ABSTRACT

Introduction: The neighbourhood environment can assist the adoption and maintenance of an active lifestyle and affect the physical and mental well-being of older adults. The psychosocial and behavioural mechanisms through which the environment may affect physical and mental well-being are currently poorly understood.

Aim: This observational study aims to examine associations between the physical and social neighbourhood environments, physical activity, quality of life and depressive symptoms in Chinese Hong Kong older adults.

Methods and analyses: An observational study of the associations of measures of the physical and social neighbourhood environment, and psychosocial factors, with physical activity, quality of life and depressive symptoms in 900 Hong Kong older adults aged 65+ years is being conducted in 2012–2016. The study involves two assessments taken 6 months apart. Neighbourhood walkability and access to destinations are objectively measured using Geographic Information Systems and environmental audits. Demographics, socioeconomic status, walking for different purposes, perceived neighbourhood and home environments, psychosocial factors, health status, social networks, depressive symptoms and quality of life are being assessed using validated interviewer-administered self-report measures and medical records. Physical functionality is being assessed using the Short Physical Performance Battery. Physical activity and sedentary behaviours are also being objectively measured in approximately 45% of participants using accelerometers over a week. Physical activity, sedentary behaviours, quality of life and depressive symptoms are being assessed twice (6 months apart) to examine seasonality effects on behaviours and their associations with quality of life and depressive symptoms.

Ethics and dissemination: The study received ethical approval from the University of Hong Kong Human Research Ethics Committee for Non-Clinical Faculties (EA270211) and the Department of Health (Hong Kong SAR). Data are stored in a password-protected secure database for 10 years, accessible only to the named researchers. Findings will be submitted for publication in peer-reviewed journals.

INTRODUCTION

There is compelling evidence that engagement in regular moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity (PA) can lower the risk of all-cause mortality, a range of chronic diseases, dementia and depression, and contribute to independence and social well-being in older adults.1–4 Additionally, recent studies indicate that, in this age group, some health benefits may even occur from light-intensity activities5 including easy walking (<4.5 km/h) and reduction in sedentary activities.6 In general, the accrual of at least 30 min of low-to-moderate6,7 PA on 5 or more days of the week is deemed necessary for health benefits.8 PA prevalence studies indicate that a
large percentage of a general population of older adults in Western as well as Asian countries, including Hong Kong, does not meet these guidelines. It is thus important to examine the determinants of PA participation and sedentary behaviour (SB) specific to older adults so that age-appropriate interventions can be designed.

In recognition that environment can impact on PA levels and SB, over the past 15 years researchers have gathered substantial supporting evidence on the influence of the neighbourhood environment on adults’ PA and SB. An increasingly growing number of studies have examined these associations in older adults (aged 65+ years). This area of research is particularly important because older adults are likely to be most influenced by the physical features of the environment since mobility, independence and social contacts can be greatly limited by a poorly designed community. The provision of enabling and supportive environments is one of the three main priorities outlined by the Madrid International Plan of Action on Aging on which interprovision of enabling and supportive environments is since mobility, independence and social contacts can be.

Studies on older adults have found significant positive relationships of traffic/pedestrian safety (crosswalks; curb cuts; safe footpaths), access to commercial services, and access to recreational facilities/places with PA, especially walking, and opposite relationships with SB. There is some evidence that these relationships might depend on other physical and social environmental characteristics and on individual attributes, such as physical health status, age, socioeconomic status, employment and attitude towards walking. The detection of interacting, multilevel effects of individual, social and environmental factors on PA and SB behaviour is in line with social ecological models of health behaviour, representing the main theoretical approach of studies on the environment–PA and environment–health relationships. Yet, how individual, social and environmental factors act and interact to facilitate or hinder PA and SB of older adults is largely unknown. Multilevel, multifactorial studies sufficiently powered to examine a vast array of individual, social and environmental factors are needed.

