

BMJ Open

A Comparison of Cognitive and Neuropsychiatric Profiles in hospitalised elderly medical patients with Delirium, Dementia and Comorbid Delirium-Dementia

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Journal: | <i>BMJ Open</i> |
| Manuscript ID: | bmjopen-2015-009212 |
| Article Type: | Research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 01-Jul-2015 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Leonard, Maeve; University of Limerick, Graduate Entry Medical School McInerney, Shane; St Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto, Psychiatry McFarland, John; University of Limerick, Graduate Entry Medical School Condon, Candice; University of Limerick, Graduate Entry Medical School Awan, Fahad; University of Limerick, Graduate Entry Medical School O'Connor, Margaret; University Hospital Limerick, Geriatric Medicine Reynolds, Paul; University Hospital Limerick, Psychiatry for Later Life Meaney, Anna; University Hospital Limerick, Psychiatry for Later Life ADAMIS, Dimitrios; Research and Academic Institute of Athens, Dept. of Ageing and Health Dunne, C.; University of Limerick, Graduate Entry Medical School Cullen, Walter; University College Dublin, School of Medicine Trzepacz, Paula; University of Indiana, School of Medicine Meagher, David; University of Limerick, Graduate Entry Medical School |
| Primary Subject Heading: | Geriatric medicine |
| Secondary Subject Heading: | Mental health |
| Keywords: | Delirium, Dementia < NEUROLOGY, Cognition, Neuropsychiatry, Assessment |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

30th June 2015
3,689 Words
6 Tables
41 References

A Comparison of Cognitive and Neuropsychiatric Profiles in hospitalised elderly medical patients with Delirium, Dementia and Comorbid Delirium-Dementia

Maeve Leonard^{1,2,3}, Shane McInerney⁴, John McFarland^{1,5}, Candice Condon^{1,2}, Fahad Awan^{1,2,3}, Margaret O'Connor^{1,6}, Paul Reynolds^{1,3}, Anna Maria Meaney^{1,3}, Dimitrios Adamis^{1,2,7,8}, Colum Dunne^{1,2}, Walter Cullen^{1,2}, Paula T. Trzepacz⁹⁻¹¹, David J. Meagher^{1,2,3}

¹Graduate Entry Medical School, University of Limerick, Ireland

²Cognitive Impairment Research Group, Centre for Interventions in Infection, Inflammation & Immunity (4i), Graduate Entry Medical School, University of Limerick, Ireland

³Department of Psychiatry, University Hospital Limerick, Ireland

⁴St Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

⁵Department of Acute Psychiatry, Midwestern Regional Hospital, Ennis, Co.Clare, Ireland

⁶Department of Geriatric Medicine, University Hospital Limerick, Ireland

⁷Research and Academic Institute of Athens, Greece, 27 Themistokleous Street, Athens, 106 77, Greece.

⁸Sligo Mental Health Services, Ballytivan, Sligo, Ireland.

⁹Indiana University School of Medicine, USA

¹⁰University of Mississippi Medical School, USA

¹¹Tufts University School of Medicine, USA

Correspondence to Professor David Meagher, Graduate-Entry Medical School, University of Limerick, Ireland (david.meagher@ul.ie) (00353-61-202700)

Key Words : Delirium, dementia, phenomenology, assessment, diagnosis

ABSTRACT

Background and Aims: Differentiation of delirium and dementia is a key diagnostic challenge but there has been limited study of features that distinguish these conditions.

Methods: Consecutive elderly medical inpatients with DSM-IV delirium, dementia, comorbid delirium-dementia, and cognitively intact controls were assessed cross-sectionally using the Revised Delirium Rating Scale (DRS-R98), Cognitive Test for Delirium (CTD) and Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI-Q).

Results: 176 patients were assessed with delirium (n=50), dementia (n=32), comorbid delirium-dementia (n=62) and cognitively intact (n=32). Both delirium and comorbid delirium-dementia groups scored higher than the dementia group for DRS-R98 and CTD total scores, but all three neurocognitively impaired groups scored similarly in respect of total NPI-Q scores. For individual DRS-R98 items, delirium groups were distinguished from dementia by a range of non-cognitive symptoms, but only for impaired attention of the cognitive items. For the CTD, attention (p=0.002) and vigilance (p=0.01) distinguished delirium from dementia. No individual CTD item distinguished comorbid delirium-dementia from delirium. For the NPI-Q, there were no differences between the three neurocognitively impaired groups for any individual item severity.

Conclusions: The Neurocognitive profile of delirium is similar with or without comorbid dementia and differs from dementia without delirium. Simple tests of attention and vigilance can help to distinguish delirium from other presentations. The NPI-Q does not readily distinguish between neuropsychiatric disturbances in delirium versus dementia. [218]

Article summary: strengths and Limitations of this study

- This study includes a detailed cross-sectional assessment comparing the phenomenological profile of common neurocognitive disorders in elderly medical patients within a general hospital setting
- The findings highlight that delirium and dementia are characterised by different neuropsychiatric and cognitive disturbances
- Performance on simple bedside tests of attention and vigilance is disproportionately impaired in patients with delirium compared to dementia and thus have distinguishing capacity
- The Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) lacks specificity for the neuropsychiatric disturbances of dementia over delirium
- Further study should examine how these patterns are reflected for different dementia subtypes

Introduction

Delirium and dementia are major neurocognitive disorders that are both common and commonly misdiagnosed in hospitalized elderly. [1, 2] Improved management of these under-recognized neuropsychiatric presentations is a key target within healthcare services. Accurate and timely recognition of these disorders is important because delirium is linked to a variety of adverse outcomes and is frequently the principal presenting feature of urgent physical illness. Gonzalez et al, [3] for example, found that mortality was increased by 11% for each additional 48 hours of active delirium. Bellelli et al [4] showed that patients with delirium superimposed upon dementia experience a two-fold risk of death within one year, emphasizing the need for clear delineation of this presentation. However, distinction is complicated by the considerable phenomenological overlap between these conditions and high comorbidity where the prevalence of delirium superimposed upon dementia in community and hospital settings ranges from 22-89%. [5]

Our understanding of the comparative phenomenological profile of major neurocognitive disorders is based upon studies conducted in a variety of populations. [6-16] These studies have applied different methods to the assessment of neuropsychiatric profile but have focused upon characterizing the neuropsychiatric features of comorbid illness rather than identifying distinguishing features of delirium versus dementia. Moreover, they have included limited account of the range of neuropsychological impairments that occur in these conditions.

We studied the cognitive and neuropsychiatric profiles of consecutive referrals of elderly medical inpatients to a psychiatry for later life consultation-liaison service. In particular, we aimed to address: (i) how does neuropsychiatric and cognitive profile in comorbid delirium-dementia compare to that of either disorder alone when analysed in conjunction with cognitively-intact control patients from the same setting, and (ii) which features best differentiate delirium and dementia, including comorbid cases.

METHODS

Subjects and Design

We conducted a prospective cross-sectional study of neuropsychiatric symptoms and cognitive performance in consecutive referrals to a psychiatry for later life consultation-liaison service of patients with delirium, dementia, comorbid delirium-dementia, as well as cognitively normal comparison subjects. Cases with altered mental state were identified on daily rounds by the medical team and referred for assessment and diagnosis by the research team.

Assessments were conducted by raters (DM, ML, JMcF, SMcl, VL) specifically trained in the use of the tools included herein (see below) and to further enhance inter-rater reliability, ratings associated with any uncertainty were discussed and agreed by consensus between raters.

1
2
3 Delirium was diagnosed according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders
4
5 (DSM) IV criteria [17] based upon a full clinical assessment. Dementia was defined as a clear
6
7 history of documented DSM-IV dementia (based on all available information at the time of
8
9 assessment including clinical case notes and collateral history from family and / or carers) or a
10
11 short Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the elderly (IQCODE) score of ≥ 3.5 . [18-20]
12
13 Comorbid delirium-dementia was defined as the presence of both disorders. Patients with normal
14
15 cognition and no prior history of cognitive problems were also recruited for assessment.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 Each case was then assessed by first completing the DRS-R98 followed by administration of the
25
26 CTD. The DRS-R98 rated the preceding 24 hour period whereas the CTD measured cognition at the
27
28 time of its administration. CTD responses were not used to rate DRS-R98 items. The NPI-Q and
29
30 IQ-CODE were completed on the same day and after consultation with family and / or carers who
31
32 were familiar with the day to day functioning of the patient over the recent past.
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 **Informed Consent**

40
41
42 The procedures and rationale for the study were explained to all patients but because many
43
44 patients had cognitive impairment at entry into the study it was presumed that many might not
45
46 be capable of giving informed written consent. Because of the non-invasive nature of the study,
47
48 University Hospital Limerick Regional Ethics Committee approved an approach to establishing
49
50 consent by virtue of augmenting patient assent with proxy consent from next of kin (where
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

possible) or a responsible caregiver for all participants in accordance with the Helsinki Guidelines for Medical research involving human subjects.[21]

Assessments

Demographic data and medication at the time of the assessment were recorded. All available information from medical records and collateral history was used. Nursing staff were interviewed to assist rating of symptoms over the previous 24 hours.

The Delirium Rating Scale-Revised-98 [DRS-R98][22] is designed for broad phenomenological assessment of delirium. It is a 16-item scale with 13 severity and 3 diagnostic items with high interrater reliability, sensitivity and specificity for detecting delirium in mixed neuropsychiatric and other hospital populations. Each item is rated 0 (absent/normal) to 3 (severe impairment) with descriptions anchoring each severity level. Severity scale scores range from 0-39 with higher scores indicating more severe delirium. Delirium typically involves scores above 15 points (Severity scale) or 18 points (Total scale) when dementia is in the differential diagnosis. For determination of item frequencies in this study, any item score ≥ 1 was considered as being “present”.

The Cognitive Test for Delirium [CTD][23] was specifically designed to assess hospitalized patients with delirium, in particular those who are intubated or unable to speak or write. It assesses five neuropsychological domains (orientation, attention, memory, comprehension, and vigilance)

1
2
3 emphasizing nonverbal (visual and auditory) modalities. Each individual domain is scored from 0-
4
5
6 6 in 2 point increments, except for comprehension (single point increments). Total scores range
7
8
9 between 0-30 with higher scores indicating better cognitive function and scores of less than 19
10
11 consistent with delirium. It reliably differentiates delirium from other neuropsychiatric conditions
12
13 including dementia, schizophrenia and depression. [23]
14
15

16
17
18
19
20 The Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) [24, 25] was developed for assessing neuropsychiatric
21
22 symptoms in patients with Alzheimer's disease and other neurodegenerative disorders. Studies
23
24 of cognitively intact older adults indicate extremely low scores suggesting that the NPI is good at
25
26 distinguishing between healthy people and those with dementia. The NPI-Q is a self-
27
28 administered short questionnaire version of the NPI [25] intended for use in everyday clinical
29
30 practice. Neuropsychiatric symptom severity is assessed in the same way as the original NPI.
31
32
33 The NPI-Q includes ten behavioural and two neurovegetative items that are assessed by an
34
35 informed caregiver who is knowledgeable about the patient's daytime and night-time
36
37 behaviours. Symptoms are rated over the past four weeks. Each of the 12 symptom domains is
38
39 assessed by a screening question derived from the NPI-Q that covers symptom manifestations
40
41 with anchor points for symptom severity rated on a three point scale and caregiver distress
42
43 ratings rated on a five point scale. The questionnaire includes written instructions and the total
44
45 NPI-Q severity score represents the sum of individual symptom scores and ranges from 0 to 36.
46
47
48 [26] The NPI can be further divided into four subscales – Agitation/aggression, frontal, mood
49
50 and psychosis. [27]
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly-Short Form (IQCODE-SF) is a
4 validated screening tool for detecting cognitive impairment.[19] The short version of the
5 IQCODE includes 16 items that rate cognitive change over time, each of which are rated by an
6 informant on a 5 point likert scale. The short-IQCODE takes approximately 10 minutes to
7 administer. The total score divided by the number of questions provides a mean item score
8 where ratings ≥ 3.5 are considered indicative of longstanding cognitive difficulties and
9 dementia.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20
21
22
23 The Delirium Etiology Checklist (DEC)[28] was used to document etiological underpinnings of
24 delirium. This standardised checklist captures delirium etiology according to twelve categories.
25
26 The presence and suspected role of multiple potential causes were documented for each case
27 of delirium, rated on a 5-point scale for degree of attribution to the delirium episode, ranging
28 from 'ruled out/not present/not relevant' (0) to 'definite cause' (4).
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Statistical Analyses**

41
42
43 Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS-19. Demographic and rating scale data are expressed as
44 means plus standard deviation. Continuous variables (e.g. age, total DRS-R98 and CTD scores) were
45 compared by one way ANOVA with independent t-tests used for post hoc comparisons. Non-normal data
46 (eg, DRS-R98 and CTD item scores) were compared with Mann-Whitney U tests for between group
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60 Comparisons.

RESULTS

A total of 176 patients were assessed of whom 50 had delirium without dementia, 62 had both delirium and dementia, 32 had dementia without delirium, and 32 were deemed cognitively intact. Demographic, medication and general clinical data for patients from these four groups are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences between the four groups in respect of age, gender distribution, number of medications received or use of psychotropic medications.

The principal underlying etiologies for delirium (n=112) as captured on the DEC were systemic infection (66), CNS infection (4), metabolic/ endocrine disturbance (39), drug intoxication (5), drug withdrawal (6) cerebrovascular (28), organ insufficiency (22), seizure-related (12), neoplasm (9), traumatic brain injury. (2)

Table 1 compares mean scores for the four groups for the DRS-R98 total and severity scales, CTD, IQ-CODE and NPI-Q. Both delirium groups were more impaired than the dementia group on total scores for the DRS-R98 and CTD. All three neurocognitively impaired groups scored similarly in respect of total NPI-Q scores. The mean short IQCODE scores distinguished the groups, with both dementia groups scoring well in excess of the suggested cutoff score.[19]

Means and standard deviations for each individual item (1-16) on the DRS-R98 are described in Table 2. The three groups with cognitive impairment differed from cognitively intact controls

1
2
3 across the majority of items, including both cognitive and non-cognitive symptoms. Delirium
4 diagnostic items (symptom fluctuation, acute onset, and attributable physical disorder)
5
6 significantly distinguished delirium groups from the other groups. In addition, both delirium
7
8 groups were distinguished from the dementia-only group for sleep-wake cycle disturbances,
9
10 perceptual disturbances, affective lability, and language abnormalities. Of note, the delirium and
11
12 dementia alone groups were not distinguished by cognitive items (including either measures of
13
14 memory) apart from impaired attention which was more severe in both delirium groups. Both
15
16 delirium groups were very similar in disturbance levels for the majority of items but were
17
18 distinguished by severity of sleep-wake cycle disturbance, thought process abnormalities and
19
20 motor agitation.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 Table 3 shows the comparison of individual CTD item scores between the four groups. There was
32
33 a statistically significant difference overall between the four neurocognitive groups for each of the
34
35 five individual sections ($p < .001$). No item distinguished comorbid delirium-dementia from
36
37 delirium. Both attention ($p=0.002$) and vigilance ($p=0.01$) distinguished delirium from dementia
38
39 while only vigilance significantly distinguished delirium-dementia from dementia ($p<0.001$).
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 The frequencies for each of the 12 individual severity and distress items for the neurocognitive
47
48 disorder groups (delirium alone, comorbid delirium-dementia, dementia, and control) are shown
49
50 in tables 4 and 5. There was a significant difference overall between the four patient groups for 10
51
52 of the 12 individual distress items of the NPI-Q. All three neurocognitive groups scored more
53
54 highly than controls for anxiety, while the two delirium groups (but not the dementia-only group)
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 scored more highly than controls for agitation-aggression, irritability-lability and aberrant motor
4
5
6 behaviour. Conversely, the dementia groups (but not delirium alone) scored more highly than
7
8
9 controls for depression-dysphoria and sleep disturbances, while only the comorbid delirium-
10
11 dementia group scored more highly than controls for apathy-indifference. Analysis of the NPI-Q
12
13 subscale scores [27] showed significant differences between all three neurocognitive groups and
14
15 controls and broadly replicated these findings (Table 6).
16
17
18
19
20

21 DISCUSSION

22
23
24
25
26 We compared the neuropsychiatric profile of elderly medical inpatients with a variety of
27
28 neurocognitive presentations, including a cognitively-intact group. We used well-validated
29
30 instruments for both delirium and dementia symptom severity – the DRS-R98, CTD and NPI-Q -
31
32 which allow for detailed investigation of cognitive and neuropsychiatric profile in these complex
33
34 syndromes. We found that patients with active delirium – both with and without comorbid
35
36 dementia – could be distinguished from patients with dementia-alone in respect of a range of
37
38 neuropsychiatric and cognitive disturbances identified with the DRS-R98 and CTD scales, but
39
40 less so with the NPI-Q. This suggests that the NPI-Q does not readily distinguish between the
41
42 neuropsychiatric disturbances of delirium and the so-called Behavioural and Psychological
43
44 Symptoms of Dementia (BPSD).
45
46
47
48
49
50

51
52
53 We found similar cognitive and neuropsychiatric profile in patients with delirium and comorbid
54
55 delirium-dementia. However, delirium (both comorbid and without dementia) was
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 distinguished from dementia without delirium by both a variety of neuropsychiatric symptoms
4
5 and in terms of cognitive performance on tests of attention and vigilance.
6
7
8
9

10 The findings in respect of differences in cognitive profile between delirium and dementia
11 extends previous work using similar instrumentation conducted in palliative care, where
12 attention distinguished both delirium and comorbid delirium-dementia from dementia alone.
13 [12] In addition, this study of elderly medical inpatients found that performance on vigilance
14 distinguished delirium groups from dementia. Attentional disturbances in delirium are in
15 respect of the ability to direct, focus, sustain and shift attention. Vigilance is a term that has
16 many possible meanings, but is most commonly equated with the ability to sustain attention to
17 a task and thus is often referred to as 'vigilant' attention.[29] The vigilance test of the CTD used
18 herein involves a letter recognition test and thus explores the ability to sustain attentional
19 performance. Previous work has highlighted how attention and vigilance are closely linked,
20 including in patients with delirium [30] where there was high correlation between DRS-R98
21 attention (which emphasizes the months backwards test) and CTD attention (which uses
22 combined performance on the digit span forwards and backwards) ($r = -0.73$), as well as
23 between DRS-R98 attention and CTD vigilance ($r = -0.60$). Similarly, Brown et al [31] compared
24 performance among patients with delirium, dementia and unimpaired cognition on a series of
25 tests of sustained visual attention and found that delirious patients could be distinguished
26 across a range of tests, while performance among the patients with dementia was relatively
27 preserved and equivalent to the unimpaired controls. These findings highlight how efforts to
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 improve detection of delirium (e.g. developing screening tools) can be enhanced by
4
5
6 emphasizing sustained attention/vigilance as key elements within the cognitive domain.
7
8
9

