

# BMJ Open

## Dyads' combined perception of rehabilitation needs one year after stroke: a mixed methods study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2014-006784
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	29-Sep-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Ekstam, Lisa; Karolinska Institutet, Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society; Karolinska University Hospital, Department of Occupational Therapy Johansson, Ulla; Karolinska Institutet, Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society; Uppsala University/County Council of Gävle, Department of Clinical Research Guidetti, Susanne; Karolinska Institutet, Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society Eriksson, Gunilla; Karolinska Institutet, Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society; University Hospital, Akademiska sjukhuset, Department of Speech Pathology, Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy Ytterberg, Charlotte; Karolinska Institutet, Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b>:	Health services research
Secondary Subject Heading:	Neurology, Rehabilitation medicine
Keywords:	Stroke < NEUROLOGY, Quality in health care < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3 **Dyads' combined perception of rehabilitation needs one year after stroke: a mixed**  
4 **methods study**  
5

6  
7 Lisa Ekstam, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>, Ulla Johansson, PhD<sup>1,3</sup>, Susanne Guidetti, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Gunilla Eriksson,  
8  
9 PhD<sup>1,4</sup>, Charlotte Ytterberg, PhD<sup>1,5,6</sup>  
10

11  
12 <sup>1</sup> Division of Occupational Therapy, Department of Neurobiology Care Sciences and Society,  
13  
14 Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden

15  
16 <sup>2</sup>Department of Occupational Therapy, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

17  
18 <sup>3</sup> Department of Clinical Research Uppsala University/County Council of Gävle, Sweden

19  
20 <sup>4</sup> Department of Speech Pathology, Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy, University  
21  
22 Hospital, Akademiska sjukhuset, Uppsala, Sweden

23  
24 <sup>5</sup> Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Division of Neurology, Karolinska University  
25  
26 Hospital, Huddinge, Sweden

27  
28 <sup>6</sup> Division of Physiotherapy, Department of Neurobiology Care Sciences and Society,  
29  
30 Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden  
31  
32

33  
34  
35  
36 Corresponding author:

37  
38 Charlotte Ytterberg

39  
40 Division of Neurology, R54

41  
42 Karolinska University Hospital Huddinge

43  
44 141 86 Stockholm, Sweden

45  
46 E-mail: charlotte.ytterberg@ki.se

47  
48 Telephone: +46 8 585 822 77  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Abstract

**Objectives:** The aim of the study was to explore the associations between the dyad's (person with stroke and informal caregiver) perception of the person with stroke's rehabilitation needs and stroke severity, personal factors (gender, age, sense of coherence), the use of rehabilitation services, amount of informal care and caregiver burden. Further, the aim was to explore the personal experience of everyday life changes amongst persons with stroke and their caregivers and their strategies for handling these one year after stroke.

**Design:** A mixed methods design was used combining quantitative and qualitative data and analyses.

**Setting:** Data were mainly collected in the participants' homes and from the computerised register at the Stockholm County Council.

**Outcome measures:** Data were collected through established instruments and open-ended interviews. Data on the use of rehabilitation services were obtained from the computerised register at the Stockholm County Council. The dyad's perceptions of the person with stroke's rehabilitation needs were assessed by the persons with stroke and their informal caregivers. The results were combined and classified into three groups: met, discordant (i.e. not in agreement) and unmet rehabilitation needs.

**Participants:** 86 persons with stroke (mean age 73 years, 38% women) and their caregivers (mean age 65 years, 40% women).

**Results:** Fifty-two percent of the dyads perceived that the person with stroke's need for rehabilitation was met 12 months after stroke. Met rehabilitation needs were associated with less severe stroke, more coping strategies for solving problems in everyday activities and less caregiver burden.

1  
2  
3 **Conclusion:** Rehabilitation interventions need to focus on both improving or sustaining the  
4 individuals' physical and cognitive functioning as well as supporting the dyads' process of  
5 psychological and social adaptation after stroke.  
6  
7  
8  
9

### 10 11 **Strengths and limitations of this study**

- 14 • A mixed method approach that uses both quantitative and qualitative analysis, as in  
15 this study, contributes to a more comprehensive picture of rehabilitation after stroke.  
16
- 17 • A major strength of this study was the dyadic perspective which adds valuable  
18 knowledge regarding the use of a systemic approach in rehabilitation as well as the  
19 importance of the social environment.  
20
- 21 • The data from the open-ended questions was not rich enough to make comparisons  
22 between the partners in the dyads. Instead the answers from the persons with stroke  
23 and the caregivers were analysed separately and compared between persons with  
24 stroke and caregivers on a group level.  
25
- 26 • The use of set answers on a statement about rehabilitation needs only provides  
27 generalised information. Future studies would benefit from more in-depth knowledge  
28 on the characteristics of rehabilitation needs and the dyad's expectations for  
29 rehabilitation after stroke.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Introduction

It is recommended that rehabilitation after a stroke should be patient-centred i.e., based on the needs identified by the person with stroke.<sup>1,2</sup> Nevertheless, one year after stroke 33-49% perceive unmet rehabilitation needs.<sup>3-5</sup> These perceptions have been shown to be associated with several aspects such as a younger age<sup>6</sup> and greater disability.<sup>5-6</sup> The type<sup>7</sup> and amount of rehabilitation services received<sup>8</sup>, as well as social support and internal resources of confidence, have been shown to be important factors in the perception of met rehabilitation needs.<sup>9</sup> Sense of coherence (SOC) refers to a global orientation that enables us to view the world and our individual environment as comprehensible, manageable and meaningful.<sup>10</sup> A person with a strong SOC is thought to have access to more personal resources that help them adjust successfully to traumatic events in life such as a stroke. However, the knowledge with regard to plausible associations of SOC and perceived needs for rehabilitation after stroke is limited and further studies are needed.<sup>5</sup>

With shorter hospital stays and more care and rehabilitation being delivered at home, the patient's families and friends are likely to be more involved and have increased responsibilities for informal care and rehabilitation after a stroke. Studies have shown that the people with whom someone shares their everyday life with have an important role in rehabilitation.<sup>11-14</sup> Moreover, informal caregivers identify more unmet rehabilitation needs than the people with stroke do themselves<sup>15</sup>, and when a stroke influences the everyday lives that people share, informal caregivers should be more involved in the rehabilitation process.<sup>11-14</sup> However, informal caregivers themselves are often elderly and are in need of support to help cope with the burden of care in everyday life after stroke.<sup>16-18</sup> Thus, it is imperative that we consider how both the people with stroke and also their caregivers perceive rehabilitation needs, as well as plausible associations between such perceptions and caregiver burden.

1  
2  
3 Several qualitative studies have shed light on how the everyday life of dyads (i.e. two persons  
4 involved in an ongoing relationship or interaction) are intertwined and these studies highlight  
5 couples' interdependency after a partner's stroke.<sup>11-14</sup> These results emphasise the need for  
6 studies that focus not only on the person with stroke or the caregiver but instead adopt a  
7 broader perspective such as that of the dyad. No studies have been found that focus on the  
8 perceptions of rehabilitation needs after stroke from a dyadic perspective nor the plausible  
9 associations between such perceptions and caregiver burden.  
10  
11

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21 Although many individuals still experience rehabilitation needs one year after stroke,<sup>3-5</sup>  
22 rehabilitation is often concluded within the first three months. An understanding of the  
23 rehabilitation process can be increased both by having a dyadic perspective of post-stroke  
24 rehabilitation needs as well as learning plausible associations with such aspects such as  
25 personal factors, stroke severity and caregiver burden. Such knowledge can presumably help  
26 through both identifying dyads that are still in need of support in everyday life after the first  
27 year and also guide rehabilitation professionals when developing interventions. Furthermore,  
28 the use of a mixed methods design which combines qualitative and quantitative data from a  
29 broader perspective may provide opportunities to shed new light on the complex processes  
30 involved in post-stroke rehabilitation. Therefore, the aim of the study was to explore the  
31 associations between the dyad's perception of rehabilitation needs and stroke severity,  
32 personal factors (gender, age, SOC), the use of rehabilitation services, amount of informal  
33 care and caregiver burden. Further, the aim was to explore the personal experience of  
34 everyday life changes amongst persons with stroke and their informal caregivers and their  
35 strategies for handling these one year after stroke.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

## 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 **Methods**

1  
2  
3 This study used a mixed methods design combining quantitative and qualitative data and  
4 analyses. The data for this study was collected in the context of a prospective observational  
5 study of the rehabilitation process after stroke named 'Life After Stroke phase 1' (LAS-1). All  
6 the patients with stroke admitted to one of three stroke units at the Karolinska University  
7 Hospital between May 15, 2006 and May 14, 2007 were eligible for inclusion in LAS-1 and  
8 349 were included. After informed consent, the baseline assessment within the first week after  
9 stroke and follow-ups at 3, 6 and 12 months after stroke onset were carried out, mainly during  
10 home visits, by a research assistant (i.e. a purposely trained occupational therapist or a  
11 physiotherapist). The persons with stroke were asked to identify an informal caregiver. The  
12 caregiver chosen by the person with stroke could be a partner, a son or daughter, or a friend.  
13 Data from the caregivers were collected during the home visits or if the caregiver was not  
14 present, via a questionnaire which was left for the caregiver and was to be returned by post in  
15 a stamped envelope.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 The participants in the present study were participants in the LAS-1 (people with stroke and  
35 their informal caregivers) who had answered a question concerning the fulfilment of  
36 rehabilitation needs of the person with stroke at the 12-months follow-up. The study was  
37 approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Stockholm, Sweden.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

#### 44 ***Data collection***

##### 45 *Persons with stroke*

46  
47 Data on sex, age and stroke severity at baseline were collected by means of interviews and  
48 from the medical records. Using the Barthel Index<sup>19</sup> stroke severity was categorised as mild  
49 (scores 50-100) or moderate/severe (scores 0-49).<sup>20</sup>  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Through employing a questionnaire previously used in studies of people with stroke<sup>5, 7, 21</sup> data  
4 regarding the need for, and satisfaction with the health care services received was collected at  
5  
6  
7 12 months after stroke. The questionnaire is based on a taxonomy developed by Ware<sup>22</sup> and  
8  
9 covers different dimensions that are thought to influence patients' satisfaction with care. The  
10  
11 persons with stroke rated levels of agreement in relation to 14 statements concerning the  
12  
13 different dimensions on a five-graded Likert scale with 'agree' and 'do not agree at all' as the  
14  
15 endpoints. One particular statement addresses the level of fulfilment regarding rehabilitation  
16  
17 needs and was therefore chosen as the dependent variable for this study: 'I have received the  
18  
19 rehabilitation that my condition has required'. The scores on the statement were dichotomized  
20  
21 into 'agree' i.e. met needs for rehabilitation (1-2 on the Likert scale) or 'do not agree' i.e.  
22  
23 unmet needs for rehabilitation (3-5 on the Likert scale).  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 To assess SOC at 12 months, the 13-item version of the SOC-scale was used.<sup>10</sup> The  
30  
31 questionnaire consists of 13 items rated on a seven-graded Likert scale. The total score ranges  
32  
33 from 13 (weak SOC) to 91 (strong SOC). Data on the use of inpatient (days) and outpatient  
34  
35 (contacts) rehabilitation services were obtained from the computerised register at the  
36  
37 Stockholm County Council.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 Open-ended questions were used to collect data at 12 months after stroke regarding changes  
44  
45 in managing daily activities after stroke and strategies for handling problems that arose. The  
46  
47 questions were framed as such: '1a) how do you think your daily activities work for you  
48  
49 today? 1b) is there anything that has changed (mention three examples of activities that have  
50  
51 become harder to perform)? 2) Do you have any thoughts about how this (activities that have  
52  
53 changed) might work better, such as how you could solve the problem?' The answers to the  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 questions were either written down by the participant or answered orally by the participant  
4  
5 and then written down by the research assistant.  
6  
7

### 8 *Informal caregiver*

9  
10 Data on sex, age and the caregiver's relation to the person with stroke were collected at three  
11  
12 months after stroke by means of interviews. A corresponding questionnaire was used to  
13  
14 collect data on the caregiver's perception of need for, and satisfaction with the health care  
15  
16 services received by the person with stroke at 12 months after stroke. Levels of agreement  
17  
18 with 10 statements relating to the different dimensions thought to influence satisfaction with  
19  
20 care were rated by caregivers on a five-graded Likert scale with 'agree' and 'do not agree at  
21  
22 all' as the endpoints. The questionnaires used to collect data from caregivers and from the  
23  
24 persons with stroke respectively contained four coinciding statements. The same statement,  
25  
26 addressing fulfilment of rehabilitation needs, was chosen for both the persons with stroke and  
27  
28 the caregivers: 'My next-of-kin has received the rehabilitation that his/her condition has  
29  
30 required'. The scores on the statement were dichotomized into 'agree' i.e. met needs for  
31  
32 rehabilitation (1-2 on the Likert scale) or 'do not agree' i.e. unmet needs for rehabilitation (3-  
33  
34 5 on the Likert scale).  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

42 Caregiver burden was assessed at 12 months using the Caregiver Burden Scale<sup>23</sup>, and self-  
43  
44 reported data on informal care (yes or no) from caregivers were collected in an interview. The  
45  
46 caregivers were asked if there were any activities of daily living in which the participant had  
47  
48 needed his/her assistance or supervision that, prior to stroke onset, had been performed  
49  
50 independently by the participant.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 An open-ended question on changes in daily life since the next-of-kin's stroke was used to  
4 collect data at 12 months after stroke. The question was framed as: 'Can you name the three  
5 greatest changes (in your everyday life after your next-of-kin's stroke) to you personally?'

6  
7  
8  
9 The answers to the questions were either written down by the caregiver or answered orally by  
10 the participant and then written down by the research assistant.  
11  
12

### 13 *Data analysis*

14  
15 To determine the dyads' joint perception of rehabilitation needs, the dichotomized results of  
16 the statement concerning fulfilment of rehabilitation needs for both individuals in the dyad  
17 were combined and then classified into three groups: met, discordant (i.e. not in agreement)  
18 and unmet rehabilitation needs. The Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA was used first to determine if  
19 there was a difference between the three groups of combined perception of rehabilitation  
20 needs regarding age, SOC, use of inpatient (number of days) and outpatient (number of  
21 contacts) health care services and caregiver burden. The Mann Whitney U test was used for  
22 pairwise comparisons between the groups of combined perception of rehabilitation needs. The  
23 chi square test was used to analyse the differences between the groups of combined  
24 perception of rehabilitation needs with regard to sex, disease severity and informal care.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
Pairwise comparisons were adjusted for multiple comparisons using a p value of  $\leq 0.01$ .