Apart from affecting residents’ PA and SB, physical and social neighbourhood environments have been linked to quality of life (QoL) and well-being indicators in older adults. Specifically, neighbourhood walkability, operationalised as land-use mix, street connectivity, number of public transit stations and amount of green and open space, was found to be associated with a lower risk of cardiovascular disease. Traffic safety and presence of parks were predictive of better mental and physical well-being. The observed associations are likely to be partly due to the effect of these specific environmental attributes on PA, which in turn contributes to better mental and physical health. However, it is also plausible to assume that some of the observed effects of the environment on mental well-being in particular might be attributable to greater levels of neighbourhood satisfaction and opportunities to engage in social activities independent of PA. Yet, no studies have thus far comprehensively examined potential pathways of influence of neighbourhood environment characteristics on mental well-being (eg, depressive symptoms) in older adults. While there is some evidence that walkable neighbourhoods are related to higher levels of mental well-being, neighbourhood satisfaction, social cohesion and social activities, the extent to which the physical environment–mental well-being relationships are explained by PA, access to social networks and neighbourhood satisfaction in older adults is unknown. It is also unknown how aspects of the neighbourhood environment may impact on physical well-being. For example, while mixed-use neighbourhoods are conducive to walking, they are often associated with high levels of noise and traffic. Noise pollution and high traffic load may increase stress and interfere with sleep, and cancel out the physical benefits of engaging in regular walking for utilitarian purposes. These are still unanswered important research questions.

Although the number of studies on environmental correlates of PA, SB and health in older adults is growing, the great majority of them were conducted in Western, relatively low-density urban areas. Asian ultra-dense metropolises present unique environmental characteristics (eg, crowdedness, air and noise pollution, an efficient public transport network, indoor places for walking) that may directly or interactively affect PA, SB and well-being of older adults in yet undocumented ways. Patterns of environment–health and environment–PA/SB relationships observed in Western locations are unlikely to be entirely generalisable to Hong Kong also due to cross-cultural differences in social network types, attitudes towards specific forms of activities, policy and other culture-dependent factors. Although recent studies have reported findings on associations of the neighbourhood environment with various forms of PA and sitting time in older Chinese dwellers in Hong Kong, these studies focused on perfectly mobile and healthy individuals. Thus, it is not known whether the observed patterns of associations hold for a more general population of Hong Kong community-dwelling older adults. Also, no studies have looked at the potential effects of the neighbourhood environment on QoL and mental well-being in this population. Therefore, it is necessary to examine environment–PA/SB, environment–QoL and environment–mental well-being associations as well as to identify the complex nature of the individual, social and environmental influences among Chinese older adults in Hong Kong.

Finally, the preponderance of studies on environment–PA/SB in older adults adopted a cross-sectional design, not allowing the identification of environmental predictors of changes in PA and SB, and the estimation of related seasonal effects.
identify how changes in weather conditions can affect changes in PA/SB$^{34, 45}$ and health-related QoL,$^{46}$ especially in an environment with a tropical, humid climate such as that of Hong Kong.$^{47}$-49 This study proposes to collect outcome measures (PA/SB, QoL and depressive symptoms) twice, 6 months apart, so that seasonal effects can be studied and associations of short-term changes in PA, SB and other outcome variables can be examined.

To address the knowledge gaps identified above, the Active Lifestyle and the Environment in Chinese Seniors (ALECS) study seeks to: (1) assess the independent and interactive effects (associations) of aspects of the social and physical neighbourhood environment, individual characteristics (sociodemographics and health status) and psychosocial (social support and networks) factors on PA, SB, QoL and depressive symptoms in Chinese older adults in Hong Kong (aged 65+ years); (2) examine the mediating effects of PA, SB and accessibility of social networks on the relationships between neighbourhood environment characteristics and depressive symptoms; (3) examine potential psychosocial mechanisms explaining the relationships of neighbourhood environment characteristics with PA and SB; and (4) examine associations of changes in PA, SB, QoL and depressive symptoms and neighbourhood environment characteristics and psychosocial factors as moderators of such associations. Figure 1 provides a simplified diagram of the patterns of relationships that will be studied.

