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ABSTRACT

Objective: Sociodemographic changes in Norway and other western industrialised countries, including family structure and an increasing proportion of cohabiting and divorced parents, might affect the prevalence of childhood overweight and obesity issues. We aimed to examine whether parental marital status was associated with general and abdominal obesity among children. We also sought to explore whether the associations differed by gender.

Design: Cross-sectional.

Setting: 127 primary schools across Norway.

Participant: 3166 third graders (mean age 8.3 years) participating in the nationally representative Norwegian Child Growth Study in 2010.

Measurements: Height, weight and waist circumference were objectively measured. The main outcome measures were general overweight (including obesity; body mass index ≥25 kg/m²) using International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) cut-offs and abdominal obesity (waist-to-height ratio ≥0.5) by gender and parental marital status. Prevalence ratios, adjusted for possible confounders, were calculated by log-binomial regression.

Results: General overweight (including obesity) was 1.54 (95% CI 1.21 to 1.95) times more prevalent among children of divorced parents compared with children of married parents, and the corresponding prevalence ratio for abdominal obesity was 1.89 (95% CI 1.35 to 2.65). Formal tests of the interaction term parental marital status by gender were not statistically significant. However, in gender-specific analyses the association between parental marital status and adiposity measures was only statistically significant in boys (p=0.04 for general overweight (including obesity) and p=0.01 for abdominal obesity). The estimates were robust against adjustment for maternal education, family country background and current area of residence.

Conclusions: General and abdominal obesity were more prevalent among children of divorced parents. This study provides valuable information by focusing on societal changes in order to identify vulnerable groups.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study is representative of the Norwegian population of 8-year-old children.
- Anthropometric data were objectively measured; additionally accompanied by register-based data of parental marital status, maternal education and family country background.
- Data on parental marital status were a “snapshot” of current status with no further information of how long the parents had been married, cohabiting or divorced.
- There were no data on physical activity or diet, which could have contributed to further elucidate the differences.

INTRODUCTION

Childhood obesity has major public health implications. The factors accounting for the burden of overweight and obesity are not yet fully understood. Family structure has undergone major changes over the past few decades. The number of divorces increased between 1975 and 2005 and has then remained at a high level in Norway. About 25% of children live either the entirety or some part of their childhood with only one of their biological parents or grow up living in two different homes. Marital conflict and dissolution impact on the well-being of children and may have implications for the future health status of children. Differences in sedentary behaviour and diet habits between children from single-parent and dual-parent households have been reported. Recent studies have reported an association between family structure and childhood overweight and obesity, suggesting that living with either only one parent or divorced parents increases the risk of childhood overweight and obesity.
The fact that in recent decades there have been large sociodemographic changes in Norway and in Western countries generally, with an increasing proportion of cohabiting and divorced parents, makes it important to examine the impact these changes have had on childhood overweight and obesity patterns. An additional concern is that over the past few decades waist circumference (WC) has exceeded trends in body mass index (BMI) in child and adult populations. This is important because a more central distribution of fat, measured as WC, is associated with metabolic complications. The current study supplements this literature providing insight into the association between family structure and the prevalence of both general and abdominal obesity.

Using data from a nationally representative study, our primary objective was to examine the association between parental marital status and general overweight and obesity in addition to abdominal obesity among Norwegian third graders (aged 8–9 years). In addition, we explored whether there were gender differences within these associations, and whether the main associations were independent of maternal education, family country background and area of residence.

**METHODS**

Cross-sectional data from the Norwegian Child Growth Study (NCG) were used. NCG followed the protocol of the WHO Childhood Obesity Surveillance Initiative (COSI), which has previously been described in detail.

**Subjects**

A nationally representative sample of 3166 third graders (1537 girls and 1629 boys) participated in the 2010 NCG; mean age 8.3 (SD 0.3) years. To ensure a national representative sample, a stratified two-stage sampling design was used. The attendance rate was 89% of all invited children. Data on parental marital status were available for 3137 of the children (99%), while additional data on maternal education were available for 2968 of the children (94%).

