



**Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices Regarding Infant Feeding Among HIV-Infected Pregnant Women in Gaborone, Botswana: A Cross-Sectional Survey**

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2013-003749
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	07-Aug-2013
Complete List of Authors:	Ndubuka, Justina; University of Liverpool, School of Population, Community & Behavioural Sciences Ndubuka, Nnamdi; AIDS Institute Partnership, Gaborone, Botswana, Botswana-Harvard School of Public Health Li, Ying; Third Military Medical University, Department of Social Medicine and Health Service Management, Marshall, Caitlin; University of Arizona, Mel & Enid Zuckerman College of Public Health Ehiri, John; University of Arizona, Mel & Enid Zuckerman College of Public Health, Division of Health Promotion Sciences
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b>:	HIV/AIDS
Secondary Subject Heading:	Global health, HIV/AIDS, Public health, Infectious diseases
Keywords:	International health services < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, HIV & AIDS < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, Infection control < INFECTIOUS DISEASES

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3 **Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices Regarding Infant Feeding Among HIV-Infected**  
4 **Pregnant Women in Gaborone, Botswana: A Cross-Sectional Survey**  
5  
6  
7

8 Justina Ndubuka<sup>1</sup>, DVM, MPH  
9

10  
11 Nnamdi Ndubuka<sup>2</sup>, MD, Dip HIV Mgt (SA), MPH  
12  
13

14  
15 Ying Li<sup>3</sup>, PhD, MSc  
16  
17

18 Caitlin M. Marshall<sup>4</sup>, MPH  
19

20 John Ehiri<sup>4</sup>, PhD, MPH, MSc (Econ.)  
21  
22

23  
24 **Author for Correspondence:**

25 John E. Ehiri, PhD  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** To assess knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding infant feeding among HIV-positive pregnant women in Gaborone, Botswana and factors that influences their infant feeding choices.

**Design:** A cross-sectional study.

**Methods and study setting:** The study assessed knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding infant feeding among 96 HIV-positive pregnant women by means of a questionnaire survey of women attending four public infectious disease control clinics in Gaborone, Botswana.

**Results:** Only about half of the women had knowledge about prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT) services related to breastfeeding, and very few (19.8%) chose to breastfeed their infants exclusively. Results of multiple logistic regression analysis showed that receiving infant feeding counselling as part of the PMTCT program was significantly associated with decision to exclusively breastfeed (OR[95%CI]: 5.38 [1.83, 15.81]). Similarly, HIV positive pregnant women who received breastfeeding counselling through the PMTCT program had higher knowledge of PMTCT practices related to appropriate infant feeding (OR[95%CI]: 5.91[1.06, 34.31]). Women who did not expressed perceptions of self (internalized) AIDS-related stigma had significantly higher knowledge of PMTCT practices related to infant feeding (OR [95%CI]: 5.91[1.69, 15.56]). Knowledge of PMTCT practices related to breastfeeding was negatively associated with the belief that breastfeeding could transmit HIV to the baby (OR[95%CI]: 9.73 [3.37, 28.08]).

1  
2  
3 **Conclusion:** Knowledge, attitudes and practices related to breastfeeding among HIV-  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

infected pregnant women needs further improvement, and PMTCT program should strengthen nutrition counseling services to assist HIV-positive mothers in making informed and appropriate decisions regarding infant feeding.

**Key words:** Infant feeding, exclusive breastfeeding, exclusive formula feeding, HIV/AIDS, PMTCT, Botswana.

## ARTICLE SUMMARY

### Article focus:

- To describe knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding infant feeding among HIV-positive pregnant women.
- To explore factors that influence knowledge, attitudes and practices related to breastfeeding among HIV-positive pregnant women.
- To provide evidence to improve breastfeeding practices for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT) program.

### Key messages

- Overall, HIV-positive pregnant women had inadequate knowledge about PMTCT services related to breastfeeding, and very few chose to breastfeed their infants exclusively.
- PMTCT programs should strengthen counseling services to assist HIV-positive mothers in making informed and appropriate decisions regarding infant feeding.

### Strengths and limitations of this study

- Results of this study provide an assessment of the effectiveness of implementation of the Botswana PMTCT guidelines.
- The main limitation is that only HIV-infected pregnant women who attended the four participating infectious disease control clinics (IDCCs) were included in the study. Therefore, findings from this study cannot be generalized to all HIV-infected pregnant women in the Botswana National PMTCT program.

## INTRODUCTION

Epidemiological data from the Joint United Nations Program on AIDS estimates the prevalence of HIV among adults aged 15-49 years in Botswana to be 23.40%, with more than 160,000 women aged 15-49 years currently living with HIV/AIDS.<sup>1</sup> According to the Republic of Botswana's Global AIDS Response Report prepared in collaboration with the Botswana National AIDS Coordinating Agency (NACA), the national prevalence of HIV among pregnant women aged 15-49 years is 30.4, with an estimated 13,072 HIV infected women giving birth annually.<sup>2-3</sup> In the absence of interventions to prevent transmission during pregnancy, delivery, or breastfeeding for HIV-infected pregnant women, it is estimated that 35% of births will result in mother-to-child transmission of HIV.<sup>3-4</sup> According to the World Health Organization (WHO), if effective interventions are implemented to prevent mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT), the rate can be reduced to less than 5%.<sup>4</sup>

Due to the transmissibility of HIV from mother to child, feeding of HIV-exposed infants remains a significant challenge in controlling the spread of HIV/AIDS. The dilemma concerning feeding infants of HIV-positive mothers is how to balance the risk of HIV transmission through breastfeeding with the risk of death from causes other than HIV such as pneumonia, diarrheal diseases and malnutrition among formula-fed infants.<sup>5</sup>

Exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) plays a critical role in the overall health status of infants, and an estimated 13% of under-5 mortality could be prevented through optimal breastfeeding during the crucial first year of life.<sup>6</sup> Optimal breastfeeding is considered to

1  
2  
3 be EBF for the first 6 months of life followed by continued breastfeeding combined with  
4 safe, nutritionally adequate complementary feeding up to 24 months of age.<sup>7-9</sup> EBF is  
5  
6 regarded as a global health goal as a result of its strong association with reduced  
7  
8 morbidity and mortality particularly in low-income countries where safe water and  
9  
10 sanitation are often lacking.<sup>10</sup>  
11  
12

13  
14  
15  
16  
17 In Botswana, all pregnant women, regardless of their HIV status, are provided with  
18 education and counseling according to the infant and young child feeding guidelines  
19 (based on the WHO 2010 recommendations) during antenatal care (ANC) to ensure that  
20 they make informed and appropriate infant feeding choices.<sup>3,9</sup> For many years, the  
21 Government of Botswana (GoB) had recommended that HIV-infected women  
22 exclusively formula feed their infants and provided infant formula free-of-charge until  
23 the infant is one year of age to support this recommendation.<sup>3</sup> However, in 2011, the  
24 Botswana Ministry of Health (MoH) recommended exclusive formula feeding (EFF) for  
25 the first 6 months of life only for women whom formula feeding is acceptable, feasible,  
26 affordable, sustainable and safe (AFASS).<sup>3,11,12</sup> For HIV-positive mothers for whom  
27 formula feeding is not AFASS, optimal breastfeeding should be recommended and  
28 strongly encouraged. In 2011, the GoB revised the Botswana National PMTCT  
29 guidelines and initiated the use of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) for all  
30 HIV-infected pregnant women regardless of their CD4 cell count. The program aimed at  
31 preventing prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT) provided HAART for  
32 pregnant women who would not have qualified based on their own CD4 cell count.<sup>3</sup> In  
33 addition, the guidelines were revised to allow HIV-infected women to make an informed  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 decision on whether to breastfeed or formula feed their HIV-exposed infant based on the  
4 education and counseling received during ANC visits.<sup>3</sup> Effective implementation of  
5 these guidelines was expected to improve breastfeeding practices and ultimately enhance  
6 the long-term survival of HIV-exposed infants in the absence of HIV.  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11

12  
13  
14  
15 There is considerable literature on feeding practices in the context of PMTCT, yet there  
16 remains a gap in knowledge regarding HIV-infected pregnant or lactating women's  
17 knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) regarding infant feeding guidelines and the  
18 influence the guidelines have on infant feeding practices. In addition to this gap in  
19 knowledge, other researchers have argued that poor-quality counseling in PMTCT  
20 programs and the effects of mass media have created widespread confusion for HIV-  
21 infected mothers regarding feeding their infant despite the presence of national  
22 guidelines.<sup>13</sup> The women who may be confused by these messages often fail to receive  
23 advice to practice EBF which may result in mixed feeding and an increased risk of HIV  
24 transmission.<sup>14</sup> Thus, our study focused on eliciting information on existing KAP of  
25 infant feeding among HIV-infected women in Gaborone, Botswana in an effort to  
26 improve infant feeding practices in the context of antiretroviral therapy (ART).  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 A cross-sectional quantitative design was used to conduct this study in order to  
47 investigate how infant feeding practices among HIV-infected pregnant women in  
48 Gaborone, Botswana are influenced by the mother's knowledge, attitudes and practices.  
49 The main objectives of the study were to identify factors influencing infant feeding  
50 choices among HIV-infected pregnant women, to provide data for evidence-based  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 decision making to improve the Botswana PMTCT program, and allow the Botswana  
4  
5 MoH to assess the implementation of the revised guidelines in order to strengthen future  
6  
7 efforts. It is hoped that findings from this study will be of value not only to the Botswana  
8  
9 AIDS Control program, but also to other PMTCT programs in sub-Saharan Africa and  
10  
11 other low and middle countries where pediatric HIV/AIDS is a public health challenge.  
12  
13  
14

## 17 **METHODS**

18  
19 A cross-sectional quantitative design was used for the study.  
20  
21  
22

### 23 **Setting**

24  
25  
26  
27  
28 This study was conducted in four public infectious disease control clinics (IDCCs)  
29  
30 located in Gaborone, Botswana, managed by the Gaborone City Council. These clinics  
31  
32 were selected because the study population of interest (eligible HIV-infected pregnant  
33  
34 women) access universal HAART prophylaxis at the facilities, and thus, provide a  
35  
36 reliable sampling frame from which participants could be recruited.  
37  
38  
39

### 40 **Study population**

41  
42  
43 All pregnant women that presented at any of the four IDCCs during the study period had  
44  
45 an equal and independent chance of being included in the sample.<sup>15</sup> The attendance-  
46  
47 booking registers in these clinics were used as the sampling frame. The study population  
48  
49 included all HIV-infected pregnant women attending IDCCs in Gaborone for universal  
50  
51 HAART program services during the study period. HIV-infected pregnant women who  
52  
53 were Botswana citizens, aged 21 years and above, and willing to provide informed  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 consent were eligible for inclusion in the study. Using the register of women who came  
4 for services at each IDCC, the first author [JN] and a local interpreter compiled a list of  
5 potentially eligible respondents. During informed consent, the rationale for the study was  
6 explained to potential respondents (in the local language, Setswana) and their voluntary  
7 participation was sought. All respondents were informed that if they chose not to  
8 participate, they would not lose any benefits from the Ministry of Health, and that they  
9 could withdraw from the study at any time. Women who volunteered to participate in the  
10 study were asked to sign the informed consent form. Those who could not read or write  
11 were asked to give their thumb print as a confirmation of their consent. Thus,  
12 participation in the study was entirely voluntary and no incentives were provided to  
13 respondents. Respondents were assured of confidentiality, and only study unique  
14 identification numbers were used on the questionnaires. Approval for this study was  
15 obtained from the Ethics Committee of the University of Liverpool, Liverpool, England  
16 and from the Botswana Ministry of Health through the Health Research and Development  
17 Committee (HRDC).  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

### 41 **Data collection**

42  
43  
44  
45  
46 Data were collected using a structured, interviewer-administered questionnaire. Eligible  
47 respondents were interviewed and data were transcribed from their medical records onto  
48 the questionnaire. The study questionnaire consisted of 33 items that were adapted from  
49 the WHO PMTCT assessment tool.<sup>16</sup> The questionnaire was translated into the local  
50 language (Setswana) and pre-tested on five IDCC attendees who were not involved in the  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 final survey. The questionnaire had the following subsections: socio-demographic  
4 information, clinical information, knowledge about PMTCT, and infant feeding  
5 practices/intentions. Data were collected over a period of four weeks (June 11 to July 9,  
6 2012) through interviews administered by an interpreter who was fluent in the local  
7 language (Setswana) and received training on ethical conduct of research and data  
8 collection.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

### 20 **Data analysis**

21  
22  
23  
24 All questionnaires were entered onto Excel spreadsheet and checked for accuracy and  
25 completeness. The data were then exported to Statistical Package for Social Sciences  
26 (SPSS) version 19 for analysis. With regard to knowledge of PMTCT and PMTCT  
27 practices during breastfeeding, we categorized participants' responses as representing  
28 "high" knowledge when a respondent gave correct responses to all of the questions;  
29 otherwise we categorized the respondent's as having "low" knowledge. Descriptive  
30 statistics were used to describe and summarize other variables such as socio-demographic  
31 characteristics of respondents, clinical information, knowledge about PMTCT, and the  
32 important person in decision-making on infant feeding choices.<sup>15</sup> Pearson Chi-Square or  
33 Fisher's Exact Test was used to compare results between groups. Multiple logistic  
34 regression analyses were also employed to control for possible confounding factors and  
35 to assess the separate effects of the study variables. Odds ratios (OR) with 95%  
36 confidence intervals (95%CI) were computed to assess factors associated with the choice  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

of breastfeeding, knowledge of PMTCT and PMTCT practices related to breastfeeding. A two-tailed probability level of  $p < 0.05$  was chosen as the level of statistical significance.

## RESULTS

### Characteristics of respondents

Of a total of 102 women from the IDCCs who were eligible for inclusion in the study, 96 volunteered to participate, yielding a response rate of 94.1%. The demographic characteristics of participants, including age, marital status, education, employment status and parity are shown in Table 1. The mean age of the respondents was 24.2 years (SD 0.96) with a range of 22 to 42 years. Respondents aged 21-25 years constituted the majority (43.8%;  $n=42$ ). With regard to marital status, 42.7% ( $n=41$ ) of respondents identified themselves as single, 4.2% ( $n=4$ ) as married, and 50% ( $n=48$ ) as co-habiting. Thirty percent ( $n=29$ ) of the respondents completed junior secondary school education; 28.1% ( $n=27$ ) completed senior secondary school education while only 7.3% ( $n=7$ ) had university-level education. Regarding employment status of the respondents, 39.6% ( $n=38$ ) were unemployed during the study period; 38.5% ( $n=37$ ) were employed by the private sector while 8.3% ( $n=8$ ) were government employees. About half of the study participants (53.1%;  $n=51$ ) had 1-2 children; 34.4% ( $n=33$ ) were pregnant for the first time, and 10.4% ( $n=10$ ) had 2-4 children.

1  
2  
3 All respondents were taking some form of HAART regimen at the time of interview.  
4  
5 Table 1 shows that a majority of the respondents (85.4%; n=82) indicated that they  
6  
7 received Atripla as their HAART regimen while 6.3% (n=6) received a combination of  
8  
9 Combivir and Nevirapine (CBV+NVP). As shown in Table 1, nearly half of the  
10  
11 respondents (55.2%; n=53) identified their husbands/partners as the most influential  
12  
13 individual with regard to their choice of infant feeding method; whereas 33.3% (n=32) of  
14  
15 respondents indicated their mothers had the greatest outside influence on their infant  
16  
17 feeding choices. A total of 66 respondents (70.2%) indicated that they were counseled on  
18  
19 infant feeding options recommended for HIV-infected women; 29.8% (n=28) of  
20  
21 respondents did not receive such counseling.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 As for KAP related to breastfeeding among the respondents, 56.3% of respondents  
30  
31 believe that an infant of an HIV-infected mother could become infected with HIV when  
32  
33 breastfed and 88.4% feared stigmatization related to HIV. Only about half of the HIV-  
34  
35 infected women had high knowledge about PMTCT and PMTCT-related practices during  
36  
37 breastfeeding. Less than one in five (19.8%) HIV-infected women made the decision to  
38  
39 exclusively breastfeed their babies (Table 1).  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

#### 46 **Knowledge and practices related to breastfeeding among HIV-infected pregnant** 47 **women** 48 49

50  
51  
52  
53 Data on knowledge and choice of breastfeeding method are presented in Table 2. Pearson  
54  
55 Chi-Square (or Fisher's Exact Test) was used to determine associations between  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 categorical data. Results indicated that choice of breastfeeding differed markedly among  
4  
5 the respondents. Participants who received Atripla (FTC+TDF+EFV) and PMTCT  
6  
7 counseling in the antenatal period were more likely to choose exclusive breastfeeding  
8  
9 (P<0.01). Knowledge of PMTCT practices related to breastfeeding differed markedly by  
10  
11 respondents' marital status, education level, parity, and whether or not they received  
12  
13 infant feeding counseling during ANC. Similarly, beliefs about transmission of HIV to  
14  
15 the baby through breastfeeding differed markedly by participants' marital status, parity,  
16  
17 reason for HAART, stigma to HIV, whether or not they received infant feeding  
18  
19 counseling during ANC, level of general knowledge about PMTCT and PMTCT  
20  
21 practices related to breastfeeding (P<0.01). Single women under the age of 25 years who  
22  
23 believed that breastfeeding could transmit HIV to the baby, and who received infant  
24  
25 feeding counseling during ANC had higher general knowledge of PMTCT and PMTC  
26  
27 practices related to breastfeeding, and were more likely to choose EBF.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 Multiple logistic regression analysis was used to assess factors associated with  
37  
38 knowledge and choice of infant feeding method. Results indicated that receiving infant  
39  
40 feeding counseling as part of the PTMCT program was significantly associated with the  
41  
42 decision to exclusively breastfeed (OR[95%CI]: 5.38 [1.83, 15.81]). Receiving infant  
43  
44 infant feeding counseling as part of the PMTCT program was also, significantly  
45  
46 associated with high knowledge of PMTCT practices related to breastfeeding  
47  
48 (OR[95%CI]: 5.91[1.06, 34.31]). Women who did not expressed perceptions of self  
49  
50 (internalized) AIDS-related stigma had significantly higher knowledge of PMTCT  
51  
52 practices related to infant feeding (OR [95%CI]: 5.91[1.69, 15.56]). Knowledge of  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 PMTCT practices related to breastfeeding was negatively associated with the belief that  
4 breastfeeding could transmit HIV to baby (OR[95%CI]: 9.73 [3.37, 28.08]).  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

## 10 DISCUSSION

11  
12  
13  
14  
15 The basic ethical principle of 'informed choice' requires that HIV-positive women are  
16 provided with adequate information about their infant feeding options in the context of  
17 prevention of mother to child transmission of HIV.<sup>17</sup> This study observed that only about  
18 half of the HIV-infected women had knowledge of PMTCT and PMTCT practices related  
19 to breastfeeding. This finding is similar to that demonstrated by Hailu<sup>18</sup> who found that  
20 only 30.5% of women in Jimma, Ethiopia had sufficient knowledge of infant feeding  
21 options recommended for HIV-positive women.<sup>18</sup> Results revealed that counselling on  
22 infant feeding provided as part of the PMTCT program was significantly associated with  
23 knowledge of PMTCT practices related to breastfeeding [OR(95%CI): 5.91(1.06, 34.31)].  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