Methods and analysis

All applicable elements of the standardised checklist for the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) recommendations were used to ensure that all important aspects relevant to study design and data collection were addressed.$^{50}$

Design

The ALECS study is an observational, primarily cross-sectional study of the associations of aspects of the physical and social neighbourhood environment, and psychosocial factors, with PA, QoL and depressive symptoms in 900 Hong Kong older adults aged 65+ years living in preselected Hong Kong neighbourhoods. It also includes a longitudinal component aimed at capturing potential seasonal changes in PA, QoL and depressive symptoms, their associations, and the moderating effects of baseline environmental and psychosocial factors on these associations.

Sociodemographic information, health status, neighbourhood satisfaction, psychosocial indicators, perceived and objectively assessed neighbourhood environment, self-reported PA and sitting time, body mass index (BMI), QoL and depressive symptoms are being measured in the full sample. In approximately 45% of the sample, PA and sedentary time are being assessed using accelerometers (activity monitors) for seven consecutive days. Data are being collected from late 2012 to early 2016.

Neighbourhood and participant selection

Neighbourhood selection

This study adopts a two-stage stratified sampling strategy designed to allow comparisons between older adults living in neighbourhoods stratified on the basis of their transport-related ‘walkability’ characteristics and median household income (representing neighbourhood-level socioeconomic status). This design was chosen because it creates the greatest range in the primary exposure variables (neighbourhood physical environment) related to walkability, while allowing to control for neighbourhood-level socioeconomic differences.$^{22}$ Neighbourhood-level socioeconomic status is also included in the design because PA varies by income, and studies indicate that walkability interacts with neighbourhood income in its association with PA in adults$^{51}$ and older adults.$^{14}$

The selection of neighbourhoods is based on a multidimensional index to represent the ‘walkability’ of Tertiary Planning Units (TPUs). TPUs are the most appropriate geographical scale for the selection of neighbourhoods in Hong Kong as they are linked with Census sociodemographic data, such as median household income, median age and education.$^{42}$ For each TPU, the walkability index is derived as a function of net residential density, land use mix and intersection density.$^{31}$ $^{52}$ Walkability variables were selected on the basis of previous studies and are computed from Census, land use data and street centreline files.$^{35}$ Net residential density is calculated by dividing the number of dwellings in a TPU (Census data) by its net residential land area (data provided by the Lands Department of Hong Kong). Land use mix is being computed using information on the area of five land use categories (residential, commercial, industrial, institutional, open space/park provided by the Lands Department, Hong Kong) in an entropy equation.$^{35}$ Intersection density is calculated by dividing the number of three-or-more-way intersections by the TPU area in square kilometres. Intersections are extracted from street network data sets (centreline data) provided by the authorities. The raw scores for these three components of transport-related walkability are normalised (converted to z-scores) and summed to create a walkability index. The walkability index and related components have been found to be associated with walking in Western$^{34}$ and Chinese older adults in Hong Kong.$^{16}$ $^{55}$

TPUs are ranked and divided into deciles on the basis of the walkability index. The top four and bottom four deciles are labelled ‘high walkability’ and ‘low walkability’, respectively. Similarly, the median household income data for each TPU is deciled. The bottom four deciles constitute the ‘low income’ category, and the top four deciles make up the ‘high income’ category. Thirty candidate TPUs within each of the four Walkability by
socioeconomic status categories (high walkable/high socioeconomic status; high walkable/low socioeconomic status; low walkable/high socioeconomic status; low walkable/low socioeconomic status) are identified and selected by investigators after in-person visits. Hence, participants are recruited from a total of 120 TPUs (average of 7–8 participants per TPU).

