggests that being male, studying in a private school, belonging to high socio-economic status family, watching television for more than two hours per day, consuming fruits four times or less per week were potential risk factors of overweight among Nepalese adolescent students. In this study, prevalence of overweight among adolescents in

1 Nepal was found to be 12.2%, which is lower than the reported by studies from Pakistan,
2 India and China (16-19). However, this finding is higher than the study conducted in the
3 Kaski district of Nepal (11). The males were nearly three times more likely to be overweight
4 than females. The finding is consistent with the studies from Pakistan, India and China,
5 which reported high prevalence in males than females (16-23).

7 This study showed adolescents studying in private schools were twice more likely to be
8 overweight than adolescents studying in government schools. The finding is consistent with
9 the studies conducted in India (18, 21). In this study, overweight among adolescents was
10 found to be significantly associated with the socio-economic status. The students from higher
11 socio-economic background families were nearly five times more likely to be overweight
12 than students from poor families. The finding is compatible with the findings of studies done
13 in India (17, 20-22, 24). The students from higher socio-economic background families have
14 more purchasing power for calorie dense and nutrient poor fast foods.

16 This study found that students who spent more than two hours per day in watching television
17 were nearly nine times more likely to be overweight than the students who spent less than
18 two hours per day. Watching television could be contributing to increasing incidence of
19 overweight among adolescents in many ways including (a) increased sedentary behavior and
20 decrease physically activity (b) increase snacking while watching television (c) disturbance in
21 normal sleeping pattern (d) increasing trends towards unhealthy eating pattern influenced by
22 advertisements of junk/fast foods (6, 25-27). A study conducted in Nepal showed that 1/4th
23 advertisements appeared on selected Nepali and Indian television channels were related to
24 junk foods and most these of advertisements were targeted the children (28). A study
25 conducted among adolescent girls in Sri-Lanka showed that risk of overweight was three

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 times higher among those who had screen time of more than two hours per day (29). Another
2 study done in India among adolescents reported the risk of overweight seven times higher
3 among those who had screen time of more than four hours per day (24).

4
5 Fruits and vegetables are important part of a healthy diet, their adequate daily consumption
6 could help lose weight and prevent many non-communicable diseases (30). In this study,
7 students who consumed fruits four times or less in a week were three times more likely to be
8 overweight than student who consumed fruits more than four times per week. A study among
9 Pakistani adolescents showed the same association with students who consumed fruits four or
10 more times per week being less likely to be obese than those who consumed fruits less than
11 four times per week (16). Another study conducted among adolescent girls in Sri-Lanka
12 showed that risk of overweight was two times higher among those who consumed fruits less
13 than four days per week (29).

14
15
16 **Strengths and limitations of the study**

- 17 • This study is among a few studies conducted in Nepal, which analyzed risk factors
18 associated with overweight among urban adolescent students.
- 19 • Schools and participants of the study have been selected randomly, which increases the
20 strength of the study.
- 21 • This study was conducted in eight schools in Lalitpur sub metropolitan city. So, the risk
22 factors that identified may not be representative of every urban city in Nepal.
- 23 • The study findings are based on self-reporting by the students and such findings are likely
24 to suffer from over-or under-reporting and recall bias.
- 25 • Cross-sectional nature of the study limits drawing inferences about causation.

1

2 Conclusion

3 The study provides evidence of the high prevalence of overweight among adolescents living
4 in one urban area of Nepal. Socioeconomic status, watching television for a long period of
5 time, and consuming less fruits are major risk factors of overweight among adolescents in
6 Nepal. Policies and programs not limited to Ministry of Health and Population but also
7 Ministry of Education and Ministry of Youth and Sports are needed to address the fast
8 growing problem appropriately and timely manner. An enabling environment is paramount
9 important to increase the awareness about the risk factors of adolescence overweight to
10 decrease prevalence of overweight-associated non-communicable diseases for coming
11 generation of Nepal.

