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ABSTRACT

Objective: Describe the development and psychometric validation of a brief scale (the Sleep Condition Indicator (SCI)) to evaluate insomnia disorder in everyday clinical practice.

Design: The SCI was evaluated across five study samples. Content validity, internal consistency and concurrent validity were investigated.

Participants: 30 941 individuals (71% female) completed the SCI along with other descriptive demographic and clinical information.

Setting: Data acquired on dedicated websites.

Results: The eight-item SCI (concerns about getting to sleep, remaining asleep, sleep quality, daytime personal functioning, daytime performance, duration of sleep problem, nights per week having a sleep problem and extent troubled by poor sleep) had robust internal consistency ($\alpha \geq 0.86$) and showed convergent validity with the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index and Insomnia Severity Index. A two-item short-form (SCI-02: nights per week having a sleep problem, extent troubled by poor sleep), derived using linear regression modelling, correlated strongly with the SCI total score ($r=0.90$).

Conclusions: The SCI has potential as a clinical screening tool for appraising insomnia symptoms against Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5) criteria.

INTRODUCTION

Although insomnia is the most common of all mental health problems, it is seldom adequately assessed and treatment services are often poor. This perhaps reflects the perspective that insomnia is usually a symptom, coupled with minimal medical education on sleep and its disorders. However, there are three reasons why this perspective must now change. First, insomnia is not merely a symptom. It has for some time been proposed as a genuine diagnosis (see Harvey review), and recently the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5) Work Group recognised that the previous dichotomy of primary versus secondary insomnia is not evidence based. Accordingly, DSM-5 now recommends that ‘insomnia disorder’ should be coded “whenever diagnostic criteria are met whether or not there is a co-existing physical, mental or sleep disorder”. Second, insomnia is not necessarily transient or benign. Once established, it is remarkably persistent, constituting a risk factor for the development of physical and mental health problems, notably depression, as well as adverse effects on quality of life. Chronic insomnia is also associated with high societal cost and is, for example, a robust predictor of work disability. Third, insomnia is treatable. There is a very substantial level 1 evidence-base, evaluating pharmacological and cognitive–behavioural therapies (CBTs), although the latter are very seldom available.

Insomnia is ubiquitous, so it is important that clinicians, general practitioners in particular, have a reliable, valid and brief screening tool. A wide range of such instruments is a standard part of patient-centred care, for example, for depression, anxiety and...
alcohol problems. In the insomnia field, two scales in particular are widely used: the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) and the Insomnia Severity Index (ISI). The PSQI is an established research tool, which has a cut-off score indicative of sleep disturbance. However, it lacks specificity for insomnia. The ISI is very sound psychometrically, and is more specific and based on DSM-IV criteria for insomnia. It is used in the main to select people for clinical trials and as an outcome measure.

In this paper, we present a further measure (the Sleep Condition Indicator (SCI)) that may have some useful features. The SCI is informed by the development phase for DSM-5 insomnia disorder, coupled with published research diagnostic criteria and recommended quantitative parameters for sleep disturbance. In keeping with DSM-5, the SCI also evaluates associated daytime factors, which are important drivers of clinical symptoms and should be incorporated in insomnia measurement.

In terms of utility, the SCI is brief but versatile. It yields (1) a dimensional perspective on sleep quality: on an intuitive, global scale where higher scores represent better sleep; (2) a visual profile of night-time and daytime symptoms that the clinician can use in consultations and (3) indicative cut-off points for clinically-significant insomnia. This paper summarises the development and evaluation of the SCI across several studies, and in doing so addresses two major questions. First, does the SCI have adequate psychometric properties? Second, is it possible to derive an even briefer, short form, SCI that has similar psychometric characteristics?