Participant selection and recruitment

Owing to the inability to directly obtain residential address and other contact data (phone numbers) of potentially eligible participants in Hong Kong associated with the Personal Data (Privacy) Ordinance, participants are being recruited in person from the Elderly Health Centres (EHC) of the Department of Health, Hong Kong SAR (two-thirds of the sample) and from elderly community centres (a third of the sample). The EHCs (18 distributed across the whole Hong Kong territory) were established by the Department of Health of the Government of Hong Kong SAR to provide comprehensive primary care services for Hong Kong residents aged 65 years and over. Participants are recruited through the EHCs because of their appropriate age, ability to pre-screen their health with the assistance of the EHC staff, their representativeness of the general elderly population of Hong Kong in terms of health and age, and their observed willingness to participate in health-related studies endorsed by the EHCs. The response rate in a previous study on EHC members aimed at the development and validation of measures of perceived environment and walking for different purposes for the Hong Kong elderly population was 78%. On the basis of previous studies and the team’s experience, if we were to recruit older adults directly from residential addresses, the expected response rate would be lower than 10%. Such a recruitment procedure would be more costly and result in a biased sample (over-representation of seniors living with family members and with higher educational attainment) and lower quality data. Yet, to examine possible biases in outcome variables associated with recruiting participants through the EHCs versus the general community, a third of the sample is recruited from the general elderly community centres with no formal provision of medical and health services.

EHC and elderly community centre selection is based on convenience and proximity to large numbers of TPUs in each of the four neighbourhood types. Within
each EHC and community centre, eligible participants attending the centre during research staff daily visits to the centre are invited to participate in the study by research staff. Inclusion criteria are: (1) being 65 years of age or older; (2) being Cantonese speaking and able to communicate verbally; (3) having lived in one of the selected TPU’s for at least 6 months; (4) being able to walk unassisted for at least 10 m; (5) being cognitively intact (Mini-Mental State Examination score >25). We are aiming to get an age and sex distribution proportional to the percentages observed in the population (65–74 years: 60%; 75–84 years: 30%; 85+ years: 10%; females approximately 60%) and a balanced number of participants by neighbourhood type. A subsample of approximately 100 participants is randomly selected from each neighbourhood type to participate in the objective assessment of PA and sedentary time component.

Sample size
In adjusted multilevel models, it has been estimated that a sample of 900 older adults recruited from 30 TPU’s within each stratum (120 in total) would allow the detection of a small effect size (i.e., <1.0% of the explained outcome variance) with 80% power assuming a TPU-level clustering effect (Intraclass Correlation Coefficient) of <5%, as observed in a previous similar study on Hong Kong older adults.11 The calculated sample size assumes a two-tailed probability level of 5%, and a regression model with 12 covariates explaining 25% of the outcome variance (comparable to what might be expected from the selected variables). The corresponding effect size detectable in a subsample of approximately 400 participants with objectively assessed PA and sedentary time data is approximately 2.5% of the explained outcome variance (representing a small effect size).

Exposures, outcomes and covariates
Table 1 reports all the exposures, outcomes and covariates that are being assessed in this study.

Exposures
Geographic Information Systems (GIS) measures of the neighbourhood environment
GIS data provide spatially referenced information that can be employed to create objective measures of the physical and social neighbourhood environment. They are used to objectively characterise the neighbourhood environment of study participants defined as road network buffers within a certain distance from home (e.g., 400 m or 1 km). Road network buffers can be created to define areas that can be reached via the street network but exclude inaccessible portions of land due to major barriers (river, sea or railway). This study uses two main buffer sizes to define participants’ neighbourhoods: 400 m and 1 km street-network buffers. Although most studies on the environment and PA have used 1 km street-network buffers, there is some evidence that smaller areas (400 m buffers) may be appropriate to characterise the neighbourhood in high-density environments.11, 30 This study aims to compare these two different geographical scales in the context of Hong Kong. A list of GIS measures of the neighbourhood environment is given in Table 1.

