Habitual alcohol consumption associated with reduced semen quality and changes in reproductive hormones; a cross-sectional study among 1221 young Danish men
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ABSTRACT
Objective: Study associations between three measures of alcohol consumption (recent, typical/habitual, binging), semen quality and serum reproductive hormones.

Design: Cross-sectional population based study.

Setting and participants: 1221 young Danish men, aged 18–28 years were recruited when they attended a compulsory medical examination to determine their fitness for military service from 2008 to 2012. Total alcohol consumption: (1) in the week preceding (habitual/typical) the visit (recent alcohol intake), (2) in a typical week and (3) frequency of ‘binge drinking’ (consuming more than 5 units/day)) in the past 30 days was estimated.

Main outcome measures: Semen quality (volume, sperm concentration, total sperm count, and percentages of motile and morphologically normal spermatozoa) and serum concentration of reproductive hormones (follicle-stimulating hormone, luteinising hormone, testosterone, sex hormone binding globulin, oestradiol, free testosterone and inhibin B).

Results: Sperm concentration, total sperm count and percentage of spermatozoa with normal morphology were negatively associated with increasing habitual alcohol intake. This association was observed in men reporting at least 5 units in a typical week but was most pronounced for men with a typical intake of more than 25 units/week. Men with a typical weekly intake above 40 units had a 33% (95% CI 11% to 59%) reduction in sperm concentration compared to men with an intake of 1–5 units/week. A significant increase in serum free testosterone with increasing alcohol consumption the week preceding the visit was found. Binging was not independently associated with semen quality.

Conclusions: Our study suggests that even modest habitual alcohol consumption of more than 5 units per week had adverse effects on semen quality although most pronounced associations were seen in men who consumed more than 25 units per week. Alcohol consumption was also linked to changes in testosterone and SHBG levels. Young men should be advised to avoid habitual alcohol intake.

INTRODUCTION
Alcohol consumption is widespread in the Western world, especially in Europe. Drinking patterns have changed over time and binging (defined here as 5 units or more in a single day) is widespread among young Europeans. Moderate alcohol consumption has been associated with reduced morbidity and mortality although not confirmed in all studies. However, excessive alcohol intake has a negative impact on health (eg, coronary heart disease, stroke and liver disease).

Strengths and limitations of this study
- Our study was large and consisted of young healthy men, of whom the majority had no knowledge of their fertility. It is therefore unlikely to have affected their motivation to participate.
- Our study was cross-sectional and reverse causation cannot be excluded, whereby men with poor semen quality have an unhealthier lifestyle and health behaviour and drink more alcohol even though we adjusted for these factors.
- The men in our study reported daily alcohol consumption the week preceding the visit, as we assumed that to be more accurate to recall than an average intake. This consumption may differ from the typical weekly intake, which can lead to misclassification of exposure.
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Some studies found an association between alcohol intake and semen quality, although others did not confirm these findings. However, it is difficult to compare across studies, since populations as well as alcohol intake vary considerably and is likely to be under-reported. Only one study addressed the dose–response relationship between recent alcohol intake (during the past 5 days) and semen quality among 347 young Danish men. Poorer semen quality was found at higher levels of alcohol intake, although not statistically significant. In an earlier multicenter study of over 8000 American and European men, we found no adverse effects of alcohol intake in the week preceding the visit on semen quality. However, in that study most men reported only moderate intake of alcohol. While some men in that study were similar to the men in this study, much less detailed information about drinking habits was collected prior to 2008. To the best of our knowledge no studies have examined the effect of binging on male reproductive parameters nor have the effects of recent versus habitual alcohol intake been studied in healthy populations. We therefore investigated the association between semen quality and serum reproductive hormones and, alcohol consumption during the week preceding the visit in a typical week, and binging in a cross-sectional study of 1221 young Danish men recruited between 2008 and 2012.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Population

Because of the military draft in Denmark, all 18-year-old men, except those suffering from severe chronic disease, are required to undergo a compulsory physical examination to determine their fitness for military service. Since 1996, trained staffs from the Department of Growth and Reproduction at Copenhagen University Hospital (Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark) have approached the draftees when they have appeared for their compulsory physical examination and have invited them to participate in a study of semen quality taking place at Rigshospitalet. Only men recruited from January 2008 to April 2012 were included in the present study, since the questionnaire they completed included detailed information about alcohol intake. All participants completed a questionnaire, delivered a semen sample, had a blood sample drawn and underwent a physical examination. They received compensation for their time (DKK 500, equal to approximately US$85). Participants did not differ from non-participants with regard to age, but they were generally better educated than non-participants (data not shown). A detailed description and other aspects of the study have previously been published.

