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ABSTRACT

Objectives: The aim of this work was to explore the physiological and perceptual limits to exercise in children with varying degrees of motor impairment, and the relationships to measures of health.

Design and methods: In a group comparison design, 35 boys aged 12–15 years completed the Movement ABC test for the assessment of motor impairment, followed by an incremental cycle ergometer test to exhaustion for the assessment of maximal oxygen uptake ($V_{O2\text{peak}}$), respiratory exchange ratio (RER), heart rate (HR) and rating of perceived exertion (RPE). Ten participants classified as having either high or no motor impairment also performed a maximal voluntary isometric contraction (MVIC) for the assessment of lower limb extensor strength.

Results: 18 boys were classified as having high motor impairment. There was a significant difference in $V_{O2\text{peak}}$ (34.9 vs 48.5 mL/kg/min), $V_{O2}$ workload (12.5 vs 10.0 mL/W), maximal HR (176 vs 188 bpm), maximal oxygen pulse (12.1 vs 15.9 mL/beat) and MVIC (5.7 vs 9.1 Nm/kg) between the high and non-motor impaired participants, respectively, (p<0.05). There was no significant difference in the RER or RPE between groups.

Conclusions: When performing cycling ergometry, perceived exertion was not a limiting factor in children with high motor impairment. The lower maximal HR, coupled with reduced movement efficiency and muscle strength reported in this group, suggests that exercise is limited by impairment at the muscular level. This finding was supported by high RER values despite low maximal HR values attained at exercise cessation and reduced maximal strength. Perception of effort is not heightened in children with high motor impairment and future-exercise interventions should be focused on improving muscular condition in these participants to enable them to be better prepared to engage in physical activity for health.

INTRODUCTION

Physical activity levels in childhood have been shown to predict adult activity behaviour affecting life expectancy and quality of life years. As such, successfully achieving an active lifestyle in childhood is critical. Children with movement difficulties and poor coordination tend to have lower levels of physical activity participation than their typically developing peers. Further, this group of children, with reduced motor coordination and low activity levels, has been found to have significantly higher metabolic syndrome indicators including: abdominal adiposity, blood pressure and triglyceride levels compared with their typically developing peers. Of added concern is that such motor impairments are known to persist into adulthood, further impacting on the ability of these individuals to achieve a physically active lifestyle as adults. The number of people with some form of motor impairment in the UK population is 2.6 million, and as such, this group is an important proportion of society which should be considered within public health policy. Understanding factors...
particularly affecting the exercise experience in these individuals is essential if higher physical activity levels are to be achieved.

In a series of interviews with adolescents with Developmental Coordination Disorder and with children with cerebral palsy, exercise-induced symptoms of muscle fatigue, poor physical tolerance and low energy levels have also been reported as major factors reducing enjoyment, tolerance and participation. However, as yet, the underpinning physiological limits have not been fully explored. We know that individuals judge and limit effort during exercise from symptoms arising from muscles, joints and the cardiovascular system, choosing to reduce how hard they are exercising when symptoms become too strong. Children with increased motor impairment are known to perform poorly in sport and exercise fitness screening tests, but the physiological and perceptual responses limiting exercise performance have not been explored in these individuals.

Aims
The study set out to explore, in individuals with different levels of motor impairment, the physiological and perceptual measures during, and limiting, maximal exercise performance.

Methods

Procedure
The study was approved by the University Research Ethics Committee. Participants were recruited through two routes, either through a database of those who had taken part in our previous research or through advertisements and posters. Families indicating that they were interested in taking part were sent separate child and parent information sheets and gave their written consent prior to the study. Participants attended the Human Performance Laboratory for testing, with parents attending only to help complete a health screening questionnaire for their child. The testing session consisted of the participant completing the Movement ABC-2 Test (MABC-2) for a measure of motor impairment, followed by the exercise testing. Details of these measures can be found below.