39 The Botswana Government had promoted exclusive formula feeding by HIV-infected  
40 women for many years but presently allows these women to make informed infant  
41 feeding choices.<sup>3</sup> However, informed decision-making can only take place when the  
42 women are provided with individualized, unbiased and accurate information about infant  
43 feeding options, and when this information is presented in a way that is compatible with  
44 women's beliefs and at an appropriate health literacy level.<sup>17,19</sup> The Botswana Family  
45 Health Survey showed that only 20% of mothers breastfed exclusively for the first six  
46 months.<sup>20</sup> Similarly, our study found that less than 1 in 5 (19.8%) HIV-infected mothers  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 chose to exclusively breastfeed. This finding is in agreement with those of Tomasoni et  
4  
5 al<sup>21</sup> and Hailu<sup>18</sup> who found similar low rates of EBF among HIV-positive mothers (46%  
6  
7 and 13.4% respectively).  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 Previous studies have explored factors associated with choice of breastfeeding among  
13  
14 HIV-infected women. A study by Hailu<sup>18</sup> found that the infant feeding choices made by  
15  
16 lactating mothers in Ethiopia were significantly associated with their ages, while a South  
17  
18 African study reported that sociocultural factors (including social stigma of HIV/AIDS,  
19  
20 maternal age and family influences on feeding practices, economic circumstances, beliefs  
21  
22 about HIV transmission through breast milk and beliefs about the quality of breast milk  
23  
24 compared to formula) influenced the decision to exclusively breastfeed. As demonstrated  
25  
26 in our study, counseling on infant feeding during antenatal visits was a predictor of infant  
27  
28 feeding choices (OR[95%CI]: 5.38 (1.83, 15.81)).  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 It is noteworthy that women who did not expressed perceptions of self (internalized)  
37  
38 AIDS stigma had significantly higher knowledge of PMTCT practices related to infant  
39  
40 feeding (OR[95%CI]: 5.91(1.69, 15.56))This may be an indication of the barrier that  
41  
42 AIDS-related stigma poses against uptake of PMTCT services among HIV infected  
43  
44 pregnant women in the study setting. It is known that for social stigma to present a barrier  
45  
46 against uptake of services, effected individuals must accept the devaluation and  
47  
48 discrediting that accompany the stigma. They must perceive themselves as guilty of  
49  
50 moral transgression and accept the blame put on them.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 Even in situations where a family's response to a HIV infected individual is positive, the  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Even in situations where a family's response to a HIV infected individual is positive, the fear of stigma and discrimination from the larger society can create a barrier against uptake of available services. It is important for PMTCT programs to address stigma in order to promote service uptake. Since many of the key influences on AIDS-related stigma and discrimination are broad-based and deeply rooted with the structures of communities, the most effective interventions would be those with sound theoretical foundations, and that include attention to individual as well as social and structural barriers. In a review of AIDS-related stigma in sub-Saharan Africa, Ehiri et al. presented the case for a multi-level approach that involves action directed at health workers, religious leaders, members of the judicial system, the media, people living with HIV/AIDs, and their family members.<sup>22</sup>

HIV-infected pregnant women are encouraged to exclusively breastfeed their infants for at least 6 months with proper HAART in both high and low-income countries owing to the proven benefits for both the mother and the infant.<sup>23-24</sup> For example, results of a clinical trial in Kenya indicated that giving breastfeeding women a triple-ARV regimen from late pregnancy to 6 months after birth is a safe, feasible way to reduce MTCT in resource-limited settings.<sup>25</sup> Similarly, one large study of 560 HIV-infected pregnant women in Botswana reported only 2 cases of post-natal transmission of HIV among infants of women who were adherent to ART.<sup>26</sup> A cohort study in India found higher rates of HIV-free survival in breastfed infants, reporting a cumulative 12-month mortality of formula-fed infants of 9.6% versus 0.68% among breastfed infants. The low rate of HIV transmission found in this study, in addition to the higher HIV-free survival rates in

1  
2  
3 breastfed infants, support PMTCT universal ART for all HIV-infected pregnant women  
4  
5 combined with breastfeeding in an attempt to balance the risk of HIV transmission with  
6  
7 risk of infant mortality due to other causes.<sup>27</sup>  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 After reviewing results of their study in South Africa, Patel et al concluded that the  
13  
14 recommendation of EBF for HIV-infected women should be further strengthened in  
15  
16 resource-poor settings for long-term child health.<sup>28</sup> Their study demonstrated that EBF,  
17  
18 combined with effective maternal/infant ART significantly reduced transmission of HIV  
19  
20 to infants through breastfeeding.<sup>29</sup>  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 Evidence remains that there is significant benefit of breastfeeding regardless of the  
28  
29 setting as it has been shown to result in positive health outcomes for infants, even in  
30  
31 countries with reliable water and sanitation systems, where gastrointestinal problems and  
32  
33 other infectious diseases are not a concern. In high-income countries, breastfeeding has  
34  
35 been associated with reduced blood pressure and cholesterol levels as well as reduced  
36  
37 risk of obesity and diabetes in adulthood.<sup>30</sup> From a life course approach, breastfeeding  
38  
39 promotion can provide health benefits at the population level.<sup>30</sup> A longitudinal study  
40  
41 which investigated the health effects of breastfeeding in high-income countries, with  
42  
43 particular reference to diarrhea and ear infections, showed that breastfeeding has a  
44  
45 protective effect for the outcomes of interest.<sup>31</sup> In addition, this study showed a dose-  
46  
47 response relationship where the more breast milk the infant received in the first 6 months  
48  
49 of life, the less likely the infant is to develop diarrhea and ear infections.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Infant feeding counseling is vital for all mothers irrespective of their socioeconomic and  
4  
5 HIV status. The WHO recommends that HIV-positive mothers be counseled on infant  
6  
7 feeding options and be supported in whichever method they choose.<sup>9</sup> The Government of  
8  
9 Botswana recommends that all pregnant women are provided with infant feeding  
10  
11 information and counseling during antenatal care (ANC) regardless of their HIV status in  
12  
13 order to ensure that they are supported in making informed infant feeding choices.<sup>3</sup>  
14  
15 Unfortunately, results of this study show that nearly 30% of HIV-positive pregnant  
16  
17 women indicated that they did not receive this service. Given the demonstrated positive  
18  
19 relationship between counseling during ANC and knowledge of PMTCT practices related  
20  
21 to breastfeeding as well as the women's decision to breastfeed, infant feeding counseling  
22  
23 in the context of HIV needs to be strengthened in order to improve informed  
24  
25 breastfeeding choices by HIV-positive mothers in Botswana.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 A majority of the women in this study (80.2%) opted to formula feed their babies. This  
35  
36 could be explained by the fact that many health care workers commonly prescribe or  
37  
38 encourage formula feeding despite the availability of evidence supporting EBF where  
39  
40 formula feeding is not acceptable, feasible, affordable, sustainable and safe (AFASS).  
41  
42 Doherty et al<sup>32</sup> conducted a series of qualitative interviews of a prospective cohort of 650  
43  
44 HIV-positive mothers in South Africa and considered the influence of healthcare workers  
45  
46 on infant feeding choices. The study concluded that health workers possess significant  
47  
48 influence over HIV-infected women's initial infant feeding choices. Doherty et al.<sup>32</sup>  
49  
50 stated that some women expressed feeling pressured or 'forced' by their provider to  
51  
52 choose a particular feeding method as a result of their HIV status. Available evidence  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 shows that women who received formula company-produced infant feeding materials  
4  
5 from their health providers at their first prenatal visit were more likely than those who did  
6  
7 not receive these materials to stop breastfeeding before hospital discharge and before 2  
8  
9 weeks postpartum. Those who were uncertain about their decision to breastfeed, or with a  
10  
11 plan to breastfeed 12 weeks or less, and who received the commercial materials from  
12  
13 their health providers also had notably lower rates of exclusive breastfeeding and overall  
14  
15 duration<sup>33</sup>.  
16  
17  
18  
19

20  
21  
22 Thus, understanding ethical considerations and providing unbiased information about  
23  
24 infant feeding options at the provider level has the potential to improve outcomes of  
25  
26 PMTCT services in Botswana and similar low and middle income countries where  
27  
28 mother-to-child transmission of HIV is a public health challenge.  
29  
30  
31  
32

### 33 34 **Strengths and limitations**

35  
36  
37 Results of this study provide a snapshot assessment of the effectiveness of  
38  
39 implementation of the Botswana PMTCT guidelines of 2011 that provide HIV-infected  
40  
41 pregnant women the opportunity to make informed infant feeding choices. The cross-  
42  
43 sectional design used in this study limited the ability to demonstrate causality. The  
44  
45 reported knowledge, attitudes, infant feeding choices or the personal characteristics of  
46  
47 respondents who agreed to participate in the study, could have been different from those  
48  
49 of participants who declined to participate. Only HIV-infected pregnant women who  
50  
51 attended the four participating IDCCs during the period of the study participated in the  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 study. Those that attended non-participating IDCCs were not interviewed. These patients  
4  
5 might have had different KAP regarding infant feeding choices from those who were  
6  
7 interviewed. Therefore, findings from this study cannot be generalized to all HIV-  
8  
9 infected pregnant women in the Botswana National PMTCT program. This study had a  
10  
11 low sample size, and was likely insufficiently powered to detect associations.  
12  
13  
14

### 17 **Implications**

20  
21  
22 The findings of this study have implications for further research, public health policy and  
23  
24 practice. For further research, firstly, more in-depth qualitative research is needed to  
25  
26 better explore KAP regarding infant feeding choices among HIV-infected pregnant  
27  
28 women in Gaborone, Botswana. Secondly, this study should be replicated at other IDCC  
29  
30 sites as well as ANC clinics to warrant generalization of results to HIV-infected pregnant  
31  
32 women elsewhere in Botswana. With regard to policy and practice, to effectively improve  
33  
34 EBF rates amongst HIV-infected women, policy makers must make concerted efforts to  
35  
36 advocate, promote, and sustain the universal HAART program for pregnant women, and  
37  
38 strengthen ANC services. The Botswana Ministry of Health should provide adequate  
39  
40 training of healthcare workers on infant feeding counseling for HIV infected women to  
41  
42 ensure that they are in a good position to provide unbiased and balanced infant feeding  
43  
44 counseling to their clients.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### Author affiliations

<sup>1</sup>School of Population, Community & Behavioural Sciences, University of Liverpool  
Liverpool L69 3BX, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup>Botswana-Harvard School of Public Health, AIDS Institute Partnership, Gaborone,  
Botswana

<sup>3</sup>Department of Social Medicine & Health Service Management, Third Military Medical  
University, Chongqing, China

<sup>4</sup>Division of Health Promotion Sciences/Global health Institute, Mel & Enid Zuckerman  
College of Public Health, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, USA

**Contributors** JN participated in the design of the study, (interviews of respondents) and drafting of the manuscript. NN conducted literature review, and edited drafts of the manuscript. YL conducted data analysis and participated in the drafting of the manuscript. CM conducted literature review and participated in the drafting of the manuscript. JE guided and supervised the conceptualization and the design of the study, provided oversight of quality control of the research implementation, and edited drafts of the manuscript.

**Competing interests:** None.

**Ethics approval:** Approval for this study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the

1  
2  
3 University of Liverpool, Liverpool, England and from the Botswana Ministry of Health  
4  
5 through the Health Research and Development Committee (HRDC).  
6  
7

8 **Data sharing statement** No additional data are available.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For peer review only

## References

1. Joint United Nations Programme on AIDS. *Botswana: HIV and AIDS estimates, 2011*. [Online] Available from:  
<http://www.unaids.org/en/regionscountries/countries/botswana/> (Accessed 19 April 2013).
2. Republic of Botswana. *Progress report of the national response to the 2011 declaration of commitments on HIV and AIDS* National AIDS Coordinating Agency. [Online] Available from:  
[http://www.unaids.org/en/dataanalysis/knowyourresponse/countryprogressreports/2012countries/ce\\_BW\\_Narrative\\_Report%5B1%5D.pdf](http://www.unaids.org/en/dataanalysis/knowyourresponse/countryprogressreports/2012countries/ce_BW_Narrative_Report%5B1%5D.pdf) (Accessed 5 May 2013).
3. Ministry of Health (Botswana) .*Botswana National Guidelines Prevention of Mother-to-Child Transmission of HIV*. Gaborone (unpublished).
4. World Health Organization (2009a) *Rapid advice: Use of antiretroviral drugs for treating pregnant women and preventing HIV infection in infants*. Geneva: Switzerland. [Online] Available from:  
[http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/mtct/rapid\\_advice\\_mtct.pdf](http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/mtct/rapid_advice_mtct.pdf) (Accessed 12 January 2012).
5. World Health Organization (2009 b) *Rapid advice: revised WHO principles and recommendations on infant feeding in the context of HIV*. Geneva: Switzerland.



- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
6. Jones G, Steketee RW, Black RE, et al. How many child deaths can we prevent this year? *Lancet* 2003; 362:65-71.
7. Greiner T. Programs to protect, support and promote breastfeeding. *Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development*. Second Edition. Montreal, Quebec: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development and Strategic Knowledge Cluster on Early Child Development; 2008:1-7. [Online] Available from: <http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/documents/GreinerANGxp.pdf> (Accessed 19 April 2013).
8. World Health Organization & United Nations Children's Fund. *Global strategy for infant and young child feeding*. Geneva: Switzerland. [Online] Available from: [http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/gi\\_infant\\_feeding\\_text\\_eng.pdf](http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/gi_infant_feeding_text_eng.pdf) (Accessed 6 May 2013).
9. World Health Organization (2010a) *Antiretroviral drugs for treating pregnant women and preventing HIV infection in infants: recommendations for a public health approach*. [Online] Available from: [http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2010/9789241599818\\_eng.pdf](http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2010/9789241599818_eng.pdf) (Accessed 12 January 2012).
10. Sguassero Y. Optimal duration of exclusive breastfeeding: RHL commentary. *The WHO Reproductive Health Library*; Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization. 2008.
11. World Health Organization *HIV and Infant Feeding Technical Consultation-Consensus Statement* October 25-27, 2006. Geneva, Switzerland. [Online] Available from: <http://www.who.int/child-adolescenthealth/publications/NUTRITION/consensusstatement.htm> (Accessed 19 November 2012)

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 12. World Health Organization *IMCI Complementary course on HIV/AIDS; Module*  
7  
8 *3; Counseling the HIV Positive Mother*. Geneva: Switzerland. 2007. [Online]  
9  
10 Available from:  
11  
12 [http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2006/9789241594370.m3\\_eng.pdf](http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2006/9789241594370.m3_eng.pdf)  
13  
14 (Accessed 07 July 2012).  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20 13. Blance T, Durrheim M (eds) *Research in practice: applied methods for the social*  
21  
22 *science*. University Press: Cape Town. 1999.  
23  
24  
25  
26 14. Chopra M, Doherty T, Jackson D, Ashworth A. Preventing HIV transmission to  
27  
28 children: quality of counseling of mothers in South Africa. *Acta Paediatrica*  
29  
30 2005; 94(3):263-265.  
31  
32  
33  
34 15. Bruce N, Pope D, Stanistreet D. *Quantitative Research Methods for Health*  
35  
36 *Research: a practical interactive guide to epidemiology and statistics*. J Wiley &  
37  
38 Sons Ltd, Chichester, UK. 2008.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43 16. World Health Organization *Breastfeeding and Replacement Feeding practices in*  
44  
45 *the context of mother-to-child transmission of HIV: An assessment Tool for*  
46  
47 *Research*. Geneva: Switzerland. 2001. [Online] Available from:  
48  
49 [http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2001/WHO\\_CAH\\_01.21.pdf](http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2001/WHO_CAH_01.21.pdf) (Accessed 29 January  
50  
51 2012).  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
17. Thairu LN, Pelto GH, Rollins NC, Bland RM, Ntshangase N. Sociocultural influences on infant feeding decisions among HIV-infected women in rural Kwa-Zulu Natal, South Africa. *Matern Child Nutr* 2005; 1(1):2-10.
18. Hailu C. *Assessment of KAP among mothers about VCT and feeding of infants born to HIV positive women in Jimma town, Ethiopia*. Unpublished MPH (Community Health) thesis. Addis Ababa: University of Addis Ababa. 2005.
19. Kanj M, Mitic W. *Promoting health and development: closing the implementation gap*. Unpublished Conference Document, 7<sup>th</sup> Global Conference on Health Promotion. Nairobi, Kenya: October 2009. Available from: [http://www.who.int/healthpromotion/conferences/7gchp/Track1\\_Inner.pdf](http://www.who.int/healthpromotion/conferences/7gchp/Track1_Inner.pdf) (Accessed 6 May 2013).
20. Sennamose O . *Breastfeeding declines* DailyNews, 3 August 2012, p.7
21. TomasoniLR, Galli M, Declich S, et al. Knowledge, attitudes and practice (KAP) regarding newborn feeding modalities in HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected pregnant women in sub-Saharan Africa: A multicentre study. *International Health* 2011; 3(1):56-65.
22. World Health Organization (2010b) *Guidelines on HIV and infant feeding: principles and recommendations for infant feeding in the context of HIV and a summary of evidence*. Geneva: Switzerland. [Online] Available from: [http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44345/1/9789241599535\\_eng.pdf](http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44345/1/9789241599535_eng.pdf) (Accessed 19 April 2013).

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 23. Coovadia H, Kindra G. Breastfeeding to prevent HIV transmission in infants:  
7  
8 balancing pros and cons. *Current Opinion in Infectious Disease* 2008; 21(1):11-  
9  
10 15  
11  
12  
13  
14 24. Thomas TK, Masaba R, Borkowf CB, et al. Triple-antiretroviral prophylaxis to  
15  
16 prevent mother-to-child HIV transmission through breastfeeding- The Kisumu  
17  
18 Breastfeeding study, Kenya: A clinical trial. *PLoS Med* 2011; 8(3): e1001015.  
19  
20  
21  
22 25. Shapiro RL, Rossi S, Ogwu A, et al. Therapeutic levels of lopinavir in late  
23  
24 pregnancy and abacavir passage into breast milk, in the Mma Bana Study,  
25  
26 Botswana. *Antivir Ther.* 2012 Nov 26. doi: 10.3851/IMP2474. [Epub ahead of  
27  
28 *print*]  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33 26. Alvarez-Uria G, Midde M, Pakam R, Bachu L, Naik PK. Effect of formula  
34  
35 feeding and breastfeeding on child growth, infant mortality, and HIV transmission  
36  
37 in children born HIV-infected pregnant women who received triple antiretroviral  
38  
39 therapy in a resource-limited setting: data from an HIV cohort study in India.  
40  
41 *ISRN Pediatr.* 2012:763591.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48 27. Patel D, Bland R, Coovadia H, et al. *Breastfeeding, HIV status and weights in*  
49  
50 *South African children: a comparison of HIV-exposed and unexposed children.*  
51  
52 *AIDS.* 2010; 28;24(3):437-45.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
28. Kuhn L, Sinkala M, Kankasa C, et al. High uptake of exclusive breastfeeding and reduced early post-natal HIV transmission. *PLoS One* 2007;26;2(12):e1363.
29. Robinson S, Fall C. Infant nutrition and later health: A review of current evidence. *Nutrients* 2012; 4(8), 859-874
30. Scariati PD, Grummer-Strawn LM, Fein SB. A longitudinal analysis of infant morbidity and the extent of breastfeeding in the United States. *Pediatrics* 1997; 99(6):E5
31. Doherty T, Chopra M, Nkonki L, Jackson D, Greiner T. Effect of the HIV epidemic on infant feeding in South Africa: ‘When they see me coming with the tins they laugh at me’. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 2006; 84:90–96. <http://www.who.int/bulletin/volumes/84/2/90.pdf> (Accessed 27 January 2012).
32. Howard CR, Howard FM, Lawrence R, et al. Office prenatal formula advertising and its effect on breast-feeding patterns. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2000; 95(2):296–303.
33. Ehiri JE, Anyanwu EC, Donath E, Kanu I, Jolly PE. AIDS-related stigma in sub-Saharan Africa: its contexts and potential intervention strategies. *AIDS Public Policy J.* 2005; 20(1-2):25-39.

