



A longitudinal general-population based study of job strain and risk for coronary heart disease and stroke in Swedish men.

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2013-004355
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	29-Oct-2013
Complete List of Authors:	Torén, Kjell; Medicine, Schiöler, Linus; Medicine, Occupational and environmental medicine Kok, Wai; Medicine, Novak, Masuma; Medicine, Söderberg, Mia; Medicine, Occupational and environmental medicine Rosengren, Annika
Primary Subject Heading:	Occupational and environmental medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Cardiovascular medicine, Epidemiology
Keywords:	STROKE MEDICINE, PUBLIC HEALTH, OCCUPATIONAL & INDUSTRIAL MEDICINE

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

A longitudinal general-population based study of job strain and risk for coronary heart disease and stroke in Swedish men.

Kjell Torén^{1,2}, Linus Schiöler¹, Wai Giang Kok³, Masuma Novak³, Mia Söderberg¹, Annika Rosengren³

1. Section of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden
2. Occupational Medicine, Respiratory Diseases and Toxicology, University of Perugia, Italy
3. Department of Molecular and Clinical Medicine, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden

Corresponding author: Kjell Torén, Section of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, University of Gothenburg, Box 414, S-405 30 Gothenburg, Sweden. Fax: +46 31 409728. Phone: +46 31 7736262. E-mail: Kjell.Toren@amm.gu.se

The authors declare no conflicts of interest

Word count (body of text); 2 365

Keywords

Epidemiology, job strain, longitudinal, cerebrovascular disease

Abstract

Objectives

The aim was to investigate whether psycho-social stress based on the job-demand-control model increased the risk for coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke.

Setting

Swedish men

Participants

The Primary Prevention Study comprise 6 070 men born between 1915 and 1925 free from previous history of coronary heart disease and stroke at baseline (1974-1977). Psychosocial workplace exposure was assessed using a job-exposure matrix for the job-demand-control model based on occupation at baseline. The participants were followed from baseline examination, until death, until hospital discharge or until 75 years of age, using the Swedish national register on cause of death and the Swedish hospital discharge register for non-fatal and fatal stroke and CHD events. Cox regression models were used with stroke or CHD as the outcome using job-demand-control model and age as explanatory variables, as well as stratified models with regard to smoking, self-reported stress, socio-economic status, obesity, hypertension, and diabetes.

Primary and secondary outcome measures

Risk for stroke and coronary heart disease

Results

There was an increased risk (HR) for CHD in relation to high strain, HR 1.31, 95% CI 1.01-1.70. The risk was further increased among ever-smokers and among blue-collar workers. There was no increased risk for stroke in any of the job-demand-control categories.

Conclusions

Exposure to occupational psycho-social stress defined as job strain increased the risk for CHD, especially among smokers and blue-collar workers. There was no increased risk for stroke in any of the job-demand-control categories.

Introduction

During the last decades a growing body of evidence have accumulated showing that psychosocial stress is associated with adverse health outcomes, especially coronary heart disease (CHD) (1). Psycho-social stress as a risk factor has been studied both as a general factor and linked to the work-place. The most studied definition of work-place related stress has been the job-demand-control model (2). In this model is postulated that the combination of high demands and low decision latitude at work results in high strain (job-strain) which increase the risk of cardiovascular diseases. There are studies showing that high strain is associated with a doubled risk of coronary heart disease. However, in a recent meta-analysis of 13 studies the association was rather modest, hazard ratio 1.23. The used models were adjusted for sex and age, and in some cases also for socioeconomic status. The authors concluded that adjustments for life style factors did not substantially affect the association (3). However, in a previous meta-analysis it was found that the risk of coronary heart disease was further increased among subjects with job-strain in combination with current smoking, being obese or reporting low physical activity (4). Hence, it seems to clear that job strain is associated with an increased risk for coronary heart disease, and there may also exist interactions with life-style factors.

There are studies indicating that self-reported psychosocial stress increase the risk for stroke (5, 6). However, the evidence between occupational exposure to psycho-social stress and stroke is less clear. There are a few longitudinal studies showing slightly increased risk for stroke associated with low control (7, 8). The association with job-strain is also unclear, some studies show an association (9, 10) and one study did not find any association (11). In a prospective general-population based study from Japan it was found that men, but not women, with high-strain job had an increased risk for stroke, with more than doubled risks among those with active, passive or high-strain jobs (12).

The aim of the present study was to investigate whether psycho-social stress based on the job-demand-control model increased the risk for coronary heart disease and stroke. The study base is a longitudinal general population based study of 6 070 Swedish men followed from 1975 to 2008.

Methods

Study population

The Primary Prevention Study (PPS) is a population-based cohort study from Gothenburg, Sweden. It was established in 1970 as previously described (13). The source population comprised all men living in Gothenburg born between 1915 and 1925. The initial study population was a random sample of 10 000 men, and 7494 men (75% of the sample) participated in screening examinations between January 1970 and March 1973 (14, 15). Three years later, 1975-1976, a clinical follow-up investigation was performed where 7 133 men participated. In the present study we are using data from the first follow-up investigation, because in that round there were complete occupational data. All participants gave their informed consent to participate in the study and it was approved by the Ethics Committee for Medical Research at Gothenburg University.

The current occupation at baseline (1974-1977) was classified at three-digit level according to the Nordic Classification of Occupations, NYK-74 (16). For assessing the psychosocial workplace exposure we used a previously published job-exposure matrix (17, 18). This JEM was developed in late 1970s based on information from large Swedish population surveys where around 12 000 randomly selected subjects aged 25 to 74 years were classified for psychological demands and decision latitude. The JEM gives separate estimates of demand and control for 261 occupations separated into gender and age (25-44 and 45 to 74). Socioeconomic status, high or low, was obtained based on one-digit level of occupations, i.e. blue-collar vs. white-collar workers

Psychological job demands and decision latitude were explored with four items each. All items were scored using a scale (1-10), with a score of 10 indicating high psychological demands or high decision latitude. Each subject was assigned a certain score based on occupation and age. The scores were then dichotomized into high and low, using the median of the distribution as cut-off. Combining demand and control with the median cut-offs divides the participants into four categorical quadrants; high strain (high demand-low control), active (high demand-high control), passive (low demand-low control) and low-strain (low demand-high control).

1
2
3 In the present study there was information at baseline about age, country of birth (Sweden/other),
4 body mass index (BMI), serum cholesterol (s-cholesterol) level, systolic blood pressure, diastolic
5 blood pressure, use of antihypertensive medication (yes/no), history of diabetes (yes/no),
6 coronary heart disease (yes/no), hypertension (yes/no) or stroke (yes/no) at baseline and smoking
7 as previously described (19).
8
9

10
11
12
13
14 Subjects with coronary heart disease or stroke at baseline were excluded resulting in a study
15 population of 6 070 subjects (Table 1). Based on unique personal identification numbers,
16 participants were followed from the date of their baseline examination until death, until hospital
17 discharge or until 75 years of age, using the Swedish national register on cause of death and the
18 Swedish hospital discharge register. The hospital discharge register has operated on a nationwide
19 basis since 1987, but all discharges from Gothenburg hospitals have been entered in the national
20 register since 1970 (except for 1976, because of a legislative change for that year). Additional
21 data from the Gothenburg stroke register were used to identify strokes from the start of the study
22 until 1983. The International Classification of Disease (ICD) codes listed in the registries were
23 used to identify stroke events (both non-fatal and fatal events) and coronary heart disease during
24 the entire follow-up period. The eighth version of the ICD code was used until 1986, ICD-9 was
25 used from 1987 to 1996, and ICD-10 was used from 1997 onwards. Ischemic stroke was defined
26 as ICD codes 431-438 and I61-I69. Non-fatal CHD was defined as 410 and I21. Fatal CHD was
27 defined as 410-414 and I20-I25.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Statistical analysis**

41
42 Descriptive statistics are presented as percentages or mean values with standard deviations (SD).
43 All analyses were performed using the SAS statistical package (version 9.3) and R (version
44 3.0.1). The material were analysed with Cox regression models using SAS (the PHREG
45 procedure). The proportional hazards assumptions were investigated using tests and plots based
46 on weighted residuals (20) using the R package Survival. All assumptions were found reasonable
47 except for the analysis if baseline diabetes. Hospital care or mortality (whatever came first) from
48 stroke or coronary heart disease were events and time were measured as months since baseline.
49 The observation period stopped at the age of 75. In the crude model hazard ratios were calculated
50 using age the job-demand-control model and age as explanatory variables. The low strain group
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 was used as reference group. There were also models using high strain vs. all other subjects as an
4 independent variable. There were also separate models stratifying for ever-smoking and never-
5 smoking, adiposity (body mass index ≥ 30) or not, hypertension or not at baseline and diabetes or
6 not at baseline. There was also one model with five years latency time, i.e excluding subject with
7 any event occurring during the five first year during the follow-up.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

Results

For the follow-up period 1976-2008 there were 1 052 events due to coronary heart disease. The Cox regression models adjusted for age showed an increased risk (HR) for coronary heart disease in relation to high job strain, HR 1.31, 95% CI 1.01-1.70 (Table 2). In the stratified analysis high strain was associated with an even slightly higher risk for coronary heart disease among ever-smokers, (HR 1.37, 95% CI 1.02-1.83) and among blue-collar-workers (HR 1.36, 95% CI 1.01-1.84). Among obese subjects there was an indication of increased risk in relation to high-strain (HR 2.05, 95% CI 0.93-4.81) but with wide confidence intervals. In other strata there was an increased risk for coronary heart disease in the active group among those with hypertension (HR 1.50, 95% CI 1.00-2.33) (Table 2).

For the follow-up period 1976-2008 there were 549 events due to stroke. In the total population there was no increased risk for stroke in any of the job-demand-control categories. In the stratified analyses those with self-reported stress at baseline seem to have increased risk, both in the active group (HR 1.98, 95% CI 1.09-4.05) and in the passive group as well (HR 2.15, 95% CI 1.17-4.44) (Table 2).

In the additional analyses subjects with five years latency time the results were similar. The risk for coronary heart disease among subjects with high strain was slightly higher (HR 1.34, 95% CI 1.00-1.80) (Table 3). In the final full model, adjusted age, adiposity, diabetes, smoking and hypertension, there was an indication of increased risk of CHD in relation to high strain (HR 1.29, 95% CI 0.97-1.72). There were no increased risks for stroke.

Discussion

Principal findings

In line with the other studies an increased risk for coronary heart disease was found in relation to high strain, job-strain. Of interest is that job strain risks increased further among ever-smokers, obese subjects and among subjects with low socioeconomic status. Active subjects with hypertension also ran an increased risk for coronary heart disease. Of interest, even if it is a negative report, is that there was no increased risk for stroke in most of the job-demand control groups. In the strata with high levels of self-reported stress there was an increased risk for stroke in all job-demand categories.

Methodological considerations

This study has several strengths, a general-population sample with a long period of follow-up and use of a national mortality register and hospital discharge register with high coverage. The assessment of psycho-social stress is based on occupations, which probably is less biased than self-reports of demand and control. Further, the population was in their fifties at baseline, meaning that they are quite stable in their occupations. Finally, the study comprises only men which limit the external validity.

The regression models have been adjusted for age. The study population comprises only men. In the literature it has been discussed that further adjustments for different lifestyle factors marginally decreased the risk estimates, which is in line with the findings from a large metaanalyses (3). There is also a possibility that that smoking, adiposity and hypertension can be part of the causal chain act and hence stratifications are to prefer. Further, there were no separate adjustments for socioeconomic position. The reason is that the exposure for psychosocial stress is based on occupational titles and the socioeconomic position is part of the occupational classification. However, in the stratified analysis, the risk for CHD was increased among blue-collar workers (low SES), but not among the white-collar works (high SES). In the literature, the job-demand-control model mostly predict to ill-health associations among blue-collar workers, often men (2, 17, 21, 22, 23, 24). One plausible explanation is that the development of the JDC model was mainly conducted among male blue-collar jobs, and is consequently adjusted to such

1
2
3 job characteristics. When broadening study populations, more conflicting findings emerge. For
4 example, little support has been found for female high-strain related ill-health (25).
5
6
7

8 *The effect of psycho-social stress*

9
10 The results from the present study indicate that exposure to occupational psycho-social stress is
11 not increasing the risk for stroke. The study is rather large, 549 stroke events, and there was
12 neither no increased risks in different lifestyle strata. Previously the association with job-strain
13 has been unclear with both positive (9-12) and negative studies (11). There is probably a
14 publication bias as studies with no or weak associations may not be published, as it has been
15 shown for job strain and coronary heart disease (3). Hypertension has been associated with
16 occupational psycho-social stress, especially among men (26). However, job strain was not
17 associated with stroke among men with hypertension at baseline. Hence, the present study
18 indicates that stroke has a different pattern of risk factors compared to coronary heart disease.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 Self-reported stress have been associated with stroke, both in cohort studies (5, 27, 28) and in
29 case-control studies (6, 29). Self-reported stress is a global composite item reflecting both
30 occupational and non-occupational exposure. In the present study, increased risks for stroke was
31 among subjects reporting stress at baseline and belonging to either the active, high strain or
32 passive strata (compared to low strain). This may indicate an interaction between occupational
33 and non-occupational stress in relation to stroke.
34
35
36
37
38

39 One limitation of our study is that there was only one assessment of the exposure the
40 occupational psychosocial stress. There are indications in the literature that psychosocial stress
41 occurs late in the causal chain for stroke as indicated by the observation that studies with short
42 period of follow-up have higher risks of stroke in relation to psychosocial stress (8, 9). We have a
43 rather long observation period, which may result in low risk estimates due to misclassification of
44 the exposure.
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 The study confirms the previous findings of an association with job strain and risk for coronary
52 heart disease. Compared to some other studies the risk levels were quite modest, which may
53 reflect non-differential misclassification of the exposure assessment or may reflect the true
54 underlying risk (3, 30). There was a slight interaction with lifestyle factors, especially smoking
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 and to some extent also obesity, as the risks were further increased among smoking subjects and
4 obese subjects. Positive interaction with both smoking and obesity was recently shown in a meta-
5 analysis (4). A finding that needs to be replicated is that active subjects with hypertension had a
6 high risk for coronary heart disease.
7
8
9

10 11 12 13 *Conclusions*

14 Exposure to occupational psycho-social stress defined as job strain increased the risk for CHD,
15 especially among smokers and blue-collar workers. There was no increased risk for stroke in any
16 of the job-demand-control categories.
17
18
19
20

21 22 **Acknowledgements**

23 The study was funded by the Swedish Research Council (VR), the Swedish Research Council for
24 Health, Working Life and Welfare (FORTE) and the Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation
25
26
27
28

29 30 31 **Strengths and limitations of the paper;**

32 Job strain increase the risk for coronary heart disease but the relation to stroke is uncertain. There
33 is a need for longitudinal general-population based studies in this field. This study confirms that
34 job strain increase the risk for coronary heart disease, but the risk seems to be limited to blue-
35 collar workers. There was no clear relation between job strain and risk for stroke. The risk pattern
36 for stroke seems to be different compared to coronary heart disease.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Rosengren A, Hawkens S, Ounpuu S, Sliwa K, Zubaid M, Almahmeed W, Blackett KN, Sitthiamorn C, Sato H, Yusuf S. Association of psychosocial risk factors with risk of acute myocardial infarction in 11 119 cases and 13 648 controls from 52 countries (the INTERHEART study: case-control study). *Lancet* 2004;364:953-962.
2. Karasek RA. Job demands, job decision latitude, and mental strain: Implications for job redesign. *Admin Sci Qtrly* 1979;24:285-307.
3. Kivimäki M, Nyberg ST, Batty GD, Fransson EI, Heikkilä K, Alfredsson L, Björner JB, et al. Job strain as a risk factor for coronary heart disease: A collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Lancet* 2012;380:1491-1497.
4. Kivimäki M, Nyberg ST, Fransson EI, Heikkilä K, Alfredsson L, Casini A, Clays E, et al. Associations of job strain and lifestyle factors with risk of coronary artery disease: A meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Can Med Assoc J* 2013;185:763-769.
5. Harmsen P, Lappas G, Rosengren A, Wilhelmsen L. Long-term risk factors for stroke: Twenty-eight years of follow-up of 7457 middle-aged men in Göteborg, Sweden. *Stroke* 2006; 37:1663-1667
6. O'Donnell MJ, Xavier D, Liu L, Zhang H, Chin SL, Rao-Melacini P, Rangarajan S, Islam S, Pais P, McQueen MJ, Mondo C, Damasceno A, Lopez-Jaramillo P, Hankey GJ, Dans AL, Yusuf K, Truelsen T, Diener HC, Sacco RL, Ryglewicz D, Czlonkowska A, Weimar C, Wang X, Yusuf S. Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): a case-control study. *Lancet* 2010;376:112-23.
7. Virtanen SV, Notkola V. Socioeconomic inequalities in cardiovascular mortality and the role of work: A register based study of Finnish men. *Int J Epidemiol* 2002;31:614-621.

- 1
2
3 8. Toivanen S, Hernström Ö. Is the impact of job control on stroke independent of socioeconomic
4 status? A large scale study of the Swedish working population. *Stroke* 2008;39:1321-1323.
5
6
7
8
9 9. Kivimäki M, Gomeno D, Ferrie JE, Gatty GD, Oksanen T, Jokel aM et al. Socioeconomic
10 position, psychosocial work environment and cerebrovascular disease among women: The
11 Finnish public sector study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2009;38:1265-71.
12
13
14
15
16 10. Kuper H, Adami HO, Theorell T, Weiderpass E. The socioeconomic gradient in the incidence
17 of stroke: A prospective study in middle-aged women in Sweden. *Stroke* 2007;38:27-33.
18
19
20
21
22 11. André-Petersson L, Engström G, Hagberg B, Janzon L, Rosvall M. Social support at work
23 and the risk for myocardial infarction and stroke in women and men. *Soc Sci Med* 2007;64:830-
24 841.
25
26
27
28
29 12. Tsutsumi A, Kayaba K, Kario K, Ishikawa S. Prospective study on occupational stress and
30 risk of stroke. *Arch Intern Med* 2009;169:56-61.
31
32
33
34 13. Wilhelmsen L, Berglund G, Elmfeldt D, Tibblin G, Wedel H, Pennert K, Vedin A,
35 Wilhelmsson C, Werkö L. The multifactor primary prevention trial in Gothenburg, Sweden. *Eur*
36 *Heart J* 1986;7:279-288.
37
38
39
40
41 14. Rosengren A, Hauptman PJ, Lappas G, Olsson L, Wilhelmsen L, Swedberg K. Big men and
42 atrial fibrillation: Effects of body size and weight gain on risk of atrial fibrillation in men. *Eur*
43 *Heart J* 2009;30:1113-1120.
44
45
46
47
48 15. Novak M, Torén K, Lappas G, Kok WG, Jern C, Wilhelmsen L, Rosengren A.
49 Socioeconomic status and incidences of ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke in Swedish men: A
50 population-based 35-year prospective follow-up study. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2013 (in press).
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 16. Arbetsmarknadsstyrelsen (1974) Nordisk yrkesklassificering: Systematisk förteckning över
4 yrkesområden, yrkesgrupper, yrkesfamiljer och individualyrken med kodnummer och
5 definitioner. Stockholm, Sweden
6
7
8
9
10 17. Johnson JV, Stewart W, Hall EM, Fredlund P, Theorell T. Lon-term psychosocial work
11 environment and cardiovascular mortality among Swedish men. *Am J Public health* 1996;86:324-
12 331.
13
14
15
16
17 18. Fredlund P, Hallqvist J, Diderichsen F. Psykosocial yrkesexponeringsmatris. *Arbete och*
18 *Hälsa* 2000;11:1-37 [In Swedish].
19
20
21
22
23 19. Giang KW, Björck L, Novak M, Lappas G, Wilhelmsen L, Torén K, Rosengren A. Stroke
24 and CHD – Predictive power of standard risk factors until old age. Long term cumulative risk
25 study among men in Gothenburg, Sweden. *Eur Heart J* 2013; Jan 9 [Epub].
26
27
28
29 20. Grambsch, P M, Therneau, T M..Proportional hazards tests and diagnostics based on
30 weighted residuals. *Biometrika* 1994;81:515-526.
31
32
33
34
35 21. Kuper H, Marmot M, Hemingway H: Systematic review of prospective Cohort Studies of
36 Psychosocial Factors in the Etiology and Prognosis of Coronary Heart Disease. *Semin Vasc Med*
37 2002, 2:266-314.
38
39
40
41
42 22. Van der Doef M, Maes, S: The Job Demand-control (-Support) model and psychological well-
43 being: a review of 20 years of empirical research. *Work Stress* 1999, 2:87-114.
44
45
46
47 23. Häusser JA, Mojzisch AM, Niesel M, Schulz-Hardt, S: Ten years on: A review of recent research
48 on the Job Demand-Control (-Support) model and psychological well-being. *Work Stress* 2010,
49 24:1-35.
50
51
52
53
54 24. Bosma H, Peter R, Siegrist J, Marmot M: Two alternative Job Stress Models and the Risk of
55 Coronary Heart Disease. *Am J Public Health* 1988, 88:68-74.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5 25. Belkic KL, Landsbergis PA, Schnall PL, Baker D: Is job strain a major source of cardiovascular
6 disease risk? *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2004, 30:85-128.
7
8
9
10 26. Diène E, Fouquet A, Esquirol Y. Cardiovascular diseases and psychosocial factors at work. *Arch*
11 *Cardiovasc Dis* 2012;105:33-39.
12
13
14
15 27. Truelsen T, Nielsen N, Boysen G, Grønbæk. Self-reported stress and risk of stroke: the
16 Copenhagen City Heart Study. *Stroke* 2003;34:856-62
17
18
19
20 28. Öhlin B, Nilsson PM, Nilsson J-Å, Berglund B. Chronic psychosocial stress predicts long-term
21 cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in middle-aged men. *Eur Heart J* 2004;25:867-73.
22
23
24
25 29. Jood K, Redfors P, Rosengren A, Blomstrand C, Jern C. Self-perceived psychological stress and
26 ischemic stroke: a case-control study. *BMC Medicine* 2009;7:53
27
28
29
30 30. Netterstrøm B, Kristensen TS, Jensen G, Schnor P. Is the demand-control model still a useful
31 tool to assess work-related psychosocial risk for ischemic heart disease? Results from 14 year follow
32 up in the Copenhagen City Heart Study. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health* 2010;23:217-224.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of a general population study of 6 070 Swedish men.

