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ABSTRACT

Introduction: This systematic review protocol aims to describe a meta-analysis to assess the effectiveness and safety of acupuncture therapy for patients with chronic urticaria.

Methods and analysis: We will electronically search the following databases: the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), PubMed, Embase, the Web of Science, Traditional Chinese Medicine, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM), Chinese Scientific Journal Database (VIP database) and Wanfang Database from their inception. Other sources will also be searched, including the reference lists of identified publications and conference proceedings. Any clinical randomised controlled trials related to acupuncture for treating chronic urticaria without restriction of publication status and languages will be included. Study selection, data extraction and assessment of study quality will be performed independently by 2 researchers. Data will be synthesised by either the fixed-effects or random-effects model according to a heterogeneity test. Improvement in pruritus and wheals will be assessed as the primary outcomes. Adverse events, quality of life and the recurrence rate after at least 3 months of the treatment will also be evaluated as secondary outcomes. RevMan V.5.2 statistical software will be used for meta-analysis, if possible. If it is not appropriate for a meta-analysis, then a descriptive analysis or a subgroup analysis will be conducted. The results will be expressed as a risk ratio for dichotomous data and mean difference (MD) or standardised MD for continuous data.

Dissemination and ethics: The protocol of this systematic review will be disseminated in a peer-reviewed journal and presented at relevant conferences. It is not necessary for a formal ethical approval because the data are not individualised.

Trial registration number: PROSPERO CRD42015015702.

INTRODUCTION

Description of the condition

Urticaria is a heterogeneous group of diseases involving the onset of pruritic wheals, angio-oedema or both.1–4 Chronic urticaria is diagnosed when recurrent crops of urticaria continue for more than 6 weeks.2 It is a common disease with 0.5–1% prevalence, and nearly 20% of people suffer from urticaria at least once during their lifetime.5 Women suffer from urticaria nearly twice as often as men. Individuals between 20 and 40 years have a greater chance of suffering from chronic urticaria.5

Chronic urticaria negatively influences the patient’s quality of life because of the itching and physical discomfort during outbreaks. The severity of urticaria varies between individuals. One study found that outbreaks lasted 6–10 weeks in 58% of respondents, while 12% of patients had outbreaks lasting 52 weeks per year. Patients suffering from chronic urticaria have their sleep affected an average of three times per week.6 The disease also has a large impact on society because of its high direct and indirect healthcare costs.6,7

Chronic urticaria can be divided into chronic spontaneous urticaria and chronic inducible urticaria. Depending on the underlying causes, which are varied and complex, chronic inducible urticaria is divided into physical urticaria (including symptomatic dermographism, cold-induced urticaria, pressure urticaria, solar urticaria, heat-induced urticaria and vibration-induced angio-oedema), cholinergic urticaria, contact urticaria or aquagenic urticaria. However, one patient...
may have several subtypes of urticaria at once. The most important diagnostic step of chronic urticaria includes a thorough history, physical examination and a ruling out of severe systemic disease. A thorough history should include most possible inducible factors or critical aspects of the nature of the patient’s urticaria. There is no specific serum test for chronic urticaria. The autologous serum skin test may be used for non-specific autoantibodies against either IgE or the high-affinity IgE receptor, and there are some specific provocations that may be used to test underlying causes.

The management of urticaria is aimed at alleviating symptoms. The first line includes elimination of the underlying causes and eliciting triggers. The second line includes symptomatic treatment with pharmacotherapy. The first-line treatment is non-sedating antihistamines. If the first-line treatment is not effective after a maximum of 2 weeks, increasing the dosage up to fourfold is recommended. Second-line therapies should be added to the antihistamine treatment when patients do not respond to a fourfold increase in dosage. Short-term corticosteroids may be prescribed for exacerbations. No serious adverse events or death events were reported in studies according to some relevant studies when participants were taking H1-antihistamines. The side effects of H1-antihistamines, which include headache, somnolence, fatigue, dry mouth, hay fever, allergies, etc, were reported in some studies.

**Description of the intervention**

Acupuncture, which has been used for over 2000 years, is an important part of traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) and complementary and complementary medicine. Acupuncture therapy has very few adverse effects and is widely used for the treatment of urticaria in Asia. Many clinical studies have reported that acupuncture is effective for the treatment of urticaria.

**How the intervention might work**

In TCM, acupuncture is believed to function by regulating the balance of Qi circulation. In Western medicine, the mechanism of acupuncture is yet not clear. It is speculated that the potential mechanism of acupuncture for treating urticaria might be the suppression of the immunological reaction. Studies in animals and humans signified that acupuncture can attenuate histamine effect, modulate the function of the immune system and decrease the adhesion molecule.