**Table 1: Characteristics of Participants**

Characters	No.	%	
Age group (years)	21-25	42	43.8
	26-30	30	31.3
	31-35	18	18.8
	36-40	5	5.2
	41-45	1	1
Marital status	Single	41	42.7
	Married	4	4.2
	Cohabiting	48	50.0
	Separated	3	3.1
Educational level	Primary school	15	15.6
	Junior secondary	29	30.2
	Junior secondary with additional training	8	8.3
	Senior secondary	27	28.1
	College or vocational training	10	10.4
Employment status	University	7	7.3
	Unemployed	38	39.6
	Government employee	8	8.3
	Private Employee	37	38.5
	Self-employed	7	7.3
	Volunteer	2	2.1
Parity	Student	4	4.2
	1-2	51	53.1
	3-4	10	10.4
	5 or more	2	2.1
HAART Regimen received	None	33	34.4
	Atripla (FTC+TDF+EFV)	81	84.4
	CBV+NVP	6	6.3
	CBV+Kaletra	5	5.2
	TDF+FTC+Kaletra		

	Others	4	4.2
	PMTCT (Universal HAART)	66	68.8
Reasons for receiving HAART	Maternal Treatment	30	31.3
	My father	3	3.1
	My husband/partner	53	55.2
Most important person in making decision on infant feeding	My mother	32	33.3
	My Sister	5	5.2
	My Aunt	3	3.1
	Yes	66	70.2
Feeding counseling during ANC(N=94)	No	28	29.8
	Yes	19	19.8
Chose to breastfeed	No	77	80.2
	Yes	54	56.3
Beliefs to breastfeeding transmit HIV to baby	No	42	43.8
	Yes	84	88.4
Stigma to HIV infection	No	11	11.6
	High	50	52.1
Knowledge about PMTCT	Low	46	47.9
	High	49	51.0
Knowledge about PMTCT during breastfeeding	Low	47	49.0

**Table 2: Respondents' knowledge of PMTCT and choice of breastfeeding**

Variable	Choice of exclusive breastfeeding		Knowledge about PMTCT		Knowledge about PMTCT practice related to breastfeeding		Breastfeeding transmits HIV to baby	
	Yes No. (%)	No No. (%)	High No. (%)	Low No. (%)	High No. (%)	Low No. (%)	Yes No. (%)	No No. (%)
<b>Age group (years)</b>								
21-25	14(33.3)	28(66.7)	22(52.4)	20(47.6)	25(59.5)	17(40.5)	29(69.0)	13(31.0)
26-30	3(10.0)	27(90.0)	15(50.0)	15(50.0)	16(53.3)	14(46.7)	16(53.3)	14(46.7)
31-35	2(11.1)	16(88.9)	10(55.6)	8(44.4)	6(33.3)	12(66.7)	7(38.9)	11(61.1)
36-40	0(0.0)	5(100.0)	2(40.0)	3(60.0)	1(20.0)	4(80.0)	2(40.0)	3(60.0)
41-45	0(0.0)	1(100.0)	1(100.0)	0(0)	1(100.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(100.0)
<b>Marital status</b>								
Single	12(29.3)	29(70.7)	34(82.9)	7(17.1)*	32(78.0)	9(22.0)*	39(95.1)	2(4.9)*
Married	0(0.0)	4(100.0)	3(75.0)	1(25.0)	3(75.0)	1(25.0)	2(50.0)	2(50.0)
Cohabiting	6(12.5)	42(87.5)	12(25.0)	36(75.0)	13(27.1)	35(72.9)	13(27.1)	35(72.9)
Separated	1(33.3)	2(66.7)	1(33.3)	2(66.7)	1(33.3)	2(66.7)	0(0.0)	3(100.0)
<b>Educational level</b>								
Primary school	5(33.3)	10(66.7)	13(86.7)	2(13.3)*	9(60.0)	6(40.0)	13(86.7)	2(13.3)
Junior secondary	6(20.7)	23(79.3)	19(65.5)	10(34.5)	14(48.3)	15(51.7)	16(55.2)	13(44.8)
Junior secondary with additional training	3(37.5)	5(62.5)	3(37.5)	5(62.5)	5(62.5)	3(37.5)	6(75.0)	2(25.0)
Senior secondary	4(14.8)	23(85.2)	11(40.7)	16(59.3)	13(48.1)	14(51.9)	13(48.1)	14(51.9)
College or vocational training	1(10.0)	9(90.0)	0(0.0)	10(100.0)	4(40.0)	6(60.0)	3(30.0)	7(70.0)
University	0(0.0)	7(100.0)	4(57.1)	3(42.9)	4(57.1)	3(42.9)	3(42.9)	4(57.1)
<b>Employment status</b>								
Unemployed	10(26.3)	28(73.7)	23(60.5)	15(39.5)	23(60.5)	15(39.5)	24(63.2)	14(36.8)
Government employee	2(25.0)	6(75.0)	3(37.5)	5(62.5)	3(37.5)	5(62.5)	2(25.0)	6(75.0)
Private Employee	4(10.8)	33(89.2)	15(40.5)	22(59.5)	18(48.6)	19(51.4)	19(51.4)	18(48.6)
Self-employed	2(28.6)	5(71.4)	4(57.1)	3(42.9)	2(28.6)	5(71.4)	5(71.4)	2(28.6)
Volunteer	1(50.0)	1(50.0)	2(100.0)	0(0.0)	1(50.0)	1(50.0)	2(100.0)	0(0.0)
Student	0(0.0)	4(100.0)	3(75.0)	1(25.0)	2(50.0)	2(50.0)	2(50.0)	2(50.0)
<b>Parity</b>								
2-Jan	9(17.6)	42(82.4)	20(39.2)	31(60.8)*	20(39.2)	31(60.8)*	22(43.1)	29(56.9)*
4-Mar	1(10.0)	9(90.0)	9(90.0)	1(10.0)	7(70.0)	3(30.0)	8(80.0)	2(20.0)
5 or more	0(0.0)	2(100.0)	2(100.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	2(100.0)	1(50.0)	1(50.0)



None	9(27.3)	24(72.7)	19(57.6)	14(42.4)	22(66.7)	11(33.3)	23(69.7)	10(30.3)
<b>HAART Regimen received</b>								
Atripla (FTC+TDF+EFV)	17(21.0)	64(79.0)*	43(53.1)	38(46.9)*	42(51.9)	39(48.1)*	48(59.3)	33(40.7)
CBV+NVP	1(16.7)	5(83.3)	2(33.3)	4(66.7)	3(50.0)	3(50.0)	1(16.7)	5(83.3)
CBV+Kaletra	1(20.0)	4(80.0)	5(100.0)	0(0.0)	4(80.0)	1(20.0)	4(80.0)	1(20.0)
TDF+FTC+Kaletra								
Others	0(0.0)	4(100.0)	0(0.0)	4(100.0)	0(0.0)	4(100.0)	1(25.0)	3(75.0)
<b>Reasons for receiving HAART</b>								
PMTCT	15(22.7)	51(77.3)	36(54.5)	30(45.5)	36(54.5)	30(45.5)	42(63.6)	24(36.4)*
Maternal Treatment	4(13.3)	26(86.7)	14(46.7)	16(53.3)	13(43.3)	17(56.7)	12(40.0)	18(60.0)
<b>Most important person in making decision on infant feeding</b>								
My father	2(66.7)	1(33.3)	3(100.0)	0(0.0)	1(33.3)	2(66.7)	3(100.0)	0(0.0)
My husband/partner	10(18.9)	43(81.1)	27(50.9)	26(49.1)	25(47.2)	28(52.8)	30(56.6)	23(43.4)
My mother	7(21.9)	25(78.1)	15(46.9)	17(53.1)	19(59.4)	13(40.6)	16(50.0)	16(50.0)
My Sister	0(0.0)	5(100.0)	4(80.0)	1(20.0)	2(40.0)	3(60.0)	4(80.0)	1(20.0)
My Aunt	0(0.0)	3(100.0)	1(33.3)	2(66.7)	2(66.7)	1(33.3)	1(33.3)	2(66.7)
<b>Stigma to HIV infection</b>								
Yes	16(19.0)	68(81.0)	47(56.0)	37(44.0)	47(56.0)	37(44.0)*	51(60.7)	33(39.3)*
No	3(27.3)	8(72.7)	3(27.3)	8(72.7)	2(18.2)	9(81.8)	3(27.3)	8(72.7)
<b>Feeding counseling during ANC</b>								
Yes	18(27.3)	48(72.7)*	41(62.1)	25(37.9)*	42(63.6)	24(36.4)*	44(66.7)	22(33.3)*
No	1(3.6)	27(96.4)	8(28.6)	20(71.4)	7(25.0)	21(75.0)	10(35.7)	18(64.3)
<b>Beliefs to breastfeeding transmit HIV to baby</b>								
Yes	14(25.9)	40(74.1)	38(70.4)	16(29.6)*	39(72.2)	15(27.8)*	—	—
No	5(19)	37(77)	12(28.6)	30(71.4)	10(23.8)	32(76.2)	—	—
<b>Knowledge about PMTCT</b>								
High	13(26.0)	37(74.0)	—	—	—	—	38(76.0)	12(24.0)*
Low	6(13.0)	40(87.0)	—	—	—	—	16(34.8)	30(65.2)
<b>Knowledge about PMTCT during breastfeeding</b>								
High	9(18.4)	40(81.6)	—	—	—	—	39(79.6)	10(20.4)*
Low	10(21.3)	37(78.7)	—	—	—	—	15(31.9)	32(68.1)

\*Pearson Chi-Square or Fisher's Exact Test was used to compare results between groups.

\*P<0.05

**Table 3: Multi logistic analysis of factors associated with choice of infant feeding options, knowledge of PMTCT, and knowledge of PMTCT practices related to breastfeeding**

Variable	Choice of exclusive breastfeeding OR(95%CI)*	High knowledge of PMTCT OR(95%CI)**	High knowledge of PMTCT during breastfeeding OR(95%CI)**	Breastfeeding could transmit HIV to baby*
<b>Marital status</b>				
Single	—	1	—	—
Married	—	34.37 (0.72,46.77)	—	—
Cohabiting	—	8.17 (0.07, 13.00)	—	—
Separated	—	0.75(0.02, 31.77)	—	—
<b>Educational level</b>				
Primary school	—	1	—	—
Junior secondary	—	8.81 (0.63, 21.89)	—	—
Junior secondary with additional training	—	6.24 (0.65, 64.38)	—	—
Senior secondary	—	1.49(0.11,20.57)	—	—
College or vocational training	—	1.77 (0.0.19, 15.82)	—	—
University	—	—	—	—
<b>Stigma to HIV infection</b>				
Yes	—	—	1	—
No	—	—	5.91(1.69, 15.56)	—
<b>Feeding counseling during ANC</b>				
No	1	—	1	—
Yes	5.38 (1.83, 15.81)	—	5.91(1.06, 34.31)	—
<b>Knowledge about PMTCT during breastfeeding</b>				
Yes	—	—	—	1
No	—	—	—	9.73 (3.37, 28.08)

\*Adjusted for age and education.

\*\* Adjusted for age

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cross-sectional studies*

	Item No	Recommendation	Page # in Manuscript
<b>Title and abstract</b>	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2
<b>Introduction</b>			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	5-6
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any pre-specified hypotheses	6-7
<b>Methods</b>			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	8
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	8
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	8-9
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	9
Data sources/measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	9
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	10
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	8-9
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	10
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	10-11
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	10-11
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	N/A
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	N/A
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	N/A

<b>Results</b>			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	11
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	N/A
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	11
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	N/A
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	11-12
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	12-13
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	N/A
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	N/A
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	N/A
<b>Discussion</b>			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	14,15
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	19-20
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	14-19
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	20
<b>Other information</b>			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	N/A

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2 \*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.  
3

4 **Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and  
5 published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely  
6 available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at  
7 <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is  
8 available at [www.strobe-statement.org](http://www.strobe-statement.org).  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For peer review only



**Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices Regarding Infant Feeding Among HIV-Infected Pregnant Women in Gaborone, Botswana: A Cross-Sectional Survey**

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2013-003749.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	13-Oct-2013
Complete List of Authors:	Ndubuka, Justina; University of Liverpool, School of Population, Community & Behavioural Sciences Ndubuka, Nnamdi; AIDS Institute Partnership, Gaborone, Botswana, Botswana-Harvard School of Public Health Li, Ying; Third Military Medical University, Department of Social Medicine and Health Service Management, Marshall, Caitlin; University of Arizona, Mel & Enid Zuckerman College of Public Health Ehiri, John; University of Arizona, Mel & Enid Zuckerman College of Public Health, Division of Health Promotion Sciences
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b>:	HIV/AIDS
Secondary Subject Heading:	Global health, HIV/AIDS, Public health, Infectious diseases
Keywords:	International health services < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, HIV & AIDS < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, Infection control < INFECTIOUS DISEASES

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3 **Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices Regarding Infant Feeding Among HIV-Infected**  
4 **Pregnant Women in Gaborone, Botswana: A Cross-Sectional Survey**  
5  
6  
7

8 Justina Ndubuka<sup>1</sup>, DVM, MPH  
9

10  
11 Nnamdi Ndubuka<sup>2</sup>, MD, Dip HIV Mgt (SA), MPH  
12  
13

14  
15 Ying Li<sup>3,4</sup>, PhD, MSc  
16  
17

18  
19 Caitlin M. Marshall<sup>4</sup>, MPH  
20  
21

22  
23 John Ehiri<sup>4</sup>, PhD, MPH, MSc (Econ.)  
24  
25

26 **Author for Correspondence:**

27 John E. Ehiri, PhD  
28  
29  
30  
31

32 **Author affiliations**  
33

34 <sup>1</sup>School of Population, Community & Behavioural Sciences, University of Liverpool  
35  
36

37 Liverpool L69 3BX, United Kingdom  
38

39 <sup>2</sup>Botswana-Harvard School of Public Health, AIDS Institute Partnership, Gaborone,  
40  
41

42 Botswana  
43

44 <sup>3</sup>Department of Social Medicine & Health Service Management, Third Military Medical  
45  
46

47 University, Chongqing, China  
48

49 <sup>4</sup>Division of Health Promotion Sciences/Global health Institute, Mel & Enid Zuckerman  
50  
51

52 College of Public Health, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, USA  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** To assess knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding infant feeding among HIV-positive pregnant women in Gaborone, Botswana, and factors that influences their infant feeding choices.

**Design:** A cross-sectional study.

**Methods and study setting:** Questionnaire survey of 96 HIV positive pregnant women attending four public infectious disease control clinics in Gaborone, Botswana. .

**Results:** Only about half of the study participants had knowledge about prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT) services related to breastfeeding, and very few (19.8%) chose to breastfeed their infants exclusively. Results of multiple logistic regression analysis showed that receiving infant feeding counselling as part of the PTMCT program was significantly associated with decision to exclusively breastfeed (OR[95%CI]: 5.38 [1.83, 15.81]). Similarly, HIV positive pregnant women who received breastfeeding counselling through the PMTCT program had higher knowledge of PMTCT practices related to appropriate infant feeding (OR[95%CI]: 5.91[1.06, 34.31]). Women who did not expressed concern about HIV stigma had significantly higher knowledge of PMTCT practices related to infant feeding (OR [95%CI]: 5.91[1.69, 15.56]). Knowledge of PMTCT practices related to breastfeeding was negatively associated with the belief that breastfeeding could transmit HIV to the baby (OR[95%CI]: 9.73 [3.37, 28. 08]).

**Conclusion:** Knowledge, attitudes and practices related to breastfeeding among HIV positive pregnant women needs further improvement, and PMTCT program should



1  
2  
3 strengthen infant feeding counseling services to assist HIV positive mothers in making  
4  
5 informed and appropriate decisions regarding infant feeding.  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 **Key words:** Infant feeding, exclusive breastfeeding, exclusive formula feeding,  
11  
12 HIV/AIDS, PMTCT, Botswana.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For peer review only

## ARTICLE SUMMARY

### Article focus:

- To describe knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding infant feeding among HIV positive pregnant women.
- To explore factors that influence knowledge, attitudes and practices related to breastfeeding among HIV positive pregnant women.
- To provide evidence to improve infant feeding practices for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV.

### Key messages

- Overall, HIV positive pregnant women had inadequate knowledge about PMTCT services related to infant feeding, and very few chose to breastfeed their infants exclusively.
- PMTCT programs should strengthen counseling services to assist HIV positive mothers in making informed and appropriate decisions regarding infant feeding based on the World Health Organization's 2010 guidelines on HIV and infant feeding in the context of HIV.

### Strengths and limitations of this study

- Results of this study provide a snap shot assessment of the quality of implementation of Botswana's PMTCT guidelines.
- The main limitation is that only HIV infected pregnant women who attended the four participating infectious disease control clinics (IDCCs) were included in the study. This limits the ability to generalize findings to all HIV infected pregnant women in Botswana's National PMTCT program.

