# **BMJ Open**

The iBRA-2 (immediate Breast reconstruction And Adjuvant therapy Audit) Study – Protocol for a prospective national multi-centre cohort study to evaluate the impact of immediate breast reconstruction on the delivery of adjuvant therapy

njopen-2016-012678  njopen-2016-012678  ntocol  -May-2016  ve, Rajiv; University Hospital of South Manchester NHS Foundation ust, Nightingale Breast Unit Connell, Rachel; Royal Marsden Hospital Sutton, Department of Breast rgery ttay, Tim; University of Leicester School of Biological Sciences, partment of Cancer Studies lkien, Zoe; University of Bristol, Bristol Centre for Surgical Research, hool of Social and Community Medicine rnes, Nicola; University Hospital of South Manchester NHS Foundation ust, Nightingale Breast Unit illman, Joanna; University Hospitals of Coventry and Warwickshire NHS ust, Department of Plastic Surgery lliamson, Paula; Liverpool University, Clinical Trials Research Unit nroy, Elizabeth; Liverpool University, Clinical Trials Research Centre rdiner, Matthew; University of Oxford Nuffield Department of thopaedics Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences; Imperial
obtocol  -May-2016  ve, Rajiv; University Hospital of South Manchester NHS Foundation ust, Nightingale Breast Unit Connell, Rachel; Royal Marsden Hospital Sutton, Department of Breast rgery ttay, Tim; University of Leicester School of Biological Sciences, partment of Cancer Studies lkien, Zoe; University of Bristol, Bristol Centre for Surgical Research, hool of Social and Community Medicine rnes, Nicola; University Hospital of South Manchester NHS Foundation ust, Nightingale Breast Unit illman, Joanna; University Hospitals of Coventry and Warwickshire NHS ust, Department of Plastic Surgery lliamson, Paula; Liverpool University, Clinical Trials Research Unit nroy, Elizabeth; Liverpool University, Clinical Trials Research Centre rdiner, Matthew; University of Oxford Nuffield Department of thopaedics Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences; Imperial
-May-2016  ve, Rajiv; University Hospital of South Manchester NHS Foundation ust, Nightingale Breast Unit Connell, Rachel; Royal Marsden Hospital Sutton, Department of Breast rgery ttay, Tim; University of Leicester School of Biological Sciences, partment of Cancer Studies lkien, Zoe; University of Bristol, Bristol Centre for Surgical Research, hool of Social and Community Medicine rnes, Nicola; University Hospital of South Manchester NHS Foundation ust, Nightingale Breast Unit illman, Joanna; University Hospitals of Coventry and Warwickshire NHS ust, Department of Plastic Surgery lliamson, Paula; Liverpool University, Clinical Trials Research Unit nroy, Elizabeth; Liverpool University, Clinical Trials Research Centre rdiner, Matthew; University of Oxford Nuffield Department of thopaedics Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences; Imperial
ve, Rajiv; University Hospital of South Manchester NHS Foundation ust, Nightingale Breast Unit Connell, Rachel; Royal Marsden Hospital Sutton, Department of Breast rgery ttay, Tim; University of Leicester School of Biological Sciences, partment of Cancer Studies lkien, Zoe; University of Bristol, Bristol Centre for Surgical Research, hool of Social and Community Medicine rnes, Nicola; University Hospital of South Manchester NHS Foundation ust, Nightingale Breast Unit illman, Joanna; University Hospitals of Coventry and Warwickshire NHS ust, Department of Plastic Surgery lliamson, Paula; Liverpool University, Clinical Trials Research Unit nroy, Elizabeth; Liverpool University, Clinical Trials Research Centre rdiner, Matthew; University of Oxford Nuffield Department of thopaedics Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences; Imperial
ust, Nightingale Breast Unit Connell, Rachel; Royal Marsden Hospital Sutton, Department of Breast rgery ttay, Tim; University of Leicester School of Biological Sciences, partment of Cancer Studies lkien, Zoe; University of Bristol, Bristol Centre for Surgical Research, hool of Social and Community Medicine rnes, Nicola; University Hospital of South Manchester NHS Foundation ust, Nightingale Breast Unit illman, Joanna; University Hospitals of Coventry and Warwickshire NHS ust, Department of Plastic Surgery lliamson, Paula; Liverpool University, Clinical Trials Research Unit nroy, Elizabeth; Liverpool University, Clinical Trials Research Centre rdiner, Matthew; University of Oxford Nuffield Department of thopaedics Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences; Imperial
llege London, Department of Surgery and Cancer rnett, Adrian; Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals NHS Foundation ast, Department of Oncology Brien, Ciara; The Christie NHS Foundation Trust, Department of cology azeby, Jane; University of Bristol, School of Social and Community dicine tter, Shelley; University of Bristol, School of Social and Community dicine lcombe, Christopher; Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University spitals NHS Trust, Linda McCartney Breast Unit
rgery
cology
mediate breast reconstruction, adjuvant therapy, trainee collaboratives,
r





# The iBRA-2 (<u>i</u>mmediate <u>B</u>reast reconstruction <u>A</u>nd <u>A</u>djuvant therapy <u>A</u>udit) Study – Protocol for a prospective national multi-centre cohort study to evaluate the impact of immediate breast reconstruction on the delivery of adjuvant therapy

Rajiv Dave<sup>1\*</sup>, Rachel O'Connell<sup>2\*</sup>, Tim Rattay<sup>3\*</sup>, Zoe Tolkien<sup>4</sup>, Nicola Barnes<sup>2</sup>, Joanna Skillman<sup>5</sup>, Paula Williamson<sup>6</sup>, Elizabeth Conroy<sup>6</sup>, Matthew Gardiner<sup>7,8</sup>, Adrian Harnett<sup>9</sup>, Ciara O'Brien<sup>10</sup>, Jane Blazeby<sup>4</sup>, Shelley Potter<sup>4§</sup> and Chris Holcombe<sup>11§</sup> on behalf of the Breast Reconstruction Research Collaborative

 Correspondence to: Shelley Potter, Centre for Surgical Research, School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Room 3.12 Canynge Hall, 39 Whatley Road, Bristol, BS8 2PS

Telephone: +44(0)117 928 7219 Email address: shelley.potter@bristol.ac.uk,

**Keywords**: Immediate breast reconstruction, adjuvant therapy, breast cancer, cohort study, trainee collaboratives

Word count: 2773 (excluding tables)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Nightingale Breast Unit, University Hospital of South Manchester NHS Foundation Trust, Southmoor Road, Manchester, M23 9LT, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Breast Surgery, The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, Downs Road, Sutton, Surrey, SM2 5PT

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Cancer Studies, Clinical Sciences Building, University of Leicester, Leicester LE2 2LX, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Bristol Centre for Surgical Research, School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, 39 Whatley Road, Clifton, Bristol, BS8 2PS, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Department of Plastic Surgery, University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust, Clifford Bridge Road, Coventry, CV2 2DX, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Clinical Trials Research Centre, University of Liverpool MRC North West Hub for Trials Methodology Research, Department of Biostatistics, University of Liverpool, L69 3GS, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, Windmill Road, Headington, Oxford, OX3 7HE, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Department of Surgery and Cancer, Imperial College London

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Norfolk and Norwich Hospital

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>The Christie NHS Foundation Trust, Wilmslow Road, Manchester, M20 4BX, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Linda McCartney Centre, Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospital, Prescot Street, Liverpool, L7 8XP, UK

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes joint first authors, §Denotes joint senior authors

#### **ABSTRACT**

# Introduction

Immediate breast reconstruction (IBR) is routinely offered to improve quality of life for women with breast cancer requiring a mastectomy, but there are concerns that more complex surgery may delay the delivery of adjuvant oncological treatments and compromise long-term oncological outcomes. High-quality evidence, however, is lacking. iBRA-2 is a national prospective multicentre cohort study that aims to investigate the effect of IBR on the delivery of adjuvant therapy.

# Methods and analysis

Breast and plastic surgery centres in the UK performing mastectomy with or without (+/-) IBR will be invited to participate in the study through the trainee research collaborative network. All women undergoing mastectomy+/-IBR for breast cancer between 1<sup>st</sup> July and 31<sup>st</sup> December 2016 will be included. Patient demographics, operative, oncological and complication data will be collected. Time from last definitive cancer surgery to first adjuvant treatment for patients undergoing mastectomy+/-IBR will be compared to determine the impact that IBR has on the time of delivery of adjuvant therapy. Prospective data on 3000 patients from approximately 50 centres is anticipated.

### **Ethics and dissemination**

Research ethics approval is not required for this study. This has been confirmed using the on-line Health Research Authority (HRA) decision tool. This novel study will explore whether IBR impacts the time to delivery of adjuvant therapy. The study will provide valuable information to help patients and surgeons make more informed decisions about their surgical options. Dissemination of the study protocol will be via the Mammary Fold Academic and Research Collaborative (MFAC) and the Reconstructive Surgery Trials Network (RSTN), the Association of Breast Surgery (ABS) and the British Association of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons (BAPRAS). Participating units will have access to their own data

and collective results will be presented at relevant surgical conferences and published in appropriate peer-reviewed journals.

# STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES

- Large multicentre prospective study involving data collection from breast and plastic surgical units across the UK
- Will produce valuable data regarding the impact of immediate breast reconstruction on the time to delivery of adjuvant therapy which will help inform decision-making for patients and surgeons
- Will strengthen the collaborative network between breast and plastic surgical trainees and consultants to facilitate the delivery of future research
- Observational design will not address causality
- Will not collect data on non-participating units
- Short-term data collection will not allow the long-term impact of delays to adjuvant therapy to be assessed

### INTRODUCTION

Approximately 51,000 women will be diagnosed with breast cancer each year[1], of whom, up to 40% may require a mastectomy as the primary surgical treatment[2]. The loss of breast can profoundly impact a woman's quality of life and body image[3]. Immediate breast reconstruction (IBR) is routinely offered in the UK to improve outcomes[4].

Whilst IBR may improve psychosocial outcomes for women facing mastectomy, these benefits need to be weighed against the increased risk of complications associated with more complex procedures. The National Mastectomy and Breast Reconstruction Audit (NMBRA) reported a step-wise increase in complication rates with procedure complexity with 10% of patients undergoing mastectomy experiencing a post-operative complication compared with 11% of patients undergoing an implant-based procedures; 16% of patients undergoing a pedicled flap and 18% of those undergoing immediate free-flap reconstruction[5]. These complication rates are likely to represent an underestimation of the burden of post-operative morbidity as significant number of complications, in particular wound infections and seromas, continue to occur after discharge.

Complication rates following IBR are important as they may lead to the delay or omission of adjuvant cancer therapies in the form of adjuvant chemotherapy or biological therapy and post-mastectomy radiotherapy. The clinical significance of short delays is unclear, but delays of between seven[6] and 12 weeks[7] have been shown to adversely impact on key oncological outcomes, including recurrence free and overall survival. Furthermore, a recent meta-analysis suggests a 15% decrease in overall survival for every four week delay in the delivery of adjuvant chemotherapy[8]. Similarly, delays to radiotherapy adversely impact oncological outcomes although the time-frames are less well-established. An early meta-analysis suggested an increased risk of loco-regional recurrence if radiotherapy was delayed by more than eight weeks following surgery[9]. More recent studies, however suggest there to be no adverse effect on disease-free or overall survival if radiotherapy is commenced within three months of surgery[10-13] with one large UK cohort study showing no deleterious

effects with delays of up to 20 weeks[10]. To ensure timely delivery of adjuvant therapies the National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) recommends that adjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy should be commenced 'as soon as clinically possible [and] within 31 days of completion of surgery in patients with early breast cancer having these treatments'[4].

Evidence regarding the impact of IBR on the delivery of adjuvant therapy, however, is inconsistent. Observational studies have generated conflicting results[14-39] and a recent systematic review[40] of 14 studies failed to demonstrate any convincing adverse impact of IBR on the time to adjuvant treatments. This review, however, was based on small, poorly-designed single-centre often retrospective case-series, the results of which cannot be relied upon. Therefore there is a lack of high-quality evidence to demonstrate the impact of IBR on the delivery of adjuvant therapies compared with mastectomy alone. Randomised trials (RCTs) provide the best evidence of treatment effect, but in this context are largely inappropriate. A large-scale prospective cohort study is therefore required to provide high-quality evidence regarding the impact of IBR on the delivery of adjuvant therapy to allow patients and surgeons to make more informed decisions about potential treatment options.

The challenges to the design and conduct of large-scale cohort studies are well-documented, but the trainee collaborative model has emerged as a time- and cost-effective means of delivering high-quality prospective research and audit[41-44]. The on-going iBRA (implant Breast Reconstruction evAluation) study (ISRCTN37664281)[45], a national prospective cohort study to explore the feasibility, design and conduct of a pragmatic RCT in implant-based breast surgery has demonstrated the trainee collaborative model is transferable to breast and plastic surgery, and has established a network of centres willing and able to participate in future projects. It is anticipated that this network of highly-motivated and enthusiastic breast and plastic surgical trainees and consultants can be utilised to deliver a new study exploring the impact of IBR on the timing of adjuvant therapy.

# **METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

# Primary aim

The aim of iBRA-2 is to work with the Breast Reconstruction Research Collaborative network to evaluate the impact of immediate breast reconstruction (IBR) on the time to delivery of adjuvant therapy. The group undergoing mastectomy without IBR and the group undergoing mastectomy with IBR will be compared with respect to:

- i. The rate of post-operative complications
- ii. The requirement for adjuvant chemo and/or radiotherapy
- iii. The experience of a delay to or omission of their adjuvant therapy as a result of a surgical complication
- iv. The time to adjuvant therapy

Other non-comparative objectives are to:

- v. Identify risk factors of patients who experience a delay to or omission of their adjuvant therapy as a result of surgical complication
- vi. Generate high-quality data to inform decision-making for patients and health professionals
- vii. Build and strengthen the collaborative network created by the iBRA study to include oncologists and build future research capacity

### **Hypothesis**

Immediate breast reconstruction following mastectomy for breast cancer does not increase the time to delivery of adjuvant therapy compared with mastectomy alone.

### Study design

We plan to undertake a national prospective multicentre cohort study using the research collaborative model previously reported[42, 43] coordinated by the iBRA-2 Steering Group.

# Setting

 Any breast or plastic surgical unit in the UK performing mastectomy with or without immediate breast reconstruction will be eligible to participate to the study. Units will be invited to participate in the study through the Association of Breast Surgery (ABS), the Mammary Fold breast trainees' group (MF), the Association of Surgeons in Training (ASiT), the Reconstructive Surgery Trials Network (RSTN), the British Association of Plastic Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons (BAPRAS) and the national research collaborative network (NRCN).

