

BMJ Open Association between *APOE* polymorphism and metabolic syndrome in Uyghur ethnic men

YuPing Sun,¹ Rong Wei,² DanDan Yan,³ FeiLi Xu,² XiaoJin Zhang,⁴ Bei Zhang,¹ Delixiati Yimiti,¹ Hui LI,⁵ HongYan Sun,⁶ Cheng Hu,³ Li Luo,⁴ Hua Yao⁴

To cite: Sun YP, Wei R, Yan DD, *et al.* Association between *APOE* polymorphism and metabolic syndrome in Uyghur ethnic men. *BMJ Open* 2016;**6**:e010049. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010049

► Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010049>).

Received 22 September 2015
Revised 14 November 2015
Accepted 17 November 2015

ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study aimed to examine the association between apolipoprotein E (*APOE*) polymorphism and metabolic syndrome (MetS) among Uyghur ethnic men in Xinjiang, China.

Participants: A total of 482 patients with MetS and 510 healthy sex-matched and age-matched controls were recruited from the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region of China. The participants were subjected to routine physical and blood biochemical tests, and *APOE* genotyping was performed.

Results: The *APOE* $\epsilon 3/3$ was the predominant type, with a frequency of 71.8%, while $\epsilon 2/2$ was less common than $\epsilon 4/4$ in Uyghur males. The frequencies of the *APOE* $\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 3$ and $\epsilon 4$ alleles in Uyghur males were 8.5%, 80.0% and 11.5%, respectively. However, the distribution of *APOE* genotypes was significantly different between the MetS and control groups ($p < 0.001$). In the MetS group, the frequencies of the $\epsilon 2$ and $\epsilon 4$ alleles and the frequencies of the $\epsilon 2/2$, $\epsilon 2/3$ and $\epsilon 2/4$ genotypes were significantly lower than those of the control group. Those individuals without the $\epsilon 2$ and $\epsilon 4$ alleles had higher MetS prevalence than the other gene carriers, and the ORs of these individuals developing MetS were 1.5 and 1.27 compared to the gene carriers. Triglyceride, serum total cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels were lower and serum high-density lipoprotein was higher in the $\epsilon 2$ carriers than the $\epsilon 3$ carriers, and the prevalence of MetS, central obesity, high blood pressure, hypercholesterolaemia and hypertriglyceridaemia was lower in the *APOE*2 group than in the *APOE*4 group. The risks of these individuals with $\epsilon 4$ allele carriers getting these changes were 1.327, 1.780, 1.888, 1.428 and 2.571 times greater than those of $\epsilon 2$ allele carriers.

Conclusions: *APOE*4 is associated with many individual components of MetS, whereas *APOE*2 was associated with a reduced risk of MetS at the univariate level in Uyghur ethnic men.

INTRODUCTION

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is characterised by a cluster of disorders that promotes atherosclerosis and increases the risk of

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the first study of Uyghur ethnic men to explore the association between apolipoprotein E (*APOE*) polymorphism and metabolic syndrome (MetS).
- The study was limited by lack of independent replication.
- The study lacks more detailed in-depth studies to confirm the link between *APOE* polymorphisms and MetS risk.

cardiovascular diseases and diabetes mellitus,¹ for example: atherogenic dyslipidemia, insulin resistance and diabetes, hypertension, or abdominal obesity^{2–6} and other diseases.^{7–10}

The apolipoprotein E (*APOE*) gene, containing four exons and three introns, is mapped on the long arm of chromosome 19 (19q13.2).¹¹ There are three common alleles ($\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 3$ and $\epsilon 4$); the $\epsilon 3$ allele is the most common and can be found in more than 80% of the general population, followed by $\epsilon 4$ and $\epsilon 2$.^{12–13}

