Determinants of survival in patients with chronic myeloid leukaemia treated in the new era of oral therapy: findings from a UK population-based patient cohort
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To examine contemporary survival patterns in the general population of patients diagnosed with chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML), and to identify patient groups with less than optimal outcomes.

Design: Prospective population-based cohort.

Setting: The UK’s Haematological Malignancy Research Network (catchment population 3.6 million, with >2000 new haematological malignancies diagnosed annually).

Participants: All patients newly diagnosed with CML, from September 2004 to August 2011 and followed up to 31 March 2013.

Main outcome measure: Incidence and survival.

Results: With a median diagnostic age of 59 years, the CML age standardised (European) incidence was 0.9/100 000 (95% CIs 0.8 to 0.9), 5-year overall survival was 78.9% (72.3 to 84.0) and 5-year relative survival 88.6% (81.0 to 93.3). The efficacy of treatment across all ages was clearly demonstrated; the relative survival curves for those under 60 and over 60 years being closely aligned. Survival findings were similar for men and women, but varied with deprivation: the age and sex adjusted HR being 3.43 (1.89 to 6.22) for deprivation categories 4–5 (less affluent) versus 1–3 (more affluent). None of these differences were attributable to the biological features of the disease.

Conclusions: When therapy is freely provided, population-based survival for CML is similar to that reported in clinical trials, and age loses its prognostic significance. However, although most of the patients with CML now experience close to normal lifespans, those living in more deprived areas tend to have poorer outcomes, despite receiving the same clinical care. A significant improvement in overall population outcomes could be achieved if these socioeconomic differences, which may reflect the treatment compliance, could be eliminated.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Data are from a comprehensive population-based cohort that includes all patients diagnosed in a defined geographical area.
- Complete follow-up is achieved via linkage to national healthcare systems.
- The relative rarity of chronic myeloid leukaemia limited our ability to examine for smaller subgroup effects in the present series.
- While our findings for socioeconomic status may reflect differences in treatment compliance, this association needs to be confirmed in future studies.

INTRODUCTION

Introduced at the turn of the century, orally administered tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) have transformed the treatment of chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML), changing it from a comparatively rare but fatal cancer in non-transplanted patients to a long-term condition with a steadily increasing prevalence. TKI therapy is, however, life-long and expensive; the price of first-generation imatinib currently varying from around £21 000 per patient per year in the UK to £57 000 in the USA, with the newer TKIs being even more costly. Such costs have major, but poorly defined, implications for health economies around the world.1

Given the potential for patients with CML to achieve a near normal lifespan, contemporary clinical discussion tends to revolve around how the growing range of TKIs should be used, response monitored and resistance managed.2–6 However, with reported survival rates from CML in some populations being poorer than that predicted from clinical trials, the extent to
which findings from clinical trials can be extrapolated to
the general patient population is also an issue of current
debate.7–11 In this context, the contrast between the
5-year survival of 89% reported for imatinib-treated
patients from the original clinical trial who were
recruited in 2001 and followed until 200612 and the
2001–2009 relative survival of 56.0% in the USA’s
Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER)
populations13–14 seems particularly stark.

For CML, as with many other cancers, discrepancies
between trial and population-based studies are com-
monly ascribed to systematic differences between the
types of patients recruited into trials and those who are
not; the former often tending to be comprised of
younger patients with fewer comorbidities and less
advanced disease.7,9,15 In addition, it is becoming ever
more apparent that non-trial access to expensive
drugs such as TKIs has a key role to play in countries without
universal healthcare coverage.11–16 Furthermore, even
in countries like the UK where care is freely provided on
the basis of clinical need, non-adherence to the daily
oral regimen is becoming an increasingly recognised
problem for the long-term management of CML.17–19

Up-to-date population-based data on CML are limited;
with much of the available information on CML survival
in the general population predating the latest clinical
trials, as well as the introduction of the latest monitor-
ing/management guidelines.2–5,25 The population-based
Haematological Malignancy Research Network (http://
www.hmrn.org), which collects information to clinical
trial standards on all new haematological malignancy
diagnoses, was specifically established in 2004 to address
issues such as this by providing ‘real-time’ data to inform
clinical practice and research.24 The present report pro-
vides contemporary data on CML incidence and survival
in the UK over the period 2004–2013, and investigates
whether there are any patient groups with less than
optimal outcomes.