# **Participants**

Inclusion criteria: All women over the age of 18 who are undergoing a mastectomy with or without immediate reconstruction for pre-invasive or invasive breast cancer with curative intent.

Exclusion criteria: Women undergoing mastectomy for risk-reduction only; however women who are undergoing a contralateral risk-reducing mastectomy at the same time as a therapeutic mastectomy for invasive or pre-invasive disease may be included. Patients undergoing partial mastectomy including lumpectomy or wide local excision with volume replacement techniques (latissimus dorsi mini flaps; lateral intercostal perforator (LICAP) or thoracodorsal artery perforator (TDAP) flaps) or therapeutic mammoplasty and patients with distant metastatic disease will be excluded.

# **Outcome measures**

The primary outcome measure will be time in days from last definitive cancer surgery to the first adjuvant treatment. The last definitive cancer surgery will most commonly be the index mastectomy procedure, but may include completion axillary clearance or re-excision of margins as determined on review of the surgical pathology by the multidisciplinary team (MDT). Unplanned surgery such as implant explantation, debridement of skin necrosis, washout of haematoma or return to theatre for flap failure constitute complications and will

not be classified as last definitive surgery for the purposes of this study. First adjuvant therapy will be defined as the first dose of chemotherapy or the first fraction of radiotherapy. Time to endocrine therapy will not be included. This definition is based on the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidance for early and locally advanced breast cancer [CG80] which states that adjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy should be started 'as soon as clinically possible [and] within 31 days of completion of surgery in patients with early breast cancer having these treatments'[4]. In patients for whom more than one modality of adjuvant treatment is recommended, only the start date for the first adjuvant therapy will be recorded. Secondary outcomes are listed in table 1.

Table 1: Secondary outcome measures

Outcome measure	Definition	
Post-operative	Any post-operative complication occurring before the 1 <sup>st</sup> adjuvant treatment OR	
complications	within 30 days of surgery for patients not requiring adjuvant chemo or radiotherapy.	
	To be classified by the Clavien-Dindo classification of complications as applied to breast surgery[46] with specific reference to:	
	Mastectomy and breast reconstruction specific complications: Seroma; haematoma; infection; mastectomy skin flap necrosis; nipple necrosis; wound dehiscence; implant loss; donor site skin necrosis; flap salvage; partial and full flap necrosis/failure.	
	Systemic complications: Deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, myocardial infarction; lower respiratory tract infection; blood transfusion; unplanned admission to high dependency or intensive therapy units; urinary tract infection.	
Re-admission to hospital	Any re-admission to hospital following discharge home after mastectomy+/- immediate breast reconstruction surgery directly related to the procedure with either local or systemic complications in the time prior to the delivery of the first adjuvant treatment OR within 30 days of surgery in those not requiring chemo or radiotherapy.	
Unplanned re-	Any unplanned re-operation or return to the operating theatre prior to the delivery of	
operation/return to	the first adjuvant therapy OR in the 30 days following surgery to deal with any	
theatre	complication of the mastectomy or reconstruction.	
	Any planned return to theatre for additional oncological surgery, such as completion	
	axillary clearance, as decided by the multi-disciplinary team on review of surgical	
	pathology will NOT be included in this category.	
Use of adjuvant therapy	Number (proportion) of patients undergoing mastectomy +/- immediate breast	
	reconstruction who require adjuvant	
	i. Chemotherapy	
	ii. Biological therapy	
	iii. Radiotherapy	
Omission, modification	Number (proportion) of patients undergoing mastectomy +/- immediate breast	
or delay of adjuvant	reconstruction whose planned adjuvant chemotherapy/biological therapy or	
therapy	radiotherapy is	
• •	i. Omitted (not given, despite MDT recommendation)	
	ii. Modified (dose/regimen changed from planned/standard treatment)	
	p.aa. (accordence)	

iii. Delayed (not given at time scheduled following oncology
appointment)
as a result of a post-operative complication

### **Data collection**

It is expected that participating centres will recruit consecutive patients into the audit.

Patients undergoing mastectomy with or without immediate reconstruction will be identified prospectively from clinics, multidisciplinary team (MDT) meetings and theatre lists.

Simple demographic, co-morbidity, operative and oncology data will be collected on all patients. Decisions regarding the recommendation for adjuvant treatment will be identified from the post-operative MDT meeting.

For patients in whom adjuvant therapy is recommended at the post-operative MDT meeting, data will be collected on whether or not the offer was accepted. In those patients electing to receive adjuvant therapy, date of the first treatment will be collected.

Data regarding complications, re-admission and re-operation will be collected prospectively until the patient commences adjuvant therapy or a decision is made that they will not undergo adjuvant therapy due to the complications they have experienced. Preliminary work suggests that, despite NICE guidelines, adjuvant therapy is unlikely to commence earlier than six weeks post-operatively. For patients not requiring or electing not to receive adjuvant therapy, therefore, data collection will continue for six weeks following their last definitive cancer surgery either by clinical or note review in those not attending for follow-up. The required data fields are shown in Table 2 and definitions and categorisation of complications summarised in Table 3.

Table 2 – Data fields for the iBRA-2 Study

Section 1 - Demographic data	
Field	Options (definitions)
Age	Age at diagnosis in years
Height	In metres
Weight	In kilograms
Body mass index	Actual BMI will be collected and categorised as -Underweight (<18.5 kg/m²)/Normal weight (18.5-24.9 kg/m²)/Overweight (25-29.9 kg/m²)/Obese

	(30-34.9 kg/m²)/Severely obese (35-39.9 kg/m²)	
	Morbid obesity (>40 kg/m²)	
Smoking status	Current smoker/Ex-smoker >6 weeks/Non-smoker	
Diabetic	Yes/No	
Other co-morbidities	Ischaemic heart disease (yes/no); Current steroid therapy (yes/no); Other immunosuppressive therapy (yes/no); Connective tissue disease (yes/no); Other co-morbidity (yes/no) with details	
Prior and neoadjuvant treatments		
Previous radiotherapy to ipsilateral breast	Yes/No	
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy within 4-6 weeks of surgery	Yes/No	
Neoadjuvant endocrine therapy	Yes/No	
Neoadjuvant radiotherapy	Yes/No	
Previous surgery to ipsilateral	Wide local excision (yes/no, if yes, date MM/YY);	
breast	Therapeutic mammaplasty (yes/no, if yes, date MM/YY);	
	Breast reduction (yes/no, if yes, date MM/YY);	
	Breast augmentation (yes/no, if yes, date MM/YY);	
	Other (yes/no, if yes, date MM/YY): State procedure	
Previous surgery to ipsilateral axilla	Sentinel node biopsy with wide local excision (yes/no, if yes, date MM/YY);	
	Stand-alone sentinel node biopsy (yes/no, if yes, date MM/YY);	
	Axillary sample (yes/no, if yes, date MM/YY);	
	Axillary clearance (yes/no, if yes, date MM/YY)	
Section 2 – Operative data		
Date of mastectomy +/-	Day/month/year	
reconstruction		
ASA grade	1 – Normal healthy individual	
	2 – Mild systemic disease that does not limit activities	
	3 – Severe systemic disease that limits activities but is not incapacitating	
Antibiotic	4 – Incapacitating systemic disease which is constantly life threatening	
Antibiotic use	Prophylactic (<24 hours)/1-5 days/extended course (5+days)/until drains removed/Other	
Type of skin prep used at time of	lodine/Chlorhexidine/2% chlorprep/Other	
surgery		
Procedure details collected for RIG	GHT and LEFT breasts separately.	
Procedure performed	None	
·	Mastectomy only	
	Skin-sparing (nipple sacrificing) mastectomy and immediate breast	
	reconstruction	
	Nipple-sparing mastectomy and immediate breast reconstruction	
	Skin reducing (Wise pattern) mastectomy and immediate breast	
	reconstruction	
	Wide local excision	
	Reduction/mastopexy	
KIDD two of county "	Augmentation	
If IBR, type of reconstruction performed	Implant-based/Pedicled flap/Free flap/Other	
If patient undergoing implant reco	<u> </u>	
Implant reconstruction – planned	One-stage reconstruction – insertion of permanent implant at initial surgery	
procedure	Two-stage reconstruction – insertion of a tissue expander to be followed by	
	insertion of a definitive implant	
	Immediate-delayed reconstruction – insertion of a temporary expander in patients for whom radiotherapy is anticipated with a plan to perform a	
	definitive autologous (tissue-based) reconstruction after radiotherapy is	
	complete.	
Mode of lower pole coverage	None/Fascial or complete submuscular coverage/Dermal sling/Biological	
	mesh (e.g. Strattice)/Synthetic mesh (e.g. TiLOOP)/Pre-pectoral implant	

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012678 on 7 October 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 9, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

	with total ADM coverage e.g. BRAXON/Pre-pectoral implant with dermal sling/ADM
Details of product for lower pole coverage	Stattice/SurgiMend/Native/BioDesign/Veritas/SERI/TiLOOP/TIGR/Other
Prosthesis details	Fixed volume implant (size in ccs)
	Temporary expander (volume of saline inserted in mls)
	Combined implant e.g. Beckers (silicone component (g), size when fully
	expanded, volume of saline inserted in mls)
	Polyurethene implant (yes/no)
If patient undergoing flap based re	,
Type of pedicled flap performed	Autologous LD flap (no implant)/LD with implant/Pedicled TRAM/Other
If LD with implant, prosthesis	Fixed volume implant (size in ccs)
details	Temporary expander (volume of saline inserted in mls)
	Combined implant e.g. Beckers (silicone component (g), size when fully
	expanded, volume of saline inserted in mls)
	Polyurethene implant (yes/no)
Type of free flap performed	Free TRAM/DIEP/SIEA/SGAP/IGAP/TUG/Other
Indication for surgery	Malignancy (invasive/DCIS) - first operation/Malignancy (invasive/DCIS) -
	following failed BCS (WLE/TM)/Risk reduction/Symmetrisation
If failed BCS (positive margins)	Day/month/year
date of initial surgery	
Grade of primary operating surgeon	Consultant/SAS doctor/Senior trainee (ST8+ or OPF)/ST6-7/ST5 or below
Mastectomy weight	Grams
Axillary surgery	None/Sentinel node biopsy/Axillary sample/Axillary clearance/Previous
	axillary staging
Section 3 - Post-operative once	ology and MDT outcomes
-	T breasts will be collected separately.
For patients having neoadjuvant	Yes/No
chemotherapy, complete	
pathological response?	
Invasive status	Invasive/DCIS
Grade of invasive disease/DCIS	1 – Low grade (DCIS) or well-differentiated (invasive)
	2 – Intermediate grade (DCIS) or moderately differentiated (invasive)
	3 – High grade (DCIS) or poorly differentiated (invasive)
Histological type	Ductal/Lobular/Mixed/Other
Number of tumours	Single tumour or Multifocal/centric tumours
Size of invasive tumour	Mm (largest if >1 ipsilateral tumour)
Total size of lesion including DCIS	In pathological specimen (mm)
	On pre-treatment diagnostic imaging (if neoadjuvant therapy) (mm)
Receptor status	ER – positive/negative/not known
	HER-2 – positive/negative/not known
	Ki67 – high/low/not known
Lymphovascular invasion	Yes/No/Not known
Lymph node involvement	Number of involved lymph nodes (macro-metastases only)
, ,	Total number of lymph nodes in pathological specimen
Plan from the therapeutic (post-op	
Date of post-operative MDT	Day/month/year
Further oncological surgery	No/Completion axillary clearance/Re-excision of margins/Other
required	, same y state and a state of the state of t
Surgery, planned before adjuvant	Yes with date (day/month/year)
therapy	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Treatments recommended	I
Chemotherapy	Recommended by MDT/Not recommended by MDT/For discussion with
	patient/For Oncotype DX testing/Chemotherapy already received
Biological therapy (e.g Herceptin)	Recommended by MDT/Not recommended by MDT
Radiotherapy to chest wall	Recommended by MDT/Not recommended by MDT/For discussion with
. adiotriorapy to orioot wall	patient/Already received
If radiotherapy recommended	With boost (yes/no)/To supraclavicular fossa (yes/no)/To axilla (yes/no)
ii radiotilerapy recollilleriueu	with boost (yes/110)/ 10 supraciavioual 10ssa (yes/110)/ 10 axiila (yes/110)

Endocrine therapy	Recommended by MDT/Not recommended by MDT	
Section 4 – Complication data		
•	t occur BEFORE the start of adjuvant therapy OR in the first six weeks	
following surgery in patients not requ		
Post-operative complication	Yes/No	
experienced		
If yes – details of surgical	Seroma/haematoma/infection/mastectomy skin flap necrosis/nipple	
complications experienced (see	necrosis/wound dehiscence/implant loss/donor site skin necrosis/impaired	
table 3 for definitions)	flap perfusion requiring return to theatre for exploration or revision of	
,	anastomosis (flap salvage)/flap necrosis/other complication	
In hospital complications including	Yes/No	
systemic complications		
If yes, complication(s) experienced	Deep vein thrombosis/pulmonary embolism/myocardial infarction/lower	
(see table 3 for definitions)	respiratory tract infection/blood transfusion/ unplanned admission to	
(1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	intensive care/high dependency unit/urinary tract infection/surgical	
	complication/other complication	
Readmission to hospital	Yes/No	
The state of the s	If yes – date of readmission (day/month/year) Reason for readmission	
Return to theatre/re-operation	Yes/No	
	If yes – date of re-operation (day/month/year); Reason for re-operation	
Section 5 - Adjuvant therapy d		
	m LAST CANCER SURGERY to FIRST ADJUVANT TREATMENT i.e. first	
dose of chemotherapy or first fraction		
Date of last definitive cancer	Day/month/year	
surgery	Daymonuryear	
Chemotherapy		
	Detion to conte / Detion to decline	
Chemotherapy – if offered	Patient accepts/Patient declines	
Oncotype DX risk stratification	High risk/Intermediate risk/Low risk	
Chemotherapy recommended	Yes/No	
based on Oncotype DX score		
Actual chemotherapy start date	Day/Month/Year	
Was planned treatment modified,	Not affected/Delayed/Modified/Omitted completely/Details	
delayed or omitted (not given) due		
to a post-operative complication?	Y (A)	
Radiotherapy		
Radiotherapy - if offered	Patient accepts/Patient declines	
Actual radiotherapy start date	Day/Month/Year	
Was planned treatment modified,	Not affected/Delayed/Modified/Omitted completely/Details	
delayed or omitted (not given) due		
to a post-operative complication?		
All adjuvant therapies		
Did any factors impact on time to	Yes/No/Unsure	
delivery of adjuvant therapy?		
If yes, please tick any factors that	i. Post-operative complication (Yes/No)	
apply	ii. Capacity issue – lack of medical oncology appointments (Yes/No)	
	iii. Capacity issue – lack of clinical oncology (RT) appointments (Yes/No)	
	iv. Capacity issue - lack of radiotherapy planning slots (Yes/No)	
	v. Capacity issue – lack of chemotherapy delivery slots (Yes/No)	
	vi. Capacity issue – lack of radiotherapy delivery slots (Yes/No)	
	vii. Waiting for staging CT scan or results (Yes/No)	
	viii. Waiting for staging bone scan or results (Yes/No)	
	ix. Waiting for staging PET scan or results (Yes/No)	
	x. Waiting for ECHO or results (Yes/No)	
	xi. Awaiting Oncotype DX results (Yes/No)	
	xii. Administrative delay – problems with booking appointments (Yes/No)	
	xiii. Patient choice (Yes/No)	
	xiv. Patient-related issue e.g. needing physio pre radiotherapy (Yes/No)	
	xv. Other (Yes/No) – If yes, please give details	

