Acupuncture for discomfort in patients during gastroscopy: a systematic review protocol
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: This systematic review aims to assess the effectiveness and safety of acupuncture for discomfort in patients during gastroscopy.

Methods and analysis: Randomised controlled trials will be searched electronically in several databases including OVID MEDLINE, EMBASE, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM), Chinese Medical Current Content (CMCC), Chinese Scientific Journal Database (VIP database), Wan-Fang Database and China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) from their respective founding dates to 30 April 2014. We will also try to find the literature by manually searching conference abstracts and reference lists. The study selection, extraction of data and assessment of study quality will be conducted independently by two researchers. Meta-analysis will be performed using RevMan V.5.2 statistical software. Data will be combined with either the fixed or random effect model based on a heterogeneity test. The results will be presented as a risk ratio for dichotomous data and standardised mean difference for continuous data.

Dissemination: This systematic review will evaluate the current evidence of acupuncture therapy for discomfort in patients during gastroscopy. The findings will be disseminated through peer-reviewed publication or conference presentations.

Trial registration number: PROSPERO CRD42014008966.

The results of the systematic review may give gastroscopists more ways to help relieve patient discomfort during gastroscopy.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- To the best of our knowledge, there is only one systematic review related to acupuncture and gastrointestinal endoscopy, which was published in 2004 without any update until now. Our review will assess the effectiveness and safety of acupuncture for discomfort in patients during gastroscopy.
- The study selection, data extraction and quality assessment will be performed independently by two researchers. This will help ensure all relevant studies are included and not excluded for personal reasons.
- Japanese and Korean medical databases will not be included in our searches because of the language barrier. Hence, some relevant studies might be missed.
- The results of the systematic review may give gastroscopists more ways to help relieve patient discomfort during gastroscopy.

INTRODUCTION

Gastroscopy is an important method of gastrointestinal endoscopy in the diagnosis and treatment of digestive system diseases. It has been the most commonly performed endoscopic procedure, with an incidence of about 8.6/1000 of the population in the Trent region of the UK since the 1990s.1,2 The number of patients receiving gastroscopy reached 0.2 million in Shanghai, China in 2001.3 The average number of gastrointestinal endoscopes performed in 169 endoscopy units in China (all of the units possess gastroscopy) increased threefold in nearly 12 years, from 2.3/unit in 2001 to 9.3/unit in 2013. It is believed that the number of patients receiving gastroscopy is continuously increasing because of the aging population, work pressure and dietary changes.4

During gastroscopy, gag reflex or distention of the gastric wall may be induced, which causes throat discomfort, nausea, retching or even emesis.5,6 Moreover, gastroscopy can evoke anxiety, increase heart rate, lower blood oxygen and change blood pressure.7–9 Pharyngeal anaesthesia (eg, using lidocaine) and conscious sedation (eg, using diazepam, midazolam or propofol) are effective in minimising discomfort during gastroscopy.10–13 However, the use of these drugs increases the associated cost of the procedure and may cause retching during anaesthesia induction.5 Furthermore, potential risks of conscious sedation include respiratory and cardiovascular inhibition,
hypotension or even coma.7 14 15 For these reasons, unedated gastrointestinal endoscopy (including gastroscopy) has been widely applied for many years and is still the major procedure selected by patients in China16 and other developing countries.

Acupuncture has a history of over 2000 years and plays an important role in complementary and alternative medicine. Recent study has suggested acupuncture as a way to increase tolerance and reduce discomfort during gastroscopy,17 with a number of clinical trials being conducted to assess the effectiveness of acupuncture therapy for discomfort during gastroscopy.18 19 In the pre-retrieval of eight electronic databases, we have found more than 51 studies of acupuncture during gastroscopy.

There is so far only one published systematic review referring to ‘acupuncture’ and ‘gastrointestinal endoscope’.20 The 2004 review assessed the effect of traditional manual acupuncture and electroacupuncture for gastrointestinal endoscopy. Six randomised controlled trials (RCTs) published from 1978 to 2003 were included and analysed in the review. However, no significant result was concluded as more high-quality RCTs with adequate sample size were needed. Although acupuncture originated from and is widely used in China, Chinese periodical databases were not searched in that review. In addition, three of the six included RCTs were acupuncture for colonoscopy. Thus, no definite conclusions on the effectiveness of acupuncture during gastroscopy could be drawn from that review. However, with a more superior search strategy and more included databases, we hope that our systematic review will obtain a more convincing conclusion.