Data, in the form of answers to the standardised open-ended questions from the persons with  
stroke and their caregivers, were analysed through a content analysis.<sup>24</sup> The answers from the  
persons with stroke and caregivers were analysed separately and compared on a group level in  
each group (i.e. met, discordant and unmet needs of rehabilitation). In the first step of analysis  
all the data from the open-ended questions were read through thoroughly by the first, second  
and last author of this study. Each author coded the data individually and the codes were  
grouped into categories. In the following step, each of the three authors summarised the

1  
2  
3 content of each of the six groups: met, unmet or discordant rehabilitation needs in the persons  
4  
5 with stroke and caregivers respectively. The three authors discussed the categories and the  
6  
7 summary descriptions until they reached consensus on a description of each group.  
8

9  
10 Differences and similarities between the persons with stroke and the caregivers within the  
11  
12 groups of met, discordant or unmet rehabilitation needs were also described. In a final step the  
13  
14 quantitative and qualitative findings were compared according to mixed model analysis.<sup>25</sup>  
15

16  
17 Three areas were identified into which the variation between all data from the different groups  
18  
19 could be described. These areas constituted the themes/headings of the results.  
20  
21

## 22 23 **Results**

24  
25 Of the 349 persons with stroke from the LAS-1, 55 were deceased one year post stroke, 76  
26  
27 declined or were lost to follow-up, 15 persons had not answered the statement 'I have  
28  
29 received the rehabilitation that my condition has required' and 117 had no identified  
30  
31 caregiver. This left 86 persons with stroke and their caregivers at the 12-month follow-up.  
32  
33

34  
35 The characteristics of the persons with stroke, the use of health care services, amount of  
36  
37 informal care and the caregiver's burden are presented in table 1. In the sample, 58 (67%) had  
38  
39 mild stroke and 28 (33%) had moderate/severe stroke. Among the 86 caregivers, 62 were  
40  
41 partners, 6 were children of the person with stroke and 18 people did not state the relationship  
42  
43 clearly. The mean age of the caregivers was 65 years, 39 were women and 17 were men (44  
44  
45 caregivers had missing data on age and 20 had missing data on gender). Of the 263 persons  
46  
47 with stroke not included in the present study the number of women was 128 (49%); mean age  
48  
49 was 72 years; and 148 (56%) had mild stroke.  
50  
51

52  
53  
54 Among the dyads, 45 (52%) perceived that the person with stroke's rehabilitation needs were  
55  
56 met at 12 months, 11 (13%) perceived that the rehabilitation needs were unmet, and 30 (35%)  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 were not in agreement. Of the persons with stroke, 61 (71%) perceived that their needs for  
4  
5 rehabilitation were met and 25 (29%) perceived unmet needs for rehabilitation. In the  
6  
7 caregivers, 57 (66%) perceived met rehabilitation needs for the person with stroke and 29  
8  
9 (34%) unmet rehabilitation needs.  
10

### 11 12 13 14 *Stroke severity was associated with the dyads' rehabilitation needs*

15  
16 In table 2, results from the comparative analyses are presented. A larger proportion of people  
17  
18 with mild stroke were in dyads that perceived met rehabilitation needs compared to dyads  
19  
20 who did not ( $p=0.002$ ). The same results were found in the standardised open-ended questions  
21  
22 given by the persons with stroke and their caregivers. In the dyads where rehabilitation needs  
23  
24 were met several persons with stroke reported that they lived their lives as they did before  
25  
26 their stroke. The same did not apply to persons with stroke in the dyads where unmet or  
27  
28 discordant needs were recorded. Instead, within these dyads several persons with stroke  
29  
30 reported great difficulties in walking and their dependence on a wheelchair or a walker. This  
31  
32 was described by one participant as: 'I find it harder to walk. I have walking difficulties and I  
33  
34 have to use a walker. My balance has been very affected.' Dyads whose rehabilitation needs  
35  
36 were met reported less severe walking difficulties for the persons with stroke e g., that they  
37  
38 only had difficulties walking long distances and in walking without a stick. One example from  
39  
40 a participant described the following change: 'I haven't fully recovered my ability to walk or  
41  
42 my balance, but they are much better than nine months ago. I can go out into the garden  
43  
44 independently even without a walking stick, though I usually have a stick.' Further, in dyads  
45  
46 whose rehabilitation needs were met, the persons with stroke described more specific  
47  
48 problems such as weakness in the arm and hand, reduced fine hand use and reduced  
49  
50 sensitivity in the hand; these problems caused limitations in activities such as playing the  
51  
52 piano, peeling potatoes and buttoning clothes. In contrast, in dyads which recorded unmet or  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 discordant rehabilitation needs, the persons with stroke often reported having to give up a task  
4  
5 in advance and had limitations in more physically demanding activities such as going to buy  
6  
7 groceries; going to the toilet and getting dressed; and gardening. Further, persons with stroke  
8  
9 who described other major life events occurring after the stroke were all found to be among  
10  
11 the dyads reporting unmet rehabilitation needs. Examples of major life events reported were a  
12  
13 new stroke, pneumonia or multiple diagnoses, such as dementia or chronic obstructive  
14  
15 pulmonary disease.  
16  
17

18  
19  
20 ***Dyads' rehabilitation needs related to the persons with stroke's use of strategies to***  
21  
22 ***overcome problems***  
23

24 The statistical analyses identified no differences in perception of rehabilitation needs with  
25  
26 regard to sex, age or the use of rehabilitation services. Persons with stroke in dyads reporting  
27  
28 met rehabilitation needs had a higher SOC than in discordant dyads ( $p=0.002$ ) (see table 2). In  
29  
30 the dyads that had met or discordant rehabilitation needs almost all persons with stroke,  
31  
32 whose rehabilitation needs were perceived as met, described how they handled everyday  
33  
34 problems. Strategies included engaging in physical exercise, such as going for walks. One  
35  
36 specific strategy was to pause during the activity when things became 'too much'. Others  
37  
38 mentioned using aids or a partner to compensate when problems emerged. Additional  
39  
40 strategies were: to think ahead to avoid problems; to try to find a solution to the problem; to  
41  
42 set goals; and to refuse to give up. One participant described how he found solutions to  
43  
44 overcome problems. He expressed this as: 'I don't give up. I train at home through coming up  
45  
46 with activities such as cutting logs and making a new saw horse. I am a retired technician and  
47  
48 am used to finding solutions.' Furthermore, in the dyads where rehabilitation needs were met,  
49  
50 most individuals with stroke had ideas about what needed to improve, such as being able to  
51  
52 cycle or drive a car. They also knew how to improve, like integrating training into everyday  
53  
54 life and to keep pushing themselves and practicing. Others described how they used strategies,  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 or aids, or reported that they had adapted to the situation by stopping doing things. This  
4  
5 finding can be compared against those with unmet rehabilitation needs who did not have  
6  
7 strategies to overcome the difficulties they experienced. One person claimed: 'I have no  
8  
9 specific strategy to train my body and soul, I have lost the will or my spark.' People in this  
10  
11 group expressed a feeling of dependence on others for their rehabilitation. Several persons  
12  
13 with stroke described how they lacked willpower and found it difficult to take initiatives  
14  
15 while others reported an inner drive to make things work, but did not have a strategy to  
16  
17 achieve this. Furthermore, the persons with stroke in dyads with unmet rehabilitation needs  
18  
19 often felt that rehabilitation had ended too early. Just as those in dyads with unmet needs, the  
20  
21 individuals with stroke in discordant dyads wanted to receive more rehabilitation as a strategy  
22  
23 or as a solution to their problems.  
24  
25  
26  
27

28  
29 ***Caregivers perceived less caregiver burden in dyads where rehabilitation needs were met***

30  
31 Results from the statistical analyses showed that in dyads where rehabilitation needs were met  
32  
33 the caregivers perceived a lower caregiver burden compared to dyads with unmet  
34  
35 rehabilitation needs ( $p=0.03$ ) and discordant dyads ( $p<0.001$ ) (see table 2). No difference  
36  
37 was found among dyads in regard to the number of persons with stroke receiving informal  
38  
39 care. We found that the next-of-kin in all groups reported taking greater responsibility for  
40  
41 household chores such as cooking, washing and cleaning. They also reported needing to take  
42  
43 more initiatives and responsibility for safety, planning and creating routines for everyday life.  
44  
45 Furthermore, caregivers in all the dyads described their anxieties. For instance the person with  
46  
47 stroke might have a new stroke or another medical problem. Caregivers in all the groups also  
48  
49 reported feeling homebound. They felt unable to leave the person with stroke alone and,  
50  
51 consequently, had less time to do things on their own. In addition to feeling greater  
52  
53 responsibility, both caregivers with met and unmet rehabilitation needs in discordant dyads  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 described how they no longer kept their own interests and hobbies such as dancing and  
4  
5 visiting their summer house.  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 Regardless of whether the persons with stroke perceived met or unmet rehabilitation needs,  
11  
12 caregivers who perceived unmet rehabilitation needs for the person with stroke had less time  
13  
14 for their social life: family and friends did not keep in touch and they had less time to spend  
15  
16 with friends and/or other people. This quote by a caregiver mirrors this sentiment: 'The  
17  
18 greatest change is not being able to socialise with a female friend, relax and spend time with  
19  
20 my children and grandchildren.' In contrast, only a few of the caregivers where rehabilitation  
21  
22 needs were met described less active social lives. Instead, these caregivers noted advantages  
23  
24 that came from the stroke, such as spending more time with the family, having a more  
25  
26 profound relationship and seeing each other more often. This advantage was expressed by a  
27  
28 spouse saying: 'One great plus for our family is that my husband has got so much more time  
29  
30 to spend with all of us (after his stroke).'

### 31 32 33 34 35 36 **Discussion**

37  
38 About half of the dyads perceived that the person with stroke's rehabilitation needs were met  
39  
40 one year after stroke. The results showed that the people whose rehabilitation needs were met  
41  
42 had suffered a less severe stroke and stroke-related difficulties and had more strategies for  
43  
44 solving everyday problems, while caregivers had a lower caregiver burden. Further, equal  
45  
46 proportions of persons with stroke and caregivers perceived that there were unmet  
47  
48 rehabilitation needs. These findings are different from earlier studies where the caregivers  
49  
50 identified more unmet needs than those people with stroke.<sup>15</sup> Moreover, only 29% of the  
51  
52 persons with stroke in the present study perceived an unmet need for rehabilitation which is  
53  
54 markedly lower than the 43% reported in a previous national Swedish one-year follow-up  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 study.<sup>3</sup> It is possible that the small sample in the present study partly explains these disparate  
4 results. Another possible explanation is that the inclusion criteria in the present study involved  
5 participation by a caregiver who may have been engaged in the rehabilitation process, which  
6 might have influenced the perceived rehabilitation needs. A large proportion, 35%, of the  
7 dyads were not in agreement which implies that a dyadic approach needs to be considered  
8 when devising post-stroke rehabilitation.  
9

10  
11  
12 The findings show that the perception of rehabilitation needs one year after stroke differs  
13 between dyads in relation to stroke severity and major life events. Stroke severity and  
14 perceived stroke-related difficulties were less severe in dyads which reported met  
15 rehabilitation needs as compared to dyads where the stroke was more severe and where  
16 discordant or unmet needs were reported. More major life events were reported in the  
17 qualitative data among the discordant dyads and the dyads with unmet needs. This result was  
18 not surprising and it is also in line with previous studies which have shown that persons with  
19 severe stroke perceive more unmet rehabilitation needs.<sup>5-6</sup> Persons with stroke in dyads  
20 where rehabilitation needs were met reported that they lived their lives as they did before the  
21 stroke. It may seem apparent that a more severe stroke means more unmet needs for  
22 rehabilitation. However, these results also point to a need for rehabilitation interventions that  
23 focus both on improving or sustaining physical and cognitive functioning as well as helping to  
24 adapt to life post stroke, including systematic support to the caregivers.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 In dyads where rehabilitation needs were met, the persons with stroke had a higher SOC than  
50 those in dyads with discordant views. Although those with unmet rehabilitation needs  
51 reported few or no coping strategies for everyday problems, there was no difference with  
52 regard to SOC found between dyads with met and unmet rehabilitation needs. One  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 explanation for these results might be that the group formed of dyads with unmet  
4  
5 rehabilitation needs was small and may have lacked statistical power to detect differences.  
6  
7 Further studies on the impact of SOC and the perception of rehabilitation needs are warranted  
8  
9 and may guide the development of rehabilitation interventions that support people with stroke  
10  
11 in their efforts to manage their life post stroke. SOC can be considered a disposition rather  
12  
13 than a personal characteristic and thus, as some studies show, be modifiable through  
14  
15 intervention.<sup>26-27</sup> Rehabilitation interventions that are informed by the components of the  
16  
17 SOC construct, including comprehensibility, manageability and meaningfulness, could result  
18  
19 in comprehensive and individualised approaches to rehabilitation after stroke. For example,  
20  
21 people with moderate or severe stroke might need more guidance in finding their own coping  
22  
23 strategies for how to handle everyday problems. A major limitation of this study is that the  
24  
25 SOC-scale was not administered to the caregivers. This would have added valuable  
26  
27 information to the interpretation of the results with regard to SOC.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33  
34 The findings also showed that caregiver burden was rated lower in dyads with met  
35  
36 rehabilitation needs and some caregivers also reported social advantages after the stroke. This  
37  
38 is compared to caregivers in dyads with unmet and discordant needs who rated higher  
39  
40 caregiver burden and less active social lives. Still, the number of persons with stroke  
41  
42 receiving informal care did not differ between the groups. This suggests that the caregiver's  
43  
44 sense of burden is related to a more severe stroke or feeling more responsible for planning and  
45  
46 organising activities. A clinical consequence of these results could be to focus on reducing the  
47  
48 caregiver burden by, for example, offering relief, especially to dyads with unmet and  
49  
50 discordant rehabilitation needs, so that caregivers can maintain their social lives.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 One of this study's limitations is that the question about perceived rehabilitation needs does  
4 not define what constitutes rehabilitation; instead each participant defined what rehabilitation  
5 meant to them. The use of a set answers on a statement about rehabilitation needs only  
6 provides generalised information. Future studies would benefit from more in-depth  
7 knowledge on the characteristics of rehabilitation needs and the dyad's expectations for post-  
8 stroke rehabilitation. Another limitation is that there was missing data regarding the  
9 caregivers due to incomplete questionnaires returned by post.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20 A mixed method approach that uses both quantitative and qualitative analysis, as in this study,  
21 contributes to a more comprehensive picture of post-stroke rehabilitation. The qualitative data  
22 adds information about the participants' perception whereas the quantitative data can more  
23 easily be compared with other studies and generalised to similar samples. However, the data  
24 from the open-ended questions was not rich enough to compare the partners in the dyads with  
25 one another. Instead the answers from the persons with stroke and from the caregivers were  
26 analysed separately and compared between persons with stroke and caregivers on a group  
27 level.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40 The findings in this study confirm those of previous studies that used the more traditional  
41 perspective of studying the person with stroke<sup>4-9</sup> or the caregiver<sup>16-18</sup> separately. This study's  
42 unique contribution was the dyadic perspective, which adds valuable knowledge regarding the  
43 use of a systemic approach in rehabilitation as well the importance of the social environment.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 <sup>28</sup> This stresses the integration of caregivers and other significant individuals in the  
50 rehabilitation system. <sup>29</sup> Through gaining a dyadic perspective on how rehabilitation needs are  
51 related to such aspects such as personal factors, stroke severity and caregiver burden, we can  
52 increase our understanding of this perspective and thus guide rehabilitation professionals  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 when providing interventions. Future studies need to explore and evaluate the effects of using  
4  
5 a dyadic perspective throughout rehabilitation.  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11 **Acknowledgements:** Thank you to all participants in the study as well as the research  
12  
13 assistants involved in the data collection.  
14

