BMJ Open

Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing behavior problems in preschool children: a UK-based twin study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2014-005974
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	25-Jun-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Antoniou, Evangelia; Maastricht University, Fowler, Tom; Heart of Birmingham Teaching PCT, Department of Public Health Reed, Keith; The Twins and Multiple Births Association, Southwood, Taunton; University of Birmingham, Institute of Child Health McCleery, Joseph; University of Birmingham, School of Psychology Zeegers, Maurice; Maastricht University, Department of Complex Genetics
Primary Subject Heading :	Obstetrics and gynaecology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Research methods
Keywords:	Nutrition < TROPICAL MEDICINE, Antenatal < GENETICS, Developmental neurology & neurodisability < PAEDIATRICS

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

1	Title
2	Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing behavior problems in preschool
3	children: a UK-based twin study
4	
5	Authors
6	Evangelia E. Antoniou, ¹ Tom Fowler, ² Keith Reed, ³ Taunton R. Southwood, ⁴ Joseph
7	P. McCleery, Maurice P. Zeegers ⁶
8	
9	¹ Department of Clinical Psychological Science, Faculty of Psychology and
10	Neuroscience, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands
11	
12	² Department of Public Health, Heart of Birmingham Teaching PCT, Birmingham, UK
13	
14	³ The Twins and Multiple Births Association (TAMBA), UK
15	
16	⁴ Institute of Child Health, University of Birmingham, UK
17	
18	⁵ School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, UK
19	
20	⁶ Department of Complex Genetics, Cluster of Genetics and Cell Biology, NUTRIM
21	School for Nutrition, Toxicology and Metabolism, Maastricht University Medical
22	Centre, Maastricht, The Netherlands
23	
24	
25	Keywords
26	Maternal pre-pregnancy weight, twins, intrauterine environment, externalizing behavior
27	
28	Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Dr. Evangelia Antoniou
29	Department of Clinical Psychological Science, Faculty of Psychology and
30	Neuroscience, Maastricht University, The Netherlands. Telephone: +31(0) 43 388 4049
31	Email address: eva.antoniou@maastrichtuniversity.nl
32	Abstract

33	Background: Preschool years are a critical period for the emergence of many clinically
34	significant problem behaviors such as externalizing and internalizing disorders. Twin
35	studies have shown a substantial genetic influence and it still remains unclear what the
36	role of the intrauterine factors, such as maternal pre-pregnancy overweight, is on child
37	behavior problems. Methods: The mothers of 886 twins answered questions on pre-
38	pregnancy weight and their children's internalizing and externalizing problems. The
39	heritability of behavior problems and the association with maternal pre-pregnancy
40	weight was investigated in genetic component analysis and multivariate regressions.
41	Results: The genetic analysis suggested that genetic and common environmental factors
42	account for most of the variation in externalizing disorders; common and non-shared
43	environment explain most of the variation in internalizing disorders. Moreover, the
44	regression analysis results suggested that children of overweight mothers showed a
45	trend towards being more aggressive and exhibit externalizing behaviors compared to
46	children of normal weight mothers. Conclusion: Maternal pre-pregnancy weight may
47	play a role in children's aggressive behavior.
48	
49	Strengths and limitations of this study

- In the twin genetic design maternal pre-pregnancy weight was included in the genetic model, in order to investigate the influence of the intrauterine environment on children's behaviour problems.
- Cut-off scores applied to the child behavior scale enabled us to clinically assess the observed association.
- A number of covariates of post-natal influence were adjusted such as maternal educational level and smoking after pregnancy.
- Information on other parental characteristics such as maternal psychopathology and personality was not available.

60 Keywords

61 Intrauterine environment, twins, externalizing, pre-pregnancy weight, heritability

63 Word count: 3,155

65 Introduction

A child's preschool years are generally considered important since during this period the critical emergence of many clinically significant problem behaviors occur ¹. Externalizing (e.g., aggression, conduct problems, hyperactivity) and internalizing problems (e.g., emotional problems, anxiety, depression) can often first be identified in early childhood which then show considerable stability across older ages ²⁻⁴. Toddlers with problem behaviors are at risk for a variety of adverse developmental outcomes including conflictual relationships with other peers or family, poor academic performance, delinquency and later maladjustment ⁵⁻⁷. Given the life course implications of early onset symptomatology, it is essential to understand the underlying aetiology of problem behaviors in preschool children.

Twin studies investigating externalizing and internalizing problems have revealed substantial genetic influence, with heritabilities ranging from 40-70% in these age groups ⁸⁻¹⁰. The influence of the shared environment is however, more modest explaining up to 40% of the variance in behavior problems ⁸⁻¹¹.

Since the 1990s there has been an increased interest into the research of the effect of the

intrauterine environmental and maternal well-being during pregnancy on later child development. Epidemiological studies suggest strong links between measures of the quality of the prenatal environment and the risk of cardiovascular and metabolic diseases ¹² ¹³ and more research evidence suggests that low birth weight can be linked with impaired cognitive development and behavioural disorders, especially hyperactivity/inattention ¹⁴ ¹⁵ while several studies have examined the association between low birth weight and internalizing and externalizing behaviours ¹⁶⁻¹⁸. Research findings ¹⁹ ²⁰ suggest that another intrauterine factor, maternal pre-pregnancy obesity/weight is associated with reduced cognitive abilities, symptoms of inattention and negative emotionality in school aged children ¹⁹. These findings are of clinical importance especially in the light of the increasing prevalence of obese women entering pregnancy ²¹. Pregnancy comes with main changes in the maternal body and a high prepregnancy weight is more likely to make these adaptations even more difficult affecting child development. With the exception of one recent study ²² suggesting that fetal

exposure to increased maternal body mass index (BMI) is associated with elevated
levels of externalizing problems in two-year old children, not much is known about the
influence of maternal pre-pregnancy weight on externalizing and internalizing
behaviour problems in young age groups after that age and before the year of five. By
implementing a twin research design including maternal pre-pregnancy weight in the
genetic model, we set out to investigate the role of the intrauterine, common and genetic
environment on children's behaviour problems.

Methods

The Twins and Multiple Births Association Heritability Study (TAMBAHS) is a volunteer-based study, investigating the development of twins from birth until 5 years of age. Twins aged between 18 months and five years old at the time of the survey were identified. In the time period between July 2008 and May 2010, 443 mothers of twins completed the study's online questionnaire on their twins' emotional and behavioral development. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Birmingham.

- 115 Zygosity determination
- 116 For the determination of the twins' zygosity the previously adapted version of
- Goldsmith's zygosity questionnaire was used ²³. This questionnaire method of assigning
- 218 zygosity has been validated against determination by identity of polymorphic DNA
- markers and has reached accuracy in verifying zygosity in 95% of the cases ²⁴.
- 120 Twin Sample
- 121 In total 443 twin pairs were included in the analyses; 186 monozygotic (MZ) male
- twins, 138 monozygotic (MZ) female twins, 144 dizygotic (DZ) male twins, 158
- dizygotic (DZ) female twins and 260 opposite-sex twins.

- 125 Materials
- Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and covariates
- 127 The primary exposure variable was maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, which was calculated
- as the weight (kg)/ height (m²). Pre-pregnancy BMI was analysed both as a continuous

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005974 on 14 October 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 23, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1

129	and as a categorical variable and was classified according to the WHO standard
130	guidelines as: underweight (<18.5kg) normal weight (18.5kg-24.99kg)
131	overweight/obese (>=25kg).
132	Gestational age (measured in completed weeks of gestation), educational level and
133	smoking (before, during, after pregnancy) were noted for mothers; age, sex and birth
134	weight were noted for all twins.
135	
136	The Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL/1½y-5y)
137	The Child Behavior Checklist for toddlers (CBCL/11/2-5) 25 is used to obtain
138	standardised parent reports of children's problem behaviors. It contains ninety-nine
139	problem items, split into seven subcategories: emotionally reactive, anxious/depressed
140	somatic complaints, withdrawn, sleep problems, attention problems, and aggressive
141	behavior originally derived by factor analyses ²⁵ . The broadband scale 'Internalizing' is
142	the sum score of items in the first four syndrome scales, whereas 'Externalizing' is the
143	sum score of attention problems and aggressive behaviour. 'Total problems' is the sum
144	score of all ninety-nine problem items. Each item is scored 0 = not true, 1 = somewhat
145	or sometimes true, and 2 = very true or often true, based on the preceding 2 months
146	Good reliability and validity criteria have been reported for this checklist ²⁵ . To identify
147	children that may be above the normal range for the syndrome scales, children were
148	categorized as being in the normal range when their T scores were below 65 (or the 93 rd
149	percentile) and as being in the borderline/clinical range when T scores ≥ 65 (or the 97 th
150	percentile) ²⁵ . For Internalizing, Externalizing, and Total Problem broadband scales the
151	cut-off point used for the normal range was a T score <60 , and borderline/clinical ≥ 60 .
152	
153	Statistical analysis
154	Correlations
155	Intra-pair twin correlations were calculated by using the Pearson's (r) and the

Spearman's rho coefficient statistics as appropriate to explore the genetic and

environmental influences.

161	Heritability analysis
162	Univariate genetic m

Univariate genetic models were fit to the data in order to estimate the heritability of the problem scales using a maximum likelihood approach implemented in Mx 26 . The estimates of the heritability are presented with 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) and goodness of fit statistics for several models: a full ACE model, in which the phenotypic variance is explained by genetic (A) common environmental factors (C) and non-shared (E) environmental factors. Reduced models were estimated by removing one of the parameters at a time and re-running the model. The goodness of fit of the reduced models was compared to the full model to assess whether they represented a better explanation of the data using the likelihood ratio χ^2 test and the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). The models were assessed by examining the decrease in the fit of the model; if a parameter could be dropped without a significant decrease in fit then on the grounds of parsimony the reduced model was accepted as the best fitting model. Models were fit both unadjusted and adjusted for pre-pregnancy maternal weight.

177 Regression analyses

178 Effects on a continuous scale

Standardized coefficients (Betas) are presented reflecting the change on the subcategories and the broadband problem scales by change in mother's weight both expressed as standard deviation change.

Effects on clinically important behavior problems

In order to estimate the effect of the different categories of maternal weight on clinical problems, logistic regression models were fitted. In these models the intercept of each twin pair was modelled as a function of the population intercept plus the individual contribution of the twin pair. Associations of maternal pre-pregnancy weight and the syndrome and broadband scales were explored both unadjusted and adjusted for twins' sex, age, birth weight, gestational age, maternal smoking (before, during and after

192	pregnancy) and maternal educational level. All analyses were performed in STATA 11
193	27
194	
195	Results
196	
197	Correlations
198	The means for the three broadband scales and the intra-pair twin correlations for MZ
199	and DZ twins were calculated (Table 1). For Externalizing problems, the MZ correlation
200	was r=0.89 and the DZ correlation was r=0.62. For Internalizing problems, the MZ and
201	DZ correlations were r=0.81 and r=0.56 respectively. For Total problems, the MZ and
202	DZ correlations were r=0.92 and r=0.75 respectively.
203	
204	
205	
206	
207	
208	
209	
210	
211	

Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing problems 8

Table 1 Descriptive statistics of problem broadband scales for monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs

		Moı	nozygot	ic twir	n pairs					Dizygo	otic tw	in pairs		
		Twin 1			Twin 2	2			Twin	[Twin 2	2	
Problem scales	N	M	SD	N	M	SD	r	N	M	SD	N	M	SD	r
Externalizing	167	12.88	7.72	167	12.83	7.51	0.89	269	12.79	6.61	269	12.66	6.95	0.62
(range 0-48)														
Internalizing	167	5.94	4.55	167	9.13	6.98	0.81	269	5.49	4.11	269	8.24	5.89	0.56
(range 0-96)														
Total problems (range 0-78)	167	31.58	16.24	167	34.66	18.90	0.92	269	30.45	14.18	269	33.06	16.10	0.75
N; Number of twins								7.						
M; Mean														
SD; Standard deviation														
r; Within-twin correlations														

N; Number of twins

M; Mean

SD; Standard deviation

r; Within-twin correlations

of 21	BMJ Open	
	Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing problems 9	
224	Heritability analyses	
225	Variance estimates of ACE models and sub-models with their 95% Confidence Intervals	
226	(CI) are presented in Table 2, in which the most parsimonious model is highlighted. For	
227	Externalizing problems, an ACE model was the most parsimonious with genetic factors	
228	explaining 46% (95 % CI: 33-60%) of the variance, common environment explaining	
229	42% (95 % CI: 27-54%) and non-shared environmental factors explaining 13% (95%	
230	CI: 10-16%) of the variance. For Internalizing problems a CE model was the most	
231	parsimonious model with common environment explaining 51% (95% CI: 44-58%) of	
232	the variance and non-shared environment explaining 49% (95% CI: 42-56%) of the	
233	variance. For Total problems, an ACE model was the most parsimonious one with	
234	genetic factors explaining 26% (95 % CI: 13-39%) of the variance, common	
235	environment explaining 61% (95% CI: 49-70%) of the variance and non-shared	
236	environment explaining 13% (95% CI: 10-17%) of the variance.	
237	After adjusting for maternal pre-pregnancy weight, the most parsimonious model for the	
238	Externalizing problems was an ACE model with genetic factors explaining 50% (95%	
239	CI: 36-68%), common environment 38% (95% CI: 20-52%) and non-shared	
240	environment 12% (95% CI: .09-16%) of the variance. For Internalizing problems the	
241	most parsimonious model was and AE model with genetic factors explaining 59% (95%	
242	CI: 50-67%) and non-shared environment 41% (95% CI: 33-50%) of the variance. For	
243	Total problems the most parsimonious model was an ACE model with genetic factors	
244	explaining 25% (95% CI: 14-38%), common environment 62% (95% CI: 49-72%) and	
245	non-shared environment 13% (95% CI: .10-17%) of the variance.	
246		
247		
248		
249		
250		
251		
252		
253		
254		
	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

Table 2 Univariate genetic model-fitting for the problem scales presenting full and nested models

	A (95%CI)	C (95%CI)	E (95%CI)	Δx^2	P	AIC
Externalizing						
ACE*	0.46 (0.33-0.60)	0.42 (0.27-0.54)	0.13 (0.10016)	-	-	-
AE	0.87 (0.84-0.90)	(0)	0.13 (0.10-0.16)	23.44	0.00	21.44
CE	(0)	0.74 (0.69-0.78)	0.26 (0.22-0.31)	49.69	0.00	47.69
Internalizing						
ACE	0.23 (0.00-0.48)	0.35 (0.12-0.54)	0.43 (0.35-0.53)	-	-	-
AE	0.60 (0.52-0.67)	(0)	0.40 (0.33-0.48)	8.84	0.00	6.84
CE*	(0)	0.51 (0.44-0.58)	0.49 (0.42-0.56)	3.15	0.07	1.15
Total Problems						
ACE*	0.26 (0.16-0.39)	0.61 (0.49-0.70)	0.13 (0.10-0.17)	-	-	-
AE	0.87 (0.84-0.90)	(0)	0.13 (0.10-0.16)	53.64	0.00	51.64
CE	(0)	0.79 (0.76-0.83)	0.21 (0.17-0.24)	22.17	0.00	20.17

258 * Best fitting model

A, Additive genetic; C, shared environment; E, non-shared environment; CI, confidence

interval; $\Delta \chi^2$, Difference Chi-square; P, statistical significance p<.05; AIC, Akaike's

261 Information Criterion

The mean maternal body mass index (BMI), gestational age, age and sex of the twins stratified by zygosity are presented in Table 3. There were no differences in maternal weight between monozygotic and dizygotic twins. However, differences were observed between monozygotic and dizygotic twins with regards to their age and gestational age. Mothers of dizygotic twins had a higher gestational age, (36.22, 95 % CI: 36.00-36.43, p<0.001) compared to mothers of monozygotic twins (35.24, 95 % CI: 35.12-36.43); the monozygotic twins were older (3.13 years old, 95 %CI: 3.03-3.23, p<0.001) compared to dizygotic twins (2.91 years old, 95 %CI: 2.83-2.98). Correlations of maternal and twin covariates and problems broadband scales are also presented in the same table.

Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing problems 11

Table 3 Means, standard deviations and Pearson/Spearman correlations with three syndrome scales for each covariate by zygosity

		MZ twins					DZ twins		
Mean	SD	r _a	r _b	r c	Mean	SD	r _a	r _b	r c
24.51	4.32	0.07	-0.03	0.02	24.76	5.10	0.10*	-0.04	0.10*
35.38	2.49	-0.04	-0.12*	-0.06	36.22	2.71	-0.04	-0.15***	-0.11**
n	%	r _a	r _b	r c	n	%	r _a	r _b	r _c
133/40	76.9/23.1	0.03	-0.02	0.05	255/54	82.5/17.5	0.07	0.04	0.06
156/5	96.9/3.1	0.07	0.00	0.06	280/10	96.6/3.4	0.10	-0.03	0.05
143/18	88.2/11.2	0.04	0.04	0.10	272/29	90.4/9.6	0.09	0.00	0.06
42	25.3				53	18.1			
23	13.9	-0.02	0.04	0.00	51	17.3	-0.00	0.03	-0.03
101	60.8				191	64.6			
3.13	0.96	-0.23***	0.02	-0.15**	2.91	0.95	-0.07	0.11	-0.01
n	%	-0.05	0.18***	0.07	n	%	-0.08	-0.05	-0.09*
206	57.22				164	26.28			
154	42.78				174	27.88			
-	-				286	45.84			
	24.51 35.38 n 133/40 156/5 143/18 42 23 101 3.13 n 206	24.51 4.32 35.38 2.49 n % 133/40 76.9/23.1 156/5 96.9/3.1 143/18 88.2/11.2 42 25.3 23 13.9 101 60.8 3.13 0.96 n % 206 57.22 154 42.78	Mean SD r a 24.51 4.32 0.07 35.38 2.49 -0.04 n % r a 133/40 76.9/23.1 0.03 156/5 96.9/3.1 0.07 143/18 88.2/11.2 0.04 42 25.3 23 13.9 -0.02 101 60.8 3.13 0.96 -0.23**** n % -0.05 206 57.22 154 42.78	Mean SD r a r b 24.51 4.32 0.07 -0.03 35.38 2.49 -0.04 -0.12* n % r a r b 133/40 76.9/23.1 0.03 -0.02 156/5 96.9/3.1 0.07 0.00 143/18 88.2/11.2 0.04 0.04 42 25.3 23 13.9 -0.02 0.04 101 60.8 3.13 0.96 -0.23*** 0.02 n % -0.05 0.18*** 206 57.22 154 42.78	Mean SD r a r b r c 24.51 4.32 0.07 -0.03 0.02 35.38 2.49 -0.04 -0.12* -0.06 n % r a r b r c 133/40 76.9/23.1 0.03 -0.02 0.05 156/5 96.9/3.1 0.07 0.00 0.06 143/18 88.2/11.2 0.04 0.04 0.10 42 25.3 23 13.9 -0.02 0.04 0.00 101 60.8 3.13 0.96 -0.23*** 0.02 -0.15** n % -0.05 0.18*** 0.07 206 57.22 154 42.78	Mean SD r a r b r c Mean 24.51 4.32 0.07 -0.03 0.02 24.76 35.38 2.49 -0.04 -0.12* -0.06 36.22 n % r a r b r c n 133/40 76.9/23.1 0.03 -0.02 0.05 255/54 156/5 96.9/3.1 0.07 0.00 0.06 280/10 143/18 88.2/11.2 0.04 0.04 0.10 272/29 42 25.3 53 23 13.9 -0.02 0.04 0.00 51 101 60.8 191 3.13 0.96 -0.23**** 0.02 -0.15*** 2.91 n % -0.05 0.18*** 0.07 n 206 57.22 164 154 42.78 174	Mean SD r a r b r c Mean SD 24.51 4.32 0.07 -0.03 0.02 24.76 5.10 35.38 2.49 -0.04 -0.12* -0.06 36.22 2.71 n % r a r b r c n % 133/40 76.9/23.1 0.03 -0.02 0.05 255/54 82.5/17.5 156/5 96.9/3.1 0.07 0.00 0.06 280/10 96.6/3.4 143/18 88.2/11.2 0.04 0.04 0.10 272/29 90.4/9.6 42 25.3 53 18.1 23 13.9 -0.02 0.04 0.00 51 17.3 101 60.8 191 64.6 3.13 0.96 -0.23*** 0.02 -0.15** 2.91 0.95 n % -0.05 0.18*** 0.07 n % 206 57.22 164 <t< td=""><td>Mean SD r a r b r c Mean SD r a 24.51 4.32 0.07 -0.03 0.02 24.76 5.10 0.10* 35.38 2.49 -0.04 -0.12* -0.06 36.22 2.71 -0.04 n % r a r b r c n % r a 133/40 76.9/23.1 0.03 -0.02 0.05 255/54 82.5/17.5 0.07 156/5 96.9/3.1 0.07 0.00 0.06 280/10 96.6/3.4 0.10 143/18 88.2/11.2 0.04 0.04 0.10 272/29 90.4/9.6 0.09 42 25.3 53 18.1 18.1 23 13.9 -0.02 0.04 0.00 51 17.3 -0.00 101 60.8 191 64.6 64.6 3.13 0.96 -0.23**** 0.02 -0.15*** 2.91 0.95 -0.07</td><td>Mean SD \mathbf{r}_a \mathbf{r}_b \mathbf{r}_c Mean SD \mathbf{r}_a \mathbf{r}_b 24.51 4.32 0.07 -0.03 0.02 24.76 5.10 0.10* -0.04 35.38 2.49 -0.04 -0.12* -0.06 36.22 2.71 -0.04 -0.15**** \mathbf{n} % \mathbf{r}_a \mathbf{r}_b \mathbf{r}_c \mathbf{n} % \mathbf{r}_a \mathbf{r}_b 133/40 76.9/23.1 0.03 -0.02 0.05 255/54 82.5/17.5 0.07 0.04 156/5 96.9/3.1 0.07 0.00 0.06 280/10 96.6/3.4 0.10 -0.03 143/18 88.2/11.2 0.04 0.04 0.10 272/29 90.4/9.6 0.09 0.00 42 25.3 53 18.1 17.3 -0.00 0.03 101 60.8 -0.02 0.04 0.00 51 17.3 -0.00 0.01 3.13 0.96</td></t<>	Mean SD r a r b r c Mean SD r a 24.51 4.32 0.07 -0.03 0.02 24.76 5.10 0.10* 35.38 2.49 -0.04 -0.12* -0.06 36.22 2.71 -0.04 n % r a r b r c n % r a 133/40 76.9/23.1 0.03 -0.02 0.05 255/54 82.5/17.5 0.07 156/5 96.9/3.1 0.07 0.00 0.06 280/10 96.6/3.4 0.10 143/18 88.2/11.2 0.04 0.04 0.10 272/29 90.4/9.6 0.09 42 25.3 53 18.1 18.1 23 13.9 -0.02 0.04 0.00 51 17.3 -0.00 101 60.8 191 64.6 64.6 3.13 0.96 -0.23**** 0.02 -0.15*** 2.91 0.95 -0.07	Mean SD \mathbf{r}_a \mathbf{r}_b \mathbf{r}_c Mean SD \mathbf{r}_a \mathbf{r}_b 24.51 4.32 0.07 -0.03 0.02 24.76 5.10 0.10* -0.04 35.38 2.49 -0.04 -0.12* -0.06 36.22 2.71 -0.04 -0.15**** \mathbf{n} % \mathbf{r}_a \mathbf{r}_b \mathbf{r}_c \mathbf{n} % \mathbf{r}_a \mathbf{r}_b 133/40 76.9/23.1 0.03 -0.02 0.05 255/54 82.5/17.5 0.07 0.04 156/5 96.9/3.1 0.07 0.00 0.06 280/10 96.6/3.4 0.10 -0.03 143/18 88.2/11.2 0.04 0.04 0.10 272/29 90.4/9.6 0.09 0.00 42 25.3 53 18.1 17.3 -0.00 0.03 101 60.8 -0.02 0.04 0.00 51 17.3 -0.00 0.01 3.13 0.96

[^]Smoking refers to smoking before, during, after pregnancy

²⁷⁶ r a Pearson/Spearman correlation with Externalizing scale; r b Pearson/Spearman correlation with Internalizing scale; r c Pearson/Spearman correlation with 277 Total Problems scale

Total Problems scale

^{278 *}p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.001

279	Regr
280	In th
281	aggre
282	The
283	were
284	comp
285	unde
286	signi
287	of ov
288	Simi
289	prob
290	likeli
291	moth
292	statis
293	beha
294	
295	

Regression analyses
In the adjusted model there was a significant increase of .08 standard deviations in
aggressive behavior with every standard deviation increase in maternal weight (p=0.02).
The logistic regression analysis partly confirmed these findings. Overweight mothers
were 1.10 times more likely to have a child with clinically aggressive behavior when
compared to normal weight mothers and 0.78 times more likely when compared to
underweight mothers. The individual odds ratio (OR) did not reach statistical
significance; a trend, however, (OR=1.10, 95% CI: 0.58-2.06) is observed for children
of overweight mothers to show clinically aggressive behavior.
Similarly, there was an increase of .09 standard deviations (p=0.02) in externalizing
problems with every standard deviation increase in maternal weight. An increase of the
likelihood of externalizing (OR=1.32, 95% CI: 0.84-2.05) for children with overweight
mothers compared to children of normal weight mothers was also apparent. No other
statistically significant associations between maternal pre-pregnancy weight and
behavior problems were observed.
statistically significant associations between maternal pre-pregnancy weight and behavior problems were observed.

Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing problems 13

Table 4 Logistic and linear regression for children's behavioural problems based on maternal BMI**

	Behavioural problems*	No behavioural problems*	OR	95% CI	Beta	P	OR**	95% CI	Beta	P
Emotional reactivity	N	N			0.03	0.42			0.02	0.58
Normal weight	25	376	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Underweight	6	82	1.10	0.44-2.77			1.12	0 .42-2.96		
Overweight/Obese	20	283	1.06	0.58-1.95			1.05	0.54-2.06		
Anxiety/Depression	N	N			-0.03	0.40			-0.02	0.62
Normal weight	29	372	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Underweight	5	83	0.77	0.29-2.06			0.86	.31-2.41		
Overweight/Obese	14	289	0.62	0.32-1.20			.65	.32-1.30		
Somatic Complaints	N	N	4		-0.01	0.11			-0.07	0.07
Normal weight	39	362	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Underweight	11	77	1.33	0.65-2.70			0.99	0.43-2.26		
Overweight/Obese	30	273	1.02	0.62-1.68			0.99	0.56-1.75		
Withdrawn behaviour	N	N			-0.06	0.09			-0.02	0.58
Normal weight	12	389	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Underweight	3	85	1.14	0.32-4.14			1.53	0.36-6.61		
Overweight/Obese	14	289	1.57	0.72-3.45			2.66	0.99-7.09		
Attention	N	N			0.06	0.08			0.03	0.39
Normal weight	30	371	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Underweight	5	83	.74	0.28-1.98			1.17	0.42-3.29		
Overweight/Obese	29	274	1.31	0.77-2.23			1.13	0.60-2.13		
Aggressive behaviour	N	N			0.08	0.02ª			0.07	0.07
Normal weight	23	378	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Underweight	4	84	0.78	0.26-2.32			.87	.28-2.69		
Overweight/Obese	19	284	1.10	0.58-2.06			1.04	.52-2.10		
Sleeping problems	N	N			0.06	0.11			0.03	0.48
Normal weight	14	387	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Underweight	3	85	0.98	0.27-3.47			0.97	0.20-4.66		

Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing problems 14

* Categorized based on children within normal range and borderline/clinical range **Adjusted for gestational age, twins' birth weight, age and sex, mother's educational level, smoking (before, during, after pregnancy) a p<0.05

Overweight/Obese	11	292	1.04	0.47-2.33			0.92	0.37-2.29		
Externalizing	N	N			0.09	0.02 ^a			0.07	0.08
Normal weight	46	348	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Underweight	6	81	0.56	0.23-1.36			.66	.26-1.66		
Overweight/Obese	44	253	1.32	0.84-2.05			1.17	.69-1.99		
Internalizing	N	N			-0.04	0.29			-0.03	0.44
Normal weight	43	358	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Underweight	16	72	1.85	0.99-3.46			1.86	.93-3.73		
d Overweight/Obese	34	269	1.05	0.65-1.70			1.01	.59-1.74		
Total problems	N	N			0.07	0.05			0.06	0.14
Normal weight	44	355	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Underweight	6	82	0.59	0.24-1.43			0.59	0.23-1.50		
Overweight/Obese	41	260	1.27	0.81-2.00			1.18	0.70-2.00		
		260								

1 Discussion

- 3 In this study the effect of maternal pre-pregnancy weight on problem behaviors and the
- 4 influence of genetic and environmental factors on these problems were investigated.
- 5 The heritability analysis suggested that genetic and common environmental factors
- 6 account for most of the variation in externalizing disorders, while common and non-
- 7 shared environment explain most of the variation in internalizing disorders. After
- 8 adjusting for mothers' weight there was a non significant decrease (of 2%) of the
- 9 variation in the externalizing problems that could be explained by the common
- 10 environment, suggesting that mothers' weight may play an important role in explaining
- 11 externalizing problems.
- 12 In general, the results showed that children of overweight mothers showed a trend
- 13 towards being more aggressive and exhibit externalizing behaviors compared to
- 14 children of normal weight mothers. Aggressive behavior is considered an important
- aspect of externalizing behavior and has developmentally been linked to antisocial
- behavior 28 29. Studies with children focusing on aggression have shown that both
- observed aggression and parental reports of externalizing behaviors are relatively stable
- from toddlerhood to five years and beyond ¹³⁰, which may highlight the role of genetic
- 19 influences. Consistent with this are the results of this study, which suggest that genetic
- 20 factors can explain a large part of the variation in externalizing and internalizing
- 21 behavior problems.
- 22 The results from the logistic regression however, do not suggest a strong association
- 23 between maternal overweight and behavior problems. Moreover, the significantly
- observed change in the standardized coefficients could not explain the distinction
- between normal range and borderline/clinical range. Therefore, children appeared to be
- more aggressive but it is not clear whether this is of clinical significance.
- 27 Two studies by Rodriguez and colleagues ^{19 20} suggested a link between pre-pregnancy
- overweight and obesity and symptoms of ADHD in children. In both studies, teacher
- 29 ratings of the offspring of obese mothers had increased levels of ADHD. However, in
- 30 the latter study, parent reports of childhood ADHD symptoms and negative
- emotionality failed to support a link. While this could mean that the effect may be
- small, in light of the low to moderate associations seen between parent and teacher

ratings of children's ADHD one cannot rule out a link. A recent study by van Lieshout and colleagues ²² showed that increased maternal pre-pregnancy BMI was associated with higher levels of externalizing problems at age 2. However, in that study authors did not correct for maternal or paternal psychopathology, or maternal personality traits. Similarly in our study we may not be able to strongly establish a causal link between pre-pregnancy weight and aggressive/externalizing problems but by applying clinically relevant cut-off points in the measurement tool of child behavior we suggest that there may be a trend for more aggressive behaviors from children of obese mothers. As it has been previously suggested 31 future studies could benefit from the utilization of genetically sensitive designs, in this study we included pre-pregnancy weight in the genetic model and showed that there be some intrauterine influence. Indeed, when we added pre-pregnancy weight in the genetic model we observed a non-significant decrease in the variation of the externalizing problems explained by the common environment. This suggests that pre-pregnancy weight as an intrauterine factor may play an important role in the development of externalizing problems. A number of mechanisms could be responsible for the links between maternal obesity and neurodevelopmental problems in young children. The potential role of nutrition on the development of the brain has been investigated in the past. Although there is not a clear pathway that links externalizing problems to maternal overweight, several pathways have been proposed to explain this association and parallels between overweight and other developmental disorders can be drawn. Leptin, which is the protein produced by adipose cells, has been found to play multiple functions in reproduction ^{32 33}, glucose homoeostasis ^{34 35} as well as in brain ^{36 37} and neurocognitive development 38. Another possible causal pathway suggests that pre-pregnancy overweight women may not be able to synthesize vitamin D, due to the excessive adipose tissue, which results in deficiency in both the mother and the neonate; in turn,

externalizing behavior in children ²².

vitamin D is associated with neurocognitive function ³⁹. In addition, high glucose levels

pose a risk for neurobehavioral impairments ⁴⁰. Moreover, epigenetic mechanisms

affecting the central nervous system dopamine signaling could be a mechanism by

which exposure to elevated maternal BMI during pregnancy might increase levels of

