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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To analyse the temporal and geographical diffusion of antivascular endothelial growth factor (anti-VEGF) interventions, and its determinants in a National Health System (NHS).

Setting: NHS Portuguese hospitals.

Participants: All inpatient and day cases related to eye diseases at all Portuguese public hospitals for the period 2002–2012 were selected on the basis of four International Classification of Diseases 9th revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) codes for procedures: 1474, 1475, 1479 and 149.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: We measured anti-VEGF treatment rates by year and county. The determinants of the geographical diffusion were investigated using generalised linear modelling.

Results: We analysed all hospital discharges from all NHS hospitals in Portugal (98 408 hospital discharges corresponding to 57 984 patients). National rates of hospital episodes for the codes for procedures used were low before anti-VEGF approval in 2007 (less than 12% of hospital discharges). Between 2007 and 2012, the rates of hospital episodes related to the introduction of anti-VEGF injections increased by 27% per year. Patients from areas without ophthalmology departments received fewer treatments than those from areas with ophthalmology departments. The availability of an ophthalmology department in the county increased the rates of hospital episodes by 243%, and a 100-persons greater density per km2 raised the rates by 11%.

Conclusions: Our study shows a large but unequal diffusion of anti-VEGF treatments despite the universal coverage and very low copayments. The technological innovation in ophthalmology may thus produce unexpected inequalities related to financial constraints unless the implementation of innovative techniques is planned and regulated.

INTRODUCTION

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is a chronic, progressive disease and the most common cause of visual impairment in developed countries in patients older than 65 years.1–7 AMD requires lifelong observation and interventions.8 AMD can be divided into two stages: early AMD, characterised by subretinal pigmented epithelium deposits (drusen) and pigmentedary changes and advanced AMD.5 Advanced AMD is atrophic and neovascular forms. Although neovascular AMD comprises only 10% of the burden of the disease, it is responsible for 90% of severe vision loss.1 9–12 Vision loss leads to reduced quality of life and autonomy, and is associated with large costs for health systems and the society.10 13–15

Before the introduction of antivascular endothelial growth factor (anti-VEGF) treatments, AMD was largely untreatable.16 Anti-VEGF therapy for neovascular AMD has substantially changed the management of the disease.16 17 These drugs are injected into the vitreous chamber to reduce neovascular formation in the macula.2 Currently the most common anti-VEGF therapies in Portugal are: (1) Ranibizumab (Lucentis, Novartis) which was licensed for the treatment of neovascular AMD by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2006 and by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) in 2007. In Portugal, ranibizumab has been covered by the National Health Service (NHS) since 2008. Ranibizumab is the most
widely used approved anti-VEGF drug in Europe;\(^1\) 3 18
(2) Bevacizumab (Avastin, Roche) was licensed in 2004 by the FDA, and by EMA in 2005 for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer. It has been widely used for the treatment of neovascular AMD as an off-label alternative;\(^16\) (3) Pegaptanib sodium (Macugen, Eyetech/ Pfizer) was approved by FDA in 2004 and by EMA in 2006 for the treatment of neovascular AMD. It is less commonly used in clinical practice as it is not as effective as ranibizumab or bevacizumab.\(^2\) 19 In Portugal this therapy was approved but not marketed; (4) Afibercept (Eylea, Bayer) was approved for wet AMD treatment by FDA in 2011 and by the EMA in 2012. Afibercept is covered by the Portuguese NHS since 2014.

Several clinical trials have shown that intravitreal injections prevent vision loss in the majority of patients and in some cases, significantly improved vision.\(^16\) 20–22 with low numbers of serious adverse effects.\(^8\) Subsequently, anti-VEGF therapy has become the standard clinical option to treat patients with AMD.\(^18\) 20 21 In 2011, anti-VEGF therapy was also introduced as treatment for diabetic macular oedema and central retinal vein occlusion.\(^1\) 18 23

New therapies, such as anti-VEGF injections, improve the clinical course of diseases but represent substantial expenditures for healthcare systems.\(^24\) To face rising costs of healthcare, copayments have been introduced during the period of this study in public Portuguese hospitals. If not exempt due to special circumstances, such as being disabled, patients receiving anti-VEGF injections have to pay typically €7.5 per appointment with their physician at the hospital. In the context of economic recession and tight public budgets, the introduction and diffusion of these treatments can face substantial barriers.\(^25\) Despite the strong equity commitment of the Portuguese NHS, one of the expected barriers is likely to be geographical due to unequal distribution of resources across areas.