15  
16  
17  
18 **Author's contribution:**

19  
20 LE and CY drafted the study. LE, UJ and CY performed the data analyses. All authors  
21  
22 made critical revisions and approved the final manuscript.  
23  
24  
25  
26

27  
28 **Funding:** Financial support was provided through the regional agreement on medical  
29  
30 training and clinical research between Stockholm County Council and Karolinska Institutet  
31  
32 (ALF) [20060700], Swedish Brain Foundation, (Hjärnfonden), the Swedish Research  
33  
34 Council [2007-3087], the Swedish Stroke Association, and the Swedish Council for  
35  
36 Working Life and Social Welfare. The funders have had no involvement in the study  
37  
38 design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of the data; in the writing of the report;  
39  
40 and in the decision to submit the paper for publication.  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 **Competing interest:** None.  
46  
47  
48

49  
50 **Provenance and peer review:** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.  
51  
52

53  
54 **Data sharing statement:** No additional data are available.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## References

1. National Guidelines for Stroke Care 2009: *The National Board of Health and Welfare*, Stockholm, 2009 (in Swedish).
2. NICE guidelines [CG162]. Stroke rehabilitation: Long-term rehabilitation after stroke. *National Institute for Health and Care Excellence*, published June 2013
3. The Swedish Stroke Register annual report 2012 (Riks-Stroke årsrapport 2012). *Swedish Stroke Register (Riks-Stroke)*, 2013 (in Swedish).
4. McKeivitt C, Fudge N, Redfern J, *et al.* Self-reported long-term needs after stroke. *Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation* 2011;**42**:1398-403.
5. Tistad M, Tham K, von Koch L, *et al.* Unfulfilled rehabilitation needs and dissatisfaction with care 12 months after a stroke: an explorative observational study. *BMC neurology* 2012;**12**:40.
6. Kersten P, Low JT, Ashburn A, *et al.* The unmet needs of young people who have had a stroke: results of a national UK survey. *Disabil Rehabil* 2002;**24**:860-6.
7. Tistad M, von Koch L, Sjöstrand C, *et al.* What aspects of rehabilitation provision contribute to self-reported met needs for rehabilitation one year after stroke--amount, place, operator or timing? *Health Expect* 2013;**16**:e24-35.
8. Pound P, Tilling K, Rudd AG, *et al.* Does patient satisfaction reflect differences in care received after stroke? *Stroke* 1999;**30**:49-55.
9. Reed M, Harrington R, Duggan A, *et al.* Meeting stroke survivors' perceived needs: a qualitative study of a community-based exercise and education scheme. *Clinical Rehabilitation* 2010;**24**:16-25.
10. Antonovsky A. The structure and properties of the sense of coherence scale. *Social science & medicine (1982)* 1993;**36**:725-33.

11. Ekstam L, Tham K, Borell L. Couples' approaches to changes in everyday life during the first year after stroke. *Scandinavian Journal of Occupational Therapy* 2011;**18**:49-58.
12. Jongbloed L. Adaptation to a stroke: the experience of one couple. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy* 1994;**48**:1006-13.
13. Robinson-Smith G, Mahoney C. Coping and marital equilibrium after stroke. *Journal of Neuroscience Nursing* 1995;**27**:83-9.
14. van Nes F, Runge U, Jonsson H. One Body, Three Hands and Two Minds: A Case Study of the Intertwined Occupations of an Older Couple after a Stroke. *Journal of Occupational Science* 2009;**16**:194-202.
15. Vincent C, Deaudelin I, Robichaud L, *et al.* Rehabilitation needs for older adults with stroke living at home: perceptions of four populations. *BMC Geriatrics* 2007;**7**:20.
16. McPherson CJ, Wilson KG, Chyurlia L, *et al.* The balance of give and take in caregiver-partner relationships: An examination of self-perceived burden, relationship equity, and quality of life from the perspective of care recipients following stroke. *Rehabilitation Psychology* 2010;**55**:194-203.
17. Rigby H, Gubitz G, Phillips S. A systematic review of caregiver burden following stroke. *International Journal of Stroke* 2009;**4**:285-92.
18. Gosman-Hedstrom G, Dahlin-Ivanoff S. 'Mastering an unpredictable everyday life after stroke'- older women's experiences of caring and living with their partners. *Scandinavian journal of caring sciences* 2012;**26**:587-97.
19. Mahoney FI, Barthel DW, Mahoney FI, *et al.* Functional Evaluation: The Barthel Index. *Maryland State Medical Journal* 1965;**14**:61-5.
20. Govan L, Langhorne P, Weir CJ. Categorizing stroke prognosis using different stroke scales. *Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation* 2009;**40**:3396-9.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
21. Holmqvist LW, von Koch L, de Pedro-Cuesta J. Use of healthcare, impact on family caregivers and patient satisfaction of rehabilitation at home after stroke in southwest Stockholm. *Scandinavian journal of rehabilitation medicine* 2000;**32**:173-9.
  22. Ware JE, Jr., Snyder MK, Wright WR, *et al.* Defining and measuring patient satisfaction with medical care. *Evaluation and program planning* 1983;**6**:247-63.
  23. Elmståhl S, Malmberg B, Annerstedt L. Caregiver's burden of patients 3 years after stroke assessed by a novel caregiver burden scale. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 1996;**77**:177-82.
  24. Graneheim UH, Lundman B. Qualitative content analysis in nursing research: concepts, procedures and measures to achieve trustworthiness. *Nurse Education Today* 2004;**24**:105-12.
  25. Creswell JW, Plano Clart VL. *Designing and conducting mixed methods research*. Los Angeles, CA: SAGE Publications, 2011. Chapter 3. Choosing a Mixed Methods Design.
  26. Weissbecker I, Salmon P, Studts JL, *et al.* Mindfulness-based stress reduction and sense of coherence among women with fibromyalgia. *Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings* 2002;**9**:297-307.
  27. Delbar V, Benor DE. Impact of a nursing intervention on cancer patients' ability to cope. *Journal of Psychosocial Oncology* 2001;**19**:57-75.
  28. Tham K, Kielhofner G. Impact of the social environment on occupational experience and performance among persons with unilateral neglect. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy* 2003;**57**:403-12.
  29. Ward CD. Is patient-centred care a good thing? *Clinical Rehabilitation* 2011;**26**:3-9.

Table 1. Characteristics of the persons with stroke, use of health care services, informal care and caregiver burden.

Variable	Total sample, n=86	Met needs, n=45	Discordant, n=30	Unmet needs, n=11
Age: years, median (IQR)	72.5 (63-79.8)	75 (64-78)	71 (62.2-82.5)	74 (68.5-80.5)
Gender: women, n	33	18	11	4
Stroke severity: mild / moderate-severe, n ( <i>Barthel Index, range of scores 0-100</i> )	57 / 28*	34 / 10†	21 / 9	3 / 8
Sense of coherence: median (IQR) ( <i>Sense of coherence scale, range of scores 13-91</i> )	79.5 (69-87.8)‡	83 (74-89)§	71 (65.8-81)	79 (65-90)¶
Inpatient care and rehabilitation: days, median (IQR)	14 (7-36)	14 (6-31)	11.5 (7-35)	24 (19-56)
Outpatient rehabilitation: contacts, median (IQR)	3 (1-23.2)	3 (1-20)	8 (1-28.5)	1 (0-23)
Caregiver burden: median (IQR) ( <i>Caregiver burden scale, range of scores 22-88</i> )	38 (26-51)	28 (23-44)	46 (36.2-55)	56 (34-63.5)
Informal care: has received, n	42**	20††	17	5§§

\*n=85, †n=44, ‡n=74, §n=39, ||n=26, ¶n=9, \*\*n=83, ††=43, §§=10

Table 2. Categorization of the independent variables, categorization of dyads' perception of rehabilitation needs, p values

Independent variable	Perception of rehabilitation needs	P value
Age, years	Met / Unmet / Discordant	0.60
Sex, male / female	Met / Unmet	0.82
	Met / Discordant	0.77
	Unmet / Discordant	0.98
Sense of coherence, scores	Met / Unmet	0.48
	Met / Discordant	<b>0.002</b>
	Unmet / Discordant	0.40
Stroke severity, mild / moderate-severe	Met / Unmet	<b>0.002</b>
	Met / Discordant	0.48
	Unmet / Discordant	0.03
Inpatient care and rehabilitation, days	Met / Unmet / Discordant	0.12
Outpatient rehabilitation, contacts	Met / Unmet / Discordant	0.38
Caregiver burden, scores	Met / Unmet	<b>0.003</b>
	Met / Discordant	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	Unmet / Discordant	0.47
Informal care, has received / has not received	Met / Unmet	1.00
	Met / Discordant	0.39
	Unmet / Discordant	0.73



# BMJ Open

## The combined perceptions of people with stroke and their carers regarding rehabilitation needs one year after stroke: a mixed methods study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2014-006784.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	07-Dec-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Ekstam, Lisa; Karolinska Institutet, Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society; Karolinska University Hospital, Department of Occupational Therapy Johansson, Ulla; Karolinska Institutet, Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society; Uppsala University/County Council of Gävle, Department of Clinical Research Guidetti, Susanne; Karolinska Institutet, Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society Eriksson, Gunilla; Karolinska Institutet, Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society; Uppsala University, Department of Neuroscience, Rehabilitation medicine Ytterberg, Charlotte; Karolinska Institutet, Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b>:	Health services research
Secondary Subject Heading:	Neurology, Rehabilitation medicine
Keywords:	Stroke < NEUROLOGY, Quality in health care < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3 **The combined perceptions of people with stroke and their carers regarding**  
4 **rehabilitation needs one year after stroke: a mixed methods study**  
5  
6

7 Lisa Ekstam, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>, Ulla Johansson, PhD<sup>1,3</sup>, Susanne Guidetti, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Gunilla Eriksson,  
8 PhD<sup>1,4</sup>, Charlotte Ytterberg, PhD<sup>1,5,6</sup>  
9  
10

11 <sup>1</sup> Division of Occupational Therapy, Department of Neurobiology Care Sciences and Society,  
12 Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden  
13

14 <sup>2</sup>Department of Occupational Therapy, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden  
15

16 <sup>3</sup> Department of Clinical Research Uppsala University/County Council of Gävle, Sweden  
17

18 <sup>4</sup> Department of Neuroscience, Rehabilitation medicine, Uppsala University, Uppsala,  
19 Sweden  
20

21 <sup>5</sup> Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Division of Neurology, Karolinska University  
22 Hospital, Huddinge, Sweden  
23

24 <sup>6</sup> Division of Physiotherapy, Department of Neurobiology Care Sciences and Society,  
25 Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden  
26

27 Corresponding author:  
28

29 Charlotte Ytterberg  
30

31 Division of Neurology, R54  
32

33 Karolinska University Hospital Huddinge  
34

35 141 86 Stockholm, Sweden  
36

37 E-mail: [charlotte.ytterberg@ki.se](mailto:charlotte.ytterberg@ki.se)  
38

39 Telephone: +46 8 585 822 77  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Abstract

**Objectives:** The aim of the study was to explore the associations between the dyad's (person with stroke and informal caregiver) perception of the person with stroke's rehabilitation needs and stroke severity, personal factors (gender, age, sense of coherence), the use of rehabilitation services, amount of informal care and caregiver burden. Further, the aim was to explore the personal experience of everyday life changes amongst persons with stroke and their caregivers and their strategies for handling these one year after stroke.

**Design:** A mixed methods design was used combining quantitative and qualitative data and analyses.

**Setting:** Data were mainly collected in the participants' homes.

**Outcome measures:** Data were collected through established instruments and open-ended interviews. The dyad's perceptions of the person with stroke's rehabilitation needs were assessed by the persons with stroke and their informal caregivers using a questionnaire based on Ware's taxonomy. The results were combined and classified into three groups: met, discordant (i.e. not in agreement) and unmet rehabilitation needs. To assess sense of coherence (SOC) in persons with stroke, the SOC-scale was used. Caregiver burden was assessed using the Caregiver Burden Scale. Data on the use of rehabilitation services were obtained from the computerised register at the Stockholm County Council.

**Participants:** 86 persons with stroke (mean age 73 years, 38% women) and their caregivers (mean age 65 years, 40% women).

**Results:** Fifty-two percent of the dyads perceived that the person with stroke's need for rehabilitation was met 12 months after stroke. Met rehabilitation needs were associated with less severe stroke, more coping strategies for solving problems in everyday activities and less caregiver burden.

1  
2  
3 **Conclusion:** Rehabilitation interventions need to focus on supporting the dyads' process of  
4 psychological and social adaptation after stroke. Future studies need to explore and evaluate  
5 the effects of using a dyadic perspective throughout rehabilitation.  
6  
7  
8  
9

### 10 11 **Strengths and limitations of this study**

- 14 • A mixed method approach that uses both quantitative and qualitative analysis, as in  
15 this study, contributes to a more comprehensive picture of rehabilitation after stroke.  
16
- 17 • A major strength of this study was the dyadic perspective which adds valuable  
18 knowledge regarding the use of a systemic approach in rehabilitation as well as the  
19 importance of the social environment.  
20
- 21 • The data from the open-ended questions was not rich enough to make comparisons  
22 between the partners in the dyads. Instead the answers from the persons with stroke  
23 and the caregivers were analysed separately and compared between persons with  
24 stroke and caregivers on a group level.  
25
- 26 • The use of set answers on a statement about rehabilitation needs only provides  
27 generalised information. Future studies would benefit from more in-depth knowledge  
28 on the characteristics of rehabilitation needs and the dyad's expectations for  
29 rehabilitation after stroke.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Introduction

It is recommended that rehabilitation after a stroke should be patient-centred i.e., based on the needs identified by the person with stroke.<sup>1,2</sup> Nevertheless, one year after stroke 33-49% perceive unmet rehabilitation needs.<sup>3-5</sup> These perceptions have been shown to be associated with several aspects such as a younger age<sup>6</sup> and greater disability.<sup>5-6</sup> The type<sup>7</sup> and amount of rehabilitation services received<sup>8</sup>, as well as social support and internal resources of confidence, have been shown to be important factors in the perception of met rehabilitation needs.<sup>9</sup> Sense of coherence (SOC) refers to a global orientation that enables us to view the world and our individual environment as comprehensible, manageable and meaningful.<sup>10</sup> A person with a strong SOC is thought to have access to more personal resources that help them adjust successfully to traumatic events in life such as a stroke. However, the knowledge with regard to plausible associations of SOC and perceived needs for rehabilitation after stroke is limited and further studies are needed.<sup>5</sup>

With shorter hospital stays and more care and rehabilitation being delivered at home, the patient's families and friends are likely to be more involved and have increased responsibilities for informal care and rehabilitation after a stroke. Studies have shown that the people with whom someone shares their everyday life with have an important role in rehabilitation.<sup>11-14</sup> Moreover, informal caregivers identify more unmet rehabilitation needs than the people with stroke do themselves<sup>15</sup>, and when a stroke influences the everyday lives that people share, informal caregivers should be more involved in the rehabilitation process.<sup>11-14</sup> However, informal caregivers themselves are often elderly and are in need of support to help cope with the burden of care in everyday life after stroke.<sup>16-18</sup> Thus, it is imperative that we consider how both the people with stroke and also their caregivers perceive rehabilitation needs, as well as plausible associations between such perceptions and caregiver burden.

