

BMJ Open

Accuracy of popular media reporting on tobacco cessation therapy in substance abuse and mental health populations

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2014-007169
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	19-Nov-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Krauth, David; University of California, San Francisco, Department of Clinical Pharmacy Apollonio, Dorothy; University of California, San Francisco, Philip R. Lee Institute for Health Policy Studies; Department of Clinical Pharmacy
Primary Subject Heading:	Health policy
Secondary Subject Heading:	Health policy, Public health, Qualitative research, Smoking and tobacco
Keywords:	Health policy < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, PUBLIC HEALTH, QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Accuracy of popular media reporting on tobacco cessation therapy in
substance abuse and mental health populations

David Krauth, MPH and Dorie Apollonio, PhD
Department of Clinical Pharmacy, San Francisco, CA
University of California, San Francisco, USA

Corresponding author: Dorie Apollonio, PhD
Associate Professor
Department of Clinical Pharmacy
3333 California Street, Suite 420
San Francisco, CA 94143 – 0613
Phone: (415) 502-1942
Email: dorie.apollonio@ucsf.edu

Key Words: tobacco cessation, alcohol, substance abuse, mental health, health communication
Word Count: 3659

ABSTRACT

Background: Tobacco cessation therapy is not consistently provided for alcohol, drug abuse, and mental health (ADM) populations, despite the enormous health consequences of tobacco addiction in these groups and research supporting the effectiveness of treatment. Policymakers, however, tend to rely on popular media reports rather than the scientific literature in regulating treatment. Our goal was to determine whether popular reporting accurately reflects findings from the scientific literature on tobacco cessation treatment for ADM populations in treatment.

Methods: We compared the results of systematic reviews on tobacco cessation therapy published before 2004 with articles published in traditional media and on the internet over the following eight years. We searched LexisNexis and Google and assessed them using the Index of Scientific Quality (ISQ).

Results: We found that popular reporting on this topic was consistent with findings reported in contemporaneous scientific literature. Our results suggest that the failure to consistently provide tobacco cessation therapy to ADM populations in treatment is not due to poor research translation.

Conclusion: Our findings also suggest that in this topic area, scientific research findings have diffused relatively quickly. Further study of journalism in this area may suggest new strategies for effective translation of scientific findings into popular reporting on tobacco control.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This paper provides new evidence about translation of research from bedside to community in tobacco cessation therapy.
- Our exploratory study of Internet reporting reveals new insights about the relative role of

evidence in Web versus traditional print media.

- Unlike the contemporaneous systematic review by Prochaska and colleagues, we limited our inclusion criteria to articles published in English and drawn from a US news source.
- Although many of the news articles and websites we reviewed based their conclusions on whether or not smoking cessation interventions resulted in smoking reductions of any amount, Prochaska’s study only included articles that reported point prevalence abstinence.
- The majority of articles and websites we reviewed only categorized patients as alcoholics, drug abusers, or those with mental illness, without discussing whether or not their conclusions depended on other patient characteristics such as age or sex.

INTRODUCTION

Patients receiving treatment for alcohol, drug abuse, and mental health (ADM) problems are disproportionately affected by smoking. Although tobacco use causes 435,000 annual deaths in the United States, approximately 200,000 of these deaths occur in ADM populations, where smoking rates are 2-4 times that of non-ADM smokers.¹⁻⁴ In fact, people with a mental illness or drug abuse disorder smoke nearly half of all the cigarettes smoked in the US.⁵ Nevertheless, policy recommendations to integrate simultaneous tobacco cessation therapy into chemical dependency and mental health treatment units have largely been dismissed, despite clinical evidence supporting the benefits of concurrent treatment and a desire and ability of patients to quit smoking.⁶⁻⁸

There are many reasons for the poor translation of clinical evidence into policy, including the widely held belief among healthcare providers that the health risks from smoking are less important than the perceived benefits of smoking, which are thought to calm psychiatric patients and reduce the risk of relapse.⁹ Other barriers include provider fears that trying to simultaneously quit smoking would compromise efforts to recover from other addictions,^{8 10} remaining questions regarding the best time to integrate smoking cessation treatment, and the fact that many individuals who staff drug abuse clinics and psychiatric wards are smokers themselves.⁹

A fundamental missing element in understanding research translation has been identifying the extent to which scientific research moves onto the policy agenda by attracting the attention of journalists. It is well known that the general public, health professionals, and policymakers rely

heavily on journalistic reports to inform their healthcare decisions and policies.¹¹ An extensive body of research also details the critical importance of journalists to communication within policymaking networks and in shaping constituency opinions.^{12 13} Policymakers view the extent of public support for proposed policies as critical information in making decisions about whether to enact such changes. Multiple studies show that the extent and nature of press coverage of issues cues popular opinion and establishes the policy relevance of those issues,¹⁴⁻¹⁸ in particular increasing attention to existing problems and fostering demands for political action. Media messages are particularly relevant in establishing popular understanding of health risks and treatments.¹⁹⁻²³ Journalists thus provide an independent source of information about the public relevance of proposed treatments to policymakers seeking to make decisions about systemic health interventions.²⁴⁻²⁷ However, the media remain largely disengaged from questions of health politics and misunderstanding of research findings is common.^{20 23 28 29}

Journalists are known to play an important information-gathering role for policymakers but the degree to which journalists communicate with clinicians is unclear. Previous studies comparing popular news reports about scientific research to the journal articles that inspired them show a lack of consistency between author conclusions.^{20 23 24 29} Furthermore, in a review by HealthNewsReview.org covering 500 health news reports by US journalists, it was determined that journalists often fail to discuss intervention costs, the validity of evidence presented, the magnitude of the findings (effects, risks, or costs), and alternative options.³⁰ Consistent with these trends, we hypothesize (1) that popular reporting on smoking cessation relies on anecdotal evidence (as opposed to scientific) and will state that smoking cessation therapy for ADM populations in treatment is ineffective and (2) that the majority of news reports we review are

low-quality.

To test our hypotheses, we review popular reporting of effects of smoking cessation therapy in ADM populations and rely on the Oxman et al.¹¹ instrument to assess report quality. We draw from 2 seminal studies to situate our analysis: (1) a systematic review by Prochaska et al.³¹ shows smoking cessation interventions provided during addictions treatment are associated with a 25% increased likelihood of long-term abstinence from alcohol and illicit drugs and (2) a report by Covey et al.³² shows that administering smoking cessation therapy to patients with and without major depression produces equivalent smoking quit rates. These articles provide the clinical support for concurrent treatment which we compare with our review of popular reporting.

METHODS

Our analysis of research translation relies on reports from the popular media. We reviewed both print articles and websites archived in the public domain that were most likely to be easily found by individuals inexperienced with traditional academic research methods. To identify traditional media (i.e. print and electronic media) we searched the LexisNexis database for newspaper and magazine articles. To mirror electronic search strategies and identify internet media, we ran keyword searches through the most popular online search engine, Google.

Inclusion criteria

We included articles indexed in LexisNexis and websites in Google that we identified using defined keyword searches (see below). We included: (1) major newspaper, magazine article, wire service stories, broadcast transcripts, internet content from independent bloggers, or

websites containing either scientific or anecdotal claims regarding the effectiveness of smoking cessation in ADM populations, that were (2) published in English and (3) drawn from a U.S. news source. Websites containing links to peer reviewed articles, news reports, grey literature, or fact sheets were included as long as these additional resources met the inclusion criteria.

Exclusion criteria

We excluded sources discussing smoking cessation therapy in ADM populations that assessed only non-smoking related health outcomes. For example, assessments of whether or not alcoholics receiving smoking cessation therapy reduced their intake of alcohol were not included in the final review.

Search strategy: traditional media

We searched LexisNexis sources published from July 2004 to July 2012 using search terms comparable to those used in a recent Cochrane Review.⁹ Our search strategy contained the following text words and word variants:

(("tobacco cessation" OR "smoking cessation") AND (comorbidity OR co-morbidity OR high-risk OR "high risk" OR "mental health" OR "drug abuse" OR "substance abuse" OR alcohol OR alcoholism))

The following terms used to refine the search strategy in the Cochrane Review did not yield additional articles, so were left out of the final search strategy: *"inhalant abuse"; "marijuana abuse"; "phencyclidine abuse"; "alcohol drinking"; "opioid-related disorders"; "cocaine-*

related disorders"; "amphetamine-related disorders"; "alcohol-related disorders"; "substance-related disorders"; "substance withdrawal syndrome".