Following a protocol developed for the International Physical Activity and the Environment (IPEN)—Adults study, a multicountry study on environment and PA of which Hong Kong was a study site,59 60 a walkability index is computed for each participant and buffer size. The walkability index represents the sum of the following standardised variables: net dwelling density, intersection density and land use mix (defined as described above). GIS is also employed to define the percentage of older adult (65+ years) population in the neighbourhood based on Census data. This represents a neighbourhood social environment feature that has been found to be predictive of walking in older adults and may facilitate social interaction.63 GIS is also being used to quantify access to recreational facilities and parks. This includes density of recreational facilities (number of such destinations per km²), number of parks contained and intersecting a specific buffer, street-network distance to the nearest recreational facility and street-network distance to the nearest park. These features have been linked with higher levels of PA19 and better mental health.30 GIS-based access to transit points is defined as the street-network distance to the nearest transit stop (bus, railway, tram or subway) and as transit point density (number of transit points per km²), which have been found to be predictive of higher levels of overall utilitarian walking25 and lower levels of self-reported sitting in Hong Kong healthy and mobile older adults.17

Environmental audit measures of the neighbourhood environment
Extant GIS data on environmental characteristics are limited and sometimes outdated or inaccurate. Thus, it is desirable to complement GIS information with data collected using on-site environmental audits (systematic observation by trained raters). The Environment in Asia Scan Tool—Hong Kong version (EAST-HK), a validated audit tool specifically developed for use in Hong Kong,62 and the Public Open Space Tool (POST), a validated instrument to assess feature of parks and public open spaces,63 are employed to measure environmental features within 400 m road network buffers surrounding the participants’ homes. The POST assesses features of public open spaces including types of activities, amenities, environmental quality and safety.63 The EAST-HK quantifies features of the pedestrian environments along street segments, including traffic safety, pedestrian infrastructure, personal safety, aesthetics and access to various types of destinations and services. Aspects of personal safety include the following social environment characteristics: presence of people of different ages, presence of people arguing/fighting, presence of prostitutes and homeless people, other signs of social disorder).
All public open spaces intersecting participants’ residential buffers are audited. As it has been shown that a sample of 50% of street segments within a neighbourhood can provide estimates of various environmental features representative of a neighbourhood, only half of the segments within each residential buffer are assessed.

**Perceived neighbourhood environment**

It remains unclear whether objective measures of the neighbourhood environment are more potent correlates of PA and sedentary time than perceived measures. Cerin et al. developed and validated the Neighbourhood Environment Walkability Scale for Chinese Seniors (NEWS-CS) to assess the perceived aspect of the neighbourhood environment in Hong Kong. This scale assesses perceived features that conceptually overlap with those assessed by the EAST-HK. These include: perceived access to recreational facilities and parks, personal safety (including social environment variables, such as the presence of people on the street and crime), traffic safety, access to transit points, aesthetics, pedestrian infrastructure, and access to a variety of destinations. The NEWS-CS has been shown to be a reliable and valid measure of perceived neighbourhood characteristics associated with walking and other types of PA in older adults.

**Climate and air pollution**

Weather is an environmental factor that is not well studied in the context of Hong Kong older adults’ PA and QoL. However, there is some evidence that it impacts PA in
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Table 1: Summary of study exposures, outcomes, covariates, moderators and/or mediators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposures</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neighbourhood environment (method of data collection)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical activity and sedentary behaviour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walkability index (GIS)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Weekly frequency of within-neighbourhood and total walking for transport (S)</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of older adults in the neighbourhood (GIS)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Weekly minutes of within-neighbourhood and total walking for transport (S)</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to recreational facilities and parks (GIS+EA+S)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Weekly frequency of within-neighbourhood and total walking for recreation (S)</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Features of public open spaces (EA)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Weekly minutes of within-neighbourhood and total walking for recreation (S)</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to transit points (GIS+AE+S)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Weekly minutes of non-walking moderate-to-vigorous PA (S)</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to various destinations and services (AE+S)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Daily minutes of sitting (S)</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic safety (AE+S)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Weekly minutes of moderate-to-vigorous PA (O)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian infrastructure (AE+S)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Weekly minutes of light-to-vigorous PA (O)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal safety (AE+S)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Daily minutes of sedentary time (O)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aesthetics (AE+S)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Quality of life and depressive symptoms (method of data collection)</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather (PD)</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
<td>Score on Geriatric Depression Scale (S)</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air pollution (PD)</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
<td>Total quality of life score (S)</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quality of life domain score (S)</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Covariates, moderators and/or mediators** |            |
| **Sociodemographics (method of data collection)** | 1 Body mass index (O) |
| Age (S)                                        | 1           |
| Sex (S)                                        | 1           |
| Educational attainment (S)                     | 1           |
| Marital status (S)                             | 1           |
| Housing (S)                                    | 1           |
| Perceived home environment                     | 1           |
| Living arrangements (S)                        | 1           |
| Neighbourhood-level median household income (GIS) | 1           |
| Car ownership in household (S)                 | 1           |
| Frequency and mode of transport to commonly visited destinations (S) | 2           |
| Psychosocial factors (method of data collection) | 1 Self-efficacy for PA (S) |
| Social networks (S)                            | 2           |
| Barriers to PA (S)                             | 1           |
| Social support for PA (S)                      | 1           |

