Lower levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol in urban Africans presenting with communicable versus non-communicable forms of heart disease: the ‘Heart of Soweto’ hospital registry study
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To investigate if urban Africans displayed lower levels of atheroprotective high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDLc) when presenting with communicable versus non-communicable forms of heart disease (HD) as both acute infection and chronic inflammation reduce HDLc levels.

Design: Hospital registry of 5328 de novo cases of HD over a 3-year period.

Setting: Cardiology Unit, Baragwanath Hospital in Soweto, South Africa.

Participants: A total of 1199 patients of African descent (59% women; 57.0±13.4 years) had fasting blood lipid levels (total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride, HDLc and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDLc)) documented on admission. Serum inflammatory marker C reactive protein (CRP) was measured in a subset of 367 patients (31% of cases).

Main outcome measures: Lipid profiles were compared according to prespecified classification of non-communicable (eg, hypertensive HD) versus communicable (eg, rheumatic HD) HD. Low HDLc was defined as <1.0 mmol/L for men and <1.2 mmol/L for women, according to applicable South African Clinical Guidelines.

Results: Overall 694 (58%) of those presenting with HD had low HDLc levels; 344 of 678 (51%) and 350 of 521 (67%) for non-communicable and communicable, respectively (p<0.001). Comparatively, overall prevalence of high TC was 32% and high LDLc was 37%. On an adjusted basis, those with non-communicable HD were more likely to record a low HDLc relative to non-communicable presentations (odds ratio (OR) 1.91, 95% CI 1.42 to 2.57; p<0.001). There was a strong relationship between low HDLc and higher levels of CRP, but only in women.

Conclusions: Despite largely favourable lipid profiles, there are clear differences according to aetiology of underlying HD in urban Africans, with younger patients with communicable HD having particularly low levels of HDLc. Appropriate prospective evidence is needed to determine if persistent low levels of HDLc expose patients to increased, long-term risk of atherosclerotic forms of HD. The women-only inverse association between HDLc and CRP warrants further investigation.

INTRODUCTION

Heart diseases (HDs) with infectious aetiology have long been the principal forms of cardiovascular disease (CVD) in sub-Saharan Africa. However, epidemiological transition has seen increased prevalence of non-communicable forms of HD in these populations. This phenomenon is largely driven by complex, population-wide changes in demographic, social and economic status, with associated changes in lifestyle habits. Indicative of the tension between ‘old’ and
Low serum levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDLC) are consistently and independently associated with increased risk of atherosclerotic forms of CVD. However, it remains uncertain whether low HDLC is causal or just a cardiovascular risk marker. If we are to extrapolate from studies in Western and Asian populations, isolated low HDLC is associated with increased risk for CVD in the long-term. There are several causes of low HDLC levels, including overweight, obesity, tobacco smoking and insulin resistance/type 2 diabetes mellitus, indicative of the important role lifestyle factors have in mediating HDLC levels. Additionally, low HDLC is a striking consequence of abnormal lipid metabolism in infection and inflammation. Although it has been shown that those of African descent largely show a favourable lipid profile characterised by high HDLC levels, it is unlikely that they can remain atheroprotective during an infected state. Indeed, in this setting, it is probable that increasing prevalence of modifiable/lifestyle risk factors contribute to a more advanced presentation in those with CD. We have previously reported that in the geographically compact townships that comprise Soweto in South Africa, ‘old’ and ‘new’ forms of HD are simultaneously present. While this tension exists, we have a unique opportunity to explore lipid profiles in patients presenting with non-communicable versus communicable forms of HD.

STUDY HYPOTHESES

Having shown important ethnic differences in the lipid profiles of patients of African descent presenting with HD in the urban African enclave of Soweto, we hypothesised that independent of age and sex, urban Africans presenting with CD will demonstrate patterns of dyslipidaemia associated with infection/inflammation, particularly suboptimal levels of HDLC, versus NCD.