	Active N=2357	High strain N=671	Low strain N=679	Passive N=2363	Overall N=6070
Age (yrs)	55.2 (2.1)	55.3 (2)	55.2 (2)	55.4 (2)	55.3 (2.1)
Cholesterol (mmol/L)	6.37 (1.05)	6.45 (1.1)	6.37 (0.99)	6.43 (1.05)	6.4 (1.05)
SBP, mm Hg (SD)	145.1 (19.5)	147.2 (20.5)	145.2 (18.8)	146.8 (19.7)	146 (19.6)
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.5 (3.1)	25.6 (3.4)	25.8 (3.2)	25.8 (3.4)	25.6 (3.3)
Diabetes, % (N)	2.8 (65)	3.6 (24)	2.5 (17)	2.7 (64)	2.8 (170)
Hypertension, % (N)	21.8 (512)	23.9 (160)	22.5 (152)	21.9 (517)	22.1 (1341)
Hypertensive medication, % (N)	15.2 (358)	16.5 (111)	14.6 (99)	13.9 (328)	14.8 (896)
Smoking status					
Never % (N) N=1437	25.8 (607)	21 (141)	25.2 (171)	21.9 (518)	23.7 (1437)
Current % (N) N=2460	37.3 (879)	47.4 (318)	39.0 (265)	42.2 (998)	40.5 (2460)
Former % (N) N=1890	32.7 (771)	27 (181)	31.4 (213)	30.7 (725)	31.1 (1890)
Unknown % (N) N=283	4.2 (100)	4.6 (31)	4.4 (30)	5.2 (122)	4.7 (283)
Number of events					
Coronary heart disease	384	131	104	433	1052
Stroke	208	64	65	212	549
Mean follow-up time, months					
Coronary heart disease	200	193	199	192	196
Stroke	204	195	203	197	200

Table 2. Cox Regression models 6070 men followed from 1974 to 2008. All models are adjusted for age.

Strain group	Coronary heart disease		Stroke	
	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
All	6070 (1052)		6070 (549)	
Active	2357 (384)	1.06 (0.85-1.32)	2357 (208)	0.91 (0.70-1.22)
High strain	671 (131)	1.31 (1.01-1.70)	671 (64)	1.05 (0.74-1.48)
Passive	2363 (433)	1.23 (1.00-1.53)	2363 (212)	0.96 (0.74-1.28)
Ever-smokers	4350 (826)		4350 (406)	
Active	1650 (277)	1.00 (0.78-1.29)	1650 (152)	0.87 (0.64-1.20)
High strain	499 (109)	1.37 (1.02-1.83)	499 (46)	0.92 (0.62-1.38)
Passive	1723 (361)	1.31 (1.03-1.68)	1723 (158)	0.90 (0.66-1.25)
Never-smokers	1437 (184)		1437 (113)	
Active	607 (88)	1.21 (0.76-1.99)	607 (46)	1.11 (0.61-2.18)
High strain	141 (18)	1.04 (0.55-1.96)	141 (13)	1.39 (0.63-3.08)
Passive	518 (57)	0.90 (0.55-1.52)	518 (42)	1.18 (0.64-2.35)
Blue-collar	3020 (555)		3020 (279)	
Active	41 (7)	1.04 (0.44-2.11)	41 (5)	1.30 (0.45-2.906)
High strain	492 (101)	1.36 (1.01-1.84)	53 (492)	1.22 (0.82-1.82)
Passive	2008 (372)	1.20 (0.94-1.55)	2008 (75)	0.93 (0.68-1.30)
White-collar	3050 (497)		3050 (270)	
Active	2316 (377)	1.14 (0.80-1.71)	2316 (203)	0.93 (0.60-1.54)
High strain	179 (30)	1.15 (0.69-1.92)	179 (11)	0.63 (0.29-1.30)
Passive	355 (612)	1.24 (0.80-1.95)	355 (37)	1.16 (0.68-2.06)
Self-reported stress at baseline	2177 (381)		2177 (201)	
Active	1014 (170)	1.01 (0.70-1.49)	1014 (98)	1.98 (1.09-4.05)
High strain	236 (47)	1.28 (0.82-2.02)	236 (20)	1.92 (0.92-4.28)
Passive	722 (131)	1.13 (0.78-1.69)	722 (73)	2.15 (1.17-4.44)

No self-reported stress at baseline	3742 (381)		3742 (330)	
Active	1304 (203)	1.00 (0.77-1.32)	1304 (105)	0.67 (0.49-0.94)
High strain	411 (77)	1.23 (0.89-1.70)	411 (40)	0.84 (0.56-1.26)
Passive	1568 (635)	1.21 (0.94-1.58)	1568 (131)	0.72 (0.53-1.00)
Hypertension at baseline	1341 (314)		1341 (201)	
Active	512 (129)	1.50 (1.00-2.33)	512 (78)	0.86 (0.56-1.35)
High strain	160 (37)	1.37 (0.84-2.29)	160 (22)	0.79 (0.45-1.38)
Passive	517 (122)	1.41 (0.94-2.20)	517 (74)	0.81 (0.53-1.29)
No hypertension at baseline	4715 (736)		4715 (347)	
Active	1840 (254)	0.93 (0.72-1.21)	1840 (129)	0.96 (0.68-1.39)
High strain	509 (94)	1.30 (0.96-1.76)	509 (42)	1.21 (0.78-1.88)
Passive	1842 (311)	1.18 (0.93-1.53)	1842 (138)	1.07 (0.76-1.55)
Body mass index <30	5544 (938)		5544 (499)	
Active	2188 (343)	0.99 (0.79-1.25)	2188 (196)	0.97 (0.72-1.31)
High strain	598 (113)	1.23 (0.94-1.62)	598 (57)	1.08 (0.75-1.57)
Passive	2150 (387)	1.18 (0.95-1.48)	2150 (190)	0.99 (0.74-1.34)
Body mass index ≥30	516 (113)		516 (50)	
Active	167 (41)	1.92 (0.98-4.21)	167 (12)	0.54 (0.23-1.33)
High strain	70 (17)	2.05 (0.93-4.81)	70 (7)	0.88 (0.31-2.35)
Passive	209 (46)	1.76 (0.90-3.84)	209 (22)	0.83 (0.40-1.91)
No Diabetes at baseline	5900 (989)		5900 (515)	
Active	2292 (357)	1.04 (0.84-1.31)	2292 (194)	0.91 (0.69-1.22)
High strain	647 (123)	1.31 (1.01-1.72)	647 (60)	1.05 (0.74-1.50)
Passive	2299 (411)	1.24 (1.00-1.55)	2299 (200)	0.97 (0.73-1.30)

Diabetes at baseline	170 (63)		170 (34)	
Active	65 (27)	1.26 (0.56-3.39)	65 (14)	1.02 (0.36-3.59)
High strain	24 (8)	1.08 (0.37-3.28)	24 (4)	0.96 (0.23-4.09)
Passive	64 (22)	1.00 (0.43-2.73)	64 (12)	0.96 (0.33-3.43)

For peer review only

Table 3. Cox Regression models 6070 men followed from 1974 to 2008. Subjects with events the first five years after baseline are excluded. Models adjusted for age, adiposity, diabetes, smoking and hypertension.

Strain group	Coronary heart disease		Stroke	
	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
Low strain	642 (85)	1.00	642 (60)	1.00
Active	2247 (312)	1.08 (0.85-1.38)	2275 (189)	0.93 (0.70-1.25)
High strain	630 (109)	1.29 (0.97-1.72)	640 (54)	0.91 (0.63-1.32)
Passive	2208 (351)	1.22 (0.97-1.56)	2248 (190)	0.94 (0.70-1.26)



A longitudinal general-population based study of job strain and risk for coronary heart disease and stroke in Swedish men.

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2013-004355.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	08-Jan-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Torén, Kjell; Medicine, Schiöler, Linus; Medicine, Occupational and environmental medicine Kok, Wai; Medicine, Novak, Masuma; Medicine, Söderberg, Mia; Medicine, Occupational and environmental medicine Rosengren, Annika; Medicine,
Primary Subject Heading:	Occupational and environmental medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Cardiovascular medicine, Epidemiology
Keywords:	STROKE MEDICINE, PUBLIC HEALTH, OCCUPATIONAL & INDUSTRIAL MEDICINE

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 **A longitudinal general-population based study of job strain and risk for coronary heart**
4 **disease and stroke in Swedish men.**
5
6

7
8 Kjell Torén^{1,2}, Linus Schiöler¹, Kok W Giang³, Masuma Novak³, Mia Söderberg¹, Annika
9 Rosengren³
10
11

- 12
13
14
15 1. Section of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska
16 Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden
17
18 2. Occupational Medicine, Respiratory Diseases and Toxicology, University of Perugia,
19 Italy
20
21 3. Department of Molecular and Clinical Medicine, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska
22 Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden
23
24
25
26

27 Corresponding author: Kjell Torén, Section of Occupational and Environmental Medicine,
28 University of Gothenburg, Box 414, S-405 30 Gothenburg, Sweden. Fax: +46 31 409728. Phone:
29 +46 31 7736262. E-mail: Kjell.Toren@amm.gu.se
30
31
32

33 The authors declare no conflicts of interest
34
35

36 **Word count (body of test); 2 621**
37
38

39 **Keywords**
40

41 Epidemiology, job strain, longitudinal, cerebrovascular disease
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Objectives

The aim was to investigate whether psycho-social stress based on the job-demand-control model increased the risk for coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke.

Setting

Swedish men

Participants

The Primary Prevention Study comprise 6 070 men born between 1915 and 1925 free from previous history of coronary heart disease and stroke at baseline (1974-1977). Psychosocial workplace exposure was assessed using a job-exposure matrix for the job-demand-control model based on occupation at baseline. The participants were followed from baseline examination, until death, until hospital discharge or until 75 years of age, using the Swedish national register on cause of death and the Swedish hospital discharge register for non-fatal and fatal stroke and CHD events. Cox regression models were used with stroke or CHD as the outcome using job-demand-control model and age as explanatory variables, as well as stratified models with regard to smoking, self-reported stress, socio-economic status, obesity, hypertension, and diabetes.

Primary and secondary outcome measures

Risk for stroke and coronary heart disease

Results

There was an increased risk (HR) for CHD in relation to high strain, HR 1.31, 95% CI 1.01-1.70. The risk was further increased among ever-smokers and among blue-collar workers. There was a relation between low control and increased risk for CHD, HR 1.19, 95% CI 1.06-1.35. There was no increased risk for stroke in any of the job-demand-control categories.

Conclusions

Exposure to occupational psycho-social stress defined as job strain or low control increased the risk for CHD, especially among smokers and blue-collar workers. There was no increased risk for stroke in any of the job-demand-control categories.

Strengths and limitations of the paper;

Job strain increase the risk for coronary heart disease but the relation to stroke is uncertain. There is a need for longitudinal general-population based studies in this field. This study confirms that job strain increase the risk for coronary heart disease, but the risk seems to be limited to blue-collar workers. There was no clear relation between job strain and risk for stroke.

For peer review only

Introduction

During the last decades a growing body of evidence have accumulated showing that psychosocial stress is associated with adverse health outcomes, especially coronary heart disease (CHD) (1). Psycho-social stress as a risk factor has been studied both as a general factor and linked to the work-place. The most studied definition of work-place related stress has been the job-demand-control model (2). According to Karasek the job demand variable constitutes volume and intensity of workload and job control referred to the working individual's potential control over pace and content of their tasks (2). In this model it is postulated that the combination of high demands and low decision latitude at work results in high strain (job-strain) which increase the risk of cardiovascular diseases. There are studies showing that high strain is associated with a doubled risk of coronary heart disease. However, in a recent meta-analysis of 13 studies the association was rather modest, hazard ratio 1.23. The used models were adjusted for gender and age, and in some cases also for socioeconomic status. The authors concluded that adjustments for life style factors did not substantially affect the association (3). However, in an additional paper from the previous meta-analysis it was found that the risk of coronary heart disease was further increased among subjects with job-strain in combination with current smoking, being obese or reporting low physical activity (4). Hence, it seems to clear that job strain is associated with an increased risk for coronary heart disease, and there may also exist interactions with life-style factors.

There are studies indicating that self-reported psychosocial stress increase the risk for stroke (5, 6). However, the evidence between occupational exposure to psycho-social stress and stroke is less clear. There are a two longitudinal studies showing slightly increased risk for stroke associated with low control (7, 8). One study showed an increased risk in working Finnish men (7) and in one study based on the Swedish working population there was an increased risk among women, but not among men (8). The association with job-strain is also unclear, two studies in women show an association (9, 10) and one study in the general population did not find any association, either among women or among men (11). In a prospective general-population based study from Japan it was found that men, but not women, with high-strain job had an increased

1
2
3 risk for stroke, with more than doubled risks among those with active, passive or high-strain jobs
4
5 (12).
6
7

8
9 The aim of the present study was to investigate whether psycho-social stress based on the job-
10 demand-control model increased the risk for coronary heart disease and stroke. The study base is
11 a longitudinal general population study of 6 070 Swedish men followed from baseline
12 examination (1974-1977), until death, until hospital discharge or until 75 years of age, using the
13 Swedish national register on cause of death and the Swedish hospital discharge register.
14
15
16
17

18 19 **Methods**

20 21 **Study population**

22 The Primary Prevention Study (PPS) is a population-based cohort study from Gothenburg,
23 Sweden. It was established in 1970 as previously described (13). The source population
24 comprised all men living in Gothenburg born between 1915 and 1925. The initial study
25 population was a random sample of 10 000 men, and 7494 men (75% of the sample) participated
26 in screening examinations between January 1970 and March 1973 (14, 15). Three years later,
27 1974-1977, a clinical follow-up investigation was performed where 7 133 men participated. In
28 the present study we are using data from the first follow-up investigation, because in that round
29 there were complete occupational data. All participants gave their informed consent to
30 participate in the study and it was approved by the Ethics Committee for Medical Research at
31 Gothenburg University.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 The current occupation at baseline (1974-1977) was classified at three-digit level according to the
43 Nordic Classification of Occupations, NYK-74 (16). For assessing the psychosocial workplace
44 exposure we used a previously published job-exposure matrix (17, 18). This JEM was developed
45 in late 1970s based on information from large Swedish population surveys where around 12 000
46 randomly selected subjects aged 25 to 74 years were classified for psychological demands and
47 decision latitude. The JEM gives separate estimates of demand and control for 261 occupations
48 separated into gender and age (25-44 and 45 to 74). Socioeconomic status, high or low, was
49 obtained based on one-digit level of occupations, i e blue-collar vs. white-collar workers
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Psychological job demands and decision latitude were explored with four items each. All items
4 were scored using a scale (1-10), with a score of 10 indicating high psychological demands or
5 high decision latitude. Each subject was assigned a certain score based on occupation and age.
6
7 The scores were then dichotomized into high and low, using the median of the distribution as cut-
8 off. Combining demand and control with the median cut-offs divides the participants into four
9 categorical quadrants; high strain (high demand-low control), active (high demand-high control),
10 passive (low demand-low control) and low-strain (low demand-high control).
11
12

13
14
15
16 In the present study there was information at baseline about age, country of birth (Sweden/other),
17 body mass index (BMI), serum cholesterol (s-cholesterol) level, systolic blood pressure, diastolic
18 blood pressure, use of antihypertensive medication (yes/no), history of diabetes (yes/no),
19 coronary heart disease (yes/no), hypertension (yes/no) or stroke (yes/no) at baseline and smoking
20 as previously described (19).
21
22
23
24
25
26

27
28 Subjects with coronary heart disease or stroke at baseline (1974-1977) were excluded resulting in
29 a study population of 6 070 subjects (Table 1). Based on unique personal identification numbers,
30 participants were followed from the date of their baseline examination until death, until hospital
31 discharge or until 75 years of age, using the Swedish national register on cause of death and the
32 Swedish hospital discharge register. The hospital discharge register has operated on a nationwide
33 basis since 1987, but all discharges from Gothenburg hospitals have been entered in the national
34 register since 1970 (except for 1976, because of a legislative change for that year). Additional
35 data from the Gothenburg stroke register were used to identify strokes from the start of the study
36 until 1983. The International Classification of Disease (ICD) codes listed in the registries were
37 used to identify stroke events (both non-fatal and fatal events) and coronary heart disease during
38 the entire follow-up period. The eighth version of the ICD code was used until 1986, ICD-9 was
39 used from 1987 to 1996, and ICD-10 was used from 1997 onwards. Ischemic stroke was defined
40 as ICD codes 431-438 and I61-I69. Non-fatal CHD was defined as 410 and I21. Fatal CHD was
41 defined as 410-414 and I20-I25. Each type of event was treated separately and only the first event
42 of each type was used in the analysis.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics are presented as percentages or mean values with standard deviations (SD). All analyses were performed using the SAS statistical package (version 9.3) and R (version 3.0.1). The material were analysed with Cox regression models using SAS (the PHREG procedure). The proportional hazards assumptions were investigated using tests and plots based on weighted residuals (20) using the R package Survival. All assumptions were found reasonable except for the analysis of baseline diabetes. Hospital care or mortality (whatever came first) from stroke or coronary heart disease were events and time were measured as months since baseline. The observation period stopped at the age of 75. In the crude model hazard ratios were calculated using the job-demand-control model and age as explanatory variables. The low strain group was used as reference group. There were also models using high strain vs. all other subjects as an independent variable. There were also separate models stratifying for ever-smoking and never-smoking, adiposity (body mass index ≥ 30) or not, hypertension or not at baseline and diabetes or not, self-reported stress or not at baseline and finally status as white-collar worker or blue-collar worker at baseline. There was also one model with five years latency time, i.e excluding subject with any event occurring during the five first year during the follow-up.

Results

For the follow-up period there were 1 052 events due to coronary heart disease. The Cox regression models adjusted for age showed an increased risk (HR) for coronary heart disease in relation to high job strain, HR 1.31, 95% CI 1.01-1.70 (Table 2). In the stratified analysis high strain was associated with an even slightly higher risk for coronary heart disease among ever-smokers, (HR 1.37, 95% CI 1.02-1.83) and among blue-collar-workers (HR 1.36, 95% CI 1.01-1.84). Among obese subjects there was an indication of increased risk in relation to high-strain (HR 2.05, 95% CI 0.93-4.81) but with wide confidence intervals. In other strata there was an increased risk for coronary heart disease in the active group among those with hypertension (HR 1.50, 95% CI 1.00-2.33) (Table 2).

For the follow-up period there were 549 events due to stroke. In the total population there was no increased risk for stroke in any of the job-demand-control categories. In the stratified analyses those with self-reported stress at baseline seem to have increased risk, both in the active group (HR 1.98, 95% CI 1.09-4.05) and in the passive group as well (HR 2.15, 95% CI 1.17-4.44) (Table 2).

In the additional analyses subjects with five years latency time the results were similar. The risk for coronary heart disease among subjects with high strain was slightly higher (HR 1.34, 95% CI 1.00-1.80) (Table 3). In the final full model, adjusted age, adiposity, diabetes, smoking and hypertension, there was an indication of increased risk of CHD in relation to high strain (HR 1.29, 95% CI 0.97-1.72). There were no increased risks for stroke.