Semen analysis

All men provided a semen sample by masturbation in a room close to the semen laboratory. The period of ejaculation abstinence (time since last ejaculation) was recorded, and the semen sample was analysed for volume, sperm concentration, total sperm count, per cent motile spermatozoa and per cent morphologically normal spermatozoa as described by Jørgensen et al, which is in accordance with the most recent guideline from the WHO. Since 1996, our laboratory has led a quality control programme for assessment of sperm concentration; the laboratory has kept the interlaboratory difference unchanged, and the variation between technicians was less than 10%. The same two experienced technicians assessed the sperm morphology according to strict criteria for the first 904 men.

Serum samples

Serum levels of follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), luteinising hormone (LH) and sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) were determined using a time-resolved immunofluorometric assay (Delfia; Wallac Oy, Turku, Finland). Testosterone and oestradiol levels were determined using time-resolved fluorimunoassays (Delfia; Wallac Oy). Inhibin B level was determined by means of a specific two-sided enzyme immunometric assay (Inhibin B Gen II; Beckman Coulter Ltd, High Wycombe, UK). The hormones were all measured within the same time period and in the same assay batches. Free testosterone was calculated on the basis of the measured serum concentrations of total testosterone and SHBG using the method of Vermeulen et al and a fixed albumin concentration of 43.8 g/L.

Physical examination

Physicians assessed genital development, the possible presence of a varicocele (grades 1–3) or hydrocele, and the location of the testes in the scrotum, and the consistency of the testis and epididymis were recorded. Weight and height was measured, and body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by squared height in metres.

Questionnaire

Prior to the examination, all participants completed a questionnaire that collected information on previous and/or current diseases and genital diseases. Self-reported diseases of the reproductive organs affecting semen quality (torsion of testes, epididymitis or inguinal hernia) were summarised in two variables: self-reported genital conditions and ‘sexually transmitted diseases’ (gonorrhea or chlamydia).

The mothers of the young men responded to questions about education, which was coded as: less than 9, 9–10 and more than 10 years of schooling. Data on physical activity were converted to watts per week using the method of Craig et al. Men were asked about current smoking habits and whether they were exposed to...
smoking in utero. Daily caffeine intake was estimated based on their reported intake of caffeine-containing beverages the week prior to the visit. Men completed a diary reporting their daily intake of red and white wine, beer, strong alcoholic drinks, alcopops and others during the week prior to participation and delivery of the semen and blood samples (recent intake). Men were told that one beer, one glass of wine or 40 mL of spirits contained 1 unit of alcohol (≈12 g of ethanol), whereas one strong beer or one alcopop contained 1.5 units of alcohol and one bottle of wine contained 6 units of alcohol and were asked to convert their intake to units. Alcohol intake was calculated as the sum of daily reported unit intakes within that week. In addition, the men were asked whether their alcohol intake in the week preceding the visit represented a typical week (typical/habitual intake). They were also asked how many times during the past 30 days they had been drunk (typical/habitual intake). They were also asked how many times during the past 30 days they had been drunk or had consumed more than 5 units of alcohol on one occasion, which we defined as binging.

Statistics
Exposure variables were total number of alcohol units in the week preceding the visit (recent intake) and in a typical week (typical/habitual intake). Alcohol units were divided into 5 unit intervals. Because abstainers may differ from light-moderate drinkers we selected 1–5 drinks/week as the reference category. In addition, number of binge episodes and number of times being drunk during the past 30 days were categorised as: 0 (reference), 1–2, 3–5, 6–9 and more than 9.

Sperm parameters and reproductive hormone 0 levels were compared in relation to alcohol intake and binging the distributions of the relevant covariates from the questionnaires and physical examinations among men with different alcohol intake were compared by $\chi^2$ test in order to identify potential confounders. Finally, data were analysed using multivariable linear regression models. Because of the non-normal (skewed) distributions of semen quality and serum reproductive hormones, semen parameters were transformed by cubic root and reproductive hormones by natural logarithmic scale and the latter back-transformed to obtain the expected percent change per unit increase in exposure. Covariates were then excluded stepwise if their exclusion did not change effect estimate by more than 10%. In final models, the same set of covariates was used for all semen parameters: period of abstinence, current smoking and BMI, except that period of abstinence was not included for sperm morphology and motility models and duration between the time of ejaculation and analysis of the sample was included only for models predicting sperm motility. Models predicting reproductive hormones were adjusted for time of blood sampling, current smoking and BMI. We initially adjusted alcohol intake for binge episodes, but as estimates were unchanged, binging was not included. Tests for linear trend were performed after excluding men with no alcohol intake. Finally, analyses were performed separately for beer adjusting for total alcohol intake, since beer was consumed by most men. We evaluated the fit of the regression models by testing the residuals for normality and by inspecting the residual plots. SPSS statistics V19 was used and the results are presented with 95% CIs.