APOE is a multifunctional protein found in all lipoproteins except for low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C); it plays a critical role in lipoprotein metabolism.^{14–16} Therefore, it is biologically possible for *APOE* to influence an individual's susceptibility to MetS, especially in terms of both triglyceride and cholesterol levels, which are major complications of MetS.¹⁷ The altered expression or genetic polymorphism of *APOE* is considered as a risk factor for MetS.^{18–19} Although the possible association of *APOE* with the risk of MetS has been widely investigated in different populations,^{20–22} MetS still remains under-represented in the Xinjiang population, especially in the Uyghur ethnic group. Our previous study found that in the Uyghur ethnic population, there is a tendency of higher frequency of the clustering of MetS.^{23–25} Thus, this is the first study in terms of the



CrossMark

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to
Dr Hua Yao;
yaohua01@sina.com

Table 1 Comparison of the quantitative variables between the MetS and non-MetS groups

Variable(s)	Non-MetS group (510)	MetS group (482)	p Value
Age (years)	46.77±13.27	47.54±11.83	0.0680
BMI (kg/m ²)	26.52±4.11	28.16±3.15	<0.0001
Waistline (cm)	92.79±10.65	98.43±9.08	<0.0001
SBP (mm Hg)	120.61±12.26	126.92±14.64	<0.0001
DBP (mm Hg)	85.33±13.78	96.62±12.10	<0.0001
FPG (mmol/L)	5.01±1.13	5.59±1.77	<0.0001
Triglyceride (mmol/L)	1.97±1.45	3.26±1.65	<0.0001
TC (mmol/L)	4.37±1.27	4.06±1.60	0.0010
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.23±0.53	1.10±0.51	<0.0001
LDL-C (mmol/L)	2.67±0.86	2.74±0.78	0.1920

Data are shown as mean±SD.

The Mann-Whitney U test was used to analyse the differences in the quantitative variables between the MetS and non-MetS groups.

BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MetS, metabolic syndrome; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TC, total cholesterol.

distribution of *APOE* genetic polymorphisms and their association with metabolic profiles in patients with MetS, aimed at identifying possible genetic markers for this disease in this special population.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Participants and study design

This case control study recruited 992 participants who were selected from the Affiliated Hospitals of Xinjiang Medical University Urumqi China. These participants were all Uyghur men who resided in the Xinjiang area, 482 with MetS, whereas the age-matched healthy control subjects had no history of MetS. All of the participants were fully informed of the purpose of this study, and every participant provided written informed consent before enrolment in the study.

Data collection and blood tests

A questionnaire was used to collect data on the demographic, lifestyle and disease histories from all of the participants. A physical examination was also performed on every participant, including taking measurements of height (measured in centimetres with an error of <0.5 cm), body weight (measured in kilograms with an error of <0.1 kg), body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC) and hip circumference (calibrated weekly to within 1 mm using a plastic tape). The WC was measured at the end of a gentle expiration midway between the lowest rib and the iliac crest with the study participant standing, while the hip circumference was measured at the greater trochanter. The waist-to-hip ratio was determined as the WC (cm) divided by the hip circumference (cm). Blood pressure was measured using an automatic clinical blood pressure monitor three times in the sitting position following a standard protocol. All of the participants were at rest for at least 10 min before the physical examination.

All of the participants were also asked to fast for at least 12 h and to not consume any alcohol or high-fat foods the night before blood withdrawal. Two millilitres

of venous blood was collected from each participant to assess the serum triglyceride and cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), LDL-C and fasting plasma glucose levels as measured using the 7060 Automatic Biochemical Analyzer (Hitachi, Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). All of the laboratory analyses were performed in the same certified laboratory. Quality control measures were followed for the estimation of all the variables.