Search strategy: internet media

To explore the nature of internet reporting, we reviewed websites identified by Google searches. We identified the first 50 links provided by our Google search for information on tobacco use in these subpopulations. After the first 50 links, a high volume of articles reappeared that had already been captured with other keyword search combinations. Our Google search combinations included the following text words and word variants:

Google Search #1: alcohol drug abuse and mental health smoking cessation

Google Search #2: smoking cessation in alcoholics

Google Search #3: smoking cessation alcoholism

Google Search #4: smoking cessation mental health

Google Search #5: smoking cessation drug abuse

Google Search #6: smoking cessation therapy mental health

Google Search #7: tobacco cessation therapy drug abuse

Google Search #8: smoking cessation comorbid

Google Search #9: smoking cessation high risk alcohol

Google Search #10: smoking cessation high risk

Google Search #11: smoking cessation high risk mental health

Google Search #12: smoking cessation high risk drug abuse

Google Search #13: tobacco control cessation and alcohol high risk comorbidity

Article selection

Studies were screened in two stages. Initially, article or website titles were reviewed, and only those titles containing relevant key words were further scrutinized by reading the full text. Only full text articles or websites meeting the inclusion criteria were included in the final analysis.

Any articles or websites that did not clearly meet the criteria were discussed by two authors (DA and DK) for a final decision about inclusion.

Data extraction

The following information was extracted from each article or website by one reviewer (DK):

- a) Title of the article
- b) Website URL address (if applicable)
- c) Publication type (e.g. newspaper, magazine article, wire service stories, broadcast transcripts, website)
- d) Publication date (month/year)
- e) Subpopulation (i.e. alcohol, drug abuse, mental health) receiving the intervention
- f) Source of evidence (scientific or personal anecdote)

In coding for content, two reviewers (DA and DK) assessed whether the article claimed that smoking cessation therapy was effective, ineffective, or made no judgment. Both coders worked independently and any discrepancies were discussed. A third coder was available to adjudicate any discrepancies and make a final decision if the discrepancy could not be resolved. The quality of each article was assessed using the Index of Scientific Quality (ISQ).¹¹ We determined whether the following study quality criteria were included:

- 1. Applicability:** Describes whether or not the author clarifies to whom the information in the report applies
- 2. Opinions versus Facts:** Describes whether or not facts are clearly distinguished from opinions
- 3. Validity:** Describes whether or not the assessment of the credibility (validity) of the evidence is clear and well-founded (not misleading)
- 4. Magnitude:** Describes whether or not the strength or magnitude of the findings (effects, risks, or costs) that are the main focus of the article are clearly reported

5. Precision: Describes whether or not the author provides a clear and well-founded (not misleading) assessment of the precision of any estimates that are reported or of the probability that any of the reported findings might be due to chance

6. Consistency: Describes whether or not the consistency of the evidence (between studies) is considered and whether the assessment is well-founded (not misleading)

7. Consequences: Describes whether or not all of the important consequences (benefits, risks, and costs) of concern relative to the central topic of the report are identified

8. Global: Describes the overall scientific quality of the report

9. Results – Describes the qualitative (e.g. personal anecdotes) and quantitative (e.g. relative risk values) data related to the efficacy of implementing smoking cessation interventions in ADM populations

10. Author Conclusion - While item number 9 extracts data on the actual results of the study, this item pertains to the author conclusions regarding the efficacy of implementing smoking cessation interventions in ADM populations which may or may not agree with the empirical or qualitative data that is reported.

The ISQ index uses a five-point scale with a 5 corresponding to the highest level of quality. A score of 4 or 5 pertains to criteria containing clear references to evidence, while a score of 2 or 3 represent partly or definitely unclear references to evidence. An ISQ score of 1 or 2 is assigned to criteria where the evidence base is potentially misleading. We assigned reports with low overall quality (corresponding to low quality for several ISQ criteria) a score of 1 or 2, and reports with high overall quality (corresponding to high quality for several ISQ criteria) a score of 4 or 5.

Analysis

We report the frequency of each item assessed and analyze the content of popular news reports by generating summary statistics based on the content scores for all articles. Each item was assigned equal weight even though each item could differ in the extent it influenced the quality of the article. We compared the results for the subgroup of stories from traditional media to the subgroup of stories from new media sources, and assessed quality rankings for both the overall sample and the subgroups (traditional and new media) by reporting average quality scores.

Comparison with scientific literature

We compared our findings to (1) a previously published meta-analysis³¹ which provided an empirical basis for supporting concurrent smoking cessation treatment in alcohol and drug abuse populations and (2) an earlier report which showed similar benefits for patients with depression.³²

RESULTS

As shown in Figure 1, we identified 10,216 potentially relevant articles in LexisNexis, of which 26 met our inclusion criteria and were included for analysis. Similarly, 650 websites were screened in Google, of which 26 met our inclusion criteria and were included for analysis.

Traditional media

Overall, 17 articles focused solely on tobacco cessation therapy in patients with mental health issues, one article focused solely on alcoholic patients, and one article focused solely on drug abuse patients. Four articles focused on tobacco cessation in patients having either alcohol, drug

abuse, or mental health problems, two articles were limited to both alcohol and drug abuse patients, and one article was limited to both mental health and drug abuse patients. Of these articles, two were published in 2004, 1 in 2005, 5 in 2006, 4 in 2007, 3 in 2008, 2 in 2009, 4 in 2010, 3 in 2011, and 2 in 2012.

Among the 26 articles meeting our inclusion criteria in our LexisNexis search, 25 contained author conclusions that supported the use of concurrent tobacco cessation therapy in ADM populations and one article contained an ambiguous conclusion. Nineteen articles contained results that were based on quantitative data, four articles contained results based on minimal evidence, two articles did not contain any evidence, and one article was supported by anecdotal claims.

Study quality

In Table 1, we provide the mean values and standard deviations for each ISQ quality criteria for both traditional and print media. Each article clearly stated the generalizability of its results—"applicability" received an average score of 5. The item "opinions versus facts" averaged 4.2 with 16 of 26 articles scoring a 5 for this category. An average score of 3.5 was assigned to the item "consequences". Similarly, authors described the "magnitude" of treatment effect infrequently—an average score of 3.4 was assigned to this item with 13 of 26 articles scoring a 5 for this item and 7 articles scoring a 1. The item "validity" received an average score of 2.4 with only 1 article scoring a 5 for this item and 8 articles scoring a 1. The item "consistency" received an average score of 1.9 with individual scores ranging from 1 to 4, while the item "precision" received a score of 1.7 with individual results ranging from 1 to 3. Overall, the

average “global” score across all studies was 3.2.

Table 1. Study quality data for traditional and internet media.

	Applicability	Opinions versus Facts	Validity	Magnitude	Precision	Consistency	Consequences	Global
Traditional Media	5.00 +/- 0.00	4.15 +/- 1.19	2.42 +/- 1.06	3.42 +/- 1.75	1.69 +/- 0.97	1.92 +/- 1.06	3.54 +/- 1.30	3.16 +/- 0.61
Internet Media	4.89 +/- 0.65	4.58 +/- 0.90	2.68 +/- 1.10	3.53 +/- 1.26	2.32 +/- 1.50	2.89 +/- 1.39	3.68 +/- 1.33	3.51 +/- 0.79

Legend. Values are mean +/- standard deviation

Source: Data collected by the authors.

Internet media

Overall, 10 websites contained articles that focused solely on tobacco cessation therapy in patients with mental health issues, five articles focused solely on alcoholic patients, and no articles focused solely on drug abuse patients. Seven articles focused on tobacco cessation in patients having either alcohol, drug abuse, or mental health problems, no articles were limited to both alcohol and drug abuse patients, three articles were limited to both mental health and drug abuse patients, and one article was limited to alcohol and mental health patients. Also, 13 of 26 websites assessed were published in the last 3 years, eight websites contained articles published between 2005 and 2009, and five websites contained articles without a publication date.

