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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Both hyperglycaemia and hypoglycaemia in acute ischaemic stroke (AIS) are associated with increased infarct size and worse functional outcomes. Thus, therapies that can maintain normoglycaemia during stroke are clinically important. Glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) analogues, including exenatide, are routinely used in the treatment of hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes, but data on the usefulness of this class of agents in the management of elevated glucose levels in AIS are limited. Owing to their glucose-dependent mechanism of action, GLP-1 analogues are associated with a low risk of hypoglycaemia, which may give them an advantage over intensive insulin therapy in the acute management of hyperglycaemia in this setting.

Methods and analysis: The Short-Term EXenatide therapy in Acute ischaemic Stroke study is a randomised, open-label, parallel-group pilot study designed to investigate the efficacy of exenatide at lowering blood glucose levels in patients with hyperglycaemia with AIS. A total of 30 patients presenting with AIS and blood glucose levels >10 mmol/L will be randomised to receive the standard therapy (intravenous insulin) or intravenous exenatide for up to 72 h. Outcomes including blood glucose levels within the target range (5–10 mmol/L), the incidence of hypoglycaemia and the feasibility of administering intravenous exenatide in this patient population will be assessed. A follow-up visit at 3 months will facilitate evaluation of neurological outcomes post-stroke.

Ethics and dissemination: This study has been approved by the local Institutional Review Board (Northern Sydney Local Health District Human Research Ethics Committee). The study results will be communicated via presentations at scientific conferences and through publication in peer-reviewed journals.

Conclusions: As GLP-1 analogues require elevated glucose levels to exert their insulin potentiating activity, the use of exenatide in the management of hyperglycaemia in AIS may reduce the incidence of hypoglycaemia, thereby conferring a benefit in morbidity and mortality for patients in the long term.

Trial registration number: ACTRN12614001189617.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Owing to the mechanism of action of exenatide, it is envisaged that treatment with exenatide will result in a lower rate of hypoglycaemia, thereby improving outcomes for patients with acute ischaemic stroke.
- Another strength of this study is that we are using continuous glucose monitoring to measure glycaemic control, which will provide detailed information about the incidence of hyperglycaemia and hypoglycaemia, as well as glycaemic variability.
- A limitation of this study is that it is not powered to determine functional outcomes for patients post-stroke. However, by demonstrating that exenatide treatment in this setting is safe and feasible, useful preliminary data will be generated that can be used to design larger clinical studies.

BACKGROUND

The association between diabetes mellitus and an increased risk of vascular events, including acute ischaemic stroke (AIS), is well documented. Elevated blood glucose levels are frequently observed in patients with AIS, and correlate with a poor clinical outcome. Of note, the negative effects of hyperglycaemia in AIS have been shown for both individuals with diabetes and without diabetes. Elevated blood glucose levels are seen in up to 50% of patients without diabetes with AIS, and are associated with a significantly worse functional outcome, as well as a threefold increased risk of both in-hospital and long-term mortality. Further evidence has demonstrated bigger infarct size as well as expansion of infarcts in hyperglycaemic patients, and an accelerated loss of penumbral tissue when high blood glucose levels are present. The high blood glucose levels in patients not known to have diabetes...
who present with AIS may indicate undiagnosed diabetes, insulin resistance, or impaired glucose tolerance. Also, stress-induced hyperglycaemia may occur, where release of glucocorticoids and catecholamines leads to impairment of insulin secretion and stimulation of hepatic glucose production. 

The detrimental effect of hyperglycaemia on functional outcome after cerebral ischaemia can be reversed through administration of insulin and lowering of blood glucose levels. Current guidelines in Europe (European Stroke Association) and the USA (American Heart Association/American Stroke Association) recommend management of hyperglycaemia in the setting of AIS by initiation of insulin therapy when blood glucose levels are above 10 mmol/L. 

Intensive insulin treatment (IIT) with intravenous insulin infusion can normalise glycaemia, and there is some evidence suggesting it improves functional outcomes in AIS. 

However, IIT is associated with hypoglycaemia, which adversely affects outcome and increases mortality and morbidity. In addition, IIT is frequently administered using a sliding scale, which is difficult to implement and both time-intensive and labour-intensive for treating clinical staff. In the intensive care setting, for both medical and surgical patients, the incidence of hypoglycaemia was significantly higher when intensive insulin therapy was used, and insulin-treated patients with hypoglycaemia exhibited higher mortality rates in comparison to non-insulin-treated controls.