**METHODS**

Data are from the UK’s population-based HMRN
(http://www.hmrn.org). Full details of HMRN’s struc-
ture, data collection methods and ethical approval have
been described elsewhere.24 Briefly, within the HMRN
region, patient care is provided by a unified clinical
network operating across 14 hospitals organised within
five multidisciplinary teams working to common guide-
lines covering investigation, treatment and follow-up
(http://www.yorkshire-cancer-net.org.uk). All diagnoses
and subsequent monitoring within the clinical network
(>2000 patients a year) are made using the latest WHO
classification25 at a single integrated haematopathology
laboratory (http://www.hmlds.info). All patients have full
treatment, response and outcome data collected to clini-
cal trial standards. HMRN operates with Section 251
support under the NHS Act 2006, enabling the Health
and Social Care Information Centre (HSCIC) to provide
us with nationwide information on deaths, subsequent
cancer registrations and Hospital Episode Statistics
(HES).

CML diagnosis was based on the demonstration of a
BCR-ABL fusion transcript expressed by the
Philadelphia (Ph) chromosome by RQ-PCR and/or the
demonstration of t(9;22)(q34;q11) by conventional kar-
yotypic or interphase FISH. The presence of additional
karyotypic abnormalities was based on bone marrow
metaphase analysis. As per standard practice, response
to therapy was monitored using either molecular or cyto-
genetic tests or both; specifically, patients were moni-
tored by quantitative PCR on peripheral blood,
supplemented by bone marrow karyotyping when clini-
cally indicated. ABL kinase mutational analysis was car-
ried out when the transcript ratio increased over two
sequential samples or on clinical demand.

Area-based population counts and measures of depriva-
tion were sourced from UK national data.26–27 With
respect to the latter and in common with other reports,27–29
the quintile distribution of the income
domain of the index of deprivation (IMD; quintile one
containing the most affluent fifth of England’s lower
super output areas and quintile five the least) is used as
a marker of socioeconomic status.30 Overall survival and
loss of molecular response (MR) were calculated using
standard time to event analyses and the program strel
(V.1.2.7) was used to estimate relative survival; age and
sex-specific background mortality rates were obtained
from national life tables.31 All analyses were conducted in
the statistical package Stata V.12.

**RESULTS**

Two-hundred and forty-two patients were diagnosed with
CML in the study region over the 7-year period
September 2004–August 2011, yielding a crude annual
incidence rate of 0.97/100 000. The corresponding
European and World age standardised incidence rates
were 0.9 (95% CIs 0.8 to 0.9) and 0.7 (0.6 to 0.7)/
100 000, respectively. As can be seen from table 1
(column 1), 132 (54.6%) patients were diagnosed before
their 60th birthday and 110 (45.4%) after, the median
diagnostic age being 59 years (range 15.1–94.7). As
expected, around three of every five patients were men,
and the area-based deprivation distribution of the cohort
was broadly similar to that of the country as a whole
(59.1% in quintiles 1–3 and 40.9% in quintiles 4–5).