## INTRODUCTION

Epidemiological data from the Joint United Nations Program on AIDS estimates the prevalence of HIV among adults aged 15-49 years in Botswana to be 23.40%, with more than 160,000 women aged 15-49 years currently living with HIV/AIDS.<sup>1</sup> According to the Republic of Botswana's Global AIDS Response Report prepared in collaboration with the Botswana National AIDS Coordinating Agency (NACA), the national prevalence of HIV among pregnant women aged 15-49 years is 30.4%, with an estimated 13,072 HIV infected women giving birth annually.<sup>2-3</sup> In the absence of interventions to prevent transmission during pregnancy, delivery, or breastfeeding for HIV infected pregnant women, it is estimated that 35% of births will result in mother-to-child transmission of HIV.<sup>3-4</sup> According to the World Health Organization (WHO), if effective interventions are implemented to prevent mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT), the rate can be reduced to less than 5%.<sup>4</sup>

Due to the transmissibility of HIV from mother to child, feeding of HIV-exposed infants remains a significant challenge in controlling the spread of HIV/AIDS. The dilemma concerning feeding infants of HIV positive mothers is how to balance the risk of HIV transmission through breastfeeding with the risk of death from causes other than HIV such as pneumonia, diarrheal diseases, and malnutrition among formula-fed infants.<sup>5</sup> Exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) plays a critical role in the overall health of infants. It is estimated that 3% of all under-5 mortalities in low income countries could be prevented through optimal breastfeeding during the crucial first year of life.<sup>6</sup> Optimal breastfeeding

1  
2  
3 is considered to be EBF for the first 6 months of life, followed by continued  
4  
5 breastfeeding combined with safe and nutritionally adequate complementary feeding up  
6  
7 to 24 months of age.<sup>7-9</sup> EBF is regarded as a global health goal given its strong  
8  
9 association with reduced morbidity and mortality particularly in low-income countries  
10  
11 where safe water and sanitation are often lacking.<sup>10</sup>  
12  
13

14  
15  
16  
17 In 2011, the Government of Botswana (GoB) revised the Botswana National PMTCT  
18  
19 guidelines and initiated the use of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) for all  
20  
21 HIV infected pregnant women regardless of their CD4 cell count. The goal was to  
22  
23 prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV by providing HAART to pregnant women  
24  
25 who would otherwise, not qualify for treatment, based on their CD4 cell count.<sup>3</sup> For  
26  
27 many years, the GoB had recommended that HIV infected women exclusively formula  
28  
29 feed their infants and provided infant formula free-of-charge until the infant is one year  
30  
31 of age to support this recommendation.<sup>3</sup> However, in 2011, the Botswana Ministry of  
32  
33 Health (MoH) recommended exclusive formula feeding (EFF) for the first 6 months of  
34  
35 life only for women for whom formula feeding is acceptable, feasible, affordable,  
36  
37 sustainable and safe (AFASS).<sup>3,11,12</sup> Botswana has one of the most comprehensive  
38  
39 maternal and child health services in sub-Saharan Africa, with nearly 95% of pregnant  
40  
41 women receiving prenatal care, and having their deliveries attended by a health  
42  
43 professional in a health facility.<sup>9</sup> During prenatal visits all pregnant women regardless of  
44  
45 their HIV status, are provided with education and counseling according to the country's  
46  
47 infant and young child feeding guidelines (based on the WHO 2010 recommendations<sup>9</sup>)  
48  
49 to ensure that they make informed and appropriate infant feeding choices.<sup>3,9</sup> They are also  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 assessed for AFASS using the following criteria: piped water in the house or yard  
4  
5 (safety); electricity, gas or paraffin for cooking fuel (feasibility); disclosure of HIV status  
6  
7 by 3 weeks after birth (acceptability); having someone in the household employed  
8  
9 (affordability and sustainability); and access to a fridge for storage of prepared formula  
10  
11 (safety). Depending on outcome of the AFASS assessment, HIV infected pregnant  
12  
13 women are supported in their decision to either formula feed or breastfeed their infant.  
14  
15 For HIV positive mothers for whom formula feeding is not AFASS, optimal  
16  
17 breastfeeding should be recommended and strongly encouraged.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24  
25 Exclusive breast feeding has traditionally been promoted as an important intervention to  
26  
27 prevent child morbidity and mortality in low income countries. In addition to the  
28  
29 nutritional value of breast milk for infants during their first months of life, dependence on  
30  
31 breast milk reduces their exposures to contaminated food and drinks, and also protects  
32  
33 them against diarrhea through the anti-infective properties of breast milk. With the  
34  
35 emergence of evidence linking breast feeding with mother-to-child-transmission of HIV,  
36  
37 many women are conflicted on the issue of whether or not to breast feed their babies.  
38  
39 Research evidence has also shown that poor-quality counseling in PMTCT programs and  
40  
41 the effects of mass media have created widespread confusion for HIV infected mothers  
42  
43 regarding feeding their infant despite the presence of national guidelines.<sup>13</sup> Women who  
44  
45 may be confused by these messages often fail to receive advice to practice EBF which  
46  
47 may result in mixed feeding and an increased risk of HIV transmission.<sup>14</sup> The main  
48  
49 objectives of the study were to identify factors that influence infant feeding choices of  
50  
51 HIV infected pregnant women, to provide data for evidence-based decision to improve  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 the quality of Botswana's PMTCT program, and to allow Botswana MoH to assess  
4  
5 implementation of the revised guidelines in order to strengthen future efforts. It is hoped  
6  
7 that findings from this study will be of value not only to the Botswana AIDS Control  
8  
9 Program, but also to other PMTCT programs in sub-Saharan Africa and other low and  
10  
11 middle countries where pediatric HIV/AIDS is a public health challenge.  
12  
13

## 14 15 16 17 **METHODS**

18  
19 A cross-sectional design was used for the study.  
20  
21

### 22 23 24 **Setting**

25  
26  
27  
28 The study was conducted in four public infectious disease control clinics (IDCCs) in  
29  
30 Gaborone, Botswana, managed by the Gaborone City Council. These clinics were  
31  
32 selected because the study population of interest (eligible HIV infected pregnant women)  
33  
34 they provide access to universal HAART prophylaxis, and thus, constitute a reliable  
35  
36 sampling frame from which participants were recruited.  
37  
38  
39

### 40 41 42 43 **Study population**

44  
45  
46  
47 The study population included all HIV infected pregnant women attending IDCCs in  
48  
49 Gaborone for universal HAART program services during the study period. All HIV  
50  
51 infected pregnant women who presented at any of the four IDCCs during the study period  
52  
53 had an equal and independent chance of being included in the study.<sup>15</sup> HIV infected  
54  
55 pregnant women who were Botswana citizens, aged 21 years and above, and willing to  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 participate in the study by providing informed consent were eligible for inclusion. During  
4  
5 informed consent, the rationale for the study was explained to potential respondents (in  
6  
7 the local language, Setswana) and their voluntary participation was sought. All  
8  
9 respondents were informed that their participation was voluntary, and that if they chose  
10  
11 not to participate, they would not lose any benefits from their health facility. They were  
12  
13 also informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time. Women who  
14  
15 volunteered to participate in the study were asked to sign the informed consent form. Those  
16  
17 who could not read or write were asked to give their thumb print as a confirmation of  
18  
19 their consent. Thus, participation in the study was entirely voluntary and no incentives  
20  
21 were provided to respondents. Respondents were assured of confidentiality, and only  
22  
23 study unique identification numbers were used on the questionnaires. Approval for the  
24  
25 study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the University of Liverpool, England  
26  
27 and from the Botswana Ministry of Health through the Health Research and Development  
28  
29 Committee (HRDC).  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

### 39 **Data collection**

40  
41  
42 Data were collected using a structured, interviewer-administered questionnaire. Eligible  
43  
44 respondents were interviewed and data were transcribed from their medical records onto  
45  
46 the questionnaire. The questionnaire consisted of 33 items that were constructed based on  
47  
48 a review of the literature.<sup>16</sup> The questionnaire was translated into the local language  
49  
50 (Setswana) and pre-tested on five IDCC attendees who were not involved in the final  
51  
52 survey. The questionnaire had the following subsections: socio-demographic information,  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 clinical information, knowledge about PMTCT, and infant feeding practices/intentions.  
4  
5 Items of the questionnaire elicited information on participants' sociodemographic  
6  
7 characteristics, their knowledge of the objectives of HAART, knowledge of mother-to-  
8  
9 child transmission of HIV, strategies to reduce mother-to-child transmission of HIV  
10  
11 during pregnancy, knowledge of infant feeding practices in the context of HIV, the  
12  
13 benefits of exclusive breastfeeding, knowledge of the role of mixed feeding  
14  
15 (breastfeeding and formula feeding) in mother-to-child transmission of HIV, access to  
16  
17 infant feeding counseling in the context of HAART, infant feeding choices and reasons  
18  
19 for the choices, important persons in the decision to breastfeed or formula feed, etc. Data  
20  
21 were collected over a period of four weeks (June 11 to July 9, 2012) through interviews  
22  
23 administered by an interpreter who was fluent in the local language (Setswana) and  
24  
25 received training on ethical conduct of research and data collection.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

### 34 **Data analysis**

35  
36  
37 All questionnaires were entered onto Excel spreadsheet and checked for accuracy and  
38  
39 completeness. The data were then exported to the Statistical Package for Social Sciences  
40  
41 (SPSS) version 19 for analysis. With regard to knowledge of PMTCT and PMTCT  
42  
43 practices during breastfeeding, we first provided a summary of the number of correct  
44  
45 responses by participants, and later categorized the responses as either "high" knowledge  
46  
47 when a respondent responded correctly to all of the questions, or "low" knowledge  
48  
49 when a participant responded incorrectly to one or more of the questions. Descriptive statistics  
50  
51 were used to describe and summarize other variables such as socio-demographic  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 characteristics of respondents, clinical information, knowledge of PMTCT, and the  
4 important person in decision-making on infant feeding choices.<sup>15</sup> Pearson Chi-Square or  
5 Fisher's Exact Test was used to compare results between groups. Multiple logistic  
6 regression analyses were also employed to control for possible confounding factors and  
7 to assess the separate effects of the study variables. Odds ratios (OR) with 95%  
8 confidence intervals (95% CI) were computed to assess factors associated with the choice  
9 of breastfeeding, knowledge of PMTCT and PMTCT practices related to breastfeeding. A  
10 two-tailed probability level of  $p < 0.05$  was chosen as the level of statistical significance.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

## 24 RESULTS

### 25 Characteristics of respondents

26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34 Of a total of 102 women from the infectious disease control clinics (IDCCs) who were  
35 eligible for inclusion in the study, 96 volunteered to participate, yielding a response rate  
36 of 94.1%. The demographic characteristics of participants, including age, marital status,  
37 education, employment status and parity are shown in Table 1. Respondents aged 21-25  
38 years constituted the majority (43.8%;  $n=42$ ). With regard to marital status, a majority  
39 (92.7%,  $n=89$ ) of the respondents identified themselves as single or co-habiting. Only  
40 7.3% ( $n=7$ ) had university-level education. Regarding employment status of the  
41 respondents, 39.6% ( $n=38$ ) were unemployed during the study period. About half of the  
42 study participants (53.1%;  $n=51$ ) had 1-2 children; and 34.4% ( $n=33$ ) were pregnant for  
43 the first time.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 All respondents were taking some form of HAART regimen at the time of the study.  
4  
5 Table 1 shows that a majority of the respondents (85.4%; n=82) indicated that they  
6  
7 received Atripla as their HAART regimen while 6.3% (n=6) received a combination of  
8  
9 Combivir and Nevirapine (CBV+NVP). As shown in Table 1, nearly half of the  
10  
11 respondents (55.2%; n=53) identified their husbands/partners as the most influential  
12  
13 individual with regard to their choice of infant feeding method. Only 66 of the  
14  
15 respondents (70.2%) indicated that they were counseled on infant feeding options  
16  
17 recommended for HIV infected women.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 As for KAP related to breastfeeding among the respondents, 56.3% of respondents  
26  
27 believed that an infant of an HIV infected mother could become infected with HIV when  
28  
29 breastfed, and 88.4% were concerned about AIDS stigma related to HIV and infant  
30  
31 feeding choices. Only about half of the respondents had high knowledge about PMTCT  
32  
33 and PMTCT-related practices related to breastfeeding. Less than one in five (19.8%)  
34  
35 made the decision to exclusively breastfeed their babies (Table 1).  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

#### 41 **Knowledge and practices related to breastfeeding among HIV infected pregnant** 42 **women** 43 44 45 46 47

48 Data on knowledge and choice of breastfeeding method are presented in Table 2. Pearson  
49  
50 Chi-Square (or Fisher's Exact Test) was used to determine associations between  
51  
52 categorical data. Multiple logistic regression analysis was used to assess factors  
53  
54 associated with knowledge and choice of infant feeding method. Results indicated that  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 receiving infant feeding counseling as part of the PMTCT program was significantly  
4 associated with the decision to exclusively breastfeed (OR[95%CI]: 5.38 [1.83, 15.81]).  
5  
6 Receiving infant infant feeding counseling as part of the PMTCT program was also,  
7  
8 significantly associated with high knowledge of PMTCT practices related to  
9  
10 breastfeeding (OR[95%CI]: 5.91[1.06, 34.31]). Women who did not express concern  
11  
12 about AIDS stigma had significantly higher knowledge of PMTCT practices related to  
13  
14 infant feeding (OR [95%CI]: 5.91[1.69, 15.56]). Knowledge of PMTCT practices related  
15  
16 to breastfeeding was negatively associated with the belief that breastfeeding could  
17  
18 transmit HIV to baby (OR[95%CI]: 9.73 [3.37, 28. 08]).  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

## 27 DISCUSSION

28  
29  
30  
31  
32 The basic ethical principle of ‘informed choice’ requires that HIV positive women are  
33 provided with adequate information about their infant feeding options in the context of  
34 prevention of mother to child transmission of HIV.<sup>17</sup> This study observed that only about  
35  
36 half of the HIV infected women had knowledge of PMTCT and PMTCT practices related  
37  
38 to breastfeeding. This finding is similar to that demonstrated by Hailu<sup>18</sup> who found that  
39  
40 only 30.5% of women in Jimma, Ethiopia had sufficient knowledge of infant feeding  
41  
42 options recommended for HIV positive women.<sup>18</sup> Results revealed that counselling on  
43  
44 infant feeding provided as part of the PMTCT program was significantly associated with  
45  
46 knowledge of PMTCT practices related to breastfeeding [OR(95%CI): 5.91(1.06, 34.31)].  
47  
48  
49 Although the Botswana National PMTCT guideline recommends that all pregnant women  
50  
51 be counseled on infant feeding choices, only 70% of the respondents indicated that they  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 received such counseling. This suggests that gaps exist within the PMTCT program  
4  
5  
6 guidelines and actual practice.  
7  
8  
9

10  
11 The Botswana Government had promoted exclusive formula feeding by HIV infected  
12  
13 women for many years but presently allows these women to make informed infant  
14  
15 feeding choices.<sup>3</sup> However, informed decision-making can only take place when the  
16  
17 women are provided with individualized, unbiased and accurate information about infant  
18  
19 feeding options, and when this information is presented in a way that is compatible with  
20  
21 women's beliefs and at an appropriate health literacy level.<sup>17,19</sup> This underscores the  
22  
23 need for training and re-training of maternal and child health workers in the rational,  
24  
25 principles, and methods of infant feeding counseling in the context HIV infection, based  
26  
27 on WHO and national guidelines on infant feeding in the context of HIV.<sup>3,9</sup> Similarly,  
28  
29 providing every pregnant woman with a brief guidance manual on infant feeding in the  
30  
31 context of HIV infection written in the local language, and with appropriate pictorial  
32  
33 explanations might help to ensure that all deserving mothers have access to uniform  
34  
35 standard information based upon which they can make informed choices about infant  
36  
37 feeding.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 The Botswana Family Health Survey showed that only 20% of mothers breastfed  
47  
48 exclusively for the first six months.<sup>20</sup> Similarly, our study found that less than 1 in 5  
49  
50 (19.8%) HIV infected mothers chose to exclusively breastfeed. This finding is in  
51  
52 agreement with those of Tomasoni et al<sup>21</sup> and Hailu<sup>18</sup> who found similar low rates of EBF  
53  
54 among HIV positive mothers (46% and 13.4% respectively).  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Previous studies have explored factors associated with choice of breastfeeding among  
4 HIV infected women. The study by Hailu<sup>18</sup> found that infant feeding choices made by  
5 lactating mothers in Ethiopia were significantly associated with their age, while a South  
6 African study reported that sociocultural factors (including social stigma of HIV/AIDS,  
7 maternal age and family influences on feeding practices, economic circumstances, beliefs  
8 about HIV transmission through breast milk and beliefs about the quality of breast milk  
9 compared to formula) influenced the decision to exclusively breastfeed. As demonstrated  
10 in our study, counseling on infant feeding during antenatal visits was an important  
11 predictor of infant feeding choices.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 It is noteworthy that women who did not expressed concern about AIDS stigma had  
28 significantly higher knowledge of PMTCT practices related to infant feeding. This may  
29 be an indication of the barrier that AIDS-related stigma poses against uptake of PMTCT  
30 services among HIV infected pregnant women in the study setting. It is known that for  
31 social stigma to present a barrier against uptake of services, effected individuals must  
32 accept the devaluation and discrediting that accompany the stigma. They must perceive  
33 themselves as guilty of moral transgression and accept the blame put on them.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 It is important for PMTCT programs to address stigma in order to promote service  
47 uptake. Since many of the key influences on AIDS-related stigma and discrimination are  
48 broad-based and deeply rooted with the structures of communities, the most effective  
49 interventions would be those with sound theoretical foundations, and that include  
50 attention to individual as well as social and structural barriers. In a review of AIDS-  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 related stigma in sub-Saharan Africa, Ehiri et al.<sup>22</sup> presented the case for a multi-level  
4 approach that involves action directed at health workers, religious leaders, members of  
5 the judicial system, the media, people living with HIV/AIDs, and their family members.  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 HIV-infected pregnant women are encouraged to exclusively breastfeed their infants for  
11 at least 6 months with proper HAART in both high and low-income countries owing to  
12 the proven benefits of breastfeeding for both the mother and the infant.<sup>23-24</sup> For example,  
13 results of a clinical trial in Kenya indicated that giving breastfeeding women a triple-  
14 ARV regimen from late pregnancy to 6 months after birth is a safe, feasible way to  
15 reduce MTCT in resource-limited settings.<sup>25</sup> A cohort study in India found higher rates  
16 of HIV-free survival in breastfed infants, reporting a cumulative 12-month mortality of  
17 formula-fed infants of 9.6% versus 0.68% among breastfed infants<sup>26</sup>. Indeed, WHO's  
18 recommendation that all mothers who are known to be HIV-infected either on lifelong  
19 ART or not, who exclusively breastfeed their infants should do so for 6 months, introduce  
20 appropriate complementary foods thereafter and continue breastfeeding for the first 12  
21 months of life is based on a plethora of research that demonstrate the positive effect of  
22 exclusive breastfeeding on HIV-free survival of infants born to HIV infected mothers.<sup>27-32</sup>  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 Evidence shows that there is significant benefit of breastfeeding regardless of the setting  
47 as it has been shown to result in positive health outcomes for infants, even in countries  
48 with reliable water and sanitation systems, where gastrointestinal problems and other  
49 infectious diseases are not a concern.<sup>33-34</sup>  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 A majority of the women in this study (80.2%) opted to formula feed their babies. This  
4  
5 could be explained by the fact that many health care workers commonly prescribe or  
6  
7 encourage formula feeding despite the availability of evidence supporting EBF where  
8  
9 formula feeding is not acceptable, feasible, affordable, sustainable and safe (AFASS).  
10  
11 Doherty et al<sup>34</sup> conducted a series of qualitative interviews of a prospective cohort of 650  
12  
13 HIV positive mothers in South Africa and considered the influence of healthcare workers  
14  
15 on infant feeding choices. The study concluded that health workers possess significant  
16  
17 influence over HIV infected women's initial infant feeding choices. Doherty et al.<sup>34</sup>  
18  
19 stated that some women expressed feeling pressured or 'forced' by their provider to  
20  
21 choose a particular feeding method as a result of their HIV status. Available evidence  
22  
23 shows that women who received formula company-produced infant feeding materials  
24  
25 from their health providers at their first prenatal visit were more likely than those who did  
26  
27 not receive these materials to stop breastfeeding before hospital discharge and before 2  
28  
29 weeks postpartum. Those who were uncertain about their decision to breastfeed, or with a  
30  
31 plan to breastfeed for 12 weeks or less, and who received the commercial materials from  
32  
33 their health providers also had notably lower rates of exclusive breastfeeding and overall  
34  
35 duration.<sup>35</sup>  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 Thus, understanding ethical considerations and providing unbiased information about  
47  
48 infant feeding options at the provider level has the potential to improve outcomes of  
49  
50 PMTCT services in Botswana and similar low and middle income countries where  
51  
52 mother-to-child transmission of HIV is a public health challenge.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Strengths and limitations

Given the small size for this study, results provide only a snapshot assessment of the effectiveness of implementation of the Botswana PMTCT guidelines of 2011, which provide HIV infected pregnant women the opportunity to make informed infant feeding choices. It is important to note that only HIV infected pregnant women who attended the four study IDCCs during the period of the study period were recruited. Those that attended non-participating IDCCs were not interviewed. These patients might have had different KAP regarding infant feeding choices from those who were interviewed. Therefore, findings from this study cannot be generalized to all HIV infected pregnant women in the Botswana National PMTCT program.

## Implications

Pediatric AIDS remains a major contributor to child mortality in resource poor countries; thus, interventions that seek to significantly reduce mother-to-child transmission of HIV have the potential to contribute towards achievement of the Millennium Development Goal of reducing child mortality by two thirds by 2015 from the 1990 level.

The finding that a majority of the participants do not receive the recommended counseling on infant feeding underscores the need for PMTCH programs in low income countries to take proper care to understand global and national recommendations on infant feeding in the context of HIV, and to find appropriate ways to communicate research evidence to HIV infected women so they can make informed choices regarding infant feeding option.