ADM – acellular dermal matrix; ASA – American society of Anesthesiology; BCS – breast conserving surgery; CT – computerised tomography scan; DCIS – ductal carcinoma in situ; DIEP – deep inferior epigastric perforator flap; ECHO – echocardiogram; ER – oestrogen receptor; HDU – high dependency unit; IBR – immediate breast reconstruction; IGAP – inferior gluteal artery perforator flap; LD – latissimus dorsi; ITU – intensive therapy unit; MDT – multidisciplinary team; OPF – oncoplastic fellow; PET – positron emission tomography scan; RT – radiotherapy; SAS – Staff, Associate Specialist and Speciality Doctors; SGAP – superior gluteal artery perforator flap; SIEA – superficial inferior epigastric artery perforator flap; TM – therapeutic mammaplasty; TRAM – transverse rectus abdominus myocutaneous flap; TUG – transverse upper gracilis flap; WLE – wide local excision

Table 3 - Definitions of complications

Any complication occurr	ing as a direct result of the mastectomy	+/- breast reconstruction procedure
Complication	Definition	Classification/details
Seroma	A symptomatic collection of fluid in	Requiring 1-2 aspirations
	the mastectomy or donor site or	Requiring 3 or more aspirations
	around the reconstructed breast	
	following surgery requiring aspiration	
Haematoma	A collection of blood in the	Minor – managed conservatively
	mastectomy site/reconstructed	Major 1 – requiring aspiration in clinic (no GA)
	breast/donor site	Major 2 – requiring surgical evacuation (under
		GA)
Infection	A hot, red swollen	Minor – requiring oral antibiotics
	wound/reconstructed breast/donor	Major 1 – requiring admission for IV antibiotics
	site associated with one of the	Major 2 – requiring surgical drainage or
	following; a temperature, pus at the	debridement (under GA)
	wound site, a raised white cell count;	
	a positive wound culture.	
Mastectomy skin flap	Any area of skin loss on the	Minor – managed conservatively with dressings
necrosis	mastectomy flaps	Major 1 – requiring debridement in clinic (no
		GA)
		Major 2 - requiring surgical debridement (under
		GA)
Nipple necrosis	Any area of necrosis of the nipple	Minor – managed conservatively with dressings
	areolar complex	Major 1 – requiring surgical debridement
		Major 2 – complete nipple loss
Wound dehiscence	Separation of the skin edges at the	Minor – managed conservatively
	wound site (breast or donor site)	Major – requiring return to theatre for re-
		suturing
Implant loss	The unplanned and unexpected	Yes/No
	extirpation or loss of the implant	
	including removal as a result of	
	infection, seroma, haematoma or	
	skin necrosis.	
Donor site skin	any area of skin loss at the donor	Minor – managed conservatively with dressings
necrosis	site (abdomen, back, buttock or	Major 1 – requiring debridement in clinic (no
	thigh)	GA)
		Major 2 – requiring surgical debridement (under
		GA)
Impaired flap	concerns regarding perfusion of the	Yes/No
perfusion requiring	flap requiring a return to theatre for	
return to theatre for	exploration +/- revision of the	
exploration/revision	anastomosis	
of anastomosis		
Flap necrosis	Any necrosis of the free/pedicled	Partial flap necrosis requiring surgical
	tissue flap used to reconstruct the	debridement
	breast	Total flap necrosis requiring removal of flap
Other complication	With details	Yes/No

In hospital complication	ons	
		oital for their index mastectomy +/- reconstruction
operation	ing during the period patient is in nosp	onal for their index mastestorny 1/2 reconstruction
Complication	Definition	Classification/details
_		
Deep vein	A radiologically confirmed clot in the	Yes/No
thrombosis	vessels of the lower limb treated	
	with anticoagulation	
Pulmonary embolism	A radiologically (CTPA or V/Q scan)	Yes/No
	confirmed clot in the lung treated	
	with anticoagulation	
Myocardial infarction	As confirmed by a rise in cardiac	Yes/No
	markers +/- ECG changes	
Lower respiratory	A lower respiratory tract infection	Yes/No
tract infection	diagnosed clinically by the presence	
	of clinical signs or radiologically and	
	treated with oral or intravenous	
	antibiotics (Yes/No)	
Blood transfusion	Bleeding requiring blood transfusion	Yes/No
	following mastectomy +/-	
	reconstructive surgery	
Unplanned	Any unplanned admission to	Yes/No
admission to	HDU/ITU following mastectomy +/-	
intensive care/high	reconstructive surgery	
dependency unit	3.7	
Urinary tract	A microbiologically confirmed urinary	Yes/No
infection	tract infection	
Surgical	As above	Yes/No
complication		
·		
Other complication	Details	Yes/No
Readmission and re-o	peration	
Complication	Definition	Classification/details
Readmission	Any re-admission to hospital	Yes/No
	following discharge home prior to	If yes – date of readmission (day/month/year)
	the delivery of the first adjuvant	Reason for readmission
	therapy OR in the 30 days following	
	surgery in those not requiring chemo	
	or radiotherapy directly related to the	
	procedure with either local or	
	systemic complications.	
Re-operation	Any return to the operating theatre	Yes/No
•	prior to the delivery of the first	If yes – date of re-operation (day/month/year);
	adjuvant therapy OR in the 30 days	Reason for re-operation
	following surgery to deal with any	The state of the s
	complication of the mastectomy or	
	-	
	reconstruction.	

CTPA – computerised tomography pulmonary angiography; ECG – electrocardiogram; GA – general anaesthetic; HDU – high dependency unit; ITU – intensive therapy unit; IV – intravenous; V/Q-ventilation perfusion scan

Data will be recorded in an anonymised format using a unique alphanumeric study identification number on a secure web-based database (REDCap) designed by Vanderbilt University[47-49] (<a href="http://www.projectredcap.org/">http://www.projectredcap.org/</a>). Advanced data logic will be used such that

only data fields relevant to the procedure and indication selected will be displayed in later data collection forms. It is anticipated this will reduce the burden of participation for collaborators and optimise the quality of data collected during the study.

The data forms will be extensively trialled in a three centre pilot prior to national roll-out of the study. This will validate the logic used; ensure the forms are complete and user-friendly and allow for any errors to be corrected prior to main study initiation.

# Data validation and management

For quality assurance purposes, the consultant principal investigator at selected sites will be asked to identify an independent person to validate a proportion of the submitted data. These cases will be selected at random. Overall, approximately 5% of the datasets will be independently validated. The independent assessors will also be asked to examine theatre logbooks, operating diaries and Trust computer systems to check case ascertainment. If concordance between the number of cases submitted on REDcap and those identified independently is <90%, the Unit's data will be excluded from the analysis. This is consistent with the quality assurance procedure used in other large collaborative audit projects[50].

Data collection will occur in accordance with Caldicott II principles (<a href="http://systems.hscic.gov.uk/infogov/caldicott/caldresources">http://systems.hscic.gov.uk/infogov/caldicott/caldresources</a>). Data for each patient will be anonymised using a unique alphanumeric study identification number. Collaborators will be ask to store an Excel spreadsheet linking study ID to NHS number on a secure server locally to ensure patients are appropriately followed-up during the study. No patient identifiable data will be recorded centrally for the purpose of the audit.

Study data will be collected and managed using REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted at the University of Oxford[47]. REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) is a secure, web-based application designed to support data capture for research studies, providing 1) an intuitive interface for validated data entry; 2) audit trails for tracking data manipulation and export procedures; 3) automated export procedures for seamless data

downloads to common statistical packages; and 4) procedures for importing data from external sources.

# **Anticipated recruitment**

The recent MASDA (MAStectomy Decisions Audit) Study (<a href="http://wmresearch.org.uk/">http://wmresearch.org.uk/</a>) collected data on 1700 mastectomies +/- IBR from 68 centres over a three month period. It is therefore anticipated that given its increased complexity, the iBRA-2 study will recruit approximately 3000 patients over a six month period. Assuming an IBR rate of 21%[51, 52], this should include approximately 630 reconstructions comprising approximately 220 implant-only reconstructions; 170 autologous pedicled flaps; 130 pedicled flaps with implants and 90 free flaps based on figures from the NMBRA[51].

# Study timelines

Data collection and analysis will be undertaken using the following time line.

- May-June 2016 Three centre pilot study, refining of data collection forms
- March-June 2016 Registration of interest from breast and plastic surgical units.
   Local audit approvals obtained. Participating centres will be required to have registered the study and obtained local approvals prior to the main study start date of 1st July 2016
- 1<sup>st</sup> July 31<sup>st</sup> December 2016 Main study patient recruitment patients undergoing mastectomy +/- immediate breast reconstruction with operation dates between 1<sup>st</sup>
   July and 31<sup>st</sup> December 2016 are eligible for inclusion in the study.
- 28<sup>th</sup> February 2017 deadline for data submission via REDCap
- 1<sup>st</sup> May 2017 Data validation complete
- 30<sup>th</sup> June 2017 Initial data analysis completed

# Statistical analysis

The study report will be prepared according to the STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) reporting guidelines for observational studies[53]. All data analysis will occur centrally by the iBRA-2 study team with support from statisticians and methodologists in the RCS Surgical Trials Centre and the University of Liverpool Clinical Trials Research Centre.

All outcomes will be summarized using descriptive statistics overall and split by group (mastectomy +/- IBR). Dichotomous, categorical and short ordinal outcomes will be summarized using counts and percentages. Time to adjuvant therapy will be summarized using Kaplan-Meier curves. Continuous and long ordinal outcomes will be summarized by the mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum (medians and interquartile ranges will be reported for skewed data).

Formal statistical testing for each outcome between groups (mastectomy +/- IBR) will be approached as follows: Rates of post-operative complications including readmission and reoperation; requirement for adjuvant therapy and delay or omission of planned adjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy will be analysed using a chi-squared test and the effect estimate will be reported in terms of the relative risk and 95% confidence interval. Time to the delivery of adjuvant therapy will be analysed using a log rank test. Delays to the delivery of adjuvant therapy will be analysed, controlling for risk factors of interest, using logistic regression model. A p-value of 0.05 or less will be used to declare statistical significance for all analyses. Rather than adjust for multiplicity, relevant results from other studies already reported in the literature will be taken into account in the interpretation of results.

Results for each participating Trust will be summarised and fed back to individual units to allow comparison with national averages and ranges.

### DISCUSSION

Immediate breast reconstruction may improve psychosocial outcomes for women requiring a mastectomy for breast cancer, but more complex surgery may also result in complications that could delay the delivery of important adjuvant treatments and subsequently impact longterm oncological outcomes. As oncological safety is the central tenant of all oncoplastic surgery, the practice of IBR if adjuvant therapy is anticipated is an area of considerable controversy[54] and one for which high-quality evidence is currently lacking. The iBRA-2 study will generate much needed novel data regarding the impact of IBR on the time to delivery of adjuvant therapy compared with mastectomy alone. It will provide valuable information that may help patients and professionals make more informed decisions about the type and timing of their reconstructive surgery in the future. It will provide a large, robust prospective observational data set that will allow predictors for complications to be explored and generate hypotheses that will lead to further work in this area. The study will also generate valuable contemporaneous data relating to the practice of post-mastectomy radiotherapy (PMRT) following the emergence of data to suggest significant survival benefit in a group of women with one to three positive lymph nodes who would not traditionally have been offered treatment[55]. Finally, the study will provide a further data cycle following the National Mastectomy and Breast Reconstruction Audit[5, 78-80] to demonstrate whether surgical outcomes for women undergoing mastectomy and IBR have improved. If they have not, this will focus the attention of breast and plastic surgeons on relevant areas and highlight the need for future research.

It is anticipated that the iBRA-2 study will strengthen the collaborative network created by the iBRA (implant-breast reconstruction evaluation) study through the successful delivery of a second large-scale study in breast and reconstructive surgery. The study will reinforce the successful collaborative links between the breast and plastic surgical communities and create additional research capacity by broadening the network to include oncologists. The engagement and involvement of a wider community of trainees will lead to a new generation

of consultants who understand the importance of research and audit, who can and will participate in high-quality collaborative studies resulting in more and better research. We believe that this will ultimately improve outcomes for patients.

# **ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

The proposed study will not affect clinical care and compares outcomes to published clinical standards. Research ethics approval is not required and this has been confirmed by the Health Research Authority (HRA) on-line decision (http://www.hradecisiontools.org.uk/research/) and discussion with University of Bristol. A study lead will be identified at each participating centre. If the unit lead is a trainee, the named supervising consultant will act as the principal investigator for the unit for registration purposes. The study lead will be required to register the audit and obtain local audit approvals for study participation prior to commencing patient recruitment. A copy of the approval will be also forwarded to the iBRA-2 study team. Patient consent is not required as no patient identifiable data is being recorded and there is no risk to patients.

The protocol will be disseminated via the collaborative network including Mammary Fold Breast Trainees' Group, the Reconstructive Surgery Trials Network (RSTN), the Association of Surgeons in Training (ASiT) and the National Research Collaborative (NRC) as well as the professional associations the Association of Breast Surgery (ABS) and the British Association of Plastic Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons (BAPRAS). The protocol and data collection sheets will be available on line (<a href="https://www.ibrastudy.com">www.ibrastudy.com</a>). Individual centres will have access to their own data and the length of time from mastectomy to start of adjuvant therapy for each individual centre will be calculated and compared with the national average and quality standards determined by NICE. Data will be fedback to centres at the end of the audit. Overall audit results and results from individual centres will be fedback to ABS and BAPRAS.

Collective data will be analysed and the results of the study presented at appropriate scientific meetings and published in peer-reviewed journals. The results can then be used to inform patients and surgeons and aid decision-making for women considering breast reconstruction.