10 Neuropsychiatric profiles

11
12
13
14
15
16 Previous comparisons of delirium versus comorbid delirium-dementia in terms of
17
18 neuropsychiatric profile measured on the Delirium Symptom Interview in elderly medical
19
20 inpatients, [6,32] and DRS and BPRS in geropsychiatric patients [7] has found that these
21
22 conditions are phenomenologically similar. However, other studies using the Organic Brain Scale
23
24 (OBS) in mixed community-dwelling and hospitalized groups [11,14] found that delirious patients
25
26 with comorbid dementia have more hyperactive features, more commonly experience psychotic
27
28 symptoms, have more profound communication difficulties and are more prone to symptom
29
30 worsening in the evening. Margiotta et al [10] compared DRS profiles in delirious elderly medical
31
32 inpatients with and without comorbid dementia. They found that comorbid cases had higher
33
34 overall DRS scores, with greater perceptual disturbances, symptom fluctuation and experienced
35
36 more prolonged delirium episodes. Otherwise, these groups were similar in terms of other DRS
37
38 symptoms and MMSE scores. We found that delirium and comorbid-delirium dementia groups
39
40 were very similar in disturbance levels for the majority of symptoms but were distinguished by
41
42 severity of DRS-R98 thought process abnormalities and motor agitation.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51
52
53
54 Studies that have compared profiles in patients with dementia with and without comorbid
55
56 delirium indicate considerable differences in terms of neuropsychiatric symptom burden.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Landreville et al [15] studied long term care residents using the Behaviour Problem Scale and
4
5 found that patients with comorbid delirium-dementia had greater sleep problems, wandering,
6
7 irrational behaviour and aggression. They suggested that BPSD may be a risk factor for delirium.
8
9
10 Holtta et al [13] used the NPI in demented patients from acute geriatric inpatient (n=195) and
11
12 Nursing home settings (n=230) with and without delirium and found that the majority of
13
14 patients with dementia had multiple neuropsychiatric symptoms (NPS) but, that comorbid
15
16 delirium was associated with greater NPS and a poorer prognosis. In addition, one third of
17
18 dementia patients with multiple NPS had comorbid delirium. Hasegawa et al [16] compared
19
20 dementia to comorbid delirium-dementia in respect of NPI ratings in memory clinic attenders.
21
22 They found significantly higher total NPI scores for comorbid delirium-dementia, with similar
23
24 scores across the groups for most individual items except for greater agitation in comorbid
25
26 delirium-dementia. They concluded that delirium 'exaggerates' BPSD in dementia. We found
27
28 that in comparison to dementia patients without delirium, comorbid delirium-dementia patients
29
30 had greater DRS-R98 sleep-wake cycle disturbances, perceptual disturbances, affective lability,
31
32 and language abnormalities, as well as CTD impairment of vigilance. However, these two groups
33
34 did not differ in respect of NPI-Q ratings.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 Two previous studies [8,12] have compared phenomenological profile in patients with delirium,
47
48 dementia, comorbid delirium-dementia and without neurocognitive disorder. Laurila et al [8]
49
50 supplemented a detailed clinical assessment with the WAIS and digit span. Demented patients
51
52 with and without delirium differed in respect of multiple symptoms including attention,
53
54 disorganised thinking, perceptual disturbances, sleep difficulties, psychomotor abnormalities,
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 acuity of onset and presence of etiology - all of which were more prominent in patients with
4
5 comorbid illness. Specific comparison of delirious patients with and without underlying
6
7 dementia was not reported. Meagher and colleagues [12] studied palliative care patients and
8
9 found that delirium and comorbid delirium-dementia groups had comparable DRS-R98 and CTD
10
11 total scores, which were greater than in dementia or control groups. On the DRS-R98,
12
13 inattention, disorientation and multiple non-cognitive symptoms (sleep-wake cycle, perceptual
14
15 abnormality, affective lability, thought process abnormality, motor agitation and motor
16
17 retardation) were more severe in delirium groups compared with dementia-alone. In this study,
18
19 we found that both delirium groups were distinguished from the dementia-only group for
20
21 attention, sleep-wake cycle disturbances, perceptual disturbances, affective lability, language
22
23 abnormalities and all three diagnostic items (acuity of onset, symptom fluctuation and
24
25 attributable physical disorder).
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Trzepacz and colleagues [33] in a comparison of delirium and dementia without delirium found
37
38 greater impairment in delirium for disturbances of attention, visuospatial ability, the sleep-wake
39
40 cycle, perception, thought process, affective lability, motor agitation, comprehension, and
41
42 acuity of onset and fluctuation of symptoms.
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 Overall, these findings highlight how delirium symptoms overshadow dementia when comorbid
50
51 and, along with the greater diagnostic urgency for delirium, emphasise that elderly medical
52
53 patients with neuropsychiatric symptoms should be presumed to have delirium until otherwise
54
55 clarified.
56
57
58
59
60

Comparison of assessment tools

Although the neuropsychiatric profile of patients varied according to delirium and dementia status, these patterns differed across the assessment tools employed. The instruments that we used differ both in their content and time frames covered – the CTD exclusively focuses on cognitive performance at the time of testing, the DRS-R98 includes cognitive and neurobehavioural elements over the previous 24 hours, while the NPI-Q focuses on neuropsychiatric disturbances over the previous month. Our findings suggest that although patients with delirium and dementia experience a similar range of neurobehavioural disturbances (e.g. over a month as measured with the NPI-Q), the relative acuity of delirium is associated with greater symptom burden in the previous twenty four hours (as captured on the DRS-R98). In addition, although the DRS-R98 and NPI-Q both assess for psychosis, sleep-wake cycle disturbance, motor behaviour and affective alterations, the emphasis for some features is different whereby NPI-Q explores specifically for apathy and sustained affective changes, while in the DRS-R98 the focus is upon lability of affective expression.

In particular, the differences between delirium groups and dementia in respect of DRS-R98 items for sleep, affective lability and perceptual disturbances were not mirrored with the NPI-Q. In addition to the contrasting time frames covered by these tools, their emphasis within these domains is different; the DRS-R98 focuses upon alterations to the sleep-wake cycle over the previous twenty-four hours and emphasizes fragmentation and cycle reversal in severity rating.

1
2
3 The NPI-Q emphasizes sleep at night, with the range and duration of night-time behaviours
4
5 central to rating of severity. Moreover, the NPI-Q is rated by an informant rather than a
6
7 psychiatrist. As such, our findings suggest that the character of sleep disturbances differs across
8
9 neurocognitive disorders and that delirium is particularly characterized by altered sleep-wake
10
11 cycle. This echoes previous work which has emphasised that more severe disturbances involving
12
13 altered sleep-wake cycle such as fragmentation and cycle reversal are relatively specific to
14
15 delirium and occur in 75% or more of patients with active delirium.[30, 34,35]
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 Similarly, we found different patterns in respect of altered affective functioning. Classically,
25
26 delirium is associated with affective lability while dementia is often complicated by more
27
28 sustained disturbances of mood, apathy and indifference. We found that delirium groups had
29
30 higher scores for the DRS-R98 item for affective lability, while only the dementia groups scored
31
32 higher than controls for depression-dysphoria on the NPI-Q. Affective disturbances are thus
33
34 common elements of both delirium and dementia, and are increasingly recognized as risk
35
36 factors for both conditions.[36,37] More detailed study of affective symptoms and how they
37
38 differ across neurocognitive disorders is warranted.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 Study limitations

47
48
49
50

51 Cross-sectional studies cannot fully capture the phenomenological profile of conditions such as
52
53 delirium where symptom fluctuation is prominent, though the DRS-R98 utilizes a 24-hour
54
55 reporting period and the NPI-Q captures symptom profile over the previous month. We could not
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 specify the stage or primary cause of dementia but evidence indicates that the frequency of
4
5 different neuropsychiatric disturbances varies across dementia types.[38,39] The observations
6
7 regarding sleep and affective changes can be better explored with tools that have these domains
8
9 as their primary focus and that explore different aspects of each in greater detail in order to
10
11 ascertain the different character of disturbances across neurocognitive syndromes.
12
13
14
15

16 17 18 Implications 19

20
21
22 Guidance regarding differentiating symptoms between delirium and dementia is relatively
23
24 lacking in the definition of delirium in DSM-5 [40] or ICD-10 [41], suggesting that these
25
26 diagnostic systems would be advanced by criteria to guide efforts to distinguish these common
27
28 conditions. This work suggests that particular neuropsychiatric symptoms and the methods by
29
30 which these symptoms are assessed, including their character and timeframe are key to
31
32 accurately distinguishing neurocognitive disorders. This is especially relevant in the assessment
33
34 of suspected BPSD or major neurocognitive disorder with behavioural disturbance as described
35
36 in DSM-5.[40] As a general rule, patients with significant neuropsychiatric disturbances as
37
38 measured on delirium-focused instruments such as the DRS-R98 are highly indicative of
39
40 delirium, while disturbances captured on tools such as the NPI are less discerning. Given the
41
42 diagnostic urgency of delirium, our findings favour use of the DRS-R98 as the primary symptom
43
44 assessment tool. Although delirium and dementia are both characterised by generalised
45
46 disturbance of cognitive function, this work emphasises how delirium can be distinguished from
47
48 dementia by virtue of the disproportionate impairment of attention and vigilance. These
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 cognitive functions should be emphasised in efforts to identify delirium, including in populations
4
5
6 where there are high rates of dementia.
7
8

9 10 **Funding Statement**

11 This work was supported by a research project grant from the Health Research Board (HRA
12
13 2011/48)
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 **Contributorship statement**

21 Study design was conducted by Maeve Leonard, Shane McInerney, John McFarland, Margaret O'Connor,
22
23 Paul Reynolds, Anna Maria Meaney, Paula T. Trzepacz, David J. Meagher.
24
25

26 Data collection was conducted by Maeve Leonard, Shane McInerney, John McFarland, David J. Meagher.
27

28 Data analysis was performed by Maeve Leonard, Candice Condon, Dimitrios Adamis, Paula T. Trzepacz,
29
30 David J. Meagher.
31
32

33 Manuscript preparation was performed by Maeve Leonard, Shane McInerney, John McFarland, Candice
34
35 Condon, Fahad Awan, Margaret O'Connor, Paul Reynolds, Anna Maria Meaney, Dimitrios Adamis, Colum
36
37 Dunne, Walter Cullen, Paula T. Trzepacz, David J. Meagher.
38
39
40
41
42

43 **Data Sharing Statement**

44 We have no data to share.
45
46
47
48
49

50 **Competing Interests**

51 The authors have no competing interests to declare
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

REFERENCES

- [1] Collins N, Blanchard MR, Yookman A, et al. Detection of delirium in the acute hospital. *Age Ageing* 2010;39(1):131-5.
- [2] Ryan D, O'Regan N, Caoimh R, et al. Delirium in an adult acute hospital population: predictors, prevalence and detection. *BMJ Open* 2013;Jan 7;3(1).
- [3] Gonzalez M, Khurana V. Erratum study of impact of delirium on short-term mortality in elderly inpatients. *Psychosomatics* 2009;Nov-Dec;50(6):640.
- [4] Bellilli G, Frisoni G, Turco R, et al. Delirium superimposed on dementia predicts 12-month survival in elderly patients discharged from a postacute rehabilitation facility. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2007;62(11):1306-9.
- [5] Fick DM., Agostini JV., Inouye SK .Delirium superimposed upon dementia: a systematic review. *JAGS* 2002;50:1723-32
- [6] Liptzin B, Levkoff SE, Gottlieb GL, et al. Delirium: background papers for DSM-IV. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 1993;5:154-160.

1
2
3 [7] Trzepacz PT, Mulsant BH, Dew MA, et al. Is delirium different when it occurs in dementia? A
4 study using the Delirium Rating Scale. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci , 1998;10:199-204.
5
6
7
8

9
10
11 [8] Laurila JV, Pitkala KH, Strandberg TE, et al. Delirium among patients with and without
12 dementia: does the diagnosis according to the DSM-IV differ from the previous classifications?
13 International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry 2004;19(3):271-277.
14
15
16
17

18
19
20
21 [9] Voyer P, Cole MG, McCusker J, et al. Prevalence and symptoms of delirium superimposed on
22 dementia. Clinical Nursing Research 2006;15:46-66.
23
24
25
26

27
28
29 [10] Margiotta A, Bianchetti A, Ranien P, et al. Clinical characteristics and risk factors of delirium
30 in demented and not demented elderly medical inpatients. J Nutr Health Aging 2006;Nov-
31 Dec;10(6):535-9.
32
33
34
35

36
37
38 [11] Edlund A, Lundstrom M, Sandberg O, et al. Symptom profile of delirium in older people
39 with and without dementia. J Geriatr Psych Neurol 2007;20:166-171.
40
41
42
43

44
45
46 [12] Meagher DJ, Leonard M, Donnelly S, et al. A comparison of neuropsychiatric and cognitive
47 profiles in delirium, dementia, comorbid delirium-dementia and cognitively intact controls. J
48 Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2010;81: 876-881.
49
50
51
52

- 1
2
3 [13] Holttä E, Lakkonen ML, Laurila JV, et al. The overlap of delirium with neuropsychiatric
4 symptoms among patients with dementia. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2011; Dec;19(12):1034-41.
5
6
7
8
9
10
11 [14] Lundström M, Stenvall M, Olofsson B. Symptom profile of postoperative delirium in patients
12 with and without dementia. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* 2012; Sep; 25(3):162-9.
13
14
15
16
17
18 [15] Landreville P, Voyer P, Carmichael PH. Relationship between delirium and behavioral
19 symptoms of dementia. *Int Psychogeriatr* 2013; 25(4):635-43.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 [16] Hasegawa N, Hashimoto M, Yuuki S, et al. Prevalence of delirium among outpatients with
27 dementia. *Int Psychogeriatr* 2013; Nov; 25(11):1877-83.
28
29
30
31
32
33 [17] American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 4th
34 edition. Washington, DC, USA: American Psychiatric Press, 1994
35
36
37
38
39
40
41 [18] Jorm AF. A short form of the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly
42 (IQCODE): development and cross-validation. *Psychol Med* 1994; Feb, 24(1):145-53.
43
44
45
46
47
48 [19] Jorm AF, Christensen H, Korten AE, et al. Informant ratings of cognitive decline in old age:
49 validation against change on cognitive tests over 7 to 8 years. *Psychological Medicine*
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 [20] Jorm AF. The Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE): a
4 review. *Int Psychogeriatrics* 2004;16: 275-93.
5
6
7
8

9
10
11 [21] World Medical Association. Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical Principles for Medical Research
12 Involving Human Subjects, 2004. <http://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/>
13
14
15

16
17
18 [22] Trzepacz PT, Mittal D, Torres R, et al .Validation of the Delirium Rating Scale-Revised-98
19 (DRS-R-98). *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2001;13:229-242.
20
21
22

23
24
25
26 [23] Hart RP, Levenson JL, Sessler CN, et al. Validation of a cognitive test for delirium in medical
27 ICU patients. *Psychosomatics* 1996;37:533-46.
28
29
30

31
32
33 [24] Cummings JL, Mega M, Gray K, et al. The Neuropsychiatric Inventory: comprehensive
34 assessment of psychopathology in dementia. *Neurology* 1994;44:2308-2314.
35
36
37

38
39
40
41 [25] Cummings JL. The Neuropsychiatric Inventory: Assessing psychopathology in dementia
42 patients. *Neurology* 1997;48(Supple 6):S10-S16.
43
44
45

46
47
48 [26] Kaufer DI, Cummings JL, Ketchel et al. Validation of the NPI-Q, a Brief Clinical Form of the
49 Neuropsychiatric Inventory. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2000;12:233–239.
50
51
52

1
2
3 [27] Trzepacz PT, Saykin A, Yu P, Bhamditipati P, Sun J, Dennehy EB, Willis B, Cummings JL.
4
5
6 Subscale validation of the neuropsychiatric inventory questionnaire: comparison of Alzheimer's
7
8 disease neuroimaging initiative and national Alzheimer's coordinating center cohorts. *Am J*
9
10 *Geriatr Psychiatry* 2013; 21:607-22.

11
12
13
14
15
16 [28] Trzepacz P, Meagher D. Neuropsychiatric aspects of delirium (Chapter 15). In: Yudofsky S,
17
18 Hales R, editors. *American Psychiatric Association Textbook of Neuropsychiatry*. 5th edition.
19
20 Washington DC: American Psychiatric Publishing Inc: 2008.

21
22
23
24
25
26 [29] Oken BS, Salinsky MC, Elsas SM. Vigilance, alertness, or sustained attention: physiological
27
28 basis and measurement. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2006;117:1885–1901.

29
30
31
32
33 [30] Meagher DJ, Moran M, Raju B, et al. Phenomenology of 100 consecutive adult cases of
34
35 delirium. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 2007;190:135-41

36
37
38
39
40
41 [31] Brown LJ, Fordyce C, Zaghdani H et al. Detecting deficits of sustained visual attention in
42
43 delirium. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2011;82(12):1344-40.

44
45
46
47
48 [32] Albert MS, Levkoff SE, Reilly C, et al. The delirium symptom interview: an interview for the
49
50 detection of delirium symptoms in hospitalized patients. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* 1992;Jan-
51
52 Mar;5(1):14-21.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 [33] Trzepacz PT., Mittal D., Torres R., et al. Delirium vs dementia symptoms: Delirium Rating
4 Scale-Revised (DRS-R-98) and Cognitive Test for Delirium (CTD) item comparisons.
5
6

7
8 Psychosomatics 2002;43:156—157
9

10
11
12 [34] Jabbar F., Leonard M., Meehan K., et al. Neuropsychiatric and cognitive profile of patients
13 with DSM- IV delirium referred to an old age psychiatry consultation-liaison service. Int
14
15

16
17
18 Psychogeriatrics 2011;23:1167-74.
19

20
21
22 [35] Mattoo SK, Grover S, Chakravarty G et al. Symptom Profile and Etiology of Delirium in a
23 Referral Population in Northern India: Factor Analysis of the DRS-R98. J Neuropsychiatry and
24
25
26
27
28
29 Clin Neurosci 2012;24:95-101.
30

31
32
33 [36] O'Sullivan R, Meagher D, Leonard M et al. A comparison of the revised Delirium Rating
34 Scale (DRS-R98) and the Memorial Delirium Assessment Scale (MDAS) in a palliative care cohort
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42 with DSM-IV delirium. Palliat Support Care 2014;July 3:1-8.
43

44 [37] Ismail Z, Malick A, Smith E et al. Depression versus dementia: is this construct still relevant?
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52 Neurodegenerative Disease Management 2014;4(2):119-126.
53

54 [38] D'Onofrio G, Sancarolo D, Panza F, et al. Neuropsychiatric symptoms and functional status in
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1
2
3 [39] Srikanth S., Nagaraja AV., Ratnavalli E. Neuropsychiatric symptoms in dementia-frequency,
4 relationship to dementia severity and comparison in Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia and
5 frontotemporal dementia. J Neurological Sciences 2005; 236:43-48.
6
7
8
9

10
11
12 [40] American Psychiatric Association: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders,
13 Fifth Edition. Arlington, VA, American Psychiatric Association, 2013.
14
15
16
17
18
19

20
21 [41] World Health Organization. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related
22 Health Problems, 10th Revision. Geneva, World Health Organization, 1992.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Demographic and medication data for the four patient groups (mean \pm SD)

| | Delirium (n=50) | Comorbid delirium-dementia (n=62) | Dementia (n=32) | Control (n=32) |
|----------------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| Male (%) | 55 | 43 | 53 | 41 |
| Age | 78.9 \pm 9.8 | 81.1 \pm 7.3 | 80.9 \pm 5.0 | 81.7 \pm 7.5 |
| Number of Psychotropics | 1.0 \pm 1.1 | 1.4 \pm 1.1 | 1.4 \pm 1.2 | 0.8 \pm 1.1 |
| DRS-R98 total | 22.0 \pm 8.4† | 18.9 \pm 6.9§ | 14.0 \pm 6.8* | 6.1 \pm 5.2 |
| DRS-R98 severity | 17.3 \pm 7.4‡ | 15.1 \pm 6.1§ | 11.8 \pm 6.3* | 4.7 \pm 4.1 |
| CTD total | 14.6 \pm 9.6 | 14.2 \pm 7.7 | 18.1 \pm 8.0* | 24.6 \pm 4.8 |
| Short IQCODE | 3.1 \pm 0.3 | 4.2 \pm 1.4 | 4.1 \pm 0.7 | 2.9 \pm 0.6 |
| NPI-Q Distress | 8.4 \pm 6.4 | 9.9 \pm 7.2 | 6.7 \pm 6.1* | 1.5 \pm 2.1 |
| NPI-Q Severity | 11.9 \pm 10.6 | 12.3 \pm 10.6 | 10.2 \pm 9.5* | 1.6 \pm 2.7 |

Note: †delirium > dementia at p<0.001,

‡delirium > dementia at p<0.005,

§comorbid delirium-dementia > dementia at p<0.05,

*all three neurocognitive groups greater than controls at p < 0.001.