Forty-seven (19.5%) patients died before 1 April 2013;
minimum follow-up was 1.5 years and maximum
follow-up was 8.5 years. The crude survival curve across
the full 8.5-year period is shown in figure 1A, and the
crude and relative survival curves over the first 6 years
are compared in figure 1B (data for the remaining
2.5 years follow-up are not shown because the small
number of events prohibited relative survival estima-
tion). The 5-year survival was 78.9% (72.3% to 84.0%)
and the relative survival, taking into account the
Table 1  Characteristics of 242 patients with chronic myeloid leukaemia diagnosed September 2004–August 2011, distributed by vital status on 1 April 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at diagnosis, years</th>
<th>Diagnosed September 2004–August 2011</th>
<th>Status 1 April 2013</th>
<th>HRs (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (N=242) Alive (N=195) Dead (N=47)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>132 (54.6) 116 (59.8) 16 (34.0)</td>
<td>1.00 2.59 (1.39 to 4.83) 2.65 (1.42 to 4.96)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>110 (45.4) 79 (40.2) 31 (66.0)</td>
<td>1.00 0.84 (0.46 to 1.52) 0.67 (0.37 to 1.23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>145 (60.0) 115 (59.0) 30 (63.8)</td>
<td>1.00 1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>97 (40.0) 80 (41.0) 17 (36.2)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.46 to 1.52) 0.67 (0.37 to 1.23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deprivation quintile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>143 (59.1) 124 (63.9) 19 (40.4)</td>
<td>1.00 2.60 (1.45 to 4.66) 3.43 (1.89 to 6.22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–5 (less affluent)</td>
<td>98 (40.9) 70 (36.1) 28 (59.6)</td>
<td>1.00 2.60 (1.45 to 4.66) 3.43 (1.89 to 6.22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase at presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic</td>
<td>235 (97.1) 192 (81.7) 43 (18.3)</td>
<td>7.46 (2.61 to 21.28) 22.93 (7.24 to 72.61)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerated/blast crisis</td>
<td>7 (2.9) 4 (2.9) 4 (57.1)</td>
<td>7.46 (2.61 to 21.28) 22.93 (7.24 to 72.61)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline cytogenetics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph+ve only</td>
<td>209 (86.4) 173 (82.8) 36 (17.2)</td>
<td>1.00 1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variant Ph+ve</td>
<td>18 (7.4) 15 (83.3) 3 (16.7)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.27 to 2.88) 1.12 (0.34 to 3.68)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional abnormality</td>
<td>12 (5.0) 6 (50.0) 6 (50.0)</td>
<td>3.96 (1.66 to 9.42) 4.94 (2.03 to 12.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplified Ph+ve</td>
<td>3 (1.2) 1 (33.3) 2 (66.7)</td>
<td>6.82 (1.63 to 28.46) 13.61 (3.09 to 60.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for all other characteristic in the Table except the one of interest and cytogenetics.

background mortality in the general population, was 88.6% (81% to 93.3%). Two-hundred and thirty-four (96.7%) of the 242 patients were treated with TKIs within the study region; 219 (93.6%) received a first-line imatinib and the remainder received dasatinib as part of an ongoing trial. Only 8 (3.3%) of the 242 patients were not treated with TKIs within the HMRN region: 219 (93.6%) received a TKI (96.7%) of the 242 patients were treated with TKIs across all ages (figure 2). Gender had a little impact on the outcome, and the overall and relative survival curves of men and women are similar, with the 5-year relative survival for men and women being 90.1% (79.9% to 95.4%) and 89.1% (71.9% to 96.1%), respectively. By contrast, the deprivation-specific relative survival curves remain as disparate from each other as the overall survival curves (p=0.0014), with the 5-year relative survival for the most affluent (categories 1–3) and the least affluent (categories 4–5) being 94.9% (82.3% to 98.6%) and 79.5% (64.1% to 88.8%), respectively. Furthermore, the results were similar even when deprivation-specific life tables were used to calculate relative survival.

As can be seen from table 2, marginal deprivation differences were also evident for both molecular response achievement, defined here as one or more readings ≤ 0.1 BCR-ABL1 (major molecular response—MMR) or ≤ 1.0 BCR-ABL1 (molecular response—MR), and its retention. Overall, 71.4% of patients in deprivation categories 1–3 and 59.6% in 4–5 achieved MMR, the corresponding frequencies for MR being 82.1% and 71.3%, respectively. With respect to the time taken to achieve molecular response, the disparity between deprivation categories was evident from therapy outset, albeit non-statistically significantly so; the MMR cumulative frequencies were 16.4% and 21.2% (12 months), 32.9% and 36.2% (18 months) and 51.4% and 42.6% (24 months); the MR results were 45.7% and 38.3% (12 months), 66.4% and 54.6% (18 months) and 74.9% and 60.6% (24 months), respectively.
The outcome for patients with CML treated mainly with imatinib in our UK population-based patient cohort is similar to that reported for clinical trials\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\)\(^5\); the 5-year relative survival estimates for men and women diagnosed in 2004–2011 and treated with TKIs over the period 2004–2013 were 89.1% (79.9% to 95.4%) and 90.1% (71.9% to 96.1%), respectively. This suggests that the much poorer outcome recorded in the USA reflects financial barriers to accessing TKI therapy. Furthermore, our data confirm the inferences from clinical trials that TKI treatment is equally effective at all ages, eliminating the impact of age in traditional prognostic scores.\(^3\)\(^5\)\(^6\)

