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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Treatment options for type 2 diabetes are becoming increasingly complex with people often prescribed multiple medications, and may include both oral and injectable therapies. There is ongoing debate about which drug classes provide the optimum second-line and third-line treatment options. In the real world, patient adherence and persistence determines medication effectiveness. A better understanding of adherence may help inform the choice of second-line and third-line drug classes.

Methods and analysis: This systematic review will compare adherence and persistence rates across the different classes of medication available to people with type 2 diabetes. It will include all identified studies comparing medication adherence or persistence between two or more glucose-lowering medications in people with type 2 diabetes. Research databases (MEDLINE, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library, The Register of Controlled Trials, PsychINFO and CINAHL) will be searched for relevant articles, using a comprehensive search strategy. All identified medication trials and observational studies will be included which compare adherence or persistence across classes of diabetes medication. The characteristics and outcomes of all the included studies will be reported along with a study quality grade, assessed using the Cochrane Risk Assessment Tool. The quality of adjustment for confounders of adherence or persistence will be reported for each study. Where multiple (n ≥3) studies provide compare adherence or persistence across the same 2 medication classes, a meta-analysis will be performed.

Ethics and dissemination: No ethics approval is required. This review and meta-analysis (where possible) will provide important information on the relative patient adherence and persistence, with the different classes of diabetes therapies. Once complete, the results will be made available by peer-reviewed publication.

Trial registration number: CRD42015027865.

INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes is a growing problem worldwide.1 Alongside this increased prevalence comes an increased burden of disease from complications, which are potentially preventable.2 The importance of patient education and promoting self-care has long been recognised as a key component in chronic disease management and improving patient outcomes.3 One component of self-care is adherence to often complicated medication regimes. Good adherence is associated with reduced risk of diabetes complications, reduced mortality and reduced economic burden.4–6 However, a substantial proportion of people with type 2 diabetes do not take medication as prescribed, with only 67–85% of oral medication doses taken, and approximately 60% of insulin doses.7,7

In recent years, new medication classes have been introduced which have increased the complexity of diabetes treatment algorithms leading to multiple second-line and third-line options.8–10 Selection from these options usually follows a discussion with the patient and involves assessing potential efficacy, contraindications, potential side effects (especially hypoglycaemia risk and weight change), medication cost, frequency of dosing, whether the medication is required to be administered as an injection and whether monitoring of blood indices is required. However, a key component of medication effectiveness, rarely discussed at the outset, is patient adherence, and this is especially important in diabetes as overall adherence to therapies is poor.

Adherence is determined by many factors: patient-related, condition-related, socio-economic, health system-related and therapy-related (table 1) factors.11 While interventions aimed at improving medication adherence should target all these main domains, it is the therapy-related component that will predominantly influence which therapy is chosen. Identification of any substantial differences in adherence rates
between medication classes is vitally important. While there have been previous reviews of medication adherence in type 2 diabetes, these have not specifically interrogated differences in adherence rates between medications. The side-effect profile of each class of diabetes medication is different, and is likely to have a differential impact on adherence. Similarly, different dosing regimens and administration routes between classes will influence adherence. Knowledge of any differential in adherence rates between classes will help to inform prescribing practice, and is currently lacking.

A wide range of terms have been used to describe patient use (or non-use) of prescribed medications including: adherence, compliance, concordance and persistence. Two distinct patterns of medication use are described by these terms; missed medication doses (generally described by the terms adherence, compliance and concordance) and duration of use before termination (persistence). The term concordance is preferred by some to emphasise the joint agreement by the prescribing physician and the patient to use the prescribed medication in a certain way. ‘Adherence’ is the term recommended for use by WHO, however, the term most commonly used in the literature is compliance. For this study, we will use the term adherence. Persistence with medication is the preferred term to refer to the duration of medication use. This is another key component of effectiveness of clinical medication, and is closely linked with medication adherence.

Knowing the relative adherence and persistence rates of medications used for the treatment of type 2 diabetes is important when selecting from the increasingly complex array of therapies.