Table 2. DRS-R98 item severities (mean scores \pm SD) for delirium, comorbid delirium-dementia, dementia alone, and control groups

| | Controls (n = 32) | Delirium (n = 50) | Comorbid delirium- dementia (n = 62) | Dementia (n = 32) |
|---|----------------------|------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| 1. Sleep-wake cycle disturbance | 0.5 \pm 0.7 | 2.0 \pm 0.9 ^{†**} | 1.5 \pm 0.9 [*] | 0.9 \pm 0.8 [¶] |
| 2. Perceptual disturbances and hallucinations | 0.2 \pm 0.7 | 1.0 \pm 1.3 [†] | 0.7 \pm 1.1 [*] | 0.2 \pm 0.7 [¶] |
| 3. Delusions | 0.1 \pm 0.6 | 0.6 \pm 1.0 | 0.4 \pm 0.8 | 0.3 \pm 0.7 [¶] |
| 4. Lability of affect | 0.2 \pm 0.6 | 1.4 \pm 1.1 [‡] | 1.0 \pm 0.9 [*] | 0.5 \pm 0.7 |
| 5. Language | 0.1 \pm 0.4 | 1.1 \pm 1.1 [†] | 0.8 \pm 1.0 [*] | 0.3 \pm 0.7 [¶] |
| 6. Thought process abnormalities | 0.6 \pm 0.8 | 2.5 \pm 4.6 ^{*§} | 1.1 \pm 1.1 | 0.8 \pm 0.9 [¶] |
| 7. Motor agitation | 0.2 \pm 0.5 | 1.5 \pm 1.1 ^{‡**} | 0.9 \pm 0.9 | 0.6 \pm 0.8 |
| 8. Motor retardation | 0.1 \pm 0.4 | 0.6 \pm 0.9 | 0.5 \pm 0.8 | 0.3 \pm 0.6 [¶] |
| 9. Orientation | 0.2 \pm 0.4 | 1.2 \pm 1.0 | 1.5 \pm 0.7 [*] | 1.0 \pm 0.8 |
| 10. Attention | 0.6 \pm 0.8 | 2.4 \pm 1.4 [‡] | 2.0 \pm 0.9 [*] | 1.6 \pm 1.1 |
| 11. Short term memory | 0.9 \pm 0.9 | 1.7 \pm 1.2 | 2.1 \pm 0.9 | 1.7 \pm 1.0 |
| 12. Long term memory | 0.4 \pm 0.6 | 1.4 \pm 1.0 | 1.3 \pm 0.9 | 1.1 \pm 1.1 |
| 13. Visuospatial ability | 0.6 \pm 0.9 | 2.1 \pm 1.1 | 1.8 \pm 1.1 | 1.6 \pm 1.2 |
| 14. Temporal onset of symptoms | 0.4 \pm 0.6 | 2.0 \pm 0.6 ^{‡§} | 1.6 \pm 0.9 [‡] | 0.6 \pm 0.7 |
| 15. Fluctuation in symptom severity | 0.2 \pm 0.5 | 1.2 \pm 0.6 ^{‡**} | 0.7 \pm 0.7 [†] | 0.3 \pm 0.4 [¶] |
| 16. Physical disorder | 0.8 \pm 0.6 | 1.9 \pm 0.4 [‡] | 1.8 \pm 0.4 [‡] | 1.2 \pm 0.7 |

* more impaired than dementia at $p \leq 0.05$

1
2
3 † more impaired than dementia at $p < 0.01$
4

5 ‡ more impaired than dementia at $p < 0.001$
6

7
8 ** more impaired than delirium-dementia at $p < 0.01$
9

10 § more impaired than delirium-dementia at $p \leq 0.05$
11

12 ¶ no difference between dementia and controls
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

Table 3. Cognitive Test for Delirium (CTD) section scores for neurocognitive disorder groups, delirium, comorbid delirium-dementia, dementia alone, and control. Controls performed in the normal range for each item.

| | Controls (n = 32) | Delirium (n = 50) | Comorbid delirium- dementia (n = 62) | Dementia (n = 32) |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------|
| 1. Orientation | 5.8 ± 0.6 | 3.7 ± 2.4 | 3.2 ± 2.1 | 4.3 ± 2.1 |
| 2. Attention | 4.7 ± 1.7 | 2.1 ± 2.1†* | 3.1 ± 2.1 | 3.8 ± 2.1 |
| 3. Memory | 5.2 ± 1.1 | 2.9 ± 2.3 | 2.3 ± 2.3† | 3.6 ± 2.0 |
| 4. Comprehension | 5.7 ± 0.5 | 3.8 ± 2.1* | 4.1 ± 1.8 | 4.7 ± 1.7 |
| 5. Vigilance | 4.3 ± 1.9 | 1.6 ± 2.2 | 1.4 ± 2.0* | 2.5 ± 2.3 |

* more impaired than dementia at $p \leq 0.05$

† more impaired than dementia at $p < .01$

‡ more impaired than dementia at $p < .001$

** more impaired than delirium-dementia at $p < .01$

§ more impaired than delirium-dementia at $p \leq 0.05$

¶ no difference between dementia and controls

Table 4. Frequencies for the 12 individual *severity* items of the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) for delirium, comorbid delirium-dementia, dementia alone, and control groups .

| | Controls (n = 32) | Delirium (n = 50) | Comorbid delirium- dementia (n = 62) | Dementia (n = 32) | <i>p</i> |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------|----------|
| 1. Delusions | 1 | 12 | 17 | 5 | ≤.05 |
| 2. Hallucinations | 0 | 14 | 21 | 5 | <.01 |
| 3. Agitation / Aggression | 1 | 23 | 35 | 12 | <.001 |
| 4. Depression / Dysphoria | 5 | 14 | 30 | 14 | ≤.05 |
| 5. Anxiety | 6 | 21 | 31 | 16 | ≤.05 |
| 6. Elation / Euphoria | 0 | 1 | 7 | 2 | NS |
| 7. Apathy / Indifference | 2 | 15 | 30 | 9 | <.001 |
| 8. Disinhibition | 2 | 8 | 18 | 1 | <.01 |
| 9. Irritability / Lability | 4 | 23 | 36 | 11 | <.001 |
| 10. Aberrant Motor Behaviour | 2 | 19 | 25 | 9 | <.01 |
| 11. Sleep and Nighttime Disturbances | 3 | 13 | 32 | 12 | <.001 |
| 12. Appetite / Eating Disturbances | 7 | 16 | 29 | 10 | NS |

Table 5. Frequencies for the 12 individual *distress* items of the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) for delirium, comorbid delirium-dementia, dementia alone, and control groups.

| | Controls (n = 32) | Delirium (n = 50) | Comorbid delirium- dementia (n = 62) | Dementia (n = 32) | <i>p</i> |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------|----------|
| 1. Delusions | 1 | 12 | 17 | 5 | ≤.05 |
| 2. Hallucinations | 0 | 15 | 19 | 4 | <.001 |
| 3. Agitation / Aggression | 1 | 23 | 33 | 12 | <.001 |
| 4. Depression / Dysphoria | 3 | 15 | 28 | 14 | <.01 |
| 5. Anxiety | 5 | 20 | 28 | 15 | ≤.05 |
| 6. Elation / Euphoria | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | NS |
| 7. Apathy / Indifference | 2 | 12 | 27 | 9 | <.01 |
| 8. Disinhibition | 1 | 8 | 15 | 1 | ≤.05 |
| 9. Irritability / Lability | 3 | 21 | 33 | 11 | <.001 |
| 10. Aberrant Motor Behaviour | 2 | 19 | 20 | 9 | <.01 |
| 11. Sleep and Nighttime Disturbances | 3 | 13 | 31 | 12 | <.01 |
| 12. Appetite / Eating Disturbances | 5 | 16 | 23 | 9 | NS |

Table 6. Comparison of NPI-Q subscale scores for delirium, comorbid delirium-dementia, dementia alone, and control groups

| | Controls | Delirium | Comorbid delirium-dementia | <i>Dementia</i> |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| NPIQ4-Agitation Aggression | 0.3 ± 0.6** | 1.8 ± 1.2 | 1.9 ± 1.4 | 1.2 ± 1.1* |
| NPIQ3-Mood | 0.7 ± 1.0† | 2.6 ± 2.1 | 3.0 ± 2.4 | 2.7 ± 2.2 |
| NPIQ4-Frontal | 0.4 ± 0.8† | 2.3 ± 2.3 | 2.9 ± 2.5 | 1.6 ± 2.2 |
| NPIQ- Psychosis | 0.0 ± 0.2 | 1.4 ± 1.7 | 1.5 ± 2.5 | 1.4 ± 4.1 |

*Dementia < Comorbid delirium dementia <0.05;

**Controls < comorbid delirium and dementia; and delirium ≤ 0.05

†Controls < all other neurocognitive groups ≤0.002

‡ Controls < Comorbid delirium and dementia; and delirium ≤0.005

BMJ Open

A Comparison of Cognitive and Neuropsychiatric Profiles in hospitalised elderly medical patients with Delirium, Dementia and Comorbid Delirium-Dementia

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Journal: | <i>BMJ Open</i> |
| Manuscript ID: | bmjopen-2015-009212.R1 |
| Article Type: | Research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 28-Aug-2015 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Leonard, Maeve; University of Limerick, Graduate Entry Medical School McInerney, Shane; St Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto, Psychiatry McFarland, John; University of Limerick, Graduate Entry Medical School Condon, Candice; University of Limerick, Graduate Entry Medical School Awan, Fahad; University of Limerick, Graduate Entry Medical School O'Connor, Margaret; University Hospital Limerick, Geriatric Medicine Reynolds, Paul; University Hospital Limerick, Psychiatry for Later Life Meaney, Anna; University Hospital Limerick, Psychiatry for Later Life ADAMIS, Dimitrios; Research and Academic Institute of Athens, Dept. of Ageing and Health Dunne, C.; University of Limerick, Graduate Entry Medical School Cullen, Walter; University College Dublin, School of Medicine Trzepacz, Paula; University of Indiana, School of Medicine Meagher, David; University of Limerick, Graduate Entry Medical School |
| Primary Subject Heading: | Geriatric medicine |
| Secondary Subject Heading: | Mental health |
| Keywords: | Delirium, Dementia < NEUROLOGY, Cognition, Neuropsychiatry, Assessment |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

28th August 2015

3,689Words

6Tables

41References

A Comparison of Cognitive and Neuropsychiatric Profiles in hospitalised elderly medical patients with Delirium, Dementia and Comorbid Delirium-Dementia

Maeve Leonard^{1,2,3}, Shane McInerney⁴, John McFarland^{1,5}, Candice Condon^{1,2}, Fahad Awan^{1,2,3}, Margaret O'Connor^{1,6}, Paul Reynolds^{1,3}, Anna Maria Meaney^{1,3}, Dimitrios Adamis^{1,2,7,8}, Colum Dunne^{1,2}, Walter Cullen^{1,2}, Paula T. Trzepacz⁹⁻¹¹, David J. Meagher^{1,2,3}

¹Graduate Entry Medical School, University of Limerick, Ireland

²Cognitive Impairment Research Group, Centre for Interventions in Infection, Inflammation & Immunity (4i), Graduate Entry Medical School, University of Limerick, Ireland

³Department of Psychiatry, University Hospital Limerick, Ireland

⁴St Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

⁵Department of Acute Psychiatry, Midwestern Regional Hospital, Ennis, Co. Clare, Ireland

⁶Department of Geriatric Medicine, University Hospital Limerick, Ireland

⁷Research and Academic Institute of Athens, Greece, 27 Themistokleous Street, Athens, 106 77, Greece.

⁸Sligo Mental Health Services, Ballytivan, Sligo, Ireland.

⁹Indiana University School of Medicine, USA

¹⁰University of Mississippi Medical School, USA

¹¹Tufts University School of Medicine, USA

Correspondence to Professor David Meagher, Graduate-Entry Medical School, University of Limerick, Ireland (david.meagher@ul.ie) (00353-61-202700)

Key Words : Delirium, dementia, phenomenology, assessment, diagnosis

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Differentiation of delirium and dementia is a key diagnostic challenge but there has been limited study of features that distinguish these conditions. We examined neuropsychiatric and neuropsychological symptoms in elderly medical inpatients to identify features that distinguish major neurocognitive disorders.

Setting: University teaching hospital in Ireland

Participants and measures: 176 Consecutive elderly medical inpatients [mean age 80.6 ± 7.0 (range 60-96); 85 males (48%)] referred to a psychiatry for later life consultation-liaison service with DSM-IV delirium, dementia, comorbid delirium-dementia, and cognitively intact controls. Subjects were assessed cross-sectionally with comparison of scores (including individual items) for the Revised Delirium Rating Scale (DRS-R98), Cognitive Test for Delirium (CTD) and Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI-Q).

Results: The frequency of neurocognitive diagnoses was delirium (n=50), dementia (n=32), comorbid delirium-dementia (n=62) and cognitively intact(n=32). Both delirium and comorbid delirium-dementia groups scored higher than the dementia group for DRS-R98 and CTD total scores, but all three neurocognitively impaired groups scored similarly in respect of total NPI-Q scores. For individual DRS-R98 items, delirium groups were distinguished from dementia by a range of non-cognitive symptoms, but only for impaired attention of the cognitive items. For the CTD, attention ($p=0.002$) and vigilance ($p=0.01$) distinguished delirium from dementia. No individual CTD item distinguished comorbid delirium-dementia from delirium. For the NPI-Q, there were no differences between the three neurocognitively impaired groups for any individual item severity.

Conclusions: The Neurocognitive profile of delirium is similar with or without comorbid dementia and differs from dementia without delirium. Simple tests of attention and vigilance can help to distinguish delirium from other presentations. The NPI-Q does not readily distinguish between neuropsychiatric disturbances in delirium versus dementia. Cases of suspected behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia should be carefully assessed for possible delirium.

Article summary: strengths and Limitations of this study

1
2
3
4 ● This study includes a detailed cross-sectional assessment comparing the phenomenological
5
6 profile of common neurocognitive disorders in elderly medical patients within a general hospital
7
8 setting
9

10
11
12
13 ●The findings highlight that delirium and dementia are characterised by different
14
15 neuropsychiatric and cognitive disturbances
16
17

18
19
20
21 ● Performance on simple bedside tests of attention and vigilance is disproportionately impaired
22
23 in patients with delirium compared to dementia and thus have distinguishing capacity
24
25

26
27
28
29 ●The Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) lacks specificity for the neuropsychiatric disturbances of
30
31 dementia over delirium
32
33

34
35
36 ●Further study should examine how these patterns are reflected for different dementia
37
38 subtypes
39
40

41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 **Introduction** 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Delirium and dementia are major neurocognitive disorders that are both common and commonly misdiagnosed in hospitalized elderly. [1, 2] Improved management of these under-recognized neuropsychiatric presentations is a key target within healthcare services. Accurate and timely recognition of these disorders is important because delirium is linked to a variety of adverse outcomes and is frequently the principal presenting feature of urgent physical illness. Gonzalez et al, [3] for example, found that mortality was increased by 11% for each additional 48 hours of active delirium. Bellelli et al [4] found that patients with delirium superimposed upon dementia experience a two-fold risk of death within one year, emphasizing the need for clear delineation of this presentation. However, distinction is complicated by the considerable phenomenological overlap between these conditions and high comorbidity where the prevalence of delirium superimposed upon dementia in community and hospital settings ranges from 22-89%. [5]

Our understanding of the comparative phenomenological profile of major neurocognitive disorders is based upon studies conducted in a variety of populations. [6-16] These studies have applied different methods to the assessment of neuropsychiatric profile but have focused upon characterizing the neuropsychiatric features of comorbid illness rather than identifying distinguishing features of delirium versus dementia. Moreover, they have included limited account of the range of neuropsychological impairments that occur in these conditions.

We studied the cognitive and neuropsychiatric profiles of consecutive referrals of elderly medical inpatients to a psychiatry for later life consultation-liaison service. In particular, we aimed to address: (i) how does neuropsychiatric and cognitive profile in comorbid delirium-dementia

1
2
3 compare to that of either disorder alone when analysed in conjunction with cognitively-intact
4
5 control patients from the same setting, and (ii) which features best differentiate delirium and
6
7 dementia, including comorbid cases.
8
9

10 11 12 13 **METHODS**

14 15 16 17 18 **Subjects and Design**

19
20
21
22
23 We conducted a cross-sectional study of neuropsychiatric symptoms and cognitive performance
24
25 in consecutive referrals to a psychiatry for later life consultation-liaison service at University
26
27 Hospital Limerick, a 400-bedded tertiary care centre in the Midwestern region of Ireland. Between
28
29 October 2011 and July 2012 cases with altered mental state suggestive of neurocognitive disorder
30
31 were identified on daily rounds by the medical team and referred for assessment and diagnosis by
32
33 the research team. All patients were ≥ 60 years old. Patients were assessed and classified with
34
35 delirium, dementia, comorbid delirium-dementia, or cognitively normal.
36
37
38
39

40
41
42
43 Assessments were conducted by raters (DM, ML, JMCF, SMCl) specifically trained in the use of the
44
45 tools included herein (see below) and to further enhance inter-rater reliability, ratings associated
46
47 with any uncertainty were discussed and agreed by consensus between raters.
48
49

50
51
52
53 Delirium was diagnosed according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders
54
55 (DSM) IV criteria[17] based upon a full clinical assessment at the time of consultation and
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 independent of the DRS-R98, CTD and NPI assessments. Dementia was defined as a clear history
4 of documented DSM-IV dementia (based on all available information at the time of assessment
5 including clinical case notes and collateral history from family and / or carers) *or* a short Informant
6 Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the elderly (IQCODE) score of ≥ 3.5 . [18-20] Comorbid
7 delirium-dementia was defined as the presence of both disorders. Patients with normal cognition
8 and no prior history of cognitive problems were also recruited for assessment.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 Each case was then assessed by first completing the DRS-R98 followed by administration of the
22 CTD. The DRS-R98 rated the preceding 24 hour period whereas the CTD measured cognition at the
23 time of its administration. CTD responses were not used to rate DRS-R98 items. The NPI-Q and
24 IQ-CODE were completed on the same day and after consultation with family and / or carers who
25 were familiar with the day to day functioning of the patient over the recent past.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **Informed Consent**

37
38
39
40
41 The procedures and rationale for the study were explained to all patients but because many
42 patients had cognitive impairment at entry into the study it was presumed that many might not
43 be capable of giving informed written consent. Because of the non-invasive nature of the study,
44 University Hospital Limerick Regional Ethics Committee approved an approach to establishing
45 consent by virtue of augmenting patient assent with proxy consent from next of kin (where
46 possible) or a responsible caregiver for all participants in accordance with the Helsinki Guidelines
47 for Medical research involving human subjects.[21]
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Assessments

Demographic data and medication at the time of the assessment were recorded. All available information from medical records and collateral history was used. Nursing staff were interviewed to assist rating of symptoms over the previous 24 hours.

