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Reduced risk of recurrent myocardial infarction in homozygous carriers of the chromosome 9p21 rs1333049 C risk allele in the contemporary percutaneous coronary intervention era: a prospective observational study
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Chromosome 9p21 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) is a susceptibility variant for acute myocardial infarction (AMI) in the primary prevention setting. However, it is controversial whether this SNP is also associated with recurrent myocardial infarction (ReMI) in the secondary prevention setting. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the impact of chromosome 9p21 SNP on ReMI in patients receiving secondary prevention programmes after AMI.

Design: A prospective observational study.

Setting: Osaka Acute Coronary Insufficiency Study (OACIS) in Japan.

Participants: 2022 patients from the OACIS database.

Interventions: Genotyping of the 9p21 rs1333049 variant.

Primary outcome measures: ReMI event after survival discharge for 1 year.

Results: A total of 43 ReMI occurred during the 1 year follow-up period. Although the rs1333049 C allele had an increased susceptibility to their first AMI in an additive model when compared with 1373 healthy controls (OR 1.20, 95% CI 1.09 to 1.33, p=2.3*10^{−4}), patients with the CC genotype had a lower incidence of ReMI at 1 year after discharge of AMI (log-rank p=0.005). The adjusted HR of the CC genotype as compared with the CG/GG genotypes was 0.20 (0.06 to 0.65, p=0.007). Subgroup analysis demonstrated that the association between the rs1333049 CC genotype and a lower incidence of 1 year ReMI was common to all subgroups.

Conclusions: Homozygous carriers of the rs1333049 C allele on chromosome 9p21 showed a reduced risk of 1 year ReMI in the contemporary percutaneous coronary intervention era, although the C allele had conferred susceptibility to their first AMI.

INTRODUCTION

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is one of the leading causes of death and disability worldwide.1 AMI is associated with a positive family history as well as several traditional coronary risk factors including diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia and smoking, suggesting that the pathogenesis of AMI has a substantial genetic component.2 Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified several genetic loci that confer susceptibility to AMI and coronary artery disease (CAD) in the primary prevention setting.3–7 Among these genetic variants, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) on chromosome 9p21 are the most common and significant susceptibility risk factors for AMI and CAD, regardless of race.3–11 However, it remains controversial whether chromosome 9p21 SNPs are associated with recurrent myocardial infarction (ReMI) in patients with post-AMI receiving the evidence-based secondary prevention programmes.12–14

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the first study to clearly show a change of the susceptibility risk of the 9p21 variant to acute myocardial infarction between the primary and secondary prevention settings in a percutaneous coronary intervention era.
- Data regarding the mechanism and culprit lesion of remyocardial infarction were not available.
- Replication studies with a larger sample are warranted to confirm our observations.
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For example, the Italian Genetic study and TexGen registry revealed that 9p21 genetic variation was not associated with ReMI events after early-onset myocardial infarction and acute coronary syndrome (ACS), respectively, while the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE) genetic study showed that risk allele carriers of 9p21 SNPs had a higher incidence of ReMI after ACS. One possible explanation for this discrepancy among these three studies is an involvement of in-hospital ReMI as an end point. It is reported that 9p21 SNPs increase the risk of AMI onset by promoting the development and progression of coronary plaque deposition, rather than increasing susceptibility to plaque rupture. Thus, inclusion of acute phase ReMI might have made the interpretation difficult in these 9p21 variant studies, as most of the ReMI occurring during the acute phase of AMI were most likely caused by 9p21-independent mechanisms, such as reocclusion of the culprit lesion and/or thrombosis. Therefore, to simply assess the susceptibility impact of 9p21 to ReMI in the secondary prevention settings, it may be better to include patients with post-AMI only who survived the acute stage and received the state-of-the-art secondary prevention programmes after discharge.

The aim of the present study was to investigate the susceptibility impact of 9p21 genetic variation on ReMI in consecutive 2022 patients with a first AMI who were registered in the Osaka Acute Coronary Insufficiency Study (OACIS), treated with emergent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and discharged alive.