METHODS

Study setting and design

As described in detail previously, the 3500 bed Chris Hani Baragwanath Hospital (case load of >125 000 inpatients per annum) services the tertiary care needs of Soweto (population of 1.1 million) and surrounding communities. All suspected cardiac presentations are referred to the hospital’s Cardiology Unit for advanced diagnostic testing and gold-standard treatments. A prospective clinical registry of all de novo presentations of the same was established in 2006 as part of the Heart of Soweto Study and represents sub-Saharan Africa’s largest and most detailed study of advanced forms of HD to date.

Participants

The ‘Heart of Soweto’ cohort of de novo case presentations comprised of 5328 patients. Of these, 2185 patients (40%) had a documented fasting lipid profile (serum total cholesterol (TC) level, triglyceride (TG), HDLC and calculated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDLC) undertaken at Baragwanath Hospital on-site pathology). None of the patients were on lipid lowering agents at the time of presentation, as this medication can only be prescribed at the tertiary institution. However, some of the patients had been placed on anti-hypertensive medication prior to their first assessment at the Cardiac Clinic at Baragwanath Hospital. Moreover, only a small number of patients (39 cases) had been prescribed antiretroviral therapy (ART) on presentation. All patients provided informed consent.

Study data

A complete list of study data captured by the registry, comprising basic sociodemographic (including self-reported ethnicity, years of education and determining if the patient was born in Soweto) and advanced clinical profiling, has been described previously. The registry captured all advanced clinical investigative procedures (eg, coronary angiography, which was undertaken in all people diagnosed with coronary artery disease (CAD)). Echocardiography (performed on all patients) criteria used in the study have been described in detail previously.

Case classifications

Adjudication and classification of communicable and non-communicable presentations of HD in this cohort have been previously described. After exclusion of those with uncomplicated hypertension (ie, without evidence of cardiac dysfunction, n=380) or other non-modifiable aetiologies (eg, congenital disorders), 1199 patients of African descent (22% of the total ‘Heart of Soweto’ cohort) were included in this analysis. Contributory diagnoses for NCD were predominantly hypertensive heart failure (HT-HF) and CAD (without HIV). CD was predominantly classified as HIV-dilated cardiomyopathy (HIV-DCMO), HIV-pulmonary hypertension, TB pericardial disease and pericarditis due to other infections.

Risk factor definition

Optimum lipid levels and treatment goals with established CVD were defined according to international guidelines adopted by the Lipid and Atherosclerosis Society of South Africa and the South African Heart Association—high TC: >4.5 mmol/L, high TGs: >1.7 mmol/L, high LDLC: >2.5 mmol/L and low HDLC: <1.0 mmol/L for men and <1.2 mmol/L for women. Other risk factors were measured on a clinical basis, as previously described. Anthropometric measurements were available for calculation of body mass index (BMI, kg/m²) in 854 (71%) cases, the low reporting rate restricted to...
ambulatory patients. Obesity was defined as BMI ≥30 kg/m². Serum C reactive protein (CRP) was measured in a subset of 367 patients (31% of all cases) if clinically indicated (eg, suspected infection). Patients were stratified into clinically relevant CRP categories, as defined by Dhingra et al. Patients with a CRP of 1 mg/L (n=19) were used as reference group and compared with medium (1.1–3.0 mg/L, n=26), high (3.1–10.0 mg/L, n=83) and very high (>10.0 mg/L, n=239) CRP categories.