The Delirium Rating Scale-Revised-98 [DRS-R98][22] is designed for broad phenomenological assessment of delirium. It is a 16-item scale with 13 severity and 3 diagnostic items with high interrater reliability, sensitivity and specificity for detecting delirium in mixed neuropsychiatric and other hospital populations. Each item is rated 0 (absent/normal) to 3 (severe impairment) with descriptions anchoring each severity level. Severity scale scores range from 0-39 with higher scores indicating more severe delirium. Delirium typically involves scores above 15 points (Severity scale) or 18 points (Total scale) when dementia is in the differential diagnosis. For determination of item frequencies in this study, any item score ≥ 1 was considered as being "present".

The Cognitive Test for Delirium [CTD][23] was specifically designed to assess hospitalized patients with delirium, in particular those who are intubated or unable to speak or write. It assesses five neuropsychological domains (orientation, attention, memory, comprehension, and vigilance) emphasizing nonverbal (visual and auditory) modalities. Each individual domain is scored from 0-6 in 2 point increments, except for comprehension (single point increments). Total scores range

1
2
3 between 0-30 with higher scores indicating better cognitive function and scores of less than 19
4
5 consistent with delirium. It reliably differentiates delirium from other neuropsychiatric conditions
6
7 including dementia, schizophrenia and depression.[23]
8
9

10
11
12 The Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) [24,25] was developed for assessing neuropsychiatric
13
14 symptoms in patients with Alzheimer's disease and other neurodegenerative disorders. Studies
15
16 of cognitively intact older adults indicate extremely low scores suggesting that the NPI is
17
18 relatively specific for dementia-related neuropsychiatric pathology. The NPI-Q is a short
19
20 questionnaire version of the NPI [25] intended for use in everyday clinical practice.
21
22

23
24 Neuropsychiatric symptom severity is assessed in the same way as the original NPI. The NPI-Q
25
26 includes ten behavioural and two neurovegetative items that are assessed by an informed
27
28 caregiver who is knowledgeable about the patient's daytime and night-time behaviours.
29
30 Symptoms are rated over the past four weeks. Each of the 12 symptom domains is assessed by a
31
32 screening question derived from the NPI-Q that covers symptom manifestations with anchor
33
34 points for symptom severity rated on a three point scale and caregiver distress ratings rated on a
35
36 five point scale. The questionnaire includes written instructions and the total NPI-Q severity
37
38 score represents the sum of individual symptom scores and ranges from 0 to 36. [26] The NPI
39
40 can be further divided into four subscales – Agitation/aggression, frontal, mood and psychosis.
41
42 [27]
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51
52 The Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly-Short Form (IQCODE-SF) is a
53
54 validated screening tool for detecting cognitive impairment.[19] The short version of the
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 IQCODE includes 16 items that rate cognitive change over time, each of which are rated by an
4 informant on a 5 point likert scale. The short-IQCODE takes approximately 10 minutes to
5
6 administer. The total score divided by the number of questions provides a mean item score
7
8 where ratings ≥ 3.5 are considered indicative of longstanding cognitive difficulties and
9
10 dementia.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 The Delirium Etiology Checklist (DEC)[28] was used to document etiological underpinnings of
20 delirium. This standardised checklist captures delirium etiology according to twelve categories.
21
22 The presence and suspected role of multiple potential causes were documented for each case
23
24 of delirium, rated on a 5-point scale for degree of attribution to the delirium episode, ranging
25
26 from 'ruled out/not present/not relevant' (0) to 'definite cause' (4).
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 **Statistical Analyses**

34
35
36
37
38 Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS-19. Demographic and rating scale data are
39 expressed as means plus standard deviation. Continuous variables (e.g. age, total DRS-R98 and
40
41 CTD scores) were compared by one way ANOVA with independent t-tests used for post hoc
42
43 comparisons. Although data regarding scores for individual items on the DRS-R98 and CTD are
44
45 shown as means and standard deviations, these data are not normally distributed and as such
46
47 statistical comparisons relate to non-parametric tests (e.g. Mann-Whitney U tests for between
48
49 group comparisons). Cohen's d was used to estimate the effect size for key differences (e.g.
50
51 differences in CTD item scores).
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

RESULTS

A total of 176 patients [mean age 80.6 ± 7.0 (range 60-96); 85 males (48%)] were assessed of whom 50 had delirium without dementia, 62 had both delirium and dementia, 32 had dementia without delirium, and 32 were deemed cognitively intact. Demographic, medication and general clinical data for patients from these four groups are shown in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences between the four groups in respect of age, gender distribution, number of medications received or use of psychotropic medications.

The principal underlying etiologies for delirium (n=112) as captured on the DEC were systemic infection (66), CNS infection (4), metabolic/ endocrine disturbance (39), drug intoxication (5), drug withdrawal (6) cerebrovascular (28), organ insufficiency (22), seizure-related (12), neoplasm (9), traumatic brain injury. (2)

Table 1 compares mean scores for the four groups for the DRS-R98 total and severity scales, CTD, IQ-CODE and NPI-Q. Both delirium groups were more impaired than the dementia group on total scores for the DRS-R98 and CTD. All three neurocognitively impaired groups scored similarly in respect of total NPI-Q scores. The mean short IQCODE scores distinguished the groups, with both dementia groups scoring well in excess of the suggested cut-off score and significantly higher than both the delirium without dementia and control groups.[19]

1
2
3 Means and standard deviations for each individual item (1-16) on the DRS-R98 are described in
4
5 Table 2. The three groups with cognitive impairment differed from cognitively intact controls
6
7 across the majority of items, including both cognitive and non-cognitive symptoms. Delirium
8
9 diagnostic items (symptom fluctuation, acute onset, and attributable physical disorder)
10
11 significantly distinguished delirium groups from the other groups. In addition, both delirium
12
13 groups were distinguished from the dementia-only group for sleep-wake cycle disturbances,
14
15 perceptual disturbances, affective lability, and language abnormalities. Of note, the delirium and
16
17 dementia alone groups were not distinguished by cognitive items (including either measures of
18
19 memory) apart from impaired attention which was more severe in both delirium groups. Both
20
21 delirium groups were very similar in disturbance levels for the majority of items but were
22
23 distinguished by severity of sleep-wake cycle disturbance, thought process abnormalities and
24
25 motor agitation.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Table 3 shows the comparison of individual CTD item scores between the four groups. There was
37
38 a statistically significant difference overall between the four neurocognitive groups for each of the
39
40 five individual sections ($p < 0.001$). No item distinguished comorbid delirium-dementia from
41
42 delirium. Both attention ($p = 0.002$; $d = 0.81$) and vigilance ($p = 0.01$; $d = 0.4$) distinguished delirium
43
44 from dementia, while only vigilance significantly distinguished delirium-dementia from dementia
45
46 ($p < 0.001$; $d = 1.8$).
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 The frequencies for each of the 12 individual severity and distress items for the neurocognitive
55
56 disorder groups (delirium alone, comorbid delirium-dementia, dementia, and control) are shown
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 in tables 4 and 5. There was a significant difference overall between the four patient groups for 10
4
5 of the 12 individual distress items of the NPI-Q. All three neurocognitive groups scored more
6
7 highly than controls for anxiety, while the two delirium groups (but not the dementia-only group)
8
9 scored more highly than controls for agitation-aggression, irritability-lability and aberrant motor
10
11 behaviour. Conversely, the dementia groups (but not delirium alone) scored more highly than
12
13 controls for depression-dysphoria and sleep disturbances, while only the comorbid delirium-
14
15 dementia group scored more highly than controls for apathy-indifference. Analysis of the NPI-Q
16
17 subscale scores[27] showed significant differences between all three neurocognitive groups and
18
19 controls and broadly replicated these findings (Table 6).
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 DISCUSSION

29
30
31
32
33 We compared the neuropsychiatric profile of elderly medical inpatients with a variety of
34
35 neurocognitive presentations, including a cognitively-intact group. We used well-validated
36
37 instruments for both delirium and dementia symptom severity – the DRS-R98, CTD and NPI-Q -
38
39 which allow for detailed investigation of cognitive and neuropsychiatric profile in these complex
40
41 syndromes. We found that patients with active delirium – both with and without comorbid
42
43 dementia – could be distinguished from patients with dementia-alone in respect of a range of
44
45 neuropsychiatric and cognitive disturbances identified with the DRS-R98 and CTD scales, but
46
47 less so with the NPI-Q. This suggests that the NPI-Q does not readily distinguish between the
48
49 neuropsychiatric disturbances of delirium and the so-called Behavioural and Psychological
50
51 Symptoms of Dementia (BPSD).
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 We found similar cognitive and neuropsychiatric profile in patients with delirium and comorbid
7
8 delirium-dementia. However, delirium (both comorbid and without dementia) was
9
10 distinguished from dementia without delirium by both a variety of neuropsychiatric symptoms
11
12 and in terms of cognitive performance on tests of attention and vigilance.
13
14

15
16
17
18 The findings in respect of differences in cognitive profile between delirium and dementia
19
20 extends previous work using similar instrumentation conducted in palliative care, where
21
22 attention distinguished both delirium and comorbid delirium-dementia from dementia
23
24 alone.[12] In addition, this study of elderly medical inpatients found that performance on
25
26 vigilance distinguished delirium groups from dementia. Attentional disturbances in delirium are
27
28 in respect of the ability to direct, focus, sustain and shift attention. Vigilance is a term that has
29
30 many possible meanings, but is most commonly equated with the ability to sustain attention to
31
32 a task and thus is often referred to as 'vigilant' attention.[29]The vigilance test of the CTD used
33
34 herein involves a letter recognition test and thus explores the ability to sustain attentional
35
36 performance. Previous work has highlighted how attention and vigilance are closely
37
38 linked,including in patients with delirium [30] where there was high correlation between DRS-
39
40 R98 attention (which emphasizes the months backwards test) and CTD attention (which uses
41
42 combined performance on the digit span forwards and backwards) ($r = -0.73$), as well as
43
44 between DRS-R98 attention and CTD vigilance ($r = -0.60$). Similarly, Brown et al [31] compared
45
46 performance among patients with delirium, dementia and unimpaired cognition on a series of
47
48 tests of sustained visual attention and found that delirious patients could be distinguished
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 across a range of tests, while performance among the patients with dementia was relatively
4 preserved and equivalent to the unimpaired controls. These findings highlight how efforts to
5 improve detection of delirium (e.g. developing screening tools) can be enhanced by
6 emphasizing sustained attention/vigilance as key elements within the cognitive domain.
7
8
9

10 11 12 13 14 15 16 Neuropsychiatric profiles

17
18
19
20 Previous comparisons of delirium versus comorbid delirium-dementia in terms of
21 neuropsychiatric profile measured on the Delirium Symptom Interview in elderly medical
22 inpatients,[6,32] and DRS and BPRS in geropsychiatric patients[7]has found that these conditions
23 are phenomenologically similar. However, other studies using the Organic Brain Scale (OBS) in
24 mixed community-dwelling and hospitalized groups [11,14] found that delirious patients with
25 comorbid dementia have more hyperactive features, more commonly experience psychotic
26 symptoms, have more profound communication difficulties and are more prone to symptom
27 worsening in the evening. Margiotta et al[10] compared DRS profiles in delirious elderly medical
28 inpatients with and without comorbid dementia. They found that comorbid cases had higher
29 overall DRS scores, with greater perceptual disturbances, symptom fluctuation and experienced
30 more prolonged delirium episodes. Otherwise, these groups were similar in terms of other DRS
31 symptoms and MMSE scores. We found that delirium and comorbid-delirium dementia groups
32 were very similar in disturbance levels for the majority of symptoms but were distinguished by
33 severity of DRS-R98 thought process abnormalities and motor agitation.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Studies that have compared profiles in patients with dementia with and without comorbid
4 delirium indicate considerable differences in terms of neuropsychiatric symptom burden.
5
6 Landreville et al[15] studied long term care residents using the Behaviour Problem Scale and
7
8 found that patients with comorbid delirium-dementia had greater sleep problems, wandering,
9
10 irrational behaviour and aggression. They suggested that BPSD may be a risk factor for delirium.
11
12 Holtta et al[13] used the NPI in demented patients from acute geriatric inpatient (n=195) and
13
14 Nursing home settings (n=230) with and without delirium and found that the majority of
15
16 patients with dementia had multiple neuropsychiatric symptoms (NPS) but, that comorbid
17
18 delirium was associated with greater NPS and a poorer prognosis. In addition, one third of
19
20 dementia patients with multiple NPS had comorbid delirium. Hasegawa et al[16] compared
21
22 dementia to comorbid delirium-dementia in respect of NPI ratings in memory clinic attenders.
23
24 They found significantly higher total NPI scores for comorbid delirium-dementia, with similar
25
26 scores across the groups for most individual items except for greater agitation in comorbid
27
28 delirium-dementia. They concluded that delirium 'exaggerates' BPSD in dementia. We found
29
30 that in comparison to dementia patients without delirium, comorbid delirium-dementia patients
31
32 had greater DRS-R98 sleep-wake cycle disturbances, perceptual disturbances, affective lability,
33
34 and language abnormalities, as well as CTD impairment of vigilance. However, these two groups
35
36 did not differ in respect of NPI-Q ratings.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 Two previous studies [8,12] have compared phenomenological profile in patients with delirium,
52
53 dementia, comorbid delirium-dementia and without neurocognitive disorder. Laurila et al[8]
54
55 supplemented a detailed clinical assessment with the WAIS and digit span. Demented patients
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 with and without delirium differed in respect of multiple symptoms including attention,
4 disorganised thinking, perceptual disturbances, sleep difficulties, psychomotor abnormalities,
5
6 acuity of onset and presence of etiology - all of which were more prominent in patients with
7
8 comorbid illness. Specific comparison of delirious patients with and without underlying
9
10 dementia was not reported. Meagher and colleagues [12] studied palliative care patients and
11
12 found that delirium and comorbid delirium-dementia groups had comparable DRS-R98 and CTD
13
14 total scores, which were greater than in dementia or control groups. On the DRS-R98,
15
16 inattention, disorientation and multiple non-cognitive symptoms (sleep-wake cycle, perceptual
17
18 abnormality, affective lability, thought process abnormality, motor agitation and motor
19
20 retardation) were more severe in delirium groups compared with dementia-alone. In this study,
21
22 we found that both delirium groups were distinguished from the dementia-only group for
23
24 attention, sleep-wake cycle disturbances, perceptual disturbances, affective lability, language
25
26 abnormalities and all three diagnostic items (acuity of onset, symptom fluctuation and
27
28 attributable physical disorder).
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Trzepacz and colleagues [33] in a comparison of delirium and dementia without delirium found
42
43 greater impairment in delirium for disturbances of attention, visuospatial ability, the sleep-wake
44
45 cycle, perception, thought process, affective lability, motor agitation, comprehension, and
46
47 acuity of onset and fluctuation of symptoms.
48
49
50

51
52 Overall, these findings highlight how delirium symptoms overshadow dementia when comorbid
53
54 and, along with the greater diagnostic urgency for delirium, emphasise that elderly medical
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 patients with neuropsychiatric symptoms should be presumed to have delirium until otherwise
4
5 clarified.
6
7
8
9

10 Comparison of assessment tools

11
12
13
14
15
16 Although the neuropsychiatric profile of patients varied according to delirium and dementia
17
18 status, these patterns differed across the assessment tools employed. The instruments that we
19
20 used differ both in their content and timeframes covered – the CTD exclusively focuses on
21
22 cognitive performance at the time of testing, the DRS-R98 includes cognitive and
23
24 neurobehavioural elements over the previous 24 hours, while the NPI-Q focuses on
25
26 neuropsychiatric disturbances over the previous month. Our findings suggest that although
27
28 patients with delirium and dementia experience a similar range of neurobehavioural
29
30 disturbances (e.g. over a month as measured with the NPI-Q), the relative acuity of delirium is
31
32 associated with greater symptom burden in the previous twenty four hours (as captured on the
33
34 DRS-R98). In addition, although the DRS-R98 and NPI-Q both assess for psychosis, sleep-wake
35
36 cycle disturbance, motor behaviour and affective alterations, the emphasis for some features is
37
38 different whereby NPI-Q explores specifically for apathy and sustained affective changes, while
39
40 in the DRS-R98 the focus is upon lability of affective expression.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 In particular, the differences between delirium groups and dementia in respect of DRS-R98
52
53 items for sleep, affective lability and perceptual disturbances were not mirrored with the NPI-Q.
54
55
56 In addition to the contrasting time frames covered by these tools, their emphasis within these
57
58
59
60

domains is different; the DRS-R98 focuses upon alterations to the sleep-wake cycle over the previous twenty-four hours and emphasizes fragmentation and cycle reversal in severity rating. The NPI-Q emphasizes sleep at night, with the range and duration of night-time behaviours central to rating of severity. Moreover, the NPI-Q is rated by an informant rather than a psychiatrist. As such, our findings suggest that the character of sleep disturbances differs across neurocognitive disorders and that delirium is particularly characterized by altered sleep-wake cycle. This echoes previous work which has emphasised that more severe disturbances involving altered sleep-wake cycle such as fragmentation and cycle reversal are relatively specific to delirium and occur in 75% or more of patients with active delirium.[30,34,35]

Similarly, we found different patterns in respect of altered affective functioning. Classically, delirium is associated with affective lability while dementia is often complicated by more sustained disturbances of mood, apathy and indifference. We found that delirium groups had higher scores for the DRS-R98 item for affective lability, while only the dementia groups scored higher than controls for depression-dysphoria on the NPI-Q. Affective disturbances are thus common elements of both delirium and dementia, and are increasingly recognized as risk factors for both conditions.[36,37] More detailed study of affective symptoms and how they differ across neurocognitive disorders is warranted.