2		
3 4	64	However, others have emphasized the importance of the dynamics within the family
5 6	65	environment, such as the parent-child interaction and the individual differences in
7 8	66	parenting 41 42 and their impact on the course of the developmental pathways of problem
9	67	behaviors. Previous research has mainly linked externalizing problems with family
10 11	68	adversity, maternal depression and low socioeconomic status ^{34 41 43} .
12	69	In addition, there may be other environmental factors, which weren't possible to be
13 14	70	examined in this study that could accentuate these symptoms. For instance, parents with
15 16	71	children displaying symptoms of aggressive behaviors normally report higher levels of
17	72	stress and frequent use of negative parenting strategies 44 while it has been found that
18 19	73	stress levels could be associated with weight gain ⁴⁵ . Thus, parental behavior may fuel
20 21	74	noncompliance, aggression and poor regulation of emotion, rather than providing
22	75	toddlers adaptive models of regulated and pro-social functioning ⁴⁶ .
23 24	76	The current study has a number of strengths including the use of a validated measure of
25 26	77	childhood behavior problems for children older in age than previously used in literature,
27	78	and utilized a genetically sensitive design to assess the influence of maternal pre-
28 29	79	pregnancy weight.
30 31	80	Moreover, compared to previous studies 19 22 we applied cut-off scores to the child
32	81	behavior scale, which enabled us to clinically assess the observed association. In
33 34	82	addition, we were able to adjust for a number of covariates, which could indicate a post-
35 36	83	natal influence on externalizing behavior, such as maternal educational level and
37	84	smoking after pregnancy. However, we did not have information on other parental
38 39	85	characteristics such as maternal psychopathology and personality.
40 41	86	To sum up, these results suggest a possible association between aggressive/externalizing
42	87	problems and maternal overweight. It is important however, to keep in mind when
43 44	88	conducting research with preschoolers that the investigation of children who may be at
45 46	89	risk for externalizing problems, may pose the challenge of differentiating between age-
47	90	related and normative levels of this behavior from more serious early-emerging
48 49	91	problems ⁴⁷ .
50 51	92	processing .
52	93	
53 54	94	
55	95	
56 57	75	
58 59		
60		

96	Acknowledgments
97	We would like to thank all participating families.
98	
99	Competing Interests
100	The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.
101	
102	Funding
103	This study was funded by the University of Birmingham, UK
104	
105	Authors' contribution
106	EA contributed to the conception and design, acquisition of the data, analysis and
107	interpretation of the data and drafting of the manuscript.
108	TF, KR, TRS, JPM and MPZ contributed to the conception, design and interpretation of
109	the data.
110	All authors critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content, agreed to
111	be accountable for all aspects of the work and approved the final manuscript.
112	
113	Data sharing
114	No additional data available
115	
116	
	Data sharing No additional data available

 Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing problems 19

References

- 1. Keenan K, Wakschlag LS. Can a valid diagnosis of disruptive behavior disorder be made in preschool children? *Am J Psychiatry* 2002;159(3):351-8.
- 2. van Strien T. Ice-cream consumption, tendency toward overeating, and personality. *Int J Eat Disord* 2000;28(4):460-4.
- 3. Westenhoefer J, Broeckmann P, Munch AK, Pudel V. Cognitive control of eating behaviour and the disinhibition effect. *Appetite* 1994;23(1):27-41.
- 4. Dewberry C, Ussher JM. Restraint and perception of body weight among British adults. *J Soc Psychol* 1994;134(5):609-19.
- 5. van Strien T, Frijters JE, Bergers G, Defates P. The Dutch Eating Behaviour Questionnaire (DEBQ) fpr assessment of restraint, emotional and external eating behavior. *Int J Eat Disord* 1986;5:295-64.
- 6. Press JZ, Allison KH, Garcia R, Everett EN, Pizer E, Swensen RE, et al. FOXP3+ regulatory T-cells are abundant in vulvar Paget's disease and are associated with recurrence. *Gynecol Oncol* 2011;120(2):296-9.
- 7. Berrington de Gonzalez A, Ekbom A, Glass AG, Galanti MR, Grimelius L, Allison MJ, et al. Comparison of documented and recalled histories of exposure to diagnostic x-rays in case-control studies of thyroid cancer. *American journal of epidemiology* 2003;157(7):652-63.
- 8. Bartels M, Hudziak JJ, Boomsma DI, Rietveld MJ, Van Beijsterveldt TC, Van den Oord EJ. A study of parent ratings of internalizing and externalizing problem behavior in 12-year-old twins. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2003;42(11):1351-9.
- 9. Boo NY, Ong LC, Lye MS, Chandran V, Teoh SL, Zamratol S, et al. Comparison of morbidities in very low birthweight and normal birthweight infants during the first year of life in a developing country. *J Paediatr Child Health* 1996;32(5):439-44.
- 10. Saudino KJ. Behavioral genetics and child temperament. *J Dev Behav Pediatr* 2005;26(3):214-23.
- 11. van der Valk JC, Verhulst FC, Stroet TM, Boomsma DI. Quantitative genetic analysis of internalising and externalising problems in a large sample of 3-year-old twins. *Twin Res* 1998;1(1):25-33.
- 12. Barker DJ. Fetal origins of coronary heart disease. *Bmj* 1995;311(6998):171-4.
- 13. Barker DJ, Hales CN, Fall CH, Osmond C, Phipps K, Clark PM. Type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus, hypertension and hyperlipidaemia (syndrome X): relation to reduced fetal growth. *Diabetologia* 1993;36(1):62-7.
- 14. Mick E, Biederman J, Prince J, Fischer MJ, Faraone SV. Impact of low birth weight on attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *J Dev Behav Pediatr* 2002;23(1):16-22.
- 15. Indredavik MS, Vik T, Heyerdahl S, Kulseng S, Brubakk AM. Psychiatric symptoms in low birth weight adolescents, assessed by screening questionnaires. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2005;14(4):226-36.
- 16. Lahti J, Raikkonen K, Kajantie E, Heinonen K, Pesonen AK, Jarvenpaa AL, et al. Small body size at birth and behavioural symptoms of ADHD in children aged five to six years. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2006;47(11):1167-74.
- 17. Linnet KM, Wisborg K, Agerbo E, Secher NJ, Thomsen PH, Henriksen TB. Gestational age, birth weight, and the risk of hyperkinetic disorder. *Arch Dis Child* 2006;91(8):655-60.
- 18. Schlotz W, Jones A, Godfrey KM, Phillips DI. Effortful control mediates associations of fetal growth with hyperactivity and behavioural problems in 7- to 9-year-old children. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2008;49(11):1228-36.

19. Rodriguez A. Maternal pre-pregnancy obesity and risk for inattention and negative emotionality in children. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2010;51(2):134-43.

- 20. Rodriguez A, Miettunen J, Henriksen TB, Olsen J, Obel C, Taanila A, et al. Maternal adiposity prior to pregnancy is associated with ADHD symptoms in offspring: evidence from three prospective pregnancy cohorts. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2008;32(3):550-7.
- 21. Dietary Interventions and physical activity interventions for weight management before, during and after pregnancy. *National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence*, 2010.
- 22. Wallis DJ, Hetherington MM. Emotions and eating. Self-reported and experimentally induced changes in food intake under stress. *Appetite* 2009;52(2):355-62.
- 23. Goldsmith HH. A zygosity questionnaire for young twins: a research note. *Behav Genet* 1991;21(3):257-69.
- 24. Price TS, Freeman B, Craig I, Petrill SA, Ebersole L, Plomin R. Infant zygosity can be assigned by parental report questionnaire data. *Twin Res* 2000;3(3):129-33.
- 25. Achenbach TM, Rescorla LA. *Manual for the ASEBA Peschool Forms and Profiles*. Burlington, VT: University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth and Families, 2000.
- 26. Neale MC. *MX:Statistical Modelling*. Richmond, VA: Department of Psychiatry, Medical College of Virginia, 1999.
- 27. Stata Statistical Software [program]: College Station, TX: Stata Corp LP, 2009.
- 28. Keskitalo K, Tuorila H, Spector TD, Cherkas LF, Knaapila A, Kaprio J, et al. The Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire, body mass index, and responses to sweet and salty fatty foods: a twin study of genetic and environmental associations. *The American journal of clinical nutrition* 2008;88(2):263-71.
- 29. Eaves LJ, Silberg JL, Meyer JM, Maes HH, Simonoff E, Pickles A, et al. Genetics and developmental psychopathology: 2. The main effects of genes and environment on behavioral problems in the Virginia Twin Study of Adolescent Behavioral Development. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 1997;38(8):965-80.
- 30. Saub R, Locker D, Allison P, Disman M. Cross-cultural adaptation of the Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP) for the Malaysian adult population. *Community Dent Health* 2007;24(3):166-75.
- 31. Van Hoof K, Van Strien JW. Verbal-to-manual and manual-to-verbal dual-task interference in left-handed and right-handed adults. *Percept Mot Skills* 1997;85(2):739-46.
- 32. Lee MK, Woo SJ, Kim JI, Cho SI, Kim H, Sung J, et al. Replication of a glaucoma candidate gene on 5q22.1 for intraocular pressure in mongolian populations: the GENDISCAN Project. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2010;51(3):1335-40.
- 33. Liu J, Butler KR, Buxbaum SG, Sung JH, Campbell BW, Taylor HA. Leptinemia and its association with stroke and coronary heart disease in the Jackson Heart Study. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2010;72(1):32-7.
- 34. Brennan PA, Grekin ER, Mednick SA. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and adult male criminal outcomes. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1999;56(3):215-9.
- 35. Song HJ, Cho YG, Lee HJ. Dietary sodium intake and prevalence of overweight in adults. *Metabolism* 2013;62(5):703-8.
- 36. Hendler I, Blackwell SC, Mehta SH, Whitty JE, Russell E, Sorokin Y, et al. The levels of leptin, adiponectin, and resistin in normal weight, overweight, and obese pregnant women with and without preeclampsia. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2005;193(3 Pt 2):979-83.

- 37. Udagawa J, Hatta T, Hashimoto R, Otani H. Roles of leptin in prenatal and perinatal brain development. *Congenit Anom (Kyoto)* 2007;47(3):77-83.
- 38. Sung J, Lee K, Song YM, Lee MK, Lee DH. Heritability of eating behavior assessed using the DEBQ (Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire) and weight-related traits: the Healthy Twin Study. *Obesity* 2010;18(5):1000-5.
- 39. Freiman A, Bird G, Metelitsa AI, Barankin B, Lauzon GJ. Cutaneous effects of smoking. *J Cutan Med Surg* 2004;8(6):415-23.
- 40. Neale BM, Mazzeo SE, Bulik CM. A twin study of dietary restraint, disinhibition and hunger: an examination of the eating inventory (three factor eating questionnaire). *Twin research: the official journal of the International Society for Twin Studies* 2003;6(6):471-8.
- 41. Im SW, Kim HJ, Lee MK, Yi JH, Jargal G, Sung J, et al. Genome-wide linkage analysis for ocular and nasal anthropometric traits in a Mongolian population. *Exp Mol Med* 2010;42(12):799-804.
- 42. Alonso R, Suarez A, Castro P, Lacave AJ, Gutierrez C. Influence of interleukin-10 genetic polymorphism on survival rates in melanoma patients with advanced disease. *Melanoma Res* 2005;15(1):53-60.
- 43. Bouchard C, Sarzynski MA, Rice TK, Kraus WE, Church TS, Sung YJ, et al. Genomic predictors of the maximal O(2) uptake response to standardized exercise training programs. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2011;110(5):1160-70.
- 44. O'Loughlin J, Lauzon B, Paradis G, Hanley J, Levy E, Delvin E, et al. Usefulness of the American Academy of Pediatrics recommendations for identifying youths with hypercholesterolemia. *Pediatrics* 2004;113(6):1723-7.
- 45. Vernon MM, Young-Hyman D, Looney SW. Maternal stress, physical activity, and body mass index during new mothers' first year postpartum. *Women Health*;50(6):544-62.
- 46. Steinle NI, Hsueh WC, Snitker S, Pollin TI, Sakul H, St Jean PL, et al. Eating behavior in the Old Order Amish: heritability analysis and a genome-wide linkage analysis. *The American journal of clinical nutrition* 2002;75(6):1098-106.
- 47. Campbell SB. Behavior problems in preschool children: a review of recent research. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 1995;36(1):113-49.

BMJ Open

Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing behavior problems in preschool children: a UK-based twin study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2014-005974.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	19-Aug-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Antoniou, Evangelia; Maastricht University, Fowler, Tom; Heart of Birmingham Teaching PCT, Department of Public Health Reed, Keith; The Twins and Multiple Births Association, Southwood, Taunton; University of Birmingham, Institute of Child Health McCleery, Joseph; University of Birmingham, School of Psychology Zeegers, Maurice; Maastricht University, Department of Complex Genetics
Primary Subject Heading :	Obstetrics and gynaecology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Research methods
Keywords:	Nutrition < TROPICAL MEDICINE, Antenatal < GENETICS, Developmental neurology & neurodisability < PAEDIATRICS

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

1	Title
2	Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing behavior problems in preschool
3	children: a UK-based twin study
4	emidien. a OK based twin study
5	Authors
6	Evangelia E. Antoniou, ¹ Tom Fowler, ² Keith Reed, ³ Taunton R. Southwood, ⁴ Joseph
7	P. McCleery, ⁵ Maurice P. Zeegers ⁶
8	1. Mesterly, Manager 1. Beegen
9	¹ Department of Clinical Psychological Science, Faculty of Psychology and
10	Neuroscience, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands
11	
12	² Department of Public Health, Heart of Birmingham Teaching PCT, Birmingham, UK
13	
14	³ The Twins and Multiple Births Association (TAMBA), UK
15	
16	⁴ Institute of Child Health, University of Birmingham, UK
17	
18	⁵ School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, UK
19	
20	⁶ Department of Complex Genetics, Cluster of Genetics and Cell Biology, NUTRIM
21	School for Nutrition, Toxicology and Metabolism, Maastricht University Medical
22	Centre, Maastricht, The Netherlands
23	
24	
25	Keywords
26	Maternal pre-pregnancy weight, twins, intrauterine environment, externalizing behavior
27	
28	Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Dr. Evangelia Antoniou,
29	Department of Clinical Psychological Science, Faculty of Psychology and
30	Neuroscience, Maastricht University, The Netherlands. Telephone: +31(0) 43 388 4049
31	Email address: eva.antoniou@maastrichtuniversity.nl
32	

l	
<u>2</u> 3	
1 5	
5	
7	
3	
)	
0	
11	
12	
13 14 15 16 17 18	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	
27	
28	
29 30	
30	
31 32 33	
32	
34	
35	
36	
37	
36 37 38 39	
10	
11 12	
+2 13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
50 51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
īΩ	

33	Keywords
34	Intrauterine environment, twins, externalizing, pre-pregnancy weight, heritability
35	Word count: 3,155
36	
37	
38	Abstract
39	Objective: To estimate the heritability of child behavior problems and investigate the
40	association between maternal pre-pregnancy overweight and child behavior problems in
41	a genetically sensitive design.
42	Design: Observational cross-sectional study.
43	Setting: The Twins and Multiple Births Association Heritability Study (TAMBAHS) is
44	an online UK-wide volunteer-based study, investigating the development of twins from
45	birth until 5 years of age.
46	Participants: A total of 443 (16% of initial registered members) mothers answered
47	questions on pre-pregnancy weight and their twins' internalizing and externalizing
48	problems using the Child Behavior Checklist and correcting for important covariates
49	including gestational age, twins' birth weight, age and sex, mother's educational level
50	and smoking (before, during, after pregnancy).
51	Primary outcomes: The heritability of behavior problems and their association with
52	maternal pre-pregnancy weight.
53	Results: The genetic analysis suggested that genetic and common environmental factors
54	account for most of the variation in externalizing disorders (an ACE model was the
55	most parsimonious with genetic factors (A) explaining 46% (95 % CI: 33-60%) of the
56	variance, common environment (C) explaining 42% (95 % CI: 27-54%) and non-shared
57	environmental factors (E) explaining 13% (95% CI: 10-16%) of the variance. For
58	internalizing problems a CE model was the most parsimonious model with common
59	environment explaining 51% (95% CI: 44-58%) of the variance and non-shared
60	environment explaining 49% (95% CI: 42-56%) of the variance. Moreover, the
61	regression analysis results suggested that children of overweight mothers showed a
62	trend (OR=1.10, 95% CI: 0.58-2.06) towards being more aggressive and exhibit
63	externalizing behaviors compared to children of normal weight mothers.