Indeed, the prospects for most of the patients are excellent, raising questions about the continuing relevance of such scoring systems. However, despite free access to TKI therapy, clinical outcomes appear significantly poorer in lower socioeconomic groups in the UK; the age and sex-adjusted HR for deprivation categories 4–5 (less affluent) compared with the more affluent category was 3.43 (1.89 to 6.22). These differences were not attributable to differences in the biological features of the disease; hence the most plausible explanation is that this may reflect differential treatment compliance.\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^6\)\(^7\)

The ability to conduct comprehensive population-based analyses of the type presented here is a major strength of the UK’s NHS. Predicated on these structures, our population-based patient cohort (http://www hmrn.org) was initiated to serve the research and clinical needs, and as such the capture and follow-up of all patients diagnosed in our study area is a paramount objective.\(^2\)\(^7\)

A unique feature is that all diagnoses and subsequent monitoring of patients within the unified clinical network that spans the area is carried out by a single haematopathology laboratory. In addition, the sociodemographic structure of our catchment population of approximately 3.6 million (around 6% of the UK’s estimated total) is broadly representative of the national population in terms of age, sex and deprivation; and increasingly our data are being extrapolated to the country as a whole.\(^2\)\(^7\)\(^35\)\(^37\)

Given the life-long nature of TKI therapy, inequality of access because of cost\(^1\) is likely to be the main explanation for the marked disparity between CML survival in SEER registries and the results reported here and elsewhere in Europe.\(^38\)\(^39\)\(^40\)\(^41\)

In addition to financial constraints, closer inspection of the data suggests that other factors including diagnostic accuracy and coding misclassification could also be contributing to the differences observed; since with a median diagnostic age of 65 years, incidence across SEER registries is consistently higher than elsewhere in the world, averaging around 1.8/100 000 and varying from about 1.4/100 000 in Hawaii to 2.1/100 000 in Detroit.\(^14\) By contrast, our age-standardised annual rate of 0.9/100 000 and median diagnostic of 59 years age are close to national and other European population-based estimates.\(^38\)\(^39\)\(^41\)

The accurate diagnosis of CML and its separation from other

DISCUSSION

The HRs for loss of response combined with deaths (table 2) are, as expected, consistent with findings for overall and relative survival (figure 2E,F); patients in deprivation categories 4–5 who achieved MMR were 1.71 (1.03 to 2.84) times more likely to lose their response or die, and the results were similar when the threshold was increased to include patients who achieved MR (1.90, 1.03 to 3.49). It is also worth noting that according to the information recorded at death certification, all nine deaths in deprivation categories 4–5 had CML cited as a contributing cause of death, compared with four of the eight in deprivation categories 1–3.

The deprivation differences presented here could not be explained by either variations in the acquisition of additional cytogenetic anomalies or TKI resistance, both of which were rare in this population: 7 (3.4%) of the 209 Ph+ patients with no additional abnormalities at diagnosis (table 1) acquired an additional anomaly during the follow-up, and all were in deprivation categories 1–3. With respect to the 10 (4.3%) patients who developed TKI resistance, 4/140 were in deprivation categories 1–3 and 6/94 in categories 4–5. None of the patients who acquired an additional cytogenetic anomaly developed TKI resistance.