**Why it is important to do this review**

So far, there is only one systematic review published in Chinese investigating acupuncture and chronic urticaria. The previous review included 12 randomised controlled trials (RCTs), but only 4 of those studies strictly investigated the effectiveness of acupuncture. Additionally, the outcome assessments were not clearly or specifically described. Therefore, no definite conclusions on the effectiveness of acupuncture for chronic urticaria can be drawn. There have been at least four more RCTs reported in the past 5 years. Using a stricter search strategy, a more objective outcome evaluation and a rigorous review method, we expect that our systematic review will provide a more convincing conclusion.

**Objectives**

To systematically evaluate the effectiveness and safety of acupuncture therapy for patients with chronic urticaria.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

**Inclusion criteria for study selection**

**Types of studies**

All RCTs without restrictions on publication status will be included. Quasi-randomised trials will be excluded.

**Types of participants**

Patients with chronic urticaria, regardless of sex, age, race or educational and economic status, according to the following criteria:

1. EAACI/GA2LEN/EDF/WAO guideline: definition, classification and diagnosis of urticaria.

**Types of interventions**

Acupuncture is defined as the stimulation of acupuncture points by needles, including manual acupuncture, dermal needle, plum blossom needle, ear acupuncture, electroacupuncture or fire needle. Other stimulation methods including acupressure, moxibustion, laser acupuncture, pharmacoacupuncture, dry needling or transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation will be excluded. Sham acupuncture includes sham acupuncture at selected acupoints, sham acupuncture at non-acupoints, needling at inappropriate acupoints, non-penetrating sham acupuncture and pseudo interventions. The following treatment comparisons will be investigated.

- Acupuncture compared with no treatment.
- Acupuncture compared with placebo or sham acupuncture.
- Acupuncture compared with other active therapies.
- Acupuncture in addition to active therapy compared with the same active therapy.

We will exclude RCTs in which one form of acupuncture was only compared with another form of acupuncture or a different type of TCM (eg, Chinese herbal medicine). We will assess the acupuncture treatment according to how the acupuncturists are trained and educated, their clinical experience, total numbers of acupuncture sessions, duration treatment and treatment frequency, etc.
Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes

Improvement in pruritus and wheals, using urticaria activity score (UAS) or other validated scales, at least after 2 weeks of treatment.

Secondary outcomes

Secondary outcomes include adverse events and quality of life using a health-related quality of life measure, including a generic, dermatology-specific or disease-specific instrument. The recurrence rate after at least 3 months of the treatment will also be evaluated.

Search methods for identification of studies

Electronic searches

We will electronically search the following databases, regardless of publication status and languages: the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), PubMed, EMBASE, the Web of Science, Traditional Chinese Medicine databases, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM), Chinese Scientific Journal Database (VIP database) and Wan-Fang Database from their inception. The following search terms will be used: chronic urticaria, hives, nettle-rash, Fong-Tzen-Kwai, wind-rash-patch, acupuncture, manual acupuncture, filiform steel needle, electroacupuncture, fire needling, auricular acupuncture, ear acupuncture, dermal needle, abdominal acupuncture, pyonex and plum blossom needle. The equivalent search words will be used in the Chinese databases. A search strategy created according to the Cochrane handbook guidelines will be conducted in all the electronic databases. The search strategy for PubMed is shown in table 1.

Searching other resources

We will scan the reference lists of identified publications for additional trials. We will search PubMed, Turning Research Into Practice (TRIP) database and the Cochrane Library for existing systematic reviews possibly relevant to this systematic review to search their reference lists for additional trials. We will also search conference proceedings related to this topic.

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies

We plan to conduct this systematic review between 1 January 2015 and 30 August 2015. All reviewers have undergone training to ensure a basic understanding of the background and purpose of the review. After electronic searching, the records will be uploaded to a database set up by EndNote software (X7). Records selected from other sources will also be moved to the same database. Two review authors (QY and ZQ) will independently screen the titles, abstracts and keywords of all retrieved studies and decide which trials meet the inclusion criteria. We will obtain the full text of all possibly relevant studies for further assessment. Excluded studies will be recorded with explanations. Any disagreements will be resolved by discussion between the two authors (QY and ZQ) and the third author (ZL) for arbitration when necessary. We will contact authors of trials for clarification when necessary. The study flow diagram is shown in figure 1.

Data extraction and management

Two authors will independently extract data from the selected reports or studies and fill in the data extraction form. We will obtain data for general information, participants, methods, interventions, outcomes, results, adverse events, conflicts of interest, ethical approval and other information. We will contact the authors for further information when the reported data are not sufficient. Any disagreements will be resolved by discussion between the two authors, and further disagreements will be arbitrated by the third author (ZL).

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

Two authors (YY and XL) will assess the risk of bias with the Cochrane Collaboration’s tool for risk of bias.