# **Authors' contributions**

RD, ROC and TR contributed to the design and writing of the protocol, EC and PRW contributed to the study design and statistical analysis; JMB, NB, JS, AH, COB and MG contributed to study design and advised on methodology; CH and SP contributed to the conception, design, writing and editing of the protocol. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# **Ethical approval**

Not required

# **Competing interests**

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

# **Funding**

This work received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

# **REFERENCES**

- Cancer Research UK: <a href="http://info.cancerresearchuk.org/cancerstats/types/breast/">http://info.cancerresearchuk.org/cancerstats/types/breast/</a>
   2009.
- Matala CM, McIntosh SA, Purushotham AD: Immediate breast reconstruction after mastectomy for cancer. Br J Surg 2000, 87:1455-1472.
- 3. Harcourt D, Rumsey N: Psychological aspects of breast reconstruction: a review of the literature. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 2001, **35**(4):477-487.

- National Institute of Clinical Excellence: Breast cancer (early & locally advanced):
   diagnosis and treatment, vol. Clinical guidelines CG80; 2009.
- Jeevan R, Cromwell D, Browne J, van der Meulen J, Pereira J, Caddy C, Sheppard
   C, Greenaway K, Napper R, Dean S: National Mastectomy and Breast
   Reconstruction Audit 2010. Third Annual Report, vol. IC28050110; 2010.
- Farolfi A, Scarpi E, Rocca A, Mangia A, Biglia N, Gianni L, Tienghi A, Valerio MR, Gasparini G, Amaducci L et al: Time to initiation of adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with rapidly proliferating early breast cancer. European Journal of Cancer 2015, 51(14):1874-1881.
- Lohrisch C, Paltiel C, Gelmon K, Speers C, Taylor S, Barnett J, Olivotto IA: Impact
  on Survival of Time From Definitive Surgery to Initiation of Adjuvant
  Chemotherapy for Early-Stage Breast Cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2006,
  24(30):4888-4894.
- 8. Yu KD, Huang S, Zhang JX, Liu GY, Shao ZM: Association between delayed initiation of adjuvant CMF or anthracycline-based chemotherapy and survival in breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer* 2013, 13:240.
- Huang J, Barbera L, Brouwers M, Browman G, Mackillop WJ: Does delay in starting treatment affect the outcomes of radiotherapy? A systematic review. Journal of clinical oncology: official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2003, 21(3):555-563.
- Mikeljevic JS, Haward R, Johnston C, Crellin A, Dodwell D, Jones A, Pisani P, Forman D: Trends in postoperative radiotherapy delay and the effect on survival in breast cancer patients treated with conservation surgery. *British journal of cancer* 2004, 90(7):1343-1348.
- 11. Benchalal M, Le Prise E, de Lafontan B, Berton-Rigaud D, Belkacemi Y, Romestaing P, Peignaux K, Courdi A, Monnier A, Montcuquet P et al: Influence of the time between surgery and radiotherapy on local recurrence in patients with lymph

- node-positive, early-stage, invasive breast carcinoma undergoing breast-conserving surgery: results of the French Adjuvant Study Group. *Cancer* 2005, **104**(2):240-250.
- Jobsen JJ, van der Palen J, Ong F, Meerwaldt JH: Timing of radiotherapy and survival benefit in breast cancer. Breast cancer research and treatment 2006, 99(3):289-294.
- 13. Vujovic O, Yu E, Cherian A, Dar AR, Stitt L, Perera F: Time interval from breast-conserving surgery to breast irradiation in early stage node-negative breast cancer: 17-year follow-up results and patterns of recurrence. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 2015, **91**(2):319-324.
- Eck MDL, Perdikis MG, Rawal MB, Bagaria MS, McLaughlin MSA: Incremental Risk
   Associated with Contralateral Prophylactic Mastectomy and the Effect on
   Adjuvant Therapy. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 21(10):3297-3303.
- 15. Lee J, Lee SK, Kim S, Koo MY, Choi M-Y, Bae SY, Cho DH, Kim J, Jung SP, Choe J-H et al: Does Immediate Breast Reconstruction after Mastectomy affect the Initiation of Adjuvant Chemotherapy? J Breast Cancer 2011, 14(4):322-327.
- 16. Hultman CS, Daiza S: Skin-Sparing Mastectomy Flap Complications After Breast Reconstruction: Review of Incidence, Management, and Outcome. *Annals of Plastic Surgery* 2003, **50**(3):249-255.
- 17. Rey P, Martinelli G, Petit JY, Youssef O, De Lorenzi F, Rietjens M, Garusi C, Giraldo A: Immediate Breast Reconstruction and High-Dose Chemotherapy. *Annals of Plastic Surgery* 2005, **55**(3):250-254.
- Crisera CA, Chang El, Da Lio AL, Festekjian JH, Mehrara BJ: Immediate Free Flap Reconstruction for Advanced-Stage Breast Cancer: Is It Safe? Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery 2011, 128(1):32-41.
- Nykiel M, Sayid Z, Wong R, Lee GK: Management of Mastectomy Skin Flap
   Necrosis in Autologous Breast Reconstruction. Annals of Plastic Surgery 2014,
   72:S31-S34.

- 20. Kahn J, Barrett S, Forte C, Stallard S, Weiler-Mithoff E, Doughty JC, Romics Jr L: Oncoplastic breast conservation does not lead to a delay in the commencement of adjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer patients. European Journal of Surgical Oncology (EJSO) 2013, 39(8):887-891.
- 21. Schaverien MV, Munnoch DA: Effect of neoadjuvant chemotherapy on outcomes of immediate free autologous breast reconstruction. European Journal of Surgical Oncology (EJSO) 2013, 39(5):430-436.
- 22. Kontos M, Lewis RS, Lüchtenborg M, Holmberg L, Hamed H: Does immediate breast reconstruction using free flaps lead to delay in the administration of adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer? European Journal of Surgical Oncology (EJSO) 2010, 36(8):745-749.
- 23. Barry PN, Riley EC, Pan J, Crew JB, Lee K, Jain D, Kruse B, Quillo AR, Rai S, Dragun AE: Delay of Adjuvant Chemotherapy After Elective Mastectomy and Immediate Reconstruction in Breast-conservation Candidates: A Matched-pair Analysis. American Journal of Clinical Oncology 2014, 37(6):575-579.
- 24. Wilson CR, Brown IM, Weiller-Mithoff E, George WD, Doughty JC: Immediate breast reconstruction does not lead to a delay in the delivery of adjuvant chemotherapy. *European Journal of Surgical Oncology* 2004, **30**(6):624-627.
- 25. Allweis TM, Boisvert ME, Otero SE, Perry DJ, Dubin NH, Priebat DA: Immediate reconstruction after mastectomy for breast cancer does not prolong the time to starting adjuvant chemotherapy. American Journal of Surgery 2002, 183(3):218-221.
- 26. Shaikh I, Thomas H, Vidyadharan R, Verghis V, Iype V, Abraham S: Post Mastectomy Immediate Breast Reconstruction 13 Years Experience in a Single Centre. Indian J Surg Oncol 2010, 1(3):250-255.
- 27. Eriksen C, Frisell J, Wickman M, Lidbrink E, Krawiec K, Sandelin K: Immediate reconstruction with implants in women with invasive breast cancer does not

- affect oncological safety in a matched cohort study. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment 2011, **127**(2):439-446.
- van Mierlo DRJ, Lopez Penha TR, Schipper RJ, Martens MH, Serroyen J, Lobbes MBI, Heuts EM, Tuinder S, Smidt ML: No increase of local recurrence rate in breast cancer patients treated with skin-sparing mastectomy followed by immediate breast reconstruction. The Breast 2013, 22(6):1166-1170.
- 29. Vandergrift JL, Niland JC, Theriault RL, Edge SB, Wong Y-N, Loftus LS, Breslin TM, Hudis CA, Javid SH, Rugo HS et al: Time to Adjuvant Chemotherapy for Breast Cancer in National Comprehensive Cancer Network Institutions. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 2013, 105(2):104-112.
- 30. Prabhu R, Godette K, Carlson G, Losken A, Gabram S, Fasola C, O'Regan R, Zelnak A, Torres M: The Impact of Skin-Sparing Mastectomy With Immediate Reconstruction in Patients With Stage III Breast Cancer Treated With Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy and Postmastectomy Radiation. International Journal of Radiation Oncology\*Biology\*Physics 2012, 82(4):e587-e593.
- 31. Barber MD, Williams L, Anderson EDC, Neades GT, Raine C, Young O, Kulkarni D, Young I, Dixon JM: Outcome of the use of acellular-dermal matrix to assist implant-based breast reconstruction in a single centre. European Journal of Surgical Oncology (EJSO) 2015, 41(1):100-105.
- 32. Azzawi K, Ismail A, Earl H, Forouhi P, Matala CM: Influence of neoadjuvant chemotherapy on outcomes of immediate reconstruction. *Plastic* & *Reconstructive Surgery* 2010, **126**(1):1-11.
- 33. Zweifel-Schlatter MM, Darhouse MN, MRCS, MSc, Roblin MP, Ross MD, MD PMZ, Farhadi MJ: Immediate Microvascular Breast Reconstruction After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy: Complication Rates and Effect on Start of Adjuvant Treatment. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 17(11):2945-2950.

- 34. Alderman AK, Collins ED, Schott A, Hughes ME, Ottesen RA, Theriault RL, Wong Y-N, Weeks JC, Niland JC, Edge SB: The impact of breast reconstruction on the delivery of chemotherapy. Cancer 2010, 116(7):1791-1800.
- 35. Omranipour R, Bobin JY, Esouyeh M: Skin Sparing Mastectomy and Immediate

  Breast Reconstruction (SSMIR) for early breast cancer: eight years single

  institution experience. World Journal of Surgical Oncology 2008, 6:43.
- 36. Omranipour R, Lebaschi AH, Mohagheghi MA, Arab-Kheradmand A, Abasahl A: Outcomes of breast reconstruction with pedicled transverse rectus abdominis myocutaneous (TRAM) flap at Cancer Institute, a retrospective study of 10 years of experience. Acta Medica Iranica 2008, 46(3):218-224.
- 37. Salhab M, Sarakbi WA, Joseph A, Sheards S, Travers J, Mokbel K: **Skin-sparing** mastectomy and immediate breast reconstruction: patient satisfaction and clinical outcome. *Int J Clin Oncol* 2006, **11**(1):51-54.
- 38. Gouy S, Rouzier R, Missana MC, Atallah D, Youssef O, Barreau-Pouhaer L: Immediate reconstruction after neoadjuvant chemotherapy: effect on adjuvant treatment starting and survival. *Annals of Surgical Oncology* 2005, **12**(2):161-166.
- 39. Foster RD, Hansen SL, Esserman LJ, et al.: **SAfety of immediate transverse** rectus abdominis myocutaneous breast reconstruction for patients with locally advanced disease. *Archives of Surgery* 2005, **140**(2):196-200.
- 40. Xavier Harmeling J, Kouwenberg CA, Bijlard E, Burger KN, Jager A, Mureau MA: The effect of immediate breast reconstruction on the timing of adjuvant chemotherapy: a systematic review. *Breast cancer research and treatment* 2015, 153(2):241-251.
- 41. Bartlett D, Pinkney T, Futaba K, Whisker L, Dowswell G, Collaborative obotWMR:

  Trainee led research collaboratives: pioneers in the new research landscape.

  BMJ Careers 2012.
- 42. Bhangu A, Kolias AG, Pinkney T, Hall NJ, Fitzgerald JE: Surgical research collaboratives in the UK. *The Lancet* 2013, **382**(9898):1091-1092.

- 43. Dowswell G, Bartlett D, Futaba K, Whisker L, Pinkney T, on behalf of West Midlands Research Collaborative B, UK: **How to set up and manage a trainee-led research collaborative**. *BMC Medical Education* 2014, **14**(1):94.
- 44. Pinkney TD, Calvert M, Bartlett DC, Gheorghe A, Redman V, Dowswell G, Hawkins W, Mak T, Youssef H, Richardson C et al: Impact of wound edge protection devices on surgical site infection after laparotomy: multicentre randomised controlled trial (ROSSINI Trial). BMJ 2013, 347.
- 45. Potter S, Holcombe C, Mylvaganam S, Thrush S, Whisker L, Skillman J: The iBRA study: A national multicentre audit of the outcomes of implant-based breast reconstruction with and without lower pole support. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery* 2014, **67**(10):1467-1468.
- 46. Panhofer P, Ferenc V, Schütz M, Gleiss A, Dubsky P, Jakesz R, Gnant M, Fitzal F: Standardization of morbidity assessment in breast cancer surgery using the Clavien Dindo Classification. *International Journal of Surgery* 2014, **12**(4):334-339.
- 47. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG: Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support.

  Journal of Biomedical Informatics 2009, 42(2):377-381.
- 48. Obeid JS, McGraw CA, Minor BL, Conde JG, Pawluk R, Lin M, Wang J, Banks SR, Hemphill SA, Taylor R *et al*: **Procurement of shared data instruments for Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap)**. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* 2013, **46**(2):259-265.
- 49. Boland MR, Rusanov A, So Y, Lopez-Jimenez C, Busacca L, Steinman RC, Bakken S, Bigger JT, Weng C: From expert-derived user needs to user-perceived ease of use and usefulness: A two-phase mixed-methods evaluation framework. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* in press.
- 50. Vohra RS, Spreadborough P, Johnstone M, Marriott P, Bhangu A, Alderson D, Morton DG, Griffiths EA: **Protocol for a multicentre, prospective, population-**

- based cohort study of variation in practice of cholecystectomy and surgical outcomes (The CholeS study). *BMJ Open* 2015, **5**(1).
- 51. Jeevan R, Cromwell DA, Browne JP, Caddy CM, Pereira J, Sheppard C, Greenaway K, van der Meulen JHP: Findings of a national comparative audit of mastectomy and breast reconstruction surgery in England. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive* & Aesthetic Surgery 2014, 67(10):1333-1344.
- 52. Jeevan R, Cromwell D, Brown J, Trivella M, Pereira J, Caddy C, Sheppard C, van der Meulen J: Regional variation in the use of immediate breast reconstruction after mastectomy for breast cancer in England. European Journal of Surgical Oncology 2010, 36:750-755.
- 53. Elm Ev, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP: Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *BMJ* 2007, 335(7624):806-808.
- 54. Duxbury PJ, Gandhi A, Kirwan CC, Jain Y, Harvey JR: Current attitudes to breast reconstruction surgery for women at risk of post-mastectomy radiotherapy: A survey of UK breast surgeons. *The Breast* 2015, **24**(4):502-512.
- 55. Ebctcg: Effect of radiotherapy after mastectomy and axillary surgery on 10-year recurrence and 20-year breast cancer mortality: meta-analysis of individual patient data for 8135 women in 22 randomised trials. *The Lancet*, 383(9935):2127-2135.

