Epidemiology of psoriasis and palmoplantar pustulosis: a nationwide study using the Japanese national claims database
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The primary objective was to estimate the national prevalence of psoriasis and palmoplantar pustulosis (PPP) in Japan. Secondary objectives were to determine (1) whether psoriasis and PPP disease activity varies by season, and (2) whether disease severity is associated with concurrent diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidaemia and hypertension.

Settings: Patients with a psoriasis or PPP diagnosis code between April 2010 and March 2011 were identified using a Japanese national database.

Participants: 565 903 patients with psoriasis or PPP were identified. No patient was excluded.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: National prevalence was calculated using census data. We estimated the difference in the proportion of patients who used healthcare services, as a proxy for disease activity, between the hot and cold seasons and the difference in the standardised prevalence of comorbidities between severe and mild disease. The measures were estimated separately for the two broad disease categories of psoriasis and PPP but not in all patients as planned because the two disease categories had major differences.

Results: The national prevalence of psoriasis and PPP was 0.34% (95% CI 0.34 to 0.34%) and 0.12% (0.12% to 0.12%), respectively. Differences in the proportion of patients who used healthcare services in the hot compared to the cold season was −0.3% (−0.5% to −0.1%) for psoriasis and 10.0% (9.8% to 10.3%) for PPP. The difference in the standardised prevalence between severe and mild psoriasis was 3.1% (2.7% to 3.4%), 3.2% (2.8% to 3.6%) and 5.1% (4.7% to 5.6%) for concurrent diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidaemia and hypertension, respectively. No significant difference in the prevalence of comorbidity was observed for PPP.

Conclusions: The national prevalence, seasonal variation in disease activity and prevalence of comorbidities in Japanese patients with psoriasis and PPP estimated in this descriptive study may be used as basic information for future studies.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study estimated the national prevalence of psoriasis and palmoplantar pustulosis (PPP) using a national database covering more than 90% of the Japanese population.
- Seasonal variation in the use of healthcare services (as a proxy for disease activity), as well as psoriasis and PPP treatments and the prevalence of concurrent diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidaemia and hypertension are also examined.
- The main limitation of the current study is that psoriasis and PPP diagnosis codes have not been validated.
- Psoriasis and PPP may have been misclassified by non-specialists, thus affecting our results.

INTRODUCTION

Psoriasis is a chronic immune-mediated disease affecting 2–4% of population in the USA and Europe,1–3 but has a lower prevalence in Asian countries according to recent epidemiological studies.4,5 The prevalence in Asia of palmoplantar pustulosis (PPP), first described as a variant of pustular psoriasis6 and regarded as a rare disease in the West,7 is not known.

Databases, including claims databases, have sometimes been used to study the epidemiology of diseases including psoriasis.2,3,8,9 A Japanese universal multi-payer healthcare system covering most citizens was set up in 1961, while the Japanese national database of health insurance claims (JNDB) was only recently established by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Since April 2009, the JNDB has collected data for all claims in electronic format. In 2011, the JNDB was made available on a trial basis for research and other secondary purposes. In this descriptive epidemiological study, we used JNDB data to estimate the national prevalence and some
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relevant epidemiological characteristics (including seasonal variation in the use of healthcare services) of psoriasis and PPP in the Japanese population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Acquisition of claims data