Standard of diagnosis

The participants were divided into two groups based on affliction with MetS. The diagnostic criteria for MetS were according to the 2005 National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III,²⁶ the 2005 International Diabetes Federation,²⁷ the 2004 Chinese Diabetes Society²⁸ and the 2007 Joint Committee for Developing Chinese Guidelines for the

Table 2 Genotype and allele distributions of the *APOE* in the MetS and non-MetS groups

	Total (992)	Non-MetS group (510)	MetS group (482)
Genotype			
ε2/2	25 (2.5%)	20 (3.9%)	5 (1.0%)
ε2/3	105 (10.6%)	66 (12.9%)	39 (8.1%)
ε2/4	14 (1.4%)	11 (2.2%)	3 (0.6%)
ε3/3	712 (71.8%)	332 (65.1%)	380 (78.8%)
ε3/4	59 (5.9%)	32 (6.3%)	27 (5.6%)
ε4/4	77 (7.8%)	49 (9.6%)	28 (5.8%)
χ ² Value	–	29.1	
p Value	–	<0.0001	
Allele			
ε2	169 (8.5%)	117 (11.5%)	52 (5.4%)
ε3	1588 (80.0%)	762 (74.9%)	826 (85.5%)
ε4	227 (11.5%)	139 (13.7%)	88 (9.1%)
χ ² Value	–	37.7	
p Value	–	<0.0001	

Data are shown as n (%). Pearson's χ² test was used to analyse the differences of *APOE* (genotype or allele) between the MetS and non-MetS groups.

MetS, metabolic syndrome.

Prevention and Treatment of Dyslipidemia in Adults definitions,²⁹ meeting at least three of the following criteria: (1) abdominal obesity (WC>90 cm for men; (2) triglyceride level ≥ 1.7 mmol/L; (3) reduced HDL-C levels <0.9 mmol/L in men; (4) raised systolic or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) of 140/90 mm Hg or higher or previously diagnosed hypertension; (5) raised fasting plasma glucose level of 6.1 mmol/L or higher or previously diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Genomic DNA extraction and APOE genotyping

The detailed method of genomic DNA extraction and APOE genotyping was performed as described previously.^{30–33}

Statistical analysis

The data were summarised as numbers, percentages or means \pm SDs; organised in EpiData 3.0 software (The EpiData Association, Odense, Denmark); and analysed using the SPSS V.16.0 for Windows software package (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Simple descriptive statistics were used to describe the variables among the participants. The differences in the measurements from different groups were compared with Student t test and a one-way analysis of variance. The APOE genotypes and frequencies were analysed with Pearson's χ^2 test if the genotypes met the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Characterisation of the study population

The summary statistics of the study population are shown in table 1. The data indicate that, except for age and LDL-C, other quantitative variables were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$), and except for the HDL-C level, the other quantitative variables in the MetS group were higher than those in the non-MetS group (table 1).

Comparison of the APOE allele frequencies and genotype distribution in the MetS group with those of the control group

The allele and genotype distributions of the APOE polymorphisms are presented in table 2. There was no evidence of significant deviation from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in any distribution. The frequencies of the APOE alleles $\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 3$ and $\epsilon 4$ in Uyghur men were 8.5%, 80.0% and 11.5%, respectively, and the frequencies of different APOE alleles and genotypes between the MetS and non-MetS groups were different ($p < 0.05$). In the MetS group, the frequencies of the APOE $\epsilon 2$ and $\epsilon 4$ alleles were lower, and the frequencies of the $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 3$ and $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 4$ genotypes were significantly lower ($p < 0.05$) than those in the non-MetS group (table 2).

Table 3 Comparison of the anthropometric, biochemical and clinical parameters among different APOE genotypes in the two groups (mean \pm SD)