A systematic review published in 2014 gave an overview of clinical trials in which insulin was administered to treat hyperglycaemia in AIS, and concluded that administration of intravenous insulin does not result in a benefit in terms of functional outcome or mortality and significantly increased hypoglycaemia. Similarly, the glucose-insulin in stroke trial study demonstrated that insulin infusion in mild to moderate hyperglycaemia in AIS effectively lowers blood glucose levels but does not give rise to improvements in clinical outcomes. Furthermore, in the insulin-treated arm, hypoglycaemia requiring rescue treatment with intravenous glucose was observed in approximately 16% of patients. Evidence surrounding the impact of hypoglycaemia in AIS is scant, however, one study has shown an association between blood glucose levels below 3.7 mmol/L and a poorer functional outcome.

An alternate approach to glycaemic management in AIS is the use of glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) analogues. GLP-1 is an incretin hormone secreted from the gut in response to nutrient intake, which potentiates insulin secretion from pancreatic β cells in a glucose-dependent manner. GLP-1 analogues, such as exenatide, are routinely used in the management of type 2 diabetes. Furthermore, due to their glucose-dependent mechanism of action, GLP-1 analogues as monotherapy are associated with a minimal risk of hypoglycaemia.

Several preclinical studies have demonstrated that treatment with GLP-1 reduces blood glucose levels and leads to improved functional outcomes in rodent models of type 2 diabetes and acute stroke. Furthermore, GLP-1 can act directly on the brain to reduce cerebral hyperglycaemia, thereby decreasing oxidative stress and neuronal cell apoptosis. This neuroprotective activity of GLP-1 can occur independently of its glucose-lowering activity, as the GLP-1 receptor is widely distributed throughout the central nervous system, and direct administration of GLP-1 to the brain of mice showed improvements in ischaemia-related inflammation and apoptosis.

Recently, it was demonstrated that subcutaneous administration of exenatide effectively lowered blood glucose levels in patients with AIS, with minimal incidence of hypoglycaemia and reduced glycaemic variability. In addition, in the presence of hypoglycaemia, GLP-1 does not reduce brain glucose concentration, nor does it influence glucose metabolism, or transfer across the blood–brain barrier. Thus, GLP-1 may be a more suitable therapeutic strategy for maintaining normoglycaemia in the ischaemic brain, with less variability in blood glucose levels occurring over the treatment period.

This pilot study has been designed to investigate whether the GLP-1 analogue exenatide is a useful therapeutic option for the treatment of hyperglycaemia during AIS. Promising preliminary studies and preclinical data suggest that GLP-1 will provide effective glycaemic control while minimising the risk of hypoglycaemia, thereby reducing adverse outcomes that may be experienced with standard insulin therapy. This may give rise to clinical benefits for patients post-stroke. We propose that GLP-1 will demonstrate superiority in comparison to insulin, at minimising the frequency and duration of hypoglycaemia, and will be as efficacious as insulin at regulating blood glucose levels and attaining glycaemic targets, in AIS.

**METHODS/DESIGN**

**Study overview**

The STEXAS (Short-Term EXenatide therapy in Acute ischaemic Stroke) study is a randomised, open-label, parallel-group pilot study in which the efficacy of exenatide at lowering blood glucose levels in patients with hyperglycaemia and AIS will be evaluated. In addition, the safety and tolerability of exenatide treatment in this setting will be determined. A total of 30 patients will be recruited and randomised to receive either the standard therapy for hyperglycaemia in AIS, that is, insulin, or exenatide. Both insulin and exenatide will be administered intravenously for up to 72 h, consistent with current therapeutic guidelines in AIS. To be eligible for participation in the study, patients must meet the study inclusion and exclusion criteria, outlined in box 1. This study is investigator-initiated and the local study sponsor is the Northern Sydney Local Health District.

**Study population, enrolment and randomisation**

Men and women aged <18 years, admitted to the Acute Stroke Ward in Royal North Shore Hospital, Sydney, with
Inclusion criteria

- Clinically defined acute ischaemic stroke with consistent neuroimaging (eg, CT brain, CT perfusion or MRI brain)
- Blood glucose levels >10 mmol/L on blood glucose testing during the first 72 h after admission to the acute stroke ward
- Age >18 years

Exclusion criteria

- Type 1 diabetes mellitus
- Initial National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale >20
- Life expectancy below 12 months
- Premorbid Modified Rankin Score above 3
- Impaired liver function, defined as alanine aminotransferase (ALT) ≥3 times the upper limit of normal
- Impaired renal function, defined as an estimated glomerular filtration rate <30 mL/min/1.73 m²
- History of pancreatitis
- History of pancreatic cancer
- History of medullary thyroid cancer or multiple endocrine neoplasia II
- Known allergy to exenatide
- Suspected or known abuse of alcohol or narcotics
- Pregnancy or lactation
- Blood glucose levels >10 mmol/L on blood glucose testing
- Type 2 diabetes mellitus with severe metabolic decompensation
- Impaired renal function, defined as an estimated glomerular filtration rate <30 mL/min/1.73 m²