RESULTS
A total of 1221 men were included with a mean age of 19.1 years. The median alcohol intake the week preceding the visit was 11 units (25 and 75 centiles 1–21 units) and 64% and 59% of men had binged or had been drunk more than twice during the past 30 days, respectively. Beer was the favourite alcoholic beverage and the median beer intake the week preceding the visit was 5 units (alcohol intake 0–13 units). A total of 553 men (45%) reported that the week preceding the visit represented a typical week and these were used in the analyses of typical/habitual alcohol intake. These men did not differ from the total population (N=1221) in semen or hormone parameters.

Semen quality decreased with increasing recent alcohol intake (data not shown) and binging (table 1). Testosterone and calculated free testosterone (cFT) increased and SHBG decreased with increasing recent alcohol intake (table 2) and binging already from an intake above 5 units/week. Men with an intake of 30 units in a typical week or binging were more often smokers, had a higher caffeine intake, more often reported having had STDs or fever, were younger and their mothers had a higher education (see online supplementary table S1).

No clear association between recent alcohol intake (the week preceding the visit; data not shown), binging (table 3) and semen quality was found after controlling for confounders. A dose–response association with number of binge episodes and being drunk during the past 30 days (table 3). Men with a weekly alcohol intake above 40 units the week preceding the visit had 20% (95% CI 9% to 31%) higher cFT after control for confounders. No association with LH, FSH, inhibin B and oestradiol was found (data not shown).

Among the 553 men with a habitual alcohol intake (alcohol intake the week preceding the visit represented a typical week) we found an inverse dose–response association between alcohol intake and sperm concentration (p trend=0.02), total sperm count (p trend=0.01) and percentage morphologically normal sperms (p trend=0.01) (table 3, figure 2) after adjustment. The trend was more pronounced among men with a typical weekly alcohol intake above 25 units. Cubic root
transformed sperm concentration and percentage morphologically normal spermatozoa were, respectively, 0.39 (95% CI −0.92 to 0.14) and 0.51 (95% CI 1.03 to 0.01) lower among men with a typical alcohol intake of more than 40 units compared to men with an intake of 1–5 units in a typical week. No alcohol intake was also associated with reduced semen quality. Percentages of motile spermatozoa and semen volume were not

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alcohol intake</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>FSH (IU/L)</th>
<th>LH (IU/L)</th>
<th>Testosterone (nmol/L)</th>
<th>SHBG (nmol/L)</th>
<th>Free testosterone pmoL</th>
<th>Inhibin B (pg/mL)</th>
<th>Oestradiol (nmol/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M 5–95</td>
<td>M 5–95</td>
<td>M 5–95</td>
<td>M 5–95</td>
<td>M 5–95</td>
<td>M 5–95</td>
<td>M 5–95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units the week preceding the visit, N=1194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–5</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–10</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–15</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–20</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–25</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26–30</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–35</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36–40</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of binge episodes during the past 30 days† N=1194

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alcohol intake</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>FSH (IU/L)</th>
<th>LH (IU/L)</th>
<th>Testosterone (nmol/L)</th>
<th>SHBG (nmol/L)</th>
<th>Free testosterone pmoL</th>
<th>Inhibin B (pg/mL)</th>
<th>Oestradiol (nmol/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M 5–95</td>
<td>M 5–95</td>
<td>M 5–95</td>
<td>M 5–95</td>
<td>M 5–95</td>
<td>M 5–95</td>
<td>M 5–95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units the week preceding the visit, N=1194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–5</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–10</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–15</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–20</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–25</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26–30</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–35</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36–40</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Presented as median (M) and 5 and 95 centiles (5–95).