64	Conclusions: Maternal pre-pregnancy weight may play a role in children's aggressive
65	behavior.
66	
67	
68	
69	
70	Strengths and limitations of this study
71	• In the twin genetic design maternal pre-pregnancy weight was included in the
72	genetic model, in order to investigate the influence of the intrauterine
73	environment on children's behaviour problems.
74	 Cut-off scores applied to the child behavior scale enabled us to clinically assess
75	the observed association.
76	A number of covariates of post-natal influence were adjusted such as maternal
77	educational level and smoking after pregnancy.
78	• Information on other parental characteristics such as maternal psychopathology
79	and personality was not available.
80	
81	
82	and personality was not available.
83	
84	
85	
86	
87 88	
89	
90	
91	
92	
93	
94	
95	

96	
97	
98	
99	Introduction
100	
101	A child's preschool years are generally considered important since during this period
102	the critical emergence of many clinically significant problem behaviors occur 1 2.
103	Externalizing (e.g., aggression, conduct problems, hyperactivity) and internalizing
104	problems (e.g., emotional problems, anxiety, depression) can often first be identified in
105	early childhood which then show considerable stability across older ages 3-5. Toddlers
106	with problem behaviors are at risk for a variety of adverse developmental outcomes
107	including conflictual relationships with other peers or family, poor academic
108	performance, delinquency and later maladjustment 6-8. Given the life course
109	implications of early onset symptomatology, it is essential to understand the underlying
110	actiology of problem behaviors in preschool children.
111	Twin studies investigating externalizing and internalizing problems have revealed
112	substantial genetic influence, with heritabilities ranging from 40-70% in these age
113	groups 9-11. The influence of the shared environment is however, more modest
114	explaining up to 40% of the variance in behavior problems ⁹⁻¹² .
115	
116	Since the 1990s there has been an increased interest into the research of the effect of the
117	intrauterine environmental and maternal well-being during pregnancy on later child
118	development. Epidemiological studies suggest strong links between measures of the
119	quality of the prenatal environment and the risk of cardiovascular and metabolic
120	diseases 13 14 and more research evidence suggests that low birth weight can be linked
121	with impaired cognitive development and behavioural disorders, especially
122	hyperactivity/inattention 15 16 while several studies have examined the association
123	between low birth weight and internalizing and externalizing behaviours ¹⁷⁻¹⁹ .
124	Research findings ²⁰⁻²³ suggest that another intrauterine factor, maternal pre-pregnancy

obesity/weight is associated with reduced cognitive abilities, symptoms of inattention

and negative emotionality in school aged children. These findings are of clinical

importance especially in the light of the increasing prevalence of obese women entering

pregnancy ²⁴. Pregnancy comes with main changes in the maternal body and a high pre-pregnancy weight is more likely to make these adaptations even more difficult affecting child development. With the exception of one recent study²⁵ suggesting that fetal exposure to increased maternal body mass index (BMI) is associated with elevated levels of externalizing problems in two-year old children, not much is known about the influence of maternal pre-pregnancy weight on externalizing and internalizing behaviour problems in young age groups after that age and before the age of five. By implementing a twin research design including maternal pre-pregnancy weight in the genetic model, we set out to investigate the role of the intrauterine, common and genetic environment on children's behaviour problems. Methods

The Twins and Multiple Births Association Heritability Study (TAMBAHS) is a volunteer-based study, investigating the development of twins from birth until 5 years of age. An invitation letter to this study was sent to all present (n=2712), at the moment of the study, twin family registered members of the Twins and Multiple Births Association (TAMBA) beginning of July 2008. TAMBA is an association, which registers and provides support to multiple birth families across the UK. Mothers of twins aged between 18 months and five years old at the time of the survey were identified. In the time period between July 2008 and May 2010, 443 (16%) mothers completed the study's online questionnaire on their twins' emotional and behavioral development. The participants show a similar and representative geographical spread of the twin families across the UK. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Birmingham and all mothers consented before participating.

Zygosity determination

- For the determination of the twins' zygosity the previously adapted version of
- Goldsmith's zygosity questionnaire was used ²⁶. This questionnaire method of assigning
- zygosity has been validated against determination by identity of polymorphic DNA
- markers and has reached accuracy in verifying zygosity in 95% of the cases ²⁷.
- Twin Sample

In total 443 twin pairs were included in the analyses; 186 monozygotic (MZ) male twins, 138 monozygotic (MZ) female twins, 144 dizygotic (DZ) male twins, 158 dizygotic (DZ) female twins and 260 opposite-sex twins.

- 164 Materials
- 165 Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and covariates
- 166 The primary exposure variable was maternal pre-pregnancy BMI. Pre-pregnancy BMI
- was based on maternal self-report of weight and height and was calculated by dividing
- their weight in kilograms by their self-report height in meters squared [(kg)/ height
- 169 (m²)]. Pre-pregnancy BMI was analysed both as a continuous and as a categorical
- variable and was classified according to the WHO standard guidelines as: underweight
- 171 (<18.5kg) normal weight (18.5kg-24.99kg), overweight/obese (>=25kg). Overweight
- and obese mothers were combined in one category due to the limited number of obese
- mothers.
- 174 Gestational age (measured in completed weeks of gestation), educational level and
- smoking (before, during, after pregnancy) were noted for mothers; age, sex and birth
- weight were noted for all twins.

- 178 The Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL/1½y-5y)
- The Child Behavior Checklist for toddlers (CBCL/1½-5) 28 is used to obtain
- standardised parent reports of children's problem behaviors. It contains ninety-nine
- problem items, split into seven subcategories: emotionally reactive, anxious/depressed,
- somatic complaints, withdrawn, sleep problems, attention problems, and aggressive
- behavior originally derived by factor analyses ²⁸. The broadband scale 'Internalizing' is
- the sum score of items in the first four syndrome scales, whereas 'Externalizing' is the
- sum score of attention problems and aggressive behaviour. 'Total problems' is the sum
- score of all ninety-nine problem items. Each item is scored 0 = not true, 1 = somewhat
- or sometimes true, and 2 = very true or often true, based on the preceding 2 months.
- Good reliability and validity criteria have been reported for this checklist ²⁸. To identify
- 189 children that may be above the normal range for the syndrome scales, children were
- categorized as being in the normal range when their T scores were below 65 (or the 93rd
- percentile) and as being in the borderline/clinical range when T scores ≥ 65 (or the 97^{th}

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005974 on 14 October 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 23, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

percentile) ²⁸. For Internalizing, Externalizing, and Total Problem broadband scales the cut-off point used for the normal range was a T score <60, and borderline/clinical ≥ 60 . The selection of lower cut-off scores for the broadband scales was based on the notion that these scales encompass more numerous and diverse problems than any of the syndrome scales, with the latter comprising smaller, more homogeneous sets of problems. Therefore, higher scores are needed for the syndrome scales in order to conclude that a behavior is clinically deviant [Achenbach, 2000 #1392]. Statistical analysis Correlations Intra-pair twin correlations were calculated by using the Pearson's (r) and the

- Spearman's rho coefficient statistics as appropriate to explore the genetic and
- environmental influences.
- Heritability analysis
- Univariate genetic models were fit to the data in order to estimate the heritability of the
- problem scales using a maximum likelihood approach implemented in Mx ²⁹. The
- classical twin study design relies on studying twins raised in the same family
- environments. Monozygotic twins share all of their genes, while dizygotic twins share
- only about half of them. So, if a researcher compares the similarity between sets of
- identical twins to the similarity between sets of fraternal twins for a particular trait, then
- any excess likeness between the identical twins should be due to genes (that is the A
- component in a genetic model fitting) rather than environment. Researchers use this
- method, and variations on it, to estimate the heritability of traits: The percentage of
- variance in a population due to genes. Modern twin studies also try to quantify the
- effect of a person's common environment (family, that is the C component in a genetic
- model fitting) and non-shared environment (the individual events that shape a life, that
- is the E component in a genetic model fitting) on a trait.
- The estimates of the heritability are presented with 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) and
- goodness of fit statistics for several models: a full ACE model, in which the phenotypic
- variance is explained by genetic (A) common environmental factors (C) and non-shared
- (E) environmental factors. Reduced models were estimated by removing one of the
- parameters at a time and re-running the model. The goodness of fit of the reduced

224	models was compared to the full model to assess whether they represented a better
225	explanation of the data using the likelihood ratio $\chi^2\ test$ and the Akaike Information
226	Criterion (AIC). The models were assessed by examining the decrease in the fit of the
227	model; if a parameter could be dropped without a significant decrease in fit then on the
228	grounds of parsimony the reduced model was accepted as the best fitting model. Models
229	were fit both unadjusted and adjusted for pre-pregnancy maternal weight.

- 231 Regression analyses
- 232 Effects on a continuous scale

Standardized coefficients (Betas) are presented reflecting the change on the subcategories and the broadband problem scales by change in mother's weight both expressed as standard deviation change.

238 Effects on clinically important behavior problems

In order to estimate the effect of the different categories of maternal weight on clinical problems, logistic regression models were fitted. In these models the intercept of each twin pair was modelled as a function of the population intercept plus the individual contribution of the twin pair. Associations of maternal pre-pregnancy weight and the syndrome and broadband scales were explored both unadjusted and adjusted for twins' sex, age, birth weight, gestational age, maternal smoking (before, during and after pregnancy) and maternal educational level. All analyses were performed in STATA 11

- 249 Results
- 250 Correlations
- The means for the three broadband scales and the intra-pair twin correlations for MZ
- and DZ twins were calculated (Table 1). For Externalizing problems, the MZ correlation
- was r=0.89 and the DZ correlation was r=0.62. For Internalizing problems, the MZ and
- DZ correlations were r=0.81 and r=0.56 respectively. For Total problems, the MZ and
- DZ correlations were r=0.92 and r=0.75 respectively.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005974 on 14 October 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 23, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing problems 10

Table 1 Descriptive statistics of problem broadband scales for monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs

		Mor	nozygot	ic twir	n pairs					Dizygo	otic tw	in pairs		
		Twin 1			Twin 2	2			Twin			Twin 2	2	
Problem scales	N	M	SD	N	M	SD	r	N	M	SD	N	M	SD	r
Externalizing	167	12.88	7.72	167	12.83	7.51	0.89	269	12.79	6.61	269	12.66	6.95	0.62
(range 0-48)														
Internalizing	167	5.94	4.55	167	9.13	6.98	0.81	269	5.49	4.11	269	8.24	5.89	0.56
(range 0-96)														
Total problems (range 0-78)	167	31.58	16.24	167	34.66	18.90	0.92	269	30.45	14.18	269	33.06	16.10	0.75
N; Number of twins	N; Number of twins							7						
M; Mean														
SD; Standard deviation														
r; Within-twin correlations														

N; Number of twins

M; Mean

SD; Standard deviation

r; Within-twin correlations

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005974 on 14 October 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 23, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

271	Heritability analyses
272	Variance estimates of ACE models and sub-models with their 95% Confidence Intervals
273	(CI) are presented in Table 2, in which the most parsimonious model is highlighted. For
274	Externalizing problems, an ACE model was the most parsimonious with genetic factors
275	explaining 46% (95 % CI: 33-60%) of the variance, common environment explaining
276	42% (95 % CI: 27-54%) and non-shared environmental factors explaining 13% (95%
277	CI: 10-16%) of the variance. For Internalizing problems a CE model was the most
278	parsimonious model with common environment explaining 51% (95% CI: 44-58%) of
279	the variance and non-shared environment explaining 49% (95% CI: 42-56%) of the
280	variance. For Total problems, an ACE model was the most parsimonious one with
281	genetic factors explaining 26% (95 % CI: 13-39%) of the variance, common
282	environment explaining 61% (95% CI: 49-70%) of the variance and non-shared
283	environment explaining 13% (95% CI: 10-17%) of the variance.
284	After adjusting for maternal pre-pregnancy weight, the most parsimonious model for the
285	Externalizing problems was an ACE model with genetic factors explaining 50% (95%
286	CI: 36-68%), common environment 38% (95% CI: 20-52%) and non-shared
287	environment 12% (95% CI: .09-16%) of the variance. For Internalizing problems the
288	most parsimonious model was and AE model with genetic factors explaining 59% (95%
289	CI: 50-67%) and non-shared environment 41% (95% CI: 33-50%) of the variance. For
290	Total problems the most parsimonious model was an ACE model with genetic factors
291	explaining 25% (95% CI: 14-38%), common environment 62% (95% CI: 49-72%) and
292	non-shared environment 13% (95% CI: .10-17%) of the variance.
293	
294	
295	
296	
297	
298	
299	
300	
301	

Table 2 Univariate genetic model-fitting for the problem scales presenting full and nested models

	A (95%CI)	C (95%CI)	E (95%CI)	Δx^2	P	AIC
Externalizing						
ACE*	0.46 (0.33-0.60)	0.42 (0.27-0.54)	0.13 (0.10016)	-	-	-
AE	0.87 (0.84-0.90)	(0)	0.13 (0.10-0.16)	23.44	0.00	21.44
CE	(0)	0.74 (0.69-0.78)	0.26 (0.22-0.31)	49.69	0.00	47.69
Internalizing						
ACE	0.23 (0.00-0.48)	0.35 (0.12-0.54)	0.43 (0.35-0.53)	-	-	-
AE	0.60 (0.52-0.67)	(0)	0.40 (0.33-0.48)	8.84	0.00	6.84
CE*	(0)	0.51 (0.44-0.58)	0.49 (0.42-0.56)	3.15	0.07	1.15
Total Problems						
ACE*	0.26 (0.16-0.39)	0.61 (0.49-0.70)	0.13 (0.10-0.17)	-	-	-
AE	0.87 (0.84-0.90)	(0)	0.13 (0.10-0.16)	53.64	0.00	51.64
CE	(0)	0.79 (0.76-0.83)	0.21 (0.17-0.24)	22.17	0.00	20.17

305 * Best fitting model

A, Additive genetic; C, shared environment; E, non-shared environment; CI, confidence

interval; $\Delta \chi^2$, Difference Chi-square; P, statistical significance p<.05; AIC, Akaike's

Information Criterion

The mean maternal body mass index (BMI), gestational age, age and sex of the twins stratified by zygosity are presented in Table 3. There were no differences in maternal weight between monozygotic and dizygotic twins. However, differences were observed between monozygotic and dizygotic twins with regards to their age and gestational age. Mothers of dizygotic twins had a higher gestational age, (36.22, 95 % CI: 36.00-36.43, p<0.001) compared to mothers of monozygotic twins (35.24, 95 % CI: 35.12-36.43); the monozygotic twins were older (3.13 years old, 95 %CI: 3.03-3.23, p<0.001) compared to dizygotic twins (2.91 years old, 95 %CI: 2.83-2.98). Correlations of maternal and twin covariates and problems broadband scales are also presented in the same table.

Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing problems 13

Table 3 Means, standard deviations and Pearson/Spearman correlations with three syndrome scales for each covariate by zygosity

			MZ twins					DZ twins		
Maternal Characteristics	Mean	SD	r a	r _b	r _c	Mean	SD	r _a	r _b	r _c
BMI	24.51	4.32	0.07	-0.03	0.02	24.76	5.10	0.10*	-0.04	0.10*
Gestational age (weeks)	35.38	2.49	-0.04	-0.12*	-0.06	36.22	2.71	-0.04	-0.15***	-0.11**
Smoking (no/yes)^	n	%	r _a	r _b	r _c	n	%	r _a	r_b	r _c
Before	133/40	76.9/23.1	0.03	-0.02	0.05	255/54	82.5/17.5	0.07	0.04	0.06
During	156/5	96.9/3.1	0.07	0.00	0.06	280/10	96.6/3.4	0.10	-0.03	0.05
After	143/18	88.2/11.2	0.04	0.04	0.10	272/29	90.4/9.6	0.09	0.00	0.06
Educational level										
High School diploma or	42	25.3				53	18.1			
less										
College/professional	23	13.9	-0.02	0.04	0.00	51	17.3	-0.00	0.03	-0.03
education										
University	101	60.8				191	64.6			
Twin Characteristics										
Age (years)	3.13	0.96	-0.23***	0.02	-0.15**	2.91	0.95	-0.07	0.11	-0.01
Sex	n	%	-0.05	0.18***	0.07	n	%	-0.08	-0.05	-0.09*
Male	206	57.22				164	26.28			
Female	154	42.78				174	27.88			
Opposite sex	-	-				286	45.84			
Opposite sex	-	-				286	45.84			

^{322 ^}Smoking refers to smoking before, during, after pregnancy

r_a Pearson/Spearman correlation with Externalizing scale; r_b Pearson/Spearman correlation with Internalizing scale; r_c Pearson/Spearman correlation with

³²⁴ Total Problems scale

^{325 *}p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.001

326	R
327	I
328	d
329	V
330	C
331	a
332	li
333	r
334	o
335	S
336	p
337	11
338	n
339	S
340	b
	b
340	b

Regression analyses
In the adjusted model (Table 4) there was a significant increase of .08 standard
deviations in aggressive behavior with every standard deviation increase in maternal
weight (p=0.02). The logistic regression analysis partly confirmed these findings.
Overweight mothers were 1.10 times more likely to have a child with clinically
aggressive behavior when compared to normal weight mothers and 0.78 times more
likely when compared to underweight mothers. The individual odds ratio (OR) did not
reach statistical significance; a trend, however, (OR=1.10, 95% CI: 0.58-2.06) is
observed for children of overweight mothers to show clinically aggressive behavior.
Similarly, there was an increase of .09 standard deviations (p=0.02) in externalizing
problems with every standard deviation increase in maternal weight. An increase of the
likelihood of externalizing (OR=1.32, 95% CI: 0.84-2.05) for children with overweight
mothers compared to children of normal weight mothers was also apparent. No other
statistically significant associations between maternal pre-pregnancy weight and
behavior problems were observed.
statistically significant associations between maternal pre-pregnancy weight and behavior problems were observed.

Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing problems 15

Table 4 Logistic and linear regression for children's behavioural problems based on maternal BMI**

	Behavioural problems*	No behavioural problems*	OR	95% CI	Beta	P	OR**	95% CI	Beta	P
Emotional reactivity	N	N			0.03	0.42			0.02	0.58
Underweight	6	82	1.10	0.44-2.77			1.12	0 .42-2.96		
Normal weight	25	376	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Overweight/Obese	20	283	1.06	0.58-1.95			1.05	0.54-2.06		
Anxiety/Depression	N	N			-0.03	0.40			-0.02	0.62
Underweight	5	83	0.77	0.29-2.06			0.86	.31-2.41		
Normal weight	29	372	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Overweight/Obese	14	289	0.62	0.32-1.20			.65	.32-1.30		
Somatic Complaints	N	N	4		-0.01	0.11			-0.07	0.07
Underweight	11	77	1.33	0.65-2.70			0.99	0.43-2.26		
Normal weight	39	362	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Overweight/Obese	30	273	1.02	0.62-1.68			0.99	0.56-1.75		
Withdrawn behaviour	N	N			-0.06	0.09			-0.02	0.58
Underweight	3	85	1.14	0.32-4.14			1.53	0.36-6.61		
Normal weight	12	389	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Overweight/Obese	14	289	1.57	0.72-3.45			2.66	0.99-7.09		
Attention	N	N			0.06	0.08			0.03	0.39
Underweight	5	83	.74	0.28-1.98			1.17	0.42-3.29		
Normal weight	30	371	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Overweight/Obese	29	274	1.31	0.77-2.23			1.13	0.60-2.13		
Aggressive behaviour	N	N			0.08	0.02 ^a			0.07	0.07
Underweight	4	84	0.78	0.26-2.32			.87	.28-2.69		
Normal weight	23	378	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Overweight/Obese	19	284	1.10	0.58-2.06			1.04	.52-2.10		
Sleeping problems	N	N			0.06	0.11			0.03	0.48
Normal weight	14	387	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Underweight	3	85	0.98	0.27-3.47			0.97	0.20-4.66		

Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing problems 16

* Categorized based on children within normal range and borderline/clinical range **Adjusted for gestational age, twins' birth weight, age and sex, mother's educational level, smoking (before, during, after pregnancy) a p<0.05

Overweight/Obese	11	292	1.04	0.47-2.33			0.92	0.37-2.29		
Externalizing	N	N			0.09	0.02 ^a			0.07	0.08
Underweight	6	81	0.56	0.23-1.36			.66	.26-1.66		
Normal weight	46	348	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Overweight/Obese	44	253	1.32	0.84-2.05			1.17	.69-1.99		
Internalizing	N	N			-0.04	0.29			-0.03	0.44
Underweight	16	72	1.85	0.99-3.46			1.86	.93-3.73		
Normal weight	43	358	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Overweight/Obese	34	269	1.05	0.65-1.70			1.01	.59-1.74		
Total problems	N	N			0.07	0.05			0.06	0.14
Underweight	6	82	0.59	0.24-1.43			0.59	0.23-1.50		
Normal weight	44	355	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Overweight/Obese	41	260	1.27	0.81-2.00			1.18	0.70-2.00		
		260								

1 Discussion

In this study the effect of maternal pre-pregnancy weight on problem behaviors and the influence of genetic and environmental factors on these problems were investigated. The heritability analysis suggested that genetic and common environmental factors account for most of the variation in externalizing disorders, while common and non-shared environment explain most of the variation in internalizing disorders. After adjusting for mothers' weight there was a non significant decrease (of 2%) of the variation in the externalizing problems that could be explained by the common environment, suggesting that mothers' weight may play an important role in explaining externalizing problems. In order to further explore the role of overweight in externalizing problems we repeated the analysis by comparing the twins of overweight to the twins of normal weight mothers. The results suggested that 50% (CI's: 29%-67%) of the variation in externalizing problem behavior in twins of overweight mothers could be explained by common environment compared to 35% (CI's: 10%-53%) in twins of normal weight mothers. In general, the results showed that children of overweight mothers showed a trend towards being more aggressive and exhibit externalizing behaviors compared to children of normal weight mothers. Aggressive behavior is considered an important aspect of externalizing behavior and has developmentally been linked to antisocial behavior ³¹ ³². Studies with children focusing on aggression have shown that both observed aggression and parental reports of externalizing behaviors are relatively stable from toddlerhood to five years and beyond ^{1 33}, which may highlight the role of genetic influences. Consistent with this are the results of this study, which suggest that genetic factors can explain a large part of the variation in externalizing and internalizing

behavior problems.
The results from the logistic regression however, do not suggest a strong association
between maternal overweight and behavior problems. Moreover, the significantly
observed change in the standardized coefficients could not explain the distinction

observed change in the standardized coefficients could not explain the distinction

between normal range and borderline/clinical range. Therefore, children appeared to be

31 more aggressive but it is not clear whether this is of clinical significance.

Two studies by Rodriguez and colleagues ^{20 21} suggested a link between pre-pregnancy overweight and obesity and symptoms of ADHD in children. In both studies, teacher ratings of the offspring of obese mothers had increased levels of ADHD. However, in the latter study, parent reports of childhood ADHD symptoms and negative emotionality failed to support a link. While this could mean that the effect may be small, in light of the low to moderate associations seen between parent and teacher ratings of children's ADHD one cannot rule out a link. A recent study by van Lieshout and colleagues³⁴ showed that increased maternal pre-pregnancy BMI was associated with higher levels of externalizing problems at age 2. However, in that study authors did not correct for maternal or paternal psychopathology, or maternal personality traits. Similarly in our study we may not be able to strongly establish a causal link between pre-pregnancy weight and aggressive/externalizing problems but by applying clinically relevant cut-off points in the measurement tool of child behavior we suggest that there may be a trend for more aggressive behaviors from children of obese mothers. As it has been previously suggested ²³ future studies could benefit from the utilization of genetically sensitive designs, in this study we included pre-pregnancy weight in the genetic model and showed that there be some intrauterine influence. Indeed, when we added pre-pregnancy weight in the genetic model we observed a non-significant decrease in the variation of the externalizing problems explained by the common environment. This suggests that pre-pregnancy weight as an intrauterine factor may play an important role in the development of externalizing problems. A number of mechanisms could be responsible for the links between maternal obesity and neurodevelopmental problems in young children. The potential role of nutrition on the development of the brain has been investigated in the past. Although there is not a clear pathway that links externalizing problems to maternal overweight, several pathways have been proposed to explain this association and parallels between overweight and other developmental disorders can be drawn. Leptin, which is the protein produced by adipose cells, has been found to play multiple functions in reproduction ^{35 36}, glucose homoeostasis ^{37 38} as well as in brain ^{39 40} and neurocognitive development 41. Another possible causal pathway suggests that pre-pregnancy overweight women may not be able to synthesize vitamin D, due to the excessive adipose tissue, which results in deficiency in both the mother and the neonate; in turn,

64	vitamin D is associated with neurocognitive function ⁴² . In addition, high glucose levels
65	pose a risk for neurobehavioral impairments ⁴³ . Moreover, epigenetic mechanisms
66	affecting the central nervous system dopamine signaling could be a mechanism by
67	which exposure to elevated maternal BMI during pregnancy might increase levels of
68	externalizing behavior in children ⁴⁴ .
69	However, others have emphasized the importance of the dynamics within the family
70	environment, such as the parent-child interaction and the individual differences in
71	parenting 45 46 and their impact on the course of the developmental pathways of problem
72	behaviors. Previous research has mainly linked externalizing problems with family
73	adversity, maternal depression and low socioeconomic status ^{37 45 47} .
74	In addition, there may be other environmental factors, which weren't possible to be
75	examined in this study that could accentuate these symptoms. For instance, parents with
76	children displaying symptoms of aggressive behaviors normally report higher levels of
77	stress and frequent use of negative parenting strategies 48 while it has been found that
78	stress levels could be associated with weight gain 49. Thus, parental behavior may fuel
79	noncompliance, aggression and poor regulation of emotion, rather than providing
80	toddlers adaptive models of regulated and pro-social functioning 50.
81	The current study has a number of strengths including the use of a validated measure of
82	childhood behavior problems for children older in age than previously used in literature,
83	and utilized a genetically sensitive design to assess the influence of maternal pre-
84	pregnancy weight.
85	Moreover, compared to previous studies 20 44 we applied cut-off scores to the child
86	behavior scale, which enabled us to clinically assess the observed association. In
87	addition, we were able to adjust for a number of covariates, which could indicate a post-
88	natal influence on externalizing behavior, such as maternal educational level and
89	smoking after pregnancy. However, we did not have information on other parental
90	characteristics such as maternal psychopathology and personality.
91	To sum up, these results suggest a possible association between aggressive/externalizing
92	problems and maternal overweight. It is important however, to keep in mind when
93	conducting research with preschoolers that the investigation of children who may be at
94	risk for externalizing problems, may pose the challenge of differentiating between age-

Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing problems 20

95	related and	normative	levels	of	this	behavior	from	more	serious	early-emerging
96	problems ⁵¹ .									
97										



127	
128	Acknowledgments
129	We would like to thank all participating families.
130	
131	Competing Interests
132	The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.
133	
134	Funding
135	This study was funded by the University of Birmingham, UK
136	
137	Authors' contribution
138	EA contributed to the conception and design, acquisition of the data, analysis and
139	interpretation of the data and drafting of the manuscript.
140	TF, KR, TRS, JPM and MPZ contributed to the conception, design and interpretation of
141	the data.
142	All authors critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content, agreed to
143	be accountable for all aspects of the work and approved the final manuscript.
144	Data sharing No additional data available
145	Data sharing
146	No additional data available
147	
148	

References

- 1. Keenan K, Wakschlag LS. Can a valid diagnosis of disruptive behavior disorder be made in preschool children? *Am J Psychiatry* 2002;159(3):351-8.
- 2. Skovgaard AM, Houmann T, Christiansen E, et al. The prevalence of mental health problems in children 1(1/2) years of age the Copenhagen Child Cohort 2000. *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry, and allied disciplines* 2007;48(1):62-70.
- 3. Briggs-Gowan MJ, Carter AS, Bosson-Heenan J, et al. Are infant-toddler social-emotional and behavioral problems transient? *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2006;45(7):849-58.
- 4. Mathiesen KS, Sanson A. Dimensions of early childhood behavior problems: stability and predictors of change from 18 to 30 months. *J Abnorm Child Psychol* 2000;28(1):15-31.
- 5. Campbell SB, Pierce EW, March CL, et al. Hard-to-manage preschool boys: symptomatic behavior across contexts and time. *Child Dev* 1994;65(3):836-51.
- 6. Hofstra MB, van der Ende J, Verhulst FC. Child and adolescent problems predict DSM-IV disorders in adulthood: a 14-year follow-up of a Dutch epidemiological sample. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2002;41(2):182-9.
- 7. Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Newman DL, et al. Behavioral observations at age 3 years predict adult psychiatric disorders. Longitudinal evidence from a birth cohort. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1996;53(11):1033-9.
- 8. Stevenson J, Goodman R. Association between behaviour at age 3 years and adult criminality. *Br J Psychiatry* 2001;179:197-202.
- 9. Bartels M, Hudziak JJ, Boomsma DI, Rietveld MJ, Van Beijsterveldt TC, Van den Oord EJ. A study of parent ratings of internalizing and externalizing problem behavior in 12-year-old twins. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2003;42(11):1351-9.
- 10. Bartels M, van den Oord EJ, Hudziak JJ, et al. Genetic and environmental mechanisms underlying stability and change in problem behaviors at ages 3, 7, 10, and 12. *Dev Psychol* 2004;40(5):852-67.
- 11. Saudino KJ. Behavioral genetics and child temperament. *J Dev Behav Pediatr* 2005;26(3):214-23.
- 12. van der Valk JC, Verhulst FC, et al. Quantitative genetic analysis of internalising and externalising problems in a large sample of 3-year-old twins. *Twin Res* 1998;1(1):25-33.
- 13. Barker DJ. Fetal origins of coronary heart disease. *Bmj* 1995;311(6998):171-4.
- 14. Barker DJ, Hales CN, Fall CH, et al. Type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus, hypertension and hyperlipidaemia (syndrome X): relation to reduced fetal growth. *Diabetologia* 1993;36(1):62-7.
- 15. Mick E, Biederman J, Prince J, et al. Impact of low birth weight on attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *J Dev Behav Pediatr* 2002;23(1):16-22.
- 16. Indredavik MS, Vik T, Heyerdahl S, et al. Psychiatric symptoms in low birth weight adolescents, assessed by screening questionnaires. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2005;14(4):226-36.
- 17. Lahti J, Raikkonen K, Kajantie E, et al. Small body size at birth and behavioural symptoms of ADHD in children aged five to six years. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2006;47(11):1167-74.
- 18. Linnet KM, Wisborg K, Agerbo E, et al. Gestational age, birth weight, and the risk of hyperkinetic disorder. *Arch Dis Child* 2006;91(8):655-60.