All 26 articles identified using Google contained author conclusions that supported the use of concurrent tobacco cessation therapy in ADM populations. Of these 26 articles, 23 articles contained results that were based on quantitative data, two articles contained results based on minimal evidence, and one article contained results based on both quantitative and anecdotal

evidence.

Study quality

Twenty-four of 26 articles clearly stated the generalizability of its results—“applicability” received an average score of 4.9. The item “opinions versus facts” averaged 4.6 with 21 of 26 articles scoring a 5 for this category. An average score of 3.7 was assigned to the item “consequences”. Similarly, most authors described the “magnitude” of treatment effect only partially—an average score of 3.5 was assigned to this item with 11 of 26 articles scoring a 5 for this item, 13 articles scoring a 3 for this item, and two articles scoring a 1. The item “validity” received an average score of 2.7 with only one article scoring a 5 for this item and 7 articles scoring a 1. The item “consistency” received an average score of 2.9 with individual scores ranging from 1 to 5, while the item “precision” received a score of 2.3 with individual results ranging from 1 to 5. Overall, the average “global” score across all studies was 3.5. Mean and standard deviation study quality data is presented in Table 1.

Comparison with scientific literature

Overall, the 2004 meta-analysis by Prochaska et al.³¹ found that intervention effects for smoking cessation were significant at post-treatment for alcoholic and drug abuse patients, but were no longer significant at 6-12 months follow-up. Specifically, patients receiving smoking cessation therapy experienced a two-fold (relative risk of 2.03) increase in smoking abstinence following treatment. Our review found that popular reporting of tobacco cessation therapy in treatment matches the findings from Prochaska’s study; authors reported a favorable conclusion regarding concurrent treatment of tobacco addiction in ADM patients in 25 of 25 articles or websites.

The report by Covey et al.³² found that quit rates for smokers receiving smoking cessation therapy were the same for patients with and without major depression and the depressive episodes were not adversely affected by the smoking intervention, a finding that supports concurrent treatment. Combining results for men and women, Covey reported that 13 of 49 (27%) non-alcoholic patients with major depression successfully quit smoking while 30 of 110 (27%) non-alcoholic patients without major depression successfully quit smoking. Likewise, we found that popular reporting expressed support for concurrent treatment of tobacco addiction in psychiatric patients in 26 of 27 articles or websites.

DISCUSSION

Although we hypothesized that popular reporting of smoking cessation interventions for ADM populations would rely on anecdotal evidence, we found the opposite to be true. Most articles relied solely on quantitative estimates of tobacco reduction or abstinence. Moreover, consistent with the scientific literature, all but one article presented a favorable conclusion regarding smoking cessation therapy for ADM patients. Author conclusions were similar for both LexisNexis and Google.

Our average study quality scores for individual items and for pooled items (global) were also comparable for LexisNexis and Google. The item “applicability” differed by only 0.1 (i.e. 5.0 versus 4.9 for LexisNexis and Google, respectively). The item “consistency” had the largest difference (i.e. 1.9 versus 2.9 for LexisNexis and Google, respectively).

1
2
3 The publication dates for our LexisNexis articles or Google websites ranged from 2004 to 2012.
4
5 17 of 26 LexisNexis articles were published before 2010. However, only 8 of 26 Google
6
7 websites were published before 2010. Although this would suggest that clinical findings are
8
9 diffused into traditional print media (i.e. LexisNexis) faster relative to Web media (i.e. Google),
10
11 it is possible we missed earlier publications archived by Google since we only reviewed the first
12
13 five web pages from each search.
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 **Study limitations**

21
22 A limitation of our study is that we may not have identified all published reports in LexisNexis.
23
24 As we limited our inclusion criteria to only articles published in English and drawn from a US
25
26 news source, it is possible some articles were missed. Moreover, we cannot rule out the
27
28 possibility that non-US news reports could have higher quality or different author conclusions
29
30 regarding concurrent smoking cessation treatment in ADM populations. Prochaska et al.³¹ did
31
32 not limit their inclusion criteria to English only articles or studies based in the US.
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 Our comparison with the scientific evidence is further limited by the fact that the
40
41 contemporaneous systematic review³¹ only included articles that reported point prevalence
42
43 abstinence, excluding articles that only reported on smoking reduction. However, many of the
44
45 news articles and websites we reviewed based their conclusions on whether or not smoking
46
47 cessation interventions resulted in smoking reductions of any amount. Our comparison with the
48
49 article by Covey et al.³² is limited since that article only assessed smoking cessation therapy
50
51 effects in patients who had major depression. However, most of our findings from LexisNexis
52
53 or Google did not describe which mental health condition patients undergoing smoking cessation
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

had, or described a different mental health condition such as schizophrenia.

Moreover, the patient backgrounds were adequately described by both Prochaska et al. and Covey et al.^{31 32} and patients not meeting the *a priori* defined inclusion criteria were excluded. The majority of articles and websites we reviewed only categorized patients as alcoholics, drug abusers, or those with mental illness, without discussing whether or not their conclusions depended on other patient characteristics such as age or sex.

CONCLUSION

Our findings provide new evidence about translation of research from bedside to community in tobacco cessation therapy. We present two new innovations in discussing popular reporting on health: we compare popular reporting to a contemporaneous systematic review, and include online media as well as traditional reporting. We find that popular reporting on this topic is high-quality and mirrors the results of existing clinical data. Our results also suggest that scientific research on this topic has diffused relatively quickly into popular reporting. Further study of journalists reporting in this area might identify useful research translation strategies for other areas of tobacco control. Finally, our exploratory study of Internet reporting provides new insights about the relative role of evidence in Web versus traditional print media. Our results suggest that the continuing limited provision of tobacco cessation therapy in drug abuse and mental health treatment is not due to poor research translation.

Acknowledgements: The authors acknowledge Dr. Lisa Bero for reviewing drafts of our manuscript. Dr. Bero did not receive any compensation for her assistance.

Competing Interest: The authors declare that they have no financial or any other conflicts of interest to report.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Cancer Institute, grant #1K07CA140236.

Author Contributions: Both authors (DA and DK) conceived and designed the study, collected and analyzed the data, and wrote and approved the final draft of the paper. DK submitted the study. Both authors also had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Data Sharing: We have made our dataset available via Dryad's data repository website:
<https://datadryad.org/>

REFERENCES

1. Schroeder SA. A 51-Year-Old Woman With Bipolar Disorder Who Wants to Quit Smoking. *JAMA* 2009;301(5):522-31.

2. National youth tobacco survey. In: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, editor. Atlanta, GA; 2009.

3. Mauer B. Morbidity and Mortality in People with Serious Mental Illness. In: Parks J, Svendsen D, Singer P, Foti M, editors. Alexandria, VA: National Association of State Mental Health Program Directors Medical Directors Council, 2006.

4. Williams JM, Ziedonis D. Addressing tobacco among individuals with a mental illness or an addiction. *Addictive Behaviors* 2004;29(6):1067-83.

5. Lasser K, Wesley BJ, Woolhandler S, Himmelstein DU, McCormick D, Bor DH. Smoking and mental illness: A population-based prevalence study. *JAMA* 2000;284:2606-10.

6. Hughes JR, Novy P, Hatsukami D, Jensen J, Callas P. Efficacy of nicotine patch in smokers with a history of alcoholism. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research* 2003;27(6):946-54.

7. Richter K, McCool R, Okuyemi KS, Mayo M, Ahluwalia JS. Patients' views on smoking cessation and tobacco harm reduction during treatment. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research* 2002;4(supp):175-82.

8. Richter K. Good and bad times for treating cigarette smoking in drug treatment. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs* 2006;38(3):311-15.

9. Apollonio D, Philipps R, Bero LA. Tobacco cessation intervention versus placebo for tobacco addiction in individuals in alcohol or substance abuse treatment or recovery. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012;forthcoming.