1  
2  
3 Several qualitative studies have shed light on how the everyday life of dyads (i.e. two persons  
4 involved in an ongoing relationship or interaction) are intertwined and these studies highlight  
5 couples' interdependency after a partner's stroke.<sup>11-14</sup> These results emphasise the need for  
6 studies that focus not only on the person with stroke or the caregiver but instead adopt a  
7 broader perspective such as that of the dyad. No studies have been found that focus on the  
8 perceptions of rehabilitation needs after stroke from a dyadic perspective nor the plausible  
9 associations between such perceptions and caregiver burden.  
10  
11

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21 Although many individuals still experience rehabilitation needs one year after stroke,<sup>3-5</sup>  
22 rehabilitation is often concluded within the first three months. An understanding of the  
23 rehabilitation process can be increased both by having a dyadic perspective of post-stroke  
24 rehabilitation needs as well as learning plausible associations with such aspects such as  
25 personal factors, stroke severity and caregiver burden. Such knowledge can presumably help  
26 through both identifying dyads that are still in need of support in everyday life after the first  
27 year and also guide rehabilitation professionals when developing interventions. Furthermore,  
28 the use of a mixed methods design which combines qualitative and quantitative data from a  
29 broader perspective may provide opportunities to shed new light on the complex processes  
30 involved in post-stroke rehabilitation. Therefore, the aim of the study was to explore the  
31 associations between the dyad's perception of rehabilitation needs and stroke severity,  
32 personal factors (gender, age, SOC), the use of rehabilitation services, amount of informal  
33 care and caregiver burden. Further, the aim was to explore the personal experience of  
34 everyday life changes amongst persons with stroke and their informal caregivers and their  
35 strategies for handling these one year after stroke.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

## 55 56 **Methods** 57 58 59 60

1  
2  
3 This study used a mixed methods design combining quantitative and qualitative data and  
4 analyses. The data for this study was collected in the context of a prospective observational  
5 study of the rehabilitation process after stroke named 'Life After Stroke phase 1' (LAS-1). All  
6 the patients with stroke admitted to one of three stroke units at the Karolinska University  
7 Hospital between May 15, 2006 and May 14, 2007 were eligible for inclusion in LAS-1 and  
8 349 were included. After informed consent, the baseline assessment within the first week after  
9 stroke and follow-ups at 3, 6 and 12 months after stroke onset were carried out, mainly during  
10 home visits through structured (questionnaires) and semi-structured (open-ended questions)  
11 interviews, by a research assistant (i.e. a purposely trained occupational therapist or a  
12 physiotherapist). The persons with stroke were asked to identify an informal caregiver. The  
13 caregiver chosen by the person with stroke could be a partner, a son or daughter, or a friend.  
14 Data from the caregivers were collected during the home visits through structured  
15 (questionnaires) and semi-structured (open-ended questions) interviews, or if the caregiver  
16 was not present, via a questionnaire which was left for the caregiver and was to be returned by  
17 post in a stamped envelope.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38 The participants in the present study were participants in the LAS-1 (people with stroke and  
39 their informal caregivers) who had answered a question concerning the fulfilment of  
40 rehabilitation needs of the person with stroke at the 12-months follow-up. The study was  
41 approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Stockholm, Sweden.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

### 48 ***Data collection***

#### 49 *Persons with stroke*

50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Data on sex, age and stroke severity at baseline were collected by means of interviews and  
4 from the medical records. Using the Barthel Index<sup>19</sup> stroke severity was categorised as mild  
5 (scores 50-100) or moderate/severe (scores 0-49).<sup>20</sup>  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11 Through employing a questionnaire previously used in studies of people with stroke<sup>5, 7, 21</sup> data  
12 regarding the need for, and satisfaction with the health care services received was collected at  
13 12 months after stroke. The questionnaire is based on a taxonomy developed by Ware<sup>22</sup> and  
14 covers different dimensions that are thought to influence patients' satisfaction with care. The  
15 persons with stroke rated levels of agreement in relation to 14 statements concerning the  
16 different dimensions on a five-graded Likert scale with 'agree' and 'do not agree at all' as the  
17 endpoints. One particular statement addresses the level of fulfilment regarding rehabilitation  
18 needs and was therefore chosen as the dependent variable for this study: 'I have received the  
19 rehabilitation that my condition has required'. The scores on the statement were dichotomized  
20 into 'agree' i.e. met needs for rehabilitation (1-2 on the Likert scale) or 'do not agree' i.e.  
21 unmet needs for rehabilitation (3-5 on the Likert scale).  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38 To assess SOC at 12 months, the 13-item version of the SOC-scale was used.<sup>10</sup> The  
39 questionnaire consists of 13 items rated on a seven-graded Likert scale. The total score ranges  
40 from 13 (weak SOC) to 91 (strong SOC). Data on the use of inpatient (days) and outpatient  
41 (contacts) rehabilitation services were obtained from the computerised register at the  
42 Stockholm County Council.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51 Open-ended questions were used to collect data at 12 months after stroke regarding changes  
52 in managing daily activities after stroke and strategies for handling problems that arose. The  
53 questions were framed as such: '1a) how do you think your daily activities work for you  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 today? 1b) is there anything that has changed (mention three examples of activities that have  
4 become harder to perform)? 2) Do you have any thoughts about how this (activities that have  
5 changed) might work better, such as how you could solve the problem?’ The answers to the  
6 questions were answered orally by the participant and then written down by the research  
7 assistant.  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

### 13 *Informal caregiver*

14  
15 Data on sex, age and the caregiver's relation to the person with stroke were collected at three  
16 months after stroke by means of interviews. A corresponding questionnaire was used to  
17 collect data on the caregiver's perception of need for, and satisfaction with the health care  
18 services received by the person with stroke at 12 months after stroke. Levels of agreement  
19 with 10 statements relating to the different dimensions thought to influence satisfaction with  
20 care were rated by caregivers on a five-graded Likert scale with ‘agree’ and ‘do not agree at  
21 all’ as the endpoints. The questionnaires used to collect data from caregivers and from the  
22 persons with stroke respectively contained four coinciding statements. The same statement,  
23 addressing fulfilment of rehabilitation needs, was chosen for both the persons with stroke and  
24 the caregivers: ‘My next-of-kin has received the rehabilitation that his/her condition has  
25 required’. The scores on the statement were dichotomized into ‘agree’ i.e. met needs for  
26 rehabilitation (1-2 on the Likert scale) or ‘do not agree’ i.e. unmet needs for rehabilitation (3-  
27 5 on the Likert scale).  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 Caregiver burden was assessed at 12 months using the Caregiver Burden Scale<sup>23</sup>, and self-  
49 reported data on informal care (yes or no) from caregivers were collected in an interview. The  
50 caregivers were asked if there were any activities of daily living in which the participant had  
51 needed his/her assistance or supervision that, prior to stroke onset, had been performed  
52 independently by the participant.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 An open-ended question on changes in daily life since the next-of-kin's stroke was used to  
6  
7 collect data at 12 months after stroke. The question was framed as: 'Can you name the three  
8  
9 greatest changes (in your everyday life after your next-of-kin's stroke) to you personally?'

10  
11 The answers to the questions were mainly answered orally by the participant and then written  
12  
13 down by the research assistant or in some cases written down by the caregiver.  
14  
15

### 16 17 *Data analysis*

18  
19 To determine the dyads' joint perception of rehabilitation needs, the dichotomized results of  
20  
21 the statement concerning fulfilment of rehabilitation needs for both individuals in the dyad  
22  
23 were combined and then classified into three groups: met, discordant (i.e. not in agreement)  
24  
25 and unmet rehabilitation needs. The Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA was used first to determine if  
26  
27 there was a difference between the three groups of combined perception of rehabilitation  
28  
29 needs regarding age, SOC, use of inpatient (number of days) and outpatient (number of  
30  
31 contacts) health care services and caregiver burden. The Mann Whitney U test was used for  
32  
33 pairwise comparisons between the groups of combined perception of rehabilitation needs. The  
34  
35 chi square test was used to analyse the differences between the groups of combined  
36  
37 perception of rehabilitation needs with regard to sex, stroke severity and informal care.  
38  
39 Pairwise comparisons were adjusted for multiple comparisons using a p value of  $\leq 0.01$ .  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 Data, in the form of answers to the standardised open-ended questions were analysed through  
47  
48 a content analysis.<sup>24</sup> The answers from the persons with stroke and caregivers were analysed  
49  
50 separately and compared on a group level in each group (i.e. met, discordant and unmet needs  
51  
52 of rehabilitation). In the first step of analysis all the data were read through thoroughly by the  
53  
54 first, second and last author of this study. The data was coded individually by the three  
55  
56 authors who then discussed the codes together and grouped them into categories inductively  
57  
58  
59  
60

(see table 1). The authors summarised the content based on the categories in each of the groups: met, unmet or discordant rehabilitation needs in the persons with stroke and caregivers respectively. The summaries were discussed among the authors and a final description of each group was made. In a final step the quantitative and qualitative findings were compared according to mixed model analysis<sup>25</sup> and aggregated into three areas constituting the headings of the results. The qualitative findings were used to better describe and understand the quantitative results.

## Results

Of the 349 persons with stroke from the LAS-1, 55 were deceased one year post stroke, 76 declined or were lost to follow-up, 15 persons had not answered the statement 'I have received the rehabilitation that my condition has required' and 117 had no identified caregiver. This left 86 persons with stroke and their caregivers at the 12-month follow-up. In the sample, 22 (26%) had experienced a previous stroke. The characteristics of the persons with stroke, the use of health care services, amount of informal care and the caregiver's burden are presented in table 2. In the sample, 58 (67%) had mild stroke and 28 (33%) had moderate/severe stroke. Among the 86 caregivers, 62 were partners, 6 were children of the person with stroke and 18 people did not state the relationship clearly. The mean age of the caregivers was 65 years, 39 were women and 17 were men (44 caregivers had missing data on age and 20 had missing data on gender). Of the 263 persons with stroke not included in the present study the number of women was 128 (49%); mean age was 72 years; and 148 (56%) had mild stroke.

Among the dyads, 45 (52%) perceived that the person with stroke's rehabilitation needs were met at 12 months, 11 (13%) perceived that the rehabilitation needs were unmet, and 30 (35%)

1  
2  
3 were not in agreement. Of the persons with stroke, 61 (71%) perceived that their needs for  
4 rehabilitation were met and 25 (29%) perceived unmet needs for rehabilitation. In the  
5 caregivers, 57 (66%) perceived met rehabilitation needs for the person with stroke and 29  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 (34%) unmet rehabilitation needs.

### 14 ***Stroke severity was associated with the dyads' rehabilitation needs***

16 In table 3, results from the comparative analyses are presented. A larger proportion of people  
17 with mild stroke were in dyads that perceived met rehabilitation needs compared to dyads  
18 who did not ( $p=0.002$ ). The same results were found in the standardised open-ended questions  
19 given by the persons with stroke and their caregivers. In the dyads where rehabilitation needs  
20 were met most persons with stroke reported that they lived their lives as they did before their  
21 stroke. The same did not apply to persons with stroke in the dyads where unmet or discordant  
22 needs were recorded. Instead, within these dyads most persons with stroke reported great  
23 difficulties in walking and their dependence on a wheelchair or a walker. This was described  
24 by one participant as: 'I find it harder to walk. I have walking difficulties and I have to use a  
25 walker. My balance has been very affected.' Dyads whose rehabilitation needs were met  
26 reported less severe walking difficulties for the persons with stroke e.g., that they only had  
27 difficulties walking long distances and in walking without a stick. One example from a  
28 participant described the following change: 'I haven't fully recovered my ability to walk or  
29 my balance, but they are much better than nine months ago. I can go out into the garden  
30 independently even without a walking stick, though I usually have a stick.' Further, in dyads  
31 whose rehabilitation needs were met, the persons with stroke described more specific  
32 problems such as weakness in the arm and hand, reduced fine hand use and reduced  
33 sensitivity in the hand; these problems caused limitations in activities such as playing the  
34 piano, peeling potatoes and buttoning clothes. In contrast, in dyads which recorded unmet or  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 discordant rehabilitation needs, the persons with stroke often reported having to give up a task  
4 in advance and had limitations in more physically demanding activities such as going to buy  
5 groceries; going to the toilet and getting dressed; and gardening. Further, persons with stroke  
6 who described other major life events occurring after the stroke were all found to be among  
7 the dyads reporting unmet rehabilitation needs. Examples of major life events reported were a  
8 new stroke, pneumonia or multiple diagnoses, such as dementia or chronic obstructive  
9 pulmonary disease.  
10  
11

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20 ***Dyads' rehabilitation needs related to the persons with stroke's use of strategies to***  
21 ***overcome problems***  
22

23  
24 The statistical analyses identified no differences in perception of rehabilitation needs with  
25 regard to sex, age or the use of rehabilitation services. Persons with stroke in dyads reporting  
26 met rehabilitation needs had a higher SOC than in discordant dyads ( $p=0.002$ ) (see table 3). In  
27 the dyads that had met or discordant rehabilitation needs almost all persons with stroke,  
28 whose rehabilitation needs were perceived as met, described how they handled everyday  
29 problems. Strategies included engaging in physical exercise, such as going for walks. One  
30 specific strategy was to pause during the activity when things became 'too much'. Others  
31 mentioned using aids or a partner to compensate when problems emerged. Additional  
32 strategies were: to think ahead to avoid problems; to try to find a solution to the problem; to  
33 set goals; and to refuse to give up. One participant described how he found solutions to  
34 overcome problems. He expressed this as: 'I don't give up. I train at home through coming up  
35 with activities such as cutting logs and making a new saw horse. I am a retired technician and  
36 am used to finding solutions.' Furthermore, in the dyads where rehabilitation needs were met,  
37 most individuals with stroke had ideas about what needed to improve, such as being able to  
38 cycle or drive a car. They also knew how to improve, like integrating training into everyday  
39 life and to keep pushing themselves and practicing. Others described how they used strategies,  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 or aids, or reported that they had adapted to the situation by stopping doing things. This  
4 finding can be compared against those with unmet rehabilitation needs who did not have  
5 strategies to overcome the difficulties they experienced. One person claimed: 'I have no  
6 specific strategy to train my body and soul, I have lost the will or my spark.' People in this  
7 group expressed a feeling of dependence on others for their rehabilitation. Several persons  
8 with stroke described how they lacked willpower and found it difficult to take initiatives  
9 while others reported an inner drive to make things work, but did not have a strategy to  
10 achieve this. Furthermore, the persons with stroke in dyads with unmet rehabilitation needs  
11 often felt that rehabilitation had ended too early. Just as those in dyads with unmet needs, the  
12 individuals with stroke in discordant dyads wanted to receive more rehabilitation as a strategy  
13 or as a solution to their problems.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28  
29 ***Caregivers perceived less caregiver burden in dyads where rehabilitation needs were met***