1  
2  
3 The finding that a majority of the respondents in this study (92.7%, n=89) identified  
4 themselves as either single or co-habiting calls for a need to target this population group  
5 with interventions to reduce HIV infection through reduction of risky sexual behaviors.  
6  
7  
8

9  
10 Further research using qualitative or mixed method approaches are need to explore  
11 enablers and barriers in provision of infant feeding counseling recommended for all HIV  
12 infected pregnant women. Efforts should be made to understand health workers'  
13 challenges in implementing this recommendation, and the reasons why some HIV  
14 infected women do not receive infant feeding counseling need to be explored. To gather  
15 generalizable information that can be used to improve the quality of Botswana's PMTCT  
16 program, it would be beneficial to replicate this study at other IDCC sites as well as ANC  
17 clinics in the country. To effectively improve EBF rates amongst HIV infected women,  
18 policy makers must make concerted efforts to advocate, promote, and sustain the  
19 universal HAART program for pregnant women, and strengthen ANC services. The  
20 Botswana Ministry of Health should provide adequate training of healthcare workers on  
21 infant feeding counseling for HIV infected women to ensure that they are in a good  
22 position to provide unbiased and balanced infant feeding counseling to their clients.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Contributors:** JN participated in the design of the study and collected data (interviews of respondents). She also prepared the first draft of the manuscript. NN conducted literature review, and edited drafts of the manuscript. YL conducted data analysis and participated in the drafting of the manuscript. CM conducted literature review and participated in the drafting of the manuscript. JE guided and supervised the conceptualization and the design of the study, provided oversight of quality control of the research implementation, and edited drafts of the manuscript.

**Competing interests:** None.

**Ethics approval:** Approval for this study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the University of Liverpool, Liverpool, England and from the Botswana Ministry of Health through the Health Research and Development Committee (HRDC).

**Data sharing statement** No additional data are available.

## References

1. Joint United Nations Programme on AIDS. *Botswana: HIV and AIDS estimates, 2011*. [Online] Available from: <http://www.unaids.org/en/regionscountries/countries/botswana/> (Accessed October 13, 2013).
2. Republic of Botswana. *Progress report of the national response to the 2011 declaration of commitments on HIV and AIDS* National AIDS Coordinating

1  
2  
3 Agency. [Online] Available from:

4  
5  
6 [http://www.unaids.org/en/dataanalysis/knowyourresponse/countryprogressreports/](http://www.unaids.org/en/dataanalysis/knowyourresponse/countryprogressreports/2012countries/ce_BW_Narrative_Report%5B1%5D.pdf)  
7  
8 2012countries/ce\_BW\_Narrative\_Report%5B1%5D.pdf (Accessed October 13,  
9  
10 2013).

- 11  
12  
13  
14  
15 3. Ministry of Health (Botswana) *Botswana National Guidelines Prevention of*  
16  
17 *Mother-to-Child Transmission of HIV*. Gaborone, Botswana. [Online] Available  
18  
19 from: <http://www.hiv.gov.bw/content/prevention-mother-child-hiv-transmission>  
20  
21 (Accessed: October 13, 2013).  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

- 39 4. World Health Organization [WHO] *Rapid advice: Use of antiretroviral drugs for*  
40  
41 *treating pregnant women and preventing HIV infection in infants*. Geneva:  
42  
43 Switzerland. 2009a. [Online] Available from:  
44  
45 [http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/mtct/rapid\\_advice\\_mtct.pdf](http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/mtct/rapid_advice_mtct.pdf) (Accessed October 13,  
46  
47 2013).  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

- 53 5. World Health Organization [WHO]. *Rapid advice: Revised WHO principles and*  
54  
55 *recommendations on infant feeding in the context of HIV*. Geneva: Switzerland.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
- 2009b. [Online] Available from:  
<http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/paediatric/advice/en/> (Accessed October 13, 2013).
6. Jones G, Steketee RW, Black RE, et al. How many child deaths can we prevent this year? *Lancet* 2003; 362:65-71.
7. Greiner T. *Programs to protect, support, and promote breastfeeding. Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development*. Second Edition. Montreal, Quebec: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development and Strategic Knowledge Cluster on Early Child Development; 2008:1-7. [Online] Available from: <http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/documents/GreinerANGxp.pdf> (Accessed October 13, 2013).
8. World Health Organization [WHO] & United Nations Children's Fund [UNICEF]. *Global strategy for infant and young child feeding*. Geneva: Switzerland. [Online] Available from: [http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/gi\\_infant\\_feeding\\_text\\_eng.pdf](http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/gi_infant_feeding_text_eng.pdf) (Accessed October 13, 2013).
9. World Health Organization [WHO]. *Guidelines on HIV and infant feeding 2010: Principles and recommendations for infant feeding in the context of HIV and a*

1  
2  
3 *summary of evidence*. Geneva/New York. 2010. World Health Organization/Joint  
4  
5 United National AIDS Program/UNICEF.

6  
7  
8 [http://www.who.int/maternal\\_child\\_adolescent/documents/9789241599535/en/](http://www.who.int/maternal_child_adolescent/documents/9789241599535/en/)

9  
10 (Accessed, October 10, 2013).

- 11  
12  
13  
14 10. Sguassero Y. Optimal duration of exclusive breastfeeding: RHL commentary.

15  
16 *The WHO Reproductive Health Library*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health  
17  
18 Organization. 2008. [Online] Available from:

19  
20  
21 [http://apps.who.int/rhl/pregnancy\\_childbirth/care\\_after\\_childbirth/yscom/en/](http://apps.who.int/rhl/pregnancy_childbirth/care_after_childbirth/yscom/en/)

22  
23 (Accessed October 13, 2013).

- 24  
25  
26  
27 11. World Health Organization [WHO]. *HIV and infant feeding technical*

28  
29 *consultation-consensus statement*. October 25-27, 2006. Geneva, Switzerland.

30  
31 [Online] Available from: <http://www.who.int/child->

32  
33  
34 [adolescenthealth/publications/NUTRITION/consensusstatement.htm](http://www.who.int/child-adolescenthealth/publications/NUTRITION/consensusstatement.htm) (Accessed  
35  
36 10 October 2013).

- 37  
38  
39  
40  
41 12. World Health Organization [WHO]. *Integrated Management of Childhood*

42  
43 *Illnesses (IMCI) Complementary course on HIV/AIDS; Module 3; Counseling the*  
44  
45 *HIV Positive Mother*. Geneva: Switzerland. 2007. [Online]. Available from:

46  
47  
48 [http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2006/9789241594370.m3\\_eng.pdf](http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2006/9789241594370.m3_eng.pdf)

49  
50 (Accessed October 10, 2013).

- 51  
52  
53  
54  
55 13. Woldesenbet S, Jackson D. *The impact of quality of antenatal HIV counselling on*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

*HIV-free survival*. Abstract WEPED226, 5th IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis, Treatment and Prevention, Cape Town, South Africa, 19-22 July 2009.

14. Chopra M, Doherty T, Jackson D, et al. Preventing HIV transmission to children: quality of counseling of mothers in South Africa. *Acta Paediatrica* 2005; 94(3):263-265.

15. Bruce N, Pope D, Stanistreet D. *Quantitative Research Methods for Health Research: A practical interactive guide to epidemiology and statistics*. J Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester, UK. 2008.

16. Oladokun RE, Brown BJ, Osinusi K. Infant-feeding pattern of HIV-positive women in a prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT) programme. *AIDS Care*, 2010, 22: 9; 1108-1114.

17. Thairu LN, Pelto GH, Rollins NC, et al. Sociocultural influences on infant feeding decisions among HIV-infected women in rural Kwa-Zulu Natal, South Africa. *Matern Child Nutr* 2005; 1(1):2-10.

18. Hailu C. *Assessment of KAP among mothers about VCT and feeding of infants born to HIV positive women in Jimma town, Ethiopia*. Unpublished MPH (Community Health) thesis. Addis Ababa: University of Addis Ababa. 2005.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
19. Kanj M, Mitic W. *Promoting health and development: Closing the implementation gap*. Unpublished Conference Document, 7<sup>th</sup> Global Conference on Health Promotion. Nairobi, Kenya: October 2009. Available from: [http://www.who.int/healthpromotion/conferences/7gchp/Track1\\_Inner.pdf](http://www.who.int/healthpromotion/conferences/7gchp/Track1_Inner.pdf) (Accessed October 10, 2013).
20. Sennamose O. *Breastfeeding declines* DailyNews, 3 August 2012, p.7
21. Tomasoni LR, Galli M, Declich S, et al. Knowledge, attitudes and practice (KAP) regarding newborn feeding modalities in HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected pregnant women in sub-Saharan Africa: A multicentre study. *International Health* 2011; 3(1):56-65.
22. Ehiri JE, Anyanwu EC, Donath E, et al. AIDS-related stigma in sub-Saharan Africa: its contexts and potential intervention strategies. *AIDS Public Policy J*. 2005; 20(1-2):25-39.
23. World Health Organization (2010b) *Guidelines on HIV and infant feeding: principles and recommendations for infant feeding in the context of HIV and a summary of evidence*. Geneva: Switzerland. [Online] Available from:

1  
2  
3 [http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44345/1/9789241599535\\_eng.pdf](http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44345/1/9789241599535_eng.pdf)

4  
5  
6 (Accessed October 10, 2013).

- 7  
8  
9  
10 24. Coovadia H, Kindra G. Breastfeeding to prevent HIV transmission in infants:  
11  
12 balancing pros and cons. *Current Opinion in Infectious Disease* 2008; 21(1):11-  
13  
14 15.
- 15  
16  
17  
18  
19 25. Thomas TK, Masaba R, Borkowf CB, et al. Triple-antiretroviral prophylaxis to  
20  
21 prevent mother-to-child HIV transmission through breastfeeding- The Kisumu  
22  
23 Breastfeeding study, Kenya: A clinical trial. *PLoS Med* 2011; 8(3): e1001015.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41 26. Alvarez-Uria G, Midde M, Pakam R, et al. Effect of formula feeding and  
42  
43 breastfeeding on child growth, infant mortality, and HIV transmission in children  
44  
45 born HIV-infected pregnant women who received triple antiretroviral therapy in a  
46  
47 resource-limited setting: data from an HIV cohort study in India. *ISRN Pediatr.*  
48  
49 *2012:763591.*  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
27. Mbori-Ngacha D, Nduati R, John G, et al. Morbidity and mortality in breastfed and formula-fed infants of HIV-1-infected women: A randomized clinical trial. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 2001, 286(19):2413-2420.
28. Lockman S, Smeaton L, Shapiro R, et al. *Morbidity and mortality among infants born to HIV-infected mothers and randomized to breastfeeding versus formula-feeding in Botswana (MASHI study)*. Abstract TuPE0357, XVI International AIDS Conference, Toronto, Canada, 13-18 August 2006.
29. Kuhn L, Sinkala M, Kankasa C et al. *High uptake of exclusive breastfeeding and reduced early post-natal HIV transmission*. *PLoS One* 2007;26;2(12):e1363.
30. Becquet R, Bequet L, Ekouevi DK, et al. Two-year morbidity-mortality and alternatives to prolonged breast-feeding among children born to HIV-infected mothers in Cote d'Ivoire. *Public Library of Science Medicine*, 2007, 4(1):e17.
31. Becquet R, Ekouevi DK, Sakarovitch C et al. *Two-year morbidity and mortality in breastfed and formula-fed children born to HIV-infected mothers*. ANRS 1201/1202 Ditrame plus, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. Abstract TUPE0350, XVI International AIDS Conference, Toronto, Canada, 13-18 August 2006.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
32. Becquet R, Bland R, Leroy V, et al. Duration, pattern of breastfeeding and postnatal transmission of HIV: pooled analysis of individual data from West and South African cohorts. *Public Library of Science ONE*, 2009, 4(10):e7397.
33. Scariati PD, Grummer-Strawn LM, Fein SB. A longitudinal analysis of infant morbidity and the extent of breastfeeding in the United States. *Pediatrics* 1997; 99(6):E5.
34. Doherty T, Chopra M, Nkonki L, et al. Effect of the HIV epidemic on infant feeding in South Africa: 'When they see me coming with the tins they laugh at me'. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 2006; 84:90-96.
35. Howard CR, Howard FM, Lawrence R, et al. Office prenatal formula advertising and its effect on breast-feeding patterns. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2000; 95(2):296-303.

**Table 1: Characteristics of Participants (N=96)**

Characteristics	No.	%	
	21-25	42	43.8
	26-30	30	31.3
Age group (years)	31-35	18	18.8
	36-40	5	5.2
	41-45	1	1

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

	Single	41	42.7
	Married	4	4.2
Marital status	Cohabiting	48	50.0
	Separated	3	3.1
	Primary school	15	15.6
	Junior secondary	29	30.2
	Junior secondary with additional training	8	8.3
Educational level	Senior secondary	27	28.1
	College or vocational training	10	10.4
	University	7	7.3
	Unemployed	38	39.6
	Government employee	8	8.3
Employment status	Private Employee	37	38.5
	Self-employed	7	7.3
	Volunteer	2	2.1
	Student	4	4.2
	1-2	51	53.1
Parity	3-4	10	10.4
	5 or more	2	2.1
	None	33	34.4
	Atripla (FTC+TDF+EFV)	81	84.4
	CBV+NVP	6	6.3
HAART Regimen received	CBV+Kaletra	5	5.2
	TDF+FTC+Kaletra		
	Others	4	4.2
Reasons for receiving HAART	PMTCT (Universal HAART)	66	68.8
	Maternal Treatment	30	31.3
	My father	3	3.1
	My husband/partner	53	55.2
Most important person in making decision on infant feeding	My mother	32	33.3
	My Sister	5	5.2
	My Aunt	3	3.1
Received Infant feeding counseling during ANC (N=94)	Yes	66	70.2
	No	28	29.8

1				
2				
3				
4	Chose to breastfeed	Yes	19	19.8
5		No	77	80.2
6				
7	Believe breastfeeding transmits HIV to baby	Yes	54	56.3
8		No	42	43.8
9				
10	Concerned about HIV stigma	Yes	84	88.4
11		No	11	11.6
12		1 correct response	4	4.2
13	Knowledge of PMTCT	2 correct response	17	17.7
14		3 correct response	25	26.0
15		4 correct response	50	52.1
16		1 correct response	1	1.0
17		2 correct response	0	0.00
18		3 correct response	2	2.1
19	Knowledge of PMTCT related to breastfeeding	4 correct response	5	5.2
20		5 correct response	13	13.5
21		6 correct response	26	27.1
22		7 correct response	49	51.0
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				
47				
48				
49				
50				
51				
52				
53				
54				
55				
56				
57				
58				
59				
60				

**Table 2: Respondents' knowledge of PMTCT and choice of breastfeeding**

Variable	Choice of exclusive breastfeeding		Knowledge about PMTCT		Knowledge about PMTCT practice related to breastfeeding		Breastfeeding transmits HIV to baby	
	Yes No. (%)	No No. (%)	High No. (%)	Low No. (%)	High No. (%)	Low No. (%)	Yes No. (%)	No No. (%)
<b>Age group (years)</b>								
21-25	14(33.3)	28(66.7)	22(52.4)	20(47.6)	25(59.5)	17(40.5)	29(69.0)	13(31.0)
26-30	3(10.0)	27(90.0)	15(50.0)	15(50.0)	16(53.3)	14(46.7)	16(53.3)	14(46.7)
31-35	2(11.1)	16(88.9)	10(55.6)	8(44.4)	6(33.3)	12(66.7)	7(38.9)	11(61.1)
36-40	0(0.0)	5(100.0)	2(40.0)	3(60.0)	1(20.0)	4(80.0)	2(40.0)	3(60.0)
41-45	0(0.0)	1(100.0)	1(100.0)	0(0)	1(100.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(100.0)
<b>Marital status</b>								
Single	12(29.3)	29(70.7)	34(82.9)	7(17.1)*	32(78.0)	9(22.0)*	39(95.1)	2(4.9)*
Married	0(0.0)	4(100.0)	3(75.0)	1(25.0)	3(75.0)	1(25.0)	2(50.0)	2(50.0)
Cohabiting	6(12.5)	42(87.5)	12(25.0)	36(75.0)	13(27.1)	35(72.9)	13(27.1)	35(72.9)
Separated	1(33.3)	2(66.7)	1(33.3)	2(66.7)	1(33.3)	2(66.7)	0(0.0)	3(100.0)
<b>Educational level</b>								
Primary school	5(33.3)	10(66.7)	13(86.7)	2(13.3)*	9(60.0)	6(40.0)	13(86.7)	2(13.3)
Junior secondary	6(20.7)	23(79.3)	19(65.5)	10(34.5)	14(48.3)	15(51.7)	16(55.2)	13(44.8)
Junior secondary with additional training	3(37.5)	5(62.5)	3(37.5)	5(62.5)	5(62.5)	3(37.5)	6(75.0)	2(25.0)
Senior secondary	4(14.8)	23(85.2)	11(40.7)	16(59.3)	13(48.1)	14(51.9)	13(48.1)	14(51.9)
College or vocational training	1(10.0)	9(90.0)	0(0.0)	10(100.0)	4(40.0)	6(60.0)	3(30.0)	7(70.0)
University	0(0.0)	7(100.0)	4(57.1)	3(42.9)	4(57.1)	3(42.9)	3(42.9)	4(57.1)
<b>Employment status</b>								
Unemployed	10(26.3)	28(73.7)	23(60.5)	15(39.5)	23(60.5)	15(39.5)	24(63.2)	14(36.8)
Government employee	2(25.0)	6(75.0)	3(37.5)	5(62.5)	3(37.5)	5(62.5)	2(25.0)	6(75.0)
Private Employee	4(10.8)	33(89.2)	15(40.5)	22(59.5)	18(48.6)	19(51.4)	19(51.4)	18(48.6)
Self-employed	2(28.6)	5(71.4)	4(57.1)	3(42.9)	2(28.6)	5(71.4)	5(71.4)	2(28.6)
Volunteer	1(50.0)	1(50.0)	2(100.0)	0(0.0)	1(50.0)	1(50.0)	2(100.0)	0(0.0)
Student	0(0.0)	4(100.0)	3(75.0)	1(25.0)	2(50.0)	2(50.0)	2(50.0)	2(50.0)
<b>Parity</b>								
2-Jan	9(17.6)	42(82.4)	20(39.2)	31(60.8)*	20(39.2)	31(60.8)*	22(43.1)	29(56.9)*
4-Mar	1(10.0)	9(90.0)	9(90.0)	1(10.0)	7(70.0)	3(30.0)	8(80.0)	2(20.0)
5 or more	0(0.0)	2(100.0)	2(100.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	2(100.0)	1(50.0)	1(50.0)

None	9(27.3)	24(72.7)	19(57.6)	14(42.4)	22(66.7)	11(33.3)	23(69.7)	10(30.3)
<b>HAART Regimen received</b>								
Atripla (FTC+TDF+EFV)	17(21.0)	64(79.0)*	43(53.1)	38(46.9)*	42(51.9)	39(48.1)*	48(59.3)	33(40.7)
CBV+NVP	1(16.7)	5(83.3)	2(33.3)	4(66.7)	3(50.0)	3(50.0)	1(16.7)	5(83.3)
CBV+Kaletra	1(20.0)	4(80.0)	5(100.0)	0(0.0)	4(80.0)	1(20.0)	4(80.0)	1(20.0)
TDF+FTC+Kaletra								
Others	0(0.0)	4(100.0)	0(0.0)	4(100.0)	0(0.0)	4(100.0)	1(25.0)	3(75.0)
<b>Reasons for receiving HAART</b>								
PMTCT	15(22.7)	51(77.3)	36(54.5)	30(45.5)	36(54.5)	30(45.5)	42(63.6)	24(36.4)*
Maternal Treatment	4(13.3)	26(86.7)	14(46.7)	16(53.3)	13(43.3)	17(56.7)	12(40.0)	18(60.0)
<b>Most important person in making decision on infant feeding</b>								
My father	2(66.7)	1(33.3)	3(100.0)	0(0.0)	1(33.3)	2(66.7)	3(100.0)	0(0.0)
My husband/partner	10(18.9)	43(81.1)	27(50.9)	26(49.1)	25(47.2)	28(52.8)	30(56.6)	23(43.4)
My mother	7(21.9)	25(78.1)	15(46.9)	17(53.1)	19(59.4)	13(40.6)	16(50.0)	16(50.0)
My Sister	0(0.0)	5(100.0)	4(80.0)	1(20.0)	2(40.0)	3(60.0)	4(80.0)	1(20.0)
My Aunt	0(0.0)	3(100.0)	1(33.3)	2(66.7)	2(66.7)	1(33.3)	1(33.3)	2(66.7)
<b>Concerned about AIDS stigma</b>								
Yes	16(19.0)	68(81.0)	47(56.0)	37(44.0)	47(56.0)	37(44.0)*	51(60.7)	33(39.3)*
No	3(27.3)	8(72.7)	3(27.3)	8(72.7)	2(18.2)	9(81.8)	3(27.3)	8(72.7)
<b>Received infant feeding counseling during ANC</b>								
Yes	18(27.3)	48(72.7)*	41(62.1)	25(37.9)*	42(63.6)	24(36.4)*	44(66.7)	22(33.3)*
No	1(3.6)	27(96.4)	8(28.6)	20(71.4)	7(25.0)	21(75.0)	10(35.7)	18(64.3)
<b>Believed breastfeeding transmits HIV to baby</b>								
Yes	14(25.9)	40(74.1)	38(70.4)	16(29.6)*	39(72.2)	15(27.8)*	—	—
No	5(19)	37(77)	12(28.6)	30(71.4)	10(23.8)	32(76.2)	—	—
<b>Knowledge of PMTCT</b>								
High	13(26.0)	37(74.0)	—	—	—	—	38(76.0)	12(24.0)*
Low	6(13.0)	40(87.0)	—	—	—	—	16(34.8)	30(65.2)
<b>Knowledge about PMTCT related to breastfeeding</b>								
High	9(18.4)	40(81.6)	—	—	—	—	39(79.6)	10(20.4)*
Low	10(21.3)	37(78.7)	—	—	—	—	15(31.9)	32(68.1)

\*Pearson Chi-Square or Fisher's Exact Test was used to compare results between groups.