n	Non-MetS group				MetS group				p ^a	p ^b	p ^a	p ^b
	Total	APOE2	APOE3	APOE4	Total	APOE2	APOE3	APOE4				
499	86	332	81	479	44	380	55	479	44	380	55	479
BMI (kg/m ²)	26.48 \pm 4.09	25.52 \pm 3.36	26.76 \pm 4.44	26.37 \pm 3.02	28.15 \pm 3.14	28.11 \pm 3.36	28.13 \pm 3.16	28.33 \pm 2.87	0.012*	0.439	0.012*	0.439
Waistline (cm)	92.71 \pm 10.55	90.59 \pm 10.90	93.12 \pm 10.79	93.28 \pm 8.92	98.31 \pm 8.93	100.88 \pm 14.90	98.22 \pm 8.22	96.93 \pm 6.88	0.048*	0.898	0.048*	0.898
SBP (mm Hg)	120.81 \pm 12.27	118.66 \pm 14.02	120.65 \pm 11.46	123.70 \pm 13.05	126.55 \pm 14.59	129.50 \pm 25.14	126.16 \pm 12.19	129.51 \pm 17.17	0.178	0.044*	0.178	0.044*
DBP (mm Hg)	85.52 \pm 13.82	82.44 \pm 13.51	85.81 \pm 13.78	87.56 \pm 13.40	96.74 \pm 12.04	95.59 \pm 18.34	96.75 \pm 11.10	97.55 \pm 12.18	0.043*	0.308	0.043*	0.308
FFG (mmol/L)	5.00 \pm 1.14	5.16 \pm 1.79	4.96 \pm 0.81	5.00 \pm 1.38	5.58 \pm 1.75	5.67 \pm 1.21	5.58 \pm 1.80	5.46 \pm 1.77	0.145	0.787	0.145	0.787
Triglyceride (mmol/L)	1.94 \pm 1.39	1.71 \pm 1.00	2.02 \pm 1.49	1.85 \pm 1.32	3.26 \pm 1.65	3.27 \pm 1.50	3.25 \pm 1.71	3.37 \pm 1.34	0.065	0.329	0.065	0.329
TC (mmol/L)	4.34 \pm 1.25	4.19 \pm 1.18	4.31 \pm 1.26	4.61 \pm 1.25	4.05 \pm 1.60	4.25 \pm 1.84	4.05 \pm 1.58	3.90 \pm 1.54	0.404	0.063	0.404	0.063
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.23 \pm 0.52	1.17 \pm 0.43	1.23 \pm 0.53	1.25 \pm 0.57	1.10 \pm 0.51	1.18 \pm 0.89	1.10 \pm 0.47	1.05 \pm 0.33	0.284	0.733	0.284	0.733
LDL-C (mmol/L)	2.68 \pm 0.86	2.29 \pm 0.82	2.74 \pm 0.85	2.86 \pm 0.81	2.74 \pm 0.79	2.64 \pm 0.62	2.78 \pm 0.80	2.58 \pm 0.83	0.000*	0.230	0.000*	0.230

A t test was used to analyse the differences in parameters among different APOE genotypes.

p^a, p values that were obtained when comparing APOE2 participants with APOE3 participants.

p^b, p values that were obtained when comparing APOE3 participants with APOE4 participants. (1) APOE3 group: participants carrying the $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 3$ genotype; (2) APOE2 group: participants carrying the $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 2$ or $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 3$ genotype; (3) APOE4 group: participants carrying the $\epsilon 4/\epsilon 4$ or $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 4$ genotype. Participants with the $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 4$ genotype (n=14) were excluded from the extra analyses because of the opposite effects of the $\epsilon 2$ and $\epsilon 4$ alleles on the lipid levels.

*The mean difference was significant at the 0.05 level.

BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MetS: metabolic syndrome; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TC, total cholesterol.

Comparison of the means of anthropometric, biochemical and clinical parameters between different *APOE* genotypes in the two groups

To evaluate the effect of the *APOE* genotype and the anthropometric, biochemical and clinical parameters, the participants in our study were subdivided into three groups: (1) $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 3$ participants (*APOE3* group), (2) participants carrying $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 2$ or $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 3$ genotypes ($\epsilon 2$ carriers, *APOE2* group) and (3) participants carrying $\epsilon 4/\epsilon 4$ or $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 4$ ($\epsilon 4$ carriers, *APOE4* group). The participants with the $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 4$ genotype (n=14) were excluded from this analysis because of the opposite effects of the $\epsilon 2$ and $\epsilon 4$ alleles on the lipid levels.