30 Results from the statistical analyses showed that in dyads where rehabilitation needs were met  
31 the caregivers perceived a lower caregiver burden compared to dyads with unmet  
32 rehabilitation needs ( $p=0.003$ ) and discordant dyads ( $p<0.001$ ) (see table 3). No difference  
33 was found among dyads in regard to the number of persons with stroke receiving informal  
34 care. We found that the next-of-kin in all groups reported taking greater responsibility for  
35 household chores such as cooking, washing and cleaning. They also reported needing to take  
36 more initiatives and responsibility for safety, planning and creating routines for everyday life.  
37 Furthermore, caregivers in all the dyads described their anxieties. For instance the person with  
38 stroke might have a new stroke or another medical problem. Caregivers in all the groups also  
39 reported feeling homebound. They felt unable to leave the person with stroke alone and,  
40 consequently, had less time to do things on their own. In addition to feeling greater  
41 responsibility, both caregivers with met and unmet rehabilitation needs in discordant dyads  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 described how they no longer kept their own interests and hobbies such as dancing and  
4  
5 visiting their summer house.  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 Regardless of whether the persons with stroke perceived met or unmet rehabilitation needs,  
11  
12 caregivers who perceived unmet rehabilitation needs for the person with stroke had less time  
13  
14 for their social life: family and friends did not keep in touch and they had less time to spend  
15  
16 with friends and/or other people. This quote by a caregiver mirrors this sentiment: ‘The  
17  
18 greatest change is not being able to socialise with a female friend, relax and spend time with  
19  
20 my children and grandchildren.’ In contrast, only a few of the caregivers where rehabilitation  
21  
22 needs were met described less active social lives. Instead, these caregivers noted advantages  
23  
24 that came from the stroke, such as spending more time with the family, having a more  
25  
26 profound relationship and seeing each other more often. This advantage was expressed by a  
27  
28 spouse saying: ‘One great plus for our family is that my husband has got so much more time  
29  
30 to spend with all of us (after his stroke).’  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

### 36 **Discussion**

37  
38 About half of the dyads perceived that the person with stroke’s rehabilitation needs were met  
39  
40 one year after stroke. The results showed that the people whose rehabilitation needs were met  
41  
42 had suffered a less severe stroke and stroke-related difficulties and had more strategies for  
43  
44 solving everyday problems, while caregivers had a lower caregiver burden. Further, equal  
45  
46 proportions of persons with stroke and caregivers perceived that there were unmet  
47  
48 rehabilitation needs. These findings are different from earlier studies where the caregivers  
49  
50 identified more unmet needs than those people with stroke.<sup>15</sup> Moreover, only 29% of the  
51  
52 persons with stroke in the present study perceived an unmet need for rehabilitation which is  
53  
54 markedly lower than the 43% reported in a previous national Swedish one-year follow-up  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 study.<sup>3</sup> It is possible that the small sample in the present study partly explains these disparate  
4 results. Another possible explanation is that the inclusion criteria in the present study involved  
5 participation by a caregiver who may have been engaged in the rehabilitation process, which  
6 might have influenced the perceived rehabilitation needs. A large proportion, 35%, of the  
7 dyads were not in agreement which implies that a dyadic approach needs to be considered  
8 when devising post-stroke rehabilitation. The discrepancy in perception between persons with  
9 stroke and caregivers in the discordant group may reflect that the individuals in the dyad are  
10 at different stages in their reorientation process or that there are problems related to  
11 awareness.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 The findings show that the perception of rehabilitation needs one year after stroke differs  
26 between dyads in relation to stroke severity and major life events. Stroke severity and  
27 perceived stroke-related difficulties were less severe in dyads which reported met  
28 rehabilitation needs as compared to dyads where the stroke was more severe and where  
29 discordant or unmet needs were reported. More major life events were reported in the  
30 qualitative data among the discordant dyads and the dyads with unmet needs. This result was  
31 not surprising and it is also in line with previous studies which have shown that persons with  
32 severe stroke perceive more unmet rehabilitation needs.<sup>5-6</sup> Most persons with stroke in dyads  
33 where rehabilitation needs were met reported that they lived their lives as they did before the  
34 stroke. It may seem apparent that a more severe stroke means more unmet needs for  
35 rehabilitation. However, these results also point to a need for rehabilitation interventions that  
36 focus both on improving or sustaining physical and cognitive functioning as well as helping to  
37 adapt to life post stroke, including systematic support to the caregivers.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 In dyads where rehabilitation needs were met, the persons with stroke had a higher SOC than  
4 those in dyads with discordant views. Although those with unmet rehabilitation needs  
5 reported few or no coping strategies for everyday problems, there was no difference with  
6 regard to SOC found between dyads with met and unmet rehabilitation needs. One  
7 explanation for these results might be that the group formed of dyads with unmet  
8 rehabilitation needs was small and may have lacked statistical power to detect differences.  
9  
10 Further studies on the impact of SOC and the perception of rehabilitation needs are warranted  
11 and may guide the development of rehabilitation interventions that support people with stroke  
12 in their efforts to manage their life post stroke. SOC can be considered a disposition rather  
13 than a personal characteristic and thus, as some studies show, be modifiable through  
14 intervention.<sup>26-27</sup> Rehabilitation interventions that are informed by the components of the  
15 SOC construct, including comprehensibility, manageability and meaningfulness, could result  
16 in comprehensive and individualised approaches to rehabilitation after stroke. For example,  
17 people with moderate or severe stroke might need more guidance in finding their own coping  
18 strategies for how to handle everyday problems. A major limitation of this study is that the  
19 SOC-scale was not administered to the caregivers. This would have added valuable  
20 information to the interpretation of the results with regard to SOC.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 The findings also showed that caregiver burden was rated lower in dyads with met  
44 rehabilitation needs and some caregivers also reported social advantages after the stroke. This  
45 is compared to caregivers in dyads with unmet and discordant needs who rated higher  
46 caregiver burden and less active social lives. Still, the number of persons with stroke  
47 receiving informal care did not differ between the groups. This suggests that the caregiver's  
48 sense of burden is related to a more severe stroke or feeling more responsible for planning and  
49 organising activities. A clinical consequence of these results could be to focus on reducing the  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 caregiver burden by, for example, offering relief, especially to dyads with unmet and  
4  
5 discordant rehabilitation needs, so that caregivers can maintain their social lives.  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 One of this study's limitations is that the question about perceived rehabilitation needs does  
11  
12 not define what constitutes rehabilitation; instead each participant defined what rehabilitation  
13  
14 meant to them. The use of a set answers on a statement about rehabilitation needs only  
15  
16 provides generalised information. Future studies would benefit from more in-depth  
17  
18 knowledge on the characteristics of rehabilitation needs and qualitative studies on the dyad's  
19  
20 expectations for post-stroke rehabilitation. Another limitation is the small sample size which  
21  
22 did not allow for analyses of plausible interactions between the different variables and that  
23  
24 there was missing data regarding the caregivers due to incomplete questionnaires returned by  
25  
26 post. However, the study was based on a cohort representing a population of all persons  
27  
28 admitted to the stroke units during one year and the proportions of stroke severity are similar  
29  
30 to a previous national Swedish one-year follow-up study.<sup>3</sup>  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 A mixed method approach that uses both quantitative and qualitative analysis, as in this study,  
37  
38 contributes to a more comprehensive picture of post-stroke rehabilitation. The qualitative data  
39  
40 adds information about the participants' perception whereas the quantitative data can more  
41  
42 easily be compared with other studies and generalised to similar samples. However, the data  
43  
44 from the open-ended questions was not rich enough to compare the partners in the dyads with  
45  
46 one another. Instead the answers from the persons with stroke and from the caregivers were  
47  
48 analysed separately and compared between persons with stroke and caregivers on a group  
49  
50 level.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 The findings in this study confirm those of previous studies that used the more traditional  
4 perspective of studying the person with stroke<sup>4-9</sup> or the caregiver<sup>16-18</sup> separately. This study's  
5 unique contribution was the dyadic perspective, which adds valuable knowledge regarding the  
6 use of a systemic approach in rehabilitation as well the importance of the social environment.  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

<sup>28</sup> This stresses the integration of caregivers and other significant individuals in the rehabilitation system. <sup>29</sup> Through gaining a dyadic perspective on how rehabilitation needs are related to such aspects such as personal factors, stroke severity and caregiver burden, we can increase our understanding of this perspective and thus guide rehabilitation professionals when providing interventions. Future studies need to explore and evaluate the effects of using a dyadic perspective throughout rehabilitation.

**Acknowledgements:** Thank you to all participants in the study as well as the research assistants involved in the data collection.

**Author's contribution:**

LE and CY drafted the study. LE, UJ and CY performed the data analyses. All authors made critical revisions and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding:** Financial support was provided through the regional agreement on medical training and clinical research between Stockholm County Council and Karolinska Institutet (ALF) [20060700], Swedish Brain Foundation, (Hjärnfonden), the Swedish Research Council [2007-3087], the Swedish Stroke Association, and the Swedish Council for Working Life and Social Welfare. The funders have had no involvement in the study

1  
2  
3 design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of the data; in the writing of the report;  
4  
5 and in the decision to submit the paper for publication.  
6  
7  
8

9  
10 **Competing interest:** None.  
11

12  
13  
14 **Provenance and peer review:** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.  
15

16  
17  
18 **Data sharing statement:** No additional data are available.  
19

## 20 21 22 23 **References**

- 24  
25 1. National Guidelines for Stroke Care 2009: *The National Board of Health and Welfare*,  
26 Stockholm, 2009 (in Swedish).  
27
- 28  
29 2. NICE guidelines [CG162]. Stroke rehabilitation: Long-term rehabilitation after stroke.  
30  
31 *National Institute for Health and Care Excellence*, published June 2013  
32
- 33  
34 3. The Swedish Stroke Register annual report 2012 (Riks-Stroke årsrapport 2012).  
35  
36 *Swedish Stroke Register (Riks-Stroke)*, 2013 (in Swedish).  
37
- 38  
39 4. McKeivitt C, Fudge N, Redfern J, *et al.* Self-reported long-term needs after stroke.  
40  
41 *Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation* 2011;**42**:1398-403.  
42
- 43  
44 5. Tistad M, Tham K, von Koch L, *et al.* Unfulfilled rehabilitation needs and  
45  
46 dissatisfaction with care 12 months after a stroke: an explorative observational study.  
47  
48 *BMC neurology* 2012;**12**:40.  
49
- 50  
51 6. Kersten P, Low JT, Ashburn A, *et al.* The unmet needs of young people who have had a  
52  
53 stroke: results of a national UK survey. *Disabil Rehabil* 2002;**24**:860-6.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
7. Tistad M, von Koch L, Sjöstrand C, *et al.* What aspects of rehabilitation provision contribute to self-reported met needs for rehabilitation one year after stroke--amount, place, operator or timing? *Health Expect* 2013;**16**:e24-35.
8. Pound P, Tilling K, Rudd AG, *et al.* Does patient satisfaction reflect differences in care received after stroke? *Stroke* 1999;**30**:49-55.
9. Reed M, Harrington R, Duggan A, *et al.* Meeting stroke survivors' perceived needs: a qualitative study of a community-based exercise and education scheme. *Clinical Rehabilitation* 2010;**24**:16-25.
10. Antonovsky A. The structure and properties of the sense of coherence scale. *Social science & medicine (1982)* 1993;**36**:725-33.
11. Ekstam L, Tham K, Borell L. Couples' approaches to changes in everyday life during the first year after stroke. *Scandinavian Journal of Occupational Therapy* 2011;**18**:49-58.
12. Jongbloed L. Adaptation to a stroke: the experience of one couple. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy* 1994;**48**:1006-13.
13. Robinson-Smith G, Mahoney C. Coping and marital equilibrium after stroke. *Journal of Neuroscience Nursing* 1995;**27**:83-9.
14. van Nes F, Runge U, Jonsson H. One Body, Three Hands and Two Minds: A Case Study of the Intertwined Occupations of an Older Couple after a Stroke. *Journal of Occupational Science* 2009;**16**:194-202.
15. Vincent C, Deaudelin I, Robichaud L, *et al.* Rehabilitation needs for older adults with stroke living at home: perceptions of four populations. *BMC Geriatrics* 2007;**7**:20.
16. McPherson CJ, Wilson KG, Chyurlia L, *et al.* The balance of give and take in caregiver-partner relationships: An examination of self-perceived burden, relationship equity, and

- 1  
2  
3 quality of life from the perspective of care recipients following stroke. *Rehabilitation*  
4  
5 *Psychology* 2010;**55**:194-203.  
6  
7 17. Rigby H, Gubitz G, Phillips S. A systematic review of caregiver burden following  
8  
9 stroke. *International Journal of Stroke* 2009;**4**:285-92.  
10  
11 18. Gosman-Hedstrom G, Dahlin-Ivanoff S. 'Mastering an unpredictable everyday life after  
12  
13 stroke'- older women's experiences of caring and living with their partners.  
14  
15 *Scandinavian journal of caring sciences* 2012;**26**:587-97.  
16  
17 19. Mahoney FI, Barthel DW, Mahoney FI, *et al.* Functional Evaluation: The Barthel Index.  
18  
19 *Maryland State Medical Journal* 1965;**14**:61-5.  
20  
21 20. Govan L, Langhorne P, Weir CJ. Categorizing stroke prognosis using different stroke  
22  
23 scales. *Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation* 2009;**40**:3396-9.  
24  
25 21. Holmqvist LW, von Koch L, de Pedro-Cuesta J. Use of healthcare, impact on family  
26  
27 caregivers and patient satisfaction of rehabilitation at home after stroke in southwest  
28  
29 Stockholm. *Scandinavian journal of rehabilitation medicine* 2000;**32**:173-9.  
30  
31 22. Ware JE, Jr., Snyder MK, Wright WR, *et al.* Defining and measuring patient  
32  
33 satisfaction with medical care. *Evaluation and program planning* 1983;**6**:247-63.  
34  
35 23. Elmståhl S, Malmberg B, Annerstedt L. Caregiver's burden of patients 3 years after  
36  
37 stroke assessed by a novel caregiver burden scale. *Archives of Physical Medicine &*  
38  
39 *Rehabilitation* 1996;**77**:177-82.  
40  
41 24. Graneheim UH, Lundman B. Qualitative content analysis in nursing research: concepts,  
42  
43 procedures and measures to achieve trustworthiness. *Nurse Education Today*  
44  
45 2004;**24**:105-12.  
46  
47 25. Creswell JW, Plano Clart VL. *Designing and conducting mixed methods research*. Los  
48  
49 Angeles, CA: SAGE Publications, 2011. Chapter 3. Choosing a Mixed Methods Design.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 26. Weissbecker I, Salmon P, Studts JL, *et al.* Mindfulness-based stress reduction and sense  
4 of coherence among women with fibromyalgia. *Journal of Clinical Psychology in*  
5 *Medical Settings* 2002;**9**:297-307.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 27. Delbar V, Benor DE. Impact of a nursing intervention on cancer patients' ability to  
11 cope. *Journal of Psychosocial Oncology* 2001;**19**:57-75.  
12  
13  
14 28. Tham K, Kielhofner G. Impact of the social environment on occupational experience  
15 and performance among persons with unilateral neglect. *American Journal of*  
16 *Occupational Therapy* 2003;**57**:403-12.  
17  
18  
19  
20 29. Ward CD. Is patient-centred care a good thing? *Clinical Rehabilitation* 2011;**26**:3-9.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Table 1. Examples of meaning units, codes and categories.

