**ABSTRACT**

Objective: To analyse if predictors of radiographic progression differ between patients treated with or without prednisolone in early rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Radiographs of hands and feet were assessed using the modified Sharp/van der Heijde score and radiographic progression was defined as an increase in the total Sharp score above 5.8 (the smallest detectable change).

Design: Prospective, randomised study of patients with early RA.

Setting: Secondary level of care; six participating centres from southern Sweden; both urban and rural populations.

Participants: In all, 225 patients, 64% women, with a diagnosis of RA according to the American College of Rheumatology criteria, were included if they were between 18 and 80 years of age and had a disease duration of less than 1 year.

Intervention: The patients were randomised to 7.5 mg prednisolone daily for 2 years (P-group; n=108) or no prednisolone (NoP-group; n=117) when they started with their first disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug and were prospectively followed for 2 years.

Results: The frequency of patients with radiographic progression after 2 years was 26% in the P-group and 39% in the NoP-group (p=0.033). Relevant interactions between treatment and rheumatoid factor (RF) (p=0.061) and between treatment and anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide 2 (anti-CCP) (p=0.096) were found. RF and anti-CCP independently predicted radiographic progression only in the NoP-group, OR (95% CI) 9.4 (2.5 to 35.2), p=0.001 and OR (95% CI) 8.7 (2.5 to 31.3), p=0.001, respectively.

Conclusions: The presence of RF and anti-CCP predicted radiographic progression in patients not treated with prednisolone but failed to predict progression in patients treated with this drug. The data suggest that early treatment with prednisolone may modulate not only inflammation but also autoimmunity-associated pathogenetic mechanisms.

**INTRODUCTION**

Recent treatment strategies in early rheumatoid arthritis (RA) have considerably improved outcome. Nevertheless, most clinical trials as well as clinical practice show significant subgroups of patients who fail to respond and develop progressive joint damage.

In the BARFOT low-dose prednisolone study on 250 patients with early RA (<1 year disease duration), joint progression was less frequent after 2 years in the group of patients who in addition to disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) got prednisolone 7.5 mg daily compared to those treated with DMARDs alone. Despite this achievement, some patients in the prednisolone group deteriorated radiographically while some in the non-prednisolone group did not.

We therefore wanted to study if predictors of radiographic progression differed between patients treated with or without prednisolone in early RA.

**METHODS**

Patients

The patients had all participated in the BARFOT low-dose prednisolone study in which radiographic progression was the
primary outcome. DMARDs were chosen by the treating physicians with the goal of achieving remission, defined as a Disease Activity Score (DAS28) <2.6. In addition, the patients were randomised to prednisolone, 7.5 mg/day (P-group, n=119), or no prednisolone (NoP-group, n=131) for 2 years.

The present study population consisted of 225 (90% of the randomised) patients who had radiographs of hands and feet at both baseline and the 2-year follow-up. Of these, 108 patients were in the P-group and 117 in the NoP-group.

All patients gave their informed consent and the ethics committees approved the study, which was performed in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration.

Radiographic assessment
Radiographs were scored for erosions, joint space narrowing and total Sharp scores (TSS) with known time sequence using the van der Heijde modification of the Sharp score by two readers. The smallest detectable change, based on interobserver data, was calculated to be 5.8, admitting radiographic progressors to be defined as having a TSS ≥5.8.

Disease activity and physical function
Disease activity was assessed by DAS28. The Swedish version of the Stanford Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) was used to measure daily life function.

Laboratory analyses
Plasma and serum samples were stored at −70°C until assay. IgM rheumatoid factor (RF) and anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide 2 (anti-CCP) were analysed using enzyme immunoassay (Phadia 250; Thermofisher AB, Uppsala, Sweden). Levels of ≥5 international units (IU)/mL (IgM RF) and ≥7 arbitrary units (AU)/mL (anti-CCP) were regarded as positive. Sample from individual patients were analysed in parallel. When 100 healthy blood donor controls were analysed in the same laboratory, 4 were IgM RF positive and none were anti-CCP positive, corresponding to 96% and 100% specificity, respectively.

Procollagen type I N-terminal propeptide (P1NP; marker of bone formation), C-terminal telopeptide crosslaps (CTX-1) and C-terminal telopeptides of type I collagen (1CTP; both markers of bone degradation) were analysed as described earlier.

Statistics
The SPSS V.21.0 statistical software was used. To test differences between groups, the Mann–Whitney U test or unpaired t test was used for continuous variables, whereas the Wilcoxon matched pairs test was used for paired comparisons and the χ² test for proportions.

To identify predictors of radiographic progression, univariate analyses of baseline clinical and demographic variables were performed. Variables with a p value less than 0.1 were entered into multivariate logistic regression models with radiographic progression as the dependent variable. Prediction analyses in subgroups were justified by interaction analyses of treatment (prednisolone or no prednisolone) and anti-CCP (or RF) plus the interaction term between them (relevant interaction p<0.1).

RESULTS
Radiographic progression
After 2 years, the frequency of patients with radiographic progression (progressors) was 26% in the P-group and 39% in the NoP-group, p=0.033.

