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ABSTRACT

Introduction: To assess the efficacy of herbal medicines as a treatment of cancer cachexia.

Methods and analysis: We will search the following 13 electronic databases from their inception. MEDLINE (PubMed), the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), EMBASE, Allied and Complementary Medicine Database (AMED), China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Wanfang, Journal Integration Platform (VIP) and six Korean Medical Databases (KoreaMed, the Korean Traditional knowledge Portal, OASIS, DBPIA, the Research Information Service System and the Korean Studies Information Service System) without restrictions on time or language. The data will be extracted independently by two authors using predefined criteria. Disagreements will be resolved by discussion between the authors. The risk of bias will be assessed using the Cochrane risk of bias tool.

Dissemination: The review will be published in a journal. The review will also be disseminated electronically and in print. An update of the review will be conducted to inform and guide healthcare practice and policy.

Trial registration number: PROSPERO 2013: CRD42013006612.

INTRODUCTION

Description of the condition

Cancer cachexia is a common syndrome among patients with cancer, especially advanced cancer. More than 70–80% of patients with advanced cancer suffer from cachexia.1

Generally, cachexia is characterised by loss of weight, muscle atrophy, anorexia and fatigue. The definition of cancer cachexia differs slightly according to the research study2–5 but the recent international consensus has defined cancer cachexia as a multifactorial syndrome characterised by ongoing loss of skeletal muscle mass (with or without loss of fat mass) that cannot be fully reversed by conventional nutritional support and leads to progressive functional impairment.6 The pathophysiology of cancer cachexia is a negative energy balance caused by a variable combination of reduced food intake and abnormal metabolism.1,6–8 The metabolic mechanisms of adipose mass reduction, loss of skeletal muscle and protein degradation are known to be mediated by proinflammatory cytokines, neuropeptides, hormones, catabolic factors and digestive factors.1,6–8

Cancer cachexia causes numerous clinical problems. It reduces activity or quality of life9 and restricts conventional therapy such as chemotherapy.10 Above all, cancer cachexia is associated with a poor survival rate.11,12 In various cancer types, the survival of patients with cachexia is shorter than that of other patients.1,13

Description of the intervention

There are several agents for managing cancer cachexia. Megestrol acetate, cannabinoids, corticosteroids and ghrelin are known to affect appetite. Eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), β-hydroxy-β-methylbutyrate (HMB), thalidomide, corticosteroids and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are known to affect cachectic mediators or signal pathways.1,6–13 Some drugs, such as megestrol acetate and corticosteroids, exhibit confirmed effectiveness in combating cancer cachexia by randomised controlled trials and systematic reviews.1 However, until now, there is no identified standard treatment for cancer cachexia.

Herbal medicines have been used widely to treat diverse diseases for thousands of years. Herbal medicines have been
developed on the basis of unique theories, especially in East Asia: Yin and Yang, the five elements and visceral manifestation theory. The major principle of treating diseases is reinforcing the healthy qi and eliminating the pathogenic factors. Many herbal medicines are prescribed according to this principle.

Recently, herbal medicines have been used to alleviate the adverse effects of conventional therapies or to improve the quality of life. A survey demonstrated that 75% of colorectal patients with cancer used at least one type of complementary alternative medicine (CAM) during their lifetime. Another survey reported that 39% of patients with breast cancer used herbs or medical herbal teas.

How the intervention might work
Some herbs, such as ginseng radix, astragali radix or some herbal prescriptions, are known to increase appetite, facilitate physical function and boost immune function. Other herbs, such as coptidis rhizoma, exhibit antiinflammatory functions. Many herbs are associated with anticancer properties including cancer cell—cytotoxicity, cell-apoptosis and invasion and metastasis prevention.

Many practitioners and researchers have attempted to explore herbal medicines for the treatment of cancer cachexia. The oral administration of Rikkunshito is known to stimulate ghrelin secretion. One research study reported that coptidis rhizoma might exhibit an anticachectic effect and that berberine, the major component, might prevent cancer-induced cachexia.