**Statistical analyses**

Normally distributed continuous data are presented as the mean±SD and non-Gaussian distributed variables as the median (IQR). Categorical data are presented as sample number and percentages. For group comparisons, we initially used χ² analysis with calculation of odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs presented as appropriate for discrete variables, and independent t tests for normally distributed continuous variables and Mann-Whitney U test for non-parametric continuous variables. Multiple logistic regression analyses (entry model) were used to derive odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs. The effect of HD aetiology on low HDLC dyslipidaemia was strong and consistent: adjusting for age, sex and relevant socioeconomic, demographic and clinical variables, the risk of having low HDLC increased with increasing CRP levels. In age and sex-adjusted analyses, those with medium risk (OR 2.73, 95% CI 0.68 to 10.89, p=0.16) and very high risk (OR 6.37, 95% CI 1.97 to 20.57, p<0.01) CRP levels were significantly more likely to record a low HDLC relative to those in the low risk CRP group. In addition, when stratified by sex, a significant difference in CRP levels was observed. In the group of women, the CRP levels were significantly higher in women than in men (26%), p<0.001 for all comparisons). Overall, women had significantly higher TC (4.2±1.5 vs 3.8±1.2 mmol/L, p<0.001), LDLC (2.4±1.0 vs 2.2±1.0 mmol/L, p<0.01) and HDLC compared with men (1.2±0.5 vs 1.0 ±0.5 mmol/L, p<0.001). This gender difference did not extend to TGs (1.1(0.4–1.8) vs 1.0(0.4–1.0) mmol/L, p=0.7) nor TC: HDLC ratio (4.2±3.1 vs 4.3±2.7 mmol/L, p=0.6). Lipid ratios were calculated and compared (table 1). There were no significant differences between aetiology groups for either TC: HDLC or TG: HDLC groups. However LDLC: HDLC ratios were significantly higher in the communicable group.

Levels of TC (figure 1A), HDLC (figure 1B) and LDLC (figure 1C) were significantly higher in women with NCD (figure 1). However, in those diagnosed with CD, small, but significant, differences were observed only for TC and HDLC, not LDL (figure 1). Overall, prevalence of dyslipidaemia varied from 18% of patients with high TGs to 58% with low HDLC (table 1 and figure 2). Consistent with the decrease observed with the actual levels, prevalence of high TC and high LDL was increased in those with NCD aetiologies while low HDLC levels prevalence was higher in those with CD (table 1 and figure 2). There were no patients with TG levels >4.5 mmol/L (range 0.1–3.8 mmol/L) which makes use of the Friedewald equation suitable for this cohort.

Table 2 shows independent associations between relevant socioeconomic, demographic and clinical variables and CD aetiology, relative to those presenting with NCD. The effect of HD aetiology on low HDLC dyslipidaemia was strong and consistent: adjusting for age, sex and BMI of patients, those with forms of CD were significantly more likely to record a low HDLC relative to those presenting with NCD (table 2, p<0.001) and less likely to record high TC and LDL (table 2). Patients with CD were less likely to record high TG levels (OR 0.65, 95% CI 0.51 to 0.84, p<0.05) compared to those with NCD.

**Lipid profiles**

Those with CD have significantly lower TC, LDL and HDLC compared with patients with NCD (table 1 and figure 1, p<0.001 for all comparisons). Overall, women had significantly higher TC (4.2±1.5 vs 3.8±1.2 mmol/L, p<0.001), LDLC (2.4±1.0 vs 2.2±1.0 mmol/L, p<0.01) and HDLC compared with men (1.2±0.5 vs 1.0 ±0.5 mmol/L, p<0.001). This gender difference did not extend to TGs (1.1(0.4–1.8) vs 1.0(0.4–1.0) mmol/L, p=0.7) nor TC: HDLC ratio (4.2±3.1 vs 4.3±2.7 mmol/L, p=0.6). Lipid ratios were calculated and compared (table 1). There were no significant differences between aetiology groups for either TC: HDLC or TG: HDLC groups. However LDLC: HDLC ratios were significantly higher in the communicable group.