In the MetS groups, none of the parameters had significant associations when comparing $\epsilon 3$ allele carriers with $\epsilon 4$ allele carriers, in contrast to the comparison of $\epsilon 2$ allele carriers with $\epsilon 3$ allele carriers. In the non-MetS group, the BMI, waistline, DBP and LDL-C in the $\epsilon 2$ allele carriers were lower ($p < 0.05$) than those in the $\epsilon 3$ allele carriers). In contrast, the $\epsilon 4$ allele carriers had significantly higher means of these parameters than the $\epsilon 2$ allele carriers (table 3).

The prevalence of MetS and the individual components of dyslipidemia between the *APOE2* and *APOE4* groups

By evaluating the effects of MetS and the individual components of dyslipidemia between the *APOE2* and *APOE4* groups, we found that the prevalence of MetS, abdominal obesity, high blood pressure, hypertriglyceridaemia and hypercholesterolaemia were lower in the *APOE2* group ($p < 0.05$); the prevalence of hyperglycaemia and low HDL-C was higher in the *APOE2* group but had no significant association ($p > 0.05$) (table 4).

DISCUSSION

The *APOE* genotypes and allele distributions vary among different races and geographic areas.³⁴ In this study, we found that the general distribution of *APOE* allele E3 in Uyghur men was 80.0%, which is between the distributions reported in the Han Chinese and Caucasian populations. Our data indicated that the Uyghurs had a different allele

distribution from the Han Chinese and Caucasians, prompting a different genetic background of the Uyghur. Indeed, an earlier study which was aimed at identifying the origin of human species applying DNA sequencing technology to have analysed a Uyghur mummy that was unearthed 3000 years ago from a dry desert in the Tarim basin of Xinjiang, China, has demonstrated that the Uyghur ethnic group currently living in Xinjiang, China originated from Europe.³⁵ Our current data of the *APOE* polymorphism may provide additional evidence for this finding. However, after approximately 3000 years of evolution, one may expect that the *APOE3* allele may have changed. We previously demonstrated that the MetS prevalence was higher in the Uyghur ethnic group.^{36 37} This ethnic group has a different genetic background, diet and lifestyle; furthermore, these people live in a special area in China, with a unique environment and natural conditions, and their population is relatively stable.

Our study found that the frequencies of the *APOE* alleles $\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 3$ and $\epsilon 4$ in Uyghur men were 8.5%, 80.0% and 11.5%, respectively; that the frequencies of the *APOE* $\epsilon 2$ and $\epsilon 4$ alleles were lower in the MetS group than in the non-MetS group; and that the $\epsilon 2/2$, $\epsilon 2/3$ and $\epsilon 2/4$ genotype frequencies were clearly lower in the MetS group than in the non-MetS group ($p < 0.05$). The frequency of the $\epsilon 2/2$ genotype was higher in the MetS group than the frequency of the $\epsilon 4/4$ genotype in the control subjects, but in patients with MetS, the $\epsilon 2/2$ carrier frequency was lower than that of $\epsilon 4/4$. Variations in the genetic structures in different populations are possible, but differences in testing methods should also be considered. The frequency of *APOE2* was lower in the MetS group than in the non-MetS group. The *APOE2* genotype carriers had the lowest HDL-C and LDL-C levels. The mechanism of this effect is most likely due to decreased conversion of the very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) into LDL-C as observed in $\epsilon 2$ carriers.³⁸ Thus, a high frequency of the $\epsilon 2$ allele would appear to predict a favourable lipid profile, indicating that *APOE2* may be a protective factor against MetS.

Many studies have suggested that variation in the *APOE* gene is associated with the lipid levels.^{16 39 40} We

Table 4 The prevalence of MetS and the individual components of dyslipidemia between the *APOE2* and *APOE4* groups

