Comparable mRNA expression of inflammatory markers but lower claudin-1 mRNA levels in foreskin tissue of HSV-2 seropositive versus seronegative asymptomatic Kenyan young men
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Skin biopsies from local sites of herpes simplex virus 2 (HSV-2)-induced ulcers can show infiltrates of inflammatory cells several months after macroscopic healing. We hypothesise that foreskin tissue samples of asymptomatic HSV-2 seropositive men had remaining signs of inflammation at the molecular level. Even in the absence of clinical lesions, genital inflammation may contribute to increased HIV susceptibility on sexual exposure to the virus.

Setting: Foreskin tissue samples were collected from men undergoing elective circumcision in Kisumu, Kenya.

Participants: The foreskin tissue samples (n=86) were stratified into study groups based on HSV-2 serology and assessed for mRNA expression of inflammatory markers. Markers of interest were further assessed by immunohistochemical staining within the tissue samples.

Results: The two study groups had comparable levels of all molecular markers (CD3, CD4, CD8, CD69, CCR5, HLA-DR, Langerin, DC-SIGN, Mannose Receptor 1, IL-1, IL-6, TNF-α, β7, IgA, IFN-α, CCL5, E-cadherin, ZO-1 and occludin), except for lower mRNA levels of the epithelial junction protein claudin-1 in the HSV-2 seropositive group (p=0.008). Although mRNA levels of claudin-1 were lower in HSV-2 seropositive individuals, the corresponding protein could be visualised in the foreskin epithelium of all samples tested.

Conclusions: Whereas no general inflammation was demonstrated in the foreskin of asymptomatic HSV-2 seropositive individuals, a decreased expression of claudin-1 indicates a less robust genital epithelial barrier. An intact epithelial barrier is essential for blocking mucosal entry of genital infections, including HIV.

INTRODUCTION

Herpes simplex virus 2 (HSV-2) is one of the most common sexually transmitted infections (STIs) of the genital skin and mucosa. During primary infection, HSV-2 infects genital epithelial cells and is then transported via sensory nerves to the sacral root ganglion, where lifelong latency is established. Intermittent genital shedding of HSV-2 in the immunocompetent person is frequent, with or without symptoms.1,2 In situ analysis of skin biopsies from HSV-2 lesions have revealed cellular infiltrates consisting of inflammatory cells that persisted for months after healing, even with daily antiviral therapy.3,4 Genital skin lesions and activation of the mucosal immune response at time of local reactivation increase the risk of sexual transmission of the virus, as well as the risk of acquiring other genital infections.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- The present study is straightforward demonstrating mRNA levels of several markers of inflammation and epithelial barrier integrity from foreskins of herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2) seropositive and seronegative young men undergoing elective circumcision.
- Measuring mRNA transcripts from the tissue samples does not reveal the cell source of the markers, neither does it allow quantification of the markers at the protein level.
- By assessing tissue samples from the time of circumcision, the study can only be cross-sectional and data is not available with regard to history of recurrent HSV-2 local lesions.
- The study cannot define whether lower Claudin-1 mRNA levels indicate HSV-2 infection or if HSV-2 infection causes reduced Claudin-1 mRNA levels.
including HIV. Although daily antiviral therapy against HSV-2 reduces viral replication and visible lesions, the persistent mucosal inflammation of the human skin samples, including upregulation of the HIV coreceptors DC-SIGN and CCR5, may partly explain the inability of the therapy to reduce acquisition of HIV.3 5