**METHODS**

**Sample characteristics**

Data are reported from five validation studies (total n=30 941; 71% female) in which SCI items were administered. The Great British Sleep Survey (GBSS) was an open access, web-based survey completed by adults (18 +years) with a UK postcode yielding data on 12 028 participants (72% female; mean age=38.7 years (SD=14.5)) between February 2010 and August 2011. The GBSS+ was a revision of the GBSS, extended to any valid zip code worldwide, from May 2011 to March 2012 (n=11 017; 68% female; 42.3 years (16.5)). The TV sample was obtained in response to a network programme (the Food Hospital, Channel 4) on the sleep benefits of tart cherry juice (n=6876; 76% female, 36.4 years (13.3)). Glasgow Science Centre data (n=256; 56% female, 40.3 years (14.9)) were collected in 2009–2010 during a study which assessed the relationship between salivary amylase, sleep pressure and diurnal preference. Finally, a randomised controlled trial (RCT) sample comprised 164 participants (72% female, 48.9 years (13.7)) recruited into a placebo-controlled evaluation of CBT for insomnia.

Ethical agreement concerning the latter two studies is provided in the source manuscripts. For the open access web surveys, participation was covered by the site terms.

Across our combined largest samples (GBSS, GBSS+, TV), women were slightly younger than men (38.5 (14.9) vs 40.1 (14.7) years; t(27 638)=7.94, p<0.0001) and the great majority was in average or better physical health (86%) and mental health (82%; 5-point scale: 0 ‘very good’, 1 ‘good’, 2 ‘average’, 3 ‘poor’ and 4 ‘very poor’). Respondents who made some use of prescription sleeping pills (9.1%) were 7 years older than those who did not (46.2 (15.1) vs 39.1 (15.1) year, t(20 813)=19.6, p<.0001) and had a substantially poorer SCI score (8.56 (4.93) vs 15.6 (7.80), t(20 813)=38.8, p<0.0001). Of the total sample, 18.1% took over-the-counter sleep aids (OTCs), and more than one-third of those taking sleeping pills also used OTCs.

**Design and measurement**

This is a psychometric scale development study. Standard approaches to the appraisal of validity, reliability and sensitivity were applied, making appropriate selection among the available datasets. These methods and datasets will be introduced in an integrated way in subsequent sections, so that the research process can be more clearly expressed and understood.

**RESULTS**

**Development of the SCI**

**Content validity**

An eight-item scale was developed (see appendix) based on DSM-5 workgroup draft criteria that were available at the time (in 2010). At that stage, a consultation process was underway and draft information was posted on the American Psychiatric Association website. Consequently, the SCI items generated comprised two quantitative items on sleep continuity (item 1, getting to sleep; item 2, remaining asleep), two qualitative items on sleep satisfaction/dissatisfaction (item 4, sleep quality; item 7, troubled or not), two quantitative items on severity (item 3, nights per week; item 8, duration of problem) and two qualitative items on attributed daytime consequences of poor sleep (item 5, effects on mood, energy or relationships (personal functioning); item 6, effects on concentration, productivity or ability to stay awake (daytime performance)).

Validated quantitative criteria for sleep disruption (eg, 31–45 min to fall asleep) served as responses for sleep continuity items 1 and 2. Items 5 and 6 on daytime effects were derived by principal components analysis (PCA; Varimax rotation) of six individual proposed DSM-5 impact areas, using combined datasets (GBSS, GBSS+, TV: RCT: valid n=29 650). PCA yielded satisfactory Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO=0.874) and Bartlett (p<0.0001) statistics. Iteration converged after three rotations. A two component model (derived from inspection of the scree plot and a criterion for associated variance ≥10%) explained 75.8% of total variance, with item loadings ≥0.60. Component 1 (eigenvalue=3.83; 63.8% of variance) comprised ‘mood’
and the ISI (r = 0.016) and ‘relationships’ (0.859), and was subsequently named ‘personal functioning’. Component 2 (eigenvalue=0.72; 12.0% of variance) comprised ‘concentration’ (0.719) and ‘get through work’ (0.724), and ‘stay awake’ (0.875) may be regarded as ‘daytime performance’. PCA offered a relatively pure solution, although ‘energy’ also loaded significantly on component 2 (0.519).