**Data collection**

Measurements were performed by trained school nurses at participating schools during October 2010. Each of the scales and stadiometers used in this study were already present at each school, that is, brand and type model probably differed from one school to another. One SECA measuring tape (SECA GmbH Hamburg, Germany) was distributed to each participating school. All school nurses were trained in anthropometric measures according to standardised procedures, which were explained and illustrated in a booklet specially developed for the NCG. Correction values were collected for each instrument involved in the survey and the measures of each child were corrected.

**Anthropometric measurements**

Body weight and height were measured with the children wearing light indoor clothing and without shoes, and were recorded to the nearest 0.1 kg and 0.1 cm, respectively. Measures were corrected if the child wore clothing heavier than light indoor clothing: plus 100 g for some additional light clothing or plus 500 g for heavier clothing. BMI was calculated as weight/height$^2$ (kg/m$^2$) and children were classified as overweight (including obesity) based on age-specific and gender-specific cut-off values for BMI for children as developed by the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) and the WHO definitions for children aged 5–19. WC was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm with arms hanging relaxed along the body with a measuring tape midway between the lower rib margin and the iliac crest. Waist-to-height ratio (WHtR) was calculated as WC/height (cm/cm). At data entry, height, weight and WC were entered twice, with any punching errors corrected.

**Outcome variables**

The continuous outcome variables included weight, height, WC, BMI and WHtR. The main outcomes were the categorical variables overweight (including obesity; BMI$\geq$25 kg/m$^2$) referred to as general overweight and obesity and WHtR $\geq$0.5 (WHtR$\geq$0.5) referred to as abdominal obesity. Adiposity is used occasionally and refers to general overweight and obesity, and abdominal obesity.

**Explanatory variables**

Data on parental marital status were obtained from the National Population Registry and compiled by Statistics Norway. Data were linked using the unique 11-digit personal identification code assigned to all Norwegian residents. Parental marital status was categorised into three groups: married, never married (including cohabiting, single and separated parents) and divorced. Data on highest attained maternal education were obtained from the National Education Database and categorised according to the Norwegian Standard Classification of Education (NUS2000) into three levels: tertiary, secondary and primary.

Family country background was classified into three groups: Norwegian/Scandinavian, non-Western and Western (other than Norwegian/Scandinavian). Area of residence was classified as: urban, semiurban and rural.

**Statistical analyses**

Mean and SD for the continuous variables were reported for all children, and gender stratified. Crude prevalence of general overweight and obesity, and abdominal obesity were calculated with 95% CI. Comparisons of difference in anthropometric characteristics between subgroups were performed by F test for continuous variables and Pearson $\chi^2$ test for categorical variables. As a recommended alternative for logistic regression in cross-sectional studies, we used generalised linear models (log-binomial regression) with a
logarithmic link function to calculate prevalence ratio (PR) and with an identity link function to calculate prevalence differences. It is especially when the outcome is common (>10%) that OR overestimates the PR. The effect of parental marital status on adiposity in boys and girls was tested in the regression models by the inclusion of the interaction terms parental marital status by gender. Statistical analyses were performed using STATA V.12 and with survey-prefix command (svy) to take into account the complex two-stage sampling procedure. A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Consent forms and detailed information about the study were sent to parents/guardians beforehand. Written informed consent was obtained from a parent/legal guardian via the school nurse prior to the study.

RESULTS
As previously reported, the prevalence of general overweight (including obesity) according to IOTF definitions was 19% and according to WHO definitions the prevalence was 28.6%, while 8.9% had abdominal obesity. Overall, general overweight (including obesity) was significantly more prevalent among girls compared with boys (p value for difference=0.03), whereas there was no gender difference for abdominal obesity (p value=0.82).19

In gender collapsed analyses all the mean values of the anthropometric measures were significantly higher for children of divorced parents compared to children of married parents, except for height (table 1). In gender-specific analyses, however, these differences were generally larger for boys than girls, and reached statistical significance only among boys; weight (p=0.04) and WC (p=0.03). The same pattern was found in terms of the categorical variables; in gender-specific analyses the difference between children of married and divorced parents was only significantly different among boys (table 2).