Disruption of the male foreskin epithelial barrier is an important risk factor for viral entry during sexual intercourse. The foreskin mucosa is, thus, a highly relevant site for investigating viral transmission, especially in the context of a representative study population of young men living in an HIV endemic region. The male foreskin consists of a keratinised stratified epithelial layer and the epithelial cells express a number of tight junction and adhesion proteins that together form a functional barrier against invading pathogens.6–8 This barrier function can easily be disrupted during mucosal inflammation. In human skin, the tight junction complex has three major transmembrane proteins identified as claudin-1, occludin and E-cadherin have been easily disrupted during mucosal inflammation. In human skin, the tight junction complex has three major transmembrane proteins identified as claudin-1, occludin and E-cadherin have been identified in foreskin keratinocytes and foreskin tissue.6 7 9 Pathogens often use these specific epithelial intercellular junction proteins as a means of entry. In human skin, HSV infects keratinocytes through a complex interaction involving the protein nectin-1.10 Reduction of the expression of another junction protein, Claudin-1, is associated with enhanced susceptibility to HSV-1 infection in patients with atopic dermatitis.11

HIV can be sexually transmitted, and the male and female genital tract mucosa is an important site of viral entry. Once HIV has crossed the genital epithelial barrier the virus mainly replicates in submucosal CD4+ T cells and the infection is then further spread to regional lymphnodes and the systemic circulation.12 Thus, an inflammatory milieu, defined by increased expression of specific chemokines and other proinflammatory molecules, results in recruitment of CD4+ T cells and an increased risk of systemic HIV infection. We here hypothesize that HSV-2 seropositivity is associated with genital mucosal inflammation and a disrupted epithelial barrier, even in asymptomatic individuals. This may theoretically contribute to the higher susceptibility of HIV infection that has been observed in HSV-2 seropositive individuals.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
Cohort description
Foreskin tissues were obtained from a randomised, placebo-controlled clinical trial conducted in Kisumu, Kenya, through the Universities of Nairobi, Illinois and Manitoba Collaborative Research Project. Men aged 18 to 24 years were recruited into an unblinded trial with two arms: the circumcision arm and the delayed circumcision (control) arm to examine whether male circumcision reduced HIV incidence.13 For the present study, samples were randomly selected before circumcision and stratified by HSV-2 serological status. Equal numbers of specimens were randomly selected from each stratum and matched for age (18–20 and 21–24 years). The STD screening included a history of discharge and previously diagnosed sexually transmitted infections (STIs), a clinical examination 2 weeks before and at the time of circumcision, as well as laboratory analysis of Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Chlamydia trachomatis, Treponema pallidum and Treponema vaginalis, Haemophilus ducreyi (chancroid) and Treponema pallidum (syphilis).14 Serum specimens were tested for HSV-2 antibody (Kalon HSV-2 IgG ELISA, Kalon Biological Limited, Aldershot, UK), using the manufacturer’s recommended cut-off.14

PCR analysis of mRNA expression
The foreskin tissues were processed immediately in a laboratory at the study clinic by making two horizontal cuts 4 mm apart and approximately 1.0 cm from distal end of intact foreskin oriented with the frenulum up centre. The inner and outer mucosa were not specifically marked at the time of processing. The tissue biopsies were immediately embedded in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) and snap frozen following surgery and dissection, and were subsequently stored at −80°C. At time of analysis, the OCT was discarded and the biopsies were disrupted in lysis buffer by using a mechanical rotor. RNA was extracted according to the manufacturer’s protocol (RNeasy, QiAgen; Hilden, Germany) and converted in equal dilutions to cDNA in a single reverse transcriptase reaction using superscript reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA) and random hexanucleotide primers (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). DNA was stored at −20°C. Amplification of the cDNA of CD3, CD4, CD8, CD69, CCR5, HLA-DR, Langerin (CD207), DC-SIGN (CD209), Mannose Receptor 1 (MRC1), IL-1, IL-6, TNF-α, β, IgA, IFN-α, CCL5, E-cadherin, ZO-1, occludin and claudin-1 was performed by using the ABI PRISM 7700 sequence detection system and commercial FAM dye-labelled TaqMan MGB probes and primers (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA). Each sample and control was run in duplicates. Relative quantity of target cDNA was computed by using the comparative threshold (Ct) method. Ct values for target cDNA were normalised to ubiquitin C (UBC) by using the normalised expression ratio 2−ΔΔCt; thus amounts of mRNA expression are described as a relative quantity to UBC (RQ, UBC=1).