All patients assigned psoriasis or PPP diagnosis codes in outpatient or inpatient claims issued in electronic format between April 2010 and March 2011 were identified. Overall, 97.9% and 99.6% of claims issued from hospitals, 76.0% and 91.2% issued from clinics and 99.9% and 99.9% issued from community pharmacies from April 2010 to March 2011 were in electronic format. In August 2010, 89.5% of claims from clinics were already in electronic format. We selected all standardised domestic diagnosis codes mapped to L400–L409 of the 10th Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10) in the master table of diagnosis codes for claims in electronic format maintained by the Medical Information System Development Center, Tokyo, Japan. Two identifiers (ID1 and ID2) are assigned to all claims for each patient. These identifiers were created for the JNDB because social security numbers or other unique identifiers are not used in the Japanese healthcare system. ID1 is generated by encrypting the combination of health card number assigned by each insurer, and the patient’s date of birth and sex, while ID2 is generated by encrypting the combination of name, date of birth and sex. ID1 may be useful for identifying a patient when their family name has changed (eg, marriage), while ID2 may be useful for identifying a patient previously insured by a different company (eg, job change). Data on sex and age group (in 5-year intervals of 0–4, 5–9, ..., 80–84, and 85 years of age or older) were collected. We obtained the diagnosis codes of concurrent diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidaemia and hypertension, the codes of drugs used to treat these conditions, and the codes of drugs and phototherapy used to treat psoriasis and PPP. In the Japanese health insurance system, only one outpatient claim and/or one inpatient claim from one hospital or clinic are issued for any one patient each month. Similarly, only one claim from one community pharmacy is issued for any one patient each month. We obtained the dates (year and month) of claims issued for the study subjects together with the diagnosis and treatment codes. We also collected information on the specialty of the hospital or clinic department mentioned in claims, although this information is not required for reimbursement and is often not available.

The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Graduate School and Faculty of Medicine, University of Tokyo in October 2011 (no. 3586).

Statistical analysis

The prevalence of psoriasis and PPP in Japan was estimated by dividing the number of patients with a psoriasis or PPP diagnosis code by the size of the population according to the census of October 2010. The normal approximation CI of the prevalence was also estimated. A total of 21 local diagnosis codes were classified into the following eight disease subclasses: (1) plaque psoriasis (‘psoriasis vulgaris’ (L400), ‘psoriasis’ (L409), ‘psoriasis vulgaris of entire body’ (L400), ‘psoriasis of extremities’ (L400), ‘psoriasis vulgaris of extremities’ (L400), ‘psoriasis vulgaris of lower back’ (L400) and ‘plaque psoriasis’ (L400)); (2) scalp psoriasis (‘seborrhoeic psoriasis’ (L400) and ‘psoriasis vulgaris of scalp’ (L400)); (3) guttate psoriasis (L404); (4) psoriatic arthropathy (‘psoriatic arthropathy’ (L405), ‘psoriatic arthritis mutilans’ (L405) and ‘psoriatic spondylitis’ (L405)); (5) pustular psoriasis (‘pustular psoriasis’ (L401), ‘impetigo herpetiformis’ (L401), ‘acrodermatitis continua’ (L402), ‘generalised pustular psoriasis’ (L401) and ‘acute generalised pustular psoriasis’ (L401)); (6) erythrodermic psoriasis (L408); (7) palmoplantar pustulosis (L403); and (8) pustulotic arthro-osteoitis (PAO) (L403). Patients were further broadly classified into patients with PPP when they had a diagnosis code of PPP or PAO but no other diagnosis code. Otherwise, patients were classified into ‘patients with psoriasis’. These two broad disease categories were used on an ad hoc basis because the number of patients with PPP was much larger than expected and the patients in the two disease categories were found to have several important differences. We used the midpoint of the 5-year interval (eg, 37 years old for age group 35–39) to calculate average age.

To estimate seasonal variation in the use of healthcare services for psoriasis and PPP, as a proxy for disease activity, we counted the number of patients in each month during the 12-month observation period for whom an outpatient or inpatient claim with psoriasis or PPP diagnosis code was issued. Patients were then divided into four groups according to the combination of two dummy variables, X and Y, where the values were assigned as follows: X=1 if the patient used healthcare services for psoriasis or PPP in the hottest season (June or July 2010), while X=0 otherwise; and Y=1 if the patient used healthcare services in the coldest season (January or February, 2011), while Y=0 otherwise. We estimated the difference (and its 95% CI) between the mean of X (the proportion of patients who used the service in the hottest season) and the mean of Y (the proportion of patients who used the service in the coldest season) and the difference was tested by the paired t test.