12

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 **Abbreviations**

2	AOR	Adjusted Odd’s Ratio
3	BMI	Body Mass Index
4	CI	Confidence Interval
5	NCDs	Non-Communicable Diseases
6	OR	Odd’s Ratio
7	PCA	Principal Component Analysis
8	SD	Standard Deviation
9	SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Science
10	TV	Television
11	VIF	Variance inflation factor
12	WHO	World Health Organization

13

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

SP was involved in conceptualizing the study, reviewing the literature, designing protocol, developing questionnaire, data collection, analysis and preparing the manuscript. AKP supported in statistical analyses, interpretation of data and critically reviewed the manuscript.

KPB, BP, RMP helped in conceptualizing the study and critically reviewed the manuscript.

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Mr. Anurag Marasini, Mr. Bimash Babu Shrestha and Ms. Ranjana Jha for their help in data collection. We would like to thank all schools authorities and students who participated in the study.

Author details

SP: MPH graduate, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health, Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal

KPB: Rector, Professor, and Head of Department of Community Health Sciences, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Lalitpur, Nepal

BP: Professor, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health, Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal

AKP, Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health, Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal

RMP: Professor of Medical Education, Professor of Internal Medicine and Head of Department of Internal Medicine, Chitwan Medical College, Nepal

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

REFERENCES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

1. World Health Organization, Obesity and overweight Fact sheet January 2015. Available from: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/>.

2. Central Bureau of Statistics. Preliminary Result of Census 2011. Kathmandu: National Planning Commission: 2011.

3. World Health O. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic: report of a WHO consultation on obesity, Geneva, 3-5 June 1997. 1998.

4. Mendis S. Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2014: World Health Organization; 2014.

5. Dietz WH. Critical periods in childhood for the development of obesity. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 1994;59(5):955-9.

6. Story M, Neumark-Sztainer D, French S. Individual and Environmental Influences on Adolescent Eating Behaviors. Journal of the American Dietetic Association. 2002;102(3):S40-S51.

7. Laitinen J, Power C, Järvelin M-R. Family social class, maternal body mass index, childhood body mass index, and age at menarche as predictors of adult obesity. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2001;74(3):287-94.

8. Ministry of Health and Population GoN. Nepal Population Report 2011. Kathmandu: 2011.

9. World Health Organization - Noncommunicable Diseases (NCD) Country Profiles , 2014. Available from: http://www.who.int/nmh/countries/npl_en.pdf.

10. Aryal KK, Neupane S, Mehata S, Vaidya A, Singh S, Paulin F, et al. Non communicable diseases risk factors: STEPS Survey Nepal 2013. . Kathmandu: Nepal Health Research Council, 2014.

11. Acharya B, Chauhan HS, Thapa SB, Kaphle HP, Malla D. Prevalence and socio-demographic factors associated with overweight and obesity among adolescents in Kaski district, Nepal. Indian Journal of Community Health. 2014;26(6):118-22.

12. World Health O. Physical status: The use and interpretation of anthropometry, Report of a WHO Expert Committee. 1995.

13. WHO AnthroPlus for personal computers manual: software for assessing growth of the world's children and adolescents. Geneva: WHO. 2009.

14. WHO Growth References 2007: Geneva: WHO. Available from: <http://www.who.int/growthref/en/>.

15. Ministry of Health and Population (MOHP) [Nepal] NE, and ICF International Inc. Nepal Demographic and Health Survey 2011. Kathmandu, Nepal2012.

16. Ahmed J, Laghari A., Naseer M, Mehraj V. Prevalence of and factors associated with obesity among Pakistani schoolchildren: a school-based, cross-sectional study. Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal. 2013;19(3):242-7.

17. Chhatwal J, Verma M, Riar SK. Obesity among pre-adolescent and adolescents of a developing country (India). Asia Pacific journal of clinical nutrition. 2003;13(3):231-5.

18. Gupta DK, Shah P, Misra A, Bharadwaj S, Gulati S, Gupta N, et al. Secular trends in prevalence of overweight and obesity from 2006 to 2009 in urban asian Indian adolescents aged 14-17 years. PloS one. 2011;6(2):e17221.