Meaning unit	Code	Category
I have difficulties to tie my shoes so I use shoes without laces.	Adapt his clothing	Strategies to overcome problems
I'm more homebound now. He does not want me going out for very long.	Homebound	Caregiver burden



Table 2. Characteristics of the persons with stroke, use of health care services, informal care and caregiver burden.

Variable	Total sample, n=86	Met needs, n=45	Discordant, n=30	Unmet needs, n=11
Age: years, median (IQR)	72.5 (63-79.8)	75 (64-78)	71 (62.2-82.5)	74 (68.5-80.5)
Gender: women, n	33	18	11	4
Stroke severity: mild / moderate-severe, n ( <i>Barthel Index, range of scores 0-100</i> )	57 / 28*	34 / 10†	21 / 9	3 / 8
Sense of coherence: median (IQR) ( <i>Sense of coherence scale, range of scores 13-91</i> )	79.5 (69-87.8)‡	83 (74-89)§	71 (65.8-81)	79 (65-90)¶
Inpatient care and rehabilitation: days, median (IQR)	14 (7-36)	14 (6-31)	11.5 (7-35)	24 (19-56)
Outpatient rehabilitation: contacts, median (IQR)	3 (1-23.2)	3 (1-20)	8 (1-28.5)	1 (0-23)
Caregiver burden: median (IQR) ( <i>Caregiver burden scale, range of scores 22-88</i> )	38 (26-51)	28 (23-44)	46 (36.2-55)	56 (34-63.5)
Informal care: has received, n	42**	20††	17	5§§

\*n=85, †n=44, ‡n=74, §n=39, ||n=26, ¶n=9, \*\*n=83, ††=43, §§=1

Table 3. Categorization of the independent variables, categorization of dyads' perception of rehabilitation needs, p values

Independent variable	Perception of rehabilitation needs	P value
Age, years	Met / Unmet / Discordant	0.60
Sex, male / female	Met / Unmet	0.82
	Met / Discordant	0.77
	Unmet / Discordant	0.98
Sense of coherence, scores	Met / Unmet	0.48
	Met / Discordant	<b>0.002</b>
	Unmet / Discordant	0.40
Stroke severity, mild / moderate-severe	Met / Unmet	<b>0.002</b>
	Met / Discordant	0.48
	Unmet / Discordant	0.03
Inpatient care and rehabilitation, days	Met / Unmet / Discordant	0.12
Outpatient rehabilitation, contacts	Met / Unmet / Discordant	0.38
Caregiver burden, scores	Met / Unmet	<b>0.003</b>
	Met / Discordant	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	Unmet / Discordant	0.47
Informal care, has received / has not received	Met / Unmet	1.00
	Met / Discordant	0.39
	Unmet / Discordant	0.73

# BMJ Open

## The combined perceptions of people with stroke and their carers regarding rehabilitation needs one year after stroke: a mixed methods study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2014-006784.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	10-Jan-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Ekstam, Lisa; Karolinska Institutet, Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society; Karolinska University Hospital, Department of Occupational Therapy Johansson, Ulla; Karolinska Institutet, Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society; Uppsala University/County Council of Gävle, Department of Clinical Research Guidetti, Susanne; Karolinska Institutet, Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society Eriksson, Gunilla; Karolinska Institutet, Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society; Uppsala University, Department of Neuroscience, Rehabilitation medicine Ytterberg, Charlotte; Karolinska Institutet, Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b>:	Health services research
Secondary Subject Heading:	Neurology, Rehabilitation medicine
Keywords:	Stroke < NEUROLOGY, Quality in health care < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3 **The combined perceptions of people with stroke and their carers regarding**  
4 **rehabilitation needs one year after stroke: a mixed methods study**  
5  
6

7 Lisa Ekstam, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>, Ulla Johansson, PhD<sup>1,3</sup>, Susanne Guidetti, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Gunilla Eriksson,  
8 PhD<sup>1,4</sup>, Charlotte Ytterberg, PhD<sup>1,5,6</sup>  
9  
10

11 <sup>1</sup> Division of Occupational Therapy, Department of Neurobiology Care Sciences and Society,  
12 Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden  
13  
14

15 <sup>2</sup>Department of Occupational Therapy, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden  
16  
17

18 <sup>3</sup> Department of Clinical Research Uppsala University/County Council of Gävle, Sweden  
19  
20

21 <sup>4</sup> Department of Neuroscience, Rehabilitation medicine, Uppsala University, Uppsala,  
22 Sweden  
23  
24

25 <sup>5</sup> Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Division of Neurology, Karolinska University  
26 Hospital, Huddinge, Sweden  
27  
28

29 <sup>6</sup> Division of Physiotherapy, Department of Neurobiology Care Sciences and Society,  
30 Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 Corresponding author:

37 Charlotte Ytterberg

38 Division of Neurology, R54

39 Karolinska University Hospital Huddinge

40 141 86 Stockholm, Sweden  
41  
42

43 E-mail: charlotte.ytterberg@ki.se  
44  
45

46 Telephone: +46 8 585 822 77  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Abstract

**Objectives:** The aim of the study was to explore the associations between the dyad's (person with stroke and informal caregiver) perception of the person with stroke's rehabilitation needs and stroke severity, personal factors (gender, age, sense of coherence), the use of rehabilitation services, amount of informal care and caregiver burden. Further, the aim was to explore the personal experience of everyday life changes amongst persons with stroke and their caregivers and their strategies for handling these one year after stroke.

**Design:** A mixed methods design was used combining quantitative and qualitative data and analyses.

**Setting:** Data were mainly collected in the participants' homes.

**Outcome measures:** Data were collected through established instruments and open-ended interviews. The dyad's perceptions of the person with stroke's rehabilitation needs were assessed by the persons with stroke and their informal caregivers using a questionnaire based on Ware's taxonomy. The results were combined and classified into three groups: met, discordant (i.e. not in agreement) and unmet rehabilitation needs. To assess sense of coherence (SOC) in persons with stroke, the SOC-scale was used. Caregiver burden was assessed using the Caregiver Burden Scale. Data on the use of rehabilitation services were obtained from the computerised register at the Stockholm County Council.

**Participants:** 86 persons with stroke (mean age 73 years, 38% women) and their caregivers (mean age 65 years, 40% women).

**Results:** Fifty-two percent of the dyads perceived that the person with stroke's need for rehabilitation was met 12 months after stroke. Met rehabilitation needs were associated with less severe stroke, more coping strategies for solving problems in everyday activities and less caregiver burden.

1  
2  
3 **Conclusion:** Rehabilitation interventions need to focus on supporting the dyads' process of  
4 psychological and social adaptation after stroke. Future studies need to explore and evaluate  
5 the effects of using a dyadic perspective throughout rehabilitation.  
6  
7  
8  
9

### 10 11 **Strengths and limitations of this study**

- 14 • A mixed method approach that uses both quantitative and qualitative analysis, as in  
15 this study, contributes to a more comprehensive picture of rehabilitation after stroke.  
16
- 17 • A major strength of this study was the dyadic perspective which adds valuable  
18 knowledge regarding the use of a systemic approach in rehabilitation as well as the  
19 importance of the social environment.  
20
- 21 • The data from the open-ended questions was not rich enough to make comparisons  
22 between the partners in the dyads. Instead the answers from the persons with stroke  
23 and the caregivers were analysed separately and compared between persons with  
24 stroke and caregivers on a group level.  
25
- 26 • The use of set answers on a statement about rehabilitation needs only provides  
27 generalised information. Future studies would benefit from more in-depth knowledge  
28 on the characteristics of rehabilitation needs and the dyad's expectations for  
29 rehabilitation after stroke.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Introduction

It is recommended that rehabilitation after a stroke should be patient-centred i.e., based on the needs identified by the person with stroke.<sup>1,2</sup> Nevertheless, one year after stroke 33-49% perceive unmet rehabilitation needs.<sup>3-5</sup> These perceptions have been shown to be associated with several aspects such as a younger age<sup>6</sup> and greater disability.<sup>5-6</sup> The type<sup>7</sup> and amount of rehabilitation services received<sup>8</sup>, as well as social support and internal resources of confidence, have been shown to be important factors in the perception of met rehabilitation needs.<sup>9</sup> Sense of coherence (SOC) refers to a global orientation that enables us to view the world and our individual environment as comprehensible, manageable and meaningful.<sup>10</sup> A person with a strong SOC is thought to have access to more personal resources that help them adjust successfully to traumatic events in life such as a stroke. However, the knowledge with regard to plausible associations of SOC and perceived needs for rehabilitation after stroke is limited and further studies are needed.<sup>5</sup>

With shorter hospital stays and more care and rehabilitation being delivered at home, the patient's families and friends are likely to be more involved and have increased responsibilities for informal care and rehabilitation after a stroke. Studies have shown that the people with whom someone shares their everyday life with have an important role in rehabilitation.<sup>11-14</sup> Moreover, informal caregivers identify more unmet rehabilitation needs than the people with stroke do themselves<sup>15</sup>, and when a stroke influences the everyday lives that people share, informal caregivers should be more involved in the rehabilitation process.<sup>11-14</sup> However, informal caregivers themselves are often elderly and are in need of support to help cope with the burden of care in everyday life after stroke.<sup>16-18</sup> Thus, it is imperative that we consider how both the people with stroke and also their caregivers perceive rehabilitation needs, as well as plausible associations between such perceptions and caregiver burden.

1  
2  
3 Several qualitative studies have shed light on how the everyday life of dyads (i.e. two persons  
4 involved in an ongoing relationship or interaction) are intertwined and these studies highlight  
5 couples' interdependency after a partner's stroke.<sup>11-14</sup> These results emphasise the need for  
6 studies that focus not only on the person with stroke or the caregiver but instead adopt a  
7 broader perspective such as that of the dyad. No studies have been found that focus on the  
8 perceptions of rehabilitation needs after stroke from a dyadic perspective nor the plausible  
9 associations between such perceptions and caregiver burden.  
10  
11

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21 Although many individuals still experience rehabilitation needs one year after stroke,<sup>3-5</sup>  
22 rehabilitation is often concluded within the first three months. An understanding of the  
23 rehabilitation process can be increased both by having a dyadic perspective of post-stroke  
24 rehabilitation needs as well as learning plausible associations with such aspects such as  
25 personal factors, stroke severity and caregiver burden. Such knowledge can presumably help  
26 through both identifying dyads that are still in need of support in everyday life after the first  
27 year and also guide rehabilitation professionals when developing interventions. Furthermore,  
28 the use of a mixed methods design which combines qualitative and quantitative data from a  
29 broader perspective may provide opportunities to shed new light on the complex processes  
30 involved in post-stroke rehabilitation. Therefore, the aim of the study was to explore the  
31 associations between the dyad's perception of rehabilitation needs and stroke severity,  
32 personal factors (gender, age, SOC), the use of rehabilitation services, amount of informal  
33 care and caregiver burden. Further, the aim was to explore the personal experience of  
34 everyday life changes amongst persons with stroke and their informal caregivers and their  
35 strategies for handling these one year after stroke.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

## 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 **Methods**



1  
2  
3 This study used a mixed methods design combining quantitative and qualitative data and  
4 analyses. This study was based on secondary analysis of data from a prospective  
5  
6 observational study of the rehabilitation process after stroke named 'Life After Stroke phase  
7  
8 1' (LAS-1). All the patients with stroke admitted to one of three stroke units at the Karolinska  
9  
10 University Hospital between May 15, 2006 and May 14, 2007 were eligible for inclusion in  
11  
12 LAS-1 and 349 were included. After informed consent, the baseline assessment within the  
13  
14 first week after stroke and follow-ups at 3, 6 and 12 months after stroke onset were carried  
15  
16 out, mainly during home visits through structured (questionnaires) and semi-structured (open-  
17  
18 ended questions) interviews, by a research assistant (i.e. a purposely trained occupational  
19  
20 therapist or a physiotherapist). The persons with stroke were asked to identify an informal  
21  
22 caregiver. The caregiver chosen by the person with stroke could be a partner, a son or  
23  
24 daughter, or a friend. Data from the caregivers were collected during the home visits through  
25  
26 structured (questionnaires) and semi-structured (open-ended questions) interviews, or if the  
27  
28 caregiver was not present, via a questionnaire which was left for the caregiver and was to be  
29  
30 returned by post in a stamped envelope.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38 The participants in the present study were participants in the LAS-1 (people with stroke and  
39  
40 their informal caregivers) who had answered a question concerning the fulfilment of  
41  
42 rehabilitation needs of the person with stroke at the 12-months follow-up. The study was  
43  
44 approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Stockholm, Sweden.  
45  
46  
47