Treatments for psoriasis and PPP were classified as systemic therapy (adalimumab, infliximab, ustekinumab, methotrexate, ciclosporin and etretinate), phototherapy and topical therapy (topical vitamin D and topical corticosteroid). To determine the distribution of treatments for psoriasis and PPP, the number of patients receiving each treatment was counted, and the proportion of males and the average age were calculated for each treatment group. In addition, for each therapy, the
proportion of patients with psoriatic arthropathy in the broad category of psoriasis and with PAO in the broad category of PPP was calculated to determine which treatment was preferred for patients with arthropathy.

To examine whether concurrent diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidaemia and hypertension are more frequent in patients with severe psoriasis and PPP, treatment for psoriasis and PPP was used as a surrogate for psoriasis and PPP severity. Patients were subdivided into severity classes I-IV: patients receiving one or more systemic therapies (class I); patients receiving phototherapy but no systemic therapy (class II); patients receiving topical therapy only (class III); and patients receiving no treatment for psoriasis or PPP (class IV). Patients were determined to have diabetes mellitus if they had a diabetes mellitus diagnosis code in at least one claim as well as codes for antidiabetic drugs in claims issued in two or more months during the 12-month observation period. Similarly, patients were defined as having hyperlipidaemia and hypertension, respectively, if they had a related diagnosis code in at least one claim as well as codes for drug treatments (ie, lipid-lowering drugs and anti-hypertensive drugs, respectively) in claims issued in two or more months. The prevalence of diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidaemia and hypertension in each psoriasis and PPP severity class was standardised to the distribution of age and sex in the entire study population. Differences in the standardised prevalence and its 95% CI were estimated using severity class III as reference. The standard textbook was used to calculate the difference in the standardised prevalence and its 95% CI (see equation (15–4) and the ‘parallel formula’ to equation (15–10), respectively, in reference 10).

To determine who provided the healthcare service, we obtained the specialty of the department connected to a claim with a psoriasis or PPP diagnosis code. Patients were subdivided into the following three department subgroups: (A) ‘dermatology or rheumatology patients’ when the department was specified as either dermatology or rheumatology in at least one claim with a psoriasis or PPP diagnosis code; (B) ‘patients of an other specialty’ when the department was specified as other specialty in at least one claim but not as dermatology or rheumatology in any claim; and (C) ‘patients of a department not specified’ when the department was not specified in any claim. Demographic and other characteristics of patients with psoriasis and PPP examined in each of the three department subgroups are shown in the online supplementary tables.

All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS (V9.3, SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA).

RESULTS
Prevalence of psoriasis and PPP, and demographic characteristics of patients

We identified 565,903 patients with psoriasis or PPP from a total of 681,827 ID1s and 778,767 ID2s assuming that identical ID1 and ID2 pairs represented the same patient. As the total population in the October 2010 census was 128 million, 565,903 represents a prevalence of 0.44% (95% CI 0.44% to 0.44%). The 565,903 patients were broadly classified into 429,679 patients with psoriasis (national prevalence 0.34%, 95% CI 0.34% to 0.34%) and 136,224 patients with PPP. Because 12,663 patients classified under the broad category of psoriasis also had a PPP or PAO diagnosis code, 148,887 patients (national prevalence 0.12%, 95% CI 0.12% to 0.12%) had a PPP or PAO diagnosis code. The 12,663 patients with psoriasis and PPP diagnosis codes accounted for 2.9% of the 429,679 with a psoriasis diagnosis code and 8.5% of the 148,887 with PPP or PAO code. Table 1 shows the age and sex distribution of patients with psoriasis and PPP. In patients with psoriasis, about 60% were male (male to female ratio: 1.44) and average age was 56.7 years, while in patients with PPP, about two thirds were female (male to female ratio: 0.53) and average age was 55.5 years. Figure 1 shows the national prevalence of psoriasis and PPP in males and females estimated using the census data. For both males