Further additional analyses explored the relations between low control and high demands, respectively. There was a clear relation between low control and increased risk for coronary heart disease (Table 4). This was found among all subjects, but also among smokers and in subjects without hypertension, without diabetes, without self-reported stress or with BMI<30. There were no clear signals regarding high demands and risk for coronary heart disease. There were no significant relations between low control or high demands and the risk for stroke (Table 4).

Discussion

Principal findings

In line with the other studies an increased risk for coronary heart disease was found in relation to high strain, job-strain. Of interest is that job strain risks increased further among ever-smokers, obese subjects and among subjects with low socioeconomic status. Active subjects with hypertension also ran an increased risk for coronary heart disease. There was also a clear association between low control and increased risk for coronary heart disease. Of interest, even if it is a negative report, is that there was no increased risk for stroke in most of the job-demand control groups. In the strata with high levels of self-reported stress there was an increased risk for stroke in all job-demand categories.

Methodological considerations

This study has several strengths, a general-population sample with a long period of follow-up and use of a national mortality register and hospital discharge register with high coverage. The assessment of psycho-social stress is based on occupations, which probably is less biased than self-reports of demand and control. Further, the population was in their fifties at baseline, meaning that they are quite stable in their occupations. Finally, the study comprises only men which limit the external validity.

The regression models have been adjusted for age. The study population comprises only men. In the literature it has been discussed that further adjustments for different lifestyle factors marginally decreased the risk estimates, which is in line with the findings from a large metaanalyses (3). There is also a possibility that that smoking, adiposity and hypertension can be part of the causal chain act and hence stratifications are to prefer. Further, there were no separate adjustments for socioeconomic position. The reason is that the exposure for psychosocial stress is based on occupational titles and the socioeconomic position is part of the occupational classification. However, in the stratified analysis, the risk for CHD was increased among blue-collar workers (low SES), but not among the white-collar works (high SES). In the literature, the job-demand-control model mostly predicts to ill-health associations among blue-collar workers, often men (2, 17, 21, 22, 23, 24). One plausible explanation is that the development of the JDC model was mainly conducted among male blue-collar jobs, and is consequently adjusted to such

1
2
3 job characteristics. When broadening study populations, more conflicting findings emerge. For
4 example, little support has been found for female high-strain related ill-health (25).
5
6
7

8 *The effect of psycho-social stress*

9
10 It is evident from this study that both high strain and the passive dimension increase the risk for
11 coronary heart disease. It is of interest that low control, the common denominator for job-strain
12 and the passive dimension, was clearly related to increased risk for coronary heart disease.
13
14

15
16
17 The results from the present study indicate that exposure to occupational psycho-social stress is
18 not increasing the risk for stroke. The study is rather large, 549 stroke events, and there was no
19 increased risks in different lifestyle strata. Previously the association with job-strain has been
20 unclear with both positive (9, 10, 12) and negative studies (11). There is probably a publication
21 bias as studies with no or weak associations may not be published, as it has been shown for job
22 strain and coronary heart disease (3). Hypertension has been associated with occupational
23 psycho-social stress, especially among men (26). However, job strain was not associated with
24 stroke among men with hypertension at baseline. Hence, the present study indicates that stroke
25 has a different pattern of risk factors compared to coronary heart disease.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34
35 Self-reported stress has been associated with stroke, both in cohort studies (5, 27, 28) and in case-
36 control studies (6, 29). Self-reported stress is a global composite item reflecting both
37 occupational and non-occupational exposure. In the present study, increased risks for stroke was
38 among subjects reporting stress at baseline and belonging to either the active, high strain or
39 passive strata (compared to low strain). This may indicate an interaction between occupational
40 and non-occupational stress in relation to stroke.
41
42
43
44
45

46
47 One limitation of our study is that there was only one assessment of the exposure the
48 occupational psychosocial stress. There are indications in the literature that psychosocial stress
49 occurs late in the causal chain for stroke as indicated by the observation that studies with short
50 period of follow-up have higher risks of stroke in relation to psychosocial stress (8, 9). We have a
51 rather long observation period, which may result in low risk estimates due to misclassification of
52 the exposure.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Another limitation of this study is that the sample consisted only of men. Previous studies have
4 indicated that health outcomes differ between men and women when exposed to Job demand-
5 control (8, 9, 10, 11, 22, 25). Since the cohort was established with only men it was not possible
6
7 to include women in our study, but we recommend that future similar analyzes include women as
8
9 to investigate gender differences
10
11

12
13 The study confirms the previous findings of an association with job strain and risk for coronary
14 heart disease. Compared to some other studies the risk levels were quite modest, which may
15 reflect non-differential misclassification of the exposure assessment or may reflect the true
16 underlying risk (3, 30). There was a slight interaction with lifestyle factors, especially smoking
17 and to some extent also obesity, as the risks were further increased among smoking subjects and
18 obese subjects. Positive interaction with both smoking and obesity was recently shown in a meta-
19 analysis (4). A finding that needs to be replicated is that active subjects with hypertension had a
20 high risk for coronary heart disease.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 *Conclusions*

32 Exposure to occupational psycho-social stress defined as job strain increased the risk for CHD,
33 especially among smokers and blue-collar workers. Low control was also related to increased risk
34 for CHD. There was no increased risk for stroke in any of the job-demand-control categories.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Acknowledgements

The study was funded by the Swedish Research Council (VR), the Swedish Research Council for Health, Working Life and Welfare (FORTE) and the Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation

References

1. Rosengren A, Hawkens S, Ounpuu S, et al. Association of psychosocial risk factors with risk of acute myocardial infarction in 11 119 cases and 13 648 controls from 52 countries (the INTERHEART study: case-control study. *Lancet* 2004;364:953-962.
2. Karasek RA. Job demands, job decision latitude, and mental strain: Implications for job redesign. *Admin Sci Qtrly* 1979;24:285-307.
3. Kivimäki M, Nyberg ST, Batty GD, et al. Job strain as a risk factor for coronary heart disease: A collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Lancet* 2012;380:1491-1497.
4. Kivimäki M, Nyberg ST, Fransson EI, et al. Associations of job strain and lifestyle factors with risk of coronary artery disease: A meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Can Med Assoc J* 2013;185:763-769.
5. Harmsen P, Lappas G, Rosengren A, et al. Long-term risk factors for stroke: Twenty-eight years of follow-up of 7457 middle-aged men in Göteborg, Sweden. *Stroke* 2006; 37:1663-1667
6. O'Donnell MJ, Xavier D, Liu L, Zhang H, et al. Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): a case-control study. *Lancet* 2010;376:112-23.
7. Virtanen SV, Notkola V. Socioeconomic inequalities in cardiovascular mortality and the role of work: A register based study of Finnish men. *Int J Epidemiol* 2002;31:614-621.

- 1
2
3 8. Toivanen S, Hernström Ö. Is the impact of job control on stroke independent of socioeconomic
4 status? A large scale study of the Swedish working population. *Stroke* 2008;39:1321-1323.
5
6
7
8
9 9. Kivimäki M, Gomeno D, Ferrie JE, et al. Socioeconomic position, psychosocial work
10 environment and cerebrovascular disease among women: The Finnish public sector study. *Int J*
11 *Epidemiol* 2009;38:1265-71.
12
13
14
15
16 10. Kuper H, Adami HO, Theorell T, et al. The socioeconomic gradient in the incidence of
17 stroke: A prospective study in middle-aged women in Sweden. *Stroke* 2007;38:27-33.
18
19
20
21
22 11. André-Petersson L, Engström G, Hagberg B, et al. Social support at work and the risk for
23 myocardial infarction and stroke in women and men. *Soc Sci Med* 2007;64:830-841.
24
25
26
27 12. Tsutsumi A, Kayaba K, Kario K, et al. Prospective study on occupational stress and risk of
28 stroke. *Arch Intern Med* 2009;169:56-61.
29
30
31
32 13. Wilhelmsen L, Berglund G, Elmfeldt D, et al. The multifactor primary prevention trial in
33 Gothenburg, Sweden. *Eur Heart J* 1986;7:279-288.
34
35
36
37 14. Rosengren A, Hauptman PJ, Lappas G, et al. Big men and atrial fibrillation: Effects of body
38 size and weight gain on risk of atrial fibrillation in men. *Eur Heart J* 2009;30:1113-1120.
39
40
41
42 15. Novak M, Torén K, Lappas G, et al. Socioeconomic status and incidences of ischemic and
43 hemorrhagic stroke in Swedish men: A population-based 35-year prospective follow-up study.
44 *Eur J Epidemiol* 2013;28:697-704.
45
46
47
48
49 16. Arbetsmarknadsstyrelsen (1974) Nordisk yrkesklassificering: Systematisk förteckning över
50 yrkesområden, yrkesgrupper, yrkesfamiljer och individualyrken med kodnummer och
51 definitioner. Stockholm, Sweden
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 17. Johnson JV, Stewart W, Hall EM, et al. Lon-term psychosocial work environment and
4 cardiovascular mortality among Swedish men. *Am J Public health* 1996;86:324-331.
5
6
7
8
9 18. Fredlund P, Hallqvist J, Diderichsen F. Psykosocial yrkesexponeringsmatris. *Arbete och*
10 *Hälsa* 2000;11:1-37 [In Swedish].
11
12
13
14 19. Giang KW, Björck L, Novak M, et al – Predictive power of standard risk factors until old
15 age. Long term cumulative risk study among men in Gothenburg, Sweden. *Eur Heart J*
16 2013;34:1068-1074.
17
18
19
20
21 20. Grambsch, P M, Therneau, T M..Proportional hazards tests and diagnostics based on
22 weighted residuals. *Biometrika* 1994;81:515-526.
23
24
25
26
27
28 21. Kuper H, Marmot M, Hemingway H: Systematic review of prospective Cohort Studies of
29 Psychosocial Factors in the Etiology and Prognosis of Coronary Heart Disease. *Semin Vasc Med*
30 2002, 2:266-314.
31
32
33
34
35 22. Van der Doef M, Maes, S: The Job Demand-control (-Support) model and psychological well-
36 being: a review of 20 years of empirical research. *Work Stress* 1999;2:87-114.
37
38
39
40 23. Häusser JA, Mojzisch AM, Niesel M, et al: Ten years on: A review of recent research on the Job
41 Demand-Control (-Support) model and psychological well-being. *Work Stress* 2010; 24:1-35.
42
43
44
45 24. Bosma H, Peter R, Siegrist J, et al: Two alternative Job Stress Models and the Risk of Coronary
46 Heart Disease. *Am J Public Health* 1988;88:68-74.
47
48
49
50
51 25. Belkic KL, Landsbergis PA, Schnall PL, et al: Is job strain a major source of cardiovascular
52 disease risk? *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2004;30:85-128.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 26. Diène E, Fouquet A, Esquirol Y. Cardiovascular diseases and psychosocial factors at work. Arch
4 Cardiovasc Dis 2012;105:33-39.
5
6
7
8
9 27. Truelsen T, Nielsen N, Boysen G, et al. Self-reported stress and risk of stroke: the Copenhagen
10 City Heart Study. Stroke 2003;34:856-62
11
12
13 28. Öhlin B, Nilsson PM, Nilsson J-Å, et al. Chronic psychosocial stress predicts long-term
14 cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in middle-aged men. Eur Heart J 2004;25:867-73.
15
16
17
18
19 29. Jood K, Redfors P, Rosengren A, et al. Self-perceived psychological stress and ischemic stroke:
20 a case-control study. BMC Medicine 2009;7:53
21
22
23
24 30. Netterstrøm B, Kristensen TS, Jensen G, et al. Is the demand-control model still a useful tool to
25 assess work-related psychosocial risk for ischemic heart disease? Results from 14 year follow up in
26 the Copenhagen City Heart Study. Int J Occup Med Environ Health 2010;23:217-224.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of a general population study of 6 070 Swedish men.

	Active N=2357	High strain N=671	Low strain N=679	Passive N=2363	Overall N=6070
Age, yrs (SD)	55.2 (2.1)	55.3 (2)	55.2 (2)	55.4 (2)	55.3 (2.1)
Cholesterol, mmol/L (SD)	6.37 (1.05)	6.45 (1.1)	6.37 (0.99)	6.43 (1.05)	6.4 (1.05)
SBP, mm Hg (SD)	145.1(19.5)	147.2 (20.5)	145.2 (18.8)	146.8 (19.7)	146 (19.6)
BMI, kg/m ² (SD)	25.5 (3.1)	25.6 (3.4)	25.8 (3.2)	25.8 (3.4)	25.6 (3.3)
Diabetes, % (N)	2.8 (65)	3.6 (24)	2.5 (17)	2.7 (64)	2.8 (170)
Hypertension, % (N)	21.8 (512)	23.9 (160)	22.5 (152)	21.9 (517)	22.1 (1341)
Hypertensive medication, % (N)	15.2 (358)	16.5 (111)	14.6 (99)	13.9 (328)	14.8 (896)
Smoking status					
Never % (N) N=1437	25.8 (607)	21 (141)	25.2 (171)	21.9 (518)	23.7 (1437)
Current % (N) N=2460	37.3 (879)	47.4 (318)	39.0 (265)	42.2 (998)	40.5 (2460)
Former % (N) N=1890	32.7 (771)	27 (181)	31.4 (213)	30.7 (725)	31.1 (1890)
Unknown % (N) N=283	4.2 (100)	4.6 (31)	4.4 (30)	5.2 (122)	4.7 (283)
Number of events (mean age, yrs, at event)					
Coronary heart disease	384 (66.2)	131 (66.4)	104 (65.9)	433 (65.9)	1052 (66.0)
Stroke	208	64	65	212	549
Mean follow-up time, months					
Coronary heart disease	200	193	199	192	196
Stroke	204	195	203	197	200

Table 2. Cox Regression models 6070 men followed from 1974-1977 until event or until 75 years of age. All models are adjusted for age. Low strain group is used as the reference group in all analyses.

Strain group	Coronary heart disease		Stroke	
	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
All	6070 (1052)		6070 (549)	
Active	2357 (384)	1.06 (0.85-1.32)	2357 (208)	0.91 (0.70-1.22)
High strain	671 (131)	1.31 (1.01-1.70)	671 (64)	1.05 (0.74-1.48)
Passive	2363 (433)	1.23 (1.00-1.53)	2363 (212)	0.96 (0.74-1.28)
Ever-smokers	4350 (826)		4350 (406)	
Active	1650 (277)	1.00 (0.78-1.29)	1650 (152)	0.87 (0.64-1.20)
High strain	499 (109)	1.37 (1.02-1.83)	499 (46)	0.92 (0.62-1.38)
Passive	1723 (361)	1.31 (1.03-1.68)	1723 (158)	0.90 (0.66-1.25)
Never-smokers	1437 (184)		1437 (113)	
Active	607 (88)	1.21 (0.76-1.99)	607 (46)	1.11 (0.61-2.18)
High strain	141 (18)	1.04 (0.55-1.96)	141 (13)	1.39 (0.63-3.08)
Passive	518 (57)	0.90 (0.55-1.52)	518 (42)	1.18 (0.64-2.35)
Blue-collar	3020 (555)		3020 (279)	
Active	41 (7)	1.04 (0.44-2.11)	41 (5)	1.30 (0.45-2.906)
High strain	492 (101)	1.36 (1.01-1.84)	53 (492)	1.22 (0.82-1.82)
Passive	2008 (372)	1.20 (0.94-1.55)	2008 (75)	0.93 (0.68-1.30)
White-collar	3050 (497)		3050 (270)	
Active	2316 (377)	1.14 (0.80-1.71)	2316 (203)	0.93 (0.60-1.54)
High strain	179 (30)	1.15 (0.69-1.92)	179 (11)	0.63 (0.29-1.30)
Passive	355 (612)	1.24 (0.80-1.95)	355 (37)	1.16 (0.68-2.06)
Self-reported stress at baseline	2177 (381)		2177 (201)	
Active	1014 (170)	1.01 (0.70-1.49)	1014 (98)	1.98 (1.09-4.05)
High strain	236 (47)	1.28 (0.82-2.02)	236 (20)	1.92 (0.92-4.28)

Passive	722 (131)	1.13 (0.78-1.69)	722 (73)	2.15 (1.17-4.44)
No self-reported stress at baseline	3742 (381)		3742 (330)	
Active	1304 (203)	1.00 (0.77-1.32)	1304 (105)	0.67 (0.49-0.94)
High strain	411 (77)	1.23 (0.89-1.70)	411 (40)	0.84 (0.56-1.26)
Passive	1568 (635)	1.21 (0.94-1.58)	1568 (131)	0.72 (0.53-1.00)
Hypertension at baseline	1341 (314)		1341 (201)	
Active	512 (129)	1.50 (1.00-2.33)	512 (78)	0.86 (0.56-1.35)
High strain	160 (37)	1.37 (0.84-2.29)	160 (22)	0.79 (0.45-1.38)
Passive	517 (122)	1.41 (0.94-2.20)	517 (74)	0.81 (0.53-1.29)
No hypertension at baseline	4715 (736)		4715 (347)	
Active	1840 (254)	0.93 (0.72-1.21)	1840 (129)	0.96 (0.68-1.39)
High strain	509 (94)	1.30 (0.96-1.76)	509 (42)	1.21 (0.78-1.88)
Passive	1842 (311)	1.18 (0.93-1.53)	1842 (138)	1.07 (0.76-1.55)
Body mass index <30	5544 (938)		5544 (499)	
Active	2188 (343)	0.99 (0.79-1.25)	2188 (196)	0.97 (0.72-1.31)
High strain	598 (113)	1.23 (0.94-1.62)	598 (57)	1.08 (0.75-1.57)
Passive	2150 (387)	1.18 (0.95-1.48)	2150 (190)	0.99 (0.74-1.34)
Body mass index ≥30	516 (113)		516 (50)	
Active	167 (41)	1.92 (0.98-4.21)	167 (12)	0.54 (0.23-1.33)
High strain	70 (17)	2.05 (0.93-4.81)	70 (7)	0.88 (0.31-2.35)
Passive	209 (46)	1.76 (0.90-3.84)	209 (22)	0.83 (0.40-1.91)
No Diabetes at baseline	5900 (989)		5900 (515)	
Active	2292 (357)	1.04 (0.84-1.31)	2292 (194)	0.91 (0.69-1.22)
High strain	647 (123)	1.31 (1.01-1.72)	647 (60)	1.05 (0.74-1.50)

Passive	2299 (411)	1.24 (1.00-1.55)	2299 (200)	0.97 (0.73-1.30)
Diabetes at baseline	170 (63)		170 (34)	
Active	65 (27)	1.26 (0.56-3.39)	65 (14)	1.02 (0.36-3.59)
High strain	24 (8)	1.08 (0.37-3.28)	24 (4)	0.96 (0.23-4.09)
Passive	64 (22)	1.00 (0.43-2.73)	64 (12)	0.96 (0.33-3.43)

For peer review only

Table 3. Cox Regression models 6070 men followed from 1974-1977 until event or until 75 years of age. Subjects with events the first five years after baseline are excluded. Models adjusted for age, adiposity, diabetes, smoking and hypertension.

Strain group	Coronary heart disease		Stroke	
	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
Low strain	642 (85)	1.00	642 (60)	1.00
Active	2247 (312)	1.08 (0.85-1.38)	2275 (189)	0.93 (0.70-1.25)
High strain	630 (109)	1.29 (0.97-1.72)	640 (54)	0.91 (0.63-1.32)
Passive	2208 (351)	1.22 (0.97-1.56)	2248 (190)	0.94 (0.70-1.26)

Table 4. Cox regression models of 6070 men followed from 1974-1977 until event or until 75 years of age showing hazard ratios (HR) for low control and high demands in relation to coronary heart disease and stroke for different subgroups. All models are adjusted for age.