### 48 ***Data collection***

#### 49 *Persons with stroke*

50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Data on sex, age and stroke severity at baseline were collected by means of interviews and  
4 from the medical records. Using the Barthel Index<sup>19</sup> stroke severity was categorised as mild  
5 (scores 50-100) or moderate/severe (scores 0-49).<sup>20</sup>  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11 Through employing a questionnaire previously used in studies of people with stroke<sup>5, 7, 21</sup> data  
12 regarding the need for, and satisfaction with the health care services received was collected at  
13 12 months after stroke. The questionnaire is based on a taxonomy developed by Ware<sup>22</sup> and  
14 covers different dimensions that are thought to influence patients' satisfaction with care. The  
15 persons with stroke rated levels of agreement in relation to 14 statements concerning the  
16 different dimensions on a five-graded Likert scale with 'agree' and 'do not agree at all' as the  
17 endpoints. One particular statement addresses the level of fulfilment regarding rehabilitation  
18 needs and was therefore chosen as the dependent variable for this study: 'I have received the  
19 rehabilitation that my condition has required'. The scores on the statement were dichotomized  
20 into 'agree' i.e. met needs for rehabilitation (1-2 on the Likert scale) or 'do not agree' i.e.  
21 unmet needs for rehabilitation (3-5 on the Likert scale).  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38 To assess SOC at 12 months, the 13-item version of the SOC-scale was used.<sup>10</sup> The  
39 questionnaire consists of 13 items rated on a seven-graded Likert scale. The total score ranges  
40 from 13 (weak SOC) to 91 (strong SOC). Data on the use of inpatient (days) and outpatient  
41 (contacts) rehabilitation services were obtained from the computerised register at the  
42 Stockholm County Council.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 Open-ended questions were used to collect data at 12 months after stroke regarding changes  
52 in managing daily activities after stroke and strategies for handling problems that arose. The  
53 questions were framed as such: '1a) how do you think your daily activities work for you  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 today? 1b) is there anything that has changed (mention three examples of activities that have  
4 become harder to perform)? 2) Do you have any thoughts about how this (activities that have  
5 changed) might work better, such as how you could solve the problem?' The answers to the  
6 questions were answered orally by the participant and then written down by the research  
7 assistant.  
8  
9

### 10 11 12 13 14 15 *Informal caregiver*

16  
17 Data on sex, age and the caregiver's relation to the person with stroke were collected at three  
18 months after stroke by means of interviews. A corresponding questionnaire was used to  
19 collect data on the caregiver's perception of need for, and satisfaction with the health care  
20 services received by the person with stroke at 12 months after stroke. Levels of agreement  
21 with 10 statements relating to the different dimensions thought to influence satisfaction with  
22 care were rated by caregivers on a five-graded Likert scale with 'agree' and 'do not agree at  
23 all' as the endpoints. The questionnaires used to collect data from caregivers and from the  
24 persons with stroke respectively contained four coinciding statements. The same statement,  
25 addressing fulfilment of rehabilitation needs, was chosen for both the persons with stroke and  
26 the caregivers: 'My next-of-kin has received the rehabilitation that his/her condition has  
27 required'. The scores on the statement were dichotomized into 'agree' i.e. met needs for  
28 rehabilitation (1-2 on the Likert scale) or 'do not agree' i.e. unmet needs for rehabilitation (3-  
29 5 on the Likert scale).  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 Caregiver burden was assessed at 12 months using the Caregiver Burden Scale<sup>23</sup>, and self-  
49 reported data on informal care (yes or no) from caregivers were collected in an interview. The  
50 caregivers were asked if there were any activities of daily living in which the participant had  
51 needed his/her assistance or supervision that, prior to stroke onset, had been performed  
52 independently by the participant.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 An open-ended question on changes in daily life since the next-of-kin's stroke was used to  
6  
7 collect data at 12 months after stroke. The question was framed as: 'Can you name the three  
8  
9 greatest changes (in your everyday life after your next-of-kin's stroke) to you personally?'

10  
11 The answers to the questions were mainly answered orally by the participant and then written  
12  
13 down by the research assistant or in some cases written down by the caregiver.  
14  
15

### 16 17 *Data analysis*

18  
19 To determine the dyads' joint perception of rehabilitation needs, the dichotomized results of  
20  
21 the statement concerning fulfilment of rehabilitation needs for both individuals in the dyad  
22  
23 were combined and then classified into three groups: met, discordant (i.e. not in agreement)  
24  
25 and unmet rehabilitation needs. The Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA was used first to determine if  
26  
27 there was a difference between the three groups of combined perception of rehabilitation  
28  
29 needs regarding age, SOC, use of inpatient (number of days) and outpatient (number of  
30  
31 contacts) health care services and caregiver burden. The Mann Whitney U test was used for  
32  
33 pairwise comparisons between the groups of combined perception of rehabilitation needs. The  
34  
35 chi square test was used to analyse the differences between the groups of combined  
36  
37 perception of rehabilitation needs with regard to sex, stroke severity and informal care.  
38  
39

40  
41 Pairwise comparisons were adjusted for multiple comparisons using a p value of  $\leq 0.01$ .<sup>24</sup>  
42  
43  
44  
45

46  
47 Data, in the form of answers to the standardised open-ended questions were analysed through  
48  
49 a content analysis.<sup>25</sup> The answers from the persons with stroke and caregivers were analysed  
50  
51 separately and compared on a group level in each group (i.e. met, discordant and unmet needs  
52  
53 of rehabilitation). In the first step of analysis all the data were read through thoroughly by the  
54  
55 first, second and last author of this study. The data was coded individually by the three  
56  
57 authors who then discussed the codes together and grouped them into categories inductively  
58  
59  
60

(see table 1). The authors summarised the content based on the categories in each of the groups: met, unmet or discordant rehabilitation needs in the persons with stroke and caregivers respectively. The summaries were discussed among the authors and a final description of each group was made. In a final step the quantitative and qualitative findings were compared according to mixed model analysis<sup>26</sup> and aggregated into three areas constituting the headings of the results. The qualitative findings were used to better describe and understand the quantitative results.

## Results

Of the 349 persons with stroke from the LAS-1, 55 were deceased one year post stroke, 76 declined or were lost to follow-up, 15 persons had not answered the statement 'I have received the rehabilitation that my condition has required' and 117 had no identified caregiver. This left 86 persons with stroke and their caregivers at the 12-month follow-up. In the sample, 22 (26%) had experienced a previous stroke. The characteristics of the persons with stroke, the use of health care services, amount of informal care and the caregiver's burden are presented in table 2. SOC data was only available for 74 of the 86 participants (see table 2). In the sample, 58 (67%) had mild stroke and 28 (33%) had moderate/severe stroke. Among the 86 caregivers, 62 were partners, 6 were children of the person with stroke and 18 people did not state the relationship clearly. The mean age of the caregivers was 65 years, 39 were women and 17 were men (44 caregivers had missing data on age and 20 had missing data on gender). Of the 263 persons with stroke not included in the present study the number of women was 128 (49%); mean age was 72 years; and 148 (56%) had mild stroke.

Among the dyads, 45 (52%) perceived that the person with stroke's rehabilitation needs were met at 12 months, 11 (13%) perceived that the rehabilitation needs were unmet, and 30 (35%)

1  
2  
3 were not in agreement. Of the persons with stroke, 61 (71%) perceived that their needs for  
4  
5 rehabilitation were met and 25 (29%) perceived unmet needs for rehabilitation. In the  
6  
7 caregivers, 57 (66%) perceived met rehabilitation needs for the person with stroke and 29  
8  
9 (34%) unmet rehabilitation needs.  
10

### 11 12 13 14 *Stroke severity was associated with the dyads' rehabilitation needs*

15  
16 In table 3, results from the comparative analyses are presented. A larger proportion of people  
17  
18 with mild stroke were in dyads that perceived met rehabilitation needs compared to dyads  
19  
20 who did not ( $p=0.002$ ). The same results were found in the standardised open-ended questions  
21  
22 given by the persons with stroke and their caregivers. In the dyads where rehabilitation needs  
23  
24 were met most persons with stroke reported that they lived their lives as they did before their  
25  
26 stroke. The same did not apply to persons with stroke in the dyads where unmet or discordant  
27  
28 needs were recorded. Instead, within these dyads most persons with stroke reported great  
29  
30 difficulties in walking and their dependence on a wheelchair or a walker. This was described  
31  
32 by one participant as: 'I find it harder to walk. I have walking difficulties and I have to use a  
33  
34 walker. My balance has been very affected.' Dyads whose rehabilitation needs were met  
35  
36 reported less severe walking difficulties for the persons with stroke e.g., that they only had  
37  
38 difficulties walking long distances and in walking without a stick. One example from a  
39  
40 participant described the following change: 'I haven't fully recovered my ability to walk or  
41  
42 my balance, but they are much better than nine months ago. I can go out into the garden  
43  
44 independently even without a walking stick, though I usually have a stick.' Further, in dyads  
45  
46 whose rehabilitation needs were met, the persons with stroke described more specific  
47  
48 problems such as weakness in the arm and hand, reduced fine hand use and reduced  
49  
50 sensitivity in the hand; these problems caused limitations in activities such as playing the  
51  
52 piano, peeling potatoes and buttoning clothes. In contrast, in dyads which recorded unmet or  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 discordant rehabilitation needs, the persons with stroke often reported having to give up a task  
4  
5 in advance and had limitations in more physically demanding activities such as going to buy  
6  
7 groceries; going to the toilet and getting dressed; and gardening. Further, persons with stroke  
8  
9 who described other major life events occurring after the stroke were all found to be among  
10  
11 the dyads reporting unmet rehabilitation needs. Examples of major life events reported were a  
12  
13 new stroke, pneumonia or multiple diagnoses, such as dementia or chronic obstructive  
14  
15 pulmonary disease.  
16  
17

18  
19  
20 ***Dyads' rehabilitation needs related to the persons with stroke's use of strategies to***  
21  
22 ***overcome problems***  
23

24 The statistical analyses identified no differences in perception of rehabilitation needs with  
25  
26 regard to sex, age or the use of rehabilitation services. Persons with stroke in dyads reporting  
27  
28 met rehabilitation needs had a higher SOC than in discordant dyads ( $p=0.002$ ) (see table 3). In  
29  
30 the dyads that had met or discordant rehabilitation needs almost all persons with stroke,  
31  
32 whose rehabilitation needs were perceived as met, described how they handled everyday  
33  
34 problems. Strategies included engaging in physical exercise, such as going for walks. One  
35  
36 specific strategy was to pause during the activity when things became 'too much'. Others  
37  
38 mentioned using aids or a partner to compensate when problems emerged. Additional  
39  
40 strategies were: to think ahead to avoid problems; to try to find a solution to the problem; to  
41  
42 set goals; and to refuse to give up. One participant described how he found solutions to  
43  
44 overcome problems. He expressed this as: 'I don't give up. I train at home through coming up  
45  
46 with activities such as cutting logs and making a new saw horse. I am a retired technician and  
47  
48 am used to finding solutions.' Furthermore, in the dyads where rehabilitation needs were met,  
49  
50 most individuals with stroke had ideas about what needed to improve, such as being able to  
51  
52 cycle or drive a car. They also knew how to improve, like integrating training into everyday  
53  
54 life and to keep pushing themselves and practicing. Others described how they used strategies,  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 or aids, or reported that they had adapted to the situation by stopping doing things. This  
4  
5 finding can be compared against those with unmet rehabilitation needs where persons with  
6  
7 stroke in dyads with unmet needs did not report strategies to overcome the difficulties they  
8  
9 experienced and only some persons with stroke with unmet needs in the discordant dyads  
10  
11 reported strategies. One person claimed: 'I have no specific strategy to train my body and  
12  
13 soul, I have lost the will or my spark.' People in this group expressed a feeling of dependence  
14  
15 on others for their rehabilitation. Several persons with stroke described how they lacked  
16  
17 willpower and found it difficult to take initiatives while others reported an inner drive to make  
18  
19 things work, but did not have a strategy to achieve this. Furthermore, the persons with stroke  
20  
21 in dyads with unmet rehabilitation needs often felt that rehabilitation had ended too early. Just  
22  
23 as those in dyads with unmet needs, the individuals with stroke in discordant dyads wanted to  
24  
25 receive more rehabilitation as a strategy or as a solution to their problems.  
26  
27  
28  
29

### 30 *Caregivers perceived less caregiver burden in dyads where rehabilitation needs were met*

31  
32 Results from the statistical analyses showed that in dyads where rehabilitation needs were met  
33  
34 the caregivers perceived a lower caregiver burden compared to dyads with unmet  
35  
36 rehabilitation needs ( $p=0.003$ ) and discordant dyads ( $p<0.001$ ) (see table 3). No difference  
37  
38 was found among dyads in regard to the number of persons with stroke receiving informal  
39  
40 care. We found that the next-of-kin in all groups reported taking greater responsibility for  
41  
42 household chores such as cooking, washing and cleaning. They also reported needing to take  
43  
44 more initiatives and responsibility for safety, planning and creating routines for everyday life.  
45  
46 Furthermore, caregivers in all the dyads described their anxieties. For instance the person with  
47  
48 stroke might have a new stroke or another medical problem. Caregivers in all the groups also  
49  
50 reported feeling homebound. They felt unable to leave the person with stroke alone and,  
51  
52 consequently, had less time to do things on their own. In addition to feeling greater  
53  
54 responsibility, both caregivers with met and unmet rehabilitation needs in discordant dyads  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 described how they no longer kept their own interests and hobbies such as dancing and  
4  
5 visiting their summer house.  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 Regardless of whether the persons with stroke perceived met or unmet rehabilitation needs,  
11  
12 caregivers who perceived unmet rehabilitation needs for the person with stroke had less time  
13  
14 for their social life: family and friends did not keep in touch and they had less time to spend  
15  
16 with friends and/or other people. This quote by a caregiver mirrors this sentiment: 'The  
17  
18 greatest change is not being able to socialise with a female friend, relax and spend time with  
19  
20 my children and grandchildren.' In contrast, only a few of the caregivers where rehabilitation  
21  
22 needs were met described less active social lives. Instead, these caregivers noted advantages  
23  
24 that came from the stroke, such as spending more time with the family, having a more  
25  
26 profound relationship and seeing each other more often. This advantage was expressed by a  
27  
28 spouse saying: 'One great plus for our family is that my husband has got so much more time  
29  
30 to spend with all of us (after his stroke).'