The aim of this study was to examine the diffusion of anti-VEGF drugs in the Portuguese NHS by analysing the temporal and geographical diffusion patterns and its determinants. We conducted a longitudinal study in order to measure the evolution of hospital episodes related to anti-VEGF treatments per county from 2002 to 2012.

**METHODS**

**Data sources and extraction strategies**

We used an administrative database that includes demographic, administrative and clinical information from all inpatient and day case episodes performed at all Portuguese NHS hospitals during the years 2002–2012. Authorisation to use these information was obtained by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) from Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública/Universidade Nova de Lisboa. In order to select the episodes related to intravitreal injections for anti-VEGF treatments, we used the following International Classification of Diseases 9th revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) codes for procedures: 1474, 1475, 1479, 149. These codes have been commonly used in the literature but they are likely to capture other treatments such as injectable antibiotics or corticosteroids.\(^1\) Cases were excluded even if the diagnosis was likely to be associated with anti-VEGF treatment but the code of procedure was outside the selected group specified above. For example, for the five diagnoses shown in figure 2, there were 13 750 cases excluded from further analysis due to this filter. Effects to our estimation caused by the poor specificity of the code were reduced using two methods: (1) years 2002–2006 were included as baseline as before 2006 intravitreal anti-VEGF treatments for ophthalmological use were not licensed; and (2) we crossed information of age with principal diagnosis. Baseline years provide the picture of the number of cases associated with the codes but not related with anti-VEGF treatments. We considered that AMD only affects people in the age range 50–59 years or above.\(^26\) and anti-VEGF are used for specific diagnosis such as AMD or diabetic macular oedema. Online supplementary tables S1 and S2 show how this information was used in our methods. For the period studied, the only approved anti-VEGF drugs for use in public hospitals were Ranibizumab (Lucentis, Novartis) and Bevacizumab (Avastin).

We used the indicators given below:

- The absolute values of the number of hospital episodes per year. Episodes were then disaggregated by: (1) sex, (2) age of the patients (under/over 60 years), (3) principal diagnosis.
- The number of patient treated per year. To calculate the number of patients, we considered one treatment per person per year, regardless of the number of episodes of care (number of treatments) that occurred in each year.
- The yearly rates of hospital episodes per 100 000 population ((number of episodes per year/annual average resident population per year)×100 000).
- The age-standardised rates of hospital episodes per 100 000 population by counties per year ((number of episodes by county and year/annual average resident population per county and year)×100 000) using general demographic information published by Statistics Portugal.\(^27\) County of residence was obtained from the administrative database used in the study. We used the direct method of standardisation as described by Beaghole et al\(^28\) for standard Portuguese population. The age standardisation was necessary to control the effect of age heterogeneity across populations living in different counties. Mainland Portugal is divided into 248 counties that correspond to local prefectures with specific administrative and political competences defined by the central government.

Study analysis
We first evaluated the diffusion of treatments across areas using the mean, minimum and maximum values of the rates of hospital episodes per 100 000 population in 2002, 2006 and 2012. The relative variation coefficient was used to measure the dispersion of the diffusion.

Three time points were selected because these correspond to: 2002—the first year included in this study, 2006—the year before the approval of intravitreal injections with anti-VEGF by EMA, and 2012 because it was the latest available information when this study started.

To investigate the determinants of geographical diffusion of anti-VEGF treatments we used generalised linear modelling. Considering the longitudinal nature of the data and its non-normal distribution, we used generalised estimating equations (GEE). The dependent variable was defined as the yearly rate of hospital episodes per county per 100 000 population. We defined as independent variables: (1) the years during which the geographical diffusion was analysed (a linear trend); (2) a dichotomous variable to indicate the year when the drug was authorised in the European Union by EMA (anti-VEGF therapy availability: 0—not available; 1—available); (3) a dichotomous variable to indicate the availability of an ophthalmology department in the hospital of the patient’s county of residence (Ophthalmology department availability: 0—no ophthalmology department; 1—ophthalmology department), and (4) population density (population per km²) in the county. Information about the availability of ophthalmology departments was obtained in October 2014 from the Health Ministry official website. The referral pathway for ophthalmology starts with the general practitioner (GP) according to the local referral guidelines. The circuit of the treatment does not interfere with our calculations because we compute treatment ratios based in the country of origin of the patient and this is independent of the hospital where treatment was administered.

The model was defined as $\gamma$ log link distribution regression model as the rate was expected to be positively skewed with an autoregressive first order matrix representing time dependence within the repeated subject. A total of 278 counties were considered as ‘subjects’ with repeated measures. Year and dichotomous variable ‘anti-VEGF availability’ were defined as within-subject independent variables. Dichotomous variable ‘Ophthalmology department availability’ and ‘population density rates’ were defined as between-subject variables. The analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics V.21.0.