# **BMJ Open**

The iBRA-2 (immediate Breast reconstruction And Adjuvant therapy Audit) Study – Protocol for a prospective national multi-centre cohort study to evaluate the impact of immediate breast reconstruction on the delivery of adjuvant therapy

Journal:	BMJ Open	
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2016-012678.R1	
Article Type:	Protocol	
Date Submitted by the Author:	15-Aug-2016	
Complete List of Authors:	Dave, Rajiv; University Hospital of South Manchester NHS Foundation Trust, Nightingale Breast Unit O'Connell, Rachel; Royal Marsden Hospital Sutton, Department of Breast Surgery Rattay, Tim; University of Leicester School of Biological Sciences, Department of Cancer Studies Tolkien, Zoe; University of Bristol, Bristol Centre for Surgical Research, School of Social and Community Medicine Barnes, Nicola; University Hospital of South Manchester NHS Foundation Trust, Nightingale Breast Unit Skillman, Joanna; University Hospitals of Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust, Department of Plastic Surgery Williamson, Paula; Liverpool University, Clinical Trials Research Unit Conroy, Elizabeth; Liverpool University, Clinical Trials Research Centre Gardiner, Matthew; University of Oxford Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences; Imperial College London, Department of Surgery and Cancer Harnett, Adrian; Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals NHS Foundatio Trust, Department of Oncology O'Brien, Ciara; The Christie NHS Foundation Trust, Department of Oncology Blazeby, Jane; University of Bristol, School of Social and Community Medicine Potter, Shelley; University of Bristol, School of Social and Community Medicine Holcombe, Christopher; Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospitals NHS Trust, Linda McCartney Breast Unit	
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Surgery	
Secondary Subject Heading:	Oncology	
Keywords:	immediate breast reconstruction, adjuvant therapy, trainee collaboratives cohort study, Breast tumours < ONCOLOGY	



# The iBRA-2 (<u>i</u>mmediate <u>B</u>reast reconstruction <u>A</u>nd <u>A</u>djuvant therapy <u>A</u>udit) Study – Protocol for a prospective national multi-centre cohort study to evaluate the impact of immediate breast reconstruction on the delivery of adjuvant therapy

Rajiv Dave<sup>1\*</sup>, Rachel O'Connell<sup>2\*</sup>, Tim Rattay<sup>3\*</sup>, Zoe Tolkien<sup>4</sup>, Nicola Barnes<sup>2</sup>, Joanna Skillman<sup>5</sup>, Paula Williamson<sup>6</sup>, Elizabeth Conroy<sup>6</sup>, Matthew Gardiner<sup>7,8</sup>, Adrian Harnett<sup>9</sup>, Ciara O'Brien<sup>10</sup>, Jane Blazeby<sup>4</sup>, Shelley Potter<sup>4§</sup> and Chris Holcombe<sup>11§</sup> on behalf of the Breast Reconstruction Research Collaborative

 Correspondence to: Shelley Potter, Centre for Surgical Research, School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Room 3.12 Canynge Hall, 39 Whatley Road, Bristol, BS8 2PS

Telephone: +44(0)117 928 7219 Email address: shelley.potter@bristol.ac.uk,

**Keywords**: Immediate breast reconstruction, adjuvant therapy, breast cancer, cohort study, trainee collaboratives

Word count: 2773 (excluding tables)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Nightingale Breast Unit, University Hospital of South Manchester NHS Foundation Trust, Southmoor Road, Manchester, M23 9LT, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Breast Surgery, The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, Downs Road, Sutton, Surrey, SM2 5PT

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Cancer Studies, Clinical Sciences Building, University of Leicester, Leicester LE2 2LX, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Bristol Centre for Surgical Research, School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, 39 Whatley Road, Clifton, Bristol, BS8 2PS, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Department of Plastic Surgery, University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust, Clifford Bridge Road, Coventry, CV2 2DX, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Clinical Trials Research Centre, University of Liverpool MRC North West Hub for Trials Methodology Research, Department of Biostatistics, University of Liverpool, L69 3GS, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, Windmill Road, Headington, Oxford, OX3 7HE, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Department of Surgery and Cancer, Imperial College London

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Norfolk and Norwich Hospital

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>The Christie NHS Foundation Trust, Wilmslow Road, Manchester, M20 4BX, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Linda McCartney Centre, Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospital, Prescot Street, Liverpool, L7 8XP, UK

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes joint first authors, §Denotes joint senior authors

#### **ABSTRACT**

# Introduction

Immediate breast reconstruction (IBR) is routinely offered to improve quality of life for women with breast cancer requiring a mastectomy, but there are concerns that more complex surgery may delay the delivery of adjuvant oncological treatments and compromise long-term oncological outcomes. High-quality evidence, however, is lacking. iBRA-2 is a national prospective multicentre cohort study that aims to investigate the effect of IBR on the delivery of adjuvant therapy.

# Methods and analysis

Breast and plastic surgery centres in the UK performing mastectomy with or without (+/-) IBR will be invited to participate in the study through the trainee research collaborative network. All women undergoing mastectomy+/-IBR for breast cancer between 1st July and 31st December 2016 will be included. Patient demographics, operative, oncological and complication data will be collected. Time from last definitive cancer surgery to first adjuvant treatment for patients undergoing mastectomy+/-IBR will be compared to determine the impact that IBR has on the time of delivery of adjuvant therapy. Prospective data on 3000 patients from approximately 50 centres is anticipated.

### **Ethics and dissemination**

Research ethics approval is not required for this study. This has been confirmed using the on-line Health Research Authority (HRA) decision tool. This novel study will explore whether IBR impacts the time to delivery of adjuvant therapy. The study will provide valuable information to help patients and surgeons make more informed decisions about their surgical options. Dissemination of the study protocol will be via the Mammary Fold Academic and Research Collaborative (MFAC) and the Reconstructive Surgery Trials Network (RSTN), the Association of Breast Surgery (ABS) and the British Association of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons (BAPRAS). Participating units will have access to their own data

and collective results will be presented at relevant surgical conferences and published in appropriate peer-reviewed journals.

# STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES

- Large multicentre prospective study involving data collection from breast and plastic surgical units across the UK
- Will produce valuable data regarding the impact of immediate breast reconstruction on the time to delivery of adjuvant therapy which will help inform decision-making for patients and surgeons
- Will strengthen the collaborative network between breast and plastic surgical trainees and consultants to facilitate the delivery of future research
- Observational design will not address causality
- Will not collect data on non-participating units
- Short-term data collection will not allow the long-term impact of delays to adjuvant therapy to be assessed

### INTRODUCTION

Approximately 51,000 women will be diagnosed with breast cancer each year[1], of whom, up to 40% may require a mastectomy as the primary surgical treatment[2]. The loss of breast can profoundly impact a woman's quality of life and body image[3]. Immediate breast reconstruction (IBR) is routinely offered in the UK to improve outcomes[4].

Whilst IBR may improve psychosocial outcomes for women facing mastectomy, these benefits need to be weighed against the increased risk of complications associated with more complex procedures. The National Mastectomy and Breast Reconstruction Audit (NMBRA) reported a step-wise increase in complication rates with procedure complexity with 10% of patients undergoing mastectomy experiencing a post-operative complication compared with 11% of patients undergoing an implant-based procedures; 16% of patients undergoing a pedicled flap and 18% of those undergoing immediate free-flap reconstruction[5]. These complication rates are likely to represent an underestimation of the burden of post-operative morbidity as significant number of complications, in particular wound infections and seromas, continue to occur after discharge.

Complication rates following IBR are important as they may lead to the delay or omission of adjuvant cancer therapies in the form of adjuvant chemotherapy or biological therapy and post-mastectomy radiotherapy. The clinical significance of short delays is unclear, but delays of between seven[6] and 12 weeks[7] have been shown to adversely impact on key oncological outcomes, including recurrence free and overall survival. Furthermore, a recent meta-analysis suggests a 15% decrease in overall survival for every four week delay in the delivery of adjuvant chemotherapy[8]. Similarly, delays to radiotherapy adversely impact oncological outcomes although the time-frames are less well-established. An early meta-analysis suggested an increased risk of loco-regional recurrence if radiotherapy was delayed by more than eight weeks following surgery[9]. More recent studies, however suggest there to be no adverse effect on disease-free or overall survival if radiotherapy is commenced within three months of surgery[10-13] with one large UK cohort study showing no deleterious

effects with delays of up to 20 weeks[10]. To ensure timely delivery of adjuvant therapies the National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) recommends that adjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy should be commenced 'as soon as clinically possible [and] within 31 days of completion of surgery in patients with early breast cancer having these treatments'[4].

Evidence regarding the impact of IBR on the delivery of adjuvant therapy, however, is inconsistent. Observational studies have generated conflicting results[14-39] and a recent systematic review[40] of 14 studies failed to demonstrate any convincing adverse impact of IBR on the time to adjuvant treatments. This review, however, was based on small, poorly-designed single-centre often retrospective case-series, the results of which cannot be relied upon. Therefore there is a lack of high-quality evidence to demonstrate the impact of IBR on the delivery of adjuvant therapies compared with mastectomy alone. Randomised trials (RCTs) provide the best evidence of treatment effect, but in this context are largely inappropriate. A large-scale prospective cohort study is therefore required to provide high-quality evidence regarding the impact of IBR on the delivery of adjuvant therapy to allow patients and surgeons to make more informed decisions about potential treatment options.

The challenges to the design and conduct of large-scale cohort studies are well-documented, but the trainee collaborative model has emerged as a time- and cost-effective means of delivering high-quality prospective research and audit[41-44]. The on-going iBRA (implant Breast Reconstruction evAluation) study (ISRCTN37664281)[45], a national prospective cohort study to explore the feasibility, design and conduct of a pragmatic RCT in implant-based breast surgery has demonstrated the trainee collaborative model is transferable to breast and plastic surgery, and has established a network of centres willing and able to participate in future projects. It is anticipated that this network of highly-motivated and enthusiastic breast and plastic surgical trainees and consultants can be utilised to deliver a new study exploring the impact of IBR on the timing of adjuvant therapy.

#### **METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

## Primary aim

The aim of iBRA-2 is to work with the Breast Reconstruction Research Collaborative network to evaluate the impact of immediate breast reconstruction (IBR) on the time to delivery of adjuvant therapy. The group undergoing mastectomy without IBR and the group undergoing mastectomy with IBR will be compared with respect to:

- i. The rate of post-operative complications
- ii. The requirement for adjuvant chemo and/or radiotherapy
- iii. The experience of a delay to or omission of their adjuvant therapy as a result of a surgical complication
- iv. The time to adjuvant therapy

Other non-comparative objectives are to:

- v. Identify risk factors of patients who experience a delay to or omission of their adjuvant therapy as a result of surgical complication
- vi. Explore the impact of delay to adjuvant therapy on key oncological outcomes including locoregional recurrence; metastatic disease and breast cancer specific death at 5 and 10 years
- vii. Generate high-quality data to inform decision-making for patients and health professionals
- viii. Build and strengthen the collaborative network created by the iBRA study to include oncologists and build future research capacity

## **Hypothesis**

Immediate breast reconstruction following mastectomy for breast cancer does not increase the time to delivery of adjuvant therapy compared with mastectomy alone.

# Study design

We plan to undertake a national prospective multicentre cohort study using the research collaborative model previously reported[42, 43] coordinated by the iBRA-2 Steering Group.

#### Setting

Any breast or plastic surgical unit in the UK performing mastectomy with or without immediate breast reconstruction will be eligible to participate to the study. Units will be invited to participate in the study through the Association of Breast Surgery (ABS), the Mammary Fold breast trainees' group (MF), the Association of Surgeons in Training (ASiT), the Reconstructive Surgery Trials Network (RSTN), the British Association of Plastic Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons (BAPRAS) and the national research collaborative network (NRCN).

## **Participants**

*Inclusion criteria*: All women over the age of 18 who are undergoing a mastectomy with or without immediate reconstruction for pre-invasive or invasive breast cancer with curative intent.

Exclusion criteria: Women undergoing mastectomy for risk-reduction only; however women who are undergoing a contralateral risk-reducing mastectomy at the same time as a therapeutic mastectomy for invasive or pre-invasive disease may be included. Patients undergoing partial mastectomy including lumpectomy or wide local excision with volume replacement techniques (latissimus dorsi mini flaps; lateral intercostal perforator (LICAP) or thoracodorsal artery perforator (TDAP) flaps) or therapeutic mammoplasty and patients with distant metastatic disease will be excluded.

#### **Outcome measures**

The primary outcome measure will be time in days from last definitive cancer surgery to the first adjuvant treatment. The last definitive cancer surgery will most commonly be the index mastectomy procedure, but may include completion axillary clearance or re-excision of

margins as determined on review of the surgical pathology by the multidisciplinary team (MDT). Unplanned surgery such as implant explantation, debridement of skin necrosis, washout of haematoma or return to theatre for flap failure constitute complications and will not be classified as last definitive surgery for the purposes of this study. First adjuvant therapy will be defined as the first dose of chemotherapy or the first fraction of radiotherapy. Time to endocrine therapy will not be included. This definition is based on the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidance for early and locally advanced breast cancer [CG80] which states that adjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy should be started 'as soon as clinically possible [and] within 31 days of completion of surgery in patients with early breast cancer having these treatments'[4]. In patients for whom more than one modality of adjuvant treatment is recommended, only the start date for the first adjuvant therapy will be recorded. Secondary outcomes are listed in table 1.

**Table 1: Secondary outcome measures** 

Outcome measure	Definition	
Post-operative	Any post-operative complication occurring before the 1 <sup>st</sup> adjuvant treatment OR	
complications	within 30 days of surgery for patients not requiring adjuvant chemo or radiotherapy.	
	To be classified by the Clavien-Dindo classification of complications as applied to breast surgery[46] with specific reference to:	
	Mastectomy and breast reconstruction specific complications: Seroma; haematoma; infection; mastectomy skin flap necrosis; nipple necrosis; wound dehiscence; implant loss; donor site skin necrosis; flap salvage; partial and full flap necrosis/failure.	
	Systemic complications: Deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, myocardial infarction; lower respiratory tract infection; blood transfusion; unplanned admission to high dependency or intensive therapy units; urinary tract infection.	
Re-admission to hospital	Any re-admission to hospital following discharge home after mastectomy+/- immediate breast reconstruction surgery directly related to the procedure with either local or systemic complications in the time prior to the delivery of the first adjuvant treatment OR within 30 days of surgery in those not requiring chemo or radiotherapy.	
Unplanned re-	Any unplanned re-operation or return to the operating theatre prior to the delivery of	
operation/return to	the first adjuvant therapy OR in the 30 days following surgery to deal with any	
theatre	complication of the mastectomy or reconstruction.	
	Any planned return to theatre for additional oncological surgery, such as completion axillary clearance, as decided by the multi-disciplinary team on review of surgical pathology will NOT be included in this category.	
Use of adjuvant therapy	Number (proportion) of patients undergoing mastectomy +/- immediate breast reconstruction who require adjuvant	
	i. Chemotherapy ii. Biological therapy	

	iii. Radiotherapy		
Omission, modification	Number (proportion) of patients undergoing mastectomy +/- immediate breast		
or delay of adjuvant	reconstruction whose planned adjuvant chemotherapy/biological therapy or		
therapy	radiotherapy is		
	i. Omitted (not given, despite MDT recommendation)		
	ii. Modified (dose/regimen changed from planned/standard treatment)		
	iii. Delayed (not given at time scheduled following oncology		
	appointment)		
	as a result of a post-operative complication		
Long-term oncological	Number (proportion) of patients with and without a delay or omission of planned		
outcomes	adjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy who at 5 and 10 years following their initial		
	surgery experience		
	i. Locoregional recurrence, defined as a histologically confirmed breast		
	cancer recurrence within the ipsilateral breast or axilla		
	ii. Distant metastasis, defined as radiologically or histologically		
	confirmed distant metastatic breast cancer		
	iii. Breast cancer specific-death, defined as death directly attributed to		
	the disease		

#### Data collection

It is expected that participating centres will recruit consecutive patients into the audit.