10. Goldsmith R, Knapp J. Towards a broader view of recovery. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 1993;10(2):107-11.

11. Oxman AD, Guyatt GH, Cook DJ, Jaeschke R, Heddle N, Keller J. An index of scientific quality for health reports in the lay press. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1993;46(9):987-1001.

12. Jamieson AM. The messenger as policy maker: thinking about the press and policy networks in the Washington community. *Democracy and North America*:114-32.

13. Hallin DC. The media, the wair in Vietnam, and political support: a critique of the thesis of an oppositional media. *Journal of Politics* 1984;46:2-24.

14. Page BI, Shapiro RY, Dempsey GR. What Moves Public Opinion? *American Political Science Review* 1987;81(1):23-43.

15. Cobb MD, Kuklinski JH. Changing minds: political arguments and political persuasion. *American Journal of Political Science* 1997;41(1):88-121.

16. Hetherington MJ. The media's role in forming voters' national economic evaluations in 1992. *American Journal of Political Science* 1996;40(2):372-95.

17. Iyengar S, Peters MD, Kinder DR. Experimental demonstrations of the "not-so-minimal" consequences of television news programs. *American Political Science Review* 1982;76:848-58.

18. Krosnick JA, Kinder DR. Altering the foundations of support for the president through priming. *American Political Science Review* 1990;84(2):497-512.

19. McComas KA. Defining Moments in Risk Communication Research: 1996-2005. *Journal of Health Communications* 2006;11:75-91.

20. Schwitzer G. How the media left the evidence out in the cold. *British Medical Journal* 2003;326(7403):1403-04.
21. Grilli R, Ramsay C, Minozzi S. Mass media interventions: effects on health services utilization. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2001(4):CD000389.
22. Phillips DP, Kanter EJ, Bednarczyk B, Tastad PL. Importance of the lay press in the transmission of medical knowledge to the scientific community. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 1991;325(16):1180-83.
23. Moynihan R, Bero LA, Ross-Degnan D, Henry D, Lee K, Watkins J, et al. Coverage by the News Media of the Benefits and Risks of Medications. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2000;342(22):1645-50.
24. Winsten JA. Science and the Media: The Boundaries of Truth. *Health Affairs* 1985;4(1):5-23.
25. Steinbrook R. Medical Journals and Medical Reporting. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2000;342(22):1668-71.
26. Smith R. Medical journals and the mass media: moving from love and hate to love. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine* 2006;99(7):347-52.
27. Taroni F, Grilli R. Mass Media Interventions. *International Encyclopedia of Public Health* 2008:202-10.
28. Fielding J, Marks J, Myers B, Nolan P, Rawson R, Toomey K. How do we translate science into public health policy and law? *Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics* 2002;30(3 suppl):s22-s32.
29. Remuzzi G, Schieppati A. Lessons from the Di Bella affair. *The Lancet* 1999;353(9161):1289-190.
30. Schwitzer G. How do US journalists cover treatments, tests, products, and procedures? An evaluation of 500 stories. *PLoS Med* 2008;5(5):e95.
31. Prochaska JJ, Delucchi K, Hall SM. A meta-analysis of smoking cessation interventions with individuals in substance abuse treatment or recovery. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2004;72(6):1144-56.
32. Covey LS, Glassman AH, Stetner F, Becker J. Effect of history of alcoholism or major depression on smoking cessation. *Am J Psychiatry* 1993;150(10):1546-7.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure legend
N indicates the number of studies

For peer review only




Figure 1. Flow of included articles (traditional media only)

BMJ Open

Accuracy of popular media reporting on tobacco cessation therapy in substance abuse and mental health populations

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2014-007169.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	17-Feb-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Krauth, David; University of California, San Francisco, Department of Clinical Pharmacy Apollonio, Dorothy; University of California, San Francisco, Philip R. Lee Institute for Health Policy Studies; Department of Clinical Pharmacy
Primary Subject Heading:	Health policy
Secondary Subject Heading:	Health policy, Public health, Qualitative research, Smoking and tobacco
Keywords:	Health policy < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, PUBLIC HEALTH, QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Accuracy of popular media reporting on tobacco cessation therapy in substance abuse and mental health populations

David Krauth, MPH and Dorie Apollonio, PhD

Department of Clinical Pharmacy, San Francisco, CA
University of California, San Francisco, USA

Corresponding author: Dorie Apollonio, PhD

Associate Professor

Department of Clinical Pharmacy

3333 California Street, Suite 420

San Francisco, CA 94143 – 0613

Phone: (415) 502-1942

Email: dorie.apollonio@ucsf.edu

Key Words: tobacco cessation, alcohol, substance abuse, mental health, health communication

Word Count: 3417

ABSTRACT

Background: Tobacco cessation therapy is not consistently provided for alcohol, drug abuse, and mental health (ADM) populations, despite the enormous health consequences of tobacco addiction in these groups and research supporting the effectiveness of treatment. Policymakers, however, tend to rely on popular media reports rather than the scientific literature in regulating treatment. Our goal was to determine whether popular reporting accurately reflects findings from the scientific literature on tobacco cessation treatment for ADM populations in treatment.

Methods: We compared the results of systematic reviews on tobacco cessation therapy published before 2004 with articles published in traditional media and on the internet over the following eight years. We searched LexisNexis and Google and assessed them using the Index of Scientific Quality (ISQ).

Results: We found that popular reporting on this topic was consistent with findings reported in contemporaneous scientific literature. Our results suggest that the failure to consistently provide tobacco cessation therapy to ADM populations in treatment is not due to poor research translation.

Conclusion: Our findings also suggest that in this topic area, scientific research findings have diffused relatively quickly. Further study of journalism in this area may suggest new strategies for effective translation of scientific findings into popular reporting on tobacco control.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This paper provides new evidence about translation of research from bedside to community in tobacco cessation therapy.
- Our exploratory study of Internet reporting reveals new insights about the relative role of evidence in Web versus traditional print media.

- Unlike a contemporaneous systematic review of scientific literature, we limited our inclusion criteria to articles published in English and drawn from a US news source.
- Although many of the news articles and websites we reviewed based their conclusions on whether or not smoking cessation interventions resulted in smoking reductions of any amount, systematic reviews of tobacco cessation focus on point prevalence abstinence.
- The majority of articles and websites we reviewed only categorized patients as alcoholics, drug abusers, or those with mental illness, without discussing whether or not their conclusions depended on other patient characteristics such as age or sex.

INTRODUCTION

Patients receiving treatment for alcohol, drug abuse, and mental health (ADM) problems are disproportionately affected by smoking. Tobacco use causes 435,000 annual deaths in the United States, and approximately 200,000 of these deaths occur in ADM populations, where smoking rates are 2-4 times greater than the general population.¹⁻⁴ People with a mental illness or substance abuse disorder smoke nearly half of all the cigarettes smoked in the US.⁵ Despite clinical evidence supporting the benefits of concurrent treatment and a desire and ability of patients to quit smoking⁶⁻⁸, statewide policy diffusion has been slow and few states have mandated that mental health clinics and drug abuse centers require the provision of smoking cessation as a condition of licensure.⁹

There are many reasons for the poor translation of clinical evidence into policy, including the belief among healthcare providers that the health risks from smoking are less important than the perceived benefits of smoking, which are thought to calm psychiatric patients and reduce the risk of relapse.¹⁰ Other barriers include provider fears that trying to simultaneously quit smoking would compromise efforts to recover from other addictions,^{8 11} questions regarding the best time to integrate smoking cessation treatment, and the fact that many individuals who staff drug abuse clinics and psychiatric wards are smokers themselves.¹⁰

A conceptual model of research translation details the process from the generation of clinical research to the uptake of research by journalists and other policy intermediaries who communicate directly with policymakers. However, a fundamental missing element in understanding research translation has been identifying the extent to which scientific research moves onto the policy agenda by attracting the attention of journalists. To address this

knowledge gap, we have situated our discussion around two seminal studies favoring concurrent treatment: (1) a previous meta-analysis by Prochaska et al.¹² which found smoking cessation therapy was associated with a 25% increased likelihood of long-term abstinence from alcohol and illicit drugs and (2) a report by Covey et al.¹³ concluding that administering smoking cessation therapy to patients with and without major depression produces equivalent smoking quit rates. By comparing these clinical research findings with journalistic claims about smoking cessation therapy in ADM populations, we can identify the extent to which clinical research findings have been translated into general knowledge.