Table 1 Search strategy used in PubMed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Search items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Randomised controlled trial.pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Controlled clinical trial.pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Randomised.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Randomly.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Placebo.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Trial.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Groups.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1 or 2–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Urticaria.Mesh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Chronic urticaria.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>hives.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>nettle-rash.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Angioedema.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Fong-Tzen-Kwai.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>wind-rash-patch.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>9 or 10–15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Acupuncture therapy. Mesh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Acupuncture.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Acupoints.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Acupuncture*.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Body acupuncture.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Scalp acupuncture.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>manual acupuncture.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Auricular acupuncture.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>ear acupuncture.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Electroacupuncture.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Fire needling.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>dermal needle.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>plum blossom needle.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Pyonex.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Abdominal acupuncture.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Filiform steel needle.ti,ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>17 or 18–32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>8 and 16 and 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
assessments for all included studies. We will evaluate the following domains for risk of bias: sequence generation, allocation sequence concealment, blinding of participants and personnel and outcome assessors, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting and other sources of bias. The assessments will be classified into three levels: low risk, high risk and unclear risk.

Measures of treatment effect
We will use RevMan V.5.2 for data analysis and quantitative data synthesis. For continuous data, we will use the mean difference (MD) or standard MD (SMD) to measure the treatment effect with 95% CIs if no heterogeneity is found. If significant heterogeneity is detected, the random-effects model will be used. For dichotomous data, we will use a risk ratio (RR) with 95% CIs for analysis.

Unit of analysis issues
We will include data from parallel group-designed studies for meta-analysis. Only the first phase data will be considered in randomised cross-over trials. In these trials, participants are individually randomised to two intervention groups, and a single measurement for each outcome from each participant is collected and analysed.

Dealing with missing data
We will try to contact the first or corresponding authors of the included studies to retrieve missing or insufficient trial data. An intention-to-treat analysis will be performed, if possible (the analysis should include the data of all the participants in the groups to which they were originally randomly assigned), and a sensitivity analysis will be used to determine whether the results are inconsistent.

Assessment of heterogeneity
We will use the I² statistic for quantifying inconsistencies among the included studies. When the I² value is less than 50%, the study will not be considered to have heterogeneity. When the I² value exceeds 50%, significant statistic heterogeneity exists among the trial and meta-analysis will not be performed. Subgroup analysis will be conducted to explore possible causes.

Assessment of reporting biases
We will use funnel plots to detect reporting biases and small-study effects. If more than 10 studies are included in the meta-analysis, we will conduct a test for funnel plot asymmetry using Egger’s method. We will include all eligible trials, regardless of their methodological quality.

Data synthesis
Data synthesis will be conducted with RevMan V.5.2 when a meta-analysis is allowed. The results will be expressed as RR for dichotomous data and SMD for continuous data. If the I² test is less than 50%, the fixed-effects model will be used for data synthesis. If the I² test is between 50% and 75%, the random-effects model will be conducted for data synthesis. If the I² test is higher than 75%, we will investigate possible reasons from both clinical and methodological perspectives, and provide a descriptive analysis or conduct subgroup analysis.

Subgroup analysis
There is no presubgroup plan. If data are available, factors like subgroups of the chronic urticaria and acupuncture methods will be taken into account. When significant heterogeneity exists, we will also conduct subgroup analysis if necessary.

Sensitivity analysis
We will conduct sensitivity analysis to test the robustness of the primary decisions of the review process. The principal decision nodes conclude methodological quality, sample size and the effect of missing data. The meta-analysis will be repeated and studies of lower quality will be excluded. The result will be compared and discussed according to the results.

Grading the quality of evidence
The quality of evidence for all outcomes will be judged using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation working group methodology. The following domains will be assessed: risk of
bias, consistency, directness, precision, publication bias and additional points. The assessments will be classified into four levels: high, moderate, low or very low.\(^\text{30}\)

**Ethics and dissemination**

A formal ethical approval is not necessary because the data that will be used are not individual and no privacy will be involved. The results will be disseminated through peer-reviewed publications or conference presentations.

**DISCUSSION**

Studies have signified that acupuncture is effective for relieving the symptoms (mainly in pruritus and wheals) of chronic urticaria.\(^{17, 31}\) Nevertheless, currently no systematic review related to acupuncture for chronic urticaria has been published in English. The evaluation of this systematic review will be divided into four sections: identification, study inclusion, data extraction and data synthesis. We hope that this review will give more convincing proof to assist clinicians during the decision-making process when dealing with chronic urticaria. This review has some potential limitations. Different types of acupuncture therapies and the efficacy evaluation criteria of urticaria in included trials may cause significant heterogeneity.

**PRISMA-P Checklist of the protocol: Online supplementary appendix 1**
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