19. Guo X, Zheng L, Li Y, Zhang X, Yu S, Yang H, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of being overweight or obese among children and adolescents in northeast China. Pediatric research. 2013;74(4):443-9.

20. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Vinitha R, Thayyil M, Kumar CK, Sheeba L, et al. Prevalence of overweight in urban Indian adolescent school children. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice. 2002;57:185-90.

- 1 21. Kaur. S, Sachdev. H, Dwivedi. S, Lakshmy. R, Kapil. U. Prevalence of overweight
2 and obesity amongst school children in Delhi. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 2008;17(4):592-6.
3 22. Alice Cherian, Sarah Cherian, Subbiah. S. Prevalence of Obesity and Overweight in
4 Urban School Children in Kerala, India. *INDIAN PEDIATRICS*. June 2012;49:475-7.
5 23. Goyal RK, Shah VN, Saboo BD, Phatak SR, Shah NN, Gohel MC, et al. Prevalence
6 of overweight and obesity in Indian adolescent school going children: its relationship with
7 socioeconomic status and associated lifestyle factors. *J Assoc Physicians India* March
8 2010;58:151–8.
9 24. Kotian MS, Kumar G, Kotian SS. Prevalence and determinants of overweight and
10 obesity among adolescent school children of South Karnataka, India. *Indian journal of
11 community medicine: official publication of Indian Association of Preventive & Social
12 Medicine*. 2010;35(1):176.
13 25. Amy B. Jordan, Emily K. Kramer-Golinkoff, Strasburger VC. Does Adolescent
14 Media Use Cause Obesity and Eating Disorders? *Adolesc Med*. 2008 19:431–49.
15 26. SJ Marshall, SJH Biddle, T Gorely, Cameron N, Murdey I. Relationships between
16 media use, body fatness and physical activity in children and youth: a meta-analysis.
17 *International Journal of Obesity*. 2004;28.
18 27. Mulligan DA. Policy Statement-Children, Adolescents, Obesity, and the Media (vol
19 128, pg 201, 2011). *Pediatrics*. 2011;128(3):594-.
20 28. Rapid Assessment on Media Coverage of Junk Food and its Content Analysis on
21 Selected Nepali and Indian Television Channels. Kathmandu, Nepal: Resource Centre for
22 Primary Health Care (RECPHEC), Kathmandu, 2014.
23 29. Rathnayake KM, Roopasingam T, Wickramasighe VP. Nutritional and behavioral
24 determinants of adolescent obesity: a case-control study in Sri Lanka. *BMC public health*.
25 2014;14(1):1291.
26 30. Tohill BC. Dietary intake of fruit and vegetables and management of body weight:
27 WHO; 2005.
28
29

1 **Table 1: General characteristics of sampled adolescent students**

Characteristics	Frequency	Percentage
Age		
16-17 years	268	74.4
18-19 years	92	25.6
Mean (±SD)	16.98 (±0.98)	
Sex		
Female	190	52.8
Male	170	47.2
Ethnicity		
Advantaged	235	65.3
Relatively disadvantaged	125	34.7
School type		
Private	180	50
Government	180	50
Mother's educational level		
Formal education	226	62.8
No formal education	134	37.2
Mother's occupation		
Working outside home also/ Employed/non-housewife	185	51.4
Unemployed/housewife	175	48.6
Family type		
Nuclear	244	67.8
Extended/joint	116	32.2
No. of siblings		
Up to 2	264	73.3
More than 2	96	26.7
Socio-economic status*		
Rich	120	33.3
Middle class	120	33.3
Poor	120	33.3
Watching TV		
≤ 2 hours/day	281	78.1
> 2 hours/day	79	21.9
Fruits consumption		
≤ 4 times/week	184	51.1
> 4times/week	176	48.9

2 * Wealth index was computed using PCA, and the components included in wealth index were
3 ownership of house, vehicles, animals, electronic goods (refrigerator, radio, TV, computer,
4 fan), furniture (sofa, bed, cupboard, table, chair), mobile phone, telephone, housing
5 characteristics and type of fuel for cooking.
6