Study limitations

1
2
3 Cross-sectional studies cannot fully capture the phenomenological profile of conditions such as
4 delirium where symptom fluctuation is prominent, though the DRS-R98 utilizes a 24-hour
5 reporting period and the NPI-Q captures symptom profile over the previous month. Our control
6 group derive from referrals to a psychiatry for later life service and as such are not necessarily
7 representative of elderly medical inpatients in general. However, they do provide an appropriate
8 comparison group who reflect the population in which accurate diagnosis of neuropsychiatric
9 problems is most challenging. We could not specify the stage or primary cause of dementia but
10 evidence indicates that the frequency of different neuropsychiatric disturbances varies across
11 dementia types.[38,39] The observations regarding sleep and affective changes can be better
12 explored with tools that have these domains as their primary focus and that explore different
13 aspects of each in greater detail in order to ascertain the different character of disturbances
14 across neurocognitive syndromes.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Implications

37
38
39
40
41 Guidance regarding differentiating symptoms between delirium and dementia is relatively
42 lacking in the definition of delirium in DSM-5[40]or ICD-10[41], suggesting that these diagnostic
43 systems would be advanced by criteria to guide efforts to distinguish these common conditions.
44
45
46 This work suggests that particular neuropsychiatric symptoms and the methods by which these
47 symptoms are assessed, including their character and timeframe are key to accurately
48 distinguishing neurocognitive disorders. This is especially relevant in the assessment of
49 suspected BPSD or major neurocognitive disorder with behavioural disturbance as described in
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

DSM-5.[40] As a general rule, neuropsychiatric disturbances captured on the DRS-R98 are relatively specific for delirium, while disturbances captured on tools such as the NPI are less discerning between these major neurocognitive disorders. Given the diagnostic urgency of delirium, our findings favour use of the DRS-R98 as the primary symptom assessment tool. Although delirium and dementia are both characterised by generalised disturbance of cognitive function, this work emphasises how delirium can be distinguished from dementia by virtue of the disproportionate impairment of attention and vigilance. These cognitive functions should be emphasised in efforts to identify delirium, including in populations where there are high rates of dementia.

Funding Statement

This work was supported by a research project grant from the Health Research Board (HRA 2011/48)

Contributorship statement

Study design was conducted by Maeve Leonard, Shane McInerney, John McFarland, Margaret O'Connor, Paul Reynolds, Anna Maria Meaney, Paula T. Trzepacz, David J. Meagher.

Data collection was conducted by Maeve Leonard, Shane McInerney, John McFarland, David J. Meagher.

Data analysis was performed by Maeve Leonard, Candice Condon, Dimitrios Adamis, Paula T. Trzepacz, David J. Meagher.

Manuscript preparation was performed by Maeve Leonard, Shane McInerney, John McFarland, Candice Condon, Fahad Awan, Margaret O'Connor, Paul Reynolds, Anna Maria Meaney, Dimitrios Adamis, Colum Dunne, Walter Cullen, Paula T. Trzepacz, David J. Meagher.

Data Sharing Statement

We have no data to share.

Competing Interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare

REFERENCES

[1] Collins N, Blanchard MR, Yookman A, et al. Detection of delirium in the acute hospital. Age Ageing 2010;39(1):131-5.

[2] Ryan D, O'Regan N, O'Caoimh R, et al. Delirium in an adult acute hospital population: predictors, prevalence and detection. BMJ Open 2013;Jan 7;3(1).

[3] Gonzalez M, Khurana V. Erratum study of impact of delirium on short-term mortality in elderly inpatients. Psychosomatics 2009;Nov-Dec;50(6):640.

[4] Bellilli G, Frisoni G, Turco R, et al. Delirium superimposed on dementia predicts 12-month survival in elderly patients discharged from a postacute rehabilitation facility. J Gerontol A BiolSci Med Sci 2007;62(11):1306-9.

1
2
3 [5] Fick DM., Agostini JV., Inouye SK .Delirium superimposed upon dementia: a systematic
4
5
6 review. JAGS 2002;50:1723-32
7

8
9
10 [6] Liptzin B, Levkoff SE, Gottlieb GL,et al. Delirium: background papers for DSM-IV. J
11
12
13 Neuropsychiatry ClinNeurosci 1993;5:154–160.
14

15
16
17 [7] Trzepacz PT, Mulsant BH, Dew MA, et al. Is delirium different when it occurs in dementia? A
18
19
20 study using the Delirium Rating Scale. J Neuropsychiatry ClinNeurosci , 1998;10:199-204.
21
22

23
24
25 [8] Laurila JV, Pitkala KH, StrandbergTE,et al. Delirium among patients with and without
26
27
28 dementia: does the diagnosis according to the DSM-IV differ from the previous classifications?
29
30
31 International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry 2004;19(3):271-277.
32

33
34
35 [9] Voyer P, Cole MG, McCusker J, et al. Prevalence and symptoms of delirium superimposed on
36
37
38 dementia. Clinical Nursing Research 2006;15:46-66.
39

40
41
42 [10] Margiotta A,Bianchetti A, Ranien P, et al. Clinical characteristics and risk factors of delirium
43
44
45 in demented and not demented elderly medical inpatients. J Nutr Health Aging 2006;Nov-
46
47
48 Dec;10(6):535-9.
49

50
51
52 [11] Edlund A, Lundstrom M, Sandberg O, et al. Symptom profile of delirium in older people
53
54
55 with and without dementia. J Geriatr Psych Neurol 2007;20:166-171.
56
57

1
2
3
4
5
6 [12] Meagher DJ, Leonard M, Donnelly S, et al. A comparison of neuropsychiatric and cognitive
7
8 profiles in delirium, dementia, comorbid delirium-dementia and cognitively intact controls. J
9
10 NeurolNeurosurg Psychiatry 2010;81: 876-881.
11

12
13
14
15
16 [13] Holtta E, Lakkonen ML, Laurila JV, et al. The overlap of delirium with neuropsychiatric
17
18 symptoms among patients with dementia. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 2011; Dec;19(12):1034-41.
19

20
21
22
23
24 [14] Lundström M, Stenvall M, Olofsson B. Symptom profile of postoperative delirium in patients
25
26 with and without dementia. J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol 2012; Sep; 25(3):162-9.
27

28
29
30
31 [15] Landreville P, Voyer P, Carmichael PH. Relationship between delirium and behavioral
32
33 symptoms of dementia. Int Psychogeriatr 2013; 25(4):635-43.
34

35
36
37
38 [16] Hasegawa N, Hashimoto M, Yuuki S, et al. Prevalence of delirium among outpatients with
39
40 dementia. Int Psychogeriatr 2013; Nov; 25(11):1877-83.
41

42
43
44
45
46 [17] American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 4th
47
48 edition. Washington, DC, USA: American Psychiatric Press, 1994
49

50
51
52
53 [18] Jorm AF. A short form of the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly
54
55 (IQCODE): development and cross-validation. Psychol Med 1994; Feb, 24(1):145-53.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 [19] Jorm AF, Christensen H, Korten AE, et al. Informant ratings of cognitive decline in old age:
7
8 validation against change on cognitive tests over 7 to 8 years. *Psychological Medicine*
9
10 2000;30,981-985.
11

12
13
14
15
16 [20] Jorm AF. The Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE): a
17
18 review. *Int Psychogeriatrics* 2004;16: 275-93.
19

20
21
22
23 [21] World Medical Association. Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical Principles for Medical Research
24
25 Involving Human Subjects, 2004. <http://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/>
26
27

28
29
30
31 [22] Trzepacz PT, Mittal D, Torres R, et al .Validation of the Delirium Rating Scale-Revised-98
32
33 (DRS-R-98). *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2001;13:229-242.
34
35

36
37
38 [23] Hart RP, Levenson JL, Sessler CN, et al. Validation of a cognitive test for delirium in medical
39
40 ICU patients. *Psychosomatics* 1996;37:533-46.
41
42

43
44
45
46 [24] Cummings JL, Mega M, Gray K, et al. The Neuropsychiatric Inventory: comprehensive
47
48 assessment of psychopathology in dementia. *Neurology* 1994;44:2308-2314.
49

50
51
52
53 [25] Cummings JL. The Neuropsychiatric Inventory: Assessing psychopathology in dementia
54
55 patients. *Neurology* 1997;48(Supple 6):S10-S16.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 [26] Kaufer DI, Cummings JL, et al. Validation of the NPI-Q, a Brief Clinical Form of the
7
8 Neuropsychiatric Inventory. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2000;12:233–239.
9

10
11
12 [27] Trzepacz PT, Saykin A, Yu P, Bhamditipati P, Sun J, Dennehy EB, Willis B, Cummings JL.
13
14 Subscale validation of the neuropsychiatric inventory questionnaire: comparison of Alzheimer's
15
16 disease neuroimaging initiative and national Alzheimer's coordinating center cohorts. *Am J*
17
18 *Geriatr Psychiatry* 2013; 21:607-22.
19
20

21
22 [28] Trzepacz P, Meagher D. Neuropsychiatric aspects of delirium (Chapter 15). In: Yudofsky S,
23
24 Hales R, editors. *American Psychiatric Association Textbook of Neuropsychiatry*. 5th edition.
25
26 Washington DC: American Psychiatric Publishing Inc: 2008.
27
28

29
30 [29] Oken BS, Salinsky MC, Elsas SM. Vigilance, alertness, or sustained attention: physiological
31
32 basis and measurement. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2006;117:1885–1901.
33
34

35
36 [30] Meagher DJ, Moran M, Raju B, et al. Phenomenology of 100 consecutive adult cases of
37
38 delirium. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 2007;190:135-41
39
40

41
42 [31] Brown LJ, Fordyce C, Zaghdani H et al. Detecting deficits of sustained visual attention in
43
44 delirium. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2011;82(12):1344-40.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 [32] Albert MS, Levkoff SE, Reilly C, et al. The delirium symptom interview: an interview for the
4 detection of delirium symptoms in hospitalized patients. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* 1992;Jan-
5 Mar;5(1):14-21.
6
7
8
9

10
11
12 [33] Trzepacz PT, Mittal D, Torres R, et al. Delirium vs dementia symptoms: Delirium Rating
13 Scale-Revised (DRS-R-98) and Cognitive Test for Delirium (CTD) item comparisons.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

13 [34] Jabbar F., Leonard M., Meehan K., et al. Neuropsychiatric and cognitive profile of patients
14 with DSM- IV delirium referred to an old age psychiatry consultation-liaison service. *Int*
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

13 [35] Mattoo SK, Grover S, Chakravarty G et al. Symptom Profile and Etiology of Delirium in a
14 Referral Population in Northern India: Factor Analysis of the DRS-R98. *J Neuropsychiatry and*
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

13 [36] O'Sullivan R, Meagher D, Leonard M et al. A comparison of the revised Delirium Rating
14 Scale (DRS-R98) and the Memorial Delirium Assessment Scale (MDAS) in a palliative care cohort
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

13 [37] Ismail Z, Malick A, Smith E et al. Depression versus dementia: is this construct still relevant?
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

[38] D'Onofrio G, Sancarlo D, Panza F, et al. Neuropsychiatric symptoms and functional status in Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia patients. *Curr Alzheimer Res* 2012; 9:759-71.

[39] Srikanth S., Nagaraja AV., Ratnavalli E. Neuropsychiatric symptoms in dementia-frequency, relationship to dementia severity and comparison in Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia and frontotemporal dementia. *J Neurological Sciences* 2005; 236:43-48.

[40] American Psychiatric Association: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition. Arlington, VA, American Psychiatric Association, 2013.

[41] World Health Organization. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision. Geneva, World Health Organization, 1992.

Table 1. Demographic and medication data for the four patient groups (mean \pm SD)

| | Delirium (n=50) | Comorbid delirium-dementia (n=62) | Dementia (n=32) | Control (n=32) |
|-----------------|----------------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Male (%) | 55 | 43 | 53 | 41 |
| Age | 78.9 \pm 9.8 | 81.1 \pm 7.3 | 80.9 \pm 5.0 | 81.7 \pm 7.5 |

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Total number of medications | 8.7 ± 3.5 | 8.8 ± 4.3 | 9.0 ± 4.5 | 8.5 ± 4.6 |
| Number of Psychotropics | 1.0 ± 1.1 | 1.4 ± 1.1 | 1.4 ± 1.2 | 0.8 ± 1.1 |
| DRS-R98 total | 22.0 ± 8.4† | 18.9 ± 6.9§ | 14.0 ± 6.8* | 6.1 ± 5.2 |
| DRS-R98 severity | 17.3 ± 7.4‡ | 15.1 ± 6.1§ | 11.8 ± 6.3* | 4.7 ± 4.1 |
| CTD total | 14.6 ± 9.6 | 14.2 ± 7.7 | 18.1 ± 8.0* | 24.6 ± 4.8 |
| Short IQCODE | 3.1 ± 0.3 | 4.2 ± 1.4¥ | 4.1 ± 0.7∅ | 2.9 ± 0.6 |
| NPI-Q Distress | 8.4 ± 6.4 | 9.9 ± 7.2 | 6.7 ± 6.1* | 1.5 ± 2.1 |
| NPI-Q Severity | 11.9 ± 10.6 | 12.3 ± 10.6 | 10.2 ± 9.5* | 1.6 ± 2.7 |

Note: †delirium > dementia at p<0.001.

‡delirium > dementia at p<0.005.

§comorbid delirium-dementia > dementia at p<0.05.

*all three neurocognitive groups greater than controls at p <0.001.

¥comorbid delirium-dementia > delirium and controls at p<0.001

∅dementia > delirium and controls at p<0.001

Table 2. DRS-R98 item severities (mean scores ± SD) and frequencies (% scoring ≥1 and ≥2) for delirium, comorbid delirium-dementia, dementia alone, and control groups

| | Controls (n = 32) | Delirium (n = 50) | Comorbid delirium- dementia | Dementia (n = 32) |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|

| | (n = 62) | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. Sleep-wake cycle disturbance | 0.5 ± 0.7 44% (9%) | 2.0 ± 0.9 ^{†**} 91% (73%) | 1.5 ± 0.9* 79% (53%) | 0.9 ± 0.8¶ 65% (22%) |
| 2. Perceptual disturbances and hallucinations | 0.2 ± 0.7 6% (6%) | 1.0 ± 1.3 [†] 41% (32%) | 0.7 ± 1.1* 37% (23%) | 0.2 ± 0.7¶ 14% (6%) |
| 3. Delusions | 0.1 ± 0.6 6% (3%) | 0.6 ± 1.0 35% (21%) | 0.4 ± 0.8 31% (10%) | 0.3 ± 0.7¶ 15% (9%) |
| 4. Lability of affect | 0.2 ± 0.6 6% (3%) | 1.4 ± 1.1 [‡] 75% (47%) | 1.0 ± 0.9* 62% (33%) | 0.5 ± 0.7 37% (9%) |
| 5. Language | 0.1 ± 0.4 6% (3%) | 1.1 ± 1.1 [†] 55% (39%) | 0.8 ± 1.0* 43% (23%) | 0.3 ± 0.7¶ 20% (10%) |
| 6. Thought process abnormalities | 0.6 ± 0.8 47% (13%) | 2.5 ± 4.6* [§] 88% (63%) | 1.1 ± 1.1 62% (38%) | 0.8 ± 0.9¶ 53% (22%) |
| 7. Motor agitation | 0.2 ± 0.5 11% (7%) | 1.5 ± 1.1 ^{‡**} 73% (55%) | 0.9 ± 0.9 57% (25%) | 0.6 ± 0.8 37% (16%) |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------|------------|
| | 0.1 ± 0.4 | 0.6 ± 0.9 | 0.5 ± 0.8 | 0.3 ± 0.6¶ |
| 8. Motor retardation | 6% (3%) | 31% (20%) | 37% (13%) | 19% (3%) |
| | 0.2 ± 0.4 | 1.2 ± 1.0 | 1.5 ± 0.7* | 1.0 ± 0.8 |
| 9. Orientation | 16% (16%) | 74% (37%) | 90% (52%) | 70% (22%) |
| | 0.6 ± 0.8 | 2.4 ± 1.4‡ | 2.0 ± 0.9* | 1.6 ± 1.1 |
| 10. Attention | 37% (15%) | 98% (80%) | 93% (72%) | 70% (31%) |
| | 0.9 ± 0.9 | 1.7 ± 1.2 | 2.1 ± 0.9 | 1.7 ± 1.0 |
| 11. Short term memory | 56% (28%) | 80% (60%) | 97% (69%) | 89% (60%) |
| | 0.4 ± 0.6 | 1.4 ± 1.0 | 1.3 ± 0.9 | 1.1 ± 1.1 |
| 12. Long term memory | 35% (11%) | 80% (42%) | 77% (42%) | 59% (31%) |
| | 0.6 ± 0.9 | 2.1 ± 1.1 | 1.8 ± 1.1 | 1.6 ± 1.2 |
| 13. Visuospatial ability | 34% (22%) | 89% (70%) | 85% (60%) | 73% (60%) |
| | 0.4 ± 0.6 | 2.0 ± 0.6‡§ | 1.6 ± 0.9‡ | 0.6 ± 0.7 |
| 14. Temporal onset of symptoms | 17% (13%) | 100% (78%) | 89% (54%) | 41% (13%) |
| | 0.2 ± 0.5 | 1.2 ± 0.6‡** | 0.7 ± 0.7† | 0.3 ± 0.4¶ |
| 15. Fluctuation in symptom severity | 11% (6%) | 91% (26%) | 59% (11%) | 27% (27%) |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|
| 16. Physical disorder | 0.8 ± 0.6 | 1.9 ± 0.4‡ | 1.8 ± 0.4‡ | 1.2 ± 0.7 |
| | 72% (10%) | 98% (92%) | 98% (84%) | 83% (33%) |

* more impaired than dementia at $p \leq 0.05$

† more impaired than dementia at $p < 0.01$

‡ more impaired than dementia at $p < 0.001$

** more impaired than delirium-dementia at $p < 0.01$

§ more impaired than delirium-dementia at $p \leq 0.05$

¶ no difference between dementia and controls

Table 3. Cognitive Test for Delirium (CTD) section scores for neurocognitive disorder groups, delirium, comorbid delirium-dementia, dementia alone, and control. Controls performed in the normal range for each item.

| | Controls | Delirium | Comorbid delirium-dementia | Dementia |
|--|----------|----------|----------------------------|----------|
| | (n = 32) | (n = 50) | (n = 62) | (n = 32) |

| | | | | |
|------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| 1. Orientation | 5.8 ± 0.6 | 3.7 ± 2.4 | 3.2 ± 2.1 | 4.3 ± 2.1 |
| 2. Attention | 4.7 ± 1.7 | 2.1 ± 2.1†* | 3.1 ± 2.1 | 3.8 ± 2.1 |
| 3. Memory | 5.2 ± 1.1 | 2.9 ± 2.3 | 2.3 ± 2.3† | 3.6 ± 2.0 |
| 4. Comprehension | 5.7 ± 0.5 | 3.8 ± 2.1* | 4.1 ± 1.8 | 4.7 ± 1.7 |
| 5. Vigilance | 4.3 ± 1.9 | 1.6 ± 2.2 | 1.4 ± 2.0* | 2.5 ± 2.3 |