Participants
Thirty-five men aged 12–15 years with no known neurological condition were recruited. Individuals were classified on the level of motor ability using the MABC-2 test.

Measures

MABC-2 test
This standardised test of motor skill was used to confirm the presence of significant movement difficulties. Eight age-appropriate items were individually administered as described in the test manual. Raw scores for each task were converted to standard scores, summed across three subsections to obtain a standard score for Manual Dexterity, Aiming & Catching and Balance. A total test score and centile rank were also obtained. For the diagnosis of motor impairment, it has been recommended that scores below the 5th centile indicate definite motor impairment while scores between the 5th and 15th centiles indicate borderline scores. Therefore, any child scoring below the 15th centile in this current study was classed as having high motor impairment (HMI). Children scoring above the 15th centile were classified as having no motor impairment (NMI).

Exercise testing

Height (Holtain stadiometer), weight (Seca scales) and body mass index were recorded prior to the exercise test. After resting for 6 min, participants underwent an incremental cycle ergometer exercise test (Lode Excalibur Sport, Gronigen, the Netherlands) of 1 min stages after an initial 2 min of unloaded cycling. Workload was progressed based on Godfrey et al’s protocol (increasing workload by 15–20 W from unloaded cycling based on the height of the participant). The test ended at volitional exhaustion or if the participant was unable to maintain a cadence of 60 rpm, with verbal encouragement given throughout.

Pulmonary gas exchange was measured breath-by-breath using an automated metabolic analysis system (Cortex Metalyzer, Leipzig Germany). The system was calibrated prior to each test in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. All participants wore a face mask covering the nose and mouth connected to a low resistance volume transducer (Triple V, Hoechberg, Germany). Heart rate (HR) was recorded continuously throughout the testing protocol using short-range telemetry (Polar S810, Finland). Oxygen uptake (VO2) was calculated as the average VO2 in the last 30s of each stage, while the VO2peak was recorded as the highest 60 s average before the termination of the test. The respiratory exchange ratio (RER) was calculated from the ratio of VO2 to VO2 at each workload level throughout the exercise test. Maximal fat oxidation was calculated using the equations of Frayn in equation 1 below:

\[ \text{Fat oxidation (g/min)} = 1.67 \times \text{VO2} - 1.67 \times \text{VO2} \]

The relationship between the amount of oxygen utilised for a given work rate was calculated from the linear slope of the relationship between VO2 and Watts (VO2/W) and was used as a measure of muscular efficiency. Oxygen pulse, a non-invasive indicator of cardiac function, was calculated by dividing VO2 by HR (VO2/HR). Maximum workload (Workloadmax) was calculated as the final completed workload. Rating of perceived exertion (RPE) was measured at the end of each stage using the Cart and Load scale (CALER), which has
previously been used to assess children’s perception of effort during exercise.²⁶ CALER has a scale from 1 to 10 with 1 being classed as ‘very easy’ and 10 being ‘so hard I’m going to stop’. Illustrations of a child pulling a cart behind their bicycle, which was progressively laden with bricks, accompanied the scale.

The criteria for true maximal effort included a plateau in VO₂ max, maximal HR >95% of age predicted maximum and RER >1.06.²⁷ All children were fully familiarised with the testing protocol prior starting the session.

Maximal voluntary isometric contraction protocol

Participants performed a 1RM leg extensor strength test on a specially designed isometric strength-testing chair. The knee angle was 90° and the rotation axis of the strength chair was aligned with the knee axis. Participants were asked to relax for 30 s; thereafter, participants performed maximum knee extension for approximately 5 s. Verbal encouragement was given to reach the maximum force. After this maximum knee extension, participants relaxed for 30 s. This test was repeated three times; 1RM was the maximum output reached.

Electrical signals from the torque transducer were amplified (Digitimer Neurolog NL107 Recorder Amplifier) and digitised (Cambridge Electronic Design, micro1401). Torque from maximal voluntary isometric contractions (MVICs) was recorded on a PC for subsequent analysis using Spike data analysis software (Spike 2 V.5.0 for Windows).