### Table 1 Age and sex distribution of patients with psoriasis and PPP in the JNDB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Psoriasis* N</th>
<th>PPP N</th>
<th>JNDB, Japanese national database of health insurance claims; PPP, palmoplantar pustulosis.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–9</td>
<td>3376</td>
<td>3177</td>
<td>6553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–19</td>
<td>4430</td>
<td>5046</td>
<td>9476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>9800</td>
<td>9904</td>
<td>19704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>27915</td>
<td>22251</td>
<td>50166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>34637</td>
<td>21588</td>
<td>56225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>44963</td>
<td>28146</td>
<td>73109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69</td>
<td>59510</td>
<td>33907</td>
<td>93417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–79</td>
<td>48072</td>
<td>30930</td>
<td>79002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80–</td>
<td>21070</td>
<td>20957</td>
<td>42027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>253773</td>
<td>175906</td>
<td>429679</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Patients with both psoriasis and PPP diagnosis codes are classified as having psoriasis.
and females, the 75–79-year-old age group had the highest prevalence of psoriasis, while the 55–59-year-old age group for females and the 60–64-year-old age group for males had the highest prevalence of PPP. The demographic characteristics of patients in the three department subgroups of A (dermatology/rheumatology), B (other specialty) and C (not specified) are shown in online supplementary table S1. Of a total of 429 679 patients with psoriasis, 132 189 (30.8%), 33 763 (7.9%) and 263 727 (61.4%) were classified into subgroups A, B and C, respectively. Of a total of 136 224 patients with PPP, 24 195 (20.0%), 12 411 (9.1%) and 96 618 (70.9%) were classified into subgroups A, B and C, respectively. The male to female ratio was 1.58, 1.33 and 1.39 for psoriasis and 0.52, 0.50 and 0.54 for PPP and the average age was 58.1, 61.8 and 55.3 years for psoriasis and 57.2, 56.9 and 54.9 years for PPP in subgroups A, B and C, respectively.

### Domestic diagnosis codes

The distribution of domestic diagnosis codes divided into eight diagnosis subgroups is shown in table 2. In patients under the broad category of psoriasis, the proportion of males was below 50% for guttate psoriasis, pustular psoriasis, PPP and PAO but above 70% for erythrodermic psoriasis. Patients with guttate psoriasis were younger, while patients with erythrodermic psoriasis were older, than those with other types of psoriasis. In patients under the broad category of PPP, patients with PAO included more females and were younger than patients without PAO. When patients were divided into the three department subgroups, more than half were in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Diagnoses given in claims for patients with psoriasis and PPP in the JNDB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diagnoses</strong></td>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Psoriasis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque psoriasis</td>
<td>418 705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalp psoriasis</td>
<td>2832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guttate psoriasis</td>
<td>2572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psoriatic arthritis</td>
<td>8360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pustular psoriasis</td>
<td>4636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrodermic psoriasis</td>
<td>1610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP†</td>
<td>12 625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO†</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>429 679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PPP</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>135 647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO</td>
<td>5734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>136 224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Each patient is counted once for each diagnosis, so the sums of patients with eight diagnoses for psoriasis and two diagnoses for PPP exceed the total.

†Patients with psoriasis and PPP/PAO diagnosis codes.

JNDB, Japanese national database of health insurance claims; PAO, pustulotic arthro-ostitis; PPP, palmoplantar pustulosis.
The proportion was estimated as the number of patients for whom a claim with a psoriasis or PPP diagnosis code was issued in each of the 12 months between April 2010 and March 2011 divided by the number of patients with psoriasis and PPP, respectively.

Seasonal variation in the use of healthcare services

Figure 2 shows the seasonal variation of the use of healthcare services by patients with psoriasis and PPP. On average, about 39% of patients with psoriasis and 36% of patients with PPP used healthcare services each month. In patients with psoriasis, the proportion of patients who used healthcare services in the hottest season (July or August, 2010) (52.6%) was similar to that in the coldest season (January or February, 2011) (53.0%), with a difference of only −0.3% (95% CI −0.5% to −0.1%, p=0.0004). On the other hand, in patients with PPP, the proportion of patients who used healthcare services in the hottest season (55.3%) was higher than that in the coldest season (45.3%), with a difference of 10.0% (9.8% to 10.3%, p<0.0001). As shown in online supplementary tables S3 and S4, patients with PPP, but not patients with psoriasis, used healthcare services more during the hottest season, as was observed for all three department subgroups.