* more impaired than dementia at $p \leq 0.05$

† more impaired than dementia at $p < .01$

‡ more impaired than dementia at $p < .001$

** more impaired than delirium-dementia at $p < .01$

§ more impaired than delirium-dementia at $p \leq 0.05$

¶ no difference between dementia and controls

Table 4. Frequencies (%) for the 12 individual *severity* items of the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) for delirium, comorbid delirium-dementia, dementia alone, and control groups.

| | Controls (n = 32) | Delirium (n = 50) | Comorbid delirium- dementia (n = 62) | Dementia (n = 32) | <i>p</i> |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------|----------|
| 1. Delusions | 1 (3%) | 12 (24%) | 17 (27%) | 5 (15%) | ≤.05 |

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 2. Hallucinations | 0 (0%) | 14 (28%) | 21 (34%) | 5 (15%) | <.01 |
| 3. Agitation / Aggression | 1 (3%) | 23 (46%) | 35 (56%) | 12 (38%) | <.001 |
| 4. Depression / Dysphoria | 5 (16%) | 14 (28%) | 30 (48%) | 14 (44%) | ≤.05 |
| 5. Anxiety | 6 (19%) | 21 (42%) | 31 (50%) | 16 (50%) | ≤.05 |
| 6. Elation / Euphoria | 0 (0%) | 1 (2%) | 7 (11%) | 2 (6%) | NS |
| 7. Apathy / Indifference | 2 (6%) | 15 (30%) | 30 (48%) | 9 (28%) | <.001 |
| 8. Disinhibition | 2 (6%) | 8 (16%) | 18 (29%) | 1 (3%) | <.01 |
| 9. Irritability / Lability | 4 (13%) | 23 (46%) | 36 (58%) | 11 (34%) | <.001 |
| 10. Aberrant Motor Behaviour | 2 (7%) | 19 (38%) | 25 (40%) | 9 (28%) | <.01 |
| 11. Sleep and Nighttime Disturbances | 3 (9%) | 13 (26%) | 32 (52%) | 12 (37%) | <.001 |
| 12. Appetite / Eating Disturbances | 7 (22%) | 16 (32%) | 29 (47%) | 10 (31%) | NS |

Table 5. Frequencies (%) for the 12 individual *distress* items of the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) for delirium, comorbid delirium-dementia, dementia alone, and control groups.

| Controls (n = 32) | Delirium (n = 50) | Comorbid delirium- dementia (n = 62) | Dementia (n = 32) | <i>p</i> |
|----------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------|----------|
|----------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------|----------|

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 1. Delusions | 1 (3%) | 12 (24%) | 17 (27%) | 5 (16%) | ≤.05 |
| 2. Hallucinations | 0 (0%) | 15 (30%) | 19 (31%) | 4 (13%) | <.001 |
| 3. Agitation / Aggression | 1 (3%) | 23 (46%) | 33 (53%) | 12 (38%) | <.001 |
| 4. Depression / Dysphoria | 3 (9%) | 15 (30%) | 28 (45%) | 14 (44%) | <.01 |
| 5. Anxiety | 5 (16%) | 20 (40%) | 28 (45%) | 15 (47%) | ≤.05 |
| 6. Elation / Euphoria | 0 (0%) | 1 (2%) | 6 (10%) | 2 (6%) | NS |
| 7. Apathy / Indifference | 2 (6%) | 12 (24%) | 27 (44%) | 9 (28%) | <.01 |
| 8. Disinhibition | 1 (3%) | 8 (16%) | 15 (24%) | 1 (3%) | ≤.05 |
| 9. Irritability / Lability | 3 (9%) | 21 (42%) | 33 (53%) | 11 (34%) | <.001 |
| 10. Aberrant Motor Behaviour | 2 (6%) | 19 (38%) | 20 (32%) | 9 (28%) | <.01 |
| 11. Sleep and Nighttime Disturbances | 3 (9%) | 13 (26%) | 31 (50%) | 12 (38%) | <.01 |
| 12. Appetite / Eating Disturbances | 5 (16%) | 16 (32%) | 23 (37%) | 9 (28%) | NS |

Table 6. Comparison of NPI-Q subscale scores for delirium, comorbid delirium-dementia, dementia alone, and control groups

| | Controls | Delirium | Comorbid delirium-dementia | Dementia |
|-----------------------------------|------------|----------|----------------------------|------------|
| NPIQ4-Agitation Aggression | 0.3± 0.6** | 1.8±1.2 | 1.9 ± 1.4 | 1.2 ± 1.1* |

| | | | | |
|------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| NPIQ3-Mood | 0.7 ±1.0† | 2.6 ± 2.1 | 3.0 ± 2.4 | 2.7 ± 2.2 |
| NPIQ4-Frontal | 0.4 ± 0.8† | 2.3 ± 2.3 | 2.9 ± 2.5 | 1.6 ±2.2 |
| NPIQ- Psychosis | 0.0± 0.2 | 1.4 ± 1.7 | 1.5 ± 2.5 | 1.4 ±4.1 |

*Dementia < Comorbid delirium dementia <0.05;

**Controls< comorbid delirium and dementia; and delirium ≤ 0.05

‡Controls < all other neurocognitive groups ≤0.002

† Controls < Comorbid delirium and dementia; and delirium ≤0.005

For peer review only

BMJ Open

A Comparison of Cognitive and Neuropsychiatric Profiles in hospitalised elderly medical patients with Delirium, Dementia and Comorbid Delirium-Dementia

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Journal: | <i>BMJ Open</i> |
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2015-009212.R2 |
| Article Type: | Research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 28-Oct-2015 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Leonard, Maeve; University of Limerick, Graduate Entry Medical School McInerney, Shane; St Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto, Psychiatry McFarland, John; University of Limerick, Graduate Entry Medical School Condon, Candice; University of Limerick, Graduate Entry Medical School Awan, Fahad; University of Limerick, Graduate Entry Medical School O'Connor, Margaret; University Hospital Limerick, Geriatric Medicine Reynolds, Paul; University Hospital Limerick, Psychiatry for Later Life Meaney, Anna; University Hospital Limerick, Psychiatry for Later Life ADAMIS, Dimitrios; Research and Academic Institute of Athens, Dept. of Ageing and Health Dunne, C.; University of Limerick, Graduate Entry Medical School Cullen, Walter; University College Dublin, School of Medicine Trzepacz, Paula; University of Indiana, School of Medicine Meagher, David; University of Limerick, Graduate Entry Medical School |
| Primary Subject Heading: | Geriatric medicine |
| Secondary Subject Heading: | Mental health |
| Keywords: | Delirium, Dementia < NEUROLOGY, Cognition, Neuropsychiatry, Assessment |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

28th October 2015

3,689 Words

6 Tables

42 References

A Comparison of Cognitive and Neuropsychiatric Profiles in hospitalised elderly medical patients with Delirium, Dementia and Comorbid Delirium-Dementia

Maeve Leonard^{1,2,3}, Shane McInerney⁴, John McFarland^{1,5}, Candice Condon^{1,2}, Fahad Awan^{1,2,3}, Margaret O'Connor^{1,6}, Paul Reynolds^{1,3}, Anna Maria Meaney^{1,3}, Dimitrios Adamis^{1,2,7,8}, Colum Dunne^{1,2}, Walter Cullen^{1,2}, Paula T. Trzepacz⁹⁻¹¹, David J. Meagher^{1,2,3}

¹Graduate Entry Medical School, University of Limerick, Ireland

²Cognitive Impairment Research Group, Centre for Interventions in Infection, Inflammation & Immunity (4i), Graduate Entry Medical School, University of Limerick, Ireland

³Department of Psychiatry, University Hospital Limerick, Ireland

⁴St Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

⁵Department of Acute Psychiatry, Midwestern Regional Hospital, Ennis, Co. Clare, Ireland

⁶Department of Geriatric Medicine, University Hospital Limerick, Ireland

⁷Research and Academic Institute of Athens, Greece, 27 Themistokleous Street, Athens, 106 77, Greece.

⁸Sligo Mental Health Services, Ballytivan, Sligo, Ireland.

⁹Indiana University School of Medicine, USA

¹⁰University of Mississippi Medical School, USA

¹¹Tufts University School of Medicine, USA

Correspondence to Professor David Meagher, Graduate-Entry Medical School, University of Limerick, Ireland (david.meagher@ul.ie) (00353-61-202700)

Key Words : Delirium, dementia, phenomenology, assessment, diagnosis

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Differentiation of delirium and dementia is a key diagnostic challenge but there has been limited study of features that distinguish these conditions. We examined neuropsychiatric and neuropsychological symptoms in elderly medical inpatients to identify features that distinguish major neurocognitive disorders.

Setting: University teaching hospital in Ireland

Participants and measures: 176 Consecutive elderly medical inpatients [mean age 80.6 ± 7.0 (range 60-96); 85 males (48%)] referred to a psychiatry for later life consultation-liaison service with DSM-IV delirium, dementia, comorbid delirium-dementia, and cognitively intact controls. Subjects were assessed cross-sectionally with comparison of scores (including individual items) for the Revised Delirium Rating Scale (DRS-R98), Cognitive Test for Delirium (CTD) and Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI-Q).

Results: The frequency of neurocognitive diagnoses was delirium (n=50), dementia (n=32), comorbid delirium-dementia (n=62) and cognitively intact (n=32). Both delirium and comorbid delirium-dementia groups scored higher than the dementia group for DRS-R98 and CTD total scores, but all three neurocognitively impaired groups scored similarly in respect of total NPI-Q scores. For individual DRS-R98 items, delirium groups were distinguished from dementia by a range of non-cognitive symptoms, but only for impaired attention of the cognitive items. For the CTD, attention ($p=0.002$) and vigilance ($p=0.01$) distinguished delirium from dementia. No individual CTD item distinguished comorbid delirium-dementia from delirium. For the NPI-Q, there were no differences between the three neurocognitively impaired groups for any individual item severity.

Conclusions: The Neurocognitive profile of delirium is similar with or without comorbid dementia and differs from dementia without delirium. Simple tests of attention and vigilance can help to distinguish delirium from other presentations. The NPI-Q does not readily distinguish between neuropsychiatric disturbances in delirium versus dementia. Cases of suspected behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia should be carefully assessed for possible delirium.

Article summary: strengths and Limitations of this study

- This study includes a detailed cross-sectional assessment comparing the phenomenological profile of common neurocognitive disorders in elderly medical patients within a general hospital setting
- The findings highlight that delirium and dementia are characterised by different neuropsychiatric and cognitive disturbances
- Performance on simple bedside tests of attention and vigilance is disproportionately impaired in patients with delirium compared to dementia and thus have distinguishing capacity
- The Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) lacks specificity for the neuropsychiatric disturbances of dementia over delirium
- Further study should examine how these patterns are reflected for different dementia subtypes

Introduction

Delirium and dementia are major neurocognitive disorders that are both common and commonly misdiagnosed in hospitalized elderly. [1, 2] Improved management of these under-recognized neuropsychiatric presentations is a key target within healthcare services. Accurate and timely recognition of these disorders is important because delirium is linked to a variety of adverse outcomes and is frequently the principal presenting feature of urgent physical illness. Gonzalez et al, [3] for example, found that mortality was increased by 11% for each additional 48 hours of active delirium. Bellelli et al [4] found that patients with delirium superimposed upon dementia experience a two-fold risk of death within one year, emphasizing the need for clear delineation of this presentation. However, distinction is complicated by the considerable phenomenological overlap between these conditions and high comorbidity where the prevalence of delirium superimposed upon dementia in community and hospital settings ranges from 22-89%. [5]

Our understanding of the comparative phenomenological profile of major neurocognitive disorders is based upon studies conducted in a variety of populations. [6-16] These studies have applied different methods to the assessment of neuropsychiatric profile but have focused upon characterizing the neuropsychiatric features of comorbid illness rather than identifying distinguishing features of delirium versus dementia. Moreover, they have included limited account of the range of neuropsychological impairments that occur in these conditions.

We studied the cognitive and neuropsychiatric profiles of consecutive referrals of elderly medical inpatients to a psychiatry for later life consultation-liaison service. In particular, we aimed to address: (i) how does neuropsychiatric and cognitive profile in comorbid delirium-dementia compare to that of either disorder alone when analysed in conjunction with cognitively-intact control patients from the same setting, and (ii) which features best differentiate delirium and dementia, including comorbid cases.

METHODS

Subjects and Design

We conducted a cross-sectional study of neuropsychiatric symptoms and cognitive performance in consecutive referrals to a psychiatry for later life consultation-liaison service at University Hospital Limerick, a 400-bedded tertiary care centre in the Midwestern region of Ireland. Between October 2011 and July 2012 cases with altered mental state suggestive of neurocognitive disorder were identified on daily rounds by the medical team and referred for assessment and diagnosis by the research team. All patients were ≥ 60 years old. Patients were assessed and classified with delirium, dementia, comorbid delirium-dementia, or cognitively normal.

Assessments were conducted by raters (DM, ML, JMcF, SMcl) specifically trained in the use of the tools included herein (see below) and to further enhance inter-rater reliability, ratings associated with any uncertainty were discussed and agreed by consensus between raters.

1
2
3
4
5
6 Delirium was diagnosed according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders
7
8 (DSM) IV criteria[17] based upon a full clinical assessment at the time of consultation and
9
10 independent of the DRS-R98, CTD and NPI assessments. Dementia was defined as a clear history
11
12 of documented DSM-IV dementia (based on all available information at the time of assessment
13
14 including clinical case notes and collateral history from family and / or carers) *ora* short Informant
15
16 Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the elderly (IQCODE) score of ≥ 3.5 . [18-20] Comorbid
17
18 delirium-dementia was defined as the presence of both disorders. Patients with normal cognition
19
20 and no prior history of cognitive problems were also recruited for assessment.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 Each case was then assessed by first completing the DRS-R98 followed by administration of the
29
30 CTD. The DRS-R98 rated the preceding 24 hour period whereas the CTD measured cognition at the
31
32 time of its administration. CTD responses were not used to rate DRS-R98 items. The NPI-Q and
33
34 IQ-CODE were completed on the same day and after consultation with family and / or carers who
35
36 were familiar with the day to day functioning of the patient over the recent past.
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **Informed Consent**

44
45
46
47
48 The procedures and rationale for the study were explained to all patients but because many
49
50 patients had cognitive impairment at entry into the study it was presumed that many might not
51
52 be capable of giving informed written consent. Because of the non-invasive nature of the study,
53
54 University Hospital Limerick Regional Ethics Committee approved an approach to establishing
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 consent by virtue of augmenting patient assent with proxy consent from next of kin (where
4 possible) or a responsible caregiver for all participants in accordance with the Helsinki Guidelines
5
6 for Medical research involving human subjects.[21]
7
8
9

10 11 12 13 **Assessments** 14

15
16 Demographic data and medication at the time of the assessment were recorded. All available
17 information from medical records and collateral history was used. Nursing staff were interviewed
18 to assist rating of symptoms over the previous 24 hours.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 The Delirium Rating Scale-Revised-98 [DRS-R98][22] is designed for broad phenomenological
29 assessment of delirium. It is a 16-item scale with 13 severity and 3 diagnostic items with high
30 interrater reliability, sensitivity and specificity for detecting delirium in mixed neuropsychiatric
31 and other hospital populations. Each item is rated 0 (absent/normal) to 3 (severe impairment)
32 with descriptions anchoring each severity level. Severity scale scores range from 0-39 with higher
33 scores indicating more severe delirium. Delirium typically involves scores above 15 points
34 (Severity scale) or 18 points (Total scale) when dementia is in the differential diagnosis. For
35 determination of item frequencies in this study, any item score ≥ 1 was considered as being
36 “present”.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 The Cognitive Test for Delirium [CTD][23] was specifically designed to assess hospitalized patients
54 with delirium, in particular those who are intubated or unable to speak or write. It assesses five
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 neuropsychological domains (orientation, attention, memory, comprehension, and vigilance)
4
5 emphasizing nonverbal (visual and auditory) modalities. Each individual domain is scored from 0-
6
7
8 6 in 2 point increments, except for comprehension (single point increments). Total scores range
9
10
11 between 0-30 with higher scores indicating better cognitive function and scores of less than 19
12
13 consistent with delirium. It reliably differentiates delirium from other neuropsychiatric conditions
14
15 including dementia, schizophrenia and depression.[23]
16
17

18
19
20
21
22 The Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) [24,25] was developed for assessing neuropsychiatric
23
24 symptoms in patients with Alzheimer's disease and other neurodegenerative disorders. Studies
25
26 of cognitively intact older adults indicate extremely low scores suggesting that the NPI is
27
28 relatively specific for dementia-related neuropsychiatric pathology. The NPI-Q is a short
29
30 questionnaire version of the NPI [25] intended for use in everyday clinical practice.
31
32

33
34 Neuropsychiatric symptom severity is assessed in the same way as the original NPI. The NPI-Q
35
36 includes ten behavioural and two neurovegetative items that are assessed by an informed
37
38 caregiver who is knowledgeable about the patient's daytime and night-time behaviours.
39
40 Symptoms are rated over the past four weeks. Each of the 12 symptom domains is assessed by a
41
42 screening question derived from the NPI-Q that covers symptom manifestations with anchor
43
44 points for symptom severity rated on a three point scale and caregiver distress ratings rated on
45
46 a five point scale. The questionnaire includes written instructions and the total NPI-Q severity
47
48 score represents the sum of individual symptom scores and ranges from 0 to 36. [26] The NPI
49
50 can be further divided into four subscales – Agitation/aggression, frontal, mood and psychosis.
51
52

53
54
55
56
57 [27]
58
59
60

1
2
3 The Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly-Short Form (IQCODE-SF) is a
4 validated screening tool for detecting cognitive impairment.[19] The short version of the
5 IQCODE includes 16 items that rate cognitive change over time, each of which are rated by an
6 informant on a 5 point likert scale. The short-IQCODE takes approximately 10 minutes to
7 administer. The total score divided by the number of questions provides a mean item score
8 where ratings ≥ 3.5 are considered indicative of longstanding cognitive difficulties and
9 dementia.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20
21
22
23 The Delirium Etiology Checklist (DEC)[28] was used to document etiological underpinnings of
24 delirium. This standardised checklist captures delirium etiology according to twelve categories.
25
26 The presence and suspected role of multiple potential causes were documented for each case
27 of delirium, rated on a 5-point scale for degree of attribution to the delirium episode, ranging
28 from 'ruled out/not present/not relevant' (0) to 'definite cause' (4).
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Statistical Analyses**

41
42
43 Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS-19. Demographic and rating scale data are
44 expressed as means plus standard deviation. Continuous variables (e.g. age, total DRS-R98 and
45 CTD scores) were compared by one way ANOVA with independent t-tests used for post hoc
46 comparisons. Although data regarding scores for individual items on the DRS-R98 and CTD are
47 shown as means and standard deviations, these data are not normally distributed and as such
48 statistical comparisons relate to non-parametric tests (e.g. Mann-Whitney U tests for between
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

group comparisons). Cohen's d was used to estimate the effect size for key differences (e.g. differences in CTD item scores).

RESULTS

A total of 176 patients [mean age 80.6 ± 7.0 (range 60-96); 85 males (48%)] were assessed of whom 50 had delirium without dementia, 62 had both delirium and dementia, 32 had dementia without delirium, and 32 were deemed cognitively intact. Demographic, medication and general clinical data for patients from these four groups are shown in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences between the four groups in respect of age, gender distribution, number of medications received or use of psychotropic medications.