Study intervention

Study participants allocated to the exenatide treatment arm will receive continuous intravenous administration of 20µg/24 h of exenatide (Byetta; Bristol-Myers Squibb/AstraZeneca, Australia) diluted in saline containing 0.2% human serum albumin. Then, 0.2 mL of exenatide (drawn from a 5 µg Byetta pen containing 0.25 mg exenatide/mL) will be added to a 250 mL saline bag containing 2.5 mL (0.2%) of Albumex 20 (CSL Limited, Australia). Exenatide will be infused at a rate of 4.2 mL/h and a new preparation of exenatide for intravenous administration will be made up every 24 h. In total, patients allocated to the exenatide treatment arm will receive 60 µg of exenatide over 3 days, that is, 20 µg of exenatide per 24 h. The intravenous route was chosen such that therapeutic exenatide activity will be achieved within a short time frame and maintained over the course of the study treatment period.

Furthermore, the dose of exenatide will not be up-titrated over time (as is the standard practice with subcutaneous exenatide) to ensure that optimum glycaemic control will be attained as rapidly as possible.

Participants allocated to the insulin treatment arm will receive an intravenous insulin infusion (with indicated number of units of fast-acting insulin (Act Rapid, Novo Nordisk) diluted in saline and concomitant 5% glucose as per local hospital protocol).

In both arms of the study, oral diabetes medications will be withheld for the duration of the exenatide/insulin infusion (in keeping with the local hospital’s insulin infusion protocol).

For the exenatide arm, if blood glucose levels remain higher than 12 mmol/L for longer than 3 h, intravenous insulin infusion will be added as a rescue therapy, which will continue up until the end of the study, that is, the 72 h time point. Nausea is a common side effect of GLP-1 analogue therapy when given subcutaneously, due to the effect of GLP-1 on reducing the rate of gastrointestinal emptying. Relatively little is known about the gastrointestinal (GI) side effects of exenatide when administered intravenously, however, recent evidence suggests that intravenous administration produces less nausea than subcutaneous administration. Moreover, the rationale for dose titration with subcutaneous exenatide therapy is related to GI side effects that are not likely to be an issue with intravenous administration.

If a participant experiences significant nausea while receiving an exenatide infusion, they will be treated with metoclopramide, according to standard clinical guidelines. If the nausea does not decrease on treatment with metoclopramide, the rate of exenatide infusion will be reduced by 50%, until resolution of nausea. If significant nausea fails to resolve within 3 h, exenatide treatment will be stopped and insulin rescue therapy started.

Study assessments

Study assessments (table 1) comprise evaluation of glycaemic control by hourly capillary blood glucose measurements using the Optium Xceed glucose meter (Abbott, Australia) and blinded continuous glucose monitoring (iPro Continuous Glucose Monitoring System; Medtronic, Australia). Neurological assessments...
with the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) will be performed at baseline, 24 and 72 h and 3 months postinitiation of exenatide or insulin treatment. In addition, the Modified Rankin Scale will assess functional status premorbid, and 3 months post-stroke. The mean change in stroke scale(s) from baseline will be compared between the two treatment arms. Blood samples will be collected at various time points throughout the duration of exenatide or insulin infusion (0, 24, 48 and 72 h), and analysed for circulating inflammatory markers and metabolic hormone levels. Safety and tolerability of exenatide therapy will be assessed through the incidence of adverse events, and the requirement for antiemetic therapy. A follow-up study visit will take place at 3 months postcompletion of exenatide or insulin therapy, where glycaemic control and neurological investigations will take place. The overall study design is highlighted in figure 1. Further information regarding collection and management of study data, safety reporting and post-trial care are included in the appendices and online supplementary material.

Study end points
The primary end point of this study is to determine the percentage of time that the participant’s blood glucose levels remain within a target glucose range of 5–10 mmol/L, as determined by continuous glucose monitoring (CGM). In addition, the secondary end point of this study is the frequency and duration of hypoglycaemia in participants receiving exenatide versus insulin therapy. For acute management decisions, hypoglycaemia is defined as a capillary blood glucose level <4 mmol/L. In the analysis of the CGM data, an interstitial glucose level <4 mmol/L will be used for the identification of hypoglycaemia that is unrecognised by finger-stick capillary glucose measurements.