Figure 1 Crude (A) and relative (B) survival of the 242 patients diagnosed with CML in HMRN.
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The deprivation differences presented here could not be explained by either variations in the acquisition of additional cytogenetic anomalies or TKI resistance, both of which were rare in this population: 7 (3.4%) of the 209 Ph+ patients with no additional abnormalities at diagnosis (table 1) acquired an additional anomaly during the follow-up, and all were in deprivation categories 1–3. With respect to the 10 (4.3%) patients who developed TKI resistance, 4/140 were in deprivation categories 1–3 and 6/94 in categories 4–5. None of the patients who acquired an additional cytogenetic anomaly developed TKI resistance.
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Figure 2  Crude (A, C, E) and relative survival (B, D, F) of the 234 patients diagnosed within HMRN 2004 to 2011 (deaths 2004 to 2013) who were treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors by age at diagnosis (A, B), Sex (C, D) and deprivation (E, F).

Table 2  Acquisition and loss of molecular response; patients diagnosed September 2004–August 2011 and followed until 1st April 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Achieved response/TKI treated (%)</th>
<th>Loss of response/achieved response (%)</th>
<th>Deaths/achieved response (%)</th>
<th>Loss of response plus deaths*/achieved response (%)</th>
<th>HR† (95% CI) for loss of response plus deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>Adjusted†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major molecular response (MMR=≤0.1%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deprivation quintile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>100/140 (71.4)</td>
<td>28/100 (28.0)</td>
<td>8/100 (8.0)</td>
<td>35/100 (35.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>56/94 (59.6)</td>
<td>20/56 (35.7)</td>
<td>8/56 (14.3)</td>
<td>27/56 (48.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(less affluent) (MR=≤1.0%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deprivation quintile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>115/140 (82.1)</td>
<td>15/115 (13.0)</td>
<td>8†/115 (7.0)</td>
<td>22/115 (19.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>67/94 (71.3)</td>
<td>13/67 (19.4)</td>
<td>9‡/67 (13.4)</td>
<td>21/67 (31.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(less affluent)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*3 Deaths occurred in patients with a recorded loss of response.
†Adjusted for age and sex.
‡4/8 Deaths in deprivation categories 1–3 and 9/9 deaths in deprivation categories 4–5 were CML related (death certificates).
CML, chronic myeloid leukaemia; MMR, major molecular response; MR, molecular response; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitors.


Open Access
myeloproliferative disorders require access to molecular diagnostic and cytogenetic analyses. Hence, while it is possible that the SEER variations are genuine, it is also possible that other haematological malignancies which are part of the differential diagnosis of CML, such as chronic myelomonocytic leukaemia (CMLL), atypical CML and possibly myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative overlap syndromes, all of which have higher diagnostic ages and poorer survival, are being inadvertently included in the SEER CML dataset.27 39

Our observations confirm that when TKI treatment is freely provided, survival for patients with CML approaches that of unaffected individuals. Nevertheless, the requirement for daily oral therapy for this otherwise fatal disease has major, but poorly defined implications for health economies around the world.1 In England and Wales, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) assess the clinical and cost-effectiveness of new treatments prior to recommending their use across the NHS. In the original submission to NICE, imatinib costs were estimated at approximately £16–£20 million for the first 5 years of its introduction, but estimates beyond this time were not made due to lack of certainty about therapy uptake, long-term survival and consequent disease prevalence.42 In the meantime, even more expensive second-generation TKIs for CML, as well as new oral agents for more common haematological malignancies, are increasingly being adopted into clinical practice.43–45 Obviously, ensuring optimum clinical outcome for the level of resources invested is a critical issue for clinicians and policymakers; and our findings suggest that more significant improvements in overall population outcomes could, perhaps, be achieved if socioeconomic differences, which may reflect variations in drug compliance, could be eliminated.

While it is recognised that non-adherence to daily oral therapy can be a problem for long-term CML management even in populations with universal healthcare coverage,19,20 it has yet to be demonstrated that this is the reason for the socioeconomic differences described here. To investigate this further, additional longitudinal studies that incorporate the collection of appropriate monitoring data, as well as information on other lifestyle factors that could potentially contribute to the outcome, will need to be carried out. Clearly, however, identification of the mechanisms underpinning the socioeconomic survival differences within our population, and consequent design of appropriate interventions, could further improve survival. In this regard, recently developed serum imatinib assays46 could, perhaps, be incorporated into future studies designed to investigate the reasons why some patients may not be taking their medication regularly.
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