The principal underlying etiologies for delirium (n=112) as captured on the DEC were systemic infection (66), CNS infection (4), metabolic/ endocrine disturbance (39), drug intoxication (5), drug withdrawal (6) cerebrovascular (28), organ insufficiency (22), seizure-related (12), neoplasm (9), traumatic brain injury. (2)

Table 1 compares mean scores for the four groups for the DRS-R98 total and severity scales, CTD, IQ-CODE and NPI-Q. Both delirium groups were more impaired than the dementia group on total scores for the DRS-R98 and CTD. All three neurocognitively impaired groups scored similarly in respect of total NPI-Q scores. The mean short IQCODE scores distinguished the groups, with both

1
2
3 dementia groups scoring well in excess of the suggested cut-off score and significantly higher than
4
5 both the delirium without dementia and control groups.[19]
6
7
8
9

10 Means and standard deviations for each individual item (1-16) on the DRS-R98 are described in
11
12 Table 2. The three groups with cognitive impairment differed from cognitively intact controls
13
14 across the majority of items, including both cognitive and non-cognitive symptoms. Delirium
15
16 diagnostic items (symptom fluctuation, acute onset, and attributable physical disorder)
17
18 significantly distinguished delirium groups from the other groups. In addition, both delirium
19
20 groups were distinguished from the dementia-only group for sleep-wake cycle disturbances,
21
22 perceptual disturbances, affective lability, and language abnormalities. Of note, the delirium and
23
24 dementia alone groups were not distinguished by cognitive items (including either measures of
25
26 memory) apart from impaired attention which was more severe in both delirium groups. Both
27
28 delirium groups were very similar in disturbance levels for the majority of items but were
29
30 distinguished by severity of sleep-wake cycle disturbance, thought process abnormalities and
31
32 motor agitation.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 Table 3 shows the comparison of individual CTD item scores between the four groups. There was
45
46 a statistically significant difference overall between the four neurocognitive groups for each of the
47
48 five individual sections ($p < 0.001$). No item distinguished comorbid delirium-dementia from
49
50 delirium. Both attention ($p = 0.002$; $d = 0.81$) and vigilance ($p = 0.01$; $d = 0.4$) distinguished delirium
51
52 from dementia, while only vigilance significantly distinguished delirium-dementia from dementia
53
54 ($p < 0.001$; $d = 1.8$).
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 The frequencies for each of the 12 individual severity and distress items for the neurocognitive
7
8 disorder groups (delirium alone, comorbid delirium-dementia, dementia, and control) are shown
9
10 in tables 4 and 5. There was a significant difference overall between the four patient groups for 10
11
12 of the 12 individual distress items of the NPI-Q. All three neurocognitive groups scored more
13
14 highly than controls for anxiety, while the two delirium groups (but not the dementia-only group)
15
16 scored more highly than controls for agitation-aggression, irritability-lability and aberrant motor
17
18 behaviour. Conversely, the dementia groups (but not delirium alone) scored more highly than
19
20 controls for depression-dysphoria and sleep disturbances, while only the comorbid delirium-
21
22 dementia group scored more highly than controls for apathy-indifference. Analysis of the NPI-Q
23
24 subscale scores[27] showed significant differences between all three neurocognitive groups and
25
26 controls and broadly replicated these findings (Table 6).
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 DISCUSSION

37
38
39
40
41 We compared the neuropsychiatric profile of elderly medical inpatients with a variety of
42
43 neurocognitive presentations, including a cognitively-intact group. We used well-validated
44
45 instruments for both delirium and dementia symptom severity – the DRS-R98, CTD and NPI-Q -
46
47 which allow for detailed investigation of cognitive and neuropsychiatric profile in these complex
48
49 syndromes. We found that patients with active delirium – both with and without comorbid
50
51 dementia – could be distinguished from patients with dementia-alone in respect of a range of
52
53 neuropsychiatric and cognitive disturbances identified with the DRS-R98 and CTD scales, but
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 less so with the NPI-Q. This suggests that the NPI-Q does not readily distinguish between the
4
5 neuropsychiatric disturbances of delirium and the so-called Behavioural and Psychological
6
7 Symptoms of Dementia (BPSD).
8
9

10
11
12 We found similar cognitive and neuropsychiatric profile in patients with delirium and comorbid
13
14 delirium-dementia. However, delirium (both comorbid and without dementia) was
15
16 distinguished from dementia without delirium by both a variety of neuropsychiatric symptoms
17
18 and in terms of cognitive performance on tests of attention and vigilance.
19
20
21
22
23

24
25
26 The findings in respect of differences in cognitive profile between delirium and dementia
27
28 extends previous work using similar instrumentation conducted in palliative care, where
29
30 attention distinguished both delirium and comorbid delirium-dementia from dementia
31
32 alone.[12] In addition, this study of elderly medical inpatients found that performance on
33
34 vigilance distinguished delirium groups from dementia. Attentional disturbances in delirium are
35
36 in respect of the ability to direct, focus, sustain and shift attention. Vigilance is a term that has
37
38 many possible meanings, but is most commonly equated with the ability to sustain attention to
39
40 a task and thus is often referred to as 'vigilant' attention.[29]The vigilance test of the CTD used
41
42 herein involves a letter recognition test and thus explores the ability to sustain attentional
43
44 performance. Previous work has highlighted how attention and vigilance are closely
45
46 linked,including in patients with delirium [30] where there was high correlation between DRS-
47
48 R98 attention (which emphasizes the months backwards test) and CTD attention (which uses
49
50 combined performance on the digit span forwards and backwards) ($r = -0.73$), as well as
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 between DRS–R98 attention and CTD vigilance ($r = -0.60$). Similarly, Brown et al [31] compared
4 performance among patients with delirium, dementia and unimpaired cognition on a series of
5 tests of sustained visual attention and found that delirious patients could be distinguished
6 across a range of tests, while performance among the patients with dementia was relatively
7 preserved and equivalent to the unimpaired controls. These findings highlight how efforts to
8 improve detection of delirium (e.g. developing screening tools) can be enhanced by
9 emphasizing sustained attention/vigilance as key elements within the cognitive domain.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 21 22 23 Neuropsychiatric profiles 24 25 26 27

28 Previous comparisons of delirium versus comorbid delirium-dementia in terms of
29 neuropsychiatric profile measured on the Delirium Symptom Interview in elderly medical
30 inpatients,[6,32] and DRS and BPRS in geropsychiatric patients[7]has found that these conditions
31 are phenomenologically similar. However, other studies using the Organic Brain Scale (OBS) in
32 mixed community-dwelling and hospitalized groups [11,14] found that delirious patients with
33 comorbid dementia have more hyperactive features, more commonly experience psychotic
34 symptoms, have more profound communication difficulties and are more prone to symptom
35 worsening in the evening. Margiotta et al[10] compared DRS profiles in delirious elderly medical
36 inpatients with and without comorbid dementia. They found that comorbid cases had higher
37 overall DRS scores, with greater perceptual disturbances, symptom fluctuation and experienced
38 more prolonged delirium episodes. Otherwise, these groups were similar in terms of other DRS
39 symptoms and MMSE scores. We found that delirium and comorbid-delirium dementia groups
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 were very similar in disturbance levels for the majority of symptoms but were distinguished by
4 severity of DRS-R98 thought process abnormalities and motor agitation.
5
6
7
8
9

10 Studies that have compared profiles in patients with dementia with and without comorbid
11 delirium indicate considerable differences in terms of neuropsychiatric symptom burden.
12

13 Landreville et al[15] studied long term care residents using the Behaviour Problem Scale and
14 found that patients with comorbid delirium-dementia had greater sleep problems, wandering,
15 irrational behaviour and aggression. They suggested that BPSD may be a risk factor for delirium.
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 Holtta et al[13] used the NPI in demented patients from acute geriatric inpatient (n=195) and
23 Nursing home settings (n=230) with and without delirium and found that the majority of
24 patients with dementia had multiple neuropsychiatric symptoms (NPS) but, that comorbid
25 delirium was associated with greater NPS and a poorer prognosis. In addition, one third of
26 dementia patients with multiple NPS had comorbid delirium. Hasegawa et al[16] compared
27 dementia to comorbid delirium-dementia in respect of NPI ratings in memory clinic attenders.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 They found significantly higher total NPI scores for comorbid delirium-dementia, with similar
40 scores across the groups for most individual items except for greater agitation in comorbid
41 delirium-dementia. They concluded that delirium 'exaggerates' BPSD in dementia. We found
42 that in comparison to dementia patients without delirium, comorbid delirium-dementia patients
43 had greater DRS-R98 sleep-wake cycle disturbances, perceptual disturbances, affective lability,
44 and language abnormalities, as well as CTD impairment of vigilance. However, these two groups
45 did not differ in respect of NPI-Q ratings.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Two previous studies [8,12] have compared phenomenological profile in patients with delirium, dementia, comorbid delirium-dementia and without neurocognitive disorder. Laurila et al [8] supplemented a detailed clinical assessment with the WAIS and digit span. Demented patients with and without delirium differed in respect of multiple symptoms including attention, disorganised thinking, perceptual disturbances, sleep difficulties, psychomotor abnormalities, acuity of onset and presence of etiology - all of which were more prominent in patients with comorbid illness. Specific comparison of delirious patients with and without underlying dementia was not reported. Meagher and colleagues [12] studied palliative care patients and found that delirium and comorbid delirium-dementia groups had comparable DRS-R98 and CTD total scores, which were greater than in dementia or control groups. On the DRS-R98, inattention, disorientation and multiple non-cognitive symptoms (sleep-wake cycle, perceptual abnormality, affective lability, thought process abnormality, motor agitation and motor retardation) were more severe in delirium groups compared with dementia-alone. In this study, we found that both delirium groups were distinguished from the dementia-only group for attention, sleep-wake cycle disturbances, perceptual disturbances, affective lability, language abnormalities and all three diagnostic items (acuity of onset, symptom fluctuation and attributable physical disorder).

Trzepacz and colleagues [33] in a comparison of delirium and dementia without delirium found greater impairment in delirium for disturbances of attention, visuospatial ability, the sleep-wake cycle, perception, thought process, affective lability, motor agitation, comprehension, and acuity of onset and fluctuation of symptoms.

1
2
3
4
5
6 Overall, these findings highlight how delirium symptoms overshadow dementia when comorbid
7
8 and, along with the greater diagnostic urgency for delirium, emphasise that elderly medical
9
10 patients with neuropsychiatric symptoms should be presumed to have delirium until otherwise
11
12 clarified.
13
14

15 16 17 18 Comparison of assessment tools 19

20
21
22 Although the neuropsychiatric profile of patients varied according to delirium and dementia
23
24 status, these patterns differed across the assessment tools employed. The instruments that we
25
26 used differ both in their content and timeframes covered – the CTD exclusively focuses on
27
28 cognitive performance at the time of testing, the DRS-R98 includes cognitive and
29
30 neurobehavioural elements over the previous 24 hours, while the NPI-Q focuses on
31
32 neuropsychiatric disturbances over the previous month. Our findings suggest that although
33
34 patients with delirium and dementia experience a similar range of neurobehavioural
35
36 disturbances (e.g. over a month as measured with the NPI-Q), the relative acuity of delirium is
37
38 associated with greater symptom burden in the previous twenty four hours (as captured on the
39
40 DRS-R98). In addition, although the DRS-R98 and NPI-Q both assess for psychosis, sleep-wake
41
42 cycle disturbance, motor behaviour and affective alterations, the emphasis for some features is
43
44 different whereby NPI-Q explores specifically for apathy and sustained affective changes, while
45
46 in the DRS-R98 the focus is upon lability of affective expression.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In particular, the differences between delirium groups and dementia in respect of DRS-R98
4 items for sleep, affective lability and perceptual disturbances were not mirrored with the NPI-Q.
5
6 In addition to the contrasting time frames covered by these tools, their emphasis within these
7 domains is different; the DRS-R98 focuses upon alterations to the sleep-wake cycle over the
8 previous twenty-four hours and emphasizes fragmentation and cycle reversal in severity rating.
9
10 The NPI-Q emphasizes sleep at night, with the range and duration of night-time behaviours
11 central to rating of severity. Moreover, the NPI-Q is rated by an informant rather than a
12 psychiatrist. As such, our findings suggest that the character of sleep disturbances differs across
13 neurocognitive disorders and that delirium is particularly characterized by altered sleep-wake
14 cycle. This echoes previous work which has emphasised that more severe disturbances involving
15 altered sleep-wake cycle such as fragmentation and cycle reversal are relatively specific to
16 delirium and occur in 75% or more of patients with active delirium.[30,34,35]
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Similarly, we found different patterns in respect of altered affective functioning. Classically,
37 delirium is associated with affective lability while dementia is often complicated by more
38 sustained disturbances of mood, apathy and indifference. We found that delirium groups had
39 higher scores for the DRS-R98 item for affective lability, while only the dementia groups scored
40 higher than controls for depression-dysphoria on the NPI-Q. Affective disturbances are thus
41 common elements of both delirium and dementia, and are increasingly recognized as risk
42 factors for both conditions.[36,37] More detailed study of affective symptoms and how they
43 differ across neurocognitive disorders is warranted.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Study limitations

Cross-sectional studies cannot fully capture the phenomenological profile of conditions such as delirium where symptom fluctuation is prominent, though the DRS-R98 utilizes a 24-hour reporting period and the NPI-Q captures symptom profile over the previous month. Our control group derives from referrals to a psychiatry for later life service and as such are not necessarily representative of elderly medical inpatients in general. However, they do provide an appropriate comparison group who reflect the population in which accurate diagnosis of neuropsychiatric problems is most challenging. We could not specify the stage or primary cause of dementia but evidence indicates that the frequency of different neuropsychiatric disturbances varies across dementia types.[38,39] The observations regarding sleep and affective changes can be better explored with tools that have these domains as their primary focus and that explore different aspects of each in greater detail in order to ascertain the different character of disturbances across neurocognitive syndromes. Finally, the syndromal concept of delirium remains primarily defined by phenomenological elements rather than by particular pathophysiological disturbances which could define a disease state. The gathering evidence for biomarkers of delirium can be integrated with our knowledge from phenomenological studies to add further precision to the concept of delirium.[40]

Implications

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Guidance regarding differentiating symptoms between delirium and dementia is relatively lacking in the definition of delirium in DSM-5[41] or ICD-10[42], suggesting that these diagnostic systems would be advanced by criteria to guide efforts to distinguish these common conditions. This work suggests that particular neuropsychiatric symptoms and the methods by which these symptoms are assessed, including their character and timeframe are key to accurately distinguishing neurocognitive disorders. This is especially relevant in the assessment of suspected BPSD or major neurocognitive disorder with behavioural disturbance as described in DSM-5.[40] As a general rule, neuropsychiatric disturbances captured on the DRS-R98 are relatively specific for delirium, while disturbances captured on tools such as the NPI are less discerning between these major neurocognitive disorders. Given the diagnostic urgency of delirium, our findings favour use of the DRS-R98 as the primary symptom assessment tool. Although delirium and dementia are both characterised by generalised disturbance of cognitive function, this work emphasises how delirium can be distinguished from dementia by virtue of the disproportionate impairment of attention and vigilance. These cognitive functions should be emphasised in efforts to identify delirium, including in populations where there are high rates of dementia.

Funding Statement

This work was supported by a research project grant from the Health Research Board (HRA 2011/48)

Contributorship statement

1
2
3 Study design was conducted by Maeve Leonard, Shane McInerney, John McFarland, Margaret O'Connor,
4 Paul Reynolds, Anna Maria Meaney, Paula T. Trzepacz, David J. Meagher.
5
6

7
8 Data collection was conducted by Maeve Leonard, Shane McInerney, John McFarland, David J. Meagher.
9

10 Data analysis was performed by Maeve Leonard, Candice Condon, Dimitrios Adamis, Paula T. Trzepacz,
11 David J. Meagher.
12

13
14 Manuscript preparation was performed by Maeve Leonard, Shane McInerney, John McFarland, Candice
15 Condon, Fahad Awan, Margaret O'Connor, Paul Reynolds, Anna Maria Meaney, Dimitrios Adamis, Colum
16 Dunne, Walter Cullen, Paula T. Trzepacz, David J. Meagher.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 **Data Sharing Statement**

25
26 No additional data available.
27
28
29

30 **Competing Interests**

31
32 The authors have no competing interests to declare
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **REFERENCES**

41
42
43
44 [1] Collins N, Blanchard MR, Yookman A, et al. Detection of delirium in the acute hospital. Age
45 Ageing 2010;39(1):131-5.
46
47

48
49
50
51 [2] Ryan D, O'Regan N, O'Caoimh R, et al. Delirium in an adult acute hospital population:
52 predictors, prevalence and detection. BMJ Open 2013;Jan 7;3(1).
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 [3] Gonzalez M, Khurana V. Erratum study of impact of delirium on short-term mortality in
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

[3] Gonzalez M, Khurana V. Erratum study of impact of delirium on short-term mortality in elderly inpatients. *Psychosomatics* 2009;Nov-Dec;50(6):640.

[4] Bellilli G, Frisoni G, Turco R, et al. Delirium superimposed on dementia predicts 12-month survival in elderly patients discharged from a postacute rehabilitation facility. *J Gerontol A BiolSci Med Sci* 2007;62(11):1306-9.

[5] Fick DM., Agostini JV., Inouye SK .Delirium superimposed upon dementia: a systematic review. *JAGS* 2002;50:1723-32

[6] Liptzin B, Levkoff SE, Gottlieb GL,et al. Delirium: background papers for DSM-IV. *J Neuropsychiatry ClinNeurosci* 1993;5:154–160.

[7] Trzepacz PT, Mulsant BH, Dew MA, et al. Is delirium different when it occurs in dementia? A study using the Delirium Rating Scale. *J Neuropsychiatry ClinNeurosci* , 1998;10:199-204.

[8] Laurila JV, Pitkala KH, StrandbergTE,et al. Delirium among patients with and without dementia: does the diagnosis according to the DSM-IV differ from the previous classifications? *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 2004;19(3):271-277.

[9] Voyer P, Cole MG, McCusker J, et al. Prevalence and symptoms of delirium superimposed on dementia. *Clinical Nursing Research* 2006;15:46-66.