- 19. Schlotz W, Jones A, Godfrey KM, et al. Effortful control mediates associations of fetal growth with hyperactivity and behavioural problems in 7- to 9-year-old children. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2008;49(11):1228-36.
- 20. Rodriguez A. Maternal pre-pregnancy obesity and risk for inattention and negative emotionality in children. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2010;51(2):134-43.
- 21. Rodriguez A, Miettunen J, Henriksen TB, et al. Maternal adiposity prior to pregnancy is associated with ADHD symptoms in offspring: evidence from three prospective pregnancy cohorts. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2008;32(3):550-7.
- 22. Van Lieshout RJ. Role of maternal adiposity prior to and during pregnancy in cognitive and psychiatric problems in offspring. *Nutrition reviews* 2013;71 Suppl 1:S95-101.
- 23. Van Lieshout RJ, Taylor VH, Boyle MH. Pre-pregnancy and pregnancy obesity and neurodevelopmental outcomes in offspring: a systematic review. *Obes Rev* 2011;12(5):e548-59.
- 24. NICE. Dietary Interventions and physical activity interventions for weight management before, during and after pregnancy. *National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence*, 2010.
- 25. Van Lieshout RJ, Schmidt LA, Robinson M, et al. Maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index and offspring temperament and behavior at 1 and 2 years of age. *Child psychiatry and human development* 2013;44(3):382-90.
- 26. Goldsmith HH. A zygosity questionnaire for young twins: a research note. *Behav Genet* 1991;21(3):257-69.
- 27. Price TS, Freeman B, Craig I, et al. Infant zygosity can be assigned by parental report questionnaire data. *Twin Res* 2000;3(3):129-33.
- 28. Achenbach TM, Rescorla LA. *Manual for the ASEBA Peschool Forms and Profiles*. Burlington, VT: University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth and Families, 2000.
- 29. Neale MC. *MX:Statistical Modelling*. Richmond, VA: Department of Psychiatry, Medical College of Virginia, 1999.
- 30. Stata Statistical Software [program]: College Station, TX: Stata Corp LP, 2009.
- 31. Sourander A, Pihlakoski L, Aromaa M, et al. Early predictors of parent- and self-reported perceived global psychological difficulties among adolescents: a prospective cohort study from age 3 to age 15. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2006;41(3):173-82.
- 32. Eaves LJ, Silberg JL, Meyer JM, et al. Genetics and developmental psychopathology: 2. The main effects of genes and environment on behavioral problems in the Virginia Twin Study of Adolescent Behavioral Development. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 1997;38(8):965-80.
- 33. Pierce EW, Ewing LJ, Campbell SB. Diagnostic status and symptomatic behavior of hard-to-manage preschool children in middle childhood and early adolescence. *J Clin Child Psychol* 1999;28(1):44-57.
- 34. Van Lieshout RJ, Robinson M, Boyle MH. Maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index and internalizing and externalizing problems in offspring. *Can J Psychiatry* 2013;58(3):151-159.
- 35. Bluher S, Mantzoros CS. Leptin in reproduction. *Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes* 2007;14(6):458-64.
- 36. Chan JL, Mantzoros CS. Role of leptin in energy-deprivation states: normal human physiology and clinical implications for hypothalamic amenorrhoea and anorexia nervosa. *Lancet* 2005;366(9479):74-85.
- 37. Brennan PA, Grekin ER, Mednick SA. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and adult male criminal outcomes. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1999;56(3):215-9.

38. Ceddia RB. Direct metabolic regulation in skeletal muscle and fat tissue by leptin: implications for glucose and fatty acids homeostasis. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2005;29(10):1175-83.

- 39. Hendler I, Blackwell SC, Mehta SH, et al. The levels of leptin, adiponectin, and resistin in normal weight, overweight, and obese pregnant women with and without preeclampsia. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2005;193(3 Pt 2):979-83.
- 40. Udagawa J, Hatta T, Hashimoto R, et al. Roles of leptin in prenatal and perinatal brain development. *Congenit Anom (Kyoto)* 2007;47(3):77-83.
- 41. Paz-Filho G, Wong ML, Licinio J. The procognitive effects of leptin in the brain and their clinical implications. *Int J Clin Pract* 2010;64(13):1808-12.
- 42. Eyles D, Brown J, Mackay-Sim A, et al. Vitamin D3 and brain development. *Neuroscience* 2003;118(3):641-53.
- 43. Ornoy A. Growth and neurodevelopmental outcome of children born to mothers with pregestational and gestational diabetes. *Pediatr Endocrinol Rev* 2005;3(2):104-13.
- 44. Van Lieshout RJ, Schmidt LA, Robinson M, et al. Maternal Pre-pregnancy Body Mass Index and Offspring Temperament and Behavior at 1 and 2 Years of Age. *Child psychiatry and human development* 2012.
- 45. Ackerman BP, D'Eramo KS, Umylny L, et al. Family structure and the externalizing behavior of children from economically disadvantaged families. *J Fam Psychol* 2001;15(2):288-300.
- 46. Shaw DS, Winslow EB, Flanagan C. A prospective study of the effects of marital status and family relations on young children's adjustment among African American and European American families. *Child Dev* 1999;70(3):742-55.
- 47. Gross HE, Shaw DS, Moilanen KL. Reciprocal associations between boys' externalizing problems and mothers' depressive symptoms. *J Abnorm Child Psychol* 2008;36(5):693-709.
- 48. Burke JD, Loeber R, Birmaher B. Oppositional defiant disorder and conduct disorder: a review of the past 10 years, part II. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2002;41(11):1275-93.
- 49. Vernon MM, Young-Hyman D, Looney SW. Maternal stress, physical activity, and body mass index during new mothers' first year postpartum. *Women Health*;50(6):544-62.
- 50. Rubin KH, Hastings P, Chen X, et al. Intrapersonal and maternal correlates of aggression, conflict, and externalizing problems in toddlers. *Child Dev* 1998;69(6):1614-29.
- 51. Campbell SB. Behavior problems in preschool children: a review of recent research. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 1995;36(1):113-49.

2		
3 1	1	Title
5	2	Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing behavior problems in preschool
7	3	children: a UK-based twin study
3)	4	
10	5	Authors
11 12	6	Evangelia E. Antoniou, ¹ Tom Fowler, ² Keith Reed, ³ Taunton R. Southwood, ⁴ Joseph
13	7	P. McCleery, Maurice P. Zeegers ⁶
1 2 3 4 5 6		P. McCleery, Maurice P. Zeegers
16 17	8	
18	9	¹ Department of Clinical Psychological Science, Faculty of Psychology and
19 20	10	Neuroscience, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands
21	11	
22 23	12	² Department of Public Health, Heart of Birmingham Teaching PCT, Birmingham, UK
24	13	
25 26	14	³ The Twins and Multiple Births Association (TAMBA), UK
27 ΣΩ	15	
29	16	⁴ Institute of Child Health, University of Birmingham, UK
30 31	17	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	18	⁵ School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, UK
33 34	19	
35 26	20	⁶ Department of Complex Genetics, Cluster of Genetics and Cell Biology, NUTRIM
37	21	School for Nutrition, Toxicology and Metabolism, Maastricht University Medical
38 39	22	Centre, Maastricht, The Netherlands
10	23	Centre, ividastriciti, The rectneriands
11 12		
13	24	
14 15	25	Keywords
16 17	26	Maternal pre-pregnancy weight, twins, intrauterine environment, externalizing behavior
18	27	
19 50	28	Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Dr. Evangelia Antoniou,
51	29	Department of Clinical Psychological Science, Faculty of Psychology and
52 53	30	Neuroscience, Maastricht University, The Netherlands. Telephone: +31(0) 43 388 4049
50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57	31	Email address: eva.antoniou@maastrichtuniversity.nl
56	32	
57 58		
58 59		
60		

1		
2		
4 5		
6		
7 8		
9 10		
11 12		
13		
14 15		
16 17		
18		
19 20		
21 22		
23 24 25		
25 26		
27		
28 29		
30 31		
32 33		
34		
35 36		
37 38		
39 40		
41 42		
43		
44 45		
46 47		
48 49		
50		
51 52		
53 54		
55		

33	Abstract
34	Objective: To estimate the heritability of child behavior problems and investigate the
35	association between maternal pre-pregnancy overweight and child behavior problems in
36	a genetically sensitive design.
37	Design: Observational cross-sectional study.
38	Setting: The Twins and Multiple Births Association Heritability Study (TAMBAHS) is
39	an online UK-wide volunteer-based study, investigating the development of twins from
40	birth until 5 years of age.
41	Participants: A total of 443 (16% of initial registered members) mothers answered
42	questions on pre-pregnancy weight and their twins' internalizing and externalizing
43	problems using the Child Behavior Checklist and correcting for important covariates
44	including gestational age, twins' birth weight, age and sex, mother's educational leve
45	and smoking (before, during, after pregnancy).
46	Primary outcomes: The heritability of behavior problems and their association with
47	maternal pre-pregnancy weight.
48	Results: The genetic analysis suggested that genetic and common environmental factors
49	account for most of the variation in externalizing disorders (an ACE model was the
50	most parsimonious with genetic factors (A) explaining 46% (95 % CI: 33-60%) of the
51	variance, common environment (C) explaining 42% (95 % CI: 27-54%) and non-shared
52	environmental factors (E) explaining 13% (95% CI: 10-16%) of the variance. For
53	internalizing problems a CE model was the most parsimonious model with common
54	environment explaining 51% (95% CI: 44-58%) of the variance and non-shared
55	environment explaining 49% (95% CI: 42-56%) of the variance. Moreover, the
56	regression analysis results suggested that children of overweight mothers showed a
57	trend (OR=1.10, 95% CI: 0.58-2.06, p=0.08) towards being more aggressive and exhibit
58	externalizing behaviors compared to children of normal weight mothers.
59	Conclusions: Maternal pre-pregnancy weight may play a role in children's aggressive
60	behavior.
61	
62	
63	

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005974 on 14 October 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 23, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

65	Strengths and limitations of this study
66	• In the twin genetic design maternal pre-pregnancy weight was included in the
7	genetic models, in order to investigate the influence of the intrauterine
	environment on children's behaviour problems.
)	 Cut-off scores applied to the child behavior scale enabled us to clinically assess
	the observed association.
	 A number of covariates of post-natal influence were adjusted such as maternal
	educational level and smoking after pregnancy.
	 Information on other parental characteristics such as maternal psychopathology
ļ	and personality was not available.
,	Keywords
Ó	Intrauterine environment, twins, externalizing, pre-pregnancy weight, heritability
7	Word count: 3,155
3	
)	
1	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9 0	
1	
2	
3	
94	
95	

97 Introduction

A child's preschool years are generally considered important since during this period the critical emergence of many clinically significant problem behaviors occur ^{1 2}. Externalizing (e.g., aggression, conduct problems, hyperactivity) and internalizing problems (e.g., emotional problems, anxiety, depression) can often first be identified in early childhood which then show considerable stability across older ages ³⁻⁵. Toddlers with problem behaviors are at risk for a variety of adverse developmental outcomes including conflictual relationships with other peers or family, poor academic performance, delinquency and later maladjustment ⁶⁻⁸. Given the life course implications of early onset symptomatology, it is essential to understand the underlying aetiology of problem behaviors in preschool children.

Twin studies investigating externalizing and internalizing problems have revealed substantial genetic influence, with heritabilities ranging from 40-70% in these age groups ⁹⁻¹¹. The influence of the shared environment is however, more modest explaining up to 40% of the variance in behavior problems ⁹⁻¹².

Since the 1990s there has been an increased interest into the research of the effect of the intrauterine environmental and maternal well-being during pregnancy on later child development. Epidemiological studies suggest strong links between measures of the quality of the prenatal environment and the risk of cardiovascular and metabolic diseases ¹³ ¹⁴ and more research evidence suggests that low birth weight can be linked with impaired cognitive development and behavioural disorders, especially hyperactivity/inattention ¹⁵ ¹⁶ while several studies have examined the association between low birth weight and internalizing and externalizing behaviours ¹⁷⁻¹⁹.

Research findings ²⁰⁻²³ suggest that another intrauterine factor, maternal pre-pregnancy obesity/weight is associated with reduced cognitive abilities, symptoms of inattention

obesity/weight is associated with reduced cognitive abilities, symptoms of inattention and negative emotionality in school aged children. These findings are of clinical importance especially in the light of the increasing prevalence of obese women entering pregnancy ²⁴. Pregnancy comes with main changes in the maternal body and a high prepregnancy weight is more likely to make these adaptations even more difficult affecting child development. With the exception of one recent study²⁵ suggesting that fetal

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005974 on 14 October 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 23, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

exposure to increased maternal body mass index (BMI) is associated with elevated levels of externalizing problems in two-year old children, not much is known about the influence of maternal pre-pregnancy weight on externalizing and internalizing behaviour problems in young age groups after that age and before the age of five. By implementing a twin research design including maternal pre-pregnancy weight in the genetic model, we set out to investigate the role of the intrauterine, common and genetic environment on children's behaviour problems.

Methods

The Twins and Multiple Births Association Heritability Study (TAMBAHS) is a volunteer-based study, investigating the development of twins from birth until 5 years of age. An invitation letter to this study was sent to all present (n=2712), at the moment of the study, twin family registered members of the Twins and Multiple Births Association (TAMBA) beginning of July 2008. TAMBA is an association, which registers and provides support to multiple birth families across the UK. Mothers of twins aged between 18 months and five years old at the time of the survey were identified. In the time period between July 2008 and May 2010, 443 (16%) mothers completed the study's online questionnaire on their twins' emotional and behavioral development. The participants show a similar and representative geographical spread of the twin families across the UK. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Birmingham and all mothers consented before participating.

- 152 Zygosity determination
- For the determination of the twins' zygosity the previously adapted version of
- Goldsmith's zygosity questionnaire was used ²⁶. This questionnaire method of assigning
- 155 zygosity has been validated against determination by identity of polymorphic DNA
- markers and has reached accuracy in verifying zygosity in 95% of the cases ²⁷.
- 157 Twin Sample
- 158 In total 443 twin pairs were included in the analyses; 186 monozygotic (MZ) male
- twins, 138 monozygotic (MZ) female twins, 144 dizygotic (DZ) male twins, 158
- dizygotic (DZ) female twins and 260 opposite-sex twins.

Materials

163 Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and covariates

164 The primary exposure variable was maternal pre-pregnancy BMI. Pre-pregnancy BMI

was based on maternal self-report of weight and height and was calculated by dividing

166 their weight in kilograms by their self-report height in meters squared [(kg)/ height

167 (m²)]. Pre-pregnancy BMI was analysed both as a continuous and as a categorical

variable and was classified according to the WHO standard guidelines as: underweight

169 (<18.5kg) normal weight (18.5kg-24.99kg), overweight/obese (>=25kg). Overweight

and obese mothers were combined in one category due to the limited number of obese

171 mothers.

172 Gestational age (measured in completed weeks of gestation), educational level and

smoking (before, during, after pregnancy) were noted for mothers; age, sex and birth

weight were noted for all twins.

176 The Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL/1½y-5y)

177 The Child Behavior Checklist for toddlers (CBCL/1½-5) ²⁸ is used to obtain

standardised parent reports of children's problem behaviors. It contains ninety-nine

problem items, split into seven subcategories: emotionally reactive, anxious/depressed,

somatic complaints, withdrawn, sleep problems, attention problems, and aggressive

behavior originally derived by factor analyses ²⁸. The broadband scale 'Internalizing' is

the sum score of items in the first four syndrome scales, whereas 'Externalizing' is the

sum score of attention problems and aggressive behaviour. 'Total problems' is the sum

score of all ninety-nine problem items. Each item is scored 0 = not true, 1 = somewhat

or sometimes true, and 2 = very true or often true, based on the preceding 2 months.

Good reliability and validity criteria have been reported for this checklist ²⁸. To identify

children that may be above the normal range for the syndrome scales, children were

categorized as being in the normal range when their T scores were below 65 (or the 93rd

percentile) and as being in the borderline/clinical range when T scores > 65 (or the 97th

190 percentile) ²⁸. For Internalizing, Externalizing, and Total Problem broadband scales the

191 cut-off point used for the normal range was a T score <60, and borderline/clinical ≥ 60 .

192 The selection of lower cut-off scores for the broadband scales was based on the notion

193	that these scales encompass more numerous and diverse problems than any of the
194	syndrome scales, with the latter comprising smaller, more homogeneous sets of
195	problems. Therefore, higher scores are needed for the syndrome scales in order to
196	conclude that a behavior is clinically deviant [Achenbach, 2000 #1392].