Table 2. Risk factors of the overweight among adolescent students, Nepal

Characteristics	Overweight (n=44) No. (%)	No Overweight (n=316) No. (%)	Unadjusted OR (95%CI)	p-value	Adjusted OR (95%CI)	p-value
Age						
16-17 years	35 (13.1)	233 (86.9)	1.38 (0.64-3.00)	0.409	1.05 (0.37-2.94)	0.927
18-19 years	9 (9.8)	83 (90.2)	1		1	
Sex						
Male	27 (15.9)	143 (84.1)	1.92 (1.00-3.67)	0.048*	2.64 (1.18-4.88)	0.018*
Female	17 (8.9)	173 (91.1)	1		1	
Ethnicity						
Advantaged	32 (13.6)	203 (86.4)	1.48 (0.74-3.00)	0.271	1.38 (0.57-3.31)	0.476
Relatively disadvantaged	12 (9.6)	113 (90.4)	1		1	
School type						
Private	29 (16.1)	151 (83.9)	2.11 (1.09-4.09)	0.027*	2.10 (1.03-4.28)	0.042*
Government	15 (8.3)	165 (91.7)	1		1	
Mother's educational level						
Formal education	32 (14.2)	194 (85.8)	1.67 (0.30-1.20)	0.148	0.85 (0.32-2.22)	0.732
No formal education	12 (9.0)	122 (91.0)	1		1	
Mother's occupation						
Working outside home also/ Employed/ non-housewife	27 (14.6)	158 (85.4)	1.59 (0.83-3.03)	0.673	1.18 (0.54-2.60)	0.673
Unemployed/housewife	17 (9.7)	158 (90.3)	1		1	
Family type						
Nuclear	34 (13.9)	210 (86.1)	1.72 (0.82-3.61)	0.154	1.41 (0.59-3.39)	0.445
Extended/joint	10 (8.6)	106 (91.4)	1		1	
No. of siblings						
Up to 2	39 (14.8)	225 (85.2)	3.15 (1.20-8.26)	0.019*	1.85 (0.61-5.61)	0.097
More than 2	5 (5.2)	91 (94.8)	1		1	
Socio-economic status						
High (Rich)	28 (23.3)	92 (76.7)	4.26 (1.85-9.80)	<0.001*	4.77 (1.36-16.72)	0.018*

Middle	8 (6.7)	112 (93.3)	1.00 (0.36-2.76)	1.00	0.93 (0.27-3.18)	0.912
Low (Poor)	8 (6.7)	112 (93.3)	1		1	
Watching TV						
> 2 hours/day	26 (32.9)	53 (67.1)	7.17 (3.67-14.00)	<0.001*	8.86 (3.90-20.11)	<0.001*
≤ 2 hours/day	18 (6.4)	263 (93.6)	1		1	
Fruits consumption						
≤ 4 times/week	31 (16.8)	153 (83.2)	2.54 (1.28-5.04)	0.008*	3.13 (1.39-7.01)	0.006*
> 4times/week	13 (7.4)	163 (92.6)	1		1	

Note: Adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, type of school, mother’s educational level, mother’s occupation, family type, number of siblings, socio-economic status, watching TV and fruits consumption.
*p-value <0.05