	<i>APOE2</i>	<i>APOE4</i>	OR (95% CI)	p Value
n	130	136	–	–
MetS (no/yes)	86/44 (33.8%)	81/55 (40.4%)	1.327 (0.806 to 2.186)	0.266
Abdominal obesity (no/yes)*	46/84 (64.6%)	32/104 (76.5%)	1.78 (1.042 to 3.039)	0.034
Hypertension (no/yes)*	67/63 (48.5%)	49/87 (64.0%)	1.888 (1.156 to 3.085)	0.011
Hypertriglyceridaemia (no/yes)	61/69 (53.1%)	52/84 (61.8%)	1.428 (0.877 to 2.327)	0.152
Hypercholesterolaemia (no/yes)*	120/10 (7.7%)	112/24 (17.6%)	2.571 (1.177 to 5.617)	0.015
Hyperglycaemia (no/yes)	114/16 (12.3%)	125/11 (8.1%)	0.627 (0.299 to 1.407)	0.255
Low HDL-C (no/yes)	103/27 (13.6%)	115/21 (10.6%)	0.697 (0.371 to 1.307)	0.259

Pearson's χ^2 test was used to analyse the differences.

Power by YOZOSOFT.

*The mean difference was significant at the 0.05 level.

HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MetS, metabolic syndrome.

found that the triglyceride and LDL-C levels were lower in the $\epsilon 2$ allele carriers than in the $\epsilon 3$ allele carriers. In contrast, the $\epsilon 4$ allele carriers had significantly higher means of these plasma lipid levels than did the $\epsilon 2$ and $\epsilon 3$ allele carriers. The prevalence of abdominal obesity, high blood pressure, hypertriglyceridaemia and hypercholesterolaemia was lower in the *APOE2* group than in the *APOE4* group. The risks of these individuals with $\epsilon 4$ allele gene carriers for getting these changes were 1.327, 1.780, 1.888, 1.428 and 2.571 times greater than the risks of those with $\epsilon 2$ allele gene carriers.

Some limitations of this study should be considered. Since we only genotyped the *APOE* gene of the participants, and could not do population stratification analyses, we could not ensure whether there were biases due to confounding by ancestry or not. However, since all the participants were recruited from the same geographic region and reported to be Uyghur, the issue of population stratification should be limited in the current study. Besides, although we found that *APOE* alleles were associated with MetS risk for Uyghur men residing in the Xinjiang area, studies in a larger sample or other population to replicate this result are urged.

In conclusion, the distribution of the *APOE* alleles and genotype frequencies in Uyghur men is unique and is associated with MetS risk. *APOE4* is associated with hypertriglyceridaemia, with a slightly increased risk for MetS, but *APOE2* is associated with protection against MetS in Uyghur males in China. However, this study is an initial step in understanding the relationship between *APOE* gene polymorphisms and MetS in Uyghur men, and more detailed in-depth studies in the future are needed to confirm the link between *APOE* polymorphisms and MetS risk.

Author affiliations

¹College of Basic Medical Science, Xinjiang Medical University, Xinjiang, China

²The Fourth Affiliated Hospital, Xinjiang Medical University, Xinjiang, China

³Shanghai Key Laboratory of Diabetes Mellitus, Shanghai Diabetes Institute, Shanghai Clinical Center for Diabetes, Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiliated Sixth People's Hospital, Shanghai, China

⁴The Key Laboratory of Metabolic Diseases, The First Affiliated Hospital, Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi, China

⁵The Second Affiliated Hospital, Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi, China

⁶Department of Science and Technology, Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi, China

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank all of the participants, individuals and institutions which supported this study.

Contributors HY and YPS designed the study. BZ performed DNA extraction and APOE genotyping. RW, FLX and LL collected blood samples. All the authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding This study was supported in part by grants from the Natural Science Foundation of China (#81160115 and #81460153); The Natural Science Foundation of the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (#2015211C014); The State Key Laboratory Incubation Base of Xinjiang Major Diseases Research (#2010DS890294) and The Key Laboratory of Metabolic Diseases, Department of Education, Xinjiang, China.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Obtained.