1 and 2, included in first and second assessments; 1, included in first assessment; 2, included in second assessment; EA, environmental audit; GIS, geographic information systems; O, objective assessment; PA, physical activity; PD, publicly available data; S, interviewer-administered survey.
Hong Kong dwellers. Daily weather data, which are publicly available from the Hong Kong Observatory, are being recorded throughout the entire participant data collection period. Variables collected include high and low temperature, humidity and precipitation. Information on concentrations of air pollutants (respirable suspended particulates; gaseous pollutants; toxic air pollutants) is obtained from the Environmental Protection Department of Hong Kong. It is expected that humid and hot weather conditions and air pollution will be negatively associated with PA and QoL.

Outcomes

PA and SB

The Chinese version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ-C)—Short (past 7 days) is used to measure self-reported weekly minutes of moderate-to-vigorous PA other than walking and daily minutes of sitting. The IPAQ-C has been shown to have good reliability and validity in Chinese older adults. Weekly frequency and minutes of within-neighborhood and outside-neighborhood walking for transportation and recreation are assessed using the Neighbourhood Walking Questionnaire for Chinese Seniors (NWQ-CS), which represents a validated version of the walking items of the Neighbourhood Physical Activity Questionnaire adapted for Cantonese-speaking older adults. Weekly and daily minutes of moderate-to-vigorous PA and daily minutes of sedentary time are objectively assessed in a study subsample using the triaxial accelerometer Actigraph GT3X for seven consecutive days. The Actigraph is a small device worn on an elastic belt that provides an objective measure of PA and sedentary time. The participants are instructed to firmly secure it to the right hip in the mid-axillary line, wear it during waking hours, remove it for water activities and sleep, and keep a concurrent log to record the periods of monitor wearing and non-wearing. Non-wear periods are identified using the log information and recordings of 100 min of consecutive zero counts, a criterion appropriate for an older population. A valid day is defined as having at least 10 h of recorded activity and valid data are considered five valid days, including at least one weekend day. Previously published cutpoints, which have been employed in earlier studies with older adults, are used to classify activity counts into sedentary (<100 counts/min), light (100–1951 counts/min), moderate (1952–5724 counts/min) and vigorous (>5724 counts/min). Accelerometer data integrity is monitored, and in cases of unusable or missing data participants are asked to re-wear the Actigraph for the required number of days.

Quality of life

Quality of life is assessed using the extensively validated Hong Kong Chinese WHO Quality of Life Scale—Brief Version appropriate for use with older adults. This version includes 28 items, covering four domains: psychological health, physical health, social relationship and the environment. The scales yield a score for each domain and a total QoL score.

Depressive symptoms

Depressive symptoms are measured using the Chinese version of the four-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS). Versions of the GDS are the most widely used scales for the detection of depression in older adults. They have good sensitivity and specificity. Participants with a score of 2 or higher are considered to be potentially depressed.