Subgroup	Coronary heart disease		Stroke	
	Low Control vs. high control	HR High Demands vs. low demands	HR Low Control vs. high control	HR High Demands vs. low demands
All	1.19 (1.06-1.35)	0.94 (0.84-1.07)	1.05 (0.89-1.24)	0.97 (0.82-1.15)
Never smokers	0.80 (0.60-1.07)	1.27 (0.95-1.71)	1.13 (0.78-1.64)	1.02 (0.70-1.48)
Ever smokers	1.32 (1.15-1.52)	0.87 (0.76-1.00)	1.01 (0.83-1.23)	0.95 (0.79-1.16)
No hypertension	1.28 (1.11-1.48)	0.88 (0.76-1.02)	1.14 (0.92-1.40)	0.96 (0.78-1.18)
Hypertension	1.02 (0.81-1.27)	1.12 (0.89-1.39)	0.91 (0.69-1.20)	0.98 (0.74-1.30)
BMI<30	1.20 (1.05-1.36)	0.92 (0.81-1.04)	1.03 (0.87-1.23)	1.00 (0.84-1.19)
BMI>=30	1.11 (0.77-1.61)	1.25 (0.86-1.81)	1.25 (0.72-2.22)	0.72 (0.40-1.26)
No diabetes	1.22 (1.07-1.38)	0.93 (0.82-1.05)	1.06 (0.89-1.26)	0.96 (0.81-1.14)
Diabetes	0.85 (0.51-1.40)	1.21 (0.74-2.01)	0.95 (0.47-1.87)	1.04 (0.52-2.07)
No stress	1.21 (1.04-1.42)	0.91 (0.78-1.06)	0.99 (0.80-1.23)	0.90 (0.73-1.12)
Self reported stress	1.16 (0.95-1.42)	0.96 (0.78-1.17)	1.15 (0.87-1.52)	1.04 (0.79-1.39)
Blue Collar	1.23 (0.98-1.56)	1.15 (0.93-1.41)	0.96 (0.72-1.31)	1.31 (0.97-1.73)
White collar	1.06 (0.84-1.33)	1.00 (0.80-1.26)	1.04 (0.75-1.40)	0.83 (0.62-1.12)

1
2
3 **A longitudinal general-population based study of job strain and risk for coronary heart**
4 **disease and stroke in Swedish men.**
5
6
7

8 Kjell Torén^{1,2}, Linus Schiöler¹, Kok W Giang³, Masuma Novak³, Mia Söderberg¹, Annika
9 Rosengren³
10
11

- 12 1. Section of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska
13 Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden
 - 14 2. Occupational Medicine, Respiratory Diseases and Toxicology, University of Perugia,
15 Italy
 - 16 3. Department of Molecular and Clinical Medicine, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska
17 Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden
- 18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 Corresponding author: Kjell Torén, Section of Occupational and Environmental Medicine,
28 University of Gothenburg, Box 414, S-405 30 Gothenburg, Sweden. Fax: +46 31 409728. Phone:
29 +46 31 7736262. E-mail: Kjell.Toren@amm.gu.se
30
31
32

33 The authors declare no conflicts of interest
34

35
36 **Word count (body of test); 2 621**
37

38
39 **Keywords**
40

41 Epidemiology, job strain, longitudinal, cerebrovascular disease
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Objectives

The aim was to investigate whether psycho-social stress based on the job-demand-control model increased the risk for coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke.

Setting

Swedish men

Participants

The Primary Prevention Study comprise 6 070 men born between 1915 and 1925 free from previous history of coronary heart disease and stroke at baseline (1974-1977). Psychosocial workplace exposure was assessed using a job-exposure matrix for the job-demand-control model based on occupation at baseline. The participants were followed from baseline examination, until death, until hospital discharge or until 75 years of age, using the Swedish national register on cause of death and the Swedish hospital discharge register for non-fatal and fatal stroke and CHD events. Cox regression models were used with stroke or CHD as the outcome using job-demand-control model and age as explanatory variables, as well as stratified models with regard to smoking, self-reported stress, socio-economic status, obesity, hypertension, and diabetes.

Primary and secondary outcome measures

Risk for stroke and coronary heart disease

Results

There was an increased risk (HR) for CHD in relation to high strain, HR 1.31, 95% CI 1.01-1.70. The risk was further increased among ever-smokers and among blue-collar workers. **There was a relation between low control and increased risk for CHD, HR 1.19, 95% CI 1.06-1.35.** There was no increased risk for stroke in any of the job-demand-control categories.

Conclusions

Exposure to occupational psycho-social stress defined as job strain **or low control** increased the risk for CHD, especially among smokers and blue-collar workers. There was no increased risk for stroke in any of the job-demand-control categories.

Introduction

During the last decades a growing body of evidence have accumulated showing that psychosocial stress is associated with adverse health outcomes, especially coronary heart disease (CHD) (1). Psycho-social stress as a risk factor has been studied both as a general factor and linked to the work-place. The most studied definition of work-place related stress has been the job-demand-control model (2). **According to Karasek the job demand variable constitutes volume and intensity of workload and job control referred to the working individual's potential control over pace and content of their tasks (2).** In this model it is postulated that the combination of high demands and low decision latitude at work results in high strain (job-strain) which increase the risk of cardiovascular diseases. There are studies showing that high strain is associated with a doubled risk of coronary heart disease. However, in a recent meta-analysis of 13 studies the association was rather modest, hazard ratio 1.23. The used models were adjusted for **gender** and age, and in some cases also for socioeconomic status. The authors concluded that adjustments for life style factors did not substantially affect the association (3). However, in **an additional paper from the** previous meta-analysis it was found that the risk of coronary heart disease was further increased among subjects with job-strain in combination with current smoking, being obese or reporting low physical activity (4). Hence, it seems to clear that job strain is associated with an increased risk for coronary heart disease, and there may also exist interactions with life-style factors.

There are studies indicating that self-reported psychosocial stress increase the risk for stroke (5, 6). However, the evidence between occupational exposure to psycho-social stress and stroke is less clear. There are a **two** longitudinal studies showing slightly increased risk for stroke associated with low control (7, 8). **One study showed an increased risk in working Finnish men (7) and in one study based on the Swedish working population there was an increased risk among women, but not among men (8).** The association with job-strain is also unclear, **two studies in women** show an association (9, 10) and **one study in the general population did not find any association, either among women or among men (11).** In a prospective general-population based study from Japan it was found that men, but not women, with high-strain job

1
2
3 had an increased risk for stroke, with more than doubled risks among those with active, passive
4 or high-strain jobs (12).
5
6
7

8
9 The aim of the present study was to investigate whether psycho-social stress based on the job-
10 demand-control model increased the risk for coronary heart disease and stroke. **The study base is**
11 **a longitudinal general population study of 6 070 Swedish men followed from baseline**
12 **examination (1974-1977), until death, until hospital discharge or until 75 years of age, using**
13 **the Swedish national register on cause of death and the Swedish hospital discharge register.**
14
15
16
17

18 19 **Methods**

20 21 **Study population**

22 The Primary Prevention Study (PPS) is a population-based cohort study from Gothenburg,
23 Sweden. It was established in 1970 as previously described (13). The source population
24 comprised all men living in Gothenburg born between 1915 and 1925. The initial study
25 population was a random sample of 10 000 men, and 7494 men (75% of the sample) participated
26 in screening examinations between January 1970 and March 1973 (14, 15). Three years later,
27 **1974-1977**, a clinical follow-up investigation was performed where 7 133 men participated. In
28 the present study we are using data from the first follow-up investigation, because in that round
29 there were complete occupational data. All participants gave their informed consent to
30 participate in the study and it was approved by the Ethics Committee for Medical Research at
31 Gothenburg University.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 The current occupation at baseline (**1974-1977**) was classified at three-digit level according to the
43 Nordic Classification of Occupations, NYK-74 (16). For assessing the psychosocial workplace
44 exposure we used a previously published job-exposure matrix (17, 18). This JEM was developed
45 in late 1970s based on information from large Swedish population surveys where around 12 000
46 randomly selected subjects aged 25 to 74 years were classified for psychological demands and
47 decision latitude. The JEM gives separate estimates of demand and control for 261 occupations
48 separated into gender and age (25-44 and 45 to 74). Socioeconomic status, high or low, was
49 obtained based on one-digit level of occupations, i e blue-collar vs. white-collar workers
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Psychological job demands and decision latitude were explored with four items each. All items
4 were scored using a scale (1-10), with a score of 10 indicating high psychological demands or
5 high decision latitude. Each subject was assigned a certain score based on occupation and age.
6
7 The scores were then dichotomized into high and low, using the median of the distribution as cut-
8 off. Combining demand and control with the median cut-offs divides the participants into four
9 categorical quadrants; high strain (high demand-low control), active (high demand-high control),
10 passive (low demand-low control) and low-strain (low demand-high control).
11
12

13
14
15
16 In the present study there was information at baseline about age, country of birth (Sweden/other),
17 body mass index (BMI), serum cholesterol (s-cholesterol) level, systolic blood pressure, diastolic
18 blood pressure, use of antihypertensive medication (yes/no), history of diabetes (yes/no),
19 coronary heart disease (yes/no), hypertension (yes/no) or stroke (yes/no) at baseline and smoking
20 as previously described (19).
21
22
23
24
25
26

27
28 Subjects with coronary heart disease or stroke at baseline (1974-1977) were excluded resulting in
29 a study population of 6 070 subjects (Table 1). Based on unique personal identification numbers,
30 participants were followed from the date of their baseline examination until death, until hospital
31 discharge or until 75 years of age, using the Swedish national register on cause of death and the
32 Swedish hospital discharge register. The hospital discharge register has operated on a nationwide
33 basis since 1987, but all discharges from Gothenburg hospitals have been entered in the national
34 register since 1970 (except for 1976, because of a legislative change for that year). Additional
35 data from the Gothenburg stroke register were used to identify strokes from the start of the study
36 until 1983. The International Classification of Disease (ICD) codes listed in the registries were
37 used to identify stroke events (both non-fatal and fatal events) and coronary heart disease during
38 the entire follow-up period. The eighth version of the ICD code was used until 1986, ICD-9 was
39 used from 1987 to 1996, and ICD-10 was used from 1997 onwards. Ischemic stroke was defined
40 as ICD codes 431-438 and I61-I69. Non-fatal CHD was defined as 410 and I21. Fatal CHD was
41 defined as 410-414 and I20-I25. **Each type of event was treated separately and only the first
42 event of each type was used in the analysis.**
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics are presented as percentages or mean values with standard deviations (SD). All analyses were performed using the SAS statistical package (version 9.3) and R (version 3.0.1). The material were analysed with Cox regression models using SAS (the PHREG procedure). The proportional hazards assumptions were investigated using tests and plots based on weighted residuals (20) using the R package Survival. All assumptions were found reasonable except for the analysis of baseline diabetes. Hospital care or mortality (whatever came first) from stroke or coronary heart disease were events and time were measured as months since baseline. The observation period stopped at the age of 75. In the crude model hazard ratios were calculated using the job-demand-control model and age as explanatory variables. The low strain group was used as reference group. There were also models using high strain vs. all other subjects as an independent variable. There were also separate models stratifying for ever-smoking and never-smoking, adiposity (body mass index ≥ 30) or not, hypertension or not at baseline and diabetes or not, **self-reported stress or not at baseline and finally status as white-collar worker or blue-collar worker at baseline**. There was also one model with five years latency time, i.e excluding subject with any event occurring during the five first year during the follow-up.

Results

For the follow-up period there were 1 052 events due to coronary heart disease. The Cox regression models adjusted for age showed an increased risk (HR) for coronary heart disease in relation to high job strain, HR 1.31, 95% CI 1.01-1.70 (Table 2). In the stratified analysis high strain was associated with an even slightly higher risk for coronary heart disease among ever-smokers, (HR 1.37, 95% CI 1.02-1.83) and among blue-collar-workers (HR 1.36, 95% CI 1.01-1.84). Among obese subjects there was an indication of increased risk in relation to high-strain (HR 2.05, 95% CI 0.93-4.81) but with wide confidence intervals. In other strata there was an increased risk for coronary heart disease in the active group among those with hypertension (HR 1.50, 95% CI 1.00-2.33) (Table 2).

For the follow-up period there were 549 events due to stroke. In the total population there was no increased risk for stroke in any of the job-demand-control categories. In the stratified analyses those with self-reported stress at baseline seem to have increased risk, both in the active group (HR 1.98, 95% CI 1.09-4.05) and in the passive group as well (HR 2.15, 95% CI 1.17-4.44) (Table 2).

In the additional analyses subjects with five years latency time the results were similar. The risk for coronary heart disease among subjects with high strain was slightly higher (HR 1.34, 95% CI 1.00-1.80) (Table 3). In the final full model, adjusted age, adiposity, diabetes, smoking and hypertension, there was an indication of increased risk of CHD in relation to high strain (HR 1.29, 95% CI 0.97-1.72). There were no increased risks for stroke.

Further additional analyses explored the relations between low control and high demands, respectively. There was a clear relation between low control and increased risk for coronary heart disease (Table 4). This was found among all subjects, but also among smokers and in subjects without hypertension, without diabetes, without self-reported stress or with BMI<30. There were no clear signals regarding high demands and risk for coronary heart disease. There were no significant relations between low control or high demands and the risk for stroke (Table 4).

Discussion

Principal findings

In line with the other studies an increased risk for coronary heart disease was found in relation to high strain, job-strain. Of interest is that job strain risks increased further among ever-smokers, obese subjects and among subjects with low socioeconomic status. Active subjects with hypertension also ran an increased risk for coronary heart disease. **There was also a clear association between low control and increased risk for coronary heart disease.** Of interest, even if it is a negative report, is that there was no increased risk for stroke in most of the job-demand control groups. In the strata with high levels of self-reported stress there was an increased risk for stroke in all job-demand categories.

Methodological considerations

This study has several strengths, a general-population sample with a long period of follow-up and use of a national mortality register and hospital discharge register with high coverage. The assessment of psycho-social stress is based on occupations, which probably is less biased than self-reports of demand and control. Further, the population was in their fifties at baseline, meaning that they are quite stable in their occupations. Finally, the study comprises only men which limit the external validity.

The regression models have been adjusted for age. The study population comprises only men. In the literature it has been discussed that further adjustments for different lifestyle factors marginally decreased the risk estimates, which is in line with the findings from a large metaanalyses (3). There is also a possibility that that smoking, adiposity and hypertension can be part of the causal chain act and hence stratifications are to prefer. Further, there were no separate adjustments for socioeconomic position. The reason is that the exposure for psychosocial stress is based on occupational titles and the socioeconomic position is part of the occupational classification. However, in the stratified analysis, the risk for CHD was increased among blue-collar workers (low SES), but not among the white-collar works (high SES). In the literature, the job-demand-control model mostly predicts to ill-health associations among blue-collar workers, often men (2, 17, 21, 22, 23, 24). One plausible explanation is that the development of the JDC model was mainly conducted among male blue-collar jobs, and is consequently adjusted to such

1
2
3 job characteristics. When broadening study populations, more conflicting findings emerge. For
4 example, little support has been found for female high-strain related ill-health (25).
5
6
7

8
9 *The effect of psycho-social stress*

10 **It is evident from this study that both high strain and the passive dimension increase the**
11 **risk for coronary heart disease. It is of interest that low control, the common denominator**
12 **for job-strain and the passive dimension, was clearly related to increased risk for coronary**
13 **heart disease.**
14
15
16
17

18
19 The results from the present study indicate that exposure to occupational psycho-social stress is
20 not increasing the risk for stroke. The study is rather large, 549 stroke events, and there was no
21 increased risks in different lifestyle strata. Previously the association with job-strain has been
22 unclear with both positive (9, 10, 12) and negative studies (11). There is probably a publication
23 bias as studies with no or weak associations may not be published, as it has been shown for job
24 strain and coronary heart disease (3). Hypertension has been associated with occupational
25 psycho-social stress, especially among men (26). However, job strain was not associated with
26 stroke among men with hypertension at baseline. Hence, the present study indicates that stroke
27 has a different pattern of risk factors compared to coronary heart disease.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36
37 Self-reported stress has been associated with stroke, both in cohort studies (5, 27, 28) and in case-
38 control studies (6, 29). Self-reported stress is a global composite item reflecting both
39 occupational and non-occupational exposure. In the present study, increased risks for stroke was
40 among subjects reporting stress at baseline and belonging to either the active, high strain or
41 passive strata (compared to low strain). This may indicate an interaction between occupational
42 and non-occupational stress in relation to stroke.
43
44
45
46
47

48
49 One limitation of our study is that there was only one assessment of the exposure the
50 occupational psychosocial stress. There are indications in the literature that psychosocial stress
51 occurs late in the causal chain for stroke as indicated by the observation that studies with short
52 period of follow-up have higher risks of stroke in relation to psychosocial stress (8, 9). We have a
53 rather long observation period, which may result in low risk estimates due to misclassification of
54 the exposure.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **Another limitation of this study is that the sample consisted only of men. Previous studies**
4 **have indicated that health outcomes differ between men and women when exposed to Job**
5 **demand-control (8, 9, 10, 11, 22, 25). Since the cohort was established with only men it was**
6 **not possible to include women in our study, but we recommend that future similar analyzes**
7 **include women as to investigate gender differences**
8
9

10
11
12 The study confirms the previous findings of an association with job strain and risk for coronary
13 heart disease. Compared to some other studies the risk levels were quite modest, which may
14 reflect non-differential misclassification of the exposure assessment or may reflect the true
15 underlying risk (3, 30). There was a slight interaction with lifestyle factors, especially smoking
16 and to some extent also obesity, as the risks were further increased among smoking subjects and
17 obese subjects. Positive interaction with both smoking and obesity was recently shown in a meta-
18 analysis (4). A finding that needs to be replicated is that active subjects with hypertension had a
19 high risk for coronary heart disease.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 *Conclusions*

31
32 Exposure to occupational psycho-social stress defined as job strain increased the risk for CHD,
33 especially among smokers and blue-collar workers. **Low control was also related to increased**
34 **risk for CHD.** There was no increased risk for stroke in any of the job-demand-control
35 categories.
36
37
38
39

40 **Acknowledgements**

41
42 The study was funded by the Swedish Research Council (VR), the Swedish Research Council for
43 Health, Working Life and Welfare (FORTE) and the Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 **Strengths and limitations of the paper;**

51
52 Job strain increase the risk for coronary heart disease but the relation to stroke is uncertain. There
53 is a need for longitudinal general-population based studies in this field. This study confirms that
54 job strain increase the risk for coronary heart disease, but the risk seems to be limited to blue-
55 collar workers. There was no clear relation between job strain and risk for stroke.
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Rosengren A, Hawkens S, Ounpuu S, Sliwa K, Zubaid M, Almahmeed W, Blackett KN, Sittiamorn C, Sato H, Yusuf S. Association of psychosocial risk factors with risk of acute myocardial infarction in 11 119 cases and 13 648 controls from 52 countries (the INTERHEART study: case-control study). *Lancet* 2004;364:953-962.
2. Karasek RA. Job demands, job decision latitude, and mental strain: Implications for job redesign. *Admin Sci Qtrly* 1979;24:285-307.
3. Kivimäki M, Nyberg ST, Batty GD, Fransson EI, Heikkilä K, Alfredsson L, Björner JB, et al. Job strain as a risk factor for coronary heart disease: A collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Lancet* 2012;380:1491-1497.
4. Kivimäki M, Nyberg ST, Fransson EI, Heikkilä K, Alfredsson L, Casini A, Clays E, et al. Associations of job strain and lifestyle factors with risk of coronary artery disease: A meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Can Med Assoc J* 2013;185:763-769.
5. Harmsen P, Lappas G, Rosengren A, Wilhelmsen L. Long-term risk factors for stroke: Twenty-eight years of follow-up of 7457 middle-aged men in Göteborg, Sweden. *Stroke* 2006; 37:1663-1667
6. O'Donnell MJ, Xavier D, Liu L, Zhang H, Chin SL, Rao-Melacini P, Rangarajan S, Islam S, Pais P, McQueen MJ, Mondo C, Damasceno A, Lopez-Jaramillo P, Hankey GJ, Dans AL, Yusuf K, Truelsen T, Diener HC, Sacco RL, Ryglewicz D, Czlonkowska A, Weimar C, Wang X, Yusuf S. Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): a case-control study. *Lancet* 2010;376:112-23.
7. Virtanen SV, Notkola V. Socioeconomic inequalities in cardiovascular mortality and the role of work: A register based study of Finnish men. *Int J Epidemiol* 2002;31:614-621.