**RESULTS**

**Clinical and demographic profile**

Table 1 shows the sociodemographic and clinical profile of this cohort according to cardiac aetiology. Those presenting with NCD (n=678, 56.5% of cohort) were older and had higher BMI and mean systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) than those with CD (n=521, 43.5%; all comparisons p<0.001). Overall, 76 (6%) were confirmed HIV positive: 15 (2%) and 61 (12%) patients were confirmed HIV positive in NCD and CD groups, respectively (p<0.001). Apart from higher BMIs in women (30.6±6.9 vs 26.7±5.5 kg/m² in men, p<0.001) there were no significant differences between sexes with respect to other clinical parameters. To this, the prevalence of obesity in women was 50% as compared with men (26%), p<0.001.

**Aetiology of HD (primary diagnosis)**

Overall, the most prevalent primary diagnoses were HT-HF (n=461, 38%), DCMO (n=178, 15%) and CAD (n=157, 12%). In those classified as NCD, HT-HF was the main primary diagnosis (n=461, 68%), along with CAD (without concurrent HIV infection; n=157, 23%). DCMO (n=178, 34%), right HF (n=92, 18%) and right HD (n=63, 12%) and other forms of primary valve disease (n=71, 14%), were the most common diagnoses in those classified with communicable forms of HD.
strong, positive association remained in women but was no longer apparent in men (figure 3). In women, the pattern was significant across all CRP risk categories: compared to low risk, those with medium risk (OR 12.1, 95% CI 1.21 to 120, p=0.03), high risk (OR 14.4, 95% CI 1.64 to 126, p=0.02) and very high risk (OR 23.5, 95% CI 2.81 to 197, p=0.004) CRP levels were all more likely to record a low HDLC. Moreover, the association was not weakened by addition of BMI into the model (BMI and CRP measurements available in only 230 cases) in overall and women-only (n=133) models: those with medium risk (OR 20.5, 95% CI 1.72 to 246, p=0.02), high risk (OR 321(47%) to 241(47%) , p<0.001). CRP levels were all more likely to record a low HDLC.

**DISCUSSION**

We report significant decreases in lipid levels (TC, HDLC and LDLC) and age and BMI according to non-communicable and communicable manifestations of de novo HD in urban Africans, patterns that were observed in both sexes. The high prevalence of low HDLC in more than half of all cases, but much higher in those with CD, is most striking. In addition, it appears that gender is an effect modifier in the relationship between CRP and low HDLC in this cohort, but, importantly, the relationship remains even after adjustment for the significant confounder of adiposity.

While traditionally uncommon, dyslipidaemia, in particular low HDLC, is becoming more prevalent in sub-Saharan Africa. The low lipid levels present in the majority of cases with CD reflect the dramatic changes to lipid metabolism observed in infection and is therefore, anticipated. We acknowledge that atherogenic LDLC is also low in this setting and that low HDLC may not be indicative of particularly increased disease risk, at least in the short-term. However, we still deem this as highly clinically relevant given that even isolated low HDLC is associated with a higher risk of atherosclerotic disease, a finding that has been seen in diverse populations. Interestingly TG levels were not significantly increased in those with communicable forms of HD, despite evidence that it can increase as part of the infectious/inflammatory metabolic milieu.

Additionally, we speculate that the higher lipid levels in women may be the result of much higher rates of obesity (50% compared with 26% in men) as the driver of the dyslipidaemia. In women, the pattern was significant across all CRP risk categories: compared to low risk, those with medium risk (OR 12.1, 95% CI 1.21 to 120, p=0.03), high risk (OR 14.4, 95% CI 1.64 to 126, p=0.02) and very high risk (OR 23.5, 95% CI 2.81 to 197, p=0.004) CRP levels were all more likely to record a low HDLC.