Covariates, moderators and/or mediators

Perceived home environment

Neighbourhood-level environmental variables are the main focus of this study. However, the home environment (quality of residential building) can potentially impact on QoL and the likelihood of spending time outdoors. The Building Environment Quality Questionnaire is used to assess perceived air quality, water quality, sanitation, space, light, sound and thermal comfort in residential buildings. Scores on these domains can be added up to yield a total quality of building score. A study conducted on 350 adult residents of Hong Kong has provided support for the reliability and validity of the scale. A total quality of building score will be used as a covariate.

Sociodemographics

Data on age, sex, educational attainment, car ownership in the household and other sociodemographic variables listed in table 1 are collected from the participants. These variables will serve as covariates and moderators in the main analyses. For example, we will examine whether associations of neighbourhood environmental attributes with PA vary by levels of educational attainment and by sex. As noted above, neighbourhood-level socioeconomic status is assessed using GIS and Census data on median household income for each participant’s residential buffer.

Health indicators

Physical health and the presence of chronic diseases are assessed using information from clinical health problems checklists obtained from the EHCs (based on medical staff assessments) or the participant, if these are not available. The validated Chinese version of the eight-item Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) is used to assess the ability of older people to perform their IADLs. Higher scores indicate better functioning. The height and weight of each participant is measured by medical staff or trained field researchers using a stadiometer and calibrated scales. BMI will be calculated as weight divided by squared height. Functional mobility is objectively assessed using the Short Physical Performance Battery, which includes tests of side-by-side, semi-tandem and tandem standing for at
least 10 s, walking speed over 3 m, and time to complete five chair rises. These variables will be used as covariates and moderators, depending on the research question.

**Travel behaviour**

Participants are asked to report the common destinations they visit for recreation, shopping or errands and eating/food-purchase purposes; the frequency with which they visit them; the modes of transport they use to get to/from these destinations; and the amount of time it takes to get to/from these destinations. Similar questions were used in an earlier study on Hong Kong older adults. These variables will be examined as mediators of environment–QoL and depressive symptoms associations. It is postulated that the environment will in part determine the accessibility of destinations important for daily living, and the ease of access of these destinations will be associated with QoL and depressive symptoms.

**Psychosocial factors**

Psychosocial variables associated with PA in older adults are also assessed. These include self-efficacy for leisure time PA and transport-related walking; perceived barriers to engagement in leisure time PA and transport-related walking; and family and friends’ support for engagement in leisure time PA and transport-related walking. These scales have been validated in previous studies, including older Chinese. They will be examined as covariates, moderators or mediators, depending on the research question and objective (see study aims above). The inclusion of these variables will allow the examination of a true multilevel socioecological model of PA and QoL by accounting for and separating the various layers of influence (ie, individual, social and physical environments). Finally, participants are also asked to provide data on social networks (accessibility, composition and size). Similar to the other psychosocial factors, these will be modelled as moderators or mediators, depending on the research question and study objective.

**Procedures**

On recruitment, verification of eligibility, and provision of written informed consent to take part in the study, trained research assistants schedule a one-to-one interview with the participants. All surveys are interviewer-administered. The first and longer assessment involves a maximal 2.5 h interview (average 1.5 h), including breaks when needed. However, if necessary, the interview is conducted over two separate occasions. The second and shorter interview (1 h) is scheduled approximately 6 months after the first interview. On entering the study, all participants are asked whether they would be willing to wear the accelerometer for a week. Approximately 45% of the participants are randomly chosen for this component of the study. The participants taking part in the accelerometry component of the study need to be literate, that is, be able to keep a log. The project phone number is provided in case of questions during the week of monitoring. These participants receive two daily phone calls at predetermined times to motivate participation and verify compliance. Other reminders/prompts are provided, including a study pamphlet to be put on the inside of their home entrance door or at any other appropriate location where it can be easily seen. A modest incentive (HK$50) and participation certificate are provided for completing the baseline and 6-month interviewer-administered surveys. Participants taking part in the 7-day accelerometer component (approximately 45% of the sample) receive an additional incentive of HK$100. All participants enter a draw to win one of three gift certificates valued at HK $2000. Incentives are meant to help increase recruitment and retention rates. Every effort is made to retain participants, based on our and others’ experience. Methods include motivational phone calls and brief newsletters about the study progress. On the basis of previous experience, we estimate an 80%–90% retention rate across the two assessments.