Patients undergoing mastectomy with or without immediate reconstruction will be identified prospectively from clinics, multidisciplinary team (MDT) meetings and theatre lists.

Simple demographic, co-morbidity, operative and oncology data will be collected on all patients. Decisions regarding the recommendation for adjuvant treatment will be identified from the post-operative MDT meeting.

For patients in whom adjuvant therapy is recommended at the post-operative MDT meeting, data will be collected on whether or not the offer was accepted. In those patients electing to receive adjuvant therapy, date of the first treatment will be collected.

Data regarding complications, re-admission and re-operation will be collected prospectively until the patient commences adjuvant therapy or a decision is made that they will not undergo adjuvant therapy due to the complications they have experienced. Preliminary work suggests that, despite NICE guidelines, adjuvant therapy is unlikely to commence earlier than six weeks post-operatively. For patients not requiring or electing not to receive adjuvant therapy, therefore, data collection will continue for six weeks following their last definitive cancer surgery either by clinical or note review in those not attending for follow-up. The

required data fields are shown in Table 2 and definitions and categorisation of complications summarised in Table 3.

Oncological outcomes (locoregional recurrence, distant metastasis and breast cancer specific death) will be evaluated at five and ten years following initial surgery by searching the UK Cancer Registry database. This phase of the study will be undertaken centrally by the iBRA-2 study team subject to appropriate ethical approval.

Table 2 – Data fields for the iBRA-2 Study

Field	Options (definitions)	
Age	Age at diagnosis in years	
Height	In metres	
Weight	In kilograms	
Body mass index	Actual BMI will be collected and categorised as -Underweight (<18.5 kg/m²)/Normal weight (18.5-24.9 kg/m²)/Overweight (25-29.9 kg/m²)/Obese (30-34.9 kg/m²)/Severely obese (35-39.9 kg/m²)  Morbid obesity (>40 kg/m²)	
Smoking status	Current smoker/Ex-smoker >6 weeks/Non-smoker	
Diabetic	Yes/No	
Other co-morbidities	Ischaemic heart disease (yes/no); Current steroid therapy (yes/no); Other immunosuppressive therapy (yes/no); Connective tissue disease (yes/no); Other co-morbidity (yes/no) with details	
Prior and neoadjuvant treatments		
Previous radiotherapy to ipsilateral breast	Yes/No	
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy within	Yes/No	
4-6 weeks of surgery		
Neoadjuvant endocrine therapy	Yes/No	
Neoadjuvant radiotherapy	Yes/No	
Previous surgery to ipsilateral	Wide local excision (yes/no, if yes, date MM/YY);	
breast	Therapeutic mammaplasty (yes/no, if yes, date MM/YY);	
	Breast reduction (yes/no, if yes, date MM/YY);	
	Breast augmentation (yes/no, if yes, date MM/YY);	
	Other (yes/no, if yes, date MM/YY): State procedure	
Previous surgery to ipsilateral axilla	Sentinel node biopsy with wide local excision (yes/no, if yes, date MM/YY); Stand-alone sentinel node biopsy (yes/no, if yes, date MM/YY); Axillary sample (yes/no, if yes, date MM/YY); Axillary clearance (yes/no, if yes, date MM/YY)	
Section 2 - Operative data		
Date of mastectomy +/-	Day/month/year	
reconstruction		
ASA grade	1 – Normal healthy individual	
	2 – Mild systemic disease that does not limit activities	
	3 – Severe systemic disease that limits activities but is not incapacitating	
	4 – Incapacitating systemic disease which is constantly life threatening	
Antibiotic use	Prophylactic (<24 hours)/1-5 days/extended course (5+days)/until drains removed/Other	
Type of skin prep used at time of	lodine/Chlorhexidine/2% chlorprep/Other	
surgery		

Procedure details collected for RIG	GHT and LEFT breasts separately.
Procedure performed	None
·	Mastectomy only
	Skin-sparing (nipple sacrificing) mastectomy and immediate breast
	reconstruction
	Nipple-sparing mastectomy and immediate breast reconstruction
	Skin reducing (Wise pattern) mastectomy and immediate breast
	reconstruction
	Wide local excision
	Reduction/mastopexy
	Augmentation
If IBR, type of reconstruction	Implant-based/Pedicled flap/Free flap/Other
performed	implant-based/Pedicled hap/Free hap/Other
If patient undergoing implant recor	nstruction
Implant reconstruction – planned	One-stage reconstruction – insertion of permanent implant at initial surgery
procedure	Two-stage reconstruction – insertion of a tissue expander to be followed by
	insertion of a definitive implant
	Immediate-delayed reconstruction – insertion of a temporary expander in
	patients for whom radiotherapy is anticipated with a plan to perform a
	definitive autologous (tissue-based) reconstruction after radiotherapy is
	complete.
Mode of lower pole coverage	None/Fascial or complete submuscular coverage/Dermal sling/Biological
wide of lower pole coverage	mesh (e.g. Strattice)/Synthetic mesh (e.g. TiLOOP)/Pre-pectoral implant
	with total ADM coverage e.g. BRAXON/Pre-pectoral implant with dermal
	sling/ADM
Details of product for lower pole	Stattice/SurgiMend/Native/BioDesign/Veritas/SERI/TiLOOP/TIGR/Other
coverage	
Prosthesis details	Fixed volume implant (size in ccs)
	Temporary expander (volume of saline inserted in mls)
	Combined implant e.g. Beckers (silicone component (g), size when fully
	expanded, volume of saline inserted in mls)
	Polyurethene implant (yes/no)
If patient undergoing flap based re-	construction
Type of pedicled flap performed	Autologous LD flap (no implant)/LD with implant/Pedicled TRAM/Other
If LD with implant, prosthesis	Fixed volume implant (size in ccs)
details	Temporary expander (volume of saline inserted in mls)
details	Combined implant e.g. Beckers (silicone component (g), size when fully
	expanded, volume of saline inserted in mls)
T (( 0 )	Polyurethene implant (yes/no)
Type of free flap performed	Free TRAM/DIEP/SIEA/SGAP/IGAP/TUG/Other
Indication for surgery	Malignancy (invasive/DCIS) – first operation/Malignancy (invasive/DCIS) -
	following failed BCS (WLE/TM)/Risk reduction/Symmetrisation
If failed BCS (positive margins)	Day/month/year
date of initial surgery	
Grade of primary operating surgeon	Consultant/SAS doctor/Senior trainee (ST8+ or OPF)/ST6-7/ST5 or below
Mastectomy weight	Grams
Axillary surgery	None/Sentinel node biopsy/Axillary sample/Axillary clearance/Previous
, , ,	axillary staging
Section 3 – Post-operative once	
	T breasts will be collected separately.
For patients having neoadjuvant	Yes/No
chemotherapy, complete	
pathological response?	
Invasive status	Invasive/DCIS
invasive status	
Grade of invasive disease/DCIS	1 – Low grade (DCIS) or well-differentiated (invasive)
	1 – Low grade (DCIS) or well-differentiated (invasive) 2 – Intermediate grade (DCIS) or moderately differentiated (invasive)

Number of tumours	Single tumour or Multifocal/centric tumours	
Size of invasive tumour	Mm (largest if >1 ipsilateral tumour)	
Total size of lesion including DCIS	In pathological specimen (mm)	
Total size of lesion including Dolo	On pre-treatment diagnostic imaging (if neoadjuvant therapy) (mm)	
Receptor status	ER – positive/negative/not known	
	HER-2 – positive/negative/not known	
	Ki67 – high/low/not known	
Lymphovascular invasion	Yes/No/Not known	
Lymph node involvement	Number of involved lymph nodes (macro-metastases only)	
	Total number of lymph nodes in pathological specimen	
Plan from the therapeutic (post-op	-	
Date of post-operative MDT	Day/month/year	
Further oncological surgery required	No/Completion axillary clearance/Re-excision of margins/Other	
Surgery, planned before adjuvant therapy	Yes with date (day/month/year)	
Treatments recommended		
Chemotherapy	Recommended by MDT/Not recommended by MDT/For discussion with	
	patient/For Oncotype DX testing/Chemotherapy already received	
Biological therapy (e.g Herceptin)	Recommended by MDT/Not recommended by MDT	
Radiotherapy to chest wall	Recommended by MDT/Not recommended by MDT/For discussion with patient/Already received	
If radiotherapy recommended	With boost (yes/no)/To supraclavicular fossa (yes/no)/To axilla (yes/no)	
Endocrine therapy	Recommended by MDT/Not recommended by MDT	
Section 4 – Complication data	,	
-	occur BEFORE the start of adjuvant therapy OR in the first six weeks	
following surgery in patients not requ		
Post-operative complication	Yes/No	
experienced		
If yes – details of surgical	Seroma/haematoma/infection/mastectomy skin flap necrosis/nipple	
complications experienced (see	necrosis/wound dehiscence/implant loss/donor site skin necrosis/impaired	
table 3 for definitions)	flap perfusion requiring return to theatre for exploration or revision of anastomosis (flap salvage)/flap necrosis/other complication	
In hospital complications including	Yes/No	
systemic complications		
If yes, complication(s) experienced	Deep vein thrombosis/pulmonary embolism/myocardial infarction/lower	
(see table 3 for definitions)	respiratory tract infection/blood transfusion/ unplanned admission to	
	intensive care/high dependency unit/urinary tract infection/surgical	
B 1 : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	complication/other complication	
Readmission to hospital	Yes/No If yes – date of readmission (day/month/year) Reason for readmission	
Poturn to theatre/re eneration	Yes/No	
Return to theatre/re-operation	If yes – date of re-operation (day/month/year); Reason for re-operation	
Section 5 - Adjuvant therapy d		
	n LAST CANCER SURGERY to FIRST ADJUVANT TREATMENT i.e. first	
dose of chemotherapy or first fraction		
Date of last definitive cancer	Day/month/year	
surgery	25,	
Chemotherapy	1	
Chemotherapy – if offered	Patient accepts/Patient declines	
Oncotype DX risk stratification	High risk/Intermediate risk/Low risk	
Chemotherapy recommended	Yes/No	
based on Oncotype DX score		
Actual chemotherapy start date	Day/Month/Year	
Was planned treatment modified,	Not affected/Delayed/Modified/Omitted completely/Details	
delayed or omitted (not given) due		
to a post-operative complication?		

Radiotherapy	
Radiotherapy - if offered	Patient accepts/Patient declines
Actual radiotherapy start date	Day/Month/Year
Was planned treatment modified,	Not affected/Delayed/Modified/Omitted completely/Details
delayed or omitted (not given) due	
to a post-operative complication?	
All adjuvant therapies	
Did any factors impact on time to	Yes/No/Unsure
delivery of adjuvant therapy?	
If yes, please tick any factors that	i. Post-operative complication (Yes/No)
apply	ii. Capacity issue – lack of medical oncology appointments (Yes/No)
	iii. Capacity issue – lack of clinical oncology (RT) appointments (Yes/No)
	iv. Capacity issue - lack of radiotherapy planning slots (Yes/No)
	v. Capacity issue – lack of chemotherapy delivery slots (Yes/No)
	vi. Capacity issue – lack of radiotherapy delivery slots (Yes/No)
	vii. Waiting for staging CT scan or results (Yes/No)
	viii. Waiting for staging bone scan or results (Yes/No)
	ix. Waiting for staging PET scan or results (Yes/No)
	x. Waiting for ECHO or results (Yes/No)
	xi. Awaiting Oncotype DX results (Yes/No)
	xii. Administrative delay – problems with booking appointments (Yes/No)
	xiii. Patient choice (Yes/No)
	xiv. Patient-related issue e.g. needing physio pre radiotherapy (Yes/No)
	xv. Other (Yes/No) – If yes, please give details

ADM – acellular dermal matrix; ASA – American society of Anesthesiology; BCS – breast conserving surgery; CT – computerised tomography scan; DCIS – ductal carcinoma in situ; DIEP – deep inferior epigastric perforator flap; ECHO – echocardiogram; ER – oestrogen receptor; HDU – high dependency unit; IBR – immediate breast reconstruction; IGAP – inferior gluteal artery perforator flap; LD – latissimus dorsi; ITU – intensive therapy unit; MDT – multidisciplinary team; OPF – oncoplastic fellow; PET – positron emission tomography scan; RT – radiotherapy; SAS – Staff, Associate Specialist and Speciality Doctors; SGAP – superior gluteal artery perforator flap; SIEA – superficial inferior epigastric artery perforator flap; TM – therapeutic mammaplasty; TRAM – transverse rectus abdominus myocutaneous flap; TUG – transverse upper gracilis flap; WLE – wide local excision