**Table 1** Clinical and demographic profile according to heart disease aetiology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic profile</th>
<th>All cases n=1199</th>
<th>Non-communicable n=678 (57%)</th>
<th>Communicable n=521 (43%)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>58.3±14.0</td>
<td>60.1±13.1</td>
<td>55.9±14.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>701 (59%)</td>
<td>403 (59%)</td>
<td>298 (57%)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6 years formal education</td>
<td>701 (59%)</td>
<td>403 (59%)</td>
<td>298 (57%)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soweto origin</td>
<td>582 (49%)</td>
<td>335 (49%)</td>
<td>247 (47%)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sotho</td>
<td>562 (47%)</td>
<td>327 (48%)</td>
<td>235 (45%)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Clinical presentation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total cholesterol (mmol/L)</th>
<th>4.0±1.4</th>
<th>4.3±1.3</th>
<th>3.7±1.2</th>
<th>&lt;0.001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HDLC (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.1±0.5</td>
<td>1.2±0.5</td>
<td>1.0±0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median triglycerides (mmol/L)*</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8, 1.5)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8, 1.6)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7, 1.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDLC (mmol/L)</td>
<td>2.4±1.0</td>
<td>2.5±1.0</td>
<td>2.2±0.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC : HDLC ratio</td>
<td>4.3±3.0</td>
<td>4.2±3.1</td>
<td>4.4±2.7</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL : HDLC ratio</td>
<td>2.5±1.1</td>
<td>2.5±1.0</td>
<td>2.7±1.1</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG : HDLC ratio*</td>
<td>1.1 (0.7, 1.7)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7, 1.7)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.7, 1.8)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median serum CRP (mg/L)*</td>
<td>19 (7.0, 45.0)</td>
<td>16.8 (6.6, 41.5)</td>
<td>20.5 (7.8, 55.9)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>135±29</td>
<td>143±29</td>
<td>126±26</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>78±16</td>
<td>80±16</td>
<td>74±16</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>29.0±6.7</td>
<td>30.3±6.7</td>
<td>27.2±6.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Prevalence of dyslipidaemia (n, %)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High total cholesterol (&gt;5 mmol/L)</th>
<th>378 (32%)</th>
<th>266 (39%)</th>
<th>112 (22%)</th>
<th>&lt;0.001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low HDLC (&lt;1 in men and &lt;1.2 mmol/L in women)</td>
<td>694 (58%)</td>
<td>344 (51%)</td>
<td>350 (67%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High LDLC (&gt;2.5 mmol/L)</td>
<td>446 (37%)</td>
<td>291 (43%)</td>
<td>155 (30%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High triglycerides (&gt;1.7 mmol/L)</td>
<td>215 (18%)</td>
<td>143 (21%)</td>
<td>72 (14%)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Prevalence of other risk factors (n, %)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obese (BMI &gt;30 kg/m²)</th>
<th>344 (40%)</th>
<th>237 (48%)</th>
<th>107 (30%)</th>
<th>&lt;0.001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>98 (8%)</td>
<td>71 (11%)</td>
<td>27 (5%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past or current smoker</td>
<td>566 (47%)</td>
<td>321 (47%)</td>
<td>245 (47%)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of CVD</td>
<td>466 (39%)</td>
<td>286 (42%)</td>
<td>180 (35%)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmed HIV-positive cases</td>
<td>76 (6%)</td>
<td>15 (2%)</td>
<td>61 (12%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Median (IQR) values presented, differences tested by Mann-Whitney U test. BMI, body mass index (available in 854 cases); BP, blood pressure; CRP, C reactive protein (available in 367 cases); CVD, cardiovascular disease; HDLC, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDLC, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglycerides.
of elevated TC, which has been suggested by authors of a worldwide systematic analysis on high TC.25

Our interest in this phenomenon predominantly relates to the longer-term effects of low HDLC, especially when observed together with the amplified vascular risk associated with chronic infection.15 In Africa, where acute coronary syndromes are seen in a relatively young population,26 we predict the very high rates of myriads of communicable diseases 27 28 will result in more complex cases, with potentially poorer outcomes in the long-term, given the critical role of HDLC in both innate and adaptive immunity.15 While many infectious diseases (bacterial and viral) have contributed to the underlying pathology of HD reported here,5 dyslipidaemia associated with HIV infection has been particularly well studied. HIV-related low HDLC is likely a consequence of both the viral infection and an adverse effect of some antiretroviral treatment regimens,29–31 however only 39 patients of the 76 (51%) confirmed HIV positive were on ART at the time of presentation, representing 3% of the entire subset sample, which possibly dilutes this effect.