**Data analyses**

The proposed data set will have a hierarchical structure consisting of person-level observations nested within neighbourhoods (TPUs). The main aims of the study are to examine confounder-adjusted associations of environmental variables with PA, QoL and depressive symptoms; and moderators and mediators of these associations. For this purpose, generalised additive mixed models (GAMMs) with random intercepts will be used. These can account for multiple sources of dependency (neighbourhoods and multiple assessments on the same participants), different types of data (eg, continuous or binary) following normal or other types of distributions (eg, negative binomial, gamma), and model curvilinear relationships of complex form. They can also handle missing data at multiple assessments. Curvilinear relationships will be depicted in graphical form. GAMMs perform well when the number of observations across areas is unbalanced, which may be relevant to this study as the number of participants may vary substantially across TPUs. Moderators of associations will be identified by adding appropriate interaction terms to the relevant GAMMs. Significant interaction effects will be probed by estimating associations at meaningful values of the moderator. Mediation effects will be evaluated using the joint significance test whereby associations of exposure measures (eg, neighbourhood environmental attributes) with potential mediators (eg, PA) and exposure-measure-adjusted associations of mediators with outcomes (eg, QoL) will be estimated. A probability level of 0.05 will be adopted for all analyses.

**ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

On recruitment, participants are given detailed verbal and written information on the study and, after their eligibility is assessed, they are requested to provide written
informed consent before the first one-to-one interview is scheduled for data collection purposes. They are informed that they can withdraw from the study at any time without prejudice. On entering the study, each participant is assigned a unique study code. Data from interviews are entered on hard copies of the questionnaire that can be linked to specific participants using the study codes assigned to them. These study codes are kept separately from any participants’ personal identifiers (name, address and contact details) and are accessible only to qualified research staff and team members and saved on a password-secured server. Only the named researchers have access to the data. Data will be stored for 10 years and then permanently destroyed.

The experience of discomfort or embarrassment during data collection is an unlikely event. There are no known health risks or problems associated with wearing accelerometers. There may be some embarrassment associated with wearing the device, but these are minimal risks. Participants are given belts on which to wear the devices under clothing to minimise potential embarrassment. With regard to the interviews, the questions asked are not particularly sensitive. Also, the participants are informed that they may refuse to answer any question they may consider sensitive without incurring any negative consequences. The physical performance test we conduct was especially devised for older adults and poses no health risks. Height and weight measurements are taken in a private office/room with gender appropriate research officers. All data are kept private and confidential.

**Dissemination plan**

It is anticipated that the findings from this study will be of interest to a diverse audience, including public health professionals, national and provincial urban planning associations, clinicians and members of the public. They will also appeal to national and international researchers due to the innovativeness of the research questions tackled and the multimethod approach employed to gather data on the neighbourhood environment and PA.

Findings are being and will be disseminated via various channels. Participants receive a report detailing their individual results. We engage with the Department of Health of Hong Kong and national and international urban planning interest groups to provide updates on novel findings arising from the study that are relevant to health and urban planning policies and practices. The Department of Geography of the University of Hong Kong will be hosting an international conference in June 2016, where we intend to provide an overview of the main results. The same will be done at a conference on active ageing in Melbourne (Australia) in July 2016. Members of the research team are leading and/or co-organising these two conferences. We intend to disseminate study findings through high-calibre international medical, psychology, urban planning and/or public health peer-reviewed journals. Importantly, we plan to present and discuss the results of our study with relevant stakeholders, such as the EHCs, the Department of Health of Hong Kong SAR, urban planning associations and elderly community centres. We will also use our informal networks to disseminate our findings nationally and internationally.
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