Treatments for psoriasis and PPP

Treatments for psoriasis and PPP are summarised in table 3. Systemic therapies were used mainly for patients with psoriasis, but a few patients with PPP also used etretinate and other systemic therapies. Patients treated with biologics were relatively young and a high proportion had arthropathy. Of 3291 patients with psoriasis on biologic treatment, 2674 (81.1%) were in department subgroup A (dermatology/rheumatology) (see online supplementary table S5). Phototherapy was more frequently used for patients with PPP than with psoriasis, while topical vitamin D was selected more frequently for patients with psoriasis than with PPP. About 80% of patients with both psoriasis and PPP used topical corticosteroid. About 9% of patients with psoriasis and about 16% of patients with PPP received no treatment for psoriasis or PPP. Patients with psoriasis and PPP who received no treatment included more patients with arthropathy when compared receiving those with topical therapy, particularly in department subgroup B (other specialty) (see online supplementary table S5).

Psoriasis and PPP comorbidities

Table 4 shows the number of patients and standardised prevalence of concurrent diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidaemia and hypertension in severity classes I–III in patients with psoriasis and PPP. For patients with psoriasis, the standardised prevalence was higher in class I (systemic therapy) than in class III (topical therapy only) in the entire population as well as in the three department subgroups (see online supplementary table S6). Prevalence was similar between class II (phototherapy) and class III except for department subgroup A (dermatology/rheumatology), where prevalence was higher in class II than in class III. The prevalence of comorbidities was lower in class IV (no treatment) than in class III in department subgroup A (dermatology/rheumatology) but higher in class IV than in class III in the other department subgroups (see online supplementary table S6). For patients with PPP, there was no significant difference between classes I, II and III.

DISCUSSION

We determined that during the 12-month period between April 2010 and March 2011, about 0.34% of patients in the JNDB had a psoriasis diagnosis code and 0.12% had a PPP diagnosis code. About 60% of patients with psoriasis were male, while about two thirds of patients with PPP were female. The use of healthcare services was roughly constant during the 12-month observation period in patients with psoriasis, while the use of services by patients with PPP was higher in summer. The prevalence of concurrent diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidaemia and hypertension in patients with psoriasis receiving systemic therapy was higher than in those receiving topical therapy only.

The 0.34% prevalence of psoriasis demonstrated in our study was lower than the 2–4% observed in Western countries, while the male predominance in our study contrasted with the almost equal distribution of males and females in Western countries. A low disease prevalence and a predominance of male patients with
Psoriasis were also found in other Asian countries: for example, the prevalence of males and females with psoriasis was 0.23% and 0.16% in Taiwan, and 0.54% and 0.44% in China, respectively. On the other hand, the 0.12% prevalence of PPP observed in our study was higher than the 0.01-0.05% found in Western countries, where the female predominance seen in the current study was also observed. Around 20% of patients with PPP were reported to also have psoriasis, while in our study, 8.5% of patients with a PPP or PAO diagnosis code also had psoriasis. We observed that the male-to-female ratio differed for psoriasis and PPP, and that psoriasis was more prevalent in the older age groups than PPP. The seasonal variation in the use of healthcare services by patients with PPP, as a proxy of disease activity, was not observed in patients with psoriasis. According to the proposal of the International Psoriasis Council in 2007, PPP should be considered a separate condition from psoriasis. However, the findings in the current study indicate there is a relationship between psoriasis and PPP (eg, 8.5% of patients with psoriasis also had PPP, which is higher than the prevalence of 0.34% of psoriasis in the whole population), but there are also several differences between psoriasis and PPP, as stated in the above-mentioned proposal.