\*P<0.05

**Table 3: Multi logistic analysis of factors associated with choice of infant feeding options, knowledge of PMTCT, and knowledge of PMTCT practices related to breastfeeding**

Variable	Choice of exclusive breastfeeding OR(95%CI)*	High knowledge of PMTCT OR(95%CI)**	High knowledge of PMTCT during breastfeeding OR(95%CI)**	Breastfeeding could transmit HIV to baby*
<b>Marital status</b>				
Single	—	1	—	—
Married	—	34.37 (0.72, 46.77)	—	—
Cohabiting	—	8.17 (0.07, 13.00)	—	—
Separated	—	0.75(0.02, 31.77)	—	—
<b>Educational level</b>				
Primary school	—	1	—	—
Junior secondary	—	8.81 (0.63, 21.89)	—	—
Junior secondary with additional training	—	6.24 (0.65, 64.38)	—	—
Senior secondary	—	1.49(0.011, 20.57)	—	—
College or vocational training	—	1.77 (0.019, 15.82)	—	—
University	—	—	—	—
<b>Concerned about HIV stigma</b>				
Yes	—	—	1	—
No	—	—	5.91(1.69, 15.56)	—
<b>Received infant feeding counseling during ANC</b>				
No	1	—	1	—
Yes	5.38 (1.83, 15.81)	—	5.91(1.06, 34.31)	—
<b>Knowledge of PMTCT related to breastfeeding</b>				
Yes	—	—	—	1
No	—	—	—	9.73 (3.37, 28.08)

\*Adjusted for age and education.

\*\* Adjusted for age

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices Regarding Infant Feeding Among HIV-Infected**  
9 **Pregnant Women in Gaborone, Botswana: A Cross-Sectional Survey**  
10

11  
12 Justina Ndubuka<sup>1</sup>, DVM, MPH  
13

14  
15 Nnamdi Ndubuka<sup>2</sup>, MD, Dip HIV Mgt (SA), MPH  
16

17  
18 Ying Li<sup>3,4</sup>, PhD, MSc  
19

20  
21 Caitlin M. Marshall<sup>4</sup>, MPH  
22

23  
24 John Ehiri<sup>4</sup>, PhD, MPH, MSc (Econ.)  
25  
26

27 **Author for Correspondence:**

28 John E. Ehiri, PhD  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Formatted: English (U.S.)



## ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** To assess knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding infant feeding among HIV-positive pregnant women in Gaborone, Botswana, and factors that influences their infant feeding choices.

**Design:** A cross-sectional study.

**Methods and study setting:** ~~Questionnaire survey of he study assessed knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding infant feeding among~~ 96 HIV-positive pregnant women attending four public infectious disease control clinics in Gaborone, Botswana. ~~by means of a questionnaire survey of women attending four public infectious disease control clinics in Gaborone, Botswana.~~

**Results:** Only about half of the ~~study participants women~~ had knowledge about prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT) services related to breastfeeding, and very few (19.8%) chose to breastfeed their infants exclusively. Results of multiple logistic regression analysis showed that receiving infant feeding counselling as part of the PMTCT program was significantly associated with decision to exclusively breastfeed (OR[95%CI]: 5.38 [1.83, 15.81]). Similarly, HIV positive pregnant women who received breastfeeding counselling through the PMTCT program had higher knowledge of PMTCT practices related to appropriate infant feeding (OR[95%CI]: 5.91[1.06, 34.31]).

Women who ~~did not expressed concern about did not expressed perceptions of self (internalized)-HIV/AIDS-related~~ stigma had significantly higher knowledge of PMTCT practices related to infant feeding (OR [95%CI]: 5.91[1.69, 15.56]). Knowledge of PMTCT practices related to breastfeeding was negatively associated with the belief that

breastfeeding could transmit HIV to the baby (OR[95%CI]: 9.73 [3.37, 28. 08]).

**Conclusion:** Knowledge, attitudes and practices related to breastfeeding among HIV ~~positive -infected~~ pregnant women needs further improvement, and PMTCT program should strengthen ~~infant feeding nutrition~~ counseling services to assist HIV-positive mothers in making informed and appropriate decisions regarding infant feeding.

**Key words:** Infant feeding, exclusive breastfeeding, exclusive formula feeding, HIV/AIDS, PMTCT, Botswana.

## ARTICLE SUMMARY

### Article focus:

- To describe knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding infant feeding among HIV<sub>-</sub>positive pregnant women.
- To explore factors that influence knowledge, attitudes and practices related to breastfeeding among HIV<sub>-</sub>positive pregnant women.
- To provide evidence to improve infant breastfeeding practices for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV(PMTCT) program.

### Key messages

- Overall, HIV positive HIV positive pregnant women had inadequate knowledge about PMTCT services related to infant breastfeeding, and very few chose to breastfeed their infants exclusively.
- PMTCT programs should strengthen counseling services to assist HIV<sub>-</sub>positive mothers in making informed and appropriate decisions regarding infant feeding based on the World Health Organization's -2010 guidelines on HIV and infant feeding in the context of HIV.

### Strengths and limitations of this study

- Results of this study provide a snapp shot n-assessment of the quality effectiveness of implementation of ~~the~~ Botswana's PMTCT guidelines.
- The main limitation is that only HIV<sub>-</sub>infected pregnant women who attended the four participating infectious disease control clinics (IDCCs) were included in the

study. ~~This limits the ability erefore, findings from this study cannot be to~~  
generalize ~~findings d~~ to all HIV\_-infected pregnant women in ~~the~~ Botswana's  
National PMTCT program.

## INTRODUCTION

Epidemiological data from the Joint United Nations Program on AIDS estimates the prevalence of HIV among adults aged 15-49 years in Botswana to be 23.40%, with more than 160,000 women aged 15-49 years currently living with HIV/AIDS.<sup>1</sup> According to the Republic of Botswana's Global AIDS Response Report prepared in collaboration with the Botswana National AIDS Coordinating Agency (NACA), the national prevalence of HIV among pregnant women aged 15-49 years is 30.4%, with an estimated 13,072 HIV infected women giving birth annually.<sup>2-3</sup> In the absence of interventions to prevent transmission during pregnancy, delivery, or breastfeeding for HIV\_-infected pregnant women, it is estimated that 35% of births will result in mother-to-child transmission of HIV.<sup>3-4</sup> According to the World Health Organization (WHO), if effective interventions are implemented to prevent mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT), the rate can be reduced to less than 5%.<sup>4</sup>

Due to the transmissibility of HIV from mother to child, feeding of HIV-exposed infants remains a significant challenge in controlling the spread of HIV/AIDS. The dilemma concerning feeding infants of HIV\_-positive mothers is how to balance the risk of HIV transmission through breastfeeding with the risk of death from causes other than HIV

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 such as pneumonia, diarrheal diseases, and malnutrition among formula-fed infants.<sup>5</sup>

9  
10 Exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) plays a critical role in the overall health status of infants;

11 ~~It is and an~~ estimated ~~that~~ 3% of ~~all~~ under-5 mortalities ~~in low income countries~~ could  
12  
13 be prevented through optimal breastfeeding during the crucial first year of life.<sup>6</sup> Optimal

14  
15 breastfeeding is considered to be EBF for the first 6 months of life, followed by

16  
17 continued breastfeeding combined with safe ~~and~~ nutritionally adequate complementary

18  
19 feeding up to 24 months of age.<sup>7-9</sup> EBF is regarded as a global health goal ~~given as a~~  
20  
21 ~~result of~~ its strong association with reduced morbidity and mortality particularly in low-  
22  
23 income countries where safe water and sanitation are often lacking.<sup>10</sup>  
24  
25

26  
27  
28 In 2011, the Government of Botswana (GoB) revised the Botswana National PMTCT

29 guidelines and initiated the use of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) for all

30 HIV infected pregnant women regardless of their CD4 cell count. The goal was to

31 prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV by providing HAART to pregnant women

32 who would otherwise, not qualify for treatment, based on their CD4 cell count.<sup>3</sup> ~~It~~

33 ~~Botswana, all pregnant women, regardless of their HIV status, are provided with~~

34 ~~education and counseling according to the infant and young child feeding guidelines~~

35 ~~(based on the WHO 2010 recommendations) during antenatal care (ANC) to ensure that~~

36 ~~they make informed and appropriate infant feeding choices.~~<sup>3,9</sup> For many years, the

37 ~~Government of Botswana (GoB) had recommended that HIV-infected women~~

38 exclusively formula feed their infants and provided infant formula free-of-charge until

39 the infant is one year of age to support this recommendation.<sup>3</sup> However, in 2011, the

40  
41 Botswana Ministry of Health (MoH) recommended exclusive formula feeding (EFF) for  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

the first 6 months of life only for women for whom formula feeding is acceptable, feasible, affordable, sustainable and safe (AFASS).<sup>3,11,12</sup> Botswana has one of the most comprehensive maternal and child health services in sub-Saharan Africa, with nearly 95% of pregnant women receiving prenatal care, and having their deliveries attended by a health professional in a health facility.<sup>9</sup> During prenatal visits all pregnant women regardless of their HIV status, are provided with education and counseling according to the country's infant and young child feeding guidelines (based on the WHO 2010 recommendations<sup>9</sup>) to ensure that they make informed and appropriate infant feeding choices.<sup>3,9</sup> During ANC, pregnant women are counseled on the pros and cons of both breastfeeding and formula feeding in the context of HIV in order to enable them make informed infant feeding choices. Additionally, ~~†~~ They are are also assessed for AFASS using the following criteria:- piped water in the house or yard (safety); electricity, gas or paraffin for cooking fuel (feasibility); disclosure of HIV status by 3 weeks after birth (acceptability); having someone in the household employed (affordability and sustainability); and access to a fridge for storage of prepared formula (safety). Depending on the outcome of the AFASS assessment, ~~the~~ HIV infected pregnant women are supported in their decision to choice of encouraged to either formula feed or breastfeed their infant.

-For ~~HIV positive~~ HIV positive mothers for whom formula feeding is not AFASS, optimal breastfeeding should be recommended and strongly encouraged. - In 2011, the GoB revised the Botswana National PMTCT guidelines and initiated the use of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) for all HIV infected pregnant women regardless

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

of their CD4 cell count. The program aimed at preventing prevention of mother to child transmission (PMTCT) provided HAART for pregnant women who would not have qualified based on their own CD4 cell count.<sup>3</sup> In addition, the guidelines were revised to allow HIV infected women to make an informed decision on whether to breastfeed or formula feed their HIV exposed infant based on the education and counseling received during ANC visits.<sup>3</sup> Effective implementation of these guidelines was expected to improve breastfeeding practices and ultimately enhance the long term survival of HIV exposed infants in the absence of HIV.

Exclusive breast feeding has traditionally been promoted as an important intervention to prevent child morbidity and mortality in low income countries. In addition to the nutritional value of breast milk for infants during their first months of life, dependence on breast milk reduces their exposures to contaminated food and drinks, and also protects them against diarrhea through the anti-infective properties of breast milk. With the emergence of evidence linking breast feeding with mother-to-child-transmission of HIV, many women are conflicted on the issue of whether or not to breast fed their babies.

There is considerable literature on feeding practices in the context of PMTCT, yet there remains a gap in knowledge regarding HIV infected pregnant or lactating women's knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) regarding infant feeding guidelines and the influence the guidelines have on infant feeding practices. In addition to this gap in knowledge, other Research evidence has also shown ~~ers have argued~~ that poor-quality counseling in PMTCT programs and the effects of mass media have created widespread confusion for ~~HIV infected~~HIV infected mothers regarding feeding their infant despite

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 the presence of national guidelines.<sup>13</sup> ~~W~~The women who may be confused by these  
9  
10 messages often fail to receive advice to practice EBF which may result in mixed feeding  
11  
12 and an increased risk of HIV transmission.<sup>14</sup> ~~Thus, our study focused on eliciting~~  
13 ~~information on existing KAP of infant feeding among HIV infected women in Gaborone,~~  
14 ~~Botswana in an effort to improve infant feeding practices in the context of antiretroviral~~  
15 ~~therapy (ART).~~  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22 ~~A cross-sectional quantitative design was used to conduct this study in order to~~  
23 ~~investigate how infant feeding practices among HIV infected pregnant women in~~  
24 ~~Gaborone, Botswana are influenced by the mother's knowledge, attitudes and practices.~~  
25  
26  
27 The main objectives of the study were to identify factors ~~that~~ ~~influe~~ncing infant feeding  
28 choices ~~of among HIV-infected~~ ~~HIV infected~~ pregnant women, to provide data for  
29 evidence-based decision ~~making~~ to improve the ~~quality of~~ Botswana's PMTCT program,  
30 and ~~to~~ allow ~~the~~ Botswana MoH to assess ~~the~~ implementation of the revised guidelines in  
31 order to strengthen future efforts. It is hoped that findings from this study will be of value  
32  
33 not only to the Botswana AIDS Control ~~P~~rogram, but also to other PMTCT programs in  
34  
35 sub-Saharan Africa and other low and middle countries where pediatric HIV/AIDS is a  
36  
37 public health challenge.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

## 45 METHODS

46  
47 A cross-sectional ~~quantitative~~ design was used for the study.

### 48 49 50 Setting



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 This study was conducted in four public infectious disease control clinics (IDCCs)  
9 located in Gaborone, Botswana, managed by the Gaborone City Council. These clinics  
10 were selected because the study population of interest (eligible ~~HIV-infected~~ HIV infected  
11 pregnant women) ~~they provide~~ access to universal HAART prophylaxis ~~at the facilities~~,  
12 and thus, ~~constitute provide~~ a reliable sampling frame from which participants ~~were could~~  
13 ~~be~~ recruited.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

### 20 21 22 Study population

23  
24  
25 ~~All pregnant women that presented at any of the four IDCCs during the study period had~~  
26 ~~an equal and independent chance of being included in the sample.~~<sup>15</sup> ~~The attendance-~~  
27 ~~booking registers in these clinics were used as the sampling frame.~~ The study population  
28 included all ~~HIV-infected~~ HIV infected pregnant women attending IDCCs in Gaborone  
29 for universal HAART program services during the study period. ~~All HIV infected~~  
30 ~~pregnant women who that presented at any of the four IDCCs during the study period had~~  
31 ~~an equal and independent chance of being included in the study sample.~~<sup>15</sup> ~~HIV-~~  
32 ~~infected~~ HIV infected pregnant women who were Botswana citizens, aged 21 years and  
33 above, and willing to ~~participate in the study by providing~~ informed consent were  
34 eligible for inclusion ~~in the study. Using the register of women who came for services at~~  
35 ~~each IDCC, the first author [JN] and a local interpreter compiled a list of potentially~~  
36 ~~eligible respondents.~~ During informed consent, the rationale for the study was explained  
37 to potential respondents (in the local language, Setswana) and their voluntary  
38 participation was sought. All respondents were informed that ~~their participation was~~  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Formatted: Superscript

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 voluntary, and that if they chose not to participate, they would not lose any benefits from  
9 the Ministry of Health health facility. They were also informed of their right to, and  
10 that they could withdraw from the study at any time. Women who volunteered to  
11 participate in the study were asked to sign the inform consent form. Those who could not  
12 read or write were asked to give their thumb print as a confirmation of their consent.  
13  
14 Thus, participation in the study was entirely voluntary and no incentives were provided to  
15 respondents. Respondents were assured of confidentiality, and only study unique  
16 identification numbers were used on the questionnaires. Approval for theis study was  
17 obtained from the Ethics Committee of the University of Liverpool, Liverpool, England  
18 and from the Botswana Ministry of Health through the Health Research and Development  
19 Committee (HRDC).  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

### 31 **Data collection**

32  
33  
34  
35 Data were collected using a structured, interviewer-administered questionnaire. Eligible  
36 respondents were interviewed and data were transcribed from their medical records onto  
37 the questionnaire. The study questionnaire consisted of 33 items that were constructed  
38 based on a review of the literature ~~were adapted from the WHO PMTCT assessment tool.~~  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43 <sup>16</sup> The questionnaire was translated into the local language (Setswana) and pre-tested on  
44 five IDCC attendees who were not involved in the final survey. The questionnaire had the  
45 following subsections: socio-demographic information, clinical information, knowledge  
46 about PMTCT, and infant feeding practices/intentions. Items of the questionnaire elicited  
47 information on participants' sociodemographic characteristics, their knowledge of the  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 objectives of HAART, knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV, strategies to  
9 reduce mother-to-child transmission of HIV during pregnancy, knowledge of infant  
10 feeding practices in the context of HIV, the benefits of exclusive breastfeeding,  
11 knowledge of the role of mixed feeding (breastfeeding and formula feeding) in mother-  
12 to-child transmission of HIV, access to infant feeding counseling in the context of  
13 HAART, infant feeding choices and reasons for the choices, important persons in the  
14 decision to breastfeed or formula feed, etc. Data were collected over a period of four  
15 weeks (June 11 to July 9, 2012) through interviews administered by an interpreter who  
16 was fluent in the local language (Setswana) and received training on ethical conduct of  
17 research and data collection.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

### 28 29 30 **Data analysis**

31  
32  
33 All questionnaires were entered onto Excel spreadsheet and checked for accuracy and  
34 completeness. The data were then exported to the Statistical Package for Social Sciences  
35 (SPSS) version 19 for analysis. With regard to knowledge of PMTCT and PMTCT  
36 practices during breastfeeding, we first provided a summary of the number of correct  
37 responses by participants, and later we categorized participants' the responses as  
38 representing either "high" knowledge when a respondent gave correct-responded  
39 correctly ses to all of the questions, or ~~otherwise we categorized the respondent's as~~  
40 having "low" knowledge when a participant responded incorrectly to one or more of the  
41 questions. Descriptive statistics were used to describe and summarize other variables  
42 such as socio-demographic characteristics of respondents, clinical information,  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 knowledge ~~of about~~ PMTCT, and the important person in decision-making on infant  
9 feeding choices.<sup>15</sup> Pearson Chi-Square or Fisher's Exact Test was used to compare  
10 results between groups. Multiple logistic regression analyses were also employed to  
11 control for possible confounding factors and to assess the separate effects of the study  
12 variables. Odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (95%\_CI) were computed to  
13 assess factors associated with the choice of breastfeeding, knowledge of PMTCT and  
14 PMTCT practices related to breastfeeding. A two-tailed probability level of  $p < 0.05$  was  
15 chosen as the level of statistical significance.

