Correction

Lee Y-H, Chen CC-C, Lee S-K, *et al.* Patient safety during radiological examinations: a nationwide survey of residency training hospitals in Taiwan. *BMJ Open* 2016;6:e010756. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010756

In the *Abstract*, the text “medical centres had lower monthly rates of suboptimal (but interpretable) radiographs (0.00±0.01% vs. 0.64±1.84%)” should read “medical centres had an overall insignificantly lower monthly rate of suboptimal (but interpretable) radiographs than local institutions (0.40±4.10% vs. 2.00±5.23%)”.

In *Supplemental Table S6* and the *Results* section. In (*Midexamination phase evaluation*) the paragraph entitled “*Assessment of image quality*” in the *Results*, the first sentence, “Rejected films and suboptimal radiographs were in >60% of medical centres and local institutions,” should have read “Rejected films and suboptimal radiographs were in <50% of medical centres and local institutions”. The second sentence should say: “The frequency of suboptimal radiographs was not significantly different between medical centres and local institutions (0.40±4.10% vs. 2.00±5.23% per month, p=0.549), while the *variation* in retake rate was significantly smaller in medical centres (3.00±1.00% vs. 2.00±16.37% per month, p=0.028)”. Finally, in the *Image quality assurance: needed for improving workforce skills* of the *Discussion* section, the first sentence, “Image retake rates at medical centres and local institutions at both centres was incorrect patient positioning,” should read “Even though image retake rates at medical centers and local institutions were significantly different, in both cases the image rejections were mainly attributed to incorrect patient positioning”.

Here are the corrected numbers for the upper part of *Supplementary Table 6*:

Table 6

Frequencies of Defective Radiographs and Radiograph Retake

	(Unit: % in a Month)		p-value
	Median	Interquartile Range	
Retake Rate*			
MC (N=10)	3.00	1.00	0.028
LI (N=7)	2.00	16.37	
Rate of Suboptimal Radiographs			
MC (N=9)	0.40	4.10	0.549
LI (N=5)	2.00	5.23	

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

BMJ Open 2016;6:e010756corr1. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010756corr1

CrossMark