Why it is important to do this review
To the best of our knowledge, no systematic reviews assessing herbal medicines in cancer cachexia have been conducted. There is no identified standard treatment for cancer cachexia, and a comprehensive evaluation of the efficacy and the safety of herbal medicines will inform the recommendation for treatment of cancer cachexia.

OBJECTIVES
To assess the efficacy of herbal medicines for cancer cachexia.

METHODS
Criteria for considering studies for this review
Types of studies
Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and quasi-randomised trials (quasi-RCTs) will be included in this systematic review without restrictions on time or language.

Types of participants
Participants will include patients with cancer diagnosed by histological or clinical diagnosis who meet the international consensus of cancer cachexia. The diagnostic criteria for cancer cachexia are as follows:

1. Weight loss >5% over the past 6 months (in the absence of simple starvation); or
2. Body mass index (BMI) <20 and any degree of weight loss >2%; or
3. Appendicular skeletal muscle index consistent with sarcopenia (males <7.26 kg/m; females <5.45 kg/m) and any degree of weight loss >2%.

Types of interventions
All types of herbal medicines will be included. There is no limitation on the number of herbs, administration methods, dosage or duration of treatment. The comparisons will be either with other therapeutic agents such as megestrol acetate or corticosteroids or with no other treatment.

Types of outcome measures
Primary outcomes
1. Weight gain
2. Body composition

Secondary outcomes
1. Improvement in quality of life by means of a validated instrument
2. Increase of appetite
3. Reduction in fatigue
4. Serum levels of inflammatory markers, including erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C reactive protein as well as tumour necrosis factor-α, Interleukin 1 (IL-1), Interleukin 6 and interferon-γ
5. Survival rate
6. Adverse effects

Search methods for the identification of studies
Electronic searches
We will search the following electronic databases regardless of publication date or language:

- MEDLINE (PubMed)
- The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)
- EMBASE
- Allied and Complementary Medicine Database (AMED)
- China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI)
- Wanfang Database
- VIP (Journal Integration Platform)
- Six Korean Medical Databases (KoreaMed, the Korean Traditional Knowledge Portal, OASIS, DBPIA, the Research Information Service System and the Korean Studies Information Service System).

Other sources
We will scan the reference lists of reviews and retrieve articles for additional studies. In addition, we will search the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP) (http://apps.who.int/trialsearch/) and Google scholar (http://scholar.google.co.kr/).
Search strategy
We will model participant strategies for databases on the search strategy designed for MEDLINE (PubMed; see online supplementary appendix 1) and CNKI (see online supplementary appendix 2) and modify it for use in the other databases.

Data collection and analysis
Selection of studies
Two review authors (BP and JHJ) will independently assess the titles and abstracts of articles searched by electronic databases and determine their eligibility for inclusion. Hard copies of the relevant articles will be retrieved. Disagreements will be resolved by discussion, if necessary, by the arbiter (MSL).

Data extraction and management
Two review authors (BP and JHJ) will read all the articles and independently extract the data using a standard data extraction form. The form includes methodology, participants, interventions, duration of treatment, outcomes and conclusions. Consensus will be reached by discussion in the case of discrepancy. When disagreements are not resolved by discussion, they will be arbitrated by another author (MSL).

Assessment of the risk of bias in the included studies
Three authors (BP, SY and JJ) will assess the risk of bias using the Cochrane tool of risk of bias (V.5.1.0)\textsuperscript{29}. The following items will be assessed: random sequence generation (selection bias), allocation concealment (selection bias), blinding (performance bias and detection bias), incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), selective outcome reporting (reporting bias) and other bias. The evaluated domains will be assessed as Yes, No or Unclear according to the criteria. We will resolve any disagreements by discussion or arbitration (MSL).

Measurement of the treatment effect
We will use the mean difference (MD) with 95% CIs for continuous outcomes or risk ratios (RR) or odds ratios (OR) with 95% CI for binary outcomes. If the event rate is lower than 1%, Peto OR with 95% CI will be used. When the event rate is lower than 20%, OR with 95% CI will be used. If the event rate is more than 20%, RR with 95% CI will be used. In the case of use of different measurement scales, standardised mean difference (SMD) analysis with 95% CI will be performed.