Children of divorced parents had a 54% higher prevalence (95% CI 21% to 95%) of general overweight (including obesity) and 89% higher prevalence (95% CI 35% to 165%) of abdominal obesity compared to children of married parents (table 2), whereas children of never-married parents had a similar prevalence to children of married parents. Adjustment for maternal education and gender only slightly attenuated the associations, which indicate that maternal education and gender did not explain the association between parental marital status and childhood overweight and obesity. Similarly, the estimates were essentially unchanged after controlling for sociodemographic factors such as family’s country background and their area of residence (data not shown). The crude anthropometric measures by parental marital status were essentially equal in the full sample (N=3137) and in the reduced sample with non-missing maternal education (N=2968), indicating that the reduced sample is representative of the full sample.

![Table 1 Anthropometric characteristics by parental marital status, presented as mean and SD, for all children and boys and girls separately](http://bmjopen.bmj.com/)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Married Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Never-married Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Divorced Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>All children</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=2004</td>
<td>n=903</td>
<td></td>
<td>n=230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>131.8 (6.0)</td>
<td>131.7 (5.6)</td>
<td>132.5 (6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>29.4 (5.7)</td>
<td>29.4 (5.2)</td>
<td>30.8 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>16.8 (2.4)</td>
<td>16.9 (2.2)</td>
<td>17.4 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist (cm)</td>
<td>58.3 (6.1)</td>
<td>58.4 (5.7)</td>
<td>60.3 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHtR</td>
<td>0.44 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Boys</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=1017</td>
<td>n=470</td>
<td></td>
<td>n=121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>132.4 (5.9)</td>
<td>131.9 (5.6)</td>
<td>133.8 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>29.6 (5.8)</td>
<td>29.2 (5.1)</td>
<td>31.7 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>16.8 (2.5)</td>
<td>16.7 (2.2)</td>
<td>17.6 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist (cm)</td>
<td>58.8 (6.2)</td>
<td>58.4 (5.5)</td>
<td>61.4 (8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHtR</td>
<td>0.44 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Girls</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=987</td>
<td>n=433</td>
<td></td>
<td>n=109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>131.1 (6.0)</td>
<td>131.4 (5.5)</td>
<td>131.1 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>29.1 (5.6)</td>
<td>29.5 (5.3)</td>
<td>29.9 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>16.8 (2.3)</td>
<td>17.0 (2.2)</td>
<td>17.3 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist (cm)</td>
<td>57.7 (5.9)</td>
<td>58.5 (5.8)</td>
<td>59.2 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHtR</td>
<td>0.44 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.45 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p Value for differences between married and never married.
†p Value for differences between married and divorced.
BMI, body mass index; WHtR, waist-to-height ratio.
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Gender stratified analyses, adjusting for maternal education, showed that boys with divorced parents had a 63% higher prevalence (95% CI 11% to 139%) of general overweight (including obesity) compared to boys of married parents (table 2), with the absolute difference being 9.9 percentage points. Correspondingly, the prevalence of abdominal obesity was 104% higher (95% CI 23% to 237%) among boys with divorced parents compared to boys of married parents (table 2), and the absolute difference was 7.4 percentage points. The same pattern was seen among girls, but the associations were less pronounced and not statistically significant. The differences between marital status categories and gender are illustrated in figures 1 and 2, suggesting that boys of divorced parents were particularly prone to abdominal obesity.

Gender stratified analyses, adjusting for maternal education, showed that boys with divorced parents had a 63% higher prevalence (95% CI 11% to 139%) of general overweight (including obesity) compared to boys of married parents (table 2), with the absolute difference being 9.9 percentage points. Correspondingly, the prevalence of abdominal obesity was 104% higher (95% CI 23% to 237%) among boys with divorced parents compared to boys of married parents (table 2), and the absolute difference was 7.4 percentage points. The same pattern was seen among girls, but the associations were less pronounced and not statistically significant. The differences between marital status categories and gender are illustrated in figures 1 and 2, suggesting that boys of divorced parents were particularly prone to abdominal obesity.
DISCUSSION
In this nationally representative study we found that general overweight and obesity, and abdominal obesity were more prevalent among children of divorced parents compared with children of married parents. Our findings were robust to adjustments for maternal education, family country background and current area of residence. Although formal tests of the interaction terms parental marital status by gender were not statistically significant, gender stratified analyses showed that the prevalence of general and abdominal obesity was significantly higher only among boys of divorced parents, compared to boys with married parents.