Immunofluorescent staining
Immunofluorescent staining was performed on 6 μm thick sections of the cryopreserved foreskin biopsies. The sections were fixed in ethanol and acetone. Thereafter, the tissue sections were blocked in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with 0.1% saponin and 0.1% BSA-C (Aurion, Wageningen, The Netherlands), followed by an overnight incubation at +4°C with primary antibodies:
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the selected mouse IgG monoclonal antibodies detecting
human E-cadherin (kindly provided by Dr KJ Green and
Dr T Hope, Northwestern University Feinberg School of
Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, USA), rabbit-anti-ZO-1 (poly-
clonal, Invitrogen, Life Technologies Europe BV,
Stockholm, Sweden), mouse-anti-occludin (clone OC-
3F10, Invitrogen), and rabbit-anti-Claudin-1, (polyclonal,
Abcam). Thereafter the tissue sections were washed and
blocked in PBS containing 0.1% Saponin, 0.1% BSA-C
and 2% normal donkey serum for 30 min at room tem-
perature. This was followed by an 1 h incubation with
either Alexa Fluor-488 conjugated donkey anti-mouse
IgG antibody or Alexa fluor-594 donkey-anti-rabbit IgG
antibody (Invitrogen/Molecular probes). The sections
were washed and the nuclei were stained with DAPI
(0.75 µM; 4',6-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole, Dihydrochloride,
Invitrogen) for 10 min. The tissue sections were mounted
in SlowFade Gold Antifade Reagents (Molecular Probes).
Negative controls consisted of incubations in the presence
of only the secondary antibody.

Statistical analysis
Characteristics of HSV-2 seronegative and seropositive
participants were compared by Pearson $\chi^2$ test and with
Fisher’s exact test when cell sizes were less than 5.
Amounts of expressed mRNA were analysed by unpaired
two-tailed Mann-Whitney test. All analyses were per-
formed with Stata/SE V.11.0 software (Stata Corp,
College Station, Texas, USA) and GraphPad Prism 4.00
(GraphPad Software, Inc, La Jolla, California, USA).

RESULTS

Study population

Foreskin tissues were obtained from HSV-2 seronegative
(n=43) and HSV-2 seropositive (n=43) men undergoing
elective circumcision. According to the inclusion cri-
teria, the men had no clinical or laboratory signs of
genital ulcers at time of circumcision. Data is not avail-
able regarding HSV-1 serostatus, time of primary HSV-2
infection, possible recurrences or location of HSV-2
lesions. Data on sociodemographic, behavioural risks,
and STIs for the two study groups were statistically
similar, except for educational attainment and number
of sex partners which were higher in the HSV-2 seroposi-
tive group (p=0.019 and p=0.007, respectively; table 1).

Selected immunological markers were not upregulated in
HSV-2 seropositive men

The overall immune activation status of the foreskin
tissue samples was assessed by mRNA expression of a
panel of molecules and phenotypic markers. The mRNA
expression levels of the cytokines and immunoregulatory
receptors IL-1, IL-6, TNF-α, IFN-α, CCL5, CCR5, HLA-DR
and β7 as well as of IgA were similar in the study groups
(p=>0.05). Likewise, the mRNA expression levels of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>HSV-2 seronegative</th>
<th>HSV-2 seropositive</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age group in years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–20</td>
<td>16 (37.2)</td>
<td>16 (37.2)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–24</td>
<td>27 (62.8)</td>
<td>27 (62.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational attainment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None, primary 1–8</td>
<td>10 (23.3)</td>
<td>17 (39.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary 1–3</td>
<td>7 (16.3)</td>
<td>13 (30.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary 4, postsecondary</td>
<td>26 (60.5)</td>
<td>13 (30.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>4 (9.3)</td>
<td>5 (11.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-employed</td>
<td>12 (27.9)</td>
<td>22 (51.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaried</td>
<td>27 (62.8)</td>
<td>16 (37.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married or cohabiting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>42 (97.7)</td>
<td>38 (88.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1 (2.3)</td>
<td>5 (11.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of sex partners, past 30 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>22 (51.2)</td>
<td>15 (34.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21 (48.8)</td>
<td>20 (46.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 or more</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>8 (18.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of condom use, past 6 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>11 (25.6)</td>
<td>14 (32.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>21 (48.8)</td>
<td>25 (58.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always</td>
<td>11 (25.6)</td>
<td>4 (9.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonorrhea or chlamydia infection at baseline</td>
<td>1 (2.3)</td>
<td>3 (7.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.616</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fisher’s exact test was used when cell size n<5.
HSV 2, herpes simplex virus 2; STI, sexually transmitted infections.
phenotypic cellular markers CD4, CD3 and CD8, the activation marker CD69, the CLRs Langerin, DC-SIGN and mannose receptor did not differ significantly between the study groups (p>0.05; data not shown).