- 1
2
3 8. Toivanen S, Hernström Ö. Is the impact of job control on stroke independent of socioeconomic
4 status? A large scale study of the Swedish working population. *Stroke* 2008;39:1321-1323.
5
6
7
8
9 9. Kivimäki M, Gomeno D, Ferrie JE, Gatty GD, Oksanen T, Jokel aM et al. Socioeconomic
10 position, psychosocial work environment and cerebrovascular disease among women: The
11 Finnish public sector study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2009;38:1265-71.
12
13
14
15
16 10. Kuper H, Adami HO, Theorell T, Weiderpass E. The socioeconomic gradient in the incidence
17 of stroke: A prospective study in middle-aged women in Sweden. *Stroke* 2007;38:27-33.
18
19
20
21
22 11. André-Petersson L, Engström G, Hagberg B, Janzon L, Rosvall M. Social support at work
23 and the risk for **myocardial** infarction and stroke in women and men. *Soc Sci Med* 2007;64:830-
24 841.
25
26
27
28
29 12. Tsutsumi A, Kayaba K, Kario K, Ishikawa S. Prospective study on occupational stress and
30 risk of stroke. *Arch Intern Med* 2009;169:56-61.
31
32
33
34 13. Wilhelmsen L, Berglund G, Elmfeldt D, Tibblin G, Wedel H, Pennert K, Vedin A,
35 Wilhelmsson C, Werkö L. The multifactor primary prevention trial in Gothenburg, Sweden. *Eur*
36 *Heart J* 1986;7:279-288.
37
38
39
40
41 14. Rosengren A, Hauptman PJ, Lappas G, Olsson L, Wilhelmsen L, Swedberg K. Big men and
42 atrial fibrillation: Effects of body size and weight gain on risk of atrial fibrillation in men. *Eur*
43 *Heart J* 2009;30:1113-1120.
44
45
46
47
48 **15. Novak M, Torén K, Lappas G, Kok WG, Jern C, Wilhelmsen L, Rosengren A.**
49 **Socioeconomic status and incidences of ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke in Swedish men:**
50 **A population-based 35-year prospective follow-up study. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2013;28:697-704.**
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
16. Arbetsmarknadsstyrelsen (1974) Nordisk yrkesklassificering: Systematisk förteckning över yrkesområden, yrkesgrupper, yrkesfamiljer och individualyrken med kodnummer och definitioner. Stockholm, Sweden
17. Johnson JV, Stewart W, Hall EM, Fredlund P, Theorell T. Lon-term psychosocial work environment and cardiovascular mortality among Swedish men. *Am J Public health* 1996;86:324-331.
18. Fredlund P, Hallqvist J, Diderichsen F. Psykosocial yrkesexponeringsmatris. *Arbete och Hälsa* 2000;11:1-37 [In Swedish].
19. Giang KW, Björck L, Novak M, Lappas G, Wilhelmsen L, Torén K, Rosengren A. Stroke and CHD – Predictive power of standard risk factors until old age. Long term cumulative risk study among men in Gothenburg, Sweden. *Eur Heart J* 2013;34:1068-1074.
20. Grambsch, P M, Therneau, T M..Proportional hazards tests and diagnostics based on weighted residuals. *Biometrika* 1994;81:515-526.
21. Kuper H, Marmot M, Hemingway H: Systematic review of prospective Cohort Studies of Psychosocial Factors in the Etiology and Prognosis of Coronary Heart Disease. *Semin Vasc Med* 2002, 2:266-314.
22. Van der Doef M, Maes, S: The Job Demand-control (-Support) model and psychological well-being: a review of 20 years of empirical research. *Work Stress* 1999;2:87-114.
23. Häusser JA, Mojzisch AM, Niesel M, Schulz-Hardt, S: Ten years on: A review of recent research on the Job Demand-Control (-Support) model and psychological well-being. *Work Stress* 2010; 24:1-35.

- 1
2
3 24. Bosma H, Peter R, Siegrist J, Marmot M: Two alternative Job Stress Models and the Risk of
4 Coronary Heart Disease. *Am J Public Health* 1988;88:68-74.
5
6
7
8
9 25. Belkic KL, Landsbergis PA, Schnall PL, Baker D: Is job strain a major source of cardiovascular
10 disease risk? *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2004;30:85-128.
11
12
13
14 26. Diène E, Fouquet A, Esquirol Y. Cardiovascular diseases and psychosocial factors at work. *Arch*
15 *Cardiovasc Dis* 2012;105:33-39.
16
17
18
19 27. Truelsen T, Nielsen N, Boysen G, Grønbaek. Self-reported stress and risk of stroke: the
20 Copenhagen City Heart Study. *Stroke* 2003;34:856-62
21
22
23
24 28. Öhlin B, Nilsson PM, Nilsson J-Å, Berglund B. Chronic psychosocial stress predicts long-term
25 cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in middle-aged men. *Eur Heart J* 2004;25:867-73.
26
27
28
29
30 29. Jood K, Redfors P, Rosengren A, Blomstrand C, Jern C. Self-perceived psychological stress and
31 ischemic stroke: a case-control study. *BMC Medicine* 2009;7:53
32
33
34
35 30. Netterstrøm B, Kristensen TS, Jensen G, Schnor P. Is the demand-control model still a useful
36 tool to assess work-related psychosocial risk for ischemic heart disease? Results from 14 year follow
37 up in the Copenhagen City Heart Study. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health* 2010;23:217-224.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of a general population study of 6 070 Swedish men.

	Active N=2357	High strain N=671	Low strain N=679	Passive N=2363	Overall N=6070
Age, yrs (SD)	55.2 (2.1)	55.3 (2)	55.2 (2)	55.4 (2)	55.3 (2.1)
Cholesterol, mmol/L (SD)	6.37 (1.05)	6.45 (1.1)	6.37 (0.99)	6.43 (1.05)	6.4 (1.05)
SBP, mm Hg (SD)	145.1(19.5)	147.2 (20.5)	145.2 (18.8)	146.8 (19.7)	146 (19.6)
BMI, kg/m ² (SD)	25.5 (3.1)	25.6 (3.4)	25.8 (3.2)	25.8 (3.4)	25.6 (3.3)
Diabetes, % (N)	2.8 (65)	3.6 (24)	2.5 (17)	2.7 (64)	2.8 (170)
Hypertension, % (N)	21.8 (512)	23.9 (160)	22.5 (152)	21.9 (517)	22.1 (1341)
Hypertensive medication, % (N)	15.2 (358)	16.5 (111)	14.6 (99)	13.9 (328)	14.8 (896)
Smoking status					
Never % (N) N=1437	25.8 (607)	21 (141)	25.2 (171)	21.9 (518)	23.7 (1437)
Current % (N) N=2460	37.3 (879)	47.4 (318)	39.0 (265)	42.2 (998)	40.5 (2460)
Former % (N) N=1890	32.7 (771)	27 (181)	31.4 (213)	30.7 (725)	31.1 (1890)
Unknown % (N) N=283	4.2 (100)	4.6 (31)	4.4 (30)	5.2 (122)	4.7 (283)
Number of events (mean age, yrs, at event)					
Coronary heart disease	384 (66.2)	131 (66.4)	104 (65.9)	433 (65.9)	1052 (66.0)
Stroke	208	64	65	212	549
Mean follow-up time, months					
Coronary heart disease	200	193	199	192	196
Stroke	204	195	203	197	200

Table 2. Cox Regression models 6070 men followed from 1974-1977 until event or until 75 years of age. All models are adjusted for age. Low strain group is used as the reference group in all analyses.

Strain group	Coronary heart disease		Stroke	
	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
All	6070 (1052)		6070 (549)	
Active	2357 (384)	1.06 (0.85-1.32)	2357 (208)	0.91 (0.70-1.22)
High strain	671 (131)	1.31 (1.01-1.70)	671 (64)	1.05 (0.74-1.48)
Passive	2363 (433)	1.23 (1.00-1.53)	2363 (212)	0.96 (0.74-1.28)
Ever-smokers	4350 (826)		4350 (406)	
Active	1650 (277)	1.00 (0.78-1.29)	1650 (152)	0.87 (0.64-1.20)
High strain	499 (109)	1.37 (1.02-1.83)	499 (46)	0.92 (0.62-1.38)
Passive	1723 (361)	1.31 (1.03-1.68)	1723 (158)	0.90 (0.66-1.25)
Never-smokers	1437 (184)		1437 (113)	
Active	607 (88)	1.21 (0.76-1.99)	607 (46)	1.11 (0.61-2.18)
High strain	141 (18)	1.04 (0.55-1.96)	141 (13)	1.39 (0.63-3.08)
Passive	518 (57)	0.90 (0.55-1.52)	518 (42)	1.18 (0.64-2.35)
Blue-collar	3020 (555)		3020 (279)	
Active	41 (7)	1.04 (0.44-2.11)	41 (5)	1.30 (0.45-2.906)
High strain	492 (101)	1.36 (1.01-1.84)	53 (492)	1.22 (0.82-1.82)
Passive	2008 (372)	1.20 (0.94-1.55)	2008 (75)	0.93 (0.68-1.30)
White-collar	3050 (497)		3050 (270)	
Active	2316 (377)	1.14 (0.80-1.71)	2316 (203)	0.93 (0.60-1.54)
High strain	179 (30)	1.15 (0.69-1.92)	179 (11)	0.63 (0.29-1.30)
Passive	355 (612)	1.24 (0.80-1.95)	355 (37)	1.16 (0.68-2.06)
Self-reported stress at baseline	2177 (381)		2177 (201)	
Active	1014 (170)	1.01 (0.70-1.49)	1014 (98)	1.98 (1.09-4.05)
High strain	236 (47)	1.28 (0.82-2.02)	236 (20)	1.92 (0.92-4.28)

Passive	722 (131)	1.13 (0.78-1.69)	722 (73)	2.15 (1.17-4.44)
No self-reported stress at baseline	3742 (381)		3742 (330)	
Active	1304 (203)	1.00 (0.77-1.32)	1304 (105)	0.67 (0.49-0.94)
High strain	411 (77)	1.23 (0.89-1.70)	411 (40)	0.84 (0.56-1.26)
Passive	1568 (635)	1.21 (0.94-1.58)	1568 (131)	0.72 (0.53-1.00)
Hypertension at baseline	1341 (314)		1341 (201)	
Active	512 (129)	1.50 (1.00-2.33)	512 (78)	0.86 (0.56-1.35)
High strain	160 (37)	1.37 (0.84-2.29)	160 (22)	0.79 (0.45-1.38)
Passive	517 (122)	1.41 (0.94-2.20)	517 (74)	0.81 (0.53-1.29)
No hypertension at baseline	4715 (736)		4715 (347)	
Active	1840 (254)	0.93 (0.72-1.21)	1840 (129)	0.96 (0.68-1.39)
High strain	509 (94)	1.30 (0.96-1.76)	509 (42)	1.21 (0.78-1.88)
Passive	1842 (311)	1.18 (0.93-1.53)	1842 (138)	1.07 (0.76-1.55)
Body mass index <30	5544 (938)		5544 (499)	
Active	2188 (343)	0.99 (0.79-1.25)	2188 (196)	0.97 (0.72-1.31)
High strain	598 (113)	1.23 (0.94-1.62)	598 (57)	1.08 (0.75-1.57)
Passive	2150 (387)	1.18 (0.95-1.48)	2150 (190)	0.99 (0.74-1.34)
Body mass index ≥30	516 (113)		516 (50)	
Active	167 (41)	1.92 (0.98-4.21)	167 (12)	0.54 (0.23-1.33)
High strain	70 (17)	2.05 (0.93-4.81)	70 (7)	0.88 (0.31-2.35)
Passive	209 (46)	1.76 (0.90-3.84)	209 (22)	0.83 (0.40-1.91)
No Diabetes at baseline	5900 (989)		5900 (515)	
Active	2292 (357)	1.04 (0.84-1.31)	2292 (194)	0.91 (0.69-1.22)
High strain	647 (123)	1.31 (1.01-1.72)	647 (60)	1.05 (0.74-1.50)

Passive	2299 (411)	1.24 (1.00-1.55)	2299 (200)	0.97 (0.73-1.30)
Diabetes at baseline	170 (63)		170 (34)	
Active	65 (27)	1.26 (0.56-3.39)	65 (14)	1.02 (0.36-3.59)
High strain	24 (8)	1.08 (0.37-3.28)	24 (4)	0.96 (0.23-4.09)
Passive	64 (22)	1.00 (0.43-2.73)	64 (12)	0.96 (0.33-3.43)

For peer review only

Table 3. Cox Regression models 6070 men followed from 1974-1977 until event or until 75 years of age. Subjects with events the first five years after baseline are excluded. Models adjusted for age, adiposity, diabetes, smoking and hypertension.

Strain group	Coronary heart disease		Stroke	
	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
Low strain	642 (85)	1.00	642 (60)	1.00
Active	2247 (312)	1.08 (0.85-1.38)	2275 (189)	0.93 (0.70-1.25)
High strain	630 (109)	1.29 (0.97-1.72)	640 (54)	0.91 (0.63-1.32)
Passive	2208 (351)	1.22 (0.97-1.56)	2248 (190)	0.94 (0.70-1.26)

Table 4. Cox regression models of 6070 men followed from 1974-1977 until event or until 75 years of age showing hazard ratios (HR) for low control and high demands in relation to coronary heart disease and stroke for different subgroups. All models are adjusted for age.

Subgroup	Coronary heart disease		Stroke	
	Low Control vs. high control	HR High Demands vs. low demands	HR Low Control vs. high control	HR High Demands vs. low demands
All	1.19 (1.06-1.35)	0.94 (0.84-1.07)	1.05 (0.89-1.24)	0.97 (0.82-1.15)
Never smokers	0.80 (0.60-1.07)	1.27 (0.95-1.71)	1.13 (0.78-1.64)	1.02 (0.70-1.48)
Ever smokers	1.32 (1.15-1.52)	0.87 (0.76-1.00)	1.01 (0.83-1.23)	0.95 (0.79-1.16)
No hypertension	1.28 (1.11-1.48)	0.88 (0.76-1.02)	1.14 (0.92-1.40)	0.96 (0.78-1.18)
Hypertension	1.02 (0.81-1.27)	1.12 (0.89-1.39)	0.91 (0.69-1.20)	0.98 (0.74-1.30)
BMI<30	1.20 (1.05-1.36)	0.92 (0.81-1.04)	1.03 (0.87-1.23)	1.00 (0.84-1.19)
BMI>=30	1.11 (0.77-1.61)	1.25 (0.86-1.81)	1.25 (0.72-2.22)	0.72 (0.40-1.26)
No diabetes	1.22 (1.07-1.38)	0.93 (0.82-1.05)	1.06 (0.89-1.26)	0.96 (0.81-1.14)
Diabetes	0.85 (0.51-1.40)	1.21 (0.74-2.01)	0.95 (0.47-1.87)	1.04 (0.52-2.07)
No stress	1.21 (1.04-1.42)	0.91 (0.78-1.06)	0.99 (0.80-1.23)	0.90 (0.73-1.12)
Self reported stress	1.16 (0.95-1.42)	0.96 (0.78-1.17)	1.15 (0.87-1.52)	1.04 (0.79-1.39)
Blue Collar	1.23 (0.98-1.56)	1.15 (0.93-1.41)	0.96 (0.72-1.31)	1.31 (0.97-1.73)
White collar	1.06 (0.84-1.33)	1.00 (0.80-1.26)	1.04 (0.75-1.40)	0.83 (0.62-1.12)



A longitudinal general-population based study of job strain and risk for coronary heart disease and stroke in Swedish men.

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2013-004355.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	07-Feb-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Torén, Kjell; Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden, Section of Occupational and Environmental Medicine Schiöler, Linus; Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden, Section of Occupational and Environmental Medicine Kok, Wai; Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden, Department of Molecular and Clinical Medicine Novak, Masuma; Medicine, ; Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden, Department of Molecular and Clinical Medicine Söderberg, Mia; Medicine, Occupational and environmental medicine; Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden, Section of Occupational and Environmental Medicine Rosengren, Annika; Medicine, ; Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden, Department of Molecular and Clinical Medicine
Primary Subject Heading:	Occupational and environmental medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Cardiovascular medicine, Epidemiology
Keywords:	STROKE MEDICINE, PUBLIC HEALTH, OCCUPATIONAL & INDUSTRIAL MEDICINE

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 **A longitudinal general-population based study of job strain and risk for coronary heart**
4 **disease and stroke in Swedish men.**
5
6
7

8 Kjell Torén^{1,2}, Linus Schiöler¹, Kok W Giang³, Masuma Novak³, Mia Söderberg¹, Annika
9 Rosengren³
10
11

- 12
13
14
15 1. Section of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska
16 Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden
17
18 2. Occupational Medicine, Respiratory Diseases and Toxicology, University of Perugia,
19 Italy
20
21 3. Department of Molecular and Clinical Medicine, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska
22 Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden
23
24
25
26

27 Corresponding author: Kjell Torén, Section of Occupational and Environmental Medicine,
28 University of Gothenburg, Box 414, S-405 30 Gothenburg, Sweden. Fax: +46 31 409728. Phone:
29 +46 31 7736262. E-mail: Kjell.Toren@amm.gu.se
30
31
32

33 The authors declare no conflicts of interest
34
35

36 **Word count (body of test); 2 623**
37
38

39 **Keywords**
40

41 Epidemiology, job strain, longitudinal, cerebrovascular disease
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Objectives

The aim was to investigate whether psycho-social stress based on the job-demand-control model increased the risk for coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke.

Setting

Swedish men

Participants

The Primary Prevention Study comprise 6 070 men born between 1915 and 1925 free from previous history of coronary heart disease and stroke at baseline (1974-1977). Psychosocial workplace exposure was assessed using a job-exposure matrix for the job-demand-control model based on occupation at baseline. The participants were followed from baseline examination, until death, until hospital discharge or until 75 years of age, using the Swedish national register on cause of death and the Swedish hospital discharge register for non-fatal and fatal stroke and CHD events. Cox regression models were used with stroke or CHD as the outcome using job-demand-control model and age as explanatory variables, as well as stratified models with regard to smoking, self-reported stress, socio-economic status, obesity, hypertension, and diabetes.

Primary and secondary outcome measures

Risk for stroke and coronary heart disease

Results

There was an increased risk (HR) for CHD in relation to high strain, HR 1.31, 95% CI 1.01-1.70. The risk was further increased among ever-smokers and among blue-collar workers. There was a relation between low control and increased risk for CHD, HR 1.19, 95% CI 1.06-1.35. There was no increased risk for stroke in any of the job-demand-control categories.

Conclusions

Exposure to occupational psycho-social stress defined as job strain or low control increased the risk for CHD, especially among smokers and blue-collar workers. There was no increased risk for stroke in any of the job-demand-control categories.

Strengths and limitations of the paper;

Job strain increase the risk for coronary heart disease but the relation to stroke is uncertain. There is a need for longitudinal general-population based studies in this field. This study confirms that job strain increase the risk for coronary heart disease, but the risk seems to be limited to blue-collar workers. There was no clear relation between job strain and risk for stroke.

For peer review only

Introduction

During the last decades a growing body of evidence have accumulated showing that psychosocial stress is associated with adverse health outcomes, especially coronary heart disease (CHD) (1). Psycho-social stress as a risk factor has been studied both as a general factor and linked to the work-place. The most studied definition of work-place related stress has been the job-demand-control model (2). According to Karasek the job demand variable constitutes volume and intensity of workload and job control referred to the working individual's potential control over pace and content of their tasks (2). In this model it is postulated that the combination of high demands and low decision latitude at work results in high strain (job-strain) which increase the risk of cardiovascular diseases. There are studies showing that high strain is associated with a doubled risk of coronary heart disease. However, in a recent meta-analysis of 13 studies the association was rather modest, hazard ratio 1.23. The used models were adjusted for gender and age, and in some cases also for socioeconomic status. The authors concluded that adjustments for life style factors did not substantially affect the association (3). However, in an additional paper from the previous meta-analysis it was found that the risk of coronary heart disease was further increased among subjects with job-strain in combination with current smoking, being obese or reporting low physical activity (4). Hence, it seems to clear that job strain is associated with an increased risk for coronary heart disease, and there may also exist interactions with life-style factors.