## 26 RESULTS

### 30 Characteristics of respondents

31  
32  
33 Of a total of 102 women from the [infectious disease control clinics \(IDCCs\)](#) who were  
34 eligible for inclusion in the study, 96 volunteered to participate, yielding a response rate  
35 of 94.1%. The demographic characteristics of participants, including age, marital status,  
36 education, employment status and parity are shown in Table 1. ~~The mean age of the~~  
37 ~~respondents was 24.2 years (SD 0.96) with a range of 22 to 42 years.~~ Respondents aged  
38 21-25 years constituted the majority (43.8%; n=42). With regard to marital status, [a](#)  
39 ~~majority (92.7%, n=89)~~ [42.7% \(n=41\)](#) of [the](#) respondents identified themselves as single,  
40 ~~4.2% (n=4) as married, and 50% (n=48) as or~~ co-habiting. ~~Thirty percent (n=29) of the~~  
41 ~~respondents completed junior secondary school education; 28.1% (n=27) completed~~  
42 ~~senior secondary school education while~~ [only](#) 7.3% (n=7) had university-level  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 education. Regarding employment status of the respondents, 39.6% (n=38) were  
9  
10 unemployed during the study period; ~~38.5% (n=37) were employed by the private sector~~  
11 ~~while 8.3% (n=8) were government employees.~~ About half of the study participants  
12  
13 (53.1%; n=51) had 1-2 children; and 34.4% (n=33) were pregnant for the first time, ~~and~~  
14  
15 ~~10.4% (n=10) had 2-4 children.~~

16  
17  
18  
19  
20 All respondents were taking some form of HAART regimen at the time of the  
21 study interview. Table 1 shows that a majority of the respondents (85.4%; n=82) indicated  
22  
23 that they received Atripla as their HAART regimen while 6.3% (n=6) received a  
24  
25 combination of Combivir and Nevirapine (CBV+NVP). As shown in Table 1, nearly half  
26  
27 of the respondents (55.2%; n=53) identified their husbands/partners as the most  
28  
29 influential individual with regard to their choice of infant feeding method; ~~whereas~~  
30  
31 ~~33.3% (n=32) of respondents indicated their mothers had the greatest outside influence~~  
32  
33 ~~on their infant feeding choices.~~ Only 66 of the A total of 66 respondents (70.2%)  
34  
35 indicated that they were counseled on infant feeding options recommended for ~~HIV-~~  
36  
37 ~~infected HIV infected~~ women; ~~29.8% (n=28) of respondents did not receive such~~  
38  
39 ~~counseling.~~

40  
41  
42  
43 As for KAP related to breastfeeding among the respondents, 56.3% of respondents  
44  
45 believed that an infant of an ~~HIV-infected HIV infected~~ mother could become infected  
46  
47 with HIV when breastfed, and 88.4% were concerned about feared-AIDS stigma tization  
48  
49 related to HIV and infant feeding choices. Only about half of the ~~HIV-~~  
50  
51 ~~infected respondents HIV infected~~ women had high knowledge about PMTCT and  
52  
53

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 PMTCT-related practices ~~related to during~~ breastfeeding. Less than one in five (19.8%)  
9  
10 ~~HIV infectedHIV infected~~ women made the decision to exclusively breastfeed their  
11  
12 babies (Table 1).

### 13 14 15 16 **Knowledge and practices related to breastfeeding among ~~HIV infectedHIV infected~~** 17 18 **pregnant women**

19  
20  
21  
22 Data on knowledge and choice of breastfeeding method are presented in Table 2. Pearson  
23  
24 Chi-Square (or Fisher's Exact Test) was used to determine associations between  
25  
26 categorical data. ~~Results indicated that choice of breastfeeding differed markedly among~~  
27  
28 ~~the respondents. Participants who received Atripla (FTC+TDF+EFV) and PMTCT~~  
29  
30 ~~counseling in the antenatal period were more likely to choose exclusive breastfeeding~~  
31  
32 ~~( $P<0.01$ ).~~

33  
34  
35 Multiple logistic regression analysis was used to assess factors associated with  
36  
37 knowledge and choice of infant feeding method. Results indicated that receiving infant  
38  
39 feeding counseling as part of the PTMCT program was significantly associated with the  
40  
41 decision to exclusively breastfeed (OR[95%CI]: 5.38 [1.83, 15.81]). Receiving infant  
42  
43 infant feeding counseling as part of the PMTCT program was also, significantly  
44  
45 associated with high knowledge of PMTCT practices related to breastfeeding  
46  
47 (OR[95%CI]: 5.91[1.06, 34.31]). Women who ~~did not express concern about AIDS did~~  
48  
49 ~~not expressed perceptions of self (internalized) AIDS-related~~ stigma had significantly  
50  
51 higher knowledge of PMTCT practices related to infant feeding (OR [95%CI]: 5.91[1.69,

Formatted: Left

Formatted: No widow/orphan control, Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers

15.56]). -Knowledge of PMTCT practices related to breastfeeding was negatively associated with the belief that breastfeeding could transmit HIV to baby (OR[95%CI]: 9.73 [3.37, 28. 08]).

## DISCUSSION

The basic ethical principle of 'informed choice' requires that ~~HIV positive~~HIV positive women are provided with adequate information about their infant feeding options in the context of prevention of mother to child transmission of HIV.<sup>17</sup> This study observed that only about half of the ~~HIV infected~~HIV infected women had knowledge of PMTCT and PMTCT practices related to breastfeeding. This finding is similar to that demonstrated by Hailu<sup>18</sup> who found that only 30.5% of women in Jimma, Ethiopia had sufficient knowledge of infant feeding options recommended for ~~HIV positive~~HIV positive women.

<sup>18</sup> Results revealed that counselling on infant feeding provided as part of the PMTCT program was significantly associated with knowledge of PMTCT practices related to breastfeeding [OR(95%CI): 5.91(1.06, 34.31)]. Although the Botswana National PMTCT guideline recommends that all pregnant women be counseled on infant feeding choices, in the present study only 70% of the respondents indicated that they received such counseling service during ANC. This suggests that gaps exist within the PMTCT program guidelines and actual practice. Hence giving rise to missed opportunities. Developing a checklist of topics to be discussed with all pregnant women during every ANC visit might be an effective strategy to address this gap.

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt

The Botswana Government had promoted exclusive formula feeding by ~~HIV-infected~~<sup>HIV infected</sup> women for many years but presently allows these women to make informed infant feeding choices.<sup>3</sup> However, informed decision-making can only take place when the women are provided with individualized, unbiased and accurate information about infant feeding options, and when this information is presented in a way that is compatible with women's beliefs and at an appropriate health literacy level.<sup>17,19</sup>

This underscores the need for training and re-training of maternal and child health workers in the rational, principles, and methods of infant feeding counseling in the context HIV infection, based on WHO and national guidelines on infant feeding in the context of HIV<sup>13,9,10,11</sup>. Similarly, providing every pregnant woman with a brief guidance manual on infant feeding in the context of HIV infection written in the local language, and with appropriate pictorial explanations might help to ensure that all deserving mothers have access to uniform standard information based upon which they can make informed choices about infant feeding.

The Botswana Family Health Survey showed that only 20% of mothers breastfed exclusively for the first six months.<sup>20</sup> Similarly, our study found that less than 1 in 5 (19.8%) ~~HIV-infected~~<sup>HIV infected</sup> mothers chose to exclusively breastfeed. This finding is in agreement with those of Tomasoni et al<sup>21</sup> and Hailu<sup>18</sup> who found similar low rates of EBF among ~~HIV-positive~~<sup>HIV positive</sup> mothers (46% and 13.4% respectively).

Previous studies have explored factors associated with choice of breastfeeding among ~~HIV-infected~~<sup>HIV infected</sup> women. The A-study by Hailu<sup>18</sup> found that ~~the~~ infant feeding

Formatted: Underline  
Formatted: Superscript  
Formatted: Superscript  
Formatted: Underline, Superscript, Highlight



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 choices made by lactating mothers in Ethiopia were significantly associated with their  
9  
10 ages, while a South African study reported that sociocultural factors (including social  
11 stigma of HIV/AIDS, maternal age and family influences on feeding practices, economic  
12 circumstances, beliefs about HIV transmission through breast milk and beliefs about the  
13 quality of breast milk compared to formula) influenced the decision to exclusively  
14 breastfeed. As demonstrated in our study, counseling on infant feeding during antenatal  
15 visits was an important predictor of infant feeding choices (~~OR[95%CI]: 5.38 (1.83,~~  
16 ~~15.81))~~.

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 It is noteworthy that women who did not expressed concern about AIDS stigma  
27 perceptions of self (internalized) AIDS stigma had significantly higher knowledge of  
28 PMTCT practices related to infant feeding. (~~OR[95%CI]: 5.91(1.69, 15.56)~~) This may be  
29 an indication of the barrier that AIDS-related stigma poses against uptake of PMTCT  
30 services among HIV infected pregnant women in the study setting. It is known that for  
31 social stigma to present a barrier against uptake of services, effected individuals must  
32 accept the devaluation and discrediting that accompany the stigma. They must perceive  
33 themselves as guilty of moral transgression and accept the blame put on them.

34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43 ~~Even in situations where a family's response to a HIV infected individual is positive, the~~  
44 ~~fear of stigma and discrimination from the larger society can create a barrier against~~  
45 ~~uptake of available services.~~ It is important for PMTCT programs to address stigma in  
46 order to promote service uptake. Since many of the key influences on AIDS-related  
47 stigma and discrimination are broad-based and deeply rooted with the structures of  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 communities, the most effective interventions would be those with sound theoretical  
9 foundations, and that include attention to individual as well as social and structural  
10 barriers. In a review of AIDS-related stigma in sub-Saharan Africa, Ehiri et al.<sup>22</sup>  
11 presented the case for a multi-level approach that involves action directed at health  
12 workers, religious leaders, members of the judicial system, the media, people living with  
13 HIV/AIDs, and their family members.<sup>22</sup>  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20  
21  
22 HIV-infected pregnant women are encouraged to exclusively breastfeed their infants for  
23 at least 6 months with proper HAART in both high and low-income countries owing to  
24 the proven benefits [of breastfeeding](#) for both the mother and the infant.<sup>23-24</sup> For example,  
25 results of a clinical trial in Kenya indicated that giving breastfeeding women a triple-  
26 ARV regimen from late pregnancy to 6 months after birth is a safe, feasible way to  
27 reduce MTCT in resource-limited settings.<sup>25</sup> ~~Similarly, one large study of 560 HIV-~~  
28 ~~infected pregnant women in Botswana reported only 2 cases of post-natal transmission of~~  
29 ~~HIV among infants of women who were adherent to ART.~~<sup>26</sup> A cohort study in India  
30 found higher rates of HIV-free survival in breastfed infants, reporting a cumulative 12-  
31 month mortality of formula-fed infants of 9.6% versus 0.68% among breastfed infants.<sup>26</sup>  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 [Indeed, WHO's recommendation that all mothers who are known to be HIV-infected](#)  
42 [either on lifelong ART or not, who exclusively breastfeed their infants should do so for 6](#)  
43 [months, introduce appropriate complementary foods thereafter and continue](#)  
44 [breastfeeding for the first 12 months of life is based on a plethora of research that](#)  
45 [demonstrate the positive effect of exclusive breastfeeding on HIV-free survival of infants](#)  
46 [born to HIV infected mothers.](#)<sup>ref[s27-32]</sup> ~~The low rate of HIV transmission found in this~~  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 study, in addition to the higher HIV free survival rates in breastfed infants, support  
9  
10 PMTCT universal ART for all HIV infected ~~HIV infected~~ pregnant women combined  
11  
12 with breastfeeding in an attempt to balance the risk of HIV transmission with risk of  
13  
14 infant mortality due to other causes.<sup>27</sup>  
15

16  
17  
18 After reviewing results of their study in South Africa, Patel et al<sup>28</sup> concluded that the  
19  
20 recommendation of EBF for HIV infected ~~HIV infected~~ women should be further  
21  
22 strengthened in resource poor settings for long term child health.<sup>28</sup> Their study  
23  
24 demonstrated that EBF, combined with effective maternal/infant ART significantly  
25  
26 reduced transmission of HIV to infants through breastfeeding.<sup>29</sup>  
27

28  
29 Evidence ~~shows remains~~ that there is significant benefit of breastfeeding regardless of the  
30  
31 setting as it has been shown to result in positive health outcomes for infants, even in  
32  
33 countries with reliable water and sanitation systems, where gastrointestinal problems and  
34  
35 other infectious diseases are not a concern.<sup>30-314</sup> ~~In high income countries,~~

36  
37 breastfeeding has been associated with reduced blood pressure and cholesterol levels as  
38  
39 well as reduced risk of obesity and diabetes in adulthood.<sup>30</sup> From a life course approach,  
40  
41 breastfeeding promotion can provide health benefits at the population level.<sup>30</sup> A  
42  
43 longitudinal study which investigated the health effects of breastfeeding in high income  
44  
45 countries, with particular reference to diarrhea and ear infections, showed that  
46  
47 breastfeeding has a protective effect for the outcomes of interest.<sup>31</sup> In addition, this study  
48  
49 showed a dose response relationship where the more breast milk the infant received in  
50  
51 the first 6 months of life, the less likely the infant is to develop diarrhea and ear infections.  
52

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 Infant feeding counseling is vital for all mothers irrespective of their socioeconomic and  
11 HIV status. The WHO recommends that HIV positive HIV positive mothers be counseled  
12 on infant feeding options and be supported in whichever method they choose.<sup>9</sup> The  
13 Government of Botswana recommends that all pregnant women are provided with infant  
14 feeding information and counseling during antenatal care (ANC) regardless of their HIV  
15 status in order to ensure that they are supported in making informed infant feeding  
16 choices.<sup>3</sup> Unfortunately, results of this study show that nearly 30% of HIV positive HIV  
17 positive pregnant women indicated that they did not receive this service. Given the  
18 demonstrated positive relationship between counseling during ANC and knowledge of  
19 PMTCT practices related to breastfeeding as well as the women's decision to breastfeed,  
20 infant feeding counseling in the context of HIV needs to be strengthened in order to  
21 improve informed breastfeeding choices by HIV positive HIV positive mothers in  
22 Botswana.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35  
36  
37 A majority of the women in this study (80.2%) opted to formula feed their babies. This  
38 could be explained by the fact that many health care workers commonly prescribe or  
39 encourage formula feeding despite the availability of evidence supporting EBF where  
40 formula feeding is not acceptable, feasible, affordable, sustainable and safe (AFASS).

41  
42  
43  
44  
45 Doherty et al<sup>32</sup> ~~conducted a~~ conducted a series of qualitative interviews of a prospective  
46 cohort of 650 HIV positive HIV positive mothers in South Africa and considered the  
47 influence of healthcare workers on infant feeding choices. The study concluded that  
48 health workers possess significant influence over HIV infected HIV infected women's  
49  
50  
51  
52

Formatted: Level 2, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Pattern: Clear (White)

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 initial infant feeding choices. Doherty et al.<sup>32,34</sup> stated that some women expressed  
9 feeling pressured or 'forced' by their provider to choose a particular feeding method as a  
10 result of their HIV status. Available evidence shows that women who received formula  
11 company-produced infant feeding materials from their health providers at their first  
12 prenatal visit were more likely than those who did not receive these materials to stop  
13 breastfeeding before hospital discharge and before 2 weeks postpartum. Those who were  
14 uncertain about their decision to breastfeed, or with a plan to breastfeed for 12 weeks or  
15 less, and who received the commercial materials from their health providers also had  
16 notably lower rates of exclusive breastfeeding and overall ~~duration~~<sup>33</sup> duration.<sup>35</sup>  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28 Thus, understanding ethical considerations and providing unbiased information about  
29 infant feeding options at the provider level has the potential to improve outcomes of  
30 PMTCT services in Botswana and similar low and middle income countries where  
31 mother-to-child transmission of HIV is a public health challenge.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

### 37 Strengths and limitations

38  
39  
40  
41 ~~Given the small size for this study, r~~Results of this study provide only a snapshot  
42 assessment of the effectiveness of implementation of the Botswana PMTCT guidelines of  
43 2011, ~~which -that~~ provide ~~HIV-infected~~HIV infected pregnant women the opportunity to  
44 make informed infant feeding choices. ~~The cross-sectional design used in this study~~  
45 ~~limited the ability to demonstrate causality. The reported knowledge, attitudes, infant~~  
46 ~~feeding choices or the personal characteristics of respondents who agreed to participate in~~  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

~~the study, could have been different from those of participants who declined to participate.~~

~~It is important to note that only HIV-infected HIV infected pregnant women who attended the four study participating IDCCs during the period of the study period were recruited participated in the study. Those that attended non-participating IDCCs were not interviewed. These patients might have had different KAP regarding infant feeding choices from those who were interviewed. Therefore, findings from this study cannot be generalized to all HIV-infected HIV infected pregnant women in the Botswana National PMTCT program. This study had a low sample size, and was likely insufficiently powered to detect associations.~~

### Implications

~~The findings of this study have implications for further research, public health policy and practice. Pediatric AIDS remains a major contributor to child mortality in resource poor countries; thus, interventions that seek to significantly reduce mother-to-child transmission of HIV have the potential to contribute towards the achievement of the Millennium Development Goal of reducing child mortality by two thirds by 2015 from the 1990 level.~~

~~Exclusive breast feeding has traditionally been promoted as an important intervention to prevent child morbidity and mortality in low income countries. In addition to the nutritional value of breast milk for infants during their first months of life, dependence on breast milk reduces their exposures to food borne pathogens, and also protects them against diarrhea through the anti-infective properties of breast milk. With the emergence of evidence linking breast feeding with mother to child transmission of HIV, many women are conflicted on the issue of whether or not to breast feed their babies. The findings that a majority of the participants do not receive the recommended counseling on~~

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

infant feeding underscores the need for PMTCH programs in low income countries to take proper care to understand global and national recommendations on infant feeding in the context of HIV, and to find appropriate ways to communicate research evidence to HIV infected women so they can make informed choices regarding infant feeding option.

The finding that a majority of the respondents in this study (92.7%, n=89) 42.7% (n=41) of the respondents identified themselves as either single or co-habiting calls for a need to generally target this population group with interventions to reduce HIV infection through reduction of risky sexual behaviors.