Our systematic review aims to determine whether acupuncture is effective in reducing discomfort during gastroscopy and also whether acupuncture is safe for reducing discomfort during gastroscopy. In this article, we present the protocol of our proposed systematic review.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS
Criteria for inclusion of studies in this review

Types of studies
All clinical RCTs of acupuncture for discomfort in patients with gastroscopy will be included in the review, while randomised crossover studies and quasi-RCTs will be excluded. Because of the particularity of acupuncture manipulation, it is difficult to blind the acupuncturists. Therefore, blinding will not be part of the inclusion criteria, although it will be evaluated as an item in the risk of bias assessment.

Types of participants
Participants who underwent gastroscopy will be included, with no age limitation. Excluded participants will be those who have had a colonoscopy, and those who have suffered from chronic pharyngolaryngitis, severe digestive system diseases, persistent hiccups, severe nausea and retching, proven tumours in the upper digestive tract or uncontrolled cardiopulmonary disease.

Types of interventions
Any method of acupuncture usage will be included, such as manual acupuncture, electroacupuncture, fire needling, warm needling, pyonex, scalp acupuncture, auricular acupuncture and intradermal needling, without limitations on the treatment length and frequency. The control groups with no intervention, sham acupuncture, placebo control and drug therapy (such as lubricant use, pharyngeal anaesthesia and sedation) will be included. Acupuncture combined with another therapy will also be included if the combined therapy is the same in both groups. The combined interventions include drugs, but exclude complementary and alternative therapy such as relaxation and music therapy. To assess the efficacy of acupuncture therapy, we intend to compare acupuncture treatment with either no intervention or sham acupuncture. To assess the effectiveness of acupuncture therapies, we plan to compare them with conventional positive intervention consisting of lubricant use, pharyngeal anaesthesia and/or sedation.

Types of outcome assessments
The primary outcome measurement is the proportion of patients with discomfort as assessed via completed questionnaire or visual analogue scale (VAS). If the patient’s condition permits, the proportion of throat discomfort, nausea, salivation, retching, emesis or hiccupping will be assessed. The secondary outcomes consist of the proportion of patients satisfied with the whole process and the proportion of patients who would opt for the same procedure again if required. If the included trials permit, the doses of anaesthesia or sedatives, the operation time of gastroscopy examination and the revival time of patients after gastroscopy will also be evaluated. The incidence of adverse events will be assessed as a safety outcome.

Search methods for identification of studies
Electronic searches
We will electronically search the following databases from their founding date to 30 April 2014, regardless of the publication status: OVID MEDLINE, EMBASE, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM), Chinese Medical Current Content (CMCC), Chinese Scientific Journal Database (VIP database), Wan-Fang Database and China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI). The search strategy has been decided on after a discussion among all reviewers according to the guidance of the Cochrane handbook.21 The key words include “gastroscopy”, “gastroscope”, “endoscopy” or “endoscope” combined with “acupuncture”, “manual acupuncture”, “electroacupuncture”, “fire needling”, “warm needling”, “pyonex”, “scalp
acupuncture”, “auricular acupuncture” or “intradermal needling”. The search strategy for OVID MEDLINE is shown in table 1. The search words used in Chinese databases have the same meaning as those used in the English databases.

Other sources
Potentially eligible studies will also be found through searching:
- The reference list of previously published reviews related to endoscopy and acupuncture;
- Conference abstracts that may have ongoing or unpublished trials in relation to gastroscopy and acupuncture. The data could be collected by contacting the author if applicable.