DATA ANALYSIS

Descriptive statistics (mean±SD, range) were calculated for all variables.

All exercise testing measure distributions were examined for normality. Linear regression analysis was performed to examine relationships between the MABC score and the exercise variables. Ten participants reporting HMI returned to the laboratory to repeat the exercise test to establish reliability data in this population. Test–retest reliability was analysed to test for systematic and random error using student t test, intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) (3,1), bias (average difference) and random error (1.96×SD of differences). Statistical significance was accepted at p<0.05.

RESULTS

MABC-2 test

Eighteen participants obtained total scores considered to be HMI, that is, below the MABC 15th centile, with 5 at or below the 5th centile, and 17 were classified as having NMI (MABC >15 centile). Table 1 displays the participant characteristics from the test battery.

As expected, there was a significant difference in the MABC centile score between the HMI and NMI groups (4.0 (4.7) vs 62.8 (20.7), p<0.05).

Exercise testing

There was a significant difference in the VO₂ peak (34.9 (6.3) vs 48.5 (7.9) mL kg/min), VO₂/workload (12.5 (3.9) vs 10.0 (1.3) VO₂/W), oxygen pulse (12.1 (3.7) vs 15.9 (4.0) mL beat), maximum HR (176 (19.7) vs 188 (8.8) beats min) and MVIC (5.7 (1.0) vs 9.0 (1.8) Nm kg) with the NMI group achieving higher VO₂, oxygen pulse, maximum HR and MVIC.

There was no difference between the groups in RER, with all but one participant demonstrating a maximal value greater than 1.0 at the end of the test. There was also no significant difference in maximal fat oxidation levels between the groups.

Compared with the established maximal criteria for typically developing children,²⁸ all but one of the participants met the criteria for a maximal effort during the exercise test, with no significant difference between the HMI and NMI groups. There was no difference in the perception of effort throughout the exercise trial and at exercise termination, with all participants reporting an RPE rating of 9 or 10 at the end of the test.
despite individuals in the HMI group having significantly lower maximum HR values at the end of the cycle ergometer test.

There were significant relationships between the MABC score and VO2peak (r=0.36, p<0.05) (figure 1) and MVIC (r=0.76, p<0.01; figure 2).

Table 2 below displays the characteristics of the participants who undertook the MVIC testing.

**DISCUSSION**

The study set out to explore, in individuals with different levels of motor impairment, the physiological and perceptual factors during, and limiting, maximal exercise performance. Examination of the exercise test data showed a significant difference in VO2peak, VO2/workload, oxygen pulse, maximum HR and MVIC between the HMI and NMI groups. Interestingly, there was no difference in maximal RPE or RER. When considering the limits to exercise in people with HMI, the maximal RER and fat oxidation levels at test termination suggest that low levels of aerobic muscle performance and not a heightened perceived level of exertion were limiting exercise performance. These findings are important as they highlight a low level of aerobic muscle performance as a major factor limiting exercise performance in children with poor coordination. Muscle plays a central role in health and disease across the lifespan and, if left unaddressed in children with HMI, is likely to continue into adulthood and contribute to the development of metabolic disorders in this population.

Despite children with HMI demonstrating a linear VO2/workload response, the value of 12.5 (3.9) mL/W reflects a reduced movement economy throughout the exercise. VO2 normally rises at a rate of about 8.5–11 mL/min W and is independent of sex, age, body weight or height. Thus, in children with HMI, exercise participation may be limited by the impaired ability of the muscle to work aerobically. The reduced muscular strength of the quadriceps reported in this study further supports the main limiting factor at the muscular level, and this finding supports the findings from previous studies citing a reduced strength in other muscle groups in children with greater motor impairment.