The rationale for understanding how evidence moves along the research translation pathway is to enact evidence-based policy. The general public, health professionals, and policymakers rely heavily on journalistic reports to inform their healthcare decisions and policies¹⁴. Research on policymaking also details the critical importance of journalists to communication within policymaking networks and in shaping constituency opinions.^{15 16} Although partisanship, ideology, and maintaining consistent voting records all factor into policymakers' decisions, policymakers also view the extent of public support for proposed policies as critical information in making decisions about whether to enact such changes. The extent and nature of press coverage of issues cues popular opinion and establishes the policy relevance of those issues,¹⁷⁻²¹ in particular increasing attention to existing problems and fostering demands for political action. Media messages are particularly relevant in establishing popular understanding of health risks and treatments.²²⁻²⁶ Journalists thus provide an independent source of information about the public relevance of proposed treatments to policymakers seeking to make decisions about systemic health interventions.²⁷⁻³⁰ However, media misunderstanding of research findings is common.^{23 26 31 32}

Journalists are known to play an important information-gathering role for policymakers but the degree to which journalists communicate with clinical researchers is unclear. Previous studies comparing popular news reports about scientific research to the journal articles that inspired them show a lack of consistency between author conclusions.^{23 26 27 32} Furthermore, a review by HealthNewsReview.org covering 500 health news reports by US journalists determined that journalists often fail to discuss intervention costs, the validity of evidence presented, the magnitude of the findings (effects, risks, or costs), and alternative options.³³ Consistent with these trends, we hypothesized (1) that popular reporting on smoking cessation relies on anecdotal evidence (as opposed to scientific) and will deviate from the scientific evidence and (2) that the majority of news reports we review are low-quality. If these hypotheses were correct, poor translation of research findings into the popular media could explain why policies on tobacco cessation are not informed by research evidence.

To test our hypotheses, we reviewed popular reporting of effects of smoking cessation therapy in ADM populations and relied on the Oxman et al.¹⁴ instrument to assess report quality. We used both the Prochaska et al. and Covey et al. studies as a source of clinical evidence, which we compared with our review of popular reporting.

METHODS

Our analysis of research translation relies on reports from the popular media. We reviewed both print articles and websites archived in the public domain that were most likely to be easily found by individuals inexperienced with traditional academic research methods. To identify traditional media (i.e. print media) we searched the LexisNexis database for newspaper and magazine

articles. To mirror electronic search strategies and identify internet media, we ran keyword searches through the most popular online search engine, Google.

Inclusion criteria

We included articles indexed in LexisNexis and websites in Google that we identified using defined keyword searches (Table 1). We included: (1) major newspaper, magazine article, wire service stories, broadcast transcripts, internet content from independent bloggers, or websites containing either scientific or anecdotal claims regarding the effectiveness of smoking cessation in ADM populations, that were (2) published in English and (3) drawn from a U.S. news source. Websites containing links to peer reviewed articles, news reports, grey literature, or fact sheets were included as long as these additional resources met the inclusion criteria.

Exclusion criteria

We excluded sources discussing smoking cessation therapy in ADM populations that assessed only non-smoking related health outcomes. For example, assessments of whether or not alcoholics receiving smoking cessation therapy reduced their intake of alcohol were not included in the final review.

Search strategy and article selection

Our search strategy and terms are provided in Table 1. Studies were screened in two stages, as outlined in Figure 1. Any articles or websites that did not clearly meet the criteria were discussed by two authors (DA and DK) for a final decision about inclusion.

Table 1. Search strategy and terms for both traditional and internet media. *search terms excluded on the grounds that they did not yield additional articles.

	Dates of Search	Search Terms Included	Search Terms Excluded*
Traditional Media	July 2004 – July 2012	((("tobacco cessation" OR "smoking cessation") AND (comorbidity OR comorbidity OR high-risk OR "high risk" OR "mental health" OR "drug abuse" OR "substance abuse" OR alcohol OR alcoholism))	inhalant abuse"; "marijuana abuse"; "phencyclidine abuse"; "alcohol drinking"; "opioid-related disorders"; "cocaine-related disorders"; "amphetamine-related disorders"; "alcohol-related disorders"; "substance-related disorders"; "substance withdrawal syndrome"
Internet Media	NA	Google Search #1: alcohol drug abuse and mental health smoking cessation Google Search #2: smoking cessation in alcoholics Google Search #3: smoking cessation alcoholism Google Search #4: smoking cessation mental health Google Search #5: smoking cessation drug abuse Google Search #6: smoking cessation therapy mental health Google Search #7: tobacco cessation therapy drug abuse Google Search #8: smoking cessation comorbid Google Search #9: smoking cessation high risk alcohol Google Search #10: smoking cessation high risk Google Search #11: smoking cessation high risk mental health Google Search #12: smoking cessation high risk drug abuse Google Search #13: tobacco control cessation and alcohol high risk comorbidity	Any links following the first 50 links provided by Google search

Data extraction

The following information was extracted from each article or website by one reviewer (DK):

- Title of the article
- Website URL address (if applicable)
- Publication type (e.g. newspaper, magazine article, wire service stories, broadcast transcripts, website)

- d) Publication date (month/year)
- e) Subpopulation (i.e. alcohol, drug abuse, mental health) receiving the intervention
- f) Source of evidence (scientific or personal anecdote)

In coding for content, two reviewers (DA and DK) assessed whether the article claimed that smoking cessation therapy was effective, ineffective, or made no judgment. Both coders worked independently and any discrepancies were discussed. A third coder was available to adjudicate any discrepancies and make a final decision if the discrepancy could not be resolved. The quality of each article was assessed using the Index of Scientific Quality (ISQ).¹⁴ We determined whether the following quality criteria were included:

- 1. Applicability:** Describes whether or not the author clarifies to whom the information in the report applies
- 2. Opinions versus Facts:** Describes whether or not facts are clearly distinguished from opinions
- 3. Validity:** Describes whether or not the assessment of the credibility (validity) of the evidence is clear and well-founded (not misleading)
- 4. Magnitude:** Describes whether or not the strength or magnitude of the findings (effects, risks, or costs) that are the main focus of the article are clearly reported
- 5. Precision:** Describes whether or not the author provides a clear and well-founded (not misleading) assessment of the precision of any estimates that are reported or of the probability that any of the reported findings might be due to chance
- 6. Consistency:** Describes whether or not the consistency of the evidence (between studies) is considered and whether the assessment is well-founded (not misleading)
- 7. Consequences:** Describes whether or not all of the important consequences (benefits, risks, and costs) of concern relative to the central topic of the report are identified

8. Global: Describes the overall scientific quality of the report

9. Results – Describes the qualitative (e.g. personal anecdotes) and quantitative (e.g. relative risk values) data related to the efficacy of implementing smoking cessation interventions in ADM populations

10. Author Conclusion - While item number 9 extracts data on the actual results of the article, this item pertains to the author conclusions regarding the efficacy of implementing smoking cessation interventions in ADM populations which may or may not agree with the empirical or qualitative data that is reported.

The ISQ index uses a five-point scale with a 5 corresponding to the highest level of quality. A score of 4 or 5 pertains to criteria containing clear references to evidence, while a score of 2 or 3 represent partly or definitely unclear references to evidence. An ISQ score of 1 or 2 is assigned to criteria where the evidence base is potentially misleading. We assigned reports with low overall quality (corresponding to low quality for several ISQ criteria) a score of 1 or 2, and reports with high overall quality (corresponding to high quality for several ISQ criteria) a score of 4 or 5.

Analysis

We report the frequency of each item assessed and analyze the content of popular news reports by generating summary statistics based on the content scores for all articles. Each item was assigned equal weight even though each item could differ in the extent it influenced the quality of the article. We compared the results for the subgroup of stories from traditional media to the subgroup of stories identified using Google, and assessed quality rankings for both the overall sample and the subgroups (traditional and internet media) by reporting average quality scores.