* Counted for 904 men of whom 397 stated that last week’s alcohol intake represented a typical week.
† Binging defined as alcohol intake of more than 5 units on one occasion.
Table 3  Results from linear regression analyses of semen quality (adjusted β-coefficients) and serum reproductive hormones (percent change) among young, Danish men according to habitual alcohol intake (last week represented a typical week) or recent (the week preceding the visit) or binging during the past 30 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alcohol intake</th>
<th>Sperm concentration† (million/mL)</th>
<th>Total sperm count† (million)</th>
<th>Morphology‡ (%)</th>
<th>Testosterone§¶ (nmol/L)</th>
<th>SHBG§¶ (nmol/L)</th>
<th>Free testosterone§¶ (pmol/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>B 95% CI</td>
<td>B 95% CI</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>% 95% CI</td>
<td>% 95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units in a typical week, N=553</td>
<td>Units the week preceding the visit, N=1194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>−0.32 −0.62 to −0.03</td>
<td>−0.42 −0.86 to 0.01</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>−2.7 −8.5 to 3.5</td>
<td>−0.6 −8.6 to 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–5</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–10</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>−0.04 −0.38 to 0.3</td>
<td>−0.06 −0.56 to 0.45</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>3.3 −3.6 to 10.6</td>
<td>−3.0 −10.6 to 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–15</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>−0.21 −0.54 to 0.12</td>
<td>−0.29 −0.77 to 0.2</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>2.0 −4.9 to 9.2</td>
<td>−3.1 −10.6 to 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–20</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>−0.03 −0.39 to 0.33</td>
<td>−0.18 −0.70 to 0.34</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>3.0 −4.2 to 10.8</td>
<td>−2.1 −10.1 to 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.25 −0.15 to 0.65</td>
<td>0.07 −0.52 to 0.65</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>7.3 −1.1 to 16.4</td>
<td>−0.2 −9.4 to 9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26–30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>−0.35 −0.83 to 0.14</td>
<td>−0.65 −1.37 to 0.08</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1.0 −7.7 to 10.4</td>
<td>−9.0 −18.1 to 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–35</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>−0.29 −0.92 to 0.33</td>
<td>−0.60 −1.51 to 0.31</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>6.1 −4.5 to 17.8</td>
<td>−9.8 −20.3 to 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36–40</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>−0.33 −1.02 to 0.35</td>
<td>−0.73 −1.73 to 0.28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9.1 −4.2 to 17.8</td>
<td>−9.3 −22.5 to 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>−0.39 −0.92 to 0.14</td>
<td>−0.54 −1.32 to 0.23</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>10.6 0.8 to 21.3</td>
<td>−12.0 −21.1 to −1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p trend**</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of binge episodes during the past 30 days††</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>−0.01 −0.23 to 0.22</td>
<td>0.15 −0.18 to 0.48</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>4.0 −2.5 to 10.8</td>
<td>7.9 0.1 to 16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>0.08 −0.13 to 0.29</td>
<td>0.26 −0.05 to 0.56</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>6.2 0.1 to 12.6</td>
<td>4.1 −2.9 to 11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–9</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>−0.02 −0.23 to 0.23</td>
<td>0.08 −0.26 to 0.41</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>8.4 1.6 to 15.6</td>
<td>1.1 −6.7 to 9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;9</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>−0.02 −0.32 to 0.29</td>
<td>0.03 −0.41 to 0.47</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>13.0 3.8 to 23.1</td>
<td>−2.9 −12.1 to 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p trend**</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for period of abstinence, smoking and body mass index (BMI) categorised according to table 2 (sperm morphology not adjusted for period of abstinence).
†Transformed by cubic root.
‡Counted for 904 men of whom 397 stated that last weeks alcohol intake represented a typical week.
§Adjusted to a time at 8.00, BMI and smoking.
¶Transformed by the use of natural logarithm and back transformed giving the percentages change.
**Test for trend was performed by inserting the categorical alcohol variable into the model assuming the association to be linear with 1–5 units weekly as reference and 0 units excluded.
††Binging defined as alcohol intake for more than 5 units on one occasion.
associated with habitual alcohol intake (data not shown). Habitual alcohol intake was also associated with serum reproductive hormones although not as strongly as the recent intake (data not shown). The associations between recent alcohol intake from beer were similar to that of total alcohol.

**DISCUSSION**

**Findings**

In this cohort of more than 1200 young healthy men with detailed questionnaire information on alcohol intake we found that a habitual alcohol intake was associated with a reduction in semen quality already from more than 5 units/week in a typical week although the decreasing trend was most apparent for men with a typical weekly intake above 25 units. In addition, recent alcohol intake (for the week preceding the visit) was associated with an increase in serum testosterone and reduction in SHBG. No independent adverse effect of binging was found. The negative association between alcohol intake and semen quality may be attributed to a direct adverse effect of alcohol on spermatogenesis or it may be a result of differences in lifestyle, health behaviour and diet found among high alcohol consumers, despite adjustment for these factors.

This is, to our knowledge, the first study to separate the effects of recent versus habitual alcohol exposure, and as the duration of spermatogenesis is approximately 72 days, the typical intake is probably a more appropriate exposure measure than the intake during the week preceding the delivery of the semen sample. Contrary to this, serum reproductive hormone levels fluctuate and are theoretically more susceptible towards recent changes (within days) induced by recent alcohol exposure (the week preceding the blood sampling).