Separate analysis in male and female

Supplement Table 1: General characteristics of sampled adolescent students

Characteristics	Both Sexes		Male (n=170)		Female (n=190)	
	Overweight (n=44)	No Overweight (n=316)	Overweight (n=27)	No Overweight (n=143)	Overweight (n=17)	No Overweight (173)
	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)
Age						
16-17 years	35 (13.1)	233 (86.9)	24 (18.2)	108 (81.8)	1 (8.1)	125 (91.9)
18-19 years	9 (9.8)	83 (90.2)	3 (7.9)	35 (92.1)	0 (11.1)	48 (88.9)
Ethnicity						
Advantaged	32 (13.6)	203 (86.4)	22 (19.6)	90 (80.4)	0 (8.1)	113 (91.9)
Relatively disadvantaged	12 (9.6)	113 (90.4)	5 (8.6)	53 (91.4)	0 (10.4)	60 (89.6)
School type						
Private	29 (16.1)	151 (83.9)	21 (20.2)	83 (79.8)	0 (10.5)	68 (89.5)
Government	15 (8.3)	165 (91.7)	6 (9.1)	60 (90.9)	9 (7.9)	105 (92.1)
Mother's educational level						
Formal education	32 (14.2)	194 (85.8)	21 (18.4)	93 (81.6)	1 (9.8)	101 (90.2)
No formal education	12 (9.0)	122 (91.0)	6 (10.7)	50 (89.3)	6 (7.7)	72 (92.3)
Mother's occupation						
Working outside home also/ Employed/ non-housewife	27 (14.6)	158 (85.4)	19 (18.8)	82 (81.2)	8 (9.5)	76 (90.5)
Unemployed/housewife	17 (9.7)	158 (90.3)	8 (11.6)	61 (88.4)	6 (8.5)	97 (91.5)
Family type						
Nuclear	34 (13.9)	210 (86.1)	21 (19.3)	88 (80.7)	7 (8.9)	173 (91.1)
Extended/joint	10 (8.6)	106 (91.4)	6 (9.8)	55 (90.2)	4 (7.3)	51 (92.7)
No. of siblings						
Up to 2	39 (14.8)	225 (85.2)	24 (19.5)	99 (80.5)	1 (10.6)	126 (89.4)
More than 2	5 (5.2)	91 (94.8)	3 (6.4)	44 (93.6)	2 (4.1)	47 (95.9)
Socio-economic status						
High (Rich)	28 (23.3)	92 (76.7)	18 (32.1)	38 (67.9)	1 (15.6)	54 (84.4)

Middle	8 (6.7)	112 (93.3)	5 (8.3)	55 (91.7)	10 (5.0)	57 (95.0)
Low (Poor)	8 (6.7)	112 (93.3)	4 (7.4)	50 (90.6)	4 (6.1)	62 (93.9)
Watching TV						
> 2 hours/day	26 (32.9)	53 (67.1)	14 (41.2)	20 (58.8)	10 (26.7)	33 (73.3)
≤ 2 hours/day	18 (6.4)	263 (93.6)	13 (9.6)	123 (90.4)	5 (3.4)	140 (96.6)
Fruits consumption						
≤ 4 times/week	31 (16.8)	153 (83.2)	20 (20.6)	77 (79.4)	14 (12.6)	76 (87.4)
> 4times/week	13 (7.4)	163 (92.6)	7 (9.6)	66 (90.4)	6 (5.8)	97 (94.2)

SES: wealth index was computed using PCA, and the components included in wealth index were ownership of house, vehicles, animals, electronic goods (refrigerator, radio, TV, computer, fan), furniture (sofa, bed, cupboard, table, chair), mobile phone, telephone, housing characteristics and type of fuel for cooking.