**Lower expression of claudin-1 in the foreskin of HSV-2 seropositive men**

The mRNA expression of the epithelial junction molecules E-cadherin, ZO-1, claudin-1 and occludin were further compared between the two study groups. The HSV-2 seropositive men had significantly lower mRNA expression of claudin-1 (median: 0.161) than the HSV-2 seronegative men (median: 0.294; p=0.008), whereas both groups had similar expression levels of E-cadherin, ZO-1 and occludin (p=0.495; p=0.253; p=0.251, respectively; figure 1A). The mRNA expression was also compared by age and behavioural risks but no significant differences were seen (data not shown).

---

**Figure 1**  
(A) herpes simplex virus 2 (HSV-2) seronegative (n=43) and seropositive men (n=43) were compared for mRNA expression of E-cadherin, ZO-1, occludin and claudin-1 in foreskin tissue samples. The HSV-2 seropositive men had significantly lower expression of claudin-1 (p=0.008), and similar expression of the other three markers (p>0.05). (B) In situ staining of a foreskin tissue section stained for claudin-1 (red) and 4',6-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole, Dihydrochloride, Invitrogen (DAPI; blue) demonstrating the uneven distribution of claudin in the epithelial layer of the foreskin. The figure is a representative image of the sample collection. The images to the right are magnifications of the marked area in the picture to the left. The left image was collected with a 5× objective (scale bar=500 µm) and the images to the right with a 40× objective (scale bar=50 µm). (C) In situ staining of foreskin tissue sections. Upper row, from left to right: E-cadherin (green), ZO-1 (red) and claudin-1 (red). Lower row, from left to right: Occludin (green), negative control for Alexa-488 (green), negative control for Alexa-594 (red). All tissue sections were stained with DAPI (blue) to visualise cell nucleus. Images were collected with a 40×/1.25 objective (scale bar=50 µm).
Irregular distribution of claudin-1 within the foreskin epithelial layer

The epithelial localisation of claudin-1 was assessed in the foreskin tissues by immunofluorescent staining. Although claudin-1 was clearly present in the foreskin epithelium, the protein was not evenly located in all areas. Some areas of the foreskin surface had only a few stained epithelial layers whereas other areas were thicker (figure 1B). In the comparison, the other junction proteins E-cadherin, ZO-1 and occludin also had individual patterns of expression and were unevenly distributed throughout the epithelium (figure 1C).

DISCUSSION

We found that the mRNA expression of a panel of inflammatory markers was not associated with HSV-2 serostatus as assessed in male foreskin tissue of asymptomatic Kenyan young men. However, the mRNA expression of claudin-1 was significantly lower in the samples of HSV-2 seropositive than HSV-2 seronegative men. The foreskin tissues were collected during a randomised clinical trial of circumcision and the samples had been stratified for HSV-2 serostatus and matched by age of participant. The study individuals had, according to the enrolment criteria, not had any visible or laboratory confirmed genital lesions prior to sample collection. Subclinical and clinical inflammation is usually characterised by increased expression of cytokines, influx of inflammatory cells and partial disruption of the epithelial barrier as defined by downregulation of epithelial junction proteins. These parameters were, therefore, assessed at the molecular level by measurement of mRNA expression with a panel of such immune markers.