Comparison with scientific literature

We compared our findings to (1) a previously published meta-analysis¹² which provided an empirical basis for supporting concurrent smoking cessation treatment in alcohol and drug abuse populations and (2) an earlier report which showed similar benefits for patients with depression.¹³

RESULTS

As shown in Figure 1, we identified 10,216 potentially relevant articles in LexisNexis, of which 26 met our inclusion criteria and were included for analysis. Similarly, 650 websites were screened in Google, of which 26 met our inclusion criteria and were included for analysis.

Traditional media

Overall, 17 articles focused solely on tobacco cessation therapy in patients with mental health issues, one article focused solely on alcoholic patients, and one article focused solely on drug abuse patients. Four articles focused on tobacco cessation in patients having either alcohol, drug abuse, or mental health problems, two articles were limited to both alcohol and drug abuse patients, and one article was limited to both mental health and drug abuse patients. Of these articles, two were published in 2004, 1 in 2005, 5 in 2006, 4 in 2007, 3 in 2008, 2 in 2009, 4 in 2010, 3 in 2011, and 2 in 2012.

Among the 26 articles meeting our inclusion criteria in our LexisNexis search, 25 contained author conclusions that supported the use of concurrent tobacco cessation therapy in ADM populations and one article contained an ambiguous conclusion. Nineteen articles contained results that were based on quantitative data, four articles contained results based on minimal

evidence, two articles did not contain any evidence, and one article was supported by anecdotal claims.

Article quality

In Table 2, we provide the mean values and standard deviations for each ISQ quality criteria for both traditional and internet media. Each article clearly stated the generalizability of its results—“applicability” received an average score of 5. The item “opinions versus facts” averaged 4.2 with 16 of 26 articles scoring a 5 for this category. An average score of 3.5 was assigned to the item “consequences”. Similarly, authors described the “magnitude” of treatment effect infrequently—an average score of 3.4 was assigned to this item with 13 of 26 articles scoring a 5 for this item and 7 articles scoring a 1. The item “validity” received an average score of 2.4 with only 1 article scoring a 5 for this item and 8 articles scoring a 1. The item “consistency” received an average score of 1.9 with individual scores ranging from 1 to 4, while the item “precision” received a score of 1.7 with individual results ranging from 1 to 3. Overall, the average “global” score across all studies was 3.2. We provide a table of the data including the media sources and matrix of the review criteria in Supplementary Table 1.

Table 2. Summary statistics for article quality in traditional and internet media

	Applicability	Opinions versus Facts	Validity	Magnitude	Precision	Consistency	Consequences	Global
Traditional Media	5.00 +/- 0.00	4.15 +/- 1.19	2.42 +/- 1.06	3.42 +/- 1.75	1.69 +/- 0.97	1.92 +/- 1.06	3.54 +/- 1.30	3.16 +/- 0.61
Internet Media	4.89 +/- 0.65	4.58 +/- 0.90	2.68 +/- 1.10	3.53 +/- 1.26	2.32 +/- 1.50	2.89 +/- 1.39	3.68 +/- 1.33	3.51 +/- 0.79

Legend. Values are mean +/- standard deviation

Source: Data collected by the authors.

Internet media

Overall, 10 websites contained articles that focused solely on tobacco cessation therapy in

patients with mental health issues, five articles focused solely on alcoholic patients, and no articles focused solely on drug abuse patients. Seven articles focused on tobacco cessation in patients having either alcohol, drug abuse, or mental health problems, no articles were limited to both alcohol and drug abuse patients, three articles were limited to both mental health and drug abuse patients, and one article was limited to alcohol and mental health patients. Also, 13 of 26 websites assessed were published in the last 3 years, eight websites contained articles published between 2005 and 2009, and five websites contained articles without a publication date.

All 26 articles identified using Google contained author conclusions that supported the use of concurrent tobacco cessation therapy in ADM populations. Of these 26 articles, 23 articles contained results that were based on quantitative data, two articles contained results based on minimal evidence, and one article contained results based on both quantitative and anecdotal evidence.

Article quality

Twenty-four of 26 articles clearly stated the generalizability of its results—“applicability” received an average score of 4.9. The item “opinions versus facts” averaged 4.6 with 21 of 26 articles scoring a 5 for this category. An average score of 3.7 was assigned to the item “consequences”. Similarly, most authors described the “magnitude” of treatment effect only partially—an average score of 3.5 was assigned to this item with 11 of 26 articles scoring a 5 for this item, 13 articles scoring a 3 for this item, and two articles scoring a 1. The item “validity” received an average score of 2.7 with only one article scoring a 5 for this item and 7 articles scoring a 1. The item “consistency” received an average score of 2.9 with individual scores ranging from 1 to 5, while the item “precision” received a score of 2.3 with individual results

1 ranging from 1 to 5. Overall, the average “global” score across all studies was 3.5. Mean and
2 standard deviation quality data is presented in Table 2. We provide a table of the data including
3 the media sources and matrix of the review criteria in Supplementary Table 2.
4
5
6
7
8
9

10 **Comparison with scientific literature**

11 Overall, the 2004 meta-analysis by Prochaska et al.¹² found that intervention effects for smoking
12 cessation were significant at post-treatment for alcoholic and drug abuse patients, but were no
13 longer significant at 6-12 months follow-up. Specifically, patients receiving smoking cessation
14 therapy experienced a two-fold (relative risk of 2.03) increase in smoking abstinence following
15 treatment. Our review found that popular reporting of tobacco cessation therapy in treatment
16 matches the findings from Prochaska’s study; authors reported a favorable conclusion regarding
17 concurrent treatment of tobacco addiction in ADM patients in 25 of 25 articles or websites.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 The report by Covey et al.¹³ found that quit rates for smokers receiving smoking cessation
32 therapy were the same for patients with and without major depression and the depressive
33 episodes were not adversely affected by the smoking intervention, a finding that supports
34 concurrent treatment. Combining results for men and women, Covey reported that 13 of 49
35 (27%) non-alcoholic patients with major depression successfully quit smoking while 30 of 110
36 (27%) non-alcoholic patients without major depression successfully quit smoking. Likewise, we
37 found that popular reporting expressed support for concurrent treatment of tobacco addiction in
38 psychiatric patients in 26 of 27 articles or websites.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 **DISCUSSION**

53 Although we hypothesized that popular reporting of smoking cessation interventions for ADM
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

populations would rely on anecdotal evidence, we found the opposite to be true. Most articles relied solely on quantitative estimates of tobacco reduction or abstinence. Moreover, consistent with the scientific literature, all but one article presented a favorable conclusion regarding smoking cessation therapy for ADM patients. Author conclusions were similar for both LexisNexis and Google.

Our average quality scores for individual items and for pooled items (global) were also comparable for LexisNexis and Google. The item “applicability” differed by only 0.1 (i.e. 5.0 versus 4.9 for LexisNexis and Google, respectively). The item “consistency” had the largest difference (i.e. 1.9 versus 2.9 for LexisNexis and Google, respectively).

The publication dates for our LexisNexis articles or Google websites ranged from 2004 to 2012. 17 of 26 LexisNexis articles were published before 2010. However, only 8 of 26 Google websites were published before 2010. Although this would suggest that clinical findings are diffused into traditional print media (i.e. LexisNexis) faster relative to Web media (i.e. Google), it is possible we missed earlier publications archived by Google since we only reviewed the first five web pages from each search.

Study limitations

A limitation of our study is that we may not have identified all published articles in LexisNexis. As we limited our inclusion criteria to only articles published in English and drawn from a US news source, it is possible some articles were missed. Moreover, we cannot rule out the possibility that non-US news reports could have higher quality or different author conclusions regarding concurrent smoking cessation treatment in ADM populations. The systematic review

of scientific literature written by Prochaska et al.¹² did not limit their inclusion criteria to English only articles or studies based in the US.