Table 3 – Definitions of complications

<b>Surgical Complications</b>	S	
Any complication occurr	ing as a direct result of the mastectomy	+/- breast reconstruction procedure
Complication	Definition	Classification/details
Seroma	A symptomatic collection of fluid in	Requiring 1-2 aspirations
	the mastectomy or donor site or	Requiring 3 or more aspirations
	around the reconstructed breast	
	following surgery requiring aspiration	
Haematoma	A collection of blood in the	Minor – managed conservatively
	mastectomy site/reconstructed	Major 1 – requiring aspiration in clinic (no GA)
	breast/donor site	Major 2 – requiring surgical evacuation (under
		GA)
Infection	A hot, red swollen	Minor – requiring oral antibiotics
	wound/reconstructed breast/donor	Major 1 – requiring admission for IV antibiotics
	site associated with one of the	Major 2 – requiring surgical drainage or
	following; a temperature, pus at the	debridement (under GA)
	wound site, a raised white cell count;	
	a positive wound culture.	
Mastectomy skin flap	Any area of skin loss on the	Minor – managed conservatively with dressings
necrosis	mastectomy flaps	Major 1 – requiring debridement in clinic (no
		GA)
		Major 2 – requiring surgical debridement (under
		GA)
Nipple necrosis	Any area of necrosis of the nipple	Minor – managed conservatively with dressings

	areolar complex	Major 1 – requiring surgical debridement
		Major 2 – complete nipple loss
Wound dehiscence	Separation of the skin edges at the wound site (breast or donor site)	Minor – managed conservatively Major – requiring return to theatre for resuturing
Implant loss	The unplanned and unexpected extirpation or loss of the implant including removal as a result of infection, seroma, haematoma or skin necrosis.	Yes/No
Donor site skin necrosis	any area of skin loss at the donor site (abdomen, back, buttock or thigh)	Minor – managed conservatively with dressings Major 1 – requiring debridement in clinic (no GA) Major 2 – requiring surgical debridement (under GA)
Impaired flap	concerns regarding perfusion of the	Yes/No
perfusion requiring return to theatre for exploration/revision	flap requiring a return to theatre for exploration +/- revision of the anastomosis	
of anastomosis	A	Description of the second of t
Flap necrosis	Any necrosis of the free/pedicled tissue flap used to reconstruct the breast	Partial flap necrosis requiring surgical debridement Total flap necrosis requiring removal of flap
Other complication	With details	Yes/No
In hospital complication		100/10
		oital for their index mastectomy +/- reconstruction
Complication	Definition	Classification/details
Deep vein	A radiologically confirmed clot in the	Yes/No
thrombosis	vessels of the lower limb treated with anticoagulation	
Pulmonary embolism	A radiologically (CTPA or V/Q scan) confirmed clot in the lung treated with anticoagulation	Yes/No
Myocardial infarction	As confirmed by a rise in cardiac markers +/- ECG changes	Yes/No
Lower respiratory tract infection	A lower respiratory tract infection diagnosed clinically by the presence of clinical signs or radiologically and treated with oral or intravenous antibiotics (Yes/No)	Yes/No
Blood transfusion	Bleeding requiring blood transfusion following mastectomy +/- reconstructive surgery	Yes/No
Unplanned admission to intensive care/high dependency unit	Any unplanned admission to HDU/ITU following mastectomy +/- reconstructive surgery	Yes/No
Urinary tract infection	A microbiologically confirmed urinary tract infection	Yes/No
Surgical complication	As above	Yes/No
Other complication	Details	Yes/No
Readmission and re-op	peration	
Complication	Definition	Classification/details

Readmission	Any re-admission to hospital	Yes/No
	following discharge home prior to	If yes – date of readmission (day/month/year)
	the delivery of the first adjuvant	Reason for readmission
	therapy OR in the 30 days following	
	surgery in those not requiring chemo	
	or radiotherapy directly related to the	
	procedure with either local or	
	systemic complications.	
Re-operation	Any return to the operating theatre	Yes/No
	prior to the delivery of the first	If yes – date of re-operation (day/month/year);
	adjuvant therapy OR in the 30 days	Reason for re-operation
	following surgery to deal with any	
	complication of the mastectomy or	
	reconstruction.	

 $\label{eq:computerised} \begin{tabular}{l} CTPA-computerised tomography pulmonary angiography; ECG-electrocardiogram; GA-general anaesthetic; \\ HDU-high dependency unit; ITU-intensive therapy unit; IV-intravenous; V/Q-ventilation perfusion scan \\ \begin{tabular}{l} CTPA-electrocardiogram; GA-general anaesthetic; \\ \begin{tabular}{l} CTPA-electrocardiogram; CA-general anaesthetic; \\ \be$ 

Data will be recorded in an anonymised format using a unique alphanumeric study identification number on a secure web-based database (REDCap) designed by Vanderbilt University[47-49] (<a href="http://www.projectredcap.org/">http://www.projectredcap.org/</a>). Advanced data logic will be used such that only data fields relevant to the procedure and indication selected will be displayed in later data collection forms. It is anticipated this will reduce the burden of participation for collaborators and optimise the quality of data collected during the study.

The data forms will be extensively trialled in a three centre pilot prior to national roll-out of the study. This will validate the logic used; ensure the forms are complete and user-friendly and allow for any errors to be corrected prior to main study initiation.

Participating centres will be required to maintain and securely store an Excel spreadsheet linking study ID numbers with patient NHS numbers to allow long-term oncological outcomes to be evaluated at five and ten years post-operatively

#### Data validation and management

For quality assurance purposes, the consultant principal investigator at selected sites will be asked to identify an independent person to validate a proportion of the submitted data. These cases will be selected at random. Overall, approximately 5% of the datasets will be independently validated. The independent assessors will also be asked to examine theatre

logbooks, operating diaries and Trust computer systems to check case ascertainment. If concordance between the number of cases submitted on REDcap and those identified independently is <90%, the Unit's data will be excluded from the analysis. This is consistent with the quality assurance procedure used in other large collaborative audit projects[50].

Data collection will occur in accordance with Caldicott II principles (<a href="http://systems.hscic.gov.uk/infogov/caldicott/caldresources">http://systems.hscic.gov.uk/infogov/caldicott/caldresources</a>). Data for each patient will be anonymised using a unique alphanumeric study identification number. Collaborators will be ask to store an Excel spreadsheet linking study ID to NHS number on a secure server locally to ensure patients are appropriately followed-up during the study. No patient identifiable data will be recorded centrally for the purpose of the audit.

Following the completion of data collection, appropriate ethical approvals will be obtained to allow the spreadsheets linking study ID to NHS number to be collated centrally. Only centres with ethical approval will be permitted to contribute to this phase of the study. The data will be stored securely in a central location until five years following study completion. Oncological outcomes will be then be determined using a UK Cancer Registry search. This search will be repeated to determine 10 year oncological outcomes.

Study data will be collected and managed using REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted at the University of Oxford[47]. REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) is a secure, web-based application designed to support data capture for research studies, providing 1) an intuitive interface for validated data entry; 2) audit trails for tracking data manipulation and export procedures; 3) automated export procedures for seamless data downloads to common statistical packages; and 4) procedures for importing data from external sources.

## **Anticipated recruitment**

The recent MASDA (MAStectomy Decisions Audit) Study (<a href="http://wmresearch.org.uk/">http://wmresearch.org.uk/</a>) collected data on 1700 mastectomies +/- IBR from 68 centres over a three month period. It

is therefore anticipated that given its increased complexity, the iBRA-2 study will recruit approximately 3000 patients over a six month period. Assuming an IBR rate of 21%[51, 52], this should include approximately 630 reconstructions comprising approximately 220 implant-only reconstructions; 170 autologous pedicled flaps; 130 pedicled flaps with implants and 90 free flaps based on figures from the NMBRA[51].

## Study timelines

Data collection and analysis will be undertaken using the following time line.

- May-June 2016 Three centre pilot study, refining of data collection forms
- March-June 2016 Registration of interest from breast and plastic surgical units.
   Local audit approvals obtained. Participating centres will be required to have registered the study and obtained local approvals prior to the main study start date of 1<sup>st</sup> July 2016
- 1<sup>st</sup> July 31<sup>st</sup> December 2016 Main study patient recruitment patients undergoing mastectomy +/- immediate breast reconstruction with operation dates between 1<sup>st</sup> July and 31<sup>st</sup> December 2016 are eligible for inclusion in the study.
- 28<sup>th</sup> February 2017 deadline for data submission via REDCap
- 1<sup>st</sup> May 2017 Data validation complete
- 30<sup>th</sup> June 2017 Initial data analysis completed
- July 2017 Ethical approval to store patient NHS numbers to evaluate oncological outcomes
- Early 2021 Assessment of 5 year oncological outcomes
- Early 2027 Assessment of 10 year oncological outcomes

#### Statistical analysis

The study report will be prepared according to the STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) reporting guidelines for observational studies[53]. All data analysis will occur centrally by the iBRA-2 study team with support from statisticians and methodologists in the RCS Surgical Trials Centre and the University of Liverpool Clinical Trials Research Centre.

All outcomes will be summarized using descriptive statistics overall and split by group (mastectomy +/- IBR). Dichotomous, categorical and short ordinal outcomes will be summarized using counts and percentages. Time to adjuvant therapy will be summarized using Kaplan-Meier curves. Continuous and long ordinal outcomes will be summarized by the mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum (medians and interquartile ranges will be reported for skewed data).

Formal statistical testing for each outcome between groups (mastectomy +/- IBR) will be approached as follows: Rates of post-operative complications including readmission and reoperation; requirement for adjuvant therapy and delay or omission of planned adjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy will be analysed using a chi-squared test and the effect estimate will be reported in terms of the relative risk and 95% confidence interval. Time to the delivery of adjuvant therapy will be analysed using a log rank test. Delays to the delivery of adjuvant therapy will be analysed, controlling for risk factors of interest, using logistic regression model. A p-value of 0.05 or less will be used to declare statistical significance for all analyses. Rather than adjust for multiplicity, relevant results from other studies already reported in the literature will be taken into account in the interpretation of results.

Results for each participating Trust will be summarised and fed back to individual units to allow comparison with national averages and ranges.

The statistical analysis of the five and ten year oncological outcomes will be planned following completion of the initial phase of the study.

# **DISCUSSION**

Immediate breast reconstruction may improve psychosocial outcomes for women requiring a mastectomy for breast cancer, but more complex surgery may also result in complications that could delay the delivery of important adjuvant treatments and subsequently impact longterm oncological outcomes. As oncological safety is the central tenant of all oncoplastic surgery, the practice of IBR if adjuvant therapy is anticipated is an area of considerable controversy[54] and one for which high-quality evidence is currently lacking. The iBRA-2 study will generate much needed novel data regarding the impact of IBR on the time to delivery of adjuvant therapy compared with mastectomy alone. It will provide valuable information that may help patients and professionals make more informed decisions about the type and timing of their reconstructive surgery in the future. It will provide a large, robust prospective observational data set that will allow predictors for complications to be explored and generate hypotheses that will lead to further work in this area. The study will also generate valuable contemporaneous data relating to the practice of post-mastectomy radiotherapy (PMRT) following the emergence of data to suggest significant survival benefit in a group of women with one to three positive lymph nodes who would not traditionally have been offered treatment[55]. The proposed assessment of loco-regional recurrence, distant metastases and breast cancer specific survival at five and 10 years following surgery will provide much needed high-quality data to determine the impact of delays to adjuvant therapy on key oncological outcomes which will support decision-making and practice. Finally, the study will provide a further data cycle following the National Mastectomy and Breast Reconstruction Audit[5, 78-80] to demonstrate whether surgical outcomes for women undergoing mastectomy and IBR have improved. If they have not, this will focus the attention of breast and plastic surgeons on relevant areas and highlight the need for future research.

It is anticipated that the iBRA-2 study will strengthen the collaborative network created by the iBRA (implant-breast reconstruction evaluation) study through the successful delivery of a second large-scale study in breast and reconstructive surgery. The study will reinforce the successful collaborative links between the breast and plastic surgical communities and

 create additional research capacity by broadening the network to include oncologists. The engagement and involvement of a wider community of trainees will lead to a new generation of consultants who understand the importance of research and audit, who can and will participate in high-quality collaborative studies resulting in more and better research. We believe that this will ultimately improve outcomes for patients.

The potential challenges to the success of this project require consideration. The proposed data set is complex and there is the risk of incomplete data. To address this, we will extensively pilot the data collection tools prior to study commencement. This will allow any redundant fields to be removed and any ambiguities clarified to optimize data quality. Furthermore, the REDCap data management system [47] will be used for data collection. This system has the functionality to include complex logic such that only fields relevant to the procedure or indication initially entered are displayed in subsequent forms. It is anticipated that this will minimize the burden of data collection for local participants. Defining a 'delay' to adjuvant treatment is also a potential challenge as different centres may record their 'decision to treat' at different points in the patient's post-operative recovery, especially if post-operative complications are experienced. For this reason, we will collect 'time to adjuvant therapy' in the study. This is defined as the time (in days) from the last cancer surgery to the first dose of chemotherapy or fraction of radiotherapy. It is anticipated that this will allow any potential local biases to be addressed and comparable data to be obtained so that the true impact of immediate breast reconstruction on time to adjuvant therapy can be determined.

## **ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

The proposed study will not affect clinical care and compares outcomes to published clinical standards. Research ethics approval is not required and this has been confirmed by the Health Research Authority (HRA) on-line decision tool (<a href="http://www.hra-decisiontools.org.uk/research/">http://www.hra-decisiontools.org.uk/research/</a>) and discussion with University of Bristol. A study lead will be identified at each participating centre. If the unit lead is a trainee, the named supervising consultant will act as the principal investigator for the unit for registration purposes. The

study lead will be required to register the audit and obtain local audit approvals for study participation prior to commencing patient recruitment. A copy of the approval will be also forwarded to the iBRA-2 study team. Patient consent is not required as no patient identifiable data is being recorded and there is no risk to patients.

Oncological outcomes will be determined by searching the UK Cancer Registry database at five and ten years post-operatively. Following completion of the audit phase of the study, proportionate ethical approval will be sought to collect the locally maintained spreadsheets linking study ID number to patient NHS numbers from participating centres. These data will be stored securely on a University of Bristol server until five years at which point the first search will be performed. Only centres will appropriate ethical approvals will be able to contribute their data to this phase of the study.

The protocol will be disseminated via the collaborative network including Mammary Fold Breast Trainees' Group, the Reconstructive Surgery Trials Network (RSTN), the Association of Surgeons in Training (ASiT) and the National Research Collaborative (NRC) as well as the professional associations the Association of Breast Surgery (ABS) and the British Association of Plastic Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons (BAPRAS). The protocol and data collection sheets will be available on line (<a href="https://www.ibrastudy.com">www.ibrastudy.com</a>). Individual centres will have access to their own data and the length of time from mastectomy to start of adjuvant therapy for each individual centre will be calculated and compared with the national average and quality standards determined by NICE. Data will be fedback to centres at the end of the audit. Overall audit results and results from individual centres will be fedback to ABS and BAPRAS.

Collective data will be analysed and the results of the study presented at appropriate scientific meetings and published in peer-reviewed journals. The results can then be used to inform patients and surgeons and aid decision-making for women considering breast reconstruction.

#### **Authors' contributions**

RD, ROC and TR contributed to the design and writing of the protocol, EC and PRW contributed to the study design and statistical analysis; JMB, NB, JS, AH, COB and MG contributed to study design and advised on methodology; CH and SP contributed to the conception, design, writing and editing of the protocol. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## **Ethical approval**

Not required for the main study.