Supplement Table 2. Risk factors of overweight among adolescent students, Nepal

Characteristics	Both sexes				Male				Female			
	Unadjusted OR (95%CI)	p-value	Adjusted OR (95%CI)	p-value	Unadjusted OR (95%CI)	p-value	Adjusted OR (95%CI)	p-value	Unadjusted OR (95%CI)	p-value	Adjusted OR (95%CI)	p-value
Age												
16-17 years	1.4 (0.6-3.0)	0.409	1.1 (0.4-2.9)	0.927	2.6 (0.7-9.1)	0.14	1.8 (0.4-8.7)	0.47	0.7 (0.3-2.0)	0.51	0.9 (0.2-3.8)	0.86
18-19 years	1		1		1		1		1		1	
Sex												
Male	1.9 (1.0-3.7)	0.048*	2.6 (1.2-4.9)	0.018*	NA		NA		NA		NA	
Female	1		1									
Ethnicity												
Advantaged	1.5 (0.7-3.0)	0.271	1.4 (0.6-3.3)	0.476	2.6 (0.9-7.2)	0.07	3.0 (0.8-11.0)	0.10	0.8 (0.3-2.1)	0.59	0.5 (0.1-2.1)	0.37
Relatively disadvantaged	1		1		1		1		1		1	
School type												
Private	2.1 (1.1-4.1)	0.027*	2.1 (1.0-4.3)	0.042*	2.5 (0.96-6.64)	0.06	2.1 (1.0-4.6)	0.046	1.4 (0.5-3.7)	0.54	0.7 (0.1-4.0)	0.69
Government	1		1		1		1		1		1	
Mother's Education												
Formal education	1.7 (0.3-1.2)	0.148	0.9 (0.3-2.2)	0.732	1.9 (0.7-5.0)	0.20	1.4 (0.4-5.2)	0.64	1.3 (0.5-3.7)	0.61	0.7 (0.1-3.1)	0.62
No formal education	1		1		1		1		1		1	
Mother's occupation												
Working outside home also/ Employed/ non-housewife	1.6 (0.8-3.0)	0.673	1.2 (0.5-2.6)	0.673	1.8 (0.7-4.3)	0.21	2.1 (0.7-6.1)	0.18	1.1 (0.4-3.1)	0.80	0.7 (0.2-2.5)	0.63
Unemployed/housewife	1		1		1		1		1		1	
Family type												

Nuclear	1.7 (0.8-3.6)	0.154	1.4 (0.6-3.4)	0.445	2.2 (0.8-5.8)	0.11	2.8 (0.9-8.5)	0.06	1.4 (0.4-4.4)	0.61	1.1 (0.3-4.7)	0.86
Extended/joint	1		1		1		1		1		1	
No. of siblings												
Up to 2	3.2 (1.2-8.3)	0.019*	1.8 (0.6-5.6)	0.097	3.5 (1.01-12.4)	0.047	1.7 (0.4-7.3)	0.47	2.8 (0.6-12.7)	0.18	2.0 (0.3-11.7)	0.43
More than 2	1		1		1		1		1		1	
Socio-economic status												
High (Rich)	4.3 (1.9-9.8)	<0.001*	4.8 (1.4-16.7)	0.018*	5.9 (1.8-18.9)	0.003	6.0 (1.7-21.6)	.006	2.9 (0.8-9.7)	0.09	4.3 (1.1-16.7)	0.036
Middle	1.0 (0.4-2.8)	1.00	0.9 (0.3-3.2)	0.912	1.1 (0.3-4.5)	0.855	1.2 (0.3-5.2)	0.76	0.8 (0.2-3.8)	0.79	1.2 (0.2-6.4)	0.797
Low (Poor)	1		1		1		1		1			
Watching TV												
> 2 hours/day	7.17 (3.7-14.0)	<0.001*	8.9 (3.9-20.1)	<0.001*	6.6 (2.7-16.1)	<0.001	4.3 (1.6-11.6)	0.004	10.2 (3.3-30.9)	<0.001	11.5 (3.6-37.2)	<0.001
≤ 2 hours/day	1		1		1		1		1		1	
Fruits consumption												
≤ 4 times/week	2.5 (1.3-5.0)	0.008*	3.13 (1.4-7.0)	0.006*	2.5 (0.97-6.1)	.057	3.5 (1.2-10.0)	0.022	2.3 (0.8-6.6)	0.11	3.7 (1.1-12.0)	0.031
> 4times/week	1		1		1		1		1		1	

Note: Adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, school type, mother’s educational level, mother’s occupation, family type, number of siblings, socio-economic status, watching TV and fruits consumption

*p-value <0.05

OVERWEIGHT AND ITS ASSOCIATED RISK FACTORS AMONG URBAN SCHOOL ADOLESCENTS IN NEPAL

Instruction:

Please

- read each question carefully and respond appropriately
- feel free to ask if you don't understand/confuse about any question
- tick ☐ (✓) or write answer in the box accordingly