Our comparison with the scientific evidence is further limited by the fact that the contemporaneous systematic review¹² only included articles that reported point prevalence abstinence, excluding articles that only reported on smoking reduction. However, many of the news articles and websites we reviewed based their conclusions on whether or not smoking cessation interventions resulted in smoking reductions of any amount. Our comparison with the article by Covey et al.¹³ is limited since that article only assessed smoking cessation therapy effects in patients who had major depression. However, most of our findings from LexisNexis or Google did not describe which mental health condition patients undergoing smoking cessation had, or described a different mental health condition such as schizophrenia.

Moreover, the patient backgrounds were adequately described by both Prochaska et al. and Covey et al.^{12 13} and patients not meeting the *a priori* defined inclusion criteria were excluded. The majority of articles and websites we reviewed only categorized patients as alcoholics, drug abusers, or those with mental illness, without discussing whether or not their conclusions depended on other patient characteristics such as age or sex.

CONCLUSION

Our findings provide new evidence about translation of research from bedside to community in tobacco cessation therapy. We present two new innovations in discussing popular reporting on health: we compare popular reporting to a contemporaneous systematic review, and include online media as well as traditional reporting. We find that popular reporting on this topic is

high-quality and mirrors the results of existing clinical data. Our results also suggest that scientific research on this topic diffused relatively quickly into popular reporting. Further study of journalists reporting in this area might identify useful research translation strategies for other areas of tobacco control. Finally, our exploratory study of Internet reporting provides new insights about the relative role of evidence in Web versus traditional print media. Our results suggest that the continuing limited provision of tobacco cessation therapy in drug abuse and mental health treatment is not due to poor research translation.

Acknowledgements: The authors acknowledge Dr. Lisa Bero for reviewing drafts of our manuscript. Dr. Bero did not receive any compensation for her assistance.

Competing Interest: The authors declare that they have no financial or any other conflicts of interest to report.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Cancer Institute, grant #1K07CA140236.

Author Contributions: Both authors (DA and DK) conceived and designed the study, collected and analyzed the data, and wrote and approved the final draft of the paper. DK submitted the study. Both authors also had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Data Sharing: We have made our dataset available via Dryad’s data repository website: <https://datadryad.org/>

REFERENCES

1. Schroeder SA. A 51-Year-Old Woman With Bipolar Disorder Who Wants to Quit Smoking. *JAMA* 2009;301(5):522-31.
2. National Youth Tobacco Survey. In: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, editor. Atlanta, GA, 2009.
3. Mauer B. Morbidity and Mortality in People with Serious Mental Illness. In: Parks J, Svendsen D, Singer P, Foti M, editors. Alexandria, VA: National Association of State Mental Health Program Directors Medical Directors Council, 2006.
4. Williams JM, Ziedonis D. Addressing tobacco among individuals with a mental illness or an addiction. *Addictive Behaviors* 2004;29(6):1067-83.
5. Lasser K, Wesley BJ, Woolhandler S, Himmelstein DU, McCormick D, Bor DH. Smoking and mental illness: A population-based prevalence study. *JAMA* 2000;284:2606-10.
6. Hughes JR, Novy P, Hatsukami D, Jensen J, Callas P. Efficacy of nicotine patch in smokers with a history of alcoholism. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research* 2003;27(6):946-54.
7. Richter K, McCool R, Okuyemi KS, Mayo M, Ahluwalia JS. Patients' views on smoking cessation and tobacco harm reduction during treatment. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research* 2002;4(suppl):175-82.
8. Richter K. Good and bad times for treating cigarette smoking in drug treatment. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs* 2006;38(3):311-15.
9. Krauth D, Apollonio D. State-level tobacco cessation programs for individuals in substance abuse treatment facilities. *Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco*. Seattle, Washington, 2014.
10. Apollonio D, Philipps R, Bero LA. Tobacco cessation intervention versus placebo for tobacco addiction in individuals in alcohol or substance abuse treatment or recovery. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012;forthcoming.
11. Goldsmith R, Knapp J. Towards a broader view of recovery. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 1993;10(2):107-11.
12. Prochaska JJ, Delucchi K, Hall SM. A meta-analysis of smoking cessation interventions with individuals in substance abuse treatment or recovery. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2004;72(6):1144-56.
13. Covey LS, Glassman AH, Stetner F, Becker J. Effect of history of alcoholism or major depression on smoking cessation. *Am J Psychiatry* 1993;150(10):1546-7.
14. Oxman AD, Guyatt GH, Cook DJ, Jaeschke R, Heddle N, Keller J. An index of scientific quality for health reports in the lay press. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1993;46(9):987-1001.
15. Jamieson AM. The messenger as policy maker: thinking about the press and policy networks in the Washington community. *Democracy and North America*:114-32.
16. Hallin DC. The media, the war in Vietnam, and political support: a critique of the thesis of an oppositional media. *Journal of Politics* 1984;46:2-24.
17. Page BI, Shapiro RY, Dempsey GR. What Moves Public Opinion? *American Political Science Review* 1987;81(1):23-43.
18. Cobb MD, Kuklinski JH. Changing minds: political arguments and political persuasion. *American Journal of Political Science* 1997;41(1):88-121.
19. Hetherington MJ. The media's role in forming voters' national economic evaluations in 1992. *American Journal of Political Science* 1996;40(2):372-95.

20. Iyengar S, Peters MD, Kinder DR. Experimental demonstrations of the "not-so-minimal" consequences of television news programs. *American Political Science Review* 1982;76:848-58.

21. Krosnick JA, Kinder DR. Altering the foundations of support for the president through priming. *American Political Science Review* 1990;84(2):497-512.

22. McComas KA. Defining Moments in Risk Communication Research: 1996-2005. *Journal of Health Communications* 2006;11:75-91.

23. Schwitzer G. How the media left the evidence out in the cold. *British Medical Journal* 2003;326(7403):1403-04.

24. Grilli R, Ramsay C, Minozzi S. Mass media interventions: effects on health services utilization. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2001(4):CD000389.

25. Phillips DP, Kanter EJ, Bednarczyk B, Tastad PL. Importance of the lay press in the transmission of medical knowledge to the scientific community. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 1991;325(16):1180-83.

26. Moynihan R, Bero LA, Ross-Degnan D, Henry D, Lee K, Watkins J, et al. Coverage by the News Media of the Benefits and Risks of Medications. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2000;342(22):1645-50.

27. Winsten JA. Science and the Media: The Boundaries of Truth. *Health Affairs* 1985;4(1):5-23.

28. Steinbrook R. Medical Journals and Medical Reporting. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2000;342(22):1668-71.

29. Smith R. Medical journals and the mass media: moving from love and hate to love. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine* 2006;99(7):347-52.

30. Taroni F, Grilli R. Mass Media Interventions. *International Encyclopedia of Public Health* 2008:202-10.

31. Fielding J, Marks J, Myers B, Nolan P, Rawson R, Toomey K. How do we translate science into public health policy and law? *Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics* 2002;30(3 suppl):s22-s32.

32. Remuzzi G, Schieppati A. Lessons from the Di Bella affair. *The Lancet* 1999;353(9161):1289-190.

33. Schwitzer G. How do US journalists cover treatments, tests, products, and procedures? An evaluation of 500 stories. *PLoS Med* 2008;5(5):e95.

Figure legend

N indicates the number of studies

For peer review only




Figure 1. Flow of included articles (traditional media only)

Supplementary

Table 1. Quality criteria for articles identified via Lexis Nexus (traditional media). * denotes author conclusion was ambiguous. All other articles state concurrent treatment is efficacious.