1
2
3
4
5
6 [10] Margiotta A, Bianchetti A, Ranien P, et al. Clinical characteristics and risk factors of delirium
7
8 in demented and not demented elderly medical inpatients. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2006;Nov-
9
10 Dec;10(6):535-9.
11

12
13
14
15
16 [11] Edlund A, Lundstrom M, Sandberg O, et al. Symptom profile of delirium in older people
17
18 with and without dementia. *J Geriatr Psych Neurol* 2007;20:166-171.
19

20
21
22
23 [12] Meagher DJ, Leonard M, Donnelly S, et al. A comparison of neuropsychiatric and cognitive
24
25 profiles in delirium, dementia, comorbid delirium-dementia and cognitively intact controls. *J*
26
27 *NeurolNeurosurg Psychiatry* 2010;81: 876-881.
28

29
30
31
32 [13] Holttä E, Lakkonen ML, Laurila JV, et al. The overlap of delirium with neuropsychiatric
33
34 symptoms among patients with dementia. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2011; Dec;19(12):1034-41.
35
36

37
38
39
40 [14] Lundström M, Stenvall M, Olofsson B. Symptom profile of postoperative delirium in patients
41
42 with and without dementia. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* 2012; Sep;25(3):162-9.
43
44

45
46
47
48 [15] Landreville P, Voyer P, Carmichael PH. Relationship between delirium and behavioral
49
50 symptoms of dementia. *Int Psychogeriatr* 2013; 25(4):635-43.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 [16] Hasegawa N, Hashimoto M, Yuuki S, et al. Prevalence of delirium among outpatients with
4 dementia. *Int Psychogeriatr* 2013; Nov; 25(11):1877-83.
5
6
7
8

9
10 [17] American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders*. 4th
11 edition. Washington, DC, USA: American Psychiatric Press, 1994
12
13

14
15 [18] Jorm AF. A short form of the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly
16 (IQCODE): development and cross-validation. *Psychol Med* 1994; Feb, 24(1):145-53.
17
18
19

20
21 [19] Jorm AF, Christensen H, Korten AE, et al. Informant ratings of cognitive decline in old age:
22 validation against change on cognitive tests over 7 to 8 years. *Psychological Medicine*
23 2000; 30, 981-985.
24
25

26
27 [20] Jorm AF. The Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE): a
28 review. *Int Psychogeriatrics* 2004; 16: 275-93.
29
30
31

32
33 [21] World Medical Association. *Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical Principles for Medical Research*
34 *Involving Human Subjects*, 2004. <http://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/>
35
36
37

38
39 [22] Trzepacz PT, Mittal D, Torres R, et al. Validation of the Delirium Rating Scale-Revised-98
40 (DRS-R-98). *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2001; 13:229-242.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

1
2
3 [23] Hart RP, Levenson JL, Sessler CN, et al. Validation of a cognitive test for delirium in medical
4
5 ICU patients. *Psychosomatics* 1996;37:533-46.
6
7

8
9
10
11 [24] Cummings JL, Mega M, Gray K, et al. The Neuropsychiatric Inventory: comprehensive
12
13 assessment of psychopathology in dementia. *Neurology* 1994;44:2308-2314.
14
15

16
17
18 [25] Cummings JL. The Neuropsychiatric Inventory: Assessing psychopathology in dementia
19
20 patients. *Neurology* 1997;48(Supple 6):S10-S16.
21
22

23
24
25 [26] Kaufer DI, Cummings JL, et al. Validation of the NPI-Q, a Brief Clinical Form of the
26
27 Neuropsychiatric Inventory. *J Neuropsychiatry ClinNeurosci* 2000;12:233–239.
28
29

30
31
32 [27] Trzepacz PT, Saykin A, Yu P, Bhamditipati P, Sun J, Dennehy EB, Willis B, Cummings JL.
33
34 Subscale validation of the neuropsychiatric inventory questionnaire: comparison of Alzheimer's
35
36 disease neuroimaging initiative and national Alzheimer's coordinating center cohorts. *Am J*
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

- 1
2
3
4 [29] Oken BS, Salinsky MC, Elsas SM. Vigilance, alertness, or sustained attention: physiological
5
6 basis and measurement. *ClinNeurophysiol* 2006;117:1885–1901.
7
8
9
10
11 [30] Meagher DJ, Moran M, Raju B, et al. Phenomenology of 100 consecutive adult cases of
12
13 delirium. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 2007;190:135-41
14
15
16
17
18 [31] Brown LJ, Fordyce C, Zaghdani H et al. Detecting deficits of sustained visual attention in
19
20 delirium. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2011;82(12):1344-40.
21
22
23
24
25
26 [32] Albert MS, Levkoff SE, Reilly C, et al. The delirium symptom interview: an interview for the
27
28 detection of delirium symptoms in hospitalized patients. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* 1992;Jan-
29
30 Mar;5(1):14-21.
31
32
33
34
35
36 [33] Trzepacz PT, Mittal D, Torres R, et al. Delirium vs dementia symptoms: Delirium Rating
37
38 Scale-Revised (DRS-R-98) and Cognitive Test for Delirium (CTD) item comparisons.
39
40
41 *Psychosomatics* 2002;43:156—157
42
43
44
45
46 [34] Jabbar F., Leonard M., Meehan K., et al. Neuropsychiatric and cognitive profile of patients
47
48 with DSM- IV delirium referred to an old age psychiatry consultation-liaison service. *Int*
49
50 *Psychogeriatrics* 2011,23:1167-74.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 [35] Mattoo SK, Grover S, Chakravarty G et al. Symptom Profile and Etiology of Delirium in a
4 Referral Population in Northern India: Factor Analysis of the DRS-R98. J Neuropsychiatry and
5 Clin Neurosci 2012;24:95-101.
6
7
8
9

10
11
12 [36] O'Sullivan R, Meagher D, Leonard M et al. A comparison of the revised Delirium Rating
13 Scale (DRS-R98) and the Memorial Delirium Assessment Scale (MDAS) in a palliative care cohort
14 with DSM-IV delirium. Palliat Support Care 2014;July 3:1-8.
15
16
17
18
19

20
21
22 [37] Ismail Z, Malick A, Smith E et al. Depression versus dementia: is this construct still relevant?
23 Neurodegenerative Disease Management 2014;4(2):119-126.
24
25
26
27
28

29
30
31 [38] D'Onofrio G, Sancarlo D, Panza F, et al. Neuropsychiatric symptoms and functional status in
32 Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia patients. Curr Alzheimer Res 2012; 9:759-71.
33
34
35
36
37

38
39 [39] Srikanth S., Nagaraja AV., Ratnavalli E. Neuropsychiatric symptoms in dementia-frequency,
40 relationship to dementia severity and comparison in Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia and
41 frontotemporal dementia. J Neurological Sciences 2005; 236:43-48.
42
43
44
45
46

47
48 [40] Androsova G, Krause R, Winterer G, Schneider R. Biomarkers of postoperative delirium and
49 cognitive dysfunction. Front Aging Neurosci 2015; 7: 112.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

[41] American Psychiatric Association: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition. Arlington, VA, American Psychiatric Association, 2013.

[42] World Health Organization. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision. Geneva, World Health Organization, 1992.

Table 1. Demographic and medication data for the four patient groups (mean \pm SD)

| | Delirium (n=50) | Comorbid delirium-dementia (n=62) | Dementia (n=32) | Control (n=32) |
|--|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Male (%) | 55 | 43 | 53 | 41 |
| Age | 78.9 \pm 9.8 | 81.1 \pm 7.3 | 80.9 \pm 5.0 | 81.7 \pm 7.5 |
| Total number of medications | 8.7 \pm 3.5 | 8.8 \pm 4.3 | 9.0 \pm 4.5 | 8.5 \pm 4.6 |
| Number of Psychotropics | 1.0 \pm 1.1 | 1.4 \pm 1.1 | 1.4 \pm 1.2 | 0.8 \pm 1.1 |
| DRS-R98 total | 22.0 \pm 8.4 [†] | 18.9 \pm 6.9 [§] | 14.0 \pm 6.8 [*] | 6.1 \pm 5.2 |
| DRS-R98 severity | 17.3 \pm 7.4 [‡] | 15.1 \pm 6.1 [§] | 11.8 \pm 6.3 [*] | 4.7 \pm 4.1 |
| CTD total | 14.6 \pm 9.6 | 14.2 \pm 7.7 | 18.1 \pm 8.0 [*] | 24.6 \pm 4.8 |
| Short IQCODE | 3.1 \pm 0.3 | 4.2 \pm 1.4 [¥] | 4.1 \pm 0.7 [∅] | 2.9 \pm 0.6 |
| NPI-Q Distress | 8.4 \pm 6.4 | 9.9 \pm 7.2 | 6.7 \pm 6.1 [*] | 1.5 \pm 2.1 |

NPI-Q Severity 11.9 ± 10.6 12.3 ± 10.6 10.2 ± 9.5* 1.6 ± 2.7

Note: †delirium > dementia at p<0.001.

‡delirium > dementia at p<0.005.

§comorbid delirium-dementia > dementia at p<0.05.

*all three neurocognitive groups greater than controls at p <0.001.

¥comorbid delirium-dementia > delirium and controls at p<0.001

∅dementia > delirium and controls at p<0.001

Table 2.DRS-R98 item severities (mean scores ± SD) and frequencies (% scoring ≥1 and ≥2) for delirium, comorbid delirium-dementia, dementia alone, and control groups

| | Controls (n = 32) | Delirium (n = 50) | Comorbid delirium- dementia (n = 62) | Dementia (n = 32) |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Sleep-wake cycle disturbance | 0.5 ± 0.7 44% (9%) | 2.0 ± 0.9 ^{†**} 91% (73%) | 1.5 ± 0.9* 79% (53%) | 0.9 ± 0.8 [¶] 65% (22%) |
| 2. Perceptual disturbances and hallucinations | 0.2 ± 0.7 6% (6%) | 1.0 ± 1.3 [†] 41% (32%) | 0.7 ± 1.1* 37% (23%) | 0.2 ± 0.7 [¶] 14% (6%) |
| 3. Delusions | 0.1 ± 0.6 | 0.6 ± 1.0 35% (21%) | 0.4 ± 0.8 31% (10%) | 0.3 ± 0.7 [¶] 15% (9%) |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------|------------|
| | 6% (3%) | | | |
| 4. Lability of affect | 0.2 ± 0.6 | 1.4 ± 1.1‡ | 1.0 ± 0.9* | 0.5 ± 0.7 |
| | 6% (3%) | 75% (47%) | 62% (33%) | 37% (9%) |
| 5. Language | 0.1 ± 0.4 | 1.1 ± 1.1† | 0.8 ± 1.0* | 0.3 ± 0.7¶ |
| | 6% (3%) | 55% (39%) | 43% (23%) | 20% (10%) |
| 6. Thought process abnormalities | 0.6 ± 0.8 | 2.5 ± 4.6*§ | 1.1 ± 1.1 | 0.8 ± 0.9¶ |
| | 47% (13%) | 88% (63%) | 62% (38%) | 53% (22%) |
| 7. Motor agitation | 0.2 ± 0.5 | 1.5 ± 1.1‡** | 0.9 ± 0.9 | 0.6 ± 0.8 |
| | 11% (7%) | 73% (55%) | 57% (25%) | 37% (16%) |
| 8. Motor retardation | 0.1 ± 0.4 | 0.6 ± 0.9 | 0.5 ± 0.8 | 0.3 ± 0.6¶ |
| | 6% (3%) | 31% (20%) | 37% (13%) | 19% (3%) |
| 9. Orientation | 0.2 ± 0.4 | 1.2 ± 1.0 | 1.5 ± 0.7* | 1.0 ± 0.8 |
| | 16% (16%) | 74% (37%) | 90% (52%) | 70% (22%) |
| 10. Attention | | 2.4 ± 1.4‡ | 2.0 ± 0.9* | |
| | 0.6 ± 0.8 | 98% (80%) | 93% (72%) | 1.6 ± 1.1 |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------|------------|
| | 37% (15%) | | | 70% (31%) |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 11. Short term memory | 0.9 ± 0.9 | 1.7 ± 1.2 | 2.1 ± 0.9 | 1.7 ± 1.0 |
| | 56% (28%) | 80% (60%) | 97% (69%) | 89% (60%) |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 12. Long term memory | 0.4 ± 0.6 | 1.4 ± 1.0 | 1.3 ± 0.9 | 1.1 ± 1.1 |
| | 35% (11%) | 80% (42%) | 77% (42%) | 59% (31%) |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 13. Visuospatial ability | 0.6 ± 0.9 | 2.1 ± 1.1 | 1.8 ± 1.1 | 1.6 ± 1.2 |
| | 34% (22%) | 89% (70%) | 85% (60%) | 73% (60%) |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 14. Temporal onset of symptoms | 0.4 ± 0.6 | 2.0 ± 0.6‡§ | 1.6 ± 0.9‡ | 0.6 ± 0.7 |
| | 17% (13%) | 100% (78%) | 89% (54%) | 41% (13%) |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 15. Fluctuation in symptom severity | 0.2 ± 0.5 | 1.2 ± 0.6‡** | 0.7 ± 0.7† | 0.3 ± 0.4¶ |
| | 11% (6%) | 91% (26%) | 59% (11%) | 27% (27%) |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 16. Physical disorder | 0.8 ± 0.6 | 1.9 ± 0.4‡ | 1.8 ± 0.4‡ | 1.2 ± 0.7 |
| | 72% (10%) | 98% (92%) | 98% (84%) | 83% (33%) |

* more impaired than dementia at $p \leq 0.05$

† more impaired than dementia at $p < 0.01$

‡ more impaired than dementia at $p < 0.001$

** more impaired than delirium-dementia at $p < 0.01$

§ more impaired than delirium-dementia at $p \leq 0.05$

¶ no difference between dementia and controls

Table 3. Cognitive Test for Delirium (CTD) section scores for neurocognitive disorder groups, delirium, comorbid delirium-dementia, dementia alone, and control. Controls performed in the normal range for each item.

| | Controls (n = 32) | Delirium (n = 50) | Comorbid delirium- dementia (n = 62) | Dementia (n = 32) |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------|
| 1. Orientation | 5.8 ± 0.6 | 3.7 ± 2.4 | 3.2 ± 2.1 | 4.3 ± 2.1 |
| 2. Attention | 4.7 ± 1.7 | 2.1 ± 2.1†* | 3.1 ± 2.1 | 3.8 ± 2.1 |
| 3. Memory | 5.2 ± 1.1 | 2.9 ± 2.3 | 2.3 ± 2.3‡ | 3.6 ± 2.0 |
| 4. Comprehension | 5.7 ± 0.5 | 3.8 ± 2.1* | 4.1 ± 1.8 | 4.7 ± 1.7 |
| 5. Vigilance | 4.3 ± 1.9 | 1.6 ± 2.2 | 1.4 ± 2.0* | 2.5 ± 2.3 |

* more impaired than dementia at $p \leq 0.05$

† more impaired than dementia at $p < .01$

‡ more impaired than dementia at $p < .001$

** more impaired than delirium-dementia at $p < .01$

§ more impaired than delirium-dementia at $p \leq 0.05$

¶ no difference between dementia and controls

Table 4. Frequencies (%) for the 12 individual severity items of the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) for delirium, comorbid delirium-dementia, dementia alone, and control groups.

| | Controls (n = 32) | Delirium (n = 50) | Comorbid delirium- dementia (n = 62) | Dementia (n = 32) | <i>p</i> |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------|----------|
| 1. Delusions | 1 (3%) | 12 (24%) | 17 (27%) | 5 (15%) | ≤.05 |
| 2. Hallucinations | 0 (0%) | 14 (28%) | 21 (34%) | 5 (15%) | <.01 |
| 3. Agitation / Aggression | 1 (3%) | 23 (46%) | 35 (56%) | 12 (38%) | <.001 |
| 4. Depression / Dysphoria | 5 (16%) | 14 (28%) | 30 (48%) | 14 (44%) | ≤.05 |
| 5. Anxiety | 6 (19%) | 21 (42%) | 31 (50%) | 16 (50%) | ≤.05 |
| 6. Elation / Euphoria | 0 (0%) | 1 (2%) | 7 (11%) | 2 (6%) | NS |
| 7. Apathy / Indifference | 2 (6%) | 15 (30%) | 30 (48%) | 9 (28%) | <.001 |
| 8. Disinhibition | 2 (6%) | 8 (16%) | 18 (29%) | 1 (3%) | <.01 |
| 9. Irritability / Lability | 4 (13%) | 23 (46%) | 36 (58%) | 11 (34%) | <.001 |
| 10. Aberrant Motor Behaviour | 2 (7%) | 19 (38%) | 25 (40%) | 9 (28%) | <.01 |
| 11. Sleep and Nighttime Disturbances | 3 (9%) | 13 (26%) | 32 (52%) | 12 (37%) | <.001 |

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----|
| 12. Appetite / Eating Disturbances | 7 (22%) | 16 (32%) | 29 (47%) | 10 (31%) | NS |
|------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----|

Table 5. Frequencies (%) for the 12 individual *distress* items of the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) for delirium, comorbid delirium-dementia, dementia alone, and control groups.

| | Controls (n = 32) | Delirium (n = 50) | Comorbid delirium- dementia (n = 62) | Dementia (n = 32) | <i>p</i> |
|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------|----------|
| 1. Delusions | 1 (3%) | 12 (24%) | 17 (27%) | 5 (16%) | ≤.05 |
| 2. Hallucinations | 0 (0%) | 15 (30%) | 19 (31%) | 4 (13%) | <.001 |
| 3. Agitation / Aggression | 1 (3%) | 23 (46%) | 33 (53%) | 12 (38%) | <.001 |
| 4. Depression / Dysphoria | 3 (9%) | 15 (30%) | 28 (45%) | 14 (44%) | <.01 |
| 5. Anxiety | 5 (16%) | 20 (40%) | 28 (45%) | 15 (47%) | ≤.05 |
| 6. Elation / Euphoria | 0 (0%) | 1 (2%) | 6 (10%) | 2 (6%) | NS |
| 7. Apathy / Indifference | 2 (6%) | 12 (24%) | 27 (44%) | 9 (28%) | <.01 |
| 8. Disinhibition | 1 (3%) | 8 (16%) | 15 (24%) | 1 (3%) | ≤.05 |
| 9. Irritability / Lability | 3 (9%) | 21 (42%) | 33 (53%) | 11 (34%) | <.001 |
| 10. Aberrant Motor Behaviour | 2 (6%) | 19 (38%) | 20 (32%) | 9 (28%) | <.01 |

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|------|
| 11. Sleep and Nighttime Disturbances | 3 (9%) | 13 (26%) | 31 (50%) | 12 (38%) | <.01 |
| 12. Appetite / Eating Disturbances | 5 (16%) | 16 (32%) | 23 (37%) | 9 (28%) | NS |

Table 6. Comparison of NPI-Q subscale scores for delirium, comorbid delirium-dementia, dementia alone, and control groups

| | Controls | Delirium | Comorbid delirium-dementia | Dementia |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------|----------------------------|------------|
| NPIQ4-Agitation Aggression | 0.3 ± 0.6** | 1.8 ± 1.2 | 1.9 ± 1.4 | 1.2 ± 1.1* |
| NPIQ3-Mood | 0.7 ± 1.0 † | 2.6 ± 2.1 | 3.0 ± 2.4 | 2.7 ± 2.2 |
| NPIQ4-Frontal | 0.4 ± 0.8 † | 2.3 ± 2.3 | 2.9 ± 2.5 | 1.6 ± 2.2 |
| NPIQ- Psychosis | 0.0 ± 0.2 | 1.4 ± 1.7 | 1.5 ± 2.5 | 1.4 ± 4.1 |

*Dementia < Comorbid delirium dementia < 0.05;

**Controls < comorbid delirium and dementia; and delirium ≤ 0.05

†Controls < all other neurocognitive groups ≤ 0.002

‡ Controls < Comorbid delirium and dementia; and delirium ≤ 0.005