A further secondary end point comprises the percentage of time in which the participant’s blood glucose levels remain within the target range in the first 24 h after commencing exenatide treatment. The change in National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale from baseline, 24 h, 3 days and 3 months post-study treatment initiation, and the change in Modified Rankin Scale at 3 months post-stroke will also be reported although it is not believed that this study is powered to provide a robust assessment of these end points.

In addition, the feasibility of intravenous exenatide administration in the setting of AIS will be assessed through the use of a questionnaire directed towards the relative difficulty of implementing an intravenous exenatide protocol in this setting versus intravenous insulin administration. Finally, the safety and tolerability of exenatide therapy in patients with AIS will be evaluated. All study end points are outlined in box 2.

Statistical methods and sample size
Sample size
As this is a pilot study looking at preliminary efficacy and safety of exenatide treatment in this population, the results will inform further clinical investigations and larger trial designs. The total number of enrolled patients will be 30, with 15 in each arm. Based on historical records, we have estimated that recruitment of this sample size is achievable within the study period of 18 months, and will allow for testing the feasibility of implementing exenatide therapy in this setting, and enable monitoring of adverse events. In addition, with a sample size of 30 patients, we calculated 80% power to observe a difference between the treatment arms in the
incidence of hypoglycaemia, of 5% in one group and 55% in the other group at $\alpha=0.1$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incidence of hypoglycaemia in exenatide arm (group 1) (%)</th>
<th>Incidence of hypoglycaemia in insulin arm (group 2) (%)</th>
<th>Power level (%)</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assuming an average of 60% (SD 15) time within the target blood glucose range in standard care, we would have 80% power to detect an average time within range of 80% in group 2 with $\alpha=0.1$.

Interim analyses
After 16 patients have been recruited to the study (approximately 50% of the total number of participants to be recruited) an interim analysis of the efficacy of exenatide at lowering blood glucose levels, as well as the safety of exenatide therapy in the study population will be carried out (by study researchers that are not directly involved in the day-to-day conduct of the study). The frequency of requirement of insulin rescue therapy in the exenatide treatment arm will also be determined.

Analysis plan
The study results will be analysed using the intention-to-treat approach. The primary efficacy endpoint of the percentage time that patients’ blood glucose levels are within the target range in the exenatide version insulin treatment arms will be evaluated using the Student $t$ test and the Mann-Whitney U test. The incidence of hypoglycaemic events in each of the treatment arms will be compared using Fisher’s exact test. Subgroup analysis includes stratifying the results based on patients’ previous use of insulin, to determine whether this impacts on the efficacy of exenatide at lowering blood glucose levels.

Ethics and dissemination
Dissemination
The study results will be communicated through abstract submission and presentation at national and
Box 2 Study end points

**Primary end point**
- The percentage of time in which participants’ blood glucose levels remain within the target range of 5–10 mmol/L.

**Secondary end points**
- Frequency and duration of hypoglycaemia in participants receiving exenatide vs insulin therapy. Hypoglycaemia is defined as a capillary blood glucose level/interstitial glucose level <4 mmol/L.
- The percentage of time in which participant’s blood glucose levels remain within the target range (5–10 mmol/L) in the first 24 h after commencing exenatide treatment.

**Other end points**
- Change in National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale from baseline at 24 h, 3 days and 3 months post-stroke.
- Modified Rankin Scale rating at 3 months.
- Frequency of low blood sugars (4–5 mmol/L) in exenatide vs insulin treatment arms.
- Feasibility of exenatide administration in the setting of acute ischaemic stroke.
- Safety and tolerability of exenatide therapy in acute ischaemic stroke.

DISCUSSION

The use of exenatide as an anti-hyperglycaemic agent in AIS has the potential to effectively lower blood glucose levels while reducing the incidence of hypoglycaemia. GLP-1 analogues readily reduce blood glucose levels in a glucose-dependent manner. Thus, exenatide therapy may give rise to improved outcomes for patients after acute stroke, as both hyperglycaemia and hypoglycaemia during stroke is associated with worse functional outcomes. We expect the results of this pilot study to show that exenatide is comparable to insulin at providing effective glycaemic control during AIS and, further, results in a lower rate of hypoglycaemic events and reduced glycaemic variability. Preliminary studies have shown that administration of GLP-1 gives rise to neuroprotective effects, in addition to reducing blood glucose levels. These neuroprotective effects include reduced inflammation and oxidative stress levels and decreased neuronal cell apoptosis. Thus, a further benefit in neurological outcomes for patients treated with exenatide may be observed, although this trial is not powered to examine functional end points. Overall, the results of this pilot study may provide promising preliminary data to support the use of exenatide at maintaining normoglycaemia in AIS, thereby improving outcomes for patients post-stroke.
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