METHODS
The OACIS
The OACIS is a multicentre, prospective observational registry for AMI in Japan that was initiated in April 1998 among 25 collaborating hospitals. It is designed to assess patient demographics including genomic information, therapeutic procedures and subsequent clinical events in patients with AMI. All study candidates were informed about data collection, blood sampling and genotyping, and provided written informed consent. Research cardiologists and trained research nurses recorded data using a specific reporting form. The diagnosis of AMI was based on the WHO criteria, which required two of the following three criteria to be met: (1) clinical history of central chest pressure, pain or tightness lasting ≥30 min; (2) ST segment elevation >0.1 mV in at least one standard and (3) a rise in serum creatinine phosphokinase concentration to more than twice the normal laboratory value. The OACIS is registered to the University Hospital Medical Information Network Clinical Trials Registry (UMIN-CTR) in Japan (ID: UMIN000004575). Other details of the OACIS are described elsewhere.

Study design
Among 10,074 consecutive patients with AMI registered in the OACIS between April 1998 and April 2011, 2045 patients who had a first AMI, underwent emergent PCI, survived to discharge and gave written informed consent to the study were enrolled in this study. Exclusion criteria included a history of previous myocardial infarction or PCI, in-hospital death cases and lack of written informed consent for genetic study and DNA sampling. Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood samples using a commercially available kit (QIAamp DNA Blood Midi Kit; Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Patients were genotyped for the rs1333049 SNP of chromosome 9p21 using the multiplex-PCR-based invader assay as previously described. We focused on the rs1333049 because it is the most widely studied 9p21 genetic variant in the primary and secondary prevention settings. We also confirmed that rs1333049 is in linkage disequilibrium with other major 9p21 SNPs in the OACIS registry (see online supplementary figure S1).

Statistical analysis
Categorical variables were compared by the χ² test, and continuous variables were compared by the Kruskal–Wallis test. The impact of the rs1333049 genotype on the onset of AMI was assessed in the primary and secondary prevention settings. The impact of rs1333049 on the onset of AMI was calculated as ORs and 95% CIs in an additive model (OR per C allele increase). In the secondary prevention analysis, the Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate event rates. Since the Kaplan-Meier analysis revealed that the incidence of ReMI differed between the CC and CG/GG genotypes of rs1333049 (figure 2), the differences between the CC and CG/GG genotypes were assessed by the log-rank tests. In addition, a Cox regression model was used to compare the 1-year prognostic impacts between the rs1333049 CC and CG/GG genotypes based on the estimated HRs and 95% CI. Multivariate Cox regression analysis was performed to reduce the confounding effects of variations in patient backgrounds using age, gender, body mass index, ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, smoking, target lesion, multivessel disease, peak creatinine phosphokinase and prescription of ACE inhibitors.
inhibitor, angiotensin receptor blocker, β-blockers, calcium channel blockers, statins, diuretics and dual antiplatelet agents as covariates. Hence, the final multivariate model included all the aforementioned covariates regardless of the univariate results shown in online supplementary table S1 because we assumed that even non-significant differences in these covariates could be confounders and should be adjusted. Gene–drug interactions were evaluated using p for the interaction between genotype and each drug tested. Statistical significance was set as p<0.05 for comparison of patient background or gene–drug interaction. Bonferroni correction for multiple testing was employed during the secondary prevention analysis and statistical significance was set as p<0.025 (0.05 divided by the number of independent testing; log-rank test and multiple Cox regression analysis). All statistical analyses were performed using SAS V9.3 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, North Carolina, USA) or R software packages V2.15.1 (R Development Core Team).

**RESULTS**

Patient characteristics and medications at discharge are shown in [table 1](#). The median age was 65 years, 76.8% were male and 87.7% had ST-elevation myocardial infarction. No significant differences in patient background based on rs1333049 genotypes were detected.

In the primary prevention setting, the rs1333049 C allele was associated with increased susceptibility to AMI (OR 1.20 per C allele increase, 95% CI 1.09 to 1.33, p=2.3*10⁻⁴; and OR 1.38 CC vs CG/GG, 95% CI 1.17 to 1.62, p=8.7*10⁻⁵) compared with 1373 healthy Japanese controls (figure 3). The frequencies of the CC, CG and GG genotypes of rs1333049 were 28.4% (574/2022), 49.3% (997/2022) and 22.3% (451/2022), respectively, among the study population, and 22.4% (307/1373), 52.3% (718/1373) and 25.3% (348/1373), respectively, among healthy controls.