In comparison to the NMI group, the HMI group had a reduced exercise capacity, with a mean VO2peak of 34.9 mL/kg/min. The VO2peak was below the cardiovascular fitness threshold in the HMI children and, as such, associated with an increased risk of obesity, type II diabetes and cardiovascular and metabolic disorders in adulthood. Considered alongside the low maximum HRs demonstrated by these children (mean 176 bpm) in comparison to NMI participants (188 bpm) and those reported in normal healthy children at the end of a similar cycling protocol, our findings suggest that a low level of aerobic muscle performance was limiting the ability of children with HMI to push themselves hard enough to maximally tax the cardiovascular system. Despite a significantly lower oxygen pulse level between the groups (12.1 (3.7) vs 15.9 (4.0) mL beat, p<0.05), the HMI group was not different from those the group of healthy individuals reported previously. This further supports the findings that the limiting factor is of peripheral, and not central, origin. Given the emerging role of mitochondrial dysfunction in many neuromuscular disorders, a lack of aerobic capability in the muscles of children with poor motor control may impact on their long-term health and prevent them from increasing their HR to the level required to achieve an aerobic training stimulus and attain fitness, health and well-being benefits according to current guidelines for physical activity in children. Interestingly, despite the reduced aerobic fitness, there was no difference in the utilisation of fat as a substrate during the exercise.

**Test–retest reliability of exercise measures**

Ten HMI participants returned to the laboratory to complete a second maximal exercise test for the assessment of reliability in this population. The maximal exercise data demonstrated good reliability with ICC scores for the VO2peak 0.75 (CI 0.23 to 0.94; t = 0.39, bias 3.54, random error 6.93), maximal HR 0.93 (CI 0.73 to 0.98; t = –0.86, bias 7.8, random error 15.4) and maximal RER 0.89 (CI 0.59 to 0.98; t = –0.14, bias 0.07, random error 0.14). Maximal RPE ICC scores were all rated 9–10, thus affecting ICC 0.05 (CI = 0.00 to 0.66), but had a low bias (t = –2.14, bias 0.86, random error 1.68).

**Table 2** MABC and MVIC for the HMI and NMI participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MABC</th>
<th>HMI (n=10)</th>
<th>NMI (n=10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MABC</td>
<td>4.3 (5.4)</td>
<td>68.6 (19.9)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVIC</td>
<td>5.7 (1.0)</td>
<td>9.0 (1.8)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05*  
HMI, high motor impairment; MABC, Movement ABC; MVIC, maximal voluntary isometric contraction; NMI, no motor impairment.
protocol between the groups in this study. The levels of fat oxidation in this current study were within the range previously reported in healthy participants of similar age. The number of individuals in this sample is relatively small; however, we included a range of individuals with different motor capabilities and in the subgroup of HMI participants that returned to the laboratory, we established good reliability in the clinical exercise testing in this population.

Muscle function has been found to have an important role in long-term health, and considering our observation of reduced muscle capacity in individuals with HMI, it is important to investigate interventions that can be implemented in childhood to improve muscle function and performance. This is further supported with the significantly lower MVIC in the HMI group in comparison to NMI. The reduced strength of the major locomotor muscle group in HMI not only has implications for function, with some reports of increased cocontraction, but also for the impact on health and the quality of life. In a recent study by Buchan et al., high intensity exercise has been shown to reduce cardiovascular risk and improve muscle function in healthy children. As yet, this type of intensity has not been investigated in the population of children included in this study; however, the evidence of their willingness to push themselves maximally in this study warrants the application of such intensities of exercise to measure the impact on health and movement measures. Work by Cairney et al. suggested that the reduced exercise performance of children with motor impairment was partly related to the level of perceived adequacy for the task. The findings of this current work suggest that the limitations to exercise interventions to target the development of muscular performance demonstrated by the participants with greater motor impairment highlight the need for any exercise interventions to target the development of muscular function. Research has shown that exercise interventions play a possible role in improving motor competence levels in children with movement difficulties; however, how these changes relate to alterations in markers of cardiovascular and muscular health and the long-term involvement in physical activity have yet to be elucidated.
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