- Statistical analysis
- 199 Correlations
- 200 Intra-pair twin correlations were calculated by using the Pearson's (r) and the
- 201 Spearman's rho coefficient statistics as appropriate to explore the genetic and
- 202 environmental influences.
- 203 Heritability analysis
- 204 Univariate genetic models were fit to the data in order to estimate the heritability of the
- 205 problem scales using a maximum likelihood approach implemented in Mx ²⁹. The
- 206 classical twin study design relies on studying twins raised in the same family
- 207 environments. Monozygotic twins share all of their genes, while dizygotic twins share
- only about half of them. So, if a researcher compares the similarity between sets of
- 209 identical twins to the similarity between sets of fraternal twins for a particular trait, then
- any excess likeness between the identical twins should be due to genes (that is the A
- 211 component in a genetic model fitting) rather than environment. Researchers use this
- 212 method, and variations on it, to estimate the heritability of traits: The percentage of
- variance in a population due to genes. Modern twin studies also try to quantify the
- effect of a person's common environment (family, that is the C component in a genetic
- 215 model fitting) and non-shared environment (the individual events that shape a life, that
- is the E component in a genetic model fitting) on a trait.
- The estimates of the heritability are presented with 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) and
- 218 goodness of fit statistics for several models: a full ACE model, in which the phenotypic
- variance is explained by genetic (A) common environmental factors (C) and non-shared
- 220 (E) environmental factors. Reduced models were estimated by removing one of the
- 221 parameters at a time and re-running the model. The goodness of fit of the reduced
- models was compared to the full model to assess whether they represented a better
- explanation of the data using the likelihood ratio χ^2 test and the Akaike Information
- 224 Criterion (AIC). The models were assessed by examining the decrease in the fit of the

225	model; if a parameter could be dropped without a significant decrease in fit then on the
226	grounds of parsimony the reduced model was accepted as the best fitting model. Models
227	were fit both unadjusted and adjusted for pre-pregnancy maternal weight.
228	
229	Regression analyses
230	Effects on a continuous scale
231	
232	Standardized coefficients (Betas) are presented reflecting the change on the
233	subcategories and the broadband problem scales by change in mother's weight both
234	expressed as standard deviation change.
235	
236	Effects on clinically important behavior problems
237	
238	In order to estimate the effect of the different categories of maternal weight on clinical
239	problems, logistic regression models were fitted. In these models the intercept of each
240	twin pair was modelled as a function of the population intercept plus the individual
241	contribution of the twin pair. Associations of maternal pre-pregnancy weight and the
242	syndrome and broadband scales were explored both unadjusted and adjusted for twins'
243	sex, age, birth weight, gestational age, maternal smoking (before, during and after
244	pregnancy) and maternal educational level. All analyses were performed in STATA 11
245	30
246	
247	Results
248	Correlations
249	The means for the three broadband scales and the intra-pair twin correlations for MZ
250	and DZ twins were calculated (Table 1). For Externalizing problems, the MZ correlation
251	was r=0.89 and the DZ correlation was r=0.62. For Internalizing problems, the MZ and
252	DZ correlations were r=0.81 and r=0.56 respectively. For Total problems, the MZ and
253	DZ correlations were r=0.92 and r=0.75 respectively.
254	
255	
256	

Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing problems 9

Table 1 Descriptive statistics of problem broadband scales for monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs

		Moı	Monozygotic twin pairs					Dizygotic twin p				in pairs	pairs		
		Twin 1			Twin 2	2			Twin			Twin 2	2		
Problem scales	N	M	SD	N	M	SD	r	N	M	SD	N	M	SD	r	
Externalizing	167	12.88	7.72	167	12.83	7.51	0.89	269	12.79	6.61	269	12.66	6.95	0.62	
(range 0-48)															
Internalizing	167	5.94	4.55	167	9.13	6.98	0.81	269	5.49	4.11	269	8.24	5.89	0.56	
(range 0-96)															
Total problems (range 0-78)	167	31.58	16.24	167	34.66	18.90	0.92	269	30.45	14.18	269	33.06	16.10	0.75	
N; Number of twins								7							
M; Mean															
SD; Standard deviation															
r; Within-twin correlations															

N; Number of twins

M; Mean

SD; Standard deviation

r; Within-twin correlations

269	Heritability analyses
270	Variance estimates o
271	(CI) are presented in
272	Externalizing problem
273	explaining 46% (95
274	42% (95 % CI: 27-5
275	CI: 10-16%) of the
276	parsimonious model
277	the variance and no
278	variance. For Total
279	genetic factors exp
280	environment explain
281	environment explain
282	After adjusting for m
283	Externalizing proble
284	CI: 36-68%), com
285	environment 12% (9
286	most parsimonious n
287	CI: 50-67%) and nor
288	Total problems the r
289	explaining 25% (95%
290	non-shared environm
291	
292	
293	
294	
295	
296	

6

Variance estimates of ACE models and sub-models with their 95% Confidence Intervals
(CI) are presented in Table 2, in which the most parsimonious model is highlighted. For
Externalizing problems, an ACE model was the most parsimonious with genetic factors
explaining 46% (95 % CI: 33-60%) of the variance, common environment explaining
42%~(95~%~CI:~27-54%) and non-shared environmental factors explaining $13%~(95%$
CI: 10-16%) of the variance. For Internalizing problems a CE model was the most
parsimonious model with common environment explaining 51% (95% CI: 44-58%) of
the variance and non-shared environment explaining 49% (95% CI: 42-56%) of the
variance. For Total problems, an ACE model was the most parsimonious one with
genetic factors explaining 26% (95 % CI: 13-39%) of the variance, common
environment explaining 61% (95% CI: 49-70%) of the variance and non-shared
environment explaining 13% (95% CI: 10-17%) of the variance.
After adjusting for maternal pre-pregnancy weight, the most parsimonious model for the
Externalizing problems was an ACE model with genetic factors explaining 50% (95%
CI: 36-68%), common environment 38% (95% CI: 20-52%) and non-shared
environment 12% (95% CI: .09-16%) of the variance. For Internalizing problems the
most parsimonious model was and AE model with genetic factors explaining 59% (95%
CI: 50-67%) and non-shared environment 41% (95% CI: 33-50%) of the variance. For
Total problems the most parsimonious model was an ACE model with genetic factors
explaining 25% (95% CI: 14-38%), common environment 62% (95% CI: 49-72%) and
non-shared environment 13% (95% CI: .10-17%) of the variance.

Table 2 Univariate genetic model-fitting for the problem scales presenting full and nested models

A (95%CI)	C (95%CI)	E (95%CI)	Δx^2	P	AIC
0.46 (0.33-0.60)	0.42 (0.27-0.54)	0.13 (0.10016)	-	-	-
0.87 (0.84-0.90)	(0)	0.13 (0.10-0.16)	23.44	0.00	21.44
(0)	0.74 (0.69-0.78)	0.26 (0.22-0.31)	49.69	0.00	47.69
0.23 (0.00-0.48)	0.35 (0.12-0.54)	0.43 (0.35-0.53)	-	-	-
0.60 (0.52-0.67)	(0)	0.40 (0.33-0.48)	8.84	0.00	6.84
(0)	0.51 (0.44-0.58)	0.49 (0.42-0.56)	3.15	0.07	1.15
0.26 (0.16-0.39)	0.61 (0.49-0.70)	0.13 (0.10-0.17)	-	-	-
0.87 (0.84-0.90)	(0)	0.13 (0.10-0.16)	53.64	0.00	51.64
(0)	0.79 (0.76-0.83)	0.21 (0.17-0.24)	22.17	0.00	20.17
	0.46 (0.33-0.60) 0.87 (0.84-0.90) (0) 0.23 (0.00-0.48) 0.60 (0.52-0.67) (0) 0.26 (0.16-0.39) 0.87 (0.84-0.90)	0.46 (0.33-0.60) 0.42 (0.27-0.54) 0.87 (0.84-0.90) (0) (0) 0.74 (0.69-0.78) 0.23 (0.00-0.48) 0.35 (0.12-0.54) 0.60 (0.52-0.67) (0) (0) 0.51 (0.44-0.58) 0.26 (0.16-0.39) 0.61 (0.49-0.70) 0.87 (0.84-0.90) (0)	0.46 (0.33-0.60) 0.42 (0.27-0.54) 0.13 (0.10016) 0.87 (0.84-0.90) (0) 0.13 (0.10-0.16) (0) 0.74 (0.69-0.78) 0.26 (0.22-0.31) 0.23 (0.00-0.48) 0.35 (0.12-0.54) 0.43 (0.35-0.53) 0.60 (0.52-0.67) (0) 0.40 (0.33-0.48) (0) 0.51 (0.44-0.58) 0.49 (0.42-0.56) 0.26 (0.16-0.39) 0.61 (0.49-0.70) 0.13 (0.10-0.17) 0.87 (0.84-0.90) (0) 0.13 (0.10-0.16)	0.46 (0.33-0.60) 0.42 (0.27-0.54) 0.13 (0.10016) - 0.87 (0.84-0.90) (0) 0.13 (0.10-0.16) 23.44 (0) 0.74 (0.69-0.78) 0.26 (0.22-0.31) 49.69 0.23 (0.00-0.48) 0.35 (0.12-0.54) 0.43 (0.35-0.53) - 0.60 (0.52-0.67) (0) 0.40 (0.33-0.48) 8.84 (0) 0.51 (0.44-0.58) 0.49 (0.42-0.56) 3.15 0.26 (0.16-0.39) 0.61 (0.49-0.70) 0.13 (0.10-0.17) - 0.87 (0.84-0.90) (0) 0.13 (0.10-0.16) 53.64	0.46 (0.33-0.60) 0.42 (0.27-0.54) 0.13 (0.10016) - - 0.87 (0.84-0.90) (0) 0.13 (0.10-0.16) 23.44 0.00 (0) 0.74 (0.69-0.78) 0.26 (0.22-0.31) 49.69 0.00 0.23 (0.00-0.48) 0.35 (0.12-0.54) 0.43 (0.35-0.53) - - 0.60 (0.52-0.67) (0) 0.40 (0.33-0.48) 8.84 0.00 (0) 0.51 (0.44-0.58) 0.49 (0.42-0.56) 3.15 0.07 0.26 (0.16-0.39) 0.61 (0.49-0.70) 0.13 (0.10-0.17) - - 0.87 (0.84-0.90) (0) 0.13 (0.10-0.16) 53.64 0.00

* Best fitting model

A, Additive genetic; C, shared environment; E, non-shared environment; CI, confidence

interval; $\Delta \chi^2$, Difference Chi-square; P, statistical significance p<.05; AIC, Akaike's

306 Information Criterion

The mean maternal body mass index (BMI), gestational age, age and sex of the twins stratified by zygosity are presented in Table 3. There were no differences in maternal weight between monozygotic and dizygotic twins. However, differences were observed between monozygotic and dizygotic twins with regards to their age and gestational age. Mothers of dizygotic twins had a higher gestational age, (36.22, 95 % CI: 36.00-36.43, p<0.001) compared to mothers of monozygotic twins (35.24, 95 % CI: 35.12-36.43); the monozygotic twins were older (3.13 years old, 95 %CI: 3.03-3.23, p<0.001) compared to dizygotic twins (2.91 years old, 95 %CI: 2.83-2.98). Correlations of maternal and twin covariates and problems broadband scales are also presented in the same table.

Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing problems 12

Table 3 Means, standard deviations and Pearson/Spearman correlations with three syndrome scales for each covariate by zygosity

			MZ twins					DZ twins		
Maternal Characteristics	Mean	SD	r _a	r _b	r c	Mean	SD	r _a	r _b	r c
BMI	24.51	4.32	0.07	-0.03	0.02	24.76	5.10	0.10*	-0.04	0.10*
Gestational age (weeks)	35.38	2.49	-0.04	-0.12*	-0.06	36.22	2.71	-0.04	-0.15***	-0.11**
Smoking (no/yes)^	n	%	r _a	r _b	r c	n	%	r _a	r _b	r _c
Before	133/40	76.9/23.1	0.03	-0.02	0.05	255/54	82.5/17.5	0.07	0.04	0.06
During	156/5	96.9/3.1	0.07	0.00	0.06	280/10	96.6/3.4	0.10	-0.03	0.05
After	143/18	88.2/11.2	0.04	0.04	0.10	272/29	90.4/9.6	0.09	0.00	0.06
Educational level										
High School diploma or	42	25.3				53	18.1			
less										
College/professional	23	13.9	-0.02	0.04	0.00	51	17.3	-0.00	0.03	-0.03
education										
University	101	60.8				191	64.6			
Twin Characteristics										
Age (years)	3.13	0.96	-0.23***	0.02	-0.15**	2.91	0.95	-0.07	0.11	-0.01
Sex	n	%	-0.05	0.18***	0.07	n	%	-0.08	-0.05	-0.09*
Male	206	57.22				164	26.28			
Female	154	42.78				174	27.88			
Opposite sex	-	-				286	45.84			

[^]Smoking refers to smoking before, during, after pregnancy

 $r_a Pearson/Spearman\ correlation\ with\ Externalizing\ scale;\ r_b Pearson/Spearman\ correlation\ with\ Internalizing\ scale;\ r_c Pearson/Spearman\ correlation\ with\ Internalizing\ scal$

³²² Total Problems scale

^{323 *}p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.001

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005974 on 14 October 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 23, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12 13 14		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27 28		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33 34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		

Regression analyses
In the adjusted model (Table 4) there was a significant increase of .08 standard
deviations in aggressive behavior with every standard deviation increase in maternal
weight (p=0.02). The logistic regression analysis partly confirmed these findings.
Overweight mothers were 1.10 times more likely to have a child with clinically
aggressive behavior when compared to normal weight mothers and 0.78 times more
likely when compared to underweight mothers. The individual odds ratio (OR) did not
reach statistical significance; a trend, however, (OR=1.10, 95% CI: 0.58-2.06) is
observed for children of overweight mothers to show clinically aggressive behavior.
Similarly, there was an increase of .09 standard deviations (p=0.02) in externalizing
problems with every standard deviation increase in maternal weight. An increase of the
likelihood of externalizing (OR=1.32, 95% CI: 0.84-2.05) for children with overweight
mothers compared to children of normal weight mothers was also apparent. No other
statistically significant associations between maternal pre-pregnancy weight and
behavior problems were observed.

Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing problems 14

Table 4 Logistic and linear regression for children's behavioural problems based on maternal BMI**

	Behavioural problems*	No behavioural problems*	OR	95% CI	Beta	P	OR**	95% CI	Beta	P
Emotional reactivity	N	N			0.03	0.42			0.02	0.58
Underweight	6	82	1.10	0.44-2.77			1.12	0 .42-2.96		
Normal weight	25	376	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Overweight/Obese	20	283	1.06	0.58-1.95			1.05	0.54-2.06		
Anxiety/Depression	N	N			-0.03	0.40			-0.02	0.62
Underweight	5	83	0.77	0.29-2.06			0.86	.31-2.41		
Normal weight	29	372	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Overweight/Obese	14	289	0.62	0.32-1.20			.65	.32-1.30		
Somatic Complaints	N	N	4		-0.01	0.11			-0.07	0.07
Underweight	11	77	1.33	0.65-2.70			0.99	0.43-2.26		
Normal weight	39	362	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Overweight/Obese	30	273	1.02	0.62-1.68			0.99	0.56-1.75		
Withdrawn behaviour	N	N			-0.06	0.09			-0.02	0.58
Underweight	3	85	1.14	0.32-4.14			1.53	0.36-6.61		
Normal weight	12	389	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Overweight/Obese	14	289	1.57	0.72-3.45			2.66	0.99-7.09		
Attention	N	N			0.06	0.08			0.03	0.39
Underweight	5	83	.74	0.28-1.98			1.17	0.42-3.29		
Normal weight	30	371	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Overweight/Obese	29	274	1.31	0.77-2.23			1.13	0.60-2.13		
Aggressive behaviour	N	N			0.08	0.02 ^a			0.07	0.07
Underweight	4	84	0.78	0.26-2.32			.87	.28-2.69		
Normal weight	23	378	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Overweight/Obese	19	284	1.10	0.58-2.06			1.04	.52-2.10		
Sleeping problems	N	N			0.06	0.11			0.03	0.48
Normal weight	14	387	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Underweight	3	85	0.98	0.27-3.47			0.97	0.20-4.66		

Maternal pre-pregnancy weight and externalizing problems 15

* Categorized based on children within normal range and borderline/clinical range **Adjusted for gestational age, twins' birth weight, age and sex, mother's educational level, smoking (before, during, after pregnancy) a p<0.05

Overweight/Obese	11	292	1.04	0.47-2.33			0.92	0.37-2.29		
Externalizing	N	N			0.09	0.02ª			0.07	0.08
Underweight	6	81	0.56	0.23-1.36			.66	.26-1.66		
Normal weight	46	348	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Overweight/Obese	44	253	1.32	0.84-2.05			1.17	.69-1.99		
Internalizing	N	N			-0.04	0.29			-0.03	0.44
Underweight	16	72	1.85	0.99-3.46			1.86	.93-3.73		
Normal weight	43	358	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Overweight/Obese	34	269	1.05	0.65-1.70			1.01	.59-1.74		
Total problems	N	N			0.07	0.05			0.06	0.14
Underweight	6	82	0.59	0.24-1.43			0.59	0.23-1.50		
Normal weight	44	355	1.00	Reference			1.00	Reference		
Overweight/Obese	41	260	1.27	0.81-2.00			1.18	0.70-2.00		
		260								

1 Discussion

In this study the effect of maternal pre-pregnancy weight on problem behaviors and the influence of genetic and environmental factors on these problems were investigated. The heritability analysis suggested that genetic and common environmental factors account for most of the variation in externalizing disorders, while common and nonshared environment explain most of the variation in internalizing disorders. After adjusting for mothers' weight there was a non significant decrease (of 2%) of the variation in the externalizing problems that could be explained by the common environment, suggesting that mothers' weight may play an important role in explaining externalizing problems. In order to further explore the role of overweight in externalizing problems we repeated the analysis by comparing the twins of overweight to the twins of normal weight mothers. The results suggested that 50% (CI's: 29%-67%) of the variation in externalizing problem behavior in twins of overweight mothers could be explained by common environment compared to 35% (CI's: 10%-53%) in twins of normal weight mothers. In general, the results showed that children of overweight mothers showed a trend towards being more aggressive and exhibit externalizing behaviors compared to children of normal weight mothers. Aggressive behavior is considered an important aspect of externalizing behavior and has developmentally been linked to antisocial behavior 31 32. Studies with children focusing on aggression have shown that both observed aggression and parental reports of externalizing behaviors are relatively stable from toddlerhood to five years and beyond ^{1 33}, which may highlight the role of genetic influences. Consistent with this are the results of this study, which suggest that genetic factors can explain a large part of the variation in externalizing and internalizing behavior problems. The results from the logistic regression however, do not suggest a strong association between maternal overweight and behavior problems. Moreover, the significantly observed change in the standardized coefficients could not explain the distinction between normal range and borderline/clinical range. Therefore, children appeared to be more aggressive but it is not clear whether this is of clinical significance.