Baseline characteristics and associations between baseline variables and radiographic progression
Demographic and clinical characteristics at baseline in patients with and without progression of joint damage after 2 years are shown in table 1. Univariate analyses per treatment group showed that in the P-group progressors had significantly more swollen joints and higher TSS than non-progressors, whereas in the NoP-group the presence of RF and anti-CCP as well as elevated C reactive protein (CRP) and TSS was associated with radiographic progression. Concentrations of P1NP, CTX-1 and 1CTP did not differ significantly between progressors and non-progressors, irrespective of prednisolone treatment.

Prednisolone and concomitant treatment
In the P-group, some patients reduced the prednisolone dose and eight stopped treatment. In the NoP-group, six patients started prednisolone treatment during the study period. DMARD treatment (mostly methotrexate and sulfasalazine) was given to all patients and did not differ between progressors and non-progressors either in the P-group or in the NoP-group during the first 3 months.

Prediction of radiographic progression
In addition to RF and anti-CCP, baseline swollen joint count, TSS, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, CRP and HAQ were univariately associated with radiographic progression and TSS was associated with the presence of RF and anti-CCP as well as elevated C reactive protein (CRP) and TSS proved to be independent predictors.

Prediction analyses in subgroups were justified by interaction analyses (relevant interaction p<0.1). Thus, relevant interactions between treatment and RF (p=0.061) and between treatment and anti-CCP (p=0.096) were found. RF and anti-CCP independently predicted radiographic progression only in the NoP-group, OR (95% CI) 9.4 (2.5 to 35.2), p=0.001 and OR (95% CI) 8.7 (2.5 to 31.3), p=0.001, respectively.

Change in RF and anti-CCP during 2 years follow-up
In both treatment groups, most patients retained their RF and anti-CCP status (pos./neg.) during the two study years: for the P-group, 82.3% and 87.5%, respectively and for the NoP-group, 88.9% and 98%, respectively.
Some patients, however, reversed from RF and/or anti-CCP positivity to negativity: in the P-group 15.6% and 9.4%, respectively, and in the No-P-group 9.9% and 2.0%, respectively. More patients lost than acquired seropositivity.

RF and anti-CCP levels among seropositive patients did not differ between treatment groups at baseline or at 2 years, but in both treatment groups there were significant reductions in both autoantibody levels during the study period (table 2). When calculated only on those patients who were compliant with the randomisation and dose of prednisolone, the P-group had a larger reduction of anti-CCP than the NoP-group, p=0.028 (table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

The present study was undertaken to analyse if predictors for radiographic progression differed between patients with early RA treated with or without
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**Table 1** Demographic and clinical characteristics at baseline separated into patients randomised to prednisolone (P-group, n=108) and no prednisolone (NoP-group, n=117) and further separated into those who after 2 years had progression in TSS >5.8 or not, progressors and non-progressors, respectively

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline characteristics</th>
<th>P-group</th>
<th>NoP-group</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>P-group</th>
<th>NoP-group</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Progressors n=28</td>
<td>Non-progressors n=80</td>
<td></td>
<td>Progressors n=46</td>
<td>Non-progressors n=71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>50 (13)</td>
<td>52 (15)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>58 (13)</td>
<td>58 (13)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, n (%)</td>
<td>17 (61)</td>
<td>52 (65)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>29 (63)</td>
<td>46 (65)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration, months</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF pos., n (%)</td>
<td>20 (74.1)</td>
<td>38 (54.3)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>33 (86.8)</td>
<td>30 (48.4)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-CCP pos., n (%)</td>
<td>20 (74.1)</td>
<td>41 (58.6)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>31 (81.6)</td>
<td>28 (45.2)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS28</td>
<td>5.33 (1.34)</td>
<td>5.23 (1.02)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>5.44 (1.06)</td>
<td>5.45 (0.98)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swollen joints, n</td>
<td>13 (5)</td>
<td>11 (5)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>11 (6)</td>
<td>11 (5)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tender joints, n</td>
<td>8 (7)</td>
<td>7 (5)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>8 (7)</td>
<td>9 (6)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health, VAS, mm</td>
<td>39 (29)</td>
<td>47 (21)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>43 (23)</td>
<td>48 (24)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain, VAS, mm</td>
<td>44 (25)</td>
<td>48 (22)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>47 (20)</td>
<td>50 (22)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAQ (0–3)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.71)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.53)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.13 (0.58)</td>
<td>0.9 (69)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS</td>
<td>5.37 (6.11)</td>
<td>3.67 (10.16)</td>
<td>0.033*</td>
<td>8.50 (13.13)</td>
<td>2.53 (5.53)</td>
<td>0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1NP</td>
<td>33 (16)</td>
<td>30 (30)</td>
<td>0.08*</td>
<td>43 (38)</td>
<td>31 (37)</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTX-1</td>
<td>0.26 (0.14)</td>
<td>5.1 (0.15)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.35 (0.18)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.19)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1CTP</td>
<td>4.1 (1.8)</td>
<td>5.9 (7.7)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>5.1 (1.4)</td>
<td>5.2 (7.6)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistically significant values are shown in bold typeface.
p Values represent differences between progressors and no progressors. Values are mean (SD). Swollen and tender joints were calculated on 28 joints.