### 31 32 33 34 35 36 **Discussion**

37  
38 About half of the dyads perceived that the person with stroke's rehabilitation needs were met  
39  
40 one year after stroke. The results showed that the people whose rehabilitation needs were met  
41  
42 had suffered a less severe stroke and stroke-related difficulties and had more strategies for  
43  
44 solving everyday problems, while caregivers had a lower caregiver burden. Further, equal  
45  
46 proportions of persons with stroke and caregivers perceived that there were unmet  
47  
48 rehabilitation needs. These findings are different from earlier studies where the caregivers  
49  
50 identified more unmet needs than those people with stroke.<sup>15</sup> Moreover, only 29% of the  
51  
52 persons with stroke in the present study perceived an unmet need for rehabilitation which is  
53  
54 markedly lower than the 43% reported in a previous national Swedish one-year follow-up  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 study.<sup>3</sup> It is possible that the small sample in the present study partly explains these disparate  
4 results. Another possible explanation is that the inclusion criteria in the present study involved  
5 participation by a caregiver who may have been engaged in the rehabilitation process, which  
6 might have influenced the perceived rehabilitation needs. A large proportion, 35%, of the  
7 dyads were not in agreement which implies that a dyadic approach needs to be considered  
8 when devising post-stroke rehabilitation. The discrepancy in perception between persons with  
9 stroke and caregivers in the discordant group may reflect that the individuals in the dyad are  
10 at different stages in their reorientation process or that there are problems related to  
11 awareness.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 The findings show that the perception of rehabilitation needs one year after stroke differs  
26 between dyads in relation to stroke severity and major life events. Stroke severity and  
27 perceived stroke-related difficulties were less severe in dyads which reported met  
28 rehabilitation needs as compared to dyads where the stroke was more severe and where  
29 discordant or unmet needs were reported. More major life events were reported in the  
30 qualitative data among the discordant dyads and the dyads with unmet needs. This result was  
31 not surprising and it is also in line with previous studies which have shown that persons with  
32 severe stroke perceive more unmet rehabilitation needs.<sup>5-6</sup> Most persons with stroke in dyads  
33 where rehabilitation needs were met reported that they lived their lives as they did before the  
34 stroke. It may seem apparent that a more severe stroke means more unmet needs for  
35 rehabilitation. However, these results also point to a need for rehabilitation interventions that  
36 focus both on improving or sustaining physical and cognitive functioning as well as helping to  
37 adapt to life post stroke, including systematic support to the caregivers.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 In dyads where rehabilitation needs were met, the persons with stroke had a higher SOC than  
4 those in dyads with discordant views. Although those with unmet rehabilitation needs  
5 reported few or no coping strategies for everyday problems, there was no difference with  
6 regard to SOC found between dyads with met and unmet rehabilitation needs. One  
7 explanation for these results might be that the group formed of dyads with unmet  
8 rehabilitation needs was small and may have lacked statistical power to detect differences.  
9  
10 Further studies on the impact of SOC and the perception of rehabilitation needs are warranted  
11 and may guide the development of rehabilitation interventions that support people with stroke  
12 in their efforts to manage their life post stroke. SOC can be considered a disposition rather  
13 than a personal characteristic and thus, as some studies show, be modifiable through  
14 intervention.<sup>27-28</sup> Rehabilitation interventions that are informed by the components of the  
15 SOC construct, including comprehensibility, manageability and meaningfulness, could result  
16 in comprehensive and individualised approaches to rehabilitation after stroke. For example,  
17 people with moderate or severe stroke might need more guidance in finding their own coping  
18 strategies for how to handle everyday problems. Since stroke severity was less severe in dyads  
19 which reported met rehabilitation needs it is likely to be a confounding variable as mild stroke  
20 may result in minor problems to overcome, whereas severe stroke may lead to major problems  
21 to overcome requiring more complex or intensive strategies. Furthermore, the findings are  
22 based on self-report and since the participants were not specifically asked to comment on  
23 strategies it is possible that they had some strategies in place that they did not mention in the  
24 interviews. A major limitation of this study is that the SOC-scale was not administered to the  
25 caregivers. This would have added valuable information to the interpretation of the results  
26 with regard to SOC.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 The findings also showed that caregiver burden was rated lower in dyads with met  
4 rehabilitation needs and some caregivers also reported social advantages after the stroke. This  
5 is compared to caregivers in dyads with unmet and discordant needs who rated higher  
6 caregiver burden and less active social lives. Still, the number of persons with stroke  
7 receiving informal care did not differ between the groups. This suggests that the caregiver's  
8 sense of burden is related to a more severe stroke or feeling more responsible for planning and  
9 organising activities. A clinical consequence of these results could be to focus on reducing the  
10 caregiver burden by, for example, offering relief, especially to dyads with unmet and  
11 discordant rehabilitation needs, so that caregivers can maintain their social lives.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24  
25 One of this study's limitations is that the question about perceived rehabilitation needs does  
26 not define what constitutes rehabilitation; instead each participant defined what rehabilitation  
27 meant to them. The use of a set answers on a statement about rehabilitation needs only  
28 provides generalised information. Future studies would benefit from more in-depth  
29 knowledge on the characteristics of rehabilitation needs and qualitative studies on the dyad's  
30 expectations for post-stroke rehabilitation. Another limitation is the small sample size which  
31 did not allow for analyses of plausible interactions between the different variables and that  
32 there was missing data regarding the caregivers due to incomplete questionnaires returned by  
33 post. However, the study was based on a cohort representing a population of all persons  
34 admitted to the stroke units during one year and the proportions of stroke severity are similar  
35 to a previous national Swedish one-year follow-up study.<sup>3</sup>  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51  
52 A mixed method approach that uses both quantitative and qualitative analysis, as in this study,  
53 contributes to a more comprehensive picture of post-stroke rehabilitation. The qualitative data  
54 adds information about the participants' perception whereas the quantitative data can more  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 easily be compared with other studies and generalised to similar samples. However, the data  
4  
5 from the open-ended questions was not rich enough to compare the partners in the dyads with  
6  
7 one another. Instead the answers from the persons with stroke and from the caregivers were  
8  
9 analysed separately and compared between persons with stroke and caregivers on a group  
10  
11 level.  
12

13  
14  
15  
16 The findings in this study confirm those of previous studies that used the more traditional  
17  
18 perspective of studying the person with stroke <sup>4-9</sup> or the caregiver <sup>16-18</sup> separately. This study's  
19  
20 unique contribution was the dyadic perspective, which adds valuable knowledge regarding the  
21  
22 use of a systemic approach in rehabilitation as well the importance of the social environment.  
23  
24 <sup>29</sup> This stresses the integration of caregivers and other significant individuals in the  
25  
26 rehabilitation system. <sup>30</sup> Through gaining a dyadic perspective on how rehabilitation needs are  
27  
28 related to such aspects such as personal factors, stroke severity and caregiver burden, we can  
29  
30 increase our understanding of this perspective and thus guide rehabilitation professionals  
31  
32 when providing interventions. Future studies need to explore and evaluate the effects of using  
33  
34 a dyadic perspective throughout rehabilitation.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

42 **Acknowledgements:** Thank you to all participants in the study as well as the research  
43  
44 assistants involved in the data collection.  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 **Author's contribution:**

50  
51 LE and CY drafted the study. LE, UJ and CY performed the data analyses. All authors  
52  
53 made critical revisions and approved the final manuscript.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Funding:** Financial support was provided through the regional agreement on medical training and clinical research between Stockholm County Council and Karolinska Institutet (ALF) [20060700], Swedish Brain Foundation, (Hjärnfonden), the Swedish Research Council [2007-3087], the Swedish Stroke Association, and the Swedish Council for Working Life and Social Welfare. The funders have had no involvement in the study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of the data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

**Competing interest:** None.

**Provenance and peer review:** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data sharing statement:** No additional data are available.

## References

1. National Guidelines for Stroke Care 2009: *The National Board of Health and Welfare*, Stockholm, 2009 (in Swedish).
2. NICE guidelines [CG162]. Stroke rehabilitation: Long-term rehabilitation after stroke. *National Institute for Health and Care Excellence*, published June 2013
3. The Swedish Stroke Register annual report 2012 (Riks-Stroke årsrapport 2012). *Swedish Stroke Register (Riks-Stroke)*, 2013 (in Swedish).
4. McKevitt C, Fudge N, Redfern J, *et al.* Self-reported long-term needs after stroke. *Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation* 2011;**42**:1398-403.

- 1  
2  
3 5. Tistad M, Tham K, von Koch L, *et al.* Unfulfilled rehabilitation needs and  
4 dissatisfaction with care 12 months after a stroke: an explorative observational study.  
5 *BMC neurology* 2012;**12**:40.  
6  
7
- 8  
9 6. Kersten P, Low JT, Ashburn A, *et al.* The unmet needs of young people who have had a  
10 stroke: results of a national UK survey. *Disabil Rehabil* 2002;**24**:860-6.  
11  
12
- 13 7. Tistad M, von Koch L, Sjöstrand C, *et al.* What aspects of rehabilitation provision  
14 contribute to self-reported met needs for rehabilitation one year after stroke--amount,  
15 place, operator or timing? *Health Expect* 2013;**16**:e24-35.  
16  
17
- 18 8. Pound P, Tilling K, Rudd AG, *et al.* Does patient satisfaction reflect differences in care  
19 received after stroke? *Stroke* 1999;**30**:49-55.  
20  
21
- 22 9. Reed M, Harrington R, Duggan A, *et al.* Meeting stroke survivors' perceived needs: a  
23 qualitative study of a community-based exercise and education scheme. *Clinical*  
24 *Rehabilitation* 2010;**24**:16-25.  
25  
26
- 27 10. Antonovsky A. The structure and properties of the sense of coherence scale. *Social*  
28 *science & medicine (1982)* 1993;**36**:725-33.  
29  
30
- 31 11. Ekstam L, Tham K, Borell L. Couples' approaches to changes in everyday life during  
32 the first year after stroke. *Scandinavian Journal of Occupational Therapy* 2011;**18**:49-  
33 58.  
34  
35
- 36 12. Jongbloed L. Adaptation to a stroke: the experience of one couple. *American Journal of*  
37 *Occupational Therapy* 1994;**48**:1006-13.  
38  
39
- 40 13. Robinson-Smith G, Mahoney C. Coping and marital equilibrium after stroke. *Journal of*  
41 *Neuroscience Nursing* 1995;**27**:83-9.  
42  
43
- 44 14. van Nes F, Runge U, Jonsson H. One Body, Three Hands and Two Minds: A Case  
45 Study of the Intertwined Occupations of an Older Couple after a Stroke. *Journal of*  
46 *Occupational Science* 2009;**16**:194-202.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

15. Vincent C, Deaudelin I, Robichaud L, *et al.* Rehabilitation needs for older adults with stroke living at home: perceptions of four populations. *BMC Geriatrics* 2007;**7**:20.
16. McPherson CJ, Wilson KG, Chyurlia L, *et al.* The balance of give and take in caregiver-partner relationships: An examination of self-perceived burden, relationship equity, and quality of life from the perspective of care recipients following stroke. *Rehabilitation Psychology* 2010;**55**:194-203.
17. Rigby H, Gubitz G, Phillips S. A systematic review of caregiver burden following stroke. *International Journal of Stroke* 2009;**4**:285-92.
18. Gosman-Hedstrom G, Dahlin-Ivanoff S. 'Mastering an unpredictable everyday life after stroke'- older women's experiences of caring and living with their partners. *Scandinavian journal of caring sciences* 2012;**26**:587-97.
19. Mahoney FI, Barthel DW, Mahoney FI, *et al.* Functional Evaluation: The Barthel Index. *Maryland State Medical Journal* 1965;**14**:61-5.
20. Govan L, Langhorne P, Weir CJ. Categorizing stroke prognosis using different stroke scales. *Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation* 2009;**40**:3396-9.
21. Holmqvist LW, von Koch L, de Pedro-Cuesta J. Use of healthcare, impact on family caregivers and patient satisfaction of rehabilitation at home after stroke in southwest Stockholm. *Scandinavian journal of rehabilitation medicine* 2000;**32**:173-9.
22. Ware JE, Jr., Snyder MK, Wright WR, *et al.* Defining and measuring patient satisfaction with medical care. *Evaluation and program planning* 1983;**6**:247-63.
23. Elmståhl S, Malmberg B, Annerstedt L. Caregiver's burden of patients 3 years after stroke assessed by a novel caregiver burden scale. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 1996;**77**:177-82.
24. Polit DF, Beck CT, Hungler BP. *Essentials of nursing research: methods, appraisal, and utilization*. Study guide. 5. ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott; 2001: 348.



- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
25. Graneheim UH, Lundman B. Qualitative content analysis in nursing research: concepts, procedures and measures to achieve trustworthiness. *Nurse Education Today* 2004;**24**:105-12.
  26. Creswell JW, Plano Clart VL. *Designing and conducting mixed methods research*. Los Angeles, CA: SAGE Publications, 2011. Chapter 3. Choosing a Mixed Methods Design.
  27. Weissbecker I, Salmon P, Studts JL, *et al*. Mindfulness-based stress reduction and sense of coherence among women with fibromyalgia. *Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings* 2002;**9**:297-307.
  28. Delbar V, Benor DE. Impact of a nursing intervention on cancer patients' ability to cope. *Journal of Psychosocial Oncology* 2001;**19**:57-75.
  29. Tham K, Kielhofner G. Impact of the social environment on occupational experience and performance among persons with unilateral neglect. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy* 2003;**57**:403-12.
  30. Ward CD. Is patient-centred care a good thing? *Clinical Rehabilitation* 2011;**26**:3-9.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Table 1. Examples of meaning units, codes and categories.

Meaning unit	Code	Category
I have difficulties to tie my shoes so I use shoes without laces.	Adapt his clothing	Strategies to overcome problems
I'm more homebound now. He does not want me going out for very long.	Homebound	Caregiver burden

Table 2. Characteristics of the persons with stroke, use of health care services, informal care and caregiver burden.

Variable	Total sample, n=86	Met needs, n=45	Discordant, n=30	Unmet needs, n=11
Age: years, median (IQR)	72.5 (63-79.8)	75 (64-78)	71 (62.2-82.5)	74 (68.5-80.5)
Gender: women, n	33	18	11	4
Stroke severity: mild / moderate-severe, n ( <i>Barthel Index, range of scores 0-100</i> )	57 / 28*	34 / 10†	21 / 9	3 / 8
Sense of coherence: median (IQR) ( <i>Sense of coherence scale, range of scores 13-91</i> )	79.5 (69-87.8)‡	83 (74-89)§	71 (65.8-81)	79 (65-90)¶
Inpatient care and rehabilitation: days, median (IQR)	14 (7-36)	14 (6-31)	11.5 (7-35)	24 (19-56)
Outpatient rehabilitation: contacts, median (IQR)	3 (1-23.2)	3 (1-20)	8 (1-28.5)	1 (0-23)
Caregiver burden: median (IQR) ( <i>Caregiver burden scale, range of scores 22-88</i> )	38 (26-51)	28 (23-44)	46 (36.2-55)	56 (34-63.5)
Informal care: has received, n	42**	20††	17	5§§

\*n=85, †n=44, ‡n=74, §n=39, ||n=26, ¶n=9, \*\*n=83, ††=43, §§=1

Table 3. Categorization of the independent variables, categorization of dyads' perception of rehabilitation needs, p values

Independent variable	Perception of rehabilitation needs	P value
Age, years	Met / Unmet / Discordant	0.60
Sex, male / female	Met / Unmet	0.82
	Met / Discordant	0.77
	Unmet / Discordant	0.98
Sense of coherence, scores	Met / Unmet	0.48
	Met / Discordant	<b>0.002</b>
	Unmet / Discordant	0.40
Stroke severity, mild / moderate-severe	Met / Unmet	<b>0.002</b>
	Met / Discordant	0.48
	Unmet / Discordant	0.03
Inpatient care and rehabilitation, days	Met / Unmet / Discordant	0.12
Outpatient rehabilitation, contacts	Met / Unmet / Discordant	0.38
Caregiver burden, scores	Met / Unmet	<b>0.003</b>
	Met / Discordant	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	Unmet / Discordant	0.47
Informal care, has received / has not received	Met / Unmet	1.00
	Met / Discordant	0.39
	Unmet / Discordant	0.73