Data collection and analysis
Selection of studies
We plan to conduct this systematic review between 28 February 2014 and 30 November 2014. Prior to the selection of the studies, all reviewers will undergo training to ensure a basic understanding of the background and purpose of the review. Reviewers will then reach a consensus on screening and later procedures. After electronic searching, the records will be moved to a database set up by EndNote software (V.X6). Records found through other sources will also be moved to the same database. Two reviewers (WW and TZ) will then independently determine the included studies using the following steps: first, remove duplicates (the same study published in different languages, or studies sharing the same title and abstract both in a journal and conference proceedings, or different articles reporting the same trial in different aspects); second, exclude studies in which participants accepted acupuncture treatment during colonooscopy, or studies in which participants received acupuncture therapy during endoscopy; third, remove studies that were not designed as randomised controlled trials, and trials in which no data can be extracted. The details of the study selection procedure are shown in a PRISMA flow chart (figure 1). During this procedure, any disagreement between the reviewers will be discussed and judged by a third author (ZL).

Data extraction and management
Before data extraction, all of the reviewers will jointly discuss and create a unified data extraction form. The following factors will be contained in the data extraction form:
1. General information including reference ID, author, time of publication, journal and location of performance.
2. Characteristics of participants.
3. Randomisation.
5. Blinding.
6. Interventions, including the name of the intervention, length of treatment and type of control.
7. Outcome measures including primary and secondary outcomes.
8. Results.
9. Adverse events.
10. Conflicts of interest.
11. Other information such as the type of gastroscope.

The applicability of the form created will be tested by extracting information from three or more studies. After testing, WW and TZ will independently extract the data from the included studies and fill in the form. The final results of extraction will be checked, with any disagreement between the reviewers discussed and judged by a third author (ZL). ZL will also check the final data to make sure there are no errors.

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies
Two reviewers (WW and TZ) will evaluate the methodological quality of the included studies independently, with the use of the Cochrane Collaboration’s tool for risk of...
bias assessment. The tool consists of six domains of a trial, such as sequence generation, allocation concealment and blinding. The assessments will be categorised into three levels of bias: low risk, high risk and unclear risk.

Measures of treatment effect
For dichotomous outcomes, data will be analysed using a risk ratio (RR) with 95% CIs. For continuous outcomes, a standard mean difference (SMD) with 95% CI will be used.

Unit of analysis issues
Because the gastroscopic procedure is a transient process and the acupuncture is often applied before and/or during the gastroscopy, the outcomes will usually be measured once the intervention is complete. Therefore, we will mainly focus on the instant effect of acupuncture therapy.

Dealing with missing data
If data required for the data extraction form are missing, we will try to contact the first or corresponding authors of the studies by telephone or email to obtain the information. If missing data are unobtainable, complete case analysis for continuous outcomes and dichotomous outcomes will be completed using the methods of Ebrahim et al. and Akl et al., with a sensitivity analysis then conducted.

Assessment of heterogeneity
We will perform the Higgins I² test for heterogeneity prior to the meta-analysis to find out if inconsistencies exist within the included trials. We have set a cut-off point of 50% for the I² statistics, as we consider that there will be significant heterogeneity among trials when an I² value exceeds 50%. If this is the case, meta-analysis will not be suggested.

Assessment of reporting biases
If 10 or more trials are included in a meta-analysis, we will generate funnel plots to assess the reporting biases. The plots will be assessed visually and by using Egger’s test.

Data synthesis
Data synthesis will be performed using RevMan V5.2 software from the Cochrane Collaboration. For
In this paper, we present a protocol for a systematic review of acupuncture for discomfort in patients during gastroscopy. With a history of over 2000 years, acupuncture therapy plays an important part in complementary and alternative medicine. Although clinical research has shown that acupuncture could reduce the discomfort generated by gastroscopy, to the best of our knowledge there is currently no high-quality review of these separate studies. Thus, this systematic review will analyse current clinical evidence on the effectiveness and safety of acupuncture for discomfort during gastroscopy. The results may benefit patients who undergo gastroscopy by minimising their associated discomfort.

As stated previously, the primary outcome of our systematic review is the removal of discomfort. The measurement of this outcome varies across different studies from a general description of the whole body to specific discomfort symptoms. Therefore, it may be difficult for the reviewers to pool the various data together, making subgroup analysis necessary. After a discussion among all the reviewers, we decided to divide subgroups according to the description of discomfort. Studies generally describing the discomfort of the whole body will be categorised and analysed as one group, while studies describing specific symptoms will be classified and analysed as another group. Moreover, Japanese and Korean medical databases will not be included in our searches because of the language barrier. Hence, some relevant studies might be missed.
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