### METHODS

#### Research objective

This systematic review will compare adherence and persistence rates between different classes of therapies for the treatment of hyperglycaemia in people with type 2 diabetes. It will attempt to answer the question: Are there substantially different adherence and persistence rates between the different classes of therapies available for type 2 diabetes? The key components of the study are outlined in Table 2.

Literature that compares adherence rates and persistence between different medication classes will be identified and, where appropriate, synthesised and summarised. This review forms an early component of a wider research theme: the University of Surrey-Lilly Real World Evidence projects. These projects aim to use routine primary care records to identify areas for improvement of diabetes management. This review will inform further research as part of these projects, and by the wider research community into medication adherence.

#### Interventions

The adherence and persistence with all available glucose-lowering therapies will be explored. These will be grouped by drug class for comparison (Table 3). We will include medications which are in current use, those which have been previously withdrawn or discontinued, and those which have not yet been licensed, if sufficient data on adherence is available. Data on medications which are not currently in general use may provide important information on the adherence to the medication class, for example, insulin inhalation (trade name, Exubera)—an inhaled insulin discontinued in 2007—may provide useful information on adherence to inhaled insulin use.

We will perform secondary analyses to identify differences in adherence and persistence within classes where daily, weekly and depot preparations exist (such as glargine-like peptide 1 agonists). We will also perform a secondary analysis of the difference in adherence and persistence with the biguanide metformin standard.

### Table 1

Factors previously identified as associated with medication adherence in people with diabetes categorised by the five dimensions affecting adherence as defined by WHO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Factors associated with reduced adherence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient-related</td>
<td>Younger age, female, gender, smoking, impulsivity, ethnic minority groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic</td>
<td>Lower socioeconomic status, lack of financial resources/increased medication costs, lower education level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition-related</td>
<td>Presence of depression, other chronic diseases, shorter duration of diabetes, fewer diabetes complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health system</td>
<td>Healthcare centre/clinic, increased distance to nearest pharmacy, lower continuity of care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy-related</td>
<td>Concurrent medication use, adverse effects, poor previous experience with medication</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

The key components of the systematic review described using the standard PICOS (Participants, Interventions, Comparisons, Outcomes and Study design) formula

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>People with type 2 diabetes who required treatment with one or more medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interventions</td>
<td>Glucose-lowering medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparisons</td>
<td>Other classes of glucose-lowering medications (metformin to be used as the default comparator where available)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>Any reported measure of patient adherence or persistence with treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>Both interventional and observational studies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
formulation and metformin-modified release. In our experience, there is a substantial difference in tolerability of these preparations.

### Comparisons

Medication classes will be compared (within individual studies) with other available medication classes. Given the previously identified heterogeneity in studies designs, and both measures and reporting methods of adherence, it is likely that direct comparison of classes will have to be intrastudy only. For this reason, studies reporting adherence or persistence rates in a single drug class will be excluded.

### Outcome measures

Adherence and persistence will be defined as:

- **Adherence**: The proportion (or estimated proportion) of doses taken over a defined time, or the proportion of people attaining a defined level of adherence. Where possible, we will use the recommended definition of adequate adherence to be a medication possession ratio (MPR) $\geq 80\%$ or proportion of days covered (PDC) $\geq 80\%$ or proportion of doses taken (when measured directly) to be $\geq 80\%$. MPR is defined as the number of days’ supply of medication divided by the medication refill interval (with some variation in the formula used). PDC is defined as proportion of days the patient has medication available in a defined period. PDC allows adjustment for overlaps caused by early collection of prescriptions.

- **Persistence**: The proportion of people who remain on a therapy after a defined period of time, or the mean duration of therapy. Persistence may be defined as medication refills consistent with ongoing use of the medication. Failure to refill a prescription after a predefined gap indicates non-persistence.

Differing definitions of adherence affect outcomes, with the impact of this effect apparent when stratifying studies by the definition used. We will duplicate this method; stratifying studies by the threshold used to define adequate adherence, and use a similar method to stratify studies by the definition of persistence (see Data synthesis section).