In the secondary prevention setting, 43 ReMI (4 for CC, 30 for CG and 9 for GG genotypes) occurred during a 1-year follow-up period after survival discharge.
for their first AMI. The Kaplan-Meier analysis revealed that the incidence of ReMI differed between patients with the CC and CG/GG genotypes (log-rank p=0.005; figure 2). Multivariate Cox regression analysis revealed that the CC genotype was associated with a lower risk of ReMI after survival discharge compared with the CG/GG genotypes (adjusted HR 0.20, 95% CI 0.06 to 0.65, p=0.007). Subgroup analysis demonstrated that the association between the rs1333049 CC genotype and a lower incidence of 1 year ReMI was common to all subgroups, and no significant gene–drug interactions were detected (figure 4).

DISCUSSION

The present study demonstrated that, in the secondary prevention setting of AMI, homozygous carriers of the rs1333049 risk allele (CC genotype) on chromosome 9p21 had a reduced incidence of ReMI, whereas the C allele did have conferred susceptibility to their first AMI. This result is of clinical importance because this is the first study to clearly show a change in the susceptibility risk of the 9p21 variant to AMI between before and after the first AMI, namely, between the primary and secondary prevention settings.

Historically, 9p21 SNP was identified as a susceptibility variant of CAD with GWAS using data from the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium in 2007. Many other GWAS have also revealed the same association between 9p21 SNP and CAD and/or myocardial infarction. In addition, one report by Chan et al suggested the presence of a common pathway to develop CAD and myocardial infarction via 9p21 SNP. Thus, the 9p21 SNP is now considered as one of the most robust susceptibility variants of myocardial infarction and/or CAD in the primary prevention setting. To date, three major studies have assessed the association between 9p21 genetic variation and ReMI rates after ACS (table 2), while the GRACE genetic study suggested a susceptibility risk of 9p21 SNPs for ReMI after ACS (STEMI) 27.2%, non-STEMI 43.3%, and unstable angina 29.5%). Since Buysschaert et al also reported that the statistical significance of the susceptibility risk of 9p21 disappeared after full adjustment for patient background in the GRACE genetic study, it is possible to interpret the results of these three studies as a lack of 9p21 susceptibility to ReMI in post-ACS patients.

From this point of view, it is noteworthy that the present study clearly showed a change in the 9p21 susceptibility risk to AMI between before and after the first onset of AMI in the same population. In the present study, the results showed that the rs1333049 C allele was associated with onset of the first AMI (OR 1.20, 95% CI 1.09 to 1.33, p=2.3*10^-4), which was consistent with the results of several previous studies in the primary prevention settings. Interestingly, however, the present study also demonstrated that patients with the CC genotype had a lower incidence of 1 year ReMI (adjusted HR, 0.20, 95% CI 0.06 to 0.65, p=0.007) as a novel finding. These observations suggested that the risk of the 9p21 variant seen in the primary prevention setting of the present study population was modified in the secondary prevention setting. Although it is speculative, it is considered that modification of genetic risk of the rs1333049 C allele might have unmasked the risk of the rs1333049 G allele in the secondary prevention setting (see online supplementary figure S2). However, it should be discussed why the 9p21 rs1333049 CC genotype was associated with a reduced incidence of ReMI in the present study, while not in the previous three studies.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Overall (n=2022)</th>
<th>CC (n=574)</th>
<th>CG (n=997)</th>
<th>GG (n=451)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>65 (57–73)</td>
<td>65 (57–73)</td>
<td>65 (57–73)</td>
<td>65 (58–73)</td>
<td>0.986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, %</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>0.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>23.8 (21.9–25.9)</td>
<td>23.9 (21.8–25.7)</td>
<td>23.7 (21.8–25.8)</td>
<td>23.8 (22.0–26.0)</td>
<td>0.653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEMI, %</td>
<td>87.7</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>86.6</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>0.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary risk factor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, %</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>0.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, %</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>0.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia, %</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>0.267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, %</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>0.868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAG Findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target lesion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left main trunk, %</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD, %</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagonal branch, %</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA, %</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCx, %</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graft, %</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown, %</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenting, %</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>0.207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivessel disease, %</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>0.473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak CPK, IU/L</td>
<td>2269 (1027–4006)</td>
<td>2304 (1005–4087)</td>
<td>2242 (1026–4041)</td>
<td>2345 (1104–3882)</td>
<td>0.898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication at discharge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI, %</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>0.650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB, %</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>0.425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blocker, %</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>0.466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium-blocker, %</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>0.814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin, %</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics, %</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual antiplatelet, %</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>0.990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Categorical variables are presented as a percentage and continuous variables are presented as a median (25–75 percentiles).