RESULTS
The final sample included 98 408 hospital episodes. Figure 1 shows that the total number of episodes increased from 1815 in 2002 to 25 106 in 2012. This corresponds to a mean annual increase of 32%.

In 2012, the number of treated patients was six times higher than in 2002, corresponding to a mean annual increase of 24%. The ratio number of episodes/number of patients was 1.16 in 2002, 1.17 in 2006 and 2.1 in 2012. The most relevant demographic information was the percentage of patients treated who were older than 60 years of age. The figures changed from about 60% in 2002 to 80% in 2012.

Figure 2 shows the five principal diagnoses responsible for the episodes detected. The figure is expected to provide a picture of the growth of the number of episodes per year and number of patients treated per diagnosis. The most common diagnosis was exudative AMD, followed by diabetic macular oedema (diabetes with ophthalmic complications), oedema of the retina, retinal neovascularization and non-specific AMD. The cumulative percentage of episodes associated with these five diagnoses was 73% in 2012, in contrast with only 16% in 2002. These values corresponded to an increase

Figure 1  Annual number of hospital episodes of antivascular endothelial growth factor (anti-VEGF) treatments and annual number of treated patients from 2002 to 2012.
in the yearly rates of hospital episodes per 100,000 individuals from 17.4 in 2002 to 238.77 in 2012.

Table 1 gives a summary of the mean, minimum and maximum values in three specific years of the rates of hospital episodes per 100,000 population. Both maximum and minimum rate values increased over time. The relative variation coefficient varied from 200% in 2002, to 204% in 2006 and 209% in 2012. The relative coefficient of variation indicates that rates per county have a great dispersion and that this dispersion did not reduce over time. The first quintile always contains rates equal to zero, which means that there are counties without events. In 2002, there were 58 counties in the first quintile (without episodes). The number of counties without episodes reduced over time to 33 in 2006 and 3 in 2012. All the mean values per quintile rose in the period analysed.

Results of the regression analysis are summarised in table 2. In agreement with the initial prediction and consistent with the introduction of the new treatment with anti-VEGF, the model shows a significant effect of the variable ‘year’, $p<0.0001$. For each additional year, the rate of hospital episodes increased by 28%. The rate was significantly higher after the EMA approval; table 2 shows results for ‘anti-VEGF therapy availability’, $p<0.0001$. With the approval of this treatment, the rates of hospital episodes increased by 27%. The availability of an ophthalmology department in the hospital of the county (in table 2, results for ‘Ophthalmology department availability’) significantly increased the rates of hospital episodes by 243%, $p<0.0001$ (compared with counties without). The positive association between the variable ‘Ophthalmology department availability’ and our dependent variable indicates that anti-VEGF treatments were more frequent for patients living near hospitals with ophthalmology departments, which are typically located in areas of median/high population density. There was a positive association between the dependent variable and population density. An increase of 100 persons per km$^2$ raised the rates of hospital episodes by 11%. This results show that patients living in rural areas were less frequently treated.

**DISCUSSION**

With this study, we wanted to investigate the diffusion of anti-VEGF treatments for eye disease in Portugal looking for possible determinates and/or barriers. We performed this investigation by characterising the temporal and geographical distribution of anti-VEGF treatments.
using codes for specific type of procedures from all episodes performed in public hospitals. Our results show that the number of episodes for the codes analysed was low before the introduction of anti-VEGF treatments. The numbers of episodes rose significantly since the treatment was introduced in the country in 2007. The most relevant finding was that patients from small areas without ophthalmology departments near their residence received fewer treatments as revealed by the geographical distribution of episodes. The unequal distribution is puzzling, given the equity-oriented nature of the Portuguese NHS.

We consider three possible barriers for the equitable anti-VEGF diffusion related to legal, technical and financial factors. Following the EMA approval of this treatment in 2007 and the NHS coverage decision in 2008, the treatment became legally available at all ophthalmology departments in Portugal. One can thus say that the legal problem was sorted out. However, technical conditions were imposed for the use of this treatment that included extra training for doctors and that the procedure needed to be performed in the operation theatre. These technical requirements possibly created financial and service capacity pressures on ophthalmology departments. Indeed, higher rates of treatment were observed mostly in areas around big cities and specialised centres. Smaller hospitals may have taken longer to adopt this treatment due to budget limitations or technical conditions. It should also be mentioned that anti-VEGF therapy was first introduced to treat AMD, and then expanded to diabetic macular oedema and central retinal vein occlusion treatment. This certainly increased the rate of hospital episodes, but the effect is expected to be similar in all counties.