Two studies by Rodriguez and colleagues ^{20 21} suggested a link between pre-pregnancy overweight and obesity and symptoms of ADHD in children. In both studies, teacher ratings of the offspring of obese mothers had increased levels of ADHD. However, in the latter study, parent reports of childhood ADHD symptoms and negative emotionality failed to support a link. While this could mean that the effect may be small, in light of the low to moderate associations seen between parent and teacher ratings of children's ADHD one cannot rule out a link. A recent study by van Lieshout and colleagues³⁴ showed that increased maternal pre-pregnancy BMI was associated with higher levels of externalizing problems at age 2. However, in that study authors did not correct for maternal or paternal psychopathology, or maternal personality traits. Similarly in our study we may not be able to strongly establish a causal link between pre-pregnancy weight and aggressive/externalizing problems but by applying clinically relevant cut-off points in the measurement tool of child behavior we suggest that there may be a trend for more aggressive behaviors from children of obese mothers. As it has been previously suggested ²³ future studies could benefit from the utilization of genetically sensitive designs, in this study we included pre-pregnancy weight in the genetic model and showed that there be some intrauterine influence. Indeed, when we added pre-pregnancy weight in the genetic model we observed a non-significant decrease in the variation of the externalizing problems explained by the common environment. This suggests that pre-pregnancy weight as an intrauterine factor may play an important role in the development of externalizing problems. A number of mechanisms could be responsible for the links between maternal obesity and neurodevelopmental problems in young children. The potential role of nutrition on the development of the brain has been investigated in the past. Although there is not a clear pathway that links externalizing problems to maternal overweight, several pathways have been proposed to explain this association and parallels between overweight and other developmental disorders can be drawn. Leptin, which is the protein produced by adipose cells, has been found to play multiple functions in reproduction ^{35 36}, glucose homoeostasis ^{37 38} as well as in brain ^{39 40} and neurocognitive development 41. Another possible causal pathway suggests that pre-pregnancy overweight women may not be able to synthesize vitamin D, due to the excessive adipose tissue, which results in deficiency in both the mother and the neonate; in turn,

64	vitamin D is associated with neurocognitive function ⁴² . In addition, high glucose levels
65	pose a risk for neurobehavioral impairments ⁴³ . Moreover, epigenetic mechanisms
66	affecting the central nervous system dopamine signaling could be a mechanism by
67	which exposure to elevated maternal BMI during pregnancy might increase levels of
68	externalizing behavior in children ⁴⁴ .
69	However, others have emphasized the importance of the dynamics within the family
70	environment, such as the parent-child interaction and the individual differences in
71	parenting 45 46 and their impact on the course of the developmental pathways of problem
72	behaviors. Previous research has mainly linked externalizing problems with family
73	adversity, maternal depression and low socioeconomic status 37 45 47.
74	In addition, there may be other environmental factors, which weren't possible to be
75	examined in this study that could accentuate these symptoms. For instance, parents with
76	children displaying symptoms of aggressive behaviors normally report higher levels of
77	stress and frequent use of negative parenting strategies 48 while it has been found that
78	stress levels could be associated with weight gain ⁴⁹ . Thus, parental behavior may fuel
79	noncompliance, aggression and poor regulation of emotion, rather than providing
80	toddlers adaptive models of regulated and pro-social functioning ⁵⁰ .
81	The current study has a number of strengths including the use of a validated measure of
82	childhood behavior problems for children older in age than previously used in literature,
83	and utilized a genetically sensitive design to assess the influence of maternal pre-
84	pregnancy weight.
85	Moreover, compared to previous studies 20 44 we applied cut-off scores to the child
86	behavior scale, which enabled us to clinically assess the observed association. In
87	addition, we were able to adjust for a number of covariates, which could indicate a post-
88	natal influence on externalizing behavior, such as maternal educational level and
89	smoking after pregnancy. However, we did not have information on other parental
90	characteristics such as maternal psychopathology and personality.
91	To sum up, these results suggest a possible association between aggressive/externalizing
92	problems and maternal overweight. It is important however, to keep in mind when
93	conducting research with preschoolers that the investigation of children who may be at
94	risk for externalizing problems, may pose the challenge of differentiating between age-

95	related and normative levels of this behavior from more serious early-emerging
96	problems ⁵¹ .
97	
98	
99	
100	
01	Acknowledgments
02	We would like to thank all participating families.
03	
04	Competing Interests
05	The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.
6	
7	Funding
8	This study was funded by the University of Birmingham, UK
)9	
0	Authors' contribution
1	EA contributed to the conception and design, acquisition of the data, analysis and
12	interpretation of the data and drafting of the manuscript.
13	TF, KR, TRS, JPM and MPZ contributed to the conception, design and interpretation of
14	the data.
15	All authors critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content, agreed to
16	be accountable for all aspects of the work and approved the final manuscript.
17	
18	Data sharing
19	Data sharing No additional data available
20	
21	

References

- 1. Keenan K, Wakschlag LS. Can a valid diagnosis of disruptive behavior disorder be made in preschool children? *Am J Psychiatry* 2002;159(3):351-8.
- 2. Skovgaard AM, Houmann T, Christiansen E, Landorph S, Jorgensen T, Olsen EM, et al. The prevalence of mental health problems in children 1(1/2) years of age the Copenhagen Child Cohort 2000. *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry, and allied disciplines* 2007;48(1):62-70.
- 3. Briggs-Gowan MJ, Carter AS, Bosson-Heenan J, Guyer AE, Horwitz SM. Are infant-toddler social-emotional and behavioral problems transient? *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2006;45(7):849-58.
- 4. Mathiesen KS, Sanson A. Dimensions of early childhood behavior problems: stability and predictors of change from 18 to 30 months. *J Abnorm Child Psychol* 2000;28(1):15-31.
- 5. Campbell SB, Pierce EW, March CL, Ewing LJ, Szumowski EK. Hard-to-manage preschool boys: symptomatic behavior across contexts and time. *Child Dev* 1994;65(3):836-51.
- 6. Hofstra MB, van der Ende J, Verhulst FC. Child and adolescent problems predict DSM-IV disorders in adulthood: a 14-year follow-up of a Dutch epidemiological sample. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2002;41(2):182-9.
- 7. Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Newman DL, Silva PA. Behavioral observations at age 3 years predict adult psychiatric disorders. Longitudinal evidence from a birth cohort. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1996;53(11):1033-9.
- 8. Stevenson J, Goodman R. Association between behaviour at age 3 years and adult criminality. *Br J Psychiatry* 2001;179:197-202.
- 9. Bartels M, Hudziak JJ, Boomsma DI, Rietveld MJ, Van Beijsterveldt TC, Van den Oord EJ. A study of parent ratings of internalizing and externalizing problem behavior in 12-year-old twins. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2003;42(11):1351-9.
- 10. Bartels M, van den Oord EJ, Hudziak JJ, Rietveld MJ, van Beijsterveldt CE, Boomsma DI. Genetic and environmental mechanisms underlying stability and change in problem behaviors at ages 3, 7, 10, and 12. *Dev Psychol* 2004;40(5):852-67.
- 11. Saudino KJ. Behavioral genetics and child temperament. *J Dev Behav Pediatr* 2005;26(3):214-23.
- 12. van der Valk JC, Verhulst FC, Stroet TM, Boomsma DI. Quantitative genetic analysis of internalising and externalising problems in a large sample of 3-year-old twins. *Twin Res* 1998;1(1):25-33.
- 13. Barker DJ. Fetal origins of coronary heart disease. *Bmj* 1995;311(6998):171-4.
- 14. Barker DJ, Hales CN, Fall CH, Osmond C, Phipps K, Clark PM. Type 2 (non-insulindependent) diabetes mellitus, hypertension and hyperlipidaemia (syndrome X): relation to reduced fetal growth. *Diabetologia* 1993;36(1):62-7.
- 15. Mick E, Biederman J, Prince J, Fischer MJ, Faraone SV. Impact of low birth weight on attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *J Dev Behav Pediatr* 2002;23(1):16-22.
- 16. Indredavik MS, Vik T, Heyerdahl S, Kulseng S, Brubakk AM. Psychiatric symptoms in low birth weight adolescents, assessed by screening questionnaires. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2005;14(4):226-36.
- 17. Lahti J, Raikkonen K, Kajantie E, Heinonen K, Pesonen AK, Jarvenpaa AL, et al. Small body size at birth and behavioural symptoms of ADHD in children aged five to six years. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2006;47(11):1167-74.

- 18. Linnet KM, Wisborg K, Agerbo E, Secher NJ, Thomsen PH, Henriksen TB. Gestational age, birth weight, and the risk of hyperkinetic disorder. *Arch Dis Child* 2006;91(8):655-60.
- 19. Schlotz W, Jones A, Godfrey KM, Phillips DI. Effortful control mediates associations of fetal growth with hyperactivity and behavioural problems in 7- to 9-year-old children. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2008;49(11):1228-36.
- 20. Rodriguez A. Maternal pre-pregnancy obesity and risk for inattention and negative emotionality in children. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2010;51(2):134-43.
- 21. Rodriguez A, Miettunen J, Henriksen TB, Olsen J, Obel C, Taanila A, et al. Maternal adiposity prior to pregnancy is associated with ADHD symptoms in offspring: evidence from three prospective pregnancy cohorts. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2008;32(3):550-7.
- 22. Van Lieshout RJ. Role of maternal adiposity prior to and during pregnancy in cognitive and psychiatric problems in offspring. *Nutrition reviews* 2013;71 Suppl 1:S95-101.
- 23. Van Lieshout RJ, Taylor VH, Boyle MH. Pre-pregnancy and pregnancy obesity and neurodevelopmental outcomes in offspring: a systematic review. *Obes Rev* 2011;12(5):e548-59.
- 24. NICE. Dietary Interventions and physical activity interventions for weight management before, during and after pregnancy. *National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence*, 2010.
- 25. Van Lieshout RJ, Schmidt LA, Robinson M, Niccols A, Boyle MH. Maternal prepregnancy body mass index and offspring temperament and behavior at 1 and 2 years of age. *Child psychiatry and human development* 2013;44(3):382-90.
- 26. Goldsmith HH. A zygosity questionnaire for young twins: a research note. *Behav Genet* 1991;21(3):257-69.
- 27. Price TS, Freeman B, Craig I, Petrill SA, Ebersole L, Plomin R. Infant zygosity can be assigned by parental report questionnaire data. *Twin Res* 2000;3(3):129-33.
- 28. Achenbach TM, Rescorla LA. *Manual for the ASEBA Peschool Forms and Profiles*. Burlington, VT: University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth and Families, 2000.
- 29. Neale MC. *MX:Statistical Modelling*. Richmond, VA: Department of Psychiatry, Medical College of Virginia, 1999.
- 30. Stata Statistical Software [program]: College Station, TX: Stata Corp LP, 2009.
- 31. Sourander A, Pihlakoski L, Aromaa M, Rautava P, Helenius H, Sillanpaa M. Early predictors of parent- and self-reported perceived global psychological difficulties among adolescents: a prospective cohort study from age 3 to age 15. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2006;41(3):173-82.
- 32. Eaves LJ, Silberg JL, Meyer JM, Maes HH, Simonoff E, Pickles A, et al. Genetics and developmental psychopathology: 2. The main effects of genes and environment on behavioral problems in the Virginia Twin Study of Adolescent Behavioral Development. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 1997;38(8):965-80.
- 33. Pierce EW, Ewing LJ, Campbell SB. Diagnostic status and symptomatic behavior of hard-to-manage preschool children in middle childhood and early adolescence. *J Clin Child Psychol* 1999;28(1):44-57.
- 34. Van Lieshout RJ, Robinson M, Boyle MH. Maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index and internalizing and externalizing problems in offspring. *Can J Psychiatry* 2013;58(3):151-159.
- 35. Bluher S, Mantzoros CS. Leptin in reproduction. *Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes* 2007;14(6):458-64.

36. Chan JL, Mantzoros CS. Role of leptin in energy-deprivation states: normal human physiology and clinical implications for hypothalamic amenorrhoea and anorexia nervosa. *Lancet* 2005;366(9479):74-85.

- 37. Brennan PA, Grekin ER, Mednick SA. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and adult male criminal outcomes. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1999;56(3):215-9.
- 38. Ceddia RB. Direct metabolic regulation in skeletal muscle and fat tissue by leptin: implications for glucose and fatty acids homeostasis. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2005;29(10):1175-83.
- 39. Hendler I, Blackwell SC, Mehta SH, Whitty JE, Russell E, Sorokin Y, et al. The levels of leptin, adiponectin, and resistin in normal weight, overweight, and obese pregnant women with and without preeclampsia. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2005;193(3 Pt 2):979-83.
- 40. Udagawa J, Hatta T, Hashimoto R, Otani H. Roles of leptin in prenatal and perinatal brain development. *Congenit Anom (Kyoto)* 2007;47(3):77-83.
- 41. Paz-Filho G, Wong ML, Licinio J. The procognitive effects of leptin in the brain and their clinical implications. *Int J Clin Pract* 2010;64(13):1808-12.
- 42. Eyles D, Brown J, Mackay-Sim A, McGrath J, Feron F. Vitamin D3 and brain development. *Neuroscience* 2003;118(3):641-53.
- 43. Ornoy A. Growth and neurodevelopmental outcome of children born to mothers with pregestational and gestational diabetes. *Pediatr Endocrinol Rev* 2005;3(2):104-13.
- 44. Van Lieshout RJ, Schmidt LA, Robinson M, Niccols A, Boyle MH. Maternal Prepregnancy Body Mass Index and Offspring Temperament and Behavior at 1 and 2 Years of Age. *Child psychiatry and human development* 2012.
- 45. Ackerman BP, D'Eramo KS, Umylny L, Schultz D, Izard CE. Family structure and the externalizing behavior of children from economically disadvantaged families. *J Fam Psychol* 2001;15(2):288-300.
- 46. Shaw DS, Winslow EB, Flanagan C. A prospective study of the effects of marital status and family relations on young children's adjustment among African American and European American families. *Child Dev* 1999;70(3):742-55.
- 47. Gross HE, Shaw DS, Moilanen KL. Reciprocal associations between boys' externalizing problems and mothers' depressive symptoms. *J Abnorm Child Psychol* 2008;36(5):693-709.
- 48. Burke JD, Loeber R, Birmaher B. Oppositional defiant disorder and conduct disorder: a review of the past 10 years, part II. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2002;41(11):1275-93.
- 49. Vernon MM, Young-Hyman D, Looney SW. Maternal stress, physical activity, and body mass index during new mothers' first year postpartum. *Women Health*;50(6):544-62.
- 50. Rubin KH, Hastings P, Chen X, Stewart S, McNichol K. Intrapersonal and maternal correlates of aggression, conflict, and externalizing problems in toddlers. *Child Dev* 1998;69(6):1614-29.
- 51. Campbell SB. Behavior problems in preschool children: a review of recent research. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 1995;36(1):113-49.