There are studies indicating that self-reported psychosocial stress increase the risk for stroke (5, 6). However, the evidence between occupational exposure to psycho-social stress and stroke is less clear. There are a two longitudinal studies showing slightly increased risk for stroke associated with low control (7, 8). One study showed an increased risk in working Finnish men (7) and in one study based on the Swedish working population there was an increased risk among women, but not among men (8). The association with job-strain is also unclear, two studies in women show an association (9, 10) and one study in the general population did not find any association, either among women or among men (11). In a prospective general-population based study from Japan it was found that men, but not women, with high-strain job had an increased

1
2
3 risk for stroke, with more than doubled risks among those with active, passive or high-strain jobs
4 (12).
5
6
7

8
9 The aim of the present study was to investigate whether psycho-social stress based on the job-
10 demand-control model increased the risk for coronary heart disease and stroke. The study base is
11 a longitudinal general population study of 6 070 Swedish men followed from baseline
12 examination (1974-1977), until death, until hospital discharge or until 75 years of age, using the
13 Swedish national register on cause of death and the Swedish hospital discharge register.
14
15
16
17

18 19 **Methods**

20 21 **Study population**

22 The Primary Prevention Study (PPS) is a population-based cohort study from Gothenburg,
23 Sweden. It was established in 1970 as previously described (13). The source population
24 comprised all men living in Gothenburg born between 1915 and 1925. The initial study
25 population was a random sample of 10 000 men, and 7494 men (75% of the sample) participated
26 in screening examinations between January 1970 and March 1973 (14, 15). Three years later,
27 1974-1977, a clinical follow-up investigation was performed where 7 133 men participated. In
28 the present study we are using data from the first follow-up investigation, because in that round
29 there were complete occupational data. All participants gave their informed consent to
30 participate in the study and it was approved by the Ethics Committee for Medical Research at
31 Gothenburg University.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 The current occupation at baseline (1974-1977) was classified at three-digit level according to the
43 Nordic Classification of Occupations, NYK-74 (16). For assessing the psychosocial workplace
44 exposure we used a previously published job-exposure matrix (17, 18). This JEM was developed
45 in late 1970s based on information from large Swedish population surveys where around 12 000
46 randomly selected subjects aged 25 to 74 years were classified for psychological demands and
47 decision latitude. The JEM gives separate estimates of demand and control for 261 occupations
48 separated into gender and age (25-44 and 45 to 74). Socioeconomic status, high or low, was
49 obtained based on one-digit level of occupations, i e blue-collar vs. white-collar workers
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Psychological job demands and decision latitude were explored with four items each. All items
4 were scored using a scale (1-10), with a score of 10 indicating high psychological demands or
5 high decision latitude. Each subject was assigned a certain score based on occupation and age.
6
7 The scores were then dichotomized into high and low, using the median of the distribution as cut-
8 off. Combining demand and control with the median cut-offs divides the participants into four
9 categorical quadrants; high strain (high demand-low control), active (high demand-high control),
10 passive (low demand-low control) and low-strain (low demand-high control).
11
12

13
14
15
16 In the present study there was information at baseline about age, country of birth (Sweden/other),
17 body mass index (BMI), serum cholesterol (s-cholesterol) level, systolic blood pressure, diastolic
18 blood pressure, use of antihypertensive medication (yes/no), history of diabetes (yes/no),
19 coronary heart disease (yes/no), hypertension (yes/no) or stroke (yes/no) at baseline, self-reported
20 stress and smoking as previously described (19).
21
22
23
24
25
26

27
28 Subjects with coronary heart disease or stroke at baseline (1974-1977) were excluded resulting in
29 a study population of 6 070 subjects (Table 1). Based on unique personal identification numbers,
30 participants were followed from the date of their baseline examination until death, until hospital
31 discharge or until 75 years of age, using the Swedish national register on cause of death and the
32 Swedish hospital discharge register. The hospital discharge register has operated on a nationwide
33 basis since 1987, but all discharges from Gothenburg hospitals have been entered in the national
34 register since 1970 (except for 1976, because of a legislative change for that year). Additional
35 data from the Gothenburg stroke register were used to identify strokes from the start of the study
36 until 1983. The International Classification of Disease (ICD) codes listed in the registries were
37 used to identify stroke events (both non-fatal and fatal events) and coronary heart disease during
38 the entire follow-up period. The eighth version of the ICD code was used until 1986, ICD-9 was
39 used from 1987 to 1996, and ICD-10 was used from 1997 onwards. Ischemic stroke was defined
40 as ICD codes 431-438 and I61-I69. Non-fatal CHD was defined as 410 and I21. Fatal CHD was
41 defined as 410-414 and I20-I25. Each type of event was treated separately and only the first event
42 of each type was used in the analysis.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics are presented as percentages or mean values with standard deviations (SD). All analyses were performed using the SAS statistical package (version 9.3) and R (version 3.0.1). The material were analysed with Cox regression models using SAS (the PHREG procedure). The proportional hazards assumptions were investigated using tests and plots based on weighted residuals (20) using the R package Survival. All assumptions were found reasonable except for the analysis of baseline diabetes. Hospital care or mortality (whatever came first) from stroke or coronary heart disease were events and time were measured as months since baseline. The observation period stopped at the age of 75. In the crude model hazard ratios were calculated using the job-demand-control model and age as explanatory variables. The low strain group was used as reference group. There were also models using high strain vs. all other subjects as an independent variable. There were also separate models stratifying for ever-smoking and never-smoking, adiposity (body mass index ≥ 30) or not, hypertension or not at baseline and diabetes or not, self-reported stress or not at baseline and finally status as white-collar worker or blue-collar worker at baseline. There was also one model with five years latency time, i.e excluding subject with any event occurring during the five first year during the follow-up.

Results

For the follow-up period there were 1 052 events due to coronary heart disease. The Cox regression models adjusted for age showed an increased risk (HR) for coronary heart disease in relation to high job strain, HR 1.31, 95% CI 1.01-1.70 (Table 2). In the stratified analysis high strain was associated with an even slightly higher risk for coronary heart disease among ever-smokers, (HR 1.37, 95% CI 1.02-1.83) and among blue-collar-workers (HR 1.36, 95% CI 1.01-1.84). Among obese subjects there was an indication of increased risk in relation to high-strain (HR 2.05, 95% CI 0.93-4.81) but with wide confidence intervals. In other strata there was an increased risk for coronary heart disease in the active group among those with hypertension (HR 1.50, 95% CI 1.00-2.33) (Table 2).

For the follow-up period there were 549 events due to stroke. In the total population there was no increased risk for stroke in any of the job-demand-control categories. In the stratified analyses those with self-reported stress at baseline seem to have increased risk, both in the active group (HR 1.98, 95% CI 1.09-4.05) and in the passive group as well (HR 2.15, 95% CI 1.17-4.44) (Table 2).

In the additional analyses subjects with five years latency time the results were similar. The risk for coronary heart disease among subjects with high strain was slightly higher (HR 1.34, 95% CI 1.00-1.80) (Table 3). In the final full model, adjusted age, adiposity, diabetes, smoking and hypertension, there was an indication of increased risk of CHD in relation to high strain (HR 1.29, 95% CI 0.97-1.72). There were no increased risks for stroke.

Further additional analyses explored the relations between low control and high demands, respectively. There was a clear relation between low control and increased risk for coronary heart disease (Table 4). This was found among all subjects, but also among smokers and in subjects without hypertension, without diabetes, without self-reported stress or with BMI<30. There were no clear signals regarding high demands and risk for coronary heart disease. There were no significant relations between low control or high demands and the risk for stroke (Table 4).

Discussion

Principal findings

In line with the other studies an increased risk for coronary heart disease was found in relation to high strain, job-strain. Of interest is that job strain risks increased further among ever-smokers, obese subjects and among subjects with low socioeconomic status. Active subjects with hypertension also ran an increased risk for coronary heart disease. There was also a clear association between low control and increased risk for coronary heart disease. Of interest, even if it is a negative report, is that there was no increased risk for stroke in most of the job-demand control groups. In the strata with high levels of self-reported stress there was an increased risk for stroke in all job-demand categories.

Methodological considerations

This study has several strengths, a general-population sample with a long period of follow-up and use of a national mortality register and hospital discharge register with high coverage. The assessment of psycho-social stress is based on occupations, which probably is less biased than self-reports of demand and control. Further, the population was in their fifties at baseline, meaning that they are quite stable in their occupations. Finally, the study comprises only men which limit the external validity.

The regression models have been adjusted for age. The study population comprises only men. In the literature it has been discussed that further adjustments for different lifestyle factors marginally decreased the risk estimates, which is in line with the findings from a large metaanalyses (3). There is also a possibility that that smoking, adiposity and hypertension can be part of the causal chain act and hence stratifications are to prefer. Further, there were no separate adjustments for socioeconomic position. The reason is that the exposure for psychosocial stress is based on occupational titles and the socioeconomic position is part of the occupational classification. However, in the stratified analysis, the risk for CHD was increased among blue-collar workers (low SES), but not among the white-collar works (high SES). In the literature, the job-demand-control model mostly predicts to ill-health associations among blue-collar workers, often men (2, 17, 21, 22, 23, 24). One plausible explanation is that the development of the JDC model was mainly conducted among male blue-collar jobs, and is consequently adjusted to such

1
2
3 job characteristics. When broadening study populations, more conflicting findings emerge. For
4 example, little support has been found for female high-strain related ill-health (25).
5
6
7

8 *The effect of psycho-social stress*

9
10 It is evident from this study that both high strain and the passive dimension increase the risk for
11 coronary heart disease. It is of interest that low control, the common denominator for job-strain
12 and the passive dimension, was clearly related to increased risk for coronary heart disease.
13
14

15
16
17 The results from the present study indicate that exposure to occupational psycho-social stress is
18 not increasing the risk for stroke. The study is rather large, 549 stroke events, and there was no
19 increased risks in different lifestyle strata. Previously the association with job-strain has been
20 unclear with both positive (9, 10, 12) and negative studies (11). There is probably a publication
21 bias as studies with no or weak associations may not be published, as it has been shown for job
22 strain and coronary heart disease (3). Hypertension has been associated with occupational
23 psycho-social stress, especially among men (26). However, job strain was not associated with
24 stroke among men with hypertension at baseline. Hence, the present study indicates that stroke
25 has a different pattern of risk factors compared to coronary heart disease.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34
35 Self-reported stress has been associated with stroke, both in cohort studies (5, 27, 28) and in case-
36 control studies (6, 29). Self-reported stress is a global composite item reflecting both
37 occupational and non-occupational exposure. In the present study, increased risks for stroke was
38 among subjects reporting stress at baseline and belonging to either the active, high strain or
39 passive strata (compared to low strain). This may indicate an interaction between occupational
40 and non-occupational stress in relation to stroke.
41
42
43
44
45

46
47 One limitation of our study is that there was only one assessment of the exposure the
48 occupational psychosocial stress. There are indications in the literature that psychosocial stress
49 occurs late in the causal chain for stroke as indicated by the observation that studies with short
50 period of follow-up have higher risks of stroke in relation to psychosocial stress (8, 9). We have a
51 rather long observation period, which may result in low risk estimates due to misclassification of
52 the exposure.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Another limitation of this study is that the sample consisted only of men. Previous studies have
4 indicated that health outcomes differ between men and women when exposed to Job demand-
5 control (8, 9, 10, 11, 22, 25). Since the cohort was established with only men it was not possible
6 to include women in our study, but we recommend that future similar analyzes include women as
7 to investigate gender differences
8
9
10
11

12 The study confirms the previous findings of an association with job strain and risk for coronary
13 heart disease. Compared to some other studies the risk levels were quite modest, which may
14 reflect non-differential misclassification of the exposure assessment or may reflect the true
15 underlying risk (3, 30). There was a slight interaction with lifestyle factors, especially smoking
16 and to some extent also obesity, as the risks were further increased among smoking subjects and
17 obese subjects. Positive interaction with both smoking and obesity was recently shown in a meta-
18 analysis (4). A finding that needs to be replicated is that active subjects with hypertension had a
19 high risk for coronary heart disease.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 *Conclusions*

32 Exposure to occupational psycho-social stress defined as job strain increased the risk for CHD,
33 especially among smokers and blue-collar workers. Low control was also related to increased risk
34 for CHD. There was no increased risk for stroke in any of the job-demand-control categories.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Acknowledgements

The study was funded by the Swedish Research Council (VR), the Swedish Research Council for Health, Working Life and Welfare (FORTE) and the Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation

Contributorship Statement

All authors were responsible for the design, drafting of the manuscript and for the final approval of the manuscript. In addition, Linus Schiöler performed the statistical analyses.

Competing interests

None

Data Sharing Statement

This is a large general population study which have been followed for decades. There are a lot of unpublished data in the data set. Scientific cooperation around this study is possible.

References

1. Rosengren A, Hawkens S, Ounpuu S, et al. Association of psychosocial risk factors with risk of acute myocardial infarction in 11 119 cases and 13 648 controls from 52 countries (the INTERHEART study: case-control study). *Lancet* 2004;364:953-962.
2. Karasek RA. Job demands, job decision latitude, and mental strain: Implications for job redesign. *Admin Sci Qtrly* 1979;24:285-307.
3. Kivimäki M, Nyberg ST, Batty GD, et al. Job strain as a risk factor for coronary heart disease: A collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Lancet* 2012;380:1491-1497.
4. Kivimäki M, Nyberg ST, Fransson EI, et al. Associations of job strain and lifestyle factors with risk of coronary artery disease: A meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Can Med Assoc J* 2013;185:763-769.
5. Harmsen P, Lappas G, Rosengren A, et al. Long-term risk factors for stroke: Twenty-eight years of follow-up of 7457 middle-aged men in Göteborg, Sweden. *Stroke* 2006; 37:1663-1667
6. O'Donnell MJ, Xavier D, Liu L, et al. Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): a case-control study. *Lancet* 2010;376:112-23.
7. Virtanen SV, Notkola V. Socioeconomic inequalities in cardiovascular mortality and the role of work: A register based study of Finnish men. *Int J Epidemiol* 2002;31:614-621.
8. Toivanen S, Hernström Ö. Is the impact of job control on stroke independent of socioeconomic status? A large scale study of the Swedish working population. *Stroke* 2008;39:1321-1323.
9. Kivimäki M, Gomeno D, Ferrie JE, et al. Socioeconomic position, psychosocial work environment and cerebrovascular disease among women: The Finnish public sector study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2009;38:1265-71.

- 1
2
3
4
5 10. Kuper H, Adami HO, Theorell T, et al. The socioeconomic gradient in the incidence of
6 stroke: A prospective study in middle-aged women in Sweden. *Stroke* 2007;38:27-33.
7
8
9
10 11. André-Petersson L, Engström G, Hagberg B, et al. Social support at work and the risk for
11 myocardial infarction and stroke in women and men. *Soc Sci Med* 2007;64:830-841.
12
13
14
15 12. Tsutsumi A, Kayaba K, Kario K, et al. Prospective study on occupational stress and risk of
16 stroke. *Arch Intern Med* 2009;169:56-61.
17
18
19
20
21 13. Wilhelmsen L, Berglund G, Elmfeldt D, et al. The multifactor primary prevention trial in
22 Gothenburg, Sweden. *Eur Heart J* 1986;7:279-288.
23
24
25
26 14. Rosengren A, Hauptman PJ, Lappas G, et al. Big men and atrial fibrillation: Effects of body
27 size and weight gain on risk of atrial fibrillation in men. *Eur Heart J* 2009;30:1113-1120.
28
29
30
31 15. Novak M, Torén K, Lappas G, et al. Socioeconomic status and incidences of ischemic and
32 hemorrhagic stroke in Swedish men: A population-based 35-year prospective follow-up study.
33 *Eur J Epidemiol* 2013;28:697-704.
34
35
36
37
38 16. Arbetsmarknadsstyrelsen (1974) Nordisk yrkesklassificering: Systematisk förteckning över
39 yrkesområden, yrkesgrupper, yrkesfamiljer och individualyrken med kodnummer och
40 definitioner. Stockholm, Sweden
41
42
43
44
45 17. Johnson JV, Stewart W, Hall EM, et al. Lon-term psychosocial work environment and
46 cardiovascular mortality among Swedish men. *Am J Public health* 1996;86:324-331.
47
48
49
50
51 18. Fredlund P, Hallqvist J, Diderichsen F. Psykosocial yrkesexponeringsmatris. *Arbete och*
52 *Hälsa* 2000;11:1-37 [In Swedish].
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 19. Giang KW, Björck L, Novak M, et al. Stroke and CHD – Predictive power of standard risk
4 factors until old age. Long term cumulative risk study among men in Gothenburg, Sweden. *Eur*
5 *Heart J* 2013;34:1068-1074.
6
7
8
9
10
11 20. Grambsch, P M, Therneau, T M..Proportional hazards tests and diagnostics based on
12 weighted residuals. *Biometrika* 1994;81:515-526.
13
14
15
16 21. Kuper H, Marmot M, Hemingway H: Systematic review of prospective Cohort Studies of
17 Psychosocial Factors in the Etiology and Prognosis of Coronary Heart Disease. *Semin Vasc Med*
18 2002, 2:266-314.
19
20
21
22
23 22. Van der Doef M, Maes, S: The Job Demand-control (-Support) model and psychological well-
24 being: a review of 20 years of empirical research. *Work Stress* 1999;2:87-114.
25
26
27
28 23. Häusser JA, Mojzisch AM, Niesel M, et al: Ten years on: A review of recent research on the Job
29 Demand-Control (-Support) model and psychological well-being. *Work Stress* 2010; 24:1-35.
30
31
32
33 24. Bosma H, Peter R, Siegrist J, et al: Two alternative Job Stress Models and the Risk of Coronary
34 Heart Disease. *Am J Public Health* 1988;88:68-74.
35
36
37
38 25. Belkic KL, Landsbergis PA, Schnall PL, et al: Is job strain a major source of cardiovascular
39 disease risk? *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2004;30:85-128.
40
41
42
43
44 26. Diène E, Fouquet A, Esquirol Y. Cardiovascular diseases and psychosocial factors at work. *Arch*
45 *Cardiovasc Dis* 2012;105:33-39.
46
47
48
49 27. Truelsen T, Nielsen N, Boysen G, et al. Self-reported stress and risk of stroke: the Copenhagen
50 City Heart Study. *Stroke* 2003;34:856-62
51
52
53
54
55 28. Öhlin B, Nilsson PM, Nilsson J-Å, et al. Chronic psychosocial stress predicts long-term
56 cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in middle-aged men. *Eur Heart J* 2004;25:867-73.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 29. Jood K, Redfors P, Rosengren A, et al. Self-perceived psychological stress and ischemic stroke:
6 a case-control study. BMC Medicine 2009;7:53
7
8
9

10 30. Netterstrøm B, Kristensen TS, Jensen G, et al. Is the demand-control model still a useful tool to
11 assess work-related psychosocial risk for ischemic heart disease? Results from 14 year follow up in
12 the Copenhagen City Heart Study. Int J Occup Med Environ Health 2010;23:217-224.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of a general population study of 6 070 Swedish men.

	Active N=2357	High strain N=671	Low strain N=679	Passive N=2363	Overall N=6070
Age, yrs (SD)	55.2 (2.1)	55.3 (2)	55.2 (2)	55.4 (2)	55.3 (2.1)
Cholesterol, mmol/L (SD)	6.37 (1.05)	6.45 (1.1)	6.37 (0.99)	6.43 (1.05)	6.4 (1.05)
SBP, mm Hg (SD)	145.1(19.5)	147.2 (20.5)	145.2 (18.8)	146.8 (19.7)	146 (19.6)
BMI, kg/m ² (SD)	25.5 (3.1)	25.6 (3.4)	25.8 (3.2)	25.8 (3.4)	25.6 (3.3)
Diabetes, % (N)	2.8 (65)	3.6 (24)	2.5 (17)	2.7 (64)	2.8 (170)
Hypertension, % (N)	21.8 (512)	23.9 (160)	22.5 (152)	21.9 (517)	22.1 (1341)
Hypertensive medication, % (N)	15.2 (358)	16.5 (111)	14.6 (99)	13.9 (328)	14.8 (896)
White collar Job, % (N)	98.3 (2316)	26.7 (179)	29.5 (200)	15.0 (355)	36.8 (2177)
Self-reported stress at baseline, % (N)	43.7 (1014)	36.5 (236)	30.9 (205)	31.5 (722)	36.8 (2177)
Smoking status					
Never % (N) N=1437	25.8 (607)	21 (141)	25.2 (171)	21.9 (518)	23.7 (1437)
Current % (N) N=2460	37.3 (879)	47.4 (318)	39.0 (265)	42.2 (998)	40.5 (2460)
Former % (N) N=1890	32.7 (771)	27 (181)	31.4 (213)	30.7 (725)	31.1 (1890)
Unknown % (N) N=283	4.2 (100)	4.6 (31)	4.4 (30)	5.2 (122)	4.7 (283)
Number of events (mean age, yrs, at event)					
Coronary heart disease	384 (66.2)	131 (66.4)	104 (65.9)	433 (65.9)	1052 (66.0)
Stroke	208	64	65	212	549

Mean follow-up time, months					
Coronary heart disease	200	193	199	192	196
Stroke	204	195	203	197	200

For peer review only

Table 2. Cox Regression models 6070 men followed from 1974-1977 until event or until 75 years of age. All models are adjusted for age. Low strain group is used as the reference group in all analyses.