Ethics approval The Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University and was conducted according to the standards of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement No additional data are available.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

REFERENCES

- Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J. The metabolic syndrome—a new worldwide definition. *Lancet* 2005;366:1059–62.
- Li Y, Chen S, Shao X, *et al.* Association of uric acid with metabolic syndrome in men, premenopausal women and postmenopausal women. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2014;11:2899–910.
- Khan TA, Shah T, Prieto D, *et al.* Apolipoprotein E genotype, cardiovascular biomarkers and risk of stroke: systematic review and meta-analysis of 14,015 stroke cases and pooled analysis of primary biomarker data from up to 60,883 individuals. *Int J Epidemiol* 2013;42:475–92.
- Yin YW, Qiao L, Sun QQ, *et al.* Influence of apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism on development of type 2 diabetes mellitus in Chinese Han population: a meta-analysis of 29 studies. *Metab Clin Exp* 2014;63:532–41.
- Niu W, Qi Y, Qian Y, *et al.* The relationship between apolipoprotein E epsilon2/epsilon3/epsilon4 polymorphisms and hypertension: a meta-analysis of six studies comprising 1812 cases and 1762 controls. *Hypertens Res* 2009;32:1060–6.
- Chaudhary R, Likidilid A, Peerapatit T, *et al.* Apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism: effects on plasma lipids and risk of type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* 2012;11:36.
- Liu M, Bian C, Zhang J, *et al.* Apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism and Alzheimer's disease in Chinese population: a meta-analysis. *Sci Rep* 2014;4:4383.
- Wong TY, Shankar A, Klein R, *et al.* Apolipoprotein E gene and early age-related maculopathy: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. *Ophthalmology* 2006;113:255–9.
- De Feo E, Simone B, Persiani R, *et al.* A case-control study on the effect of Apolipoprotein E genotypes on gastric cancer risk and progression. *BMC Cancer* 2012;12:494.
- Al Harthi F, Huraib GB, Zouman A, *et al.* Apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism and serum lipid profile in Saudi patients with psoriasis. *Dis Markers* 2014;2014:239645.
- Mahley RW, Rall SC Jr. Apolipoprotein E: far more than a lipid transport protein. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 2000;1:507–37.
- Seripa D, D'Onofrio G, Panza F, *et al.* The genetics of the human ApoE polymorphism. *Rejuvenation Res* 2011;14:491–500.
- Das HK, McPherson J, Bruns GA, *et al.* Isolation, characterization, and mapping to chromosome 19 of the human apolipoprotein E gene. *J Biol Chem* 1985;260:6240–7.
- van den Elzen P, Garg S, Leon L, *et al.* Apolipoprotein-mediated pathways of lipid antigen presentation. *Nature* 2005;437:906–10.
- Ciftdogan DY, Coskun S, Ulman C, *et al.* The association of apolipoprotein E polymorphism and lipid levels in children with a family history of premature coronary artery disease. *J Clin Lipidol* 2012;6:81–7.
- Bennet AM, Di Angelantonio E, Ye Z, *et al.* Association of apolipoprotein E genotypes with lipid levels and coronary risk. *JAMA* 2007;298:1300–11.
- Ferreira DC, Costa TF, Aguiar SL, *et al.* Association of apolipoprotein E polymorphisms and metabolic syndrome in subjects with extreme obesity. *Clin Chim Acta* 2011;412:1559–62.
- Zhang MD, Gu W, Qiao SB, *et al.* Apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism and risk for coronary heart disease in the Chinese population: a meta-analysis of 61 studies including 6634 cases and 6393 controls. *PLoS ONE* 2014;9:e95463.
- Yin YW, Sun QQ, Zhang BB, *et al.* Association between apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism and the risk of coronary artery disease in Chinese population: evidence from a meta-analysis of 40 studies. *PLoS ONE* 2013;8:e66924.