Regarding financial barriers, we can speculate about two main budget limitations that reduced the speed of diffusion of anti-VEGF treatments. The first financial challenge is the cost of the treatment of approximately €1913 per episode, a figure similar to the USA. In Portugal, hospitals receive a global budget from the government that covers the cost of all drugs and medical devices. During the period included in this study, the financing methodology used to allocate resources to the Portuguese NHS hospitals has been subject to several changes. This included the introduction of different unit payments and new incentive programmes that rely on quality and cost indicators. These changes to hospital budgets and pressure for cost containment may have reduced the availability of anti-VEGF treatments in small hospitals, concentrating patients in big centres with limited capacity. A second financial barrier for hospitals is the fact that the intravitreal injections need to be administered in an operating room by an ophthalmologist. Typically, patients receive three injections in the first 3 months, followed by monthly visits for assessment and further injections, as necessary. These surgical procedures and monthly appointments impose high demands on hospitals (staff and facilities). In a period of tight budgets, expansions in the medical staff or facilities are difficult to implement; these problems have been recently reported by Marko Hawlena, a retinal specialist from Slovenia, quoting results of a survey of the European Union of Medical Specialists. Thus, some hospitals may have delayed the start of these treatments or these may still not be available.

The reasons outlined above have implications for the geographical diffusion of the treatment leading to inequalities. Patients referred from distant cities or rural areas may have delayed access to treatments. The lower rate of treatments for patients living in areas of low population density may also indicate that these patients are more likely to miss follow-up appointments. Travelling distances may be a barrier to attending appointments as reported by other studies. These findings are causes of concern because lost vision caused by eye diseases for which anti-VEGF treatments are indicated cannot be restored. That is, reduced number of treatments might lead to an increased number of people becoming permanently visually impaired due to treatable causes.

During this study we found some limitations: the lack of specific codes for anti-VEGF injections, the inability to

---

Table 2: Results of the Generalised Estimating Equation for the rate of hospital episodes per 100,000 population per year and independent variables were: year, anti-VEGF therapy availability (separating years before and after the drug was authorised by EMEA); Ophthalmology department availability (representing the availability of ophthalmology departments in the hospitals of county of residence), and population density (population per km² in the county).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>IRR*</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>95% CI†</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year (from 2002 to 2012)</td>
<td>1.281</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.263</td>
<td>1.299</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-VEGF therapy availability (0—not available; 1—available)</td>
<td>1.270</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.183</td>
<td>1.362</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophthalmology department availability (0—no ophthalmology department; 1—ophthalmology department)</td>
<td>3.430</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.566</td>
<td>4.583</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density rate (per 100 persons)</td>
<td>1.113</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>1.132</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total number of counties is 278.

*IIncidence Rate Ratio.
†Wald χ² test of significance (95% CI).

follow patients across different years, the exclusion of the activity in the private sector and the absence of individual data. Limitations caused by non-specific codes have been described in methods. The inability to follow patients across years might have had an impact on the ratio of episodes/patient that we found. Nevertheless, the county of residence remained unchanged across years ensuring temporal and geographical accuracy of treatment diffusion. Other studies analysing equivalent temporal periods also report treatment ratios under 3 per year. These authors explained the low ratios by a higher concentration of patients treated as required.1 Numbers from private treatments were likely to be small because this treatment is expensive and patients tend to look for care in the National Health System where treatment is almost free. The lack of individual data limited our analysis of socioeconomic determinants, such as patient income or education level or other clinical conditions that could restrict the prescription of anti-VEGF therapy. However, with the available data we were able to construct a complex and multivariable model to explain the geographical diffusion and time variation based on a nationally representative database with many types of hospital settings and geographic areas; this would have been difficult to perform with a limited sample number of cases.

In brief, the use of anti-VEGF drugs in ophthalmology marked the beginning of effective treatments for age-related eye diseases that can lead to severe visual impairment. This study shows that the number of intravitreal procedures increased substantially since anti-VEGF treatments were approved in Portugal, but that the diffusion was inequitably distributed. Local restrictions to the temporal and geographical diffusion seem mostly imposed by financial aspects. These financial constraints may arise not only from cuts in budgets in the healthcare system but also from difficulties for families to fund travel costs. With the ageing of the population and the expected growth in conditions, such as diabetic retinopathy and age-related macular degeneration, the demand for these treatments is likely to increase.7 The combination of these factors will maintain pressure on ophthalmology departments delivering eye care. Health authorities need to consider equitable distribution when planning human and material resources for ophthalmology departments.
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