Title	Publication Type	Applicability	Opinions versus Facts	Validity	Magnitude	Precision	Consistency	Consequences	Global
NJ restores smoking cessation program for addiction centers	News - Associated Press and Local wire	5	2	1	2	1	3	5	3
Comorbid Tobacco Dependence and Psychiatric Disorders	News	5	5	3	5	1	3	5	4
Smokers With Psychiatric Disorders Including Nicotine Dependence Consume Most U.S. Cigarettes Findings Raise Questions for Health Professionals, Researchers	National Institute on Drug Abuse	5	4	3	1	3	3	1	3
NIDA Research Illuminates Associations Between Psychiatric Disorders and Smoking; Research Findings	National Institute on Drug Abuse	5	5	3	5	3	1	3	4
* Hospital preparing to kick habit; A lawyer for smokers at Riverview Psychiatric Center sees little chance of reversing a smoking ban.	Portland Press Herald	5	1	1	1	1	1	2	2
Practical Psychopharmacology Make Smoking Cessation a Priority; Prevalence is high among psychiatric patients, but less than 2% get counseling from their psychiatrists.	Clinical Psychiatry News	5	5	2	5	1	4	5	4
Prevention in Action Promoting Physical Health Among Mentally ill	Clinical Psychiatry News	5	5	3	5	1	3	3	4
Smoke Alarm: The Truth About Smoking and Mental Illness; First-of-its-kind Tobacco Treatment Unveiled for Persons with Serious Mental Illness Funded by New York State Department of Health	Clinical Psychiatry News	5	5	3	5	3	1	5	4
Smoking cessation can be successful in	Reuters	5	5	3	5	3	1	5	4

depressed mental health outpatients	Health Medical News								
DENVER, Colo.: Toolkit Eliminates Tobacco-Related Health Disparities For People With Mental Illnesses	Targeted News Service	5	5	1	1	1	1	3	2
Inpatient Practice More Training Needed on Smoking Cessation	Clinical Psychiatry News	5	5	3	3	1	3	5	4
New CASA* Report: Teen Cigarette Smoking Linked to Brain Damage, Alcohol and Illegal Drug Abuse, Mental Illness; Teen Cigarette Smokers Likelier to Meet Medical Criteria for Alcohol, Drug Abuse and Dependence	Market Wire	5	5	3	5	1	1	3	3
New research to help people with mental disorders quit smoking	State News Service	5	3	3	1	1	1	5	3
How to help when smoking, alcohol complicate PTSD	The Associated Press – Newswire	5	3	3	5	1	3	3	3
Programs exist to help people with mental illness quit smoking	Bennington Banner (Vermont) – State and Regional News	5	3	1	3	1	3	3	3
Integrated approach to smoking cessation achieved higher quit rates	Clinical Advisor – News (publication type magazine)	5	5	3	5	3	3	3	4
More Substance Abuse Treatment Centers are Providing Nicotine Replacement Therapy to Help Patients Quit Tobacco Use	Targeted News Service	5	5	3	3	1	1	2	3
Smoking Cessation for Vets	Targeted News Service	5	5	3	5	3	3	5	4
Substance Abuse, Mental Health Facilities Going Tobacco Free	Targeted News Service	5	3	1	5	1	3	3	3
UMDNJ Researchers Propose	Targeted	5	5	1	1	1	1	3	2

Comprehensive Tobacco Recovery Model for Smokers with Mental Illness	News Service								
A Forgotten Community: New Legacy® Report Examines the Impact of Tobacco use Among People With Mental Illness	PR Newswire	5	5	1	1	1	1	3	2
Anti-smoking drug decreases alcohol consumption in heavy drinking smokers	State News Service	5	5	3	3	1	2	5	3
Smokers with mental disorders, drug, and alcohol problems need doctors' help to quit	State News Service	5	5	3	5	3	1	5	4
Smoking Ban to Take Effect at Substance Abuse and Mental Health Facilities	Targeted News Service	5	3	1	5	1	1	3	3
Kaiser hopes to help teen, adult ADHD smokers quit; Portland-based program seeking subjects for research	The Columbian	5	3	3	3	3	1	1	3
New PTSD program answers need	State News Service	5	3	5	1	3	1	3	3

Table 2. Quality criteria for websites identified via Google (internet media). Note: the author conclusion presented on each website was that concurrent treatment is efficacious.

Title	Applicability	Opinions versus Facts	Validity	Magnitude	Precision	Consistency	Consequences	Global
Mentally ill, addicted and tobacco: Public views change slowly as clinicians start taking responsibility for smoking cessation http://atodblog.com/	5	5	3	3	1	3	3	3
Smokers with Mental Disorders May Need Counseling to Quit http://psychcentral.com/news/2011/09/03/smokers-with-mental-disorders-may-need-counseling-to-quit/29016.html	5	5	3	5	1	3	3	4
Struggling With Alcohol? Better Quit Smoking, Too http://news.health.com/2008/10/27/struggling-alcohol-better-quit-smoking-too/	5	5	3	3	1	3	3	3
Title: none http://goaskalice.columbia.edu/alcohol-and-cigarettes-quitting-both-same-time	3	3	1	1	1	1	3	2
Can people quit smoking and still drink alcohol? http://ffn.yuku.com/topic/11599#.UHca-IHfKSp	5	3	1	3	1	3	5	3
Alcohol and Tobacco alcoholism.about.com/library/blnaa39.htm	5	5	3	3	2	3	5	4
Smoking and Mental Illness — Breaking the Link http://www.integration.samhsa.gov/health-wellness/tobacco-cessation	5	5	1	3	1	3	3	3

New Approach to Smoking Cessation Boosts Quit Rates - for Veterans with PTSD http://www1.va.gov/opa/pressrel/pressrelease.cfm?id=2017	5	5	3	5	3	3	3	4
Helping the Mentally Ill to Quit Smoking http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748703856704576285131131372562.html	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Integration of Smoking Cessation into Mental Health Treatment for Veterans with PTSD http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/icsh/meetings/summary072811/ptsd/index.htm	5	3	1	1	1	1	1	2
Smoking Cessation for Persons with Mental Health Illness - A Toolkit for Mental Health http://www.integration.samhsa.gov/Smoking_Cessation_for_Persons_with_MI.pdf	5	5	3	3	1	3	3	3
Smoking and Mental Health http://www.ash.org.uk/files/documents/ASH_120.pdf	5	5	3	3	1	3	5	4
Mental Health Clinicians Integrate Cessation Treatment Into Regular Care of Smokers With Posttraumatic Stress Disorder, Increasing Likelihood of Quitting https://innovations.ahrq.gov/profiles/mental-health-clinicians-integrate-cessation-treatment-regular-care-smokers-posttraumatic	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Mental Health Professionals http://mdquit.org/providers/mental-health-professionals	5	5	3	5	3	5	5	4

Smoking Cessation Treatments Work and Are Safe for People With Severe Mental Illness http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2010/05/100520131445.htm	5	5	1	3	1	1	5	3
Integrating Tobacco Cessation Treatment into Mental Health Care http://www.publichealth.va.gov/docs/smoking/smoking_mentalhealth.pdf	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5
Effect of smoking cessation advice on smokers with comorbid alcohol, drug, or mental disorders: an instrumental variable approach http://ihea2009.abstractbook.org/presentation/1169/	5	5	4	5	5	3	3	4
Smokers with Comorbid Conditions Need Their Doctor's Help to Quit http://www.cancer.ucla.edu/index.aspx?recordid=501&page=644	5	5	3	5	3	3	5	4
Comorbid Psychopathology - Teen Smoking Cessation http://chcr.umich.edu/project.php?id=961	5	5	3	3	5	1	2	3
Smokers with Comorbid Conditions Can Quit With Help from Doctors http://www.actiontoquit.org/news/smokers-with-comorbid-conditions-can-quit-with-help-from-doctors/	5	5	1	3	1	1	2	3
Counseling Helps Smokers With Comorbid Disorders Quit http://allnurses.com/clinical-news/counseling-helps-smokers-611219.html	5	5	3	5	3	1	1	3

Smokers With Psychiatric Co-Morbidity and/or Chemical Dependency http://www.ahrq.gov/clinic/tobacco/comorb.htm	5	2	1	3	1	3	3	3
Smoking Cessation Leadership Center http://smokingcessationleadership.ucsf.edu/Publications.htm	5	5	3	3	1	3	3	3
City Health Information http://www.nyc.gov/html/doh/downloads/pdf/chi/chi27-1.pdf	3	5	3	5	3	5	5	4
Alcohol and Tobacco http://pubs.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/AA71/AA71.htm	5	5	3	5	3	5	5	4
Live and Work Well https://www.liveandworkwell.com/smoking/articles/article.asp?ID=7745&bucketID=13	3	5	3	5	1	5	5	4