20. Cardona F, Morcillo S, Gonzalo-Marin M, *et al.* The apolipoprotein E genotype predicts postprandial hypertriglyceridemia in patients with the metabolic syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2005;90:2972–5.
21. Ferreira CN, Carvalho MG, Fernandes AP, *et al.* Comparative study of apolipoprotein-E polymorphism and plasma lipid levels in dyslipidemic and asymptomatic subjects, and their implication in cardio/cerebro-vascular disorders. *Neurochem Int* 2010;56:177–82.
22. Fall T, Ingelsson E. Genome-wide association studies of obesity and metabolic syndrome. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 2014;382:740–57.
23. Yao H, Sun YP, Wang QY, *et al.* Correlation of serum uric acid levels and glycerin, lipid metabolic abnormality in Han and Uygur ethnicity. *J Xinj lan G Medical University* 2007;30:539–42 (Chinese).
24. Yao H, Sun YP, Li Q, *et al.* The correlated study of hyperuricemia and metabolic syndromes among males of Han ethnicity in the Xinjiang UygurAutonomous Region[J]. *China Front Med* 2008;2:108–12, 52(Chinese).
25. Zhang W, Wang XM, Cai ZH, *et al.* Analysis of blood sugar and lipid metabolism parameters and the prevalence of different metabolic disorder in two ethnicities. *Chin J Lab Diagn* 2011;15:630–3 (Chinese).
26. Pencina MJ, Navar-Boggan AM, D'Agostino RB Sr, *et al.* Application of new cholesterol guidelines to a population-based sample. *N Engl J Med* 2014;370:1422–31.
27. International Diabetes Federation. IDF worldwide definition of the metabolic syndrome. 2011. <http://www.idf.org/metabolic-syndrome>
28. Weng J, Pozzilli P. Diabetes metabolism: research and reviews—Chinese Diabetes Society special issue: a small but encouraging step toward the successful control of diabetes in China. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2014;30:445–6.
29. Joint Committee for Developing Chinese guidelines on Prevention and Treatment of Dyslipidemia in Adults. Chinese guidelines on prevention and treatment of dyslipidemia in adults. *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi* 2007;35:390–419.
30. Sun YP, Zhang B, Miao L, *et al.* Association of apolipoprotein E (ApoE) polymorphisms with risk of primary hyperuricemia in Uygur men, Xinjiang, China. *Lipids Health Dis* 2015;14:25.
31. Nasiri H, Forouzandeh M, Rasaei MJ, *et al.* Modified salting-out method: high-yield, high-quality genomic DNA extraction from whole blood using laundry detergent. *J Clin Lab Anal* 2005;19:229–32.
32. Donohoe GG, Salomaki A, Lehtimaki T, *et al.* Rapid identification of apolipoprotein E genotypes by multiplex amplification refractory mutation system PCR and capillary gel electrophoresis. *Clin Chem* 1999;45:143–6.
33. Kim SW, Heo JH, Kim CH, *et al.* Rapid and direct detection of apolipoprotein E genotypes using whole blood from humans. *J Toxicol Environ Health Part A* 2010;73:1502–10.
34. Hallman DM, Boerwinkle E, Saha N, *et al.* The apolipoprotein E polymorphism: a comparison of allele frequencies and effects in nine populations. *Am J Hum Genet* 1991;49:338–49.
35. Miao P. Ethnic amalgamation in the brim area of the Tarim Basin and the emerging and development of Uygur nationality. *Western Regions Studies (Chinese)* 2005;4:1–8.
36. Cui Y, Duan R, Zhou H, *et al.* Analysis of genetical structure of the ancient Xinjiang population. *Chem J Chin Univ* 2002;23:2278–80.
37. Yang SL, He BX, Liu HL, *et al.* Apolipoprotein E gene polymorphisms and risk for coronary artery disease in Chinese Xinjiang Uygur and Han population. *Chin Med Sci J* 2004;19:150–4.
38. Utermann G, Hees M, Steinmetz A. Polymorphism of apolipoprotein E and occurrence of dysbetalipoproteinaemia in man. *Nature* 1977;269:604–7.
39. Onat A, Komurcu-Bayrak E, Can G, *et al.* Apolipoprotein A-I positively associated with diabetes in women independently of apolipoprotein E genotype and apolipoprotein B levels. *Nutrition* 2010;26:975–80.
40. Onat A, Can G, Ornek E, *et al.* High serum apolipoprotein E determines hypertriglyceridemic dyslipidemias, coronary disease and apoA-I dysfunctionality. *Lipids* 2013;48:51–61.