ACEI, ACE inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BMI, body mass index; CAG, coronary angiography; CPK, creatine phosphokinase; LAD, left anterior descending artery; LCx, left circumflex artery; RCA, right coronary artery; and STEMI, ST-elevation myocardial infarction.
of these ReMI might have made the interpretation of the results difficult in the previous studies. In addition, limiting patient selection to those treated with primary PCI for the first AMI in the present study might have clearly elucidated the 9p21-treated susceptibility to ReMI in the secondary prevention cohort.

The 9p21 locus is adjacent to the tumour suppressor genes CDKN2A and CDKN2B. Although the mechanism by which variation in the 9p21 locus increases AMI susceptibility in the primary prevention setting remains unclear, the evidence-based secondary prevention programmes might have masked the susceptibility risk of the 9p21 rs1333049 C allele to ReMI after ACS in the present study (figure 2), possibly via stabilising coronary plaques. Indeed, Do et al. reported that the impact of 9p21 genetic variation can be modified by increasing the dietary intake of vegetables, suggesting a role of secondary prevention programmes including dietary practice. Thus, further studies are warranted to investigate whether and how secondary prevention programmes with evidence-based medication and lifestyle modification can reduce the risk of ReMI in patients with 9p21 genetic variants in the near future. In particular, the potential interaction of the rs1333049 SNP with secondary prevention medications warrants further investigation, because gene–drug interactions have already been detected in cardiovascular patients treated with warfarin, clopidogrel and statin.

The present study has several limitations that warrant mention. First, since our study population only consisted of patients who provided written informed consent at survival discharge, there may have been selection bias as well as a survival bias since high-risk patients carrying the C allele might have died more frequently than patients with the GG genotype during hospitalisation. Second, the number of ReMI was relatively small and our study lacked a replication cohort to validate our observations. Therefore, replication studies with a larger sample are warranted to confirm our observations. However, it is often difficult to have a validation cohort in a prospective setting.
Table 2  Summary of studies examining the association between 9p21 variants and remyocardial infarction events after acute coronary syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OACIS registry</th>
<th>GRACE genetic study</th>
<th>Italian genetic study</th>
<th>TexGen registry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>rs1333049</td>
<td>rs1333049</td>
<td>rs1333049</td>
<td>rs1333049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt number</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>2942</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>2067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>9.95 years</td>
<td>3.2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>UK, Belgium, Poland</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background disease</td>
<td>MI (STEMI 87.7%, non-STEMI 12.3%)</td>
<td>ACS (STEMI 27.2%, non-STEMI 43.3%, UA 29.5%)</td>
<td>Early-onset MI</td>
<td>ACS (fraction unknown)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>47.5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End point</td>
<td>ReMI after survival discharge</td>
<td>ReMI including in-hospital events</td>
<td>ReMI including in-hospital events</td>
<td>ReMI including in-hospital events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>Low event rate with homozygous carriers of risk allele carriers (univariate)</td>
<td>High event rate with risk allele carriers (univariate)</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>No association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACS, acute coronary syndrome; CAD, coronary artery disease; DES, drug-eluting stent; GRACE, Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events; MI, myocardial infarction; OACIS, Osaka Acute Coronary Insufficiency Study; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; Pt, participants; ReMI, recurrence of myocardial infarction; STEMI, ST-elevation myocardial infarction; UA, unstable angina.

Indeed, all studies presented in table 2 did not include a replication cohort. Third, therapeutic regimen of AMI varied across the study period. Fourth, data regarding the mechanism and culprit lesion of ReMI were not available. Since ReMI can occur through a variety of mechanisms such as acute stent thrombosis of the culprit lesion, excessive intimal proliferation of stented vessels, and plaque rupture of new atherosclerotic lesions, a detailed analysis for the mechanism of ReMI is ideal. Fifth, patient backgrounds and primary preventive medications were not adjusted in the case-control association study in the primary prevention setting. Finally, it is possible that unmeasured confounding factors influenced the study outcomes due to the inherent nature of observational registry. The data should be interpreted within the context of these potential limitations.

CONCLUSIONS

We demonstrated that homozygous carriers of the AMI susceptibility variant rs1333049 SNP C allele on chromosome 9p21 showed a reduced risk of 1 year ReMI after survival discharge, suggesting a modification of genetic susceptibility of AMI with secondary prevention programmes.
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