We then investigated the inter-relationships of our eight SCI items using the same methodology, but applying this time a minimum item loading of 0.40, to permit incorporation of all eight items. PCA with Varimax Rotation (KMO=0.888; Bartlett, p<0.0001) yielded a two component solution (66.4% explained variance). Component 1 (eigenvalue=4.256, 53.2% variance), named ‘sleep pattern’, comprised items 1, 2, 3, 4 and 8 with factor loading ranging from 0.453 to 0.776. Component 2 (eigenvalue=1.06, 13.2% variance), named ‘sleep-related impact’, comprised item 5 (factor loading 0.886) and item 6 (0.911). Consistent with clinical presentation, concerns about sleep (item 7) loaded significantly and similarly on ‘sleep pattern’ (0.616) and ‘sleep-related impact’ components (0.576).
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two-component solution (66% of the variance), reflecting the underlying complaint of insomnia; that is, concerns about sleep pattern and concerns about the impact of poor sleep, both of which need to be addressed in clinical practice. The derived two-item short-form version, focusing on the severity of the presenting complaint coupled with frequency of the sleep problem, correlated strongly with total SCI score and we would suggest that these might be the lead questions for a clinician to use in the context of their consulting room practice.

Of course, further work is required, particularly real-world studies of how the SCI might be used in population screening and in the evaluation of outcome following an episode of care. While comparisons with ISI cut-offs provide evidence of concurrent validity and indicate that an SCI score ≤16 may help detect probable insomnia disorder, studies of predictive validity with reference to independent clinical evaluation of insomnia disorder (the gold standard) are essential before firm conclusions can be made.

It should be noted that over half of the respondents to our online surveys screened positive for possible insomnia disorder. These, of course, are not prevalence data as we did not adopt a formal population sampling approach. Nevertheless, the inevitable bias of these open access surveys towards those with sleep concerns does permit us (1) to profile many respondents against criteria; (2) to conduct powerful analyses of the properties of the SCI and (3) to make comparisons with sizeable cohorts of good sleepers. Importantly, for our Science Centre sample, approximately 10% scored in the probable insomnia disorder range (ISI score ≥15), consistent with prevalence data,2 providing further support for our ISI–SCI concurrent validity analysis.

Furthermore, a limitation of the SCI is that it does not contain specific questions relating to early morning awakenings (EMA; premature awakening with inability to return to sleep)—a symptom which has recently been incorporated into DSM-5 criteria. While established insomnia questionnaires, including the ISI36 and Athens Insomnia Scale,37 probe perceived severity of EMA, quantitative values for EMA, to our knowledge, are yet to be defined. To some extent, SCI item 2 on wakefulness during the night may capture this symptom, complaint, but we recommend that the clinician follows up a ‘positive’ answer to locate the nature and temporal position of wakefulness during the sleep period. Moreover, other core DSM-5 criteria do not feature as SCI items (eg, the sleep difficulty occurs despite adequate opportunity for sleep; the insomnia is not better explained by and does not occur exclusively during the course of another sleep-wake disorder; and the insomnia is not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance). However, these items are not easy to probe unambiguously with a self-completed psychometric instrument and would require careful scrutiny by a treating clinician. It is, of course, possible that sleep disorders other than insomnia (eg, circadian rhythm sleep disorders, sleep-breathing disorders) may also lead to low scores on the SCI. Thus, the SCI should be viewed as an insomnia screening tool, consistent with features of DSM-5, but requiring careful follow-up in clinical practice to fully define the nature of sleep disturbance.
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Appendix: The sleep condition indicator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thinking about a typical night in the last month ...</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. ... how long does it take you to fall asleep?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–15 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–30 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–45 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46–60 min</td>
<td>&gt;61 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. ... if you then wake up during the night ... how long are you awake for in total? (add all the wakenings up)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. ... how many nights a week do you have a problem with your sleep?</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. ... how would you rate your sleep quality?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thinking about the past month, to what extent has poor sleep ...</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. ... affected your mood, energy, or relationships?</td>
<td>Not at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. ... affected your concentration, productivity, or ability to stay awake</td>
<td>Not at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. ... troubled you in general</td>
<td>Not at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Finally ...</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. ... how long have you had a problem with your sleep?</td>
<td>I don’t have a problem/ &lt;1 mo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scoring instructions:
Add the item scores to obtain the SCI total (minimum 0, maximum 32). A higher score means better sleep. Scores can be converted to 0–10 format (minimum 0, maximum 10) by dividing total by 3.2. Item scores in grey area represent threshold criteria for Insomnia Disorder.