Strengths and limitations of this study
The study has several limitations which ought to be considered when interpreting its findings. First, data on parental marital status were limited to a ‘snapshot’ of

Figure 1  Crude prevalence ratio (PR) of general overweight and obesity by parental marital status separately for boys and girls, where boys with married parents are the reference category, presented with 95% CI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boys of married parents</td>
<td>REFERENCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls of married parents</td>
<td>1.25 (0.92 – 1.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys of never married parents</td>
<td>0.90 (0.66 – 1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls of never married parents</td>
<td>1.42 (1.09 – 1.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys of divorced parents</td>
<td>1.69 (1.18 – 2.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls of divorced parents</td>
<td>1.75 (1.15 – 2.67)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2  Crude prevalence ratio (PR) of abdominal obesity by parental marital status separately for boys and girls, where boys with married parents are the reference category, presented with 95% CI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boys of married parents</td>
<td>REFERENCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls of married parents</td>
<td>0.99 (0.55 – 1.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys of never married parents</td>
<td>0.79 (0.54 – 1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls of never married parents</td>
<td>1.15 (0.68 – 1.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys of divorced parents</td>
<td>2.24 (1.41 – 3.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls of divorced parents</td>
<td>1.51 (0.75 – 3.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
current status. For example, we had no information as to how long parents had been divorced. Further, the never-married category was heterogeneous and contained a diversity of family constellations, such as intact cohabiting relationships and dissolved relationships. More detailed information would have been beneficial to the study. Second, an obvious limitation is that our cross-sectional design provided no basis for studying causality; whether the development of overweight and obesity was initiated before the divorce or whether the impact on the children’s weight status was primarily attributed to marital conflict or the divorce. Third, one cannot exclude the possibility that a higher proportion of overweight children were absent from school on the day measurements were taken and were therefore over-represented among non-participants, which in turn could imply that children of divorced parents were under-represented in NCG, as previously stated.25 If so, the associations shown in this study could be underestimated, but, given that the children were recruited into the NCG by the school health service, selection bias is most likely not a big issue in our study. Finally, the explanatory variables are few in the current study, with no information on, for example, physical activity level or dietary behaviour among the children, meaning that we cannot further explore our findings. On the other hand, high attendance rate was given high priority in NCG. In order to avoid non-participation parents were thus not requested to fill in time-consuming questionnaires. Few explanatory variables could therefore be considered an advantage for the current study. Another obvious strength is that, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study with objectively measured and systematically collected anthropometric data of a nationally representative sample, and is accompanied by register-based data on parental marital status, parents’ level of education, area of residence and country background for each child. Moreover, the NCG has a high attendance rate (89%).

Our finding that parental divorce is associated with childhood overweight and obesity is consistent with previous studies.7-10 Few other studies have studied gender differences, but one Australian study found an opposite gender pattern, though the gender-specific associations were not statistically significant.7 10 A Norwegian study concluded that single-parent families were not significantly associated with overweight and obesity among children aged 2–19 years.26 The divergent findings most probably reflect a lack of agreement in terms of categorisation. The dichotomisation of marital status does not tell whether a single-parent family is the result of divorce, separation or death or indeed whether a two-parent family is cohabiting or married. Accordingly, it does not form a solid basis for examining whether changing family structures or ‘divorce stress’ during childhood may affect weight status among children. Other studies have also contained methodological limitations and were either based on small samples, self-reported data and/or marital status was reported at birth.27-30 Likewise, a review considering risk factors for childhood overweight and obesity found conflicting evidence for maternal marital status.31 Only three studies were included, all of which measured marital status at birth.