#### Primary outcomes

- Comparison of adherence or persistence between metformin (standard formulation) and other hypoglycaemic agents.
- Any differences between interventional and observational reports of studies.
- Analysis of the impact of the definition of adherence/persistence on the relative adherence rates to diabetes therapies.
- Any reported reasons for differences in adherence or persistence between medication classes.
- Any reported differences in disease outcomes (glycaemic control or diabetes complications) in patients due to differing adherence rates between medication classes.
- Assessment of the quality of adjustment for confounding in existing studies.

#### Included study types

All interventional and observational studies comparing adherence rates and/or persistence with two or more diabetes medication classes will be included. Interventional studies types will include randomised, non-randomised, and cross-over trials. Interventions can include interventions aimed at improving adherence or the use of medication as the intervention (clinical trials). Observational studies will include cohort and cross-sectional studies (eg, those with surveys of patient reported compliance).

### Study inclusion criteria

Studies will be included in the review if all the participants taking the medications of interest have type 2 diabetes. Studies from the community setting will be included; hospital outpatient studies and community studies, including primary care. Studies measuring adherence using the following methods will be included: self-reported measures, clinician estimated adherence, calculated adherence rates from prescribing or dispensing data, or electronic monitoring of medication use. Electronic monitoring technology broadly involves the use of a medication container which collects data on bottle opening.

Studies will be included if they report a sufficient measure of adherence and/or persistence. For this purpose, adherence will be defined as the proportion of

### Table 3  Classes of medications used in type 2 diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oral agents</th>
<th>Injectable agents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biguanides</td>
<td>Short and rapid-acting human and animal insulins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiazolidinediones (‘glitazones’)</td>
<td>Short and rapid-acting insulin analogues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual PPAR agonists</td>
<td>Medium and long-acting human and animal insulins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfonylureas</td>
<td>Medium and long-acting insulin analogues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meglitinides (‘glinides’)</td>
<td>Mixed insulins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP-4 inhibitors (‘gliptins’)</td>
<td>GLP-1 agonists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-glucosidase inhibitors</td>
<td>Combined GLP-1 agonists and insulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amylin analogues</td>
<td>Inhaled agents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGLT 2 inhibitors (‘gliflozins’)</td>
<td>Inhaled insulins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination oral preparations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DPP4, dipeptidyl peptidase 4; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide 1; PPAR, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor; SGLT-2, sodium-glucose co-transporter 2.
doses taken over a given time period (period defined in the study); reported as a fraction; or reported as a proportion of patients achieving a predefined level of adherence. Persistence will be defined as the proportion of people who remain taking a therapy after a specified treatment period or the mean number of days until discontinuation. These definitions are consistent with previous work.7

**Exclusion criteria**

Studies will be excluded if

- They include populations with only type 1 diabetes, people without diabetes taking the medication of interest, or mixed populations where adherence rates in those with type 2 diabetes were not reported separately.
- The medications studied are not used to treat diabetes.
- No clear measure of adherence or persistence is reported.
- Adherence or persistence rates in diabetes during pregnancy.
- Adherence or persistence rates with medications from a single class only are reported.
- Adherence or persistence rates are not reported separately by individual drug or by drug class.
- The study participants are hospital inpatients or inpatients at another institution.
- The study participants have medication administered by a caregiver or professional.

**Search method**

Studies will be obtained by searching the following databases:

- MEDLINE
- EMBASE
- The Cochrane Library including the Cochrane Controlled Trials Register (CENTRAL) and the Database of reviews of effectiveness (DARE) and the NHS Health Economics Database
- The meta Register of Controlled Trials (http://www.controlled-trials.com)
- PsychINFO
- CINAHL

Additionally, bibliographic searches of the included studies will also be performed. Only studies published from 2006 onwards will be included to provide a summary of the most recent 10 years of publications.

**Search terms**

The search strategy detailed in online supplementary appendix 1 will be used to search the MEDLINE database. This strategy will be adapted to apply to the other included databases. Only English language studies will be included.

**Study selection**

Two clinicians will independently review the titles and abstracts of all the articles identified using the search terms described. Studies will be included which match the above inclusion criteria and do not have any exclusion characteristics. Studies will be eliminated if both reviewers agreed that the study did not meet the required criteria. Studies which either or both reviewers feel merit further analysis will be included for full paper review. Full articles will be collected and collated into unique studies. Full papers for each study will be reviewed separately by each reviewer against the inclusion and exclusion criteria to clarify whether a particular study is included or excluded these will be reported in the final review manuscript alongside details of the paper(s) in question.