Our CRP subset analysis found associations between low HDLC and the pro-inflammatory marker CRP in patients with newly diagnosed HD in a sex disparate manner, with a much stronger positive association in women. Median CRP levels were also very high across all categories of HD aetiology, and are much higher than previous reports in early analyses of large cohorts20 as well as South African studies,32 but reflect the clinical requirements at presentation. These high levels may also be the result of ‘multimorbidity’ observed in the cohort, given the prevalence of infectious disease (such as HIV/AIDS) as well as other lifestyle factors that can also influence CRP levels;33 all of which may have contributed to
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**Figure 1** Sex specific lipid profiles according to heart disease aetiology. Lipid values are shown as mean±SE. p Values indicate between-sex comparisons per aetiology group (t test). **p<0.01; *p<0.05. NCD, non-communicable heart disease; CD, communicable heart disease; TC, total cholesterol; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein. Y-axis dotted lines show thresholds for high TC and LDL or low HDL (sex specific values).
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**Figure 2** Prevalence of low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol according to heart disease aetiology. NCD, non-communicable heart disease; CD, communicable heart disease. High total cholesterol (TC) >4.5 mmol/L; Low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) (<1.0 mmol/L in men, <1.2 mmol/L in women). High low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL) >2.5 mmol/L; High triglycerides (TGs) >1.7 mmol/L.

TABLE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Communicable disease</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.72 to 1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.97 to 0.99**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.37 to 0.68***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6 years formal education</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.88 to 1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soweto origin</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.77 to 1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Body mass index adjusted analysis

| High TC              | 0.52 | 0.37 to 0.71*** |
| High LDLC            | 0.56 | 0.41 to 0.76*** |
| Low HDLC             | 1.91 | 1.42 to 2.57*** |
| High TG              | 0.65 | 0.51 to 0.84* |

Obesity BMI >30 kg/m²; High total cholesterol (TC) >4.5 mmol/L; High low-density lipoprotein (LDL) >2.5 mmol/L; Low high-density lipoprotein (HDL) (<1.0 mmol/L in men, <1.2 mmol/L in women). Age and sex-adjusted analysis: *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.
The high levels observed. Inflammatory stress may be having a more adverse effect on HDLC in women compared to men as a result of many causes. The prognostic value of stratifying CVD risk, even at very high CRP (>10 mg/L) levels, has been demonstrated in a very large cohort of women, and there are reports of elevated CRP in women of African descent. We also report women as having a significantly higher BMI; obesity itself can induce a low-grade inflammatory response, however the association between low HDLC and CRP in women remained even after adjusting for BMI. While we have assumed that the exaggerated drop in HDLC in women with acute forms of CD is a consequence rather than a cause of infection, treatment of atherogenic dyslipidaemia and inflammatory markers in women are of particular clinical relevance in a setting where obesity and its antecedent behaviours are increasing.