*Mann–Whitney U test.

CCP, cyclic citrullinated peptide 2; CRP, C reactive protein; 1CTP, C-terminal telopeptides of type I collagen; CTX-1, C-terminal telopeptide crosslaps; DAS28, Disease Activity Score in 28 joints; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; HAQ, Health Assessment Questionnaire; P1NP, procollagen type I N-terminal propeptide; RF, rheumatoid factor; TSS, total Sharp score.
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**Table 2** Levels of RF and anti-CCP (median (IQR)) in patients positive for one or both of these antibodies in the two treatment groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P-group (n=97)</th>
<th>NoP-group (n=100)</th>
<th>p Value between groups</th>
<th>p Value between groups, only patients with dose according to protocol (77 vs 94)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RF, baseline (IU/mL)</td>
<td>12.0 (1.3–58.0)</td>
<td>21.5 (1.9–80.5)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-CCP, baseline (AU/mL)</td>
<td>28.0 (3.4–367.0)</td>
<td>43.5 (2.5–384.5)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF, 2 years</td>
<td>4.1 (0.7–28.0)</td>
<td>9.5 (1.00–52.0)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-CCP, 2 years</td>
<td>13.0 (2.3–141.0)</td>
<td>24.0 (2.1–446.0)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ RF, 0–2 years</td>
<td>−1.1 (−20.3–0.20)**</td>
<td>−1.5 (−34.0–0)**</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ Anti-CCP, 0–2 years</td>
<td>−1.9 (−55.4 to −0.10)**</td>
<td>−0.3 (−88.0–0.5)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistically significant values are shown in bold typeface.
p<0.05; **p<0.001 (Wilcoxon matched pairs test). CCP, cyclic citrullinated peptide 2; RF, rheumatoid factor.
prednisolone, in combination with DMARDs during the first 2 years after diagnosis. The main finding was that RF and anti-CCP predicted radiographic progression only in the group not treated with prednisolone.

The presence of RF and antibodies against citrullinated proteins/peptides (ACPAs) has been found to predict the development of RA and also the severity of the disease, suggesting a possible pathogenic role for these autoantibodies.\textsuperscript{6-8} If so, the present finding that RF-positivity and anti-CCP-positivity did not predict radiographic progression in prednisolone-treated patients may imply that prednisolone affects the pathogenic mechanisms associated with these antibodies in early RA. This possibility is in line with a role for RF in joint damage progression beyond its direct effect on disease activity.\textsuperscript{9} Interestingly, such effects of RF, independent of disease activity, have been shown to be significantly associated only with progression of the erosion score, but not with the joint space narrowing score.\textsuperscript{9}

Similarly, we have reported earlier that the hampering effect of prednisolone on radiographic progression was valid only for erosions.\textsuperscript{10}

The lack of association between autoantibody status and radiographic progression in prednisolone-treated patients is consistent with similar findings in patients treated with some biological agents.\textsuperscript{11, 12} It is further in line with the findings in the BEST study where the association of ACPA status with joint damage progression was significantly more pronounced in patients treated with initial methotrexate monotherapy compared with those getting combination therapy with prednisolone or anti-tumour necrosis factor agents.\textsuperscript{13} One explanation might be that early and intensive reduction of inflammation, also found here in the P-group, may suppress a strong autoimmune response.\textsuperscript{14}

Such an explanation to the fact that the autoantibodies at baseline did not predict radiographic progression in the P-group is supported by the finding that more patients in this group reverted from seropositivity to negativity. In a recent study by Barra et al\textsuperscript{13} on early inflammatory arthritis, seroreversion occurred at rates similar to those in the present study without any influence on the prediction of outcome. However, in another early polyarthritis cohort, the prognostic significance of initial RF and anti-CCP positivity was influenced by seroreversion of these antibodies.\textsuperscript{16}

Not only antibody status but also serum level changes might be of importance in the prediction of outcome. Here we found that the levels of RF and anti-CCP decreased in both treatment groups. The decrease of anti-CCP was significantly more profound in the P-group when the calculation was based on the patients who strictly followed randomisation and dose. We suggest that such a subgroup analysis is important to find specific effects of prednisolone. Reports on the predictive value of changes in autoantibody levels are limited, but one study on early RA reports that changes in RF and ACPA levels were not associated with radiographic outcome.\textsuperscript{17} In established RA, RF and ACPA level reductions are reported to be closely linked to treatment-associated improvements.\textsuperscript{18} However, it remains unknown whether such reductions are associated with hampered structural changes.

In conclusion, the presence of RF and anti-CCP did not predict radiographic progression in patients treated with prednisolone in contrast to prednisolone-naïve patients. The data imply that early treatment with prednisolone may modulate not only inflammation but also autoimmune- and associated pathogenic mechanisms. The clinical implication would be that the unfavourable prognosis associated with RF-positivity and anti-CCP-positivity can be relieved by prednisolone treatment.