Immunofluorescent staining of the epithelial junction proteins showed the localisation of the proteins E-cadherin, ZO-1, claudin-1 and occludin in the foreskin tissue samples. The distribution over the entire epithelial surface of the samples was irregular, with areas of thick surface interspersed with thin, positively stained cell layers as well as differences in staining intensity. It was, therefore, not possible to standardise quantification at the protein level and hence, we relied on mRNA expression as our read out. The correlation between mRNA and protein expression of these specific epithelial markers has also been evaluated in a study of virus infection of human epithelial cells showing comparable expression levels.15

Epithelial junction proteins are highly dynamic and paracellular permeability can be affected by various viral infections, including rhinovirus, acute respiratory coronavirus, influenza, West Nile and ebola viruses.15–19 In the case of West Nile virus, the viral capsid degrades claudin proteins,18 and infection of epithelial and endothelial cells causes endocytosis of a subset of tight junction proteins, including claudin-1.20 Claudin-1 is also involved in dengue virus and hepatitis C virus entry.21 22 Genetic variants of CLDN1 may further alter susceptibility to HCV infection23 and contribute to atopic dermatitis.11 Since reductions in claudin-1 expression can enhance susceptibility to HSV-1 infections in atopic dermatitis,11 this implicates tight junctions as a critical barrier structure important in containing the spread of epidermal HSV-1 infections. Thus, the lower claudin-1 mRNA expression seen in the HSV-2 seropositive group in the present study is reminiscent of the claudin-1 reduction and enhanced susceptibility to HSV-1 as described above. Altered expression levels of claudin-1 may also affect susceptibility to other genital infections since it represents a more fragile epithelial barrier status. However, this could not be assessed in the present study since men with symptomatic or laboratory-confirmed genital infections were not eligible for circumcision.

The HSV-2 seropositivity in our study is likely to represent previous HSV-2 lesions located on the foreskin in the majority of the participants.24 Even though we could not detect persisting inflammation in the foreskin tissue, as defined by mRNA expression of a number of immune markers, this does not exclude effects on additional inflammatory cytokines, such as interferons β and γ, or transforming growth factor-β. Neither do the results exclude HSV-2 associated longstanding effects on other immune responses as previously described.23 24 In future longitudinal studies we plan to evaluate whether claudin-1 is persistently downregulated following HSV-2 lesions. The present cross-sectional study format does not allow assessment of the temporal role of HSV-2 for the reduction of claudin-1 mRNA expression. The study participants may also have had a prior (genetic or induced) defect in claudin-1 expression which increased their HSV-2 susceptibility. The men in the present study were sexually active and living in an HIV endemic geographical area and we have thus demonstrated that their HSV-2 serostatus was not associated with general mucosal inflammation at the foreskin tissue level. The association between HSV-2 seropositivity and a lower claudin-1 mRNA expression warrants further studies, since a specific defect of the genital mucosal barrier in a highly vulnerable and relevant population could be of significance. A less robust genital epithelial barrier in HSV-2 seropositive men would be a pathogenic factor by facilitating entrance of STIs, including HIV. Topical compounds strengthening the epithelial layer are an interesting prophylactic approach to lower sexual transmission and acquisition of genital infections.

Author affiliations
1Unit of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska University Hospital, Center for Molecular Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
2Division of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA

Acknowledgements The authors thank the study staff, the study participants and Dr S Moses for valuable contributions to the study.

Contributors MR, SDM and PP have contributed to the acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data for the work. AT, RCB and KB have contributed to the conception and design of the work as well as analysis and interpretation of data for the work. All authors have critically drafted or revised the work for important intellectual content, finally approved the version to be published.

REFERENCES