**Comparison with previous studies**

Our findings are in accordance with a recent study among 347 young Danish men in which a non-significant dose–response association between recent alcohol intake (5 days preceding the delivery of the semen sample) and semen quality was observed. This suggests that the negative association between alcohol intake and semen quality may be attributed to a direct adverse effect of alcohol on spermatogenesis.
sample) and semen quality was found. The study did not obtain information on typical alcohol exposure nor on binging. However, a Chinese study among 1346 men did not find association between semen quality or alcohol intake even in high doses (more than 120 units/months). Other previous studies of association between alcohol intake and semen quality have shown contradictory results, but have been conducted in small selected population and not been able to address dose–response associations and none have been able to separate the effect of recent versus habitual intake. A previous multicenter study including young and fertile men did not find adverse effect of recent alcohol intake (the week preceding the visit) on semen quality, although most men only had a moderate alcohol intake. The young Danish men in that study were also conscripts but included from 1996 to 2007 after which the questionnaire included more detailed information on alcohol intake. The men in this study were included from 2008. No alcohol consumption was also associated with reduced semen quality, which may be attributed to social or health parameters differentiating non-drinkers from drinkers.

We found no independent adverse effect of binging on semen quality, which to our knowledge has not previously been reported. It was, however, difficult to separate binging from typical alcohol intake as most young men who binged also had a high alcohol intake. The percentage of Danes drinking 5 units or more in a typical drinking occasion has been reported to be 23%. Furthermore, young people aged 15–24 years are more likely (25%) to drink 5 units or more on one occasion compared with people above 55 years of age (11%).

Animal studies have suggested that alcohol may affect the hypothalamic–pituitary–gonadal axis, change sperm morphology and directly negatively affect the testis. In addition, analysis of histological samples from 195 deceased men showed that high alcohol consumption (>80 g alcohol/7 units/day) was associated with significantly reduced spermatogenesis, including spermatogenic arrest and Sertoli-cell-only syndrome.

Our observed association between alcohol intake, testosterone and cFT is in accordance with previous studies showing increased total testosterone and cFT or increased cFT in combination with decreased SHBG, whereas other studies found no association with cFT. If SHBG levels are affected this could explain the observed increase in cFT. Otherwise, it may be explained by alcohol detoxification leading to a changed metabolism of steroids in the liver. In contrast, decreased testosterone levels have been reported in male alcoholics suggesting that habitual alcohol abuse may damage Leydig cells or impair the hypothalamic–pituitary–gonadal axis.

Strengths and weaknesses
Our study has several strengths. It was large and consisted of young healthy men and the participation rate was approximately 30%, which is higher than in other population-based semen-quality studies. The drinking habits of these men resembled those of Danish men aged 16–20 years in 2008, suggesting that they are not selected. In addition, the majority of our young men had no knowledge of their fertility potential and this is unlikely to have affected their motivation to participate. Our study was, however, cross-sectional and reverse causation cannot be excluded, whereby men with poor semen quality have an unhealthier lifestyle and health behaviour and drink more alcohol even though we adjusted for these factors.

The men in our study reported daily alcohol consumption the week preceding the visit, as we assumed that to be more accurate to recall than an average intake. This consumption may differ from the typical weekly intake, which can lead to misclassification of exposure, and we therefore repeated the analyses among men stating that that week represented a typical week. We used diary information on alcohol consumption, which makes it easier to recall the units consumed, but it may still be underreported. Further, the definition of a unit may vary according to size, method of preparation and brand. We defined binging as an intake of 5 units or more in a single day, which is also the definition used by The Danish National Board of Health. These potential sources of exposure misclassification are likely to be unrelated to semen quality, since the men responded to the questionnaire, before they knew the result of their semen and blood analysis. Such non-differential misclassifications would underestimate the associations between alcohol habits and semen quality and reproductive hormones and cannot explain our findings.

Conclusion and implications
In conclusion, we found an adverse dose–response association between semen quality and habitual alcohol intake most pronounced among men with an alcohol intake above 25 units in a typical week. In addition, men with a high alcohol intake the week preceding the visit had increased free testosterone. This is to our knowledge the first study among healthy young men with detailed information on alcohol intake and, given the fact that young men in the western world have a high alcohol intake, this is of public health concern and could be a contributing factor to the low sperm count reported among young men. It remains to be seen whether semen quality is restored if alcohol intake is reduced, but young men should be advised that high habitual alcohol intake may affect not only their general but also their reproductive health.
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