Further, we found that children of never-married parents shared similar adiposity traits with children of married parents. The similarity most likely reflects the heterogeneity of the never-married category, as mentioned in the limitation section above. This category could still be interesting to investigate further; a four times higher risk of dissolution of relationship has been shown for cohabiting couples as opposed to married couples,32 and the proportion of cohabitations compared to marriages has increased steadily since 1980.6

The excess risk of adiposity among those with divorced parents remained after adjusting for maternal education, despite the fact that maternal education is the strongest single-socioeconomic predictor of childhood obesity,33 and divorced parents are more likely to have lower educational level, as reported by a Norwegian study.34 One can speculate as to whether the changing structure of daily life has a large effect on the children of divorced parents (living with only one parent or spending half their time with the mother and/or the father). The loss of various resources, like the absence of one of the parents or the loss of a parental figure, usually the father, can explain the negative implications of divorce.6 35 36 A consequence might be less time for domestic tasks such as cooking and reliance on more convenient, ready-to-eat foods. As processed foods tend to be higher in fat and calories and lower in nutritional value37 the result is an altered, less healthy diet. The household income and support from any non-custodial parent or the welfare state is often lower than in corresponding non-disrupted families.37 Consequently, fewer economic resources may be available for divorced parents, which might lead to cheaper and less healthy choices. Other mechanisms affecting children’s weight status through divorce (or dissolved relationship) could be related to emotional stress. Disruption in the parent–child relationship, continuing conflict between former spouses or other negative events like moving and the need to establish new networks could induce emotional stress.35-37 It has been shown that adolescents with substantial distress symptoms doubled among those with divorced parents.38 Such emotional stress may impact on eating behaviour and physical activity level and thus explain the development and maintenance of childhood overweight and obesity.7 38 39

The higher prevalence of overweight and obesity among children of divorced parents may also be due to selection. Health, socioeconomic resources, psychological characteristics, values and preferences affect the chance of marrying and remaining married, and has previously been found to account for some of the differences between children of divorced and married parents.35 40
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Divorce may be linked to higher risk of overweight/obesity among kids involved

*Boys may be especially prone to excess weight gain*

[Parental marital status and childhood overweight and obesity in Norway: a nationally representative cross-sectional study doi 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-004502]

Divorce may be linked to a higher risk of overweight and obesity among children affected by the marital split, suggests research published in the online journal BMJ Open.

Boys may be especially prone to excess weight gain, the findings indicate.

The researchers base their findings on a nationally representative sample of more than 3000 pupils attending 127 schools across Norway. All the children were part of the national 2010 Norwegian Child Growth Study.

School nurses measured the height, weight, and waist circumference of the children whose average age was 8, to gauge general overweight, as defined by the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF), and (abdominal) obesity, as defined by a waist to height ratio of 0.5 or more.

The results were stratified by gender and parents’ marital status - married, never married, to include co-habiting, single and separated, and divorced - taking account of influential factors, such as the mother’s educational attainment, ethnic origin and area of residence.

Around one in five (19%) of the children was overweight or obese according to the IOTF definition, while just under one in 10 (8.9%) was (abdominally) obese.

Overall, significantly more of the 1537 girls were overweight or obese than the 1629 boys, but there were no differences in the prevalence of (abdominal) obesity.

More of the children whose parents were categorised as divorced were overweight or obese than those whose parents remained married.

They were 54% more likely to be overweight/obese and 89% more likely to be (abdominally) obese. Children whose parents had never married had a similar prevalence of overweight and obesity to those with married parents.

The findings held true even after taking account of other possible explanatory factors.

But these differences were generally larger for boys whose parents were divorced. They were 63% more likely to be generally overweight/obese than boys whose parents were married. And they were 104% more likely to be abdominally obese.

The absolute differences were 9.9 and 7.4 percentage points, respectively.

The same pattern was seen among girls, but the associations were less marked and, unlike the boys, not statistically significant.

The authors caution that the design of their study does not provide a basis for establishing cause and effect. Furthermore, they were unable to glean how long parents had been divorced, nor were they able to include lifestyle factors such as the children’s normal diet and exercise regime.

But the associations they found are consistent with findings from other studies they say.

Possible explanations for the link could include less time spent on domestic tasks such as cooking; an over-reliance on unhealthier convenience foods and ready meals; and lower household income.
The emotional fall-out of a divorce and resulting stress generated by disruptions in the parent-child relationship, ongoing conflict between the exes, moving home and the need to create new social networks, might also explain the findings, the authors suggest. And boys might just be more vulnerable, they say.