**Data extraction**

Data will be extracted using a standardised method into a preformatted database. Data will be extracted on study type and intervention (if relevant), study location, sample size, study population characteristics (age, gender, comorbidities, concurrent medication use—including pill burden, and duration of diabetes), duration of follow-up, inclusion and exclusion criteria, and funding sources. Outcome data extracted will comprise adherence or persistence measurement method, adherence values, persistence values, suggested or measured reasons for any reported interclass adherence or persistence differences, and impact on glucose control and diabetes complications. All extracted data will be verified by a second reviewer.

**Assessment of evidence quality**

The internal validity of all included studies will be assessed using the Cochrane risk assessment tools. This enables evaluation of clinical trials based on the following criteria; sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants, personnel and outcome assessors, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting, and other sources of bias. All publications will be categorised as either low risk, unclear risk or high risk or bias. For cohort studies, the risk assessment tool enables assessment based on the following domains; selection of population, assessment of exposure, assessment of the present of outcomes at baseline (not relevant here), matching or adjustment for confounders, identification of prognostic variables, assessment of the outcome measure, adequacy of follow-up, and similarity of co-interventions between groups. We will report the quality of all the included studies, and will comment on the overall impact of data quality on the conclusions drawn by the review. We will rank the overall quality of evidence on this topic using the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation) scoring system.22

**Data analysis and presentation**

We will provide a description of the number of studies included and excluded at each stage of the search
process. A study flow diagram will be incorporated in the standard form suggested by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement. The characteristics of all the studies included will be presented with the study outcome findings. We will provide a text summary of the main results, and a discussion including details of the quality of existing evidence and recommendations for future research.

Data synthesis
Factors such as age, gender, comorbidities and concurrent medication use influence both medication choice and adherence. Untangling the contribution to non-adherence caused by these confounders from that caused by the medication class will be complex. We will address this issue in several ways:

1. We will perform a comparison of adherence between classes in randomised trials. Where randomisation is sufficient, any differences in adherence are likely to be related to medication factors only.

2. In observational studies, we will assess and report the methods used to identify and adjust for potential confounding factors (table 1). We will report which confounders have been adjusted for in each included study, and report those which have not been measured or reported. We will comment on the limitation of the methods used to control for confounders where this has been performed.

3. We compare relative adherence rates for each drug comparison in all studies (via meta-analysis as described below) with those with a method of adjustment or controlling for confounders. These methods may include adjustment via statistical methods, such as regression analysis, or by controlling for confounding via case selection (such as case-control studies).

4. We will perform meta-analyses for each pair of medication classes compared where there are a sufficient number of studies enabling comparison (n ≥3). The possible pairwise comparisons are shown in figure 1. For each pairwise comparison, we will undertake the following analyses: a comparison of the proportion of people adherent to medication all studies (stratified by the definition used for adherence; all definitions, ≥75% of doses taken/MPR/PDC, ≥80% doses taken/MPR/PDC, ≥90% doses taken/MPR/PDC).

5. A comparison of the proportion of people adherent to medication stratified by study design; interventional studies, all observational studies, and only observational studies which adjust for confounders of adherence.

6. A comparison of persistence duration in all studies (stratified by the definition used for persistence; all definitions providing a measure of duration, non-persistence gap between refills ≥90 days, non-persistence gap between refills ≥60 days).

7. A comparison of persistence duration stratified by study design; interventional studies, all observational studies, all observational
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**Figure 1**  A schematic representation of the possible pairwise comparisons of adherence and persistence between different medication classes. Comparison with metformin is the primary outcome (black lines), but the additional pairwise comparisons possible are secondary outcomes of interest (grey lines).
CONCLUSIONS

This review and, if possible, meta-analysis, will provide important information on patient adherence and persistence with the different classes of diabetes therapies. This is one of the key components of medication effectiveness, and will help to guide clinical decision-making about which is the optimum second-line or third-line diabetes therapy to initiate. The review will also enable key recommendation for further research in this area to be made.
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