Strain group	Coronary heart disease		Stroke	
	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
All	6070 (1052)		6070 (549)	
Active	2357 (384)	1.06 (0.85-1.32)	2357 (208)	0.91 (0.70-1.22)
High strain	671 (131)	1.31 (1.01-1.70)	671 (64)	1.05 (0.74-1.48)
Passive	2363 (433)	1.23 (1.00-1.53)	2363 (212)	0.96 (0.74-1.28)
Ever-smokers	4350 (826)		4350 (406)	
Active	1650 (277)	1.00 (0.78-1.29)	1650 (152)	0.87 (0.64-1.20)
High strain	499 (109)	1.37 (1.02-1.83)	499 (46)	0.92 (0.62-1.38)
Passive	1723 (361)	1.31 (1.03-1.68)	1723 (158)	0.90 (0.66-1.25)
Never-smokers	1437 (184)		1437 (113)	
Active	607 (88)	1.21 (0.76-1.99)	607 (46)	1.11 (0.61-2.18)
High strain	141 (18)	1.04 (0.55-1.96)	141 (13)	1.39 (0.63-3.08)
Passive	518 (57)	0.90 (0.55-1.52)	518 (42)	1.18 (0.64-2.35)
Blue-collar	3020 (555)		3020 (279)	
Active	41 (7)	1.04 (0.44-2.11)	41 (5)	1.30 (0.45-2.906)
High strain	492 (101)	1.36 (1.01-1.84)	53 (492)	1.22 (0.82-1.82)
Passive	2008 (372)	1.20 (0.94-1.55)	2008 (75)	0.93 (0.68-1.30)
White-collar	3050 (497)		3050 (270)	
Active	2316 (377)	1.14 (0.80-1.71)	2316 (203)	0.93 (0.60-1.54)
High strain	179 (30)	1.15 (0.69-1.92)	179 (11)	0.63 (0.29-1.30)
Passive	355 (612)	1.24 (0.80-1.95)	355 (37)	1.16 (0.68-2.06)
Self-reported stress at baseline	2177 (381)		2177 (201)	
Active	1014 (170)	1.01 (0.70-1.49)	1014 (98)	1.98 (1.09-4.05)
High strain	236 (47)	1.28 (0.82-2.02)	236 (20)	1.92 (0.92-4.28)

Passive	722 (131)	1.13 (0.78-1.69)	722 (73)	2.15 (1.17-4.44)
No self-reported stress at baseline	3742 (381)		3742 (330)	
Active	1304 (203)	1.00 (0.77-1.32)	1304 (105)	0.67 (0.49-0.94)
High strain	411 (77)	1.23 (0.89-1.70)	411 (40)	0.84 (0.56-1.26)
Passive	1568 (635)	1.21 (0.94-1.58)	1568 (131)	0.72 (0.53-1.00)
Hypertension at baseline	1341 (314)		1341 (201)	
Active	512 (129)	1.50 (1.00-2.33)	512 (78)	0.86 (0.56-1.35)
High strain	160 (37)	1.37 (0.84-2.29)	160 (22)	0.79 (0.45-1.38)
Passive	517 (122)	1.41 (0.94-2.20)	517 (74)	0.81 (0.53-1.29)
No hypertension at baseline	4715 (736)		4715 (347)	
Active	1840 (254)	0.93 (0.72-1.21)	1840 (129)	0.96 (0.68-1.39)
High strain	509 (94)	1.30 (0.96-1.76)	509 (42)	1.21 (0.78-1.88)
Passive	1842 (311)	1.18 (0.93-1.53)	1842 (138)	1.07 (0.76-1.55)
Body mass index <30	5544 (938)		5544 (499)	
Active	2188 (343)	0.99 (0.79-1.25)	2188 (196)	0.97 (0.72-1.31)
High strain	598 (113)	1.23 (0.94-1.62)	598 (57)	1.08 (0.75-1.57)
Passive	2150 (387)	1.18 (0.95-1.48)	2150 (190)	0.99 (0.74-1.34)
Body mass index ≥30	516 (113)		516 (50)	
Active	167 (41)	1.92 (0.98-4.21)	167 (12)	0.54 (0.23-1.33)
High strain	70 (17)	2.05 (0.93-4.81)	70 (7)	0.88 (0.31-2.35)
Passive	209 (46)	1.76 (0.90-3.84)	209 (22)	0.83 (0.40-1.91)
No Diabetes at baseline	5900 (989)		5900 (515)	
Active	2292 (357)	1.04 (0.84-1.31)	2292 (194)	0.91 (0.69-1.22)
High strain	647 (123)	1.31 (1.01-1.72)	647 (60)	1.05 (0.74-1.50)

Passive	2299 (411)	1.24 (1.00-1.55)	2299 (200)	0.97 (0.73-1.30)
Diabetes at baseline	170 (63)		170 (34)	
Active	65 (27)	1.26 (0.56-3.39)	65 (14)	1.02 (0.36-3.59)
High strain	24 (8)	1.08 (0.37-3.28)	24 (4)	0.96 (0.23-4.09)
Passive	64 (22)	1.00 (0.43-2.73)	64 (12)	0.96 (0.33-3.43)

For peer review only

Table 3. Cox Regression models 6070 men followed from 1974-1977 until event or until 75 years of age. Subjects with events the first five years after baseline are excluded. Models adjusted for age, adiposity, diabetes, smoking and hypertension.

Strain group	Coronary heart disease		Stroke	
	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
Low strain	642 (85)	1.00	642 (60)	1.00
Active	2247 (312)	1.08 (0.85-1.38)	2275 (189)	0.93 (0.70-1.25)
High strain	630 (109)	1.29 (0.97-1.72)	640 (54)	0.91 (0.63-1.32)
Passive	2208 (351)	1.22 (0.97-1.56)	2248 (190)	0.94 (0.70-1.26)

Table 4. Cox regression models of 6070 men followed from 1974-1977 until event or until 75 years of age showing hazard ratios (HR) for low control and high demands in relation to coronary heart disease and stroke for different subgroups. All models are adjusted for age.

Subgroup	Coronary heart disease		Stroke	
	Low Control vs. high control	HR High Demands vs. low demands	HR Low Control vs. high control	HR High Demands vs. low demands
All	1.19 (1.06-1.35)	0.94 (0.84-1.07)	1.05 (0.89-1.24)	0.97 (0.82-1.15)
Never smokers	0.80 (0.60-1.07)	1.27 (0.95-1.71)	1.13 (0.78-1.64)	1.02 (0.70-1.48)
Ever smokers	1.32 (1.15-1.52)	0.87 (0.76-1.00)	1.01 (0.83-1.23)	0.95 (0.79-1.16)
No hypertension	1.28 (1.11-1.48)	0.88 (0.76-1.02)	1.14 (0.92-1.40)	0.96 (0.78-1.18)
Hypertension	1.02 (0.81-1.27)	1.12 (0.89-1.39)	0.91 (0.69-1.20)	0.98 (0.74-1.30)
BMI<30	1.20 (1.05-1.36)	0.92 (0.81-1.04)	1.03 (0.87-1.23)	1.00 (0.84-1.19)
BMI>=30	1.11 (0.77-1.61)	1.25 (0.86-1.81)	1.25 (0.72-2.22)	0.72 (0.40-1.26)
No diabetes	1.22 (1.07-1.38)	0.93 (0.82-1.05)	1.06 (0.89-1.26)	0.96 (0.81-1.14)
Diabetes	0.85 (0.51-1.40)	1.21 (0.74-2.01)	0.95 (0.47-1.87)	1.04 (0.52-2.07)
No stress	1.21 (1.04-1.42)	0.91 (0.78-1.06)	0.99 (0.80-1.23)	0.90 (0.73-1.12)
Self reported stress	1.16 (0.95-1.42)	0.96 (0.78-1.17)	1.15 (0.87-1.52)	1.04 (0.79-1.39)
Blue Collar	1.23 (0.98-1.56)	1.15 (0.93-1.41)	0.96 (0.72-1.31)	1.31 (0.97-1.73)
White collar	1.06 (0.84-1.33)	1.00 (0.80-1.26)	1.04 (0.75-1.40)	0.83 (0.62-1.12)

1
2
3 **A longitudinal general-population based study of job strain and risk for coronary heart**
4 **disease and stroke in Swedish men.**
5
6
7

8 Kjell Torén^{1,2}, Linus Schiöler¹, Kok W Giang³, Masuma Novak³, Mia Söderberg¹, Annika
9 Rosengren³
10
11

- 12 1. Section of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska
13 Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden
 - 14 2. Occupational Medicine, Respiratory Diseases and Toxicology, University of Perugia,
15 Italy
 - 16 3. Department of Molecular and Clinical Medicine, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska
17 Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden
- 18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 Corresponding author: Kjell Torén, Section of Occupational and Environmental Medicine,
28 University of Gothenburg, Box 414, S-405 30 Gothenburg, Sweden. Fax: +46 31 409728. Phone:
29 +46 31 7736262. E-mail: Kjell.Toren@amm.gu.se
30
31
32

33 The authors declare no conflicts of interest
34
35

36 **Word count (body of test); 2 623**
37
38

39 **Keywords**
40

41 Epidemiology, job strain, longitudinal, cerebrovascular disease
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Objectives

The aim was to investigate whether psycho-social stress based on the job-demand-control model increased the risk for coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke.

Setting

Swedish men

Participants

The Primary Prevention Study comprise 6 070 men born between 1915 and 1925 free from previous history of coronary heart disease and stroke at baseline (1974-1977). Psychosocial workplace exposure was assessed using a job-exposure matrix for the job-demand-control model based on occupation at baseline. The participants were followed from baseline examination, until death, until hospital discharge or until 75 years of age, using the Swedish national register on cause of death and the Swedish hospital discharge register for non-fatal and fatal stroke and CHD events. Cox regression models were used with stroke or CHD as the outcome using job-demand-control model and age as explanatory variables, as well as stratified models with regard to smoking, self-reported stress, socio-economic status, obesity, hypertension, and diabetes.

Primary and secondary outcome measures

Risk for stroke and coronary heart disease

Results

There was an increased risk (HR) for CHD in relation to high strain, HR 1.31, 95% CI 1.01-1.70. The risk was further increased among ever-smokers and among blue-collar workers. There was a relation between low control and increased risk for CHD, HR 1.19, 95% CI 1.06-1.35. There was no increased risk for stroke in any of the job-demand-control categories.

Conclusions

Exposure to occupational psycho-social stress defined as job strain or low control increased the risk for CHD, especially among smokers and blue-collar workers. There was no increased risk for stroke in any of the job-demand-control categories.

Introduction

During the last decades a growing body of evidence have accumulated showing that psychosocial stress is associated with adverse health outcomes, especially coronary heart disease (CHD) (1). Psycho-social stress as a risk factor has been studied both as a general factor and linked to the work-place. The most studied definition of work-place related stress has been the job-demand-control model (2). According to Karasek the job demand variable constitutes volume and intensity of workload and job control referred to the working individual's potential control over pace and content of their tasks (2). In this model it is postulated that the combination of high demands and low decision latitude at work results in high strain (job-strain) which increase the risk of cardiovascular diseases. There are studies showing that high strain is associated with a doubled risk of coronary heart disease. However, in a recent meta-analysis of 13 studies the association was rather modest, hazard ratio 1.23. The used models were adjusted for gender and age, and in some cases also for socioeconomic status. The authors concluded that adjustments for life style factors did not substantially affect the association (3). However, in an additional paper from the previous meta-analysis it was found that the risk of coronary heart disease was further increased among subjects with job-strain in combination with current smoking, being obese or reporting low physical activity (4). Hence, it seems to clear that job strain is associated with an increased risk for coronary heart disease, and there may also exist interactions with life-style factors.

There are studies indicating that self-reported psychosocial stress increase the risk for stroke (5, 6). However, the evidence between occupational exposure to psycho-social stress and stroke is less clear. There are a two longitudinal studies showing slightly increased risk for stroke associated with low control (7, 8). One study showed an increased risk in working Finnish men (7) and in one study based on the Swedish working population there was an increased risk among women, but not among men (8). The association with job-strain is also unclear, two studies in women show an association (9, 10) and one study in the general population did not find any association, either among women or among men (11). In a prospective general-population based study from Japan it was found that men, but not women, with high-strain job had an increased

1
2
3 risk for stroke, with more than doubled risks among those with active, passive or high-strain jobs
4
5 (12).
6
7

8
9 The aim of the present study was to investigate whether psycho-social stress based on the job-
10 demand-control model increased the risk for coronary heart disease and stroke. The study base is
11 a longitudinal general population study of 6 070 Swedish men followed from baseline
12 examination (1974-1977), until death, until hospital discharge or until 75 years of age, using the
13 Swedish national register on cause of death and the Swedish hospital discharge register.
14
15
16
17

18 19 **Methods**

20 21 **Study population**

22 The Primary Prevention Study (PPS) is a population-based cohort study from Gothenburg,
23 Sweden. It was established in 1970 as previously described (13). The source population
24 comprised all men living in Gothenburg born between 1915 and 1925. The initial study
25 population was a random sample of 10 000 men, and 7494 men (75% of the sample) participated
26 in screening examinations between January 1970 and March 1973 (14, 15). Three years later,
27 1974-1977, a clinical follow-up investigation was performed where 7 133 men participated. In
28 the present study we are using data from the first follow-up investigation, because in that round
29 there were complete occupational data. All participants gave their informed consent to
30 participate in the study and it was approved by the Ethics Committee for Medical Research at
31 Gothenburg University.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41
42 The current occupation at baseline (1974-1977) was classified at three-digit level according to the
43 Nordic Classification of Occupations, NYK-74 (16). For assessing the psychosocial workplace
44 exposure we used a previously published job-exposure matrix (17, 18). This JEM was developed
45 in late 1970s based on information from large Swedish population surveys where around 12 000
46 randomly selected subjects aged 25 to 74 years were classified for psychological demands and
47 decision latitude. The JEM gives separate estimates of demand and control for 261 occupations
48 separated into gender and age (25-44 and 45 to 74). Socioeconomic status, high or low, was
49 obtained based on one-digit level of occupations, i e blue-collar vs. white-collar workers
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Psychological job demands and decision latitude were explored with four items each. All items
4 were scored using a scale (1-10), with a score of 10 indicating high psychological demands or
5 high decision latitude. Each subject was assigned a certain score based on occupation and age.
6
7 The scores were then dichotomized into high and low, using the median of the distribution as cut-
8 off. Combining demand and control with the median cut-offs divides the participants into four
9 categorical quadrants; high strain (high demand-low control), active (high demand-high control),
10 passive (low demand-low control) and low-strain (low demand-high control).
11
12

13
14
15
16 In the present study there was information at baseline about age, country of birth (Sweden/other),
17 body mass index (BMI), serum cholesterol (s-cholesterol) level, systolic blood pressure, diastolic
18 blood pressure, use of antihypertensive medication (yes/no), history of diabetes (yes/no),
19 coronary heart disease (yes/no), hypertension (yes/no) or stroke (yes/no) at baseline, **self-**
20 **reported stress** and smoking as previously described (19).
21
22
23
24
25
26

27
28 Subjects with coronary heart disease or stroke at baseline (1974-1977) were excluded resulting in
29 a study population of 6 070 subjects (Table 1). Based on unique personal identification numbers,
30 participants were followed from the date of their baseline examination until death, until hospital
31 discharge or until 75 years of age, using the Swedish national register on cause of death and the
32 Swedish hospital discharge register. The hospital discharge register has operated on a nationwide
33 basis since 1987, but all discharges from Gothenburg hospitals have been entered in the national
34 register since 1970 (except for 1976, because of a legislative change for that year). Additional
35 data from the Gothenburg stroke register were used to identify strokes from the start of the study
36 until 1983. The International Classification of Disease (ICD) codes listed in the registries were
37 used to identify stroke events (both non-fatal and fatal events) and coronary heart disease during
38 the entire follow-up period. The eighth version of the ICD code was used until 1986, ICD-9 was
39 used from 1987 to 1996, and ICD-10 was used from 1997 onwards. Ischemic stroke was defined
40 as ICD codes 431-438 and I61-I69. Non-fatal CHD was defined as 410 and I21. Fatal CHD was
41 defined as 410-414 and I20-I25. Each type of event was treated separately and only the first event
42 of each type was used in the analysis.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics are presented as percentages or mean values with standard deviations (SD). All analyses were performed using the SAS statistical package (version 9.3) and R (version 3.0.1). The material were analysed with Cox regression models using SAS (the PHREG procedure). The proportional hazards assumptions were investigated using tests and plots based on weighted residuals (20) using the R package Survival. All assumptions were found reasonable except for the analysis of baseline diabetes. Hospital care or mortality (whatever came first) from stroke or coronary heart disease were events and time were measured as months since baseline. The observation period stopped at the age of 75. In the crude model hazard ratios were calculated using the job-demand-control model and age as explanatory variables. The low strain group was used as reference group. There were also models using high strain vs. all other subjects as an independent variable. There were also separate models stratifying for ever-smoking and never-smoking, adiposity (body mass index ≥ 30) or not, hypertension or not at baseline and diabetes or not, self-reported stress or not at baseline and finally status as white-collar worker or blue-collar worker at baseline. There was also one model with five years latency time, i.e excluding subject with any event occurring during the five first year during the follow-up.

Results

For the follow-up period there were 1 052 events due to coronary heart disease. The Cox regression models adjusted for age showed an increased risk (HR) for coronary heart disease in relation to high job strain, HR 1.31, 95% CI 1.01-1.70 (Table 2). In the stratified analysis high strain was associated with an even slightly higher risk for coronary heart disease among ever-smokers, (HR 1.37, 95% CI 1.02-1.83) and among blue-collar-workers (HR 1.36, 95% CI 1.01-1.84). Among obese subjects there was an indication of increased risk in relation to high-strain (HR 2.05, 95% CI 0.93-4.81) but with wide confidence intervals. In other strata there was an increased risk for coronary heart disease in the active group among those with hypertension (HR 1.50, 95% CI 1.00-2.33) (Table 2).

For the follow-up period there were 549 events due to stroke. In the total population there was no increased risk for stroke in any of the job-demand-control categories. In the stratified analyses those with self-reported stress at baseline seem to have increased risk, both in the active group (HR 1.98, 95% CI 1.09-4.05) and in the passive group as well (HR 2.15, 95% CI 1.17-4.44) (Table 2).

In the additional analyses subjects with five years latency time the results were similar. The risk for coronary heart disease among subjects with high strain was slightly higher (HR 1.34, 95% CI 1.00-1.80) (Table 3). In the final full model, adjusted age, adiposity, diabetes, smoking and hypertension, there was an indication of increased risk of CHD in relation to high strain (HR 1.29, 95% CI 0.97-1.72). There were no increased risks for stroke.

Further additional analyses explored the relations between low control and high demands, respectively. There was a clear relation between low control and increased risk for coronary heart disease (Table 4). This was found among all subjects, but also among smokers and in subjects without hypertension, without diabetes, without self-reported stress or with BMI<30. There were no clear signals regarding high demands and risk for coronary heart disease. There were no significant relations between low control or high demands and the risk for stroke (Table 4).

Discussion

Principal findings

In line with the other studies an increased risk for coronary heart disease was found in relation to high strain, job-strain. Of interest is that job strain risks increased further among ever-smokers, obese subjects and among subjects with low socioeconomic status. Active subjects with hypertension also ran an increased risk for coronary heart disease. There was also a clear association between low control and increased risk for coronary heart disease. Of interest, even if it is a negative report, is that there was no increased risk for stroke in most of the job-demand control groups. In the strata with high levels of self-reported stress there was an increased risk for stroke in all job-demand categories.

Methodological considerations

This study has several strengths, a general-population sample with a long period of follow-up and use of a national mortality register and hospital discharge register with high coverage. The assessment of psycho-social stress is based on occupations, which probably is less biased than self-reports of demand and control. Further, the population was in their fifties at baseline, meaning that they are quite stable in their occupations. Finally, the study comprises only men which limit the external validity.