Units of analysis issues
We will include data from parallel-group studies for the meta-analysis. If we include cross-over trials, only the first treatment period data will be analysed. When the trial has more than one control group, the unit of analysis will be applied to each group.

Dealing with missing data
We will try to contact the corresponding authors by e-mail if there are any missing or insufficient data from the trial as much as possible. The intent-to-treat (ITT) principle will be applied for statistical analysis. The individual patient data will be sought from the original source or from the published trial reports when the individual patient data are unavailable.

Assessment of heterogeneity
We will use the random effects model for the meta-analysis. Heterogeneity will be assessed by inspecting the forest plots. In addition, heterogeneity will be tested by the I\textsuperscript{2} test for quantifying inconsistencies among the included studies. A result higher than 50% would represent substantial heterogeneity. If heterogeneity exits, we will conduct a subgroup analysis to examine the possible cause.\textsuperscript{30}

Assessment of reporting biases
If more than 10 trials are included in the meta-analysis, we will conduct funnel plots to assess the potential for publication bias and small study effects.\textsuperscript{31} Asymmetry in funnel plots implied possible small study effects, such as publication bias. We will include all eligible trials, regardless of their methodological quality.

Data synthesis
If there are sufficient studies and comparable outcomes, we will perform a meta-analysis using random effect modelling.
1. Herbal medicine versus conventional medical treatments
2. Herbal medicine versus no treatment
3. Herbal medicine versus placebo
4. Herbal medicine plus conventional medical treatment versus conventional medical treatment only

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity
If there are sufficient data, subgroup analyses will be conducted to explore the differences in the effect sizes, type of cancer, stage of cachexia\textsuperscript{4} and types of herbal medicine.

Sensitivity analysis
For sensitivity analysis, the meta-analysis will be repeated, substituting decisions alternatively to test the robustness of the primary decisions of the review process. The principal decision nodes are as follows:
1. Methodological quality (sequence generation, allocation concealment or blinding in the assessment of outcomes and symptom severity);
2. Sample size (small sample size studies, eg, over 30 in each group).
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ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

Ethical approval is not required, given that this protocol is for a systematic review. The review will be disseminated widely through peer-reviewed publications and conference presentations.

DISCUSSION

This is the protocol for a review and there is no primary data collection. The systematic review will be published in a peer-reviewed journal and disseminated electronically or in print. Updates of the review will be conducted to inform and guide healthcare practice and policy.
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Appendix 1. MEDLINE (Pubmed) search strategy

#1. exp Neoplasms/

#2. (cancer or oncolog* or neoplasm* or malignan* or tumor or tumour or carcinoma* or adenocarcinoma* or osteosarcoma* or sarcoma* or leukemi* or lymphoma* or teratoma* or metastat*).mp.

#3. #1 or #2

#4. exp Weight loss/

#5. exp Malnutrition/

#6. (cachexia* or cachectic* or weight loss or loss of weight or underweight or malnutrition or wasting syndrome or anorexia* or muscle atrophy or sarcopenia).mp.

#7. or/#4-#6

#8. exp Medicine, East Asian Traditional/

#9. exp Drugs, Chinese herbal/

#10. exp Herbal Medicine/

#11. exp Plants, Medicinal/

#12. (traditional Korean medicine or traditional Chinese medicine or Traditional oriental medicine or Kampo medicine or alternative medicine or complementary medicine or herb or herbal or herbs or decoction* or botanic*).mp.

#13. or/#8-#12

#14. exp Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic/

#15. exp Clinical Trials as Topic/

#16. exp controlled clinical trials as topic/

#17. (randomized controlled trial* or controlled clinical trial* or randomized* or randomly* or placebo or clinical trial* or controlled trial*).mp.
Appendix 2. CNKI search strategy

(恶病质 or 恶液质 or 癌症恶病质 or 癌恶病质 or 肿瘤恶病质 or 癌性恶病质) and (中医药 or 中医 or 中西医结合 or 汉方 or 汉方医学 or 东洋医学 or 中药 or 中草药 or 中药制剂 or 汤 or 丸 or 散 or 注射液 or 口服液 or 中成药 or 饮) and (随机 or 对照)