Form No.		Date of data collection	
Weight of the student	 Kg	

Q. No.	Questions	Response and Code
1	What is your name? (Optional)	
2	What is your school's name?	
3	What is the type of your school?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Government <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Private
4	What is your date of birth?	Day Month Year (AD)
5	Are you a male or female?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Male <input type="checkbox"/> 2. female
6	What is your ethnicity?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Dalits <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Disadvantaged Janajatis <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Disadvantaged Non Dalit Terai People <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Religious Minorities <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Advantaged Janajatis <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Upper Caste <input type="checkbox"/> 7. Others specify.....
7	What is the educational level of your mother?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Illiterate <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Literate <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Primary (1-5) <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Lower Secondary (6-8) <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Secondary (9-10) <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Higher Secondary (11-12) <input type="checkbox"/> 7. Bachelor and above <input type="checkbox"/> 8. Don't know
8	What is the major occupation of your mother?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Housewife <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Agriculture <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Labor <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Business <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Government service <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Non-government service <input type="checkbox"/> 7. Foreign employee <input type="checkbox"/> 8. Student <input type="checkbox"/> 9. Unemployed <input type="checkbox"/> 10. Others (specify) <input type="checkbox"/> 11. Don't know
9	How many brothers and sisters you have? Brothers Sisters
10	What is type of your family?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Nuclear <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Joint <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Extended <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Others specify.....
11	During last one week, how many hours you had watched TV per day?	<input type="checkbox"/> < 1hour/day <input type="checkbox"/> 1-2 hours/day

	<input type="checkbox"/> > 2hours
--	-----------------------------------

12. How many numbers of times you had consumed the following fruits in last one week?

Fruits Name	Not consumed	Once per week	2-4 times per week	5-6 times per week	> 6 times per week
Apple	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Banana	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Guava	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Orange	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pomegranate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Grapes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Others, specify					

SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS

Q. No	Questions	Response and code
13	Do you have your own home?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 0. No
14	Are you living in your own home or rented house?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Own <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Rented <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Others specify.....
15	Tick the items which you have at your home/house	<div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">• Electricity• Radio• Television• Mobile phone• Telephone• Refrigerator• Bed• Sofa• Cupboard• Computer• Table• Chair• Clock• Fan• Dhiki/Janto</div><div><input type="checkbox"/> 1. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 0. No <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 0. No <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 0. No <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 0. No <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 0. No <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 0. No <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 0. No <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 0. No <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 0. No <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 0. No <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 0. No <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 0. No <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 0. No <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 0. No <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 0. No</div></div>
21	What type of fuel is used mainly for cooking at your home/house?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Electricity <input type="checkbox"/> 2. LPG <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Biogas <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Kerosene <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Wood <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Animal dung <input type="checkbox"/> 7. Other (specify).....
22	What is your house's roof mainly made of?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Thatched roof <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Galvanized sheet <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Ceramic stiles <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Cement <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Others, specify
23	Does any member of the family own any agricultural land?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 0. No
24	How many bigha/katha of agricultural land your family own? Bigha Ropani <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know

25	Do you have any livestock, herds, other farm animals or poultry?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
26	If yes, please specify which animal/s and how many.	Buffalo Milk cows or bulls Goats Chickens Ducks Pigs Others specify
27	Does anyone in the family own Bicycle/rickshaw, Motorcycle/ scooter, three-wheeler, Car, Bus or truck?	• Bicycle/rickshaw <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No • Motorcycle/ scooter <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No • Three wheeler <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No • Car <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No • Bus or truck <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Your responses are greatly appreciated. If you have any other comments, please mention below.

Thank you!!!

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

STROBE 2007 (v4) Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cross-sectional studies*

Section/Topic	Item #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study’s design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	5
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	5
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	7
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	6
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	6
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	6
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	6
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	6
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	6
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	6
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	6
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Not applicable
Results			

Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	5
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	5
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	-
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	16
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	No missing data
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	8
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	17
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	-
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	-
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	12
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	9
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	11
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	9
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	11
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	Not applicable

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.