The regression models have been adjusted for age. The study population comprises only men. In the literature it has been discussed that further adjustments for different lifestyle factors marginally decreased the risk estimates, which is in line with the findings from a large metaanalyses (3). There is also a possibility that that smoking, adiposity and hypertension can be part of the causal chain act and hence stratifications are to prefer. Further, there were no separate adjustments for socioeconomic position. The reason is that the exposure for psychosocial stress is based on occupational titles and the socioeconomic position is part of the occupational classification. However, in the stratified analysis, the risk for CHD was increased among blue-collar workers (low SES), but not among the white-collar works (high SES). In the literature, the job-demand-control model mostly predicts to ill-health associations among blue-collar workers, often men (2, 17, 21, 22, 23, 24). One plausible explanation is that the development of the JDC model was mainly conducted among male blue-collar jobs, and is consequently adjusted to such

1
2
3 job characteristics. When broadening study populations, more conflicting findings emerge. For
4 example, little support has been found for female high-strain related ill-health (25).
5
6
7

8 *The effect of psycho-social stress*

9
10 It is evident from this study that both high strain and the passive dimension increase the risk for
11 coronary heart disease. It is of interest that low control, the common denominator for job-strain
12 and the passive dimension, was clearly related to increased risk for coronary heart disease.
13
14

15
16
17 The results from the present study indicate that exposure to occupational psycho-social stress is
18 not increasing the risk for stroke. The study is rather large, 549 stroke events, and there was no
19 increased risks in different lifestyle strata. Previously the association with job-strain has been
20 unclear with both positive (9, 10, 12) and negative studies (11). There is probably a publication
21 bias as studies with no or weak associations may not be published, as it has been shown for job
22 strain and coronary heart disease (3). Hypertension has been associated with occupational
23 psycho-social stress, especially among men (26). However, job strain was not associated with
24 stroke among men with hypertension at baseline. Hence, the present study indicates that stroke
25 has a different pattern of risk factors compared to coronary heart disease.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34
35 Self-reported stress has been associated with stroke, both in cohort studies (5, 27, 28) and in case-
36 control studies (6, 29). Self-reported stress is a global composite item reflecting both
37 occupational and non-occupational exposure. In the present study, increased risks for stroke was
38 among subjects reporting stress at baseline and belonging to either the active, high strain or
39 passive strata (compared to low strain). This may indicate an interaction between occupational
40 and non-occupational stress in relation to stroke.
41
42
43
44
45

46
47 One limitation of our study is that there was only one assessment of the exposure the
48 occupational psychosocial stress. There are indications in the literature that psychosocial stress
49 occurs late in the causal chain for stroke as indicated by the observation that studies with short
50 period of follow-up have higher risks of stroke in relation to psychosocial stress (8, 9). We have a
51 rather long observation period, which may result in low risk estimates due to misclassification of
52 the exposure.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Another limitation of this study is that the sample consisted only of men. Previous studies have
4 indicated that health outcomes differ between men and women when exposed to Job demand-
5 control (8, 9, 10, 11, 22, 25). Since the cohort was established with only men it was not possible
6 to include women in our study, but we recommend that future similar analyzes include women as
7 to investigate gender differences
8
9
10
11

12 The study confirms the previous findings of an association with job strain and risk for coronary
13 heart disease. Compared to some other studies the risk levels were quite modest, which may
14 reflect non-differential misclassification of the exposure assessment or may reflect the true
15 underlying risk (3, 30). There was a slight interaction with lifestyle factors, especially smoking
16 and to some extent also obesity, as the risks were further increased among smoking subjects and
17 obese subjects. Positive interaction with both smoking and obesity was recently shown in a meta-
18 analysis (4). A finding that needs to be replicated is that active subjects with hypertension had a
19 high risk for coronary heart disease.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 *Conclusions*

32 Exposure to occupational psycho-social stress defined as job strain increased the risk for CHD,
33 especially among smokers and blue-collar workers. Low control was also related to increased risk
34 for CHD. There was no increased risk for stroke in any of the job-demand-control categories.
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Acknowledgements**

41 The study was funded by the Swedish Research Council (VR), the Swedish Research Council for
42 Health, Working Life and Welfare (FORTE) and the Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation
43
44
45
46
47

48 **Strengths and limitations of the paper;**

49 Job strain increase the risk for coronary heart disease but the relation to stroke is uncertain. There
50 is a need for longitudinal general-population based studies in this field. This study confirms that
51 job strain increase the risk for coronary heart disease, but the risk seems to be limited to blue-
52 collar workers. There was no clear relation between job strain and risk for stroke.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Rosengren A, Hawkens S, Ounpuu S, Sliwa K, Zubaid M, Almahmeed W, Blackett KN, Sitthiamorn C, Sato H, Yusuf S. Association of psychosocial risk factors with risk of acute myocardial infarction in 11 119 cases and 13 648 controls from 52 countries (the INTERHEART study: case-control study). *Lancet* 2004;364:953-962.
2. Karasek RA. Job demands, job decision latitude, and mental strain: Implications for job redesign. *Admin Sci Qtrly* 1979;24:285-307.
3. Kivimäki M, Nyberg ST, Batty GD, Fransson EI, Heikkilä K, Alfredsson L, Björner JB, et al. Job strain as a risk factor for coronary heart disease: A collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Lancet* 2012;380:1491-1497.
4. Kivimäki M, Nyberg ST, Fransson EI, Heikkilä K, Alfredsson L, Casini A, Clays E, et al. Associations of job strain and lifestyle factors with risk of coronary artery disease: A meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Can Med Assoc J* 2013;185:763-769.
5. Harmsen P, Lappas G, Rosengren A, Wilhelmsen L. Long-term risk factors for stroke: Twenty-eight years of follow-up of 7457 middle-aged men in Göteborg, Sweden. *Stroke* 2006; 37:1663-1667
6. O'Donnell MJ, Xavier D, Liu L, Zhang H, Chin SL, Rao-Melacini P, Rangarajan S, Islam S, Pais P, McQueen MJ, Mondo C, Damasceno A, Lopez-Jaramillo P, Hankey GJ, Dans AL, Yusuf K, Truelsen T, Diener HC, Sacco RL, Ryglewicz D, Czlonkowska A, Weimar C, Wang X, Yusuf S. Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): a case-control study. *Lancet* 2010;376:112-23.
7. Virtanen SV, Notkola V. Socioeconomic inequalities in cardiovascular mortality and the role of work: A register based study of Finnish men. *Int J Epidemiol* 2002;31:614-621.

- 1
2
3 8. Toivanen S, Hernström Ö. Is the impact of job control on stroke independent of socioeconomic
4 status? A large scale study of the Swedish working population. *Stroke* 2008;39:1321-1323.
5
6
- 7
8
9 9. Kivimäki M, Gomeno D, Ferrie JE, Gatty GD, Oksanen T, Jokel aM et al. Socioeconomic
10 position, psychosocial work environment and cerebrovascular disease among women: The
11 Finnish public sector study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2009;38:1265-71.
12
13
- 14
15
16 10. Kuper H, Adami HO, Theorell T, Weiderpass E. The socioeconomic gradient in the incidence
17 of stroke: A prospective study in middle-aged women in Sweden. *Stroke* 2007;38:27-33.
18
19
- 20
21
22 11. André-Petersson L, Engström G, Hagberg B, Janzon L, Rosvall M. Social support at work
23 and the risk for myocardial infarction and stroke in women and men. *Soc Sci Med* 2007;64:830-
24 841.
25
26
- 27
28
29 12. Tsutsumi A, Kayaba K, Kario K, Ishikawa S. Prospective study on occupational stress and
30 risk of stroke. *Arch Intern Med* 2009;169:56-61.
31
32
- 33
34
35 13. Wilhelmsen L, Berglund G, Elmfeldt D, Tibblin G, Wedel H, Pennert K, Vedin A,
36 Wilhelmsson C, Werkö L. The multifactor primary prevention trial in Gothenburg, Sweden. *Eur*
37 *Heart J* 1986;7:279-288.
38
39
- 40
41
42 14. Rosengren A, Hauptman PJ, Lappas G, Olsson L, Wilhelmsen L, Swedberg K. Big men and
43 atrial fibrillation: Effects of body size and weight gain on risk of atrial fibrillation in men. *Eur*
44 *Heart J* 2009;30:1113-1120.
45
46
- 47
48
49 15. Novak M, Torén K, Lappas G, Kok WG, Jern C, Wilhelmsen L, Rosengren A.
50 Socioeconomic status and incidences of ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke in Swedish men: A
51 population-based 35-year prospective follow-up study. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2013;28:697-704.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 16. Arbetsmarknadsstyrelsen (1974) Nordisk yrkesklassificering: Systematisk förteckning över
4 yrkesområden, yrkesgrupper, yrkesfamiljer och individualyrken med kodnummer och
5 definitioner. Stockholm, Sweden
6
7
8
9
10 17. Johnson JV, Stewart W, Hall EM, Fredlund P, Theorell T. Lon-term psychosocial work
11 environment and cardiovascular mortality among Swedish men. *Am J Public health* 1996;86:324-
12 331.
13
14
15 18. Fredlund P, Hallqvist J, Diderichsen F. Psykosocial yrkesexponeringsmatris. *Arbete och*
16 *Hälsa* 2000;11:1-37 [In Swedish].
17
18
19 19. Giang KW, Björck L, Novak M, Lappas G, Wilhelmsen L, Torén K, Rosengren A. Stroke
20 and CHD – Predictive power of standard risk factors until old age. Long term cumulative risk
21 study among men in Gothenburg, Sweden. *Eur Heart J* 2013;34:1068-1074.
22
23
24 20. Grambsch, P M, Therneau, T M..Proportional hazards tests and diagnostics based on
25 weighted residuals. *Biometrika* 1994;81:515-526.
26
27
28
29 21. Kuper H, Marmot M, Hemingway H: Systematic review of prospective Cohort Studies of
30 Psychosocial Factors in the Etiology and Prognosis of Coronary Heart Disease. *Semin Vasc Med*
31 2002, 2:266-314.
32
33
34 22. Van der Doef M, Maes, S: The Job Demand-control (-Support) model and psychological well-
35 being: a review of 20 years of empirical research. *Work Stress* 1999;2:87-114.
36
37
38 23. Häusser JA, Mojzisch AM, Niesel M, Schulz-Hardt, S: Ten years on: A review of recent research
39 on the Job Demand-Control (-Support) model and psychological well-being. *Work Stress* 2010; 24:1-
40 35.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 24. Bosma H, Peter R, Siegrist J, Marmot M: Two alternative Job Stress Models and the Risk of
4 Coronary Heart Disease. *Am J Public Health* 1988;88:68-74.
5
6
7
8
9 25. Belkic KL, Landsbergis PA, Schnall PL, Baker D: Is job strain a major source of cardiovascular
10 disease risk? *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2004;30:85-128.
11
12
13
14 26. Diène E, Fouquet A, Esquirol Y. Cardiovascular diseases and psychosocial factors at work. *Arch*
15 *Cardiovasc Dis* 2012;105:33-39.
16
17
18
19 27. Truelsen T, Nielsen N, Boysen G, Grønbaek. Self-reported stress and risk of stroke: the
20 Copenhagen City Heart Study. *Stroke* 2003;34:856-62
21
22
23
24 28. Öhlin B, Nilsson PM, Nilsson J-Å, Berglund B. Chronic psychosocial stress predicts long-term
25 cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in middle-aged men. *Eur Heart J* 2004;25:867-73.
26
27
28
29
30 29. Jood K, Redfors P, Rosengren A, Blomstrand C, Jern C. Self-perceived psychological stress and
31 ischemic stroke: a case-control study. *BMC Medicine* 2009;7:53
32
33
34
35 30. Netterstrøm B, Kristensen TS, Jensen G, Schnor P. Is the demand-control model still a useful
36 tool to assess work-related psychosocial risk for ischemic heart disease? Results from 14 year follow
37 up in the Copenhagen City Heart Study. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health* 2010;23:217-224.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of a general population study of 6 070 Swedish men.

	Active N=2357	High strain N=671	Low strain N=679	Passive N=2363	Overall N=6070
Age, yrs (SD)	55.2 (2.1)	55.3 (2)	55.2 (2)	55.4 (2)	55.3 (2.1)
Cholesterol, mmol/L (SD)	6.37 (1.05)	6.45 (1.1)	6.37 (0.99)	6.43 (1.05)	6.4 (1.05)
SBP, mm Hg (SD)	145.1(19.5)	147.2 (20.5)	145.2 (18.8)	146.8 (19.7)	146 (19.6)
BMI, kg/m ² (SD)	25.5 (3.1)	25.6 (3.4)	25.8 (3.2)	25.8 (3.4)	25.6 (3.3)
Diabetes, % (N)	2.8 (65)	3.6 (24)	2.5 (17)	2.7 (64)	2.8 (170)
Hypertension, % (N)	21.8 (512)	23.9 (160)	22.5 (152)	21.9 (517)	22.1 (1341)
Hypertensive medication, % (N)	15.2 (358)	16.5 (111)	14.6 (99)	13.9 (328)	14.8 (896)
White collar Job, % (N)	98.3 (2316)	26.7 (179)	29.5 (200)	15.0 (355)	36.8 (2177)
Self-reported stress at baseline, % (N)	43.7 (1014)	36.5 (236)	30.9 (205)	31.5 (722)	36.8 (2177)
Smoking status					
Never % (N) N=1437	25.8 (607)	21 (141)	25.2 (171)	21.9 (518)	23.7 (1437)
Current % (N) N=2460	37.3 (879)	47.4 (318)	39.0 (265)	42.2 (998)	40.5 (2460)
Former % (N) N=1890	32.7 (771)	27 (181)	31.4 (213)	30.7 (725)	31.1 (1890)
Unknown % (N) N=283	4.2 (100)	4.6 (31)	4.4 (30)	5.2 (122)	4.7 (283)
Number of events (mean age, yrs, at event)					
Coronary heart disease	384 (66.2)	131 (66.4)	104 (65.9)	433 (65.9)	1052 (66.0)
Stroke	208	64	65	212	549

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Mean follow-up time, months					
Coronary heart disease	200	193	199	192	196
Stroke	204	195	203	197	200

For peer review only

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-004355 on 3 March 2014. Downloaded from <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/> on April 18, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Table 2. Cox Regression models 6070 men followed from 1974-1977 until event or until 75 years of age. All models are adjusted for age. Low strain group is used as the reference group in all analyses.

Strain group	Coronary heart disease		Stroke	
	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
All	6070 (1052)		6070 (549)	
Active	2357 (384)	1.06 (0.85-1.32)	2357 (208)	0.91 (0.70-1.22)
High strain	671 (131)	1.31 (1.01-1.70)	671 (64)	1.05 (0.74-1.48)
Passive	2363 (433)	1.23 (1.00-1.53)	2363 (212)	0.96 (0.74-1.28)
Ever-smokers	4350 (826)		4350 (406)	
Active	1650 (277)	1.00 (0.78-1.29)	1650 (152)	0.87 (0.64-1.20)
High strain	499 (109)	1.37 (1.02-1.83)	499 (46)	0.92 (0.62-1.38)
Passive	1723 (361)	1.31 (1.03-1.68)	1723 (158)	0.90 (0.66-1.25)
Never-smokers	1437 (184)		1437 (113)	
Active	607 (88)	1.21 (0.76-1.99)	607 (46)	1.11 (0.61-2.18)
High strain	141 (18)	1.04 (0.55-1.96)	141 (13)	1.39 (0.63-3.08)
Passive	518 (57)	0.90 (0.55-1.52)	518 (42)	1.18 (0.64-2.35)
Blue-collar	3020 (555)		3020 (279)	
Active	41 (7)	1.04 (0.44-2.11)	41 (5)	1.30 (0.45-2.906)
High strain	492 (101)	1.36 (1.01-1.84)	53 (492)	1.22 (0.82-1.82)
Passive	2008 (372)	1.20 (0.94-1.55)	2008 (75)	0.93 (0.68-1.30)
White-collar	3050 (497)		3050 (270)	
Active	2316 (377)	1.14 (0.80-1.71)	2316 (203)	0.93 (0.60-1.54)
High strain	179 (30)	1.15 (0.69-1.92)	179 (11)	0.63 (0.29-1.30)
Passive	355 (612)	1.24 (0.80-1.95)	355 (37)	1.16 (0.68-2.06)
Self-reported stress at baseline	2177 (381)		2177 (201)	
Active	1014 (170)	1.01 (0.70-1.49)	1014 (98)	1.98 (1.09-4.05)
High strain	236 (47)	1.28 (0.82-2.02)	236 (20)	1.92 (0.92-4.28)

Passive	722 (131)	1.13 (0.78-1.69)	722 (73)	2.15 (1.17-4.44)
No self-reported stress at baseline	3742 (381)		3742 (330)	
Active	1304 (203)	1.00 (0.77-1.32)	1304 (105)	0.67 (0.49-0.94)
High strain	411 (77)	1.23 (0.89-1.70)	411 (40)	0.84 (0.56-1.26)
Passive	1568 (635)	1.21 (0.94-1.58)	1568 (131)	0.72 (0.53-1.00)
Hypertension at baseline	1341 (314)		1341 (201)	
Active	512 (129)	1.50 (1.00-2.33)	512 (78)	0.86 (0.56-1.35)
High strain	160 (37)	1.37 (0.84-2.29)	160 (22)	0.79 (0.45-1.38)
Passive	517 (122)	1.41 (0.94-2.20)	517 (74)	0.81 (0.53-1.29)
No hypertension at baseline	4715 (736)		4715 (347)	
Active	1840 (254)	0.93 (0.72-1.21)	1840 (129)	0.96 (0.68-1.39)
High strain	509 (94)	1.30 (0.96-1.76)	509 (42)	1.21 (0.78-1.88)
Passive	1842 (311)	1.18 (0.93-1.53)	1842 (138)	1.07 (0.76-1.55)
Body mass index <30	5544 (938)		5544 (499)	
Active	2188 (343)	0.99 (0.79-1.25)	2188 (196)	0.97 (0.72-1.31)
High strain	598 (113)	1.23 (0.94-1.62)	598 (57)	1.08 (0.75-1.57)
Passive	2150 (387)	1.18 (0.95-1.48)	2150 (190)	0.99 (0.74-1.34)
Body mass index ≥30	516 (113)		516 (50)	
Active	167 (41)	1.92 (0.98-4.21)	167 (12)	0.54 (0.23-1.33)
High strain	70 (17)	2.05 (0.93-4.81)	70 (7)	0.88 (0.31-2.35)
Passive	209 (46)	1.76 (0.90-3.84)	209 (22)	0.83 (0.40-1.91)
No Diabetes at baseline	5900 (989)		5900 (515)	
Active	2292 (357)	1.04 (0.84-1.31)	2292 (194)	0.91 (0.69-1.22)
High strain	647 (123)	1.31 (1.01-1.72)	647 (60)	1.05 (0.74-1.50)

Passive	2299 (411)	1.24 (1.00-1.55)	2299 (200)	0.97 (0.73-1.30)
Diabetes at baseline	170 (63)		170 (34)	
Active	65 (27)	1.26 (0.56-3.39)	65 (14)	1.02 (0.36-3.59)
High strain	24 (8)	1.08 (0.37-3.28)	24 (4)	0.96 (0.23-4.09)
Passive	64 (22)	1.00 (0.43-2.73)	64 (12)	0.96 (0.33-3.43)

For peer review only

Table 3. Cox Regression models 6070 men followed from 1974-1977 until event or until 75 years of age. Subjects with events the first five years after baseline are excluded. Models adjusted for age, adiposity, diabetes, smoking and hypertension.

Strain group	Coronary heart disease		Stroke	
	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	N (N Events)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
Low strain	642 (85)	1.00	642 (60)	1.00
Active	2247 (312)	1.08 (0.85-1.38)	2275 (189)	0.93 (0.70-1.25)
High strain	630 (109)	1.29 (0.97-1.72)	640 (54)	0.91 (0.63-1.32)
Passive	2208 (351)	1.22 (0.97-1.56)	2248 (190)	0.94 (0.70-1.26)

Table 4. Cox regression models of 6070 men followed from 1974-1977 until event or until 75 years of age showing hazard ratios (HR) for low control and high demands in relation to coronary heart disease and stroke for different subgroups. All models are adjusted for age.

Subgroup	Coronary heart disease		Stroke	
	Low Control vs. high control	HR High Demands vs. low demands	HR Low Control vs. high control	HR High Demands vs. low demands
All	1.19 (1.06-1.35)	0.94 (0.84-1.07)	1.05 (0.89-1.24)	0.97 (0.82-1.15)
Never smokers	0.80 (0.60-1.07)	1.27 (0.95-1.71)	1.13 (0.78-1.64)	1.02 (0.70-1.48)
Ever smokers	1.32 (1.15-1.52)	0.87 (0.76-1.00)	1.01 (0.83-1.23)	0.95 (0.79-1.16)
No hypertension	1.28 (1.11-1.48)	0.88 (0.76-1.02)	1.14 (0.92-1.40)	0.96 (0.78-1.18)
Hypertension	1.02 (0.81-1.27)	1.12 (0.89-1.39)	0.91 (0.69-1.20)	0.98 (0.74-1.30)
BMI<30	1.20 (1.05-1.36)	0.92 (0.81-1.04)	1.03 (0.87-1.23)	1.00 (0.84-1.19)
BMI>=30	1.11 (0.77-1.61)	1.25 (0.86-1.81)	1.25 (0.72-2.22)	0.72 (0.40-1.26)
No diabetes	1.22 (1.07-1.38)	0.93 (0.82-1.05)	1.06 (0.89-1.26)	0.96 (0.81-1.14)
Diabetes	0.85 (0.51-1.40)	1.21 (0.74-2.01)	0.95 (0.47-1.87)	1.04 (0.52-2.07)
No stress	1.21 (1.04-1.42)	0.91 (0.78-1.06)	0.99 (0.80-1.23)	0.90 (0.73-1.12)
Self reported stress	1.16 (0.95-1.42)	0.96 (0.78-1.17)	1.15 (0.87-1.52)	1.04 (0.79-1.39)
Blue Collar	1.23 (0.98-1.56)	1.15 (0.93-1.41)	0.96 (0.72-1.31)	1.31 (0.97-1.73)
White collar	1.06 (0.84-1.33)	1.00 (0.80-1.26)	1.04 (0.75-1.40)	0.83 (0.62-1.12)