About 9% of patients with psoriasis and 16% of patients with PPP were receiving no treatment for psoriasis or PPP in our study. In a previous study in the UK, one third of patients with psoriasis were not using any therapy at the time of evaluation. According to a survey conducted in the USA between 2003 and 2011, 49%, 24% and 9% of patients with mild, moderate and severe psoriasis were untreated and approximately about half of all patients were dissatisfied with their treatment. Likewise, approximately 9% of patients with psoriasis and 16% of patients with PPP in our study were receiving no therapy for psoriasis or PPP, while some who were receiving treatment may have been dissatisfied with it. However, some patients may not have been receiving psoriasis or PPP therapy because they had very mild disease. Interestingly, as shown in online supplementary table S5, the patient group not receiving psoriasis or PPP treatment included more patients with arthropathy than the group receiving topical therapy, particularly in department subgroup B (other specialty). Thus, severity class IV (no treatment) in department subgroup B might represent more severe psoriasis or PPP than severity class III (topical therapy only), while in other department subgroups, severity class IV might represent less severe psoriasis or PPP when compared to severity class III.

Many studies conducted in Western and Asian countries have reported that the prevalence and incidence of the risk factors for myocardial infarction and stroke such as diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidaemia and hypertension, are high in patients with psoriasis, particularly those with severe psoriasis. The reasons why these risk factors are increased in patients with psoriasis have not been fully explained, but the increased risk may be due to the...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Psoriasis</th>
<th>PPP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>Class II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Systemic therapy</strong>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phototherapy†</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Topical therapy‡</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N§</strong></td>
<td>5271</td>
<td>1747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prevalence¶ (%)</strong></td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Difference</strong> <strong>(*) (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td>3.1 (2.7 to 3.4)</td>
<td>(−0.6 to −0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hyperlipidaemia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N§</strong></td>
<td>8208</td>
<td>3125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prevalence¶ (%)</strong></td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Difference</strong> <strong>(*) (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td>3.2 (2.8 to 3.6)</td>
<td>(−0.8 to Ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypertension</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N§</strong></td>
<td>11 795</td>
<td>4369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prevalence¶ (%)</strong></td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Difference</strong> <strong>(*) (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td>5.1 (4.7 to 5.6)</td>
<td>(−0.6 to Ref)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Patients who received one or more of the systemic therapies listed in Table 3.
†Patients with phototherapy but no systemic therapy.
‡Patients with topical vitamin D or topical corticosteroid only.
§Number of patients with a diagnosis code of concurrent disease (diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidaemia or hypertension) in at least in claim as well as a diagnosis code of drugs to treat the concurrent disease in claims issued in two or more months.
¶Prevalence standardised to the distribution of age and sex in the entire study population of 565 903 patients.
**Difference in prevalence compared to class III.
JNDB, Japanese national database of health insurance claims; PPP, palmoplantar pustulosis.
high prevalence of obesity and other known predisposing factors in patients with psoriasis. It is also possible that some of the comorbidities are adverse reactions to ciclosporin and other systematic therapies for psoriasis. In our study, an increase in comorbidity was observed in patients with severe PPP but not in patients with severe PPP when compared to patients receiving topical therapy only. Ciclosporin may be a major contributor to the high prevalence of the comorbidity as it was used by over half of the patients with psoriasis receiving systemic therapy but by only 10% of those with PPP receiving systemic therapy.

The main limitation of the current study is that psoriasis and PPP diagnosis codes have not been validated. Therefore, the true national prevalence may be higher or lower than that reported here. One concern is disease misclassification, particularly when the diagnosis is made by a non-specialist. However, demographic characteristics and other major findings were common to the whole population and department subgroup A (dermatology/rheumatology). This suggests that all patients identified by the claims diagnosis codes in the current study roughly represented all patients with psoriasis and PPP who used healthcare services during the observation period. Nevertheless, we could not exclude some types of misclassification. For instance, the proportion of guttate psoriasis in patients with psoriasis was around 4% in a previous study from Japan, and higher than the 0.6% in the current study (table 2), which could be due to misclassification. The strength of the study is the use of the claims database covering over 90% of patients nationally.

In conclusion, the study using JNDB data collected from the entire nation revealed that the prevalence of psoriasis was lower and the prevalence of PPP was higher than in Western countries. As in previous studies, severe psoriasis was associated with a high prevalence of concurrent diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidaemia and hypertension. The prevalence and other characteristics of psoriasis and PPP estimated in the current study may provide basic information for future studies.
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