Proportionate ethical approval will be sought to evaluate long-term oncological outcomes by searching the UK Cancer Registry database.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

## **Funding**

This work received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Cancer Research UK: http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/breast-cancer. Accessed 15/8/16.
- Matala CM, McIntosh SA, Purushotham AD: Immediate breast reconstruction after mastectomy for cancer. Br J Surg 2000, 87:1455-1472.
- 3. Harcourt D, Rumsey N: Psychological aspects of breast reconstruction: a review of the literature. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 2001, **35**(4):477-487.

- National Institute of Clinical Excellence: Breast cancer (early & locally advanced):
   diagnosis and treatment, vol. Clinical guidelines CG80; 2009.
- Jeevan R, Cromwell D, Browne J, van der Meulen J, Pereira J, Caddy C, Sheppard
   C, Greenaway K, Napper R, Dean S: National Mastectomy and Breast
   Reconstruction Audit 2010. Third Annual Report, vol. IC28050110; 2010.
- Farolfi A, Scarpi E, Rocca A, Mangia A, Biglia N, Gianni L, Tienghi A, Valerio MR, Gasparini G, Amaducci L et al: Time to initiation of adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with rapidly proliferating early breast cancer. European Journal of Cancer 2015, 51(14):1874-1881.
- Lohrisch C, Paltiel C, Gelmon K, Speers C, Taylor S, Barnett J, Olivotto IA: Impact
  on Survival of Time From Definitive Surgery to Initiation of Adjuvant
  Chemotherapy for Early-Stage Breast Cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2006,
  24(30):4888-4894.
- 8. Yu KD, Huang S, Zhang JX, Liu GY, Shao ZM: Association between delayed initiation of adjuvant CMF or anthracycline-based chemotherapy and survival in breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer* 2013, 13:240.
- Huang J, Barbera L, Brouwers M, Browman G, Mackillop WJ: Does delay in starting treatment affect the outcomes of radiotherapy? A systematic review. Journal of clinical oncology: official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2003, 21(3):555-563.
- Mikeljevic JS, Haward R, Johnston C, Crellin A, Dodwell D, Jones A, Pisani P, Forman D: Trends in postoperative radiotherapy delay and the effect on survival in breast cancer patients treated with conservation surgery. *British journal of cancer* 2004, 90(7):1343-1348.
- 11. Benchalal M, Le Prise E, de Lafontan B, Berton-Rigaud D, Belkacemi Y, Romestaing P, Peignaux K, Courdi A, Monnier A, Montcuquet P et al: Influence of the time between surgery and radiotherapy on local recurrence in patients with lymph

- node-positive, early-stage, invasive breast carcinoma undergoing breast-conserving surgery: results of the French Adjuvant Study Group. *Cancer* 2005, **104**(2):240-250.
- Jobsen JJ, van der Palen J, Ong F, Meerwaldt JH: Timing of radiotherapy and survival benefit in breast cancer. Breast cancer research and treatment 2006, 99(3):289-294.
- 13. Vujovic O, Yu E, Cherian A, Dar AR, Stitt L, Perera F: Time interval from breast-conserving surgery to breast irradiation in early stage node-negative breast cancer: 17-year follow-up results and patterns of recurrence. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 2015, **91**(2):319-324.
- Eck MDL, Perdikis MG, Rawal MB, Bagaria MS, McLaughlin MSA: Incremental Risk
   Associated with Contralateral Prophylactic Mastectomy and the Effect on
   Adjuvant Therapy. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 21(10):3297-3303.
- 15. Lee J, Lee SK, Kim S, Koo MY, Choi M-Y, Bae SY, Cho DH, Kim J, Jung SP, Choe J-H et al: Does Immediate Breast Reconstruction after Mastectomy affect the Initiation of Adjuvant Chemotherapy? J Breast Cancer 2011, 14(4):322-327.
- Hultman CS, Daiza S: Skin-Sparing Mastectomy Flap Complications After Breast Reconstruction: Review of Incidence, Management, and Outcome. Annals of Plastic Surgery 2003, 50(3):249-255.
- 17. Rey P, Martinelli G, Petit JY, Youssef O, De Lorenzi F, Rietjens M, Garusi C, Giraldo A: Immediate Breast Reconstruction and High-Dose Chemotherapy. *Annals of Plastic Surgery* 2005, **55**(3):250-254.
- Crisera CA, Chang El, Da Lio AL, Festekjian JH, Mehrara BJ: Immediate Free Flap Reconstruction for Advanced-Stage Breast Cancer: Is It Safe? Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery 2011, 128(1):32-41.
- Nykiel M, Sayid Z, Wong R, Lee GK: Management of Mastectomy Skin Flap
   Necrosis in Autologous Breast Reconstruction. Annals of Plastic Surgery 2014,
   72:S31-S34.

- 20. Kahn J, Barrett S, Forte C, Stallard S, Weiler-Mithoff E, Doughty JC, Romics Jr L: Oncoplastic breast conservation does not lead to a delay in the commencement of adjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer patients. European Journal of Surgical Oncology (EJSO) 2013, 39(8):887-891.
- 21. Schaverien MV, Munnoch DA: Effect of neoadjuvant chemotherapy on outcomes of immediate free autologous breast reconstruction. European Journal of Surgical Oncology (EJSO) 2013, 39(5):430-436.
- 22. Kontos M, Lewis RS, Lüchtenborg M, Holmberg L, Hamed H: Does immediate breast reconstruction using free flaps lead to delay in the administration of adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer? European Journal of Surgical Oncology (EJSO) 2010, 36(8):745-749.
- 23. Barry PN, Riley EC, Pan J, Crew JB, Lee K, Jain D, Kruse B, Quillo AR, Rai S, Dragun AE: Delay of Adjuvant Chemotherapy After Elective Mastectomy and Immediate Reconstruction in Breast-conservation Candidates: A Matched-pair Analysis. American Journal of Clinical Oncology 2014, 37(6):575-579.
- 24. Wilson CR, Brown IM, Weiller-Mithoff E, George WD, Doughty JC: Immediate breast reconstruction does not lead to a delay in the delivery of adjuvant chemotherapy. European Journal of Surgical Oncology 2004, 30(6):624-627.
- 25. Allweis TM, Boisvert ME, Otero SE, Perry DJ, Dubin NH, Priebat DA: Immediate reconstruction after mastectomy for breast cancer does not prolong the time to starting adjuvant chemotherapy. American Journal of Surgery 2002, 183(3):218-221.
- 26. Shaikh I, Thomas H, Vidyadharan R, Verghis V, Iype V, Abraham S: Post Mastectomy Immediate Breast Reconstruction 13 Years Experience in a Single Centre. Indian J Surg Oncol 2010, 1(3):250-255.
- 27. Eriksen C, Frisell J, Wickman M, Lidbrink E, Krawiec K, Sandelin K: Immediate reconstruction with implants in women with invasive breast cancer does not

- affect oncological safety in a matched cohort study. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment 2011, **127**(2):439-446.
- van Mierlo DRJ, Lopez Penha TR, Schipper RJ, Martens MH, Serroyen J, Lobbes MBI, Heuts EM, Tuinder S, Smidt ML: No increase of local recurrence rate in breast cancer patients treated with skin-sparing mastectomy followed by immediate breast reconstruction. The Breast 2013, 22(6):1166-1170.
- 29. Vandergrift JL, Niland JC, Theriault RL, Edge SB, Wong Y-N, Loftus LS, Breslin TM, Hudis CA, Javid SH, Rugo HS et al: Time to Adjuvant Chemotherapy for Breast Cancer in National Comprehensive Cancer Network Institutions. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 2013, 105(2):104-112.
- 30. Prabhu R, Godette K, Carlson G, Losken A, Gabram S, Fasola C, O'Regan R, Zelnak A, Torres M: The Impact of Skin-Sparing Mastectomy With Immediate Reconstruction in Patients With Stage III Breast Cancer Treated With Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy and Postmastectomy Radiation. International Journal of Radiation Oncology\*Biology\*Physics 2012, 82(4):e587-e593.
- 31. Barber MD, Williams L, Anderson EDC, Neades GT, Raine C, Young O, Kulkarni D, Young I, Dixon JM: Outcome of the use of acellular-dermal matrix to assist implant-based breast reconstruction in a single centre. European Journal of Surgical Oncology (EJSO) 2015, 41(1):100-105.
- 32. Azzawi K, Ismail A, Earl H, Forouhi P, Matala CM: Influence of neoadjuvant chemotherapy on outcomes of immediate reconstruction. *Plastic* & *Reconstructive Surgery* 2010, **126**(1):1-11.
- 33. Zweifel-Schlatter MM, Darhouse MN, MRCS, MSc, Roblin MP, Ross MD, MD PMZ, Farhadi MJ: Immediate Microvascular Breast Reconstruction After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy: Complication Rates and Effect on Start of Adjuvant Treatment. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 17(11):2945-2950.

- 34. Alderman AK, Collins ED, Schott A, Hughes ME, Ottesen RA, Theriault RL, Wong Y-N, Weeks JC, Niland JC, Edge SB: The impact of breast reconstruction on the delivery of chemotherapy. Cancer 2010, 116(7):1791-1800.
- 35. Omranipour R, Bobin JY, Esouyeh M: Skin Sparing Mastectomy and Immediate

  Breast Reconstruction (SSMIR) for early breast cancer: eight years single
  institution experience. World Journal of Surgical Oncology 2008, 6:43.
- 36. Omranipour R, Lebaschi AH, Mohagheghi MA, Arab-Kheradmand A, Abasahl A: Outcomes of breast reconstruction with pedicled transverse rectus abdominis myocutaneous (TRAM) flap at Cancer Institute, a retrospective study of 10 years of experience. Acta Medica Iranica 2008, 46(3):218-224.
- 37. Salhab M, Sarakbi WA, Joseph A, Sheards S, Travers J, Mokbel K: **Skin-sparing** mastectomy and immediate breast reconstruction: patient satisfaction and clinical outcome. *Int J Clin Oncol* 2006, **11**(1):51-54.
- 38. Gouy S, Rouzier R, Missana MC, Atallah D, Youssef O, Barreau-Pouhaer L: Immediate reconstruction after neoadjuvant chemotherapy: effect on adjuvant treatment starting and survival. *Annals of Surgical Oncology* 2005, **12**(2):161-166.
- 39. Foster RD, Hansen SL, Esserman LJ, et al.: Safety of immediate transverse rectus abdominis myocutaneous breast reconstruction for patients with locally advanced disease. *Archives of Surgery* 2005, 140(2):196-200.
- 40. Xavier Harmeling J, Kouwenberg CA, Bijlard E, Burger KN, Jager A, Mureau MA: The effect of immediate breast reconstruction on the timing of adjuvant chemotherapy: a systematic review. *Breast cancer research and treatment* 2015, 153(2):241-251.
- 41. Bartlett D, Pinkney T, Futaba K, Whisker L, Dowswell G, Collaborative obotWMR:

  Trainee led research collaboratives: pioneers in the new research landscape.

  BMJ Careers 2012.
- 42. Bhangu A, Kolias AG, Pinkney T, Hall NJ, Fitzgerald JE: Surgical research collaboratives in the UK. *The Lancet* 2013, **382**(9898):1091-1092.

- 43. Dowswell G, Bartlett D, Futaba K, Whisker L, Pinkney T, on behalf of West Midlands Research Collaborative B, UK: **How to set up and manage a trainee-led research collaborative**. *BMC Medical Education* 2014, **14**(1):94.
- 44. Pinkney TD, Calvert M, Bartlett DC, Gheorghe A, Redman V, Dowswell G, Hawkins W, Mak T, Youssef H, Richardson C et al: Impact of wound edge protection devices on surgical site infection after laparotomy: multicentre randomised controlled trial (ROSSINI Trial). BMJ 2013, 347.
- 45. Potter S, Conroy E, Williamson P, Thrush S, Whisker LJ, Skillman JM, Barnes NLP, Cutress RI, Teasdale EM, Mills N et al: The iBRA (implant Breast Reconstruction evAluation) Study: Protocol for a prospective multicentre cohort study to inform the feasibility, design and conduct of a pragmatic randomised clinical trial comparing new techniques of implant-based breast reconstruction. . Pilot and Feasibility Studies 2016, 2(41).
- 46. Panhofer P, Ferenc V, Schütz M, Gleiss A, Dubsky P, Jakesz R, Gnant M, Fitzal F: Standardization of morbidity assessment in breast cancer surgery using the Clavien Dindo Classification. *International Journal of Surgery* 2014, **12**(4):334-339.
- 47. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG: Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support.

  Journal of Biomedical Informatics 2009, 42(2):377-381.
- 48. Obeid JS, McGraw CA, Minor BL, Conde JG, Pawluk R, Lin M, Wang J, Banks SR, Hemphill SA, Taylor R et al: Procurement of shared data instruments for Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap). Journal of Biomedical Informatics 2013, 46(2):259-265.
- 49. Boland MR, Rusanov A, So Y, Lopez-Jimenez C, Busacca L, Steinman RC, Bakken S, Bigger JT, Weng C: From expert-derived user needs to user-perceived ease of use and usefulness: A two-phase mixed-methods evaluation framework. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* in press.

- Vohra RS, Spreadborough P, Johnstone M, Marriott P, Bhangu A, Alderson D, Morton DG, Griffiths EA: Protocol for a multicentre, prospective, population-based cohort study of variation in practice of cholecystectomy and surgical outcomes (The CholeS study). BMJ Open 2015, 5(1).
- 51. Jeevan R, Cromwell DA, Browne JP, Caddy CM, Pereira J, Sheppard C, Greenaway K, van der Meulen JHP: Findings of a national comparative audit of mastectomy and breast reconstruction surgery in England. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive* & Aesthetic Surgery 2014, 67(10):1333-1344.
- 52. Jeevan R, Cromwell D, Brown J, Trivella M, Pereira J, Caddy C, Sheppard C, van der Meulen J: Regional variation in the use of immediate breast reconstruction after mastectomy for breast cancer in England. European Journal of Surgical Oncology 2010, 36:750-755.
- 53. Elm Ev, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP: Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *BMJ* 2007, 335(7624):806-808.
- 54. Duxbury PJ, Gandhi A, Kirwan CC, Jain Y, Harvey JR: Current attitudes to breast reconstruction surgery for women at risk of post-mastectomy radiotherapy: A survey of UK breast surgeons. *The Breast* 2015, **24**(4):502-512.
- 55. Early Breast Cancer Trialists Collaborative Group: Effect of radiotherapy after mastectomy and axillary surgery on 10-year recurrence and 20-year breast cancer mortality: meta-analysis of individual patient data for 8135 women in 22 randomised trials. *The Lancet*, 383(9935):2127-2135.