These results underscore the need to consider multifactorial CVD risk burden that recognises that co-occurrence of infectious and non-communicable disease produces significant and complex health disparities. Certainly, the clinical strategies to protect the heart and vessels in acute infection differ from those required in chronic infection and it is unlikely that lipid measurements will form a cornerstone of treatment in such cases. However, it is important to recognise the benefits of early detection and treatment of dyslipidaemia in order to mitigate any double effect of infectious and ‘lifestyle’ HD risk factors in the longer term. While the epidemiological evidence is clear, the precise mechanism by which HDLC decreases atherosclerotic CVD risk remains unclear; indeed, efforts to develop pharmacological modalities to specifically increase HDLC levels to reduce cardiovascular risk, continues to be problematic and we acknowledge that addressing the low HDLC observed in this cohort, in isolation, without commensurate improvements in HDLC functionality, will prove a difficult task. This does not, however, preclude the use of other therapeutic interventions that address the greater, more complex risk presentation of cases that fall in the ‘crossover’ between communicable and non-communicable diseases. For example, the polypill, which includes lipid-lowering medications, has been proposed as a viable treatment option in secondary prevention, given its relative ease of use and efficacy in low-income settings. More so, evidence that statins also exert immunomodulatory effects, along with suggestions they may prove useful in the treatment and prevention of infections, indicate they may have important, multifaceted clinical implications in populations such as Soweto, especially given the substantial dyslipidaemic risk associated with highly prevalent HIV infection and ART. Attempts to address prevention, management, cure and control of non-communicable and communicable forms of HD as entirely separate entities are likely to prove insufficient. This holds true on a per-patient basis as well as for any population-wide, public health approach.

There are a number of limitations that require consideration. Clinical data (other than routine echocardiography and 12-lead ECG) were obtained according to presentation. This study was not specifically designed to comprehensively delineate between specific forms of HD (resulting in variable clinical data) although this is part of clinical investigation at Baragwanath Hospital; although it should be noted HIV status is not routinely determined. The arbitrary selection of disease states into the communicable versus non-communicable groups (eg, primary valve disease) may be questioned; hence our further delineation of clearly identifiable cases of acute inflammation/infection at the point of admission. However, we would emphasise that classification was prospectively applied, the groupings are consistent with our previous reports that describe in detail the rigorous clinical criteria employed in profiling the ‘Heart of Soweto’ cohort, and expected gradients in lipid levels were subsequently found. Systematic bias needs to be carefully considered before attributing broad patterns in lipid profiles, as those with suspected atherosclerotic disease were more likely to have had lipid levels measured, reflecting the low number of those presenting an acute infectious form of HD (eg, patients with pericarditis). Adiposity, a major confounder of dyslipidaemia and HD, was recorded in 71% of the cohort. However, its inclusion in the regression analyses did not alter the significance of the associations. Central obesity measurements (eg, waist-to-hip ratio) may have offered greater delineation of CVD risk but data were not available. CRP was measured in just under one third of cases and related data require careful interpretation. Finally, owing to the cross-sectional design of this study we were not able to investigate the possible effect of the magnitude and timing of the contributing infection on lipid levels, beyond the data collected at admission. Given the transient, dynamic processes of lipid metabolism over the course of acute and chronic diseases, only longitudinal studies of lipid levels and subsequent outcomes can fully elucidate the clinical importance of our findings.

Figure 3  Risk of low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol according to C reactive protein risk group, relative to low-risk C reactive protein group (n=367). Age-adjusted analysis. CRP=C reactive protein. **p<0.01; *p<0.05 relative to low CRP group. For CIs, please refer to the Results section.
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CONCLUSIONS
We have shown that despite largely favourable lipid profiles, there are clear differences according to underlying aetiology of HD in urban Africans however, overall low HDLC was the most prevalent metabolic abnormality observed in this cohort. Younger Africans with CD have particularly low levels of HDLC that, if maintained in the longer term, may leave them at increased risk of atherosclerotic disease. This is physiologically plausible in chronic infection; however low HDL at hospital admission could also simply reflect similarly low levels of TC/LDL and may not be indicative of increased long-term CVD risk. That uncertainty can only be resolved by well-powered studies with adequate follow-up, to provide sufficient evidence to address current gaps in evidence and, ultimately, guide clinical practice. Nevertheless, if proven, targeted prevention programmes that identify and actively manage individuals with a history of CD (particularly an active case) and with low levels of HDLC may be indicated. The alternative is an increasing burden of non-communicable forms of HD in urban African communities that is supplemented (in origin and confluence) by historical cases of communicable disease that have adversely affected protective HDLC levels (particularly in women).
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