~~Secondly findings from the research study will contribute towards the achievement of the Millennium Development Goals number 4 and 5 of reducing child mortality by two-thirds and decreasing maternal mortality rates by three quarters by 2015. Further research using qualitative or mixed method approaches are need to~~ For further research, firstly, ~~more in-depth qualitative research is needed to better explore enablers and barriers in provision of infant feeding counseling recommended for all HIV infected pregnant women. Efforts should be made to understand health workers' challenges in implementing this recommendation, and the reasons why some HIV infected women do not receive infant feeding counseling need to be explored. KAP regarding infant feeding choices among HIV infected pregnant women in Gaborone, Botswana. Secondly findings from the research study will contribute towards the achievement of the Millennium Development Goals number 4 and 5 of reducing child mortality by two thirds and decreasing maternal mortality rates by three quarters by 2015. Secondly~~ Thirdly, To gather generalizable information that can be used to improve the quality of Botswana's PMTCT program, it would be beneficial to ~~this study should be replicate this study~~ and at other IDCC sites as well as ANC clinics to warrant generalization of results to HIV-infected pregnant women elsewhere in the country, Botswana. With regard to policy and practice, ~~to~~ To effectively improve EBF rates amongst HIV-infected HIV infected women, policy makers must make concerted efforts to advocate, promote, and sustain the universal HAART program for pregnant women, and strengthen ANC services. The Botswana Ministry of Health should provide adequate training of healthcare workers on

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

infant feeding counseling for HIV infected women to ensure that they are in a good position to provide unbiased and balanced infant feeding counseling to their clients.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 13 pt, Font color: Custom Color(RGB(26,26,26))

#### Author affiliations

<sup>1</sup>School of Population, Community & Behavioural Sciences, University of Liverpool  
Liverpool L69 3BX, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup>Botswana-Harvard School of Public Health, AIDS Institute Partnership, Gaborone,  
Botswana

<sup>3</sup>Department of Social Medicine & Health Service Management, Third Military Medical  
University, Chongqing, China

<sup>4</sup>Division of Health Promotion Sciences/Global health Institute, Mel & Enid Zuckerman  
College of Public Health, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, USA

**Contributors:** JN participated in the design of the study and collected data; (interviews of respondents). She also prepared the first draft of the manuscript, and drafting of the manuscript. NN conducted literature review, and edited drafts of the manuscript. YL conducted data analysis and participated in the drafting of the manuscript. CM conducted

25

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: Left, Space After: 0 pt



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 literature review and participated in the drafting of the manuscript. JE guided and  
9 supervised the conceptualization and the design of the study, provided oversight of  
10 quality control of the research implementation, and edited drafts of the manuscript.  
11  
12

13  
14  
15  
16 **Competing interests:** None.

17  
18 **Ethics approval:** Approval for this study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the  
19 University of Liverpool, Liverpool, England and from the Botswana Ministry of Health  
20 through the Health Research and Development Committee (HRDC).  
21  
22

23  
24 **Data sharing statement** No additional data are available.  
25

## 26 27 28 29 References

30  
31  
32  
33 1. [Joint United Nations Programme on AIDS. \*Botswana: HIV and AIDS estimates,\*](#)

34 [2011. \[Online\] Available from:](#)

35 <http://www.unaids.org/en/regionscountries/countries/botswana/> (Accessed

36  
37  
38  
39 [October 13, 2013\).](#)

40  
41  
42  
43 2. [Republic of Botswana. \*Progress report of the national response to the 2011\*](#)

44 [declaration of commitments on HIV and AIDS National AIDS Coordinating](#)

45  
46  
47 [Agency. \[Online\] Available from:](#)

48  
49  
50 <http://www.unaids.org/en/dataanalysis/knowyourresponse/countryprogressreports/>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 [2012countries/ce\\_BW\\_Narrative\\_Report%5B1%5D.pdf](#) (Accessed October 13,  
9 [2013](#)).

10  
11  
12  
13  
14 3. [Ministry of Health \(Botswana\) \*Botswana National Guidelines Prevention of\*](#)  
15 [Mother-to-Child Transmission of HIV](#). Gaborone, Botswana. [Online] Available  
16 from: <http://www.hiv.gov.bw/content/prevention-mother-child-hiv-transmission>  
17  
18 (Accessed: October 13, 2013).

19  
20  
21  
22  
23 4. [World Health Organization \[WHO\] \*Rapid advice: Use of antiretroviral drugs for\*](#)  
24 [treating pregnant women and preventing HIV infection in infants](#). Geneva:  
25 Switzerland. 2009a. [Online] Available from:  
26 [http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/mtct/rapid\\_advice\\_mtct.pdf](http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/mtct/rapid_advice_mtct.pdf) (Accessed October 13,  
27  
28 2013).

29  
30  
31  
32  
33 5. [World Health Organization \[WHO\]. \*Rapid advice: revised WHO principles and\*](#)  
34 [recommendations on infant feeding in the context of HIV](#). Geneva: Switzerland.  
35  
36 2009b.

37  
38  
39  
40  
41 6. [Jones G, Steketee RW, Black RE, et al. How many child deaths can we prevent](#)  
42 [this year? \*Lance\* 2003; 362:65-71.](#)

43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48 7. [Greiner T. Programs to protect, support, and promote breastfeeding. \*Encyclopedia\*](#)  
49 [on Early Childhood Development](#). Second Edition. Montreal, Quebec: Centre of  
50

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 [Excellence for Early Childhood Development and Strategic Knowledge Cluster](#)  
9 [on Early Child Development; 2008:1-7. \[Online\] Available from:](#)  
10 <http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/documents/GreinerANGxp.pdf> (Accessed  
11 [October 13, 2013](#)).

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 8. [World Health Organization \[WHO\] & United Nations Children's Fund \[UNICEF\].](#)  
19 [Global strategy for infant and young child feeding.](#) Geneva: Switzerland.  
20 [\[Online\] Available from:](#)  
21 [http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/gi\\_infant\\_feeding\\_text\\_eng.pdf](http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/gi_infant_feeding_text_eng.pdf)  
22 [\(Accessed October 13, 2013\)](#).

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29 9. [World Health Organization \[WHO\].](#) [Guidelines on HIV and infant feeding 2010:](#)  
30 [Principles and recommendations for infant feeding in the context of HIV and a](#)  
31 [summary of evidence.](#) Geneva/New York. 2010. World Health Organization/Joint  
32 [United National AIDS Program/UNICEF.](#)  
33 [http://www.who.int/maternal\\_child\\_adolescent/documents/9789241599535/en/](http://www.who.int/maternal_child_adolescent/documents/9789241599535/en/)  
34 [\(Accessed, October 10, 2013\)](#).

35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43 10. [Sguassero Y.](#) [Optimal duration of exclusive breastfeeding: RHL commentary.](#)  
44 [The WHO Reproductive Health Library;](#) Geneva, Switzerland: World Health  
45 [Organization.](#) 2008. [\[Online\] Available from:](#)  
46 [http://apps.who.int/rhl/pregnancy\\_childbirth/care\\_after\\_childbirth/yscom/en/](http://apps.who.int/rhl/pregnancy_childbirth/care_after_childbirth/yscom/en/)  
47 [\(Accessed October 13, 2013\)](#).

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
11. World Health Organization [WHO]. *HIV and Infant Feeding Technical Consultation-Consensus Statement* October 25-27, 2006. Geneva, Switzerland. [Online] Available from: <http://www.who.int/child-adolescenthealth/publications/NUTRITION/consensusstatement.htm> (Accessed 10 October 2013).
12. World Health Organization [WHO]. *Integrated Management of Childhood Illnesses (IMCI) Complementary course on HIV/AIDS; Module 3; Counseling the HIV Positive Mother*. Geneva: Switzerland. 2007. [Online]. Available from: [http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2006/9789241594370.m3\\_eng.pdf](http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2006/9789241594370.m3_eng.pdf) (Accessed October 10, 2013).
13. Woldesenbet S, Jackson D. *The impact of quality of antenatal HIV counselling on HIV-free survival*. Abstract WEPED226, 5th IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis, Treatment and Prevention, Cape Town, South Africa, 19–22 July 2009.
14. Chopra M, Doherty T, Jackson D, Ashworth A. Preventing HIV transmission to children: quality of counseling of mothers in South Africa. *Acta Paediatrica* 2005; 94(3):263-265.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
15. Bruce N, Pope D, Stanistreet D. *Quantitative Research Methods for Health Research: A practical interactive guide to epidemiology and statistics*. J Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester, UK. 2008.
16. Oladokun RE, Brown BJ, Osinusi K. Infant-feeding pattern of HIV-positive women in a prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT) programme. *AIDS Care*. 2010, 22: 9: 1108-1114.
17. Thairu LN, Pelto GH, Rollins NC, Bland RM, Ntshangase N. Sociocultural influences on infant feeding decisions among HIV-infected women in rural Kwa-Zulu Natal, South Africa. *Matern Child Nutr* 2005; 1(1):2-10.
18. Hailu C. *Assessment of KAP among mothers about VCT and feeding of infants born to HIV positive women in Jimma town, Ethiopia*. Unpublished MPH (Community Health) thesis. Addis Ababa: University of Addis Ababa. 2005.
19. Kanj M, Mitic W. *Promoting health and development: Closing the implementation gap*. Unpublished Conference Document, 7<sup>th</sup> Global Conference on Health Promotion. Nairobi, Kenya: October 2009. Available from: [http://www.who.int/healthpromotion/conferences/7gchp/Track1\\_Inner.pdf](http://www.who.int/healthpromotion/conferences/7gchp/Track1_Inner.pdf) (Accessed October 10, 2013).
20. Sennamose O. *Breastfeeding declines* DailyNews, 3 August 2012, p.7

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
21. [Tomasoni LR, Galli M, Declich S, et al. Knowledge, attitudes and practice \(KAP\) regarding newborn feeding modalities in HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected pregnant women in sub-Saharan Africa: A multicentre study. \*International Health\* 2011; 3\(1\):56-65.](#)
22. [Ehiri JE, Anyanwu EC, Donath E, Kanu I, Jolly PE. AIDS-related stigma in sub-Saharan Africa: its contexts and potential intervention strategies. \*AIDS Public Policy J.\* 2005; 20\(1-2\):25-39.](#)
23. [World Health Organization \(2010b\) \*Guidelines on HIV and infant feeding: principles and recommendations for infant feeding in the context of HIV and a summary of evidence.\* Geneva: Switzerland. \[Online\] Available from: \[http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44345/1/9789241599535\\\_eng.pdf\]\(http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44345/1/9789241599535\_eng.pdf\) \(Accessed October 10, 2013\).](#)
24. [Coovadia H, Kindra G. Breastfeeding to prevent HIV transmission in infants: balancing pros and cons. \*Current Opinion in Infectious Disease\* 2008; 21\(1\):11-15.](#)
25. [Thomas TK, Masaba R, Borkowf CB, et al. Triple-antiretroviral prophylaxis to prevent mother-to-child HIV transmission through breastfeeding- The Kisumu Breastfeeding study, Kenya: A clinical trial. \*PLoS Med\* 2011; 8\(3\): e1001015.](#)

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
26. Alvarez-Uria G, Midde M, Pakam R, Bachu L, Naik PK. [Effect of formula feeding and breastfeeding on child growth, infant mortality, and HIV transmission in children born HIV-infected pregnant women who received triple antiretroviral therapy in a resource-limited setting: data from an HIV cohort study in India.](#) *ISRN Pediatr.* 2012;763591.
27. Mbori-Ngacha D, Nduati R, John G, et al. [Morbidity and mortality in breastfed and formula-fed infants of HIV-1-infected women: A randomized clinical trial.](#) *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 2001, 286(19):2413–2420.
28. Lockman S, Smeaton L, Shapiro R, et al. [Morbidity and mortality among infants born to HIV-infected mothers and randomized to breastfeeding versus formula-feeding in Botswana \(MASHI study\).](#) Abstract TuPE0357, XVI International AIDS Conference, Toronto, Canada, 13–18 August 2006.
29. Kuhn L, Sinkala M, Kankasa C, et al. [High uptake of exclusive breastfeeding and reduced early post-natal HIV transmission.](#) *PLoS One* 2007;26:2(12):e1363.
30. Becquet R, Bequet L, Ekouevi DK, et al. [Two-year morbidity-mortality and alternatives to prolonged breast-feeding among children born to HIV-infected mothers in Cote d’Ivoire.](#) *Public Library of Science Medicine*, 2007, 4(1):e17.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
31. [Becquet R, Ekouevi DK, Sakarovitch C, et al. \*Two-year morbidity and mortality in breastfed and formula-fed children born to HIV-infected mothers.\* ANRS 1201/1202 Ditrane plus, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. Abstract TUPE0350, XVI International AIDS Conference, Toronto, Canada, 13–18 August 2006.](#)
32. [Becquet R, Bland R, Leroy V, et al. Duration, pattern of breastfeeding and postnatal transmission of HIV: pooled analysis of individual data from West and South African cohorts. \*Public Library of Science ONE\*, 2009, 4\(10\):e7397.](#)
33. [Scariati PD, Grummer-Strawn LM, Fein SB. A longitudinal analysis of infant morbidity and the extent of breastfeeding in the United States. \*Pediatrics\* 1997; 99\(6\):E5](#)
34. [Doherty T, Chopra M, Nkonki L, Jackson D, Greiner T. Effect of the HIV epidemic on infant feeding in South Africa: 'When they see me coming with the tins they laugh at me'. \*Bulletin of the World Health Organization\* 2006; 84:90-96.](#)
35. [Howard CR, Howard FM, Lawrence R, et al. Office prenatal formula advertising and its effect on breast-feeding patterns. \*Obstet Gynecol.\* 2000; 95\(2\):296–303.](#)



**Table 1: Characteristics of Participants (N=96)**

<u>Characteristics</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	
	<u>21-25</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>43.8</u>
	<u>26-30</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>31.3</u>
<u>Age group (years)</u>	<u>31-35</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>18.8</u>
	<u>36-40</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5.2</u>
	<u>41-45</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
	<u>Single</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>42.7</u>
<u>Marital status</u>	<u>Married</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4.2</u>
	<u>Cohabiting</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>50.0</u>
	<u>Separated</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3.1</u>
	<u>Primary school</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15.6</u>
	<u>Junior secondary</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>30.2</u>
	<u>Junior secondary with additional training</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8.3</u>
<u>Educational level</u>	<u>Senior secondary</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>28.1</u>
	<u>College or vocational training</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>10.4</u>
	<u>University</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7.3</u>
	<u>Unemployed</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>39.6</u>
	<u>Government employee</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8.3</u>
<u>Employment status</u>	<u>Private Employee</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>38.5</u>
	<u>Self-employed</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7.3</u>
	<u>Volunteer</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2.1</u>
	<u>Student</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4.2</u>
	<u>1-2</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>53.1</u>
<u>Parity</u>	<u>3-4</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>10.4</u>
	<u>5 or more</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2.1</u>
	<u>None</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>34.4</u>
	<u>Atripla (FTC+TDF+EFV)</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>84.4</u>
	<u>CBV+NVP</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6.3</u>
<u>HAART Regimen received</u>	<u>CBV+Kaletra</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5.2</u>
	<u>TDF+FTC+Kaletra</u>		
	<u>Others</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4.2</u>

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

<u>Reasons for receiving HAART</u>	<u>PMTCT (Universal HAART)</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>68.8</u>
	<u>Maternal Treatment</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>31.3</u>
<u>Most important person in making decision on infant feeding</u>	<u>My father</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3.1</u>
	<u>My husband/partner</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>55.2</u>
	<u>My mother</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>33.3</u>
	<u>My Sister</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5.2</u>
<u>Received Infant feeding counseling during ANC (N=94)</u>	<u>My Aunt</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3.1</u>
	<u>Yes</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>70.2</u>
<u>Chose to breastfeed</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>29.8</u>
	<u>Yes</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>19.8</u>
<u>Believe breastfeeding transmits HIV to baby</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>80.2</u>
	<u>Yes</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>56.3</u>
<u>Concerned about HIV stigma</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>43.8</u>
	<u>Yes</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>88.4</u>
<u>Knowledge of PMTCT</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>11.6</u>
	<u>1 correct response</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4.2</u>
	<u>2 correct response</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>17.7</u>
	<u>3 correct response</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>26.0</u>
	<u>4 correct response</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>52.1</u>
<u>Knowledge of PMTCT related to breastfeeding</u>	<u>1 correct response</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1.0</u>
	<u>2 correct response</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0.00</u>
	<u>3 correct response</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2.1</u>
	<u>4 correct response</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5.2</u>
	<u>5 correct response</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>13.5</u>
	<u>6 correct response</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>27.1</u>
	<u>7 correct response</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>51.0</u>

**Table 2: Respondents' knowledge of PMTCT and choice of breastfeeding**

Variable	Choice of exclusive breastfeeding		Knowledge about PMTCT		Knowledge about PMTCT practice related to breastfeeding		Breastfeeding transmits HIV to baby	
	Yes No. (%)	No No. (%)	High No. (%)	Low No. (%)	High No. (%)	Low No. (%)	Yes No. (%)	No No. (%)
<b>Age group (years)</b>								
21-25	14(33.3)	28(66.7)	22(52.4)	20(47.6)	25(59.5)	17(40.5)	29(69.0)	13(31.0)
26-30	3(10.0)	27(90.0)	15(50.0)	15(50.0)	16(53.3)	14(46.7)	16(53.3)	14(46.7)
31-35	2(11.1)	16(88.9)	10(55.6)	8(44.4)	6(33.3)	12(66.7)	7(38.9)	11(61.1)
36-40	0(0.0)	5(100.0)	2(40.0)	3(60.0)	1(20.0)	4(80.0)	2(40.0)	3(60.0)
41-45	0(0.0)	1(100.0)	1(100.0)	0(0)	1(100.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(100.0)
<b>Marital status</b>								
Single	12(29.3)	29(70.7)	34(82.9)	7(17.1)*	32(78.0)	9(22.0)*	39(95.1)	2(4.9)*
Married	0(0.0)	4(100.0)	3(75.0)	1(25.0)	3(75.0)	1(25.0)	2(50.0)	2(50.0)
Cohabiting	6(12.5)	42(87.5)	12(25.0)	36(75.0)	13(27.1)	35(72.9)	13(27.1)	35(72.9)
Separated	1(33.3)	2(66.7)	1(33.3)	2(66.7)	1(33.3)	2(66.7)	0(0.0)	3(100.0)
<b>Educational level</b>								
Primary school	5(33.3)	10(66.7)	13(86.7)	2(13.3)*	9(60.0)	6(40.0)	13(86.7)	2(13.3)
Junior secondary	6(20.7)	23(79.3)	19(65.5)	10(34.5)	14(48.3)	15(51.7)	16(55.2)	13(44.8)
Junior secondary with additional training	3(37.5)	5(62.5)	3(37.5)	5(62.5)	5(62.5)	3(37.5)	6(75.0)	2(25.0)
Senior secondary	4(14.8)	23(85.2)	11(40.7)	16(59.3)	13(48.1)	14(51.9)	13(48.1)	14(51.9)
College or vocational training	1(10.0)	9(90.0)	0(0.0)	10(100.0)	4(40.0)	6(60.0)	3(30.0)	7(70.0)
University	0(0.0)	7(100.0)	4(57.1)	3(42.9)	4(57.1)	3(42.9)	3(42.9)	4(57.1)
<b>Employment status</b>								
Unemployed	10(26.3)	28(73.7)	23(60.5)	15(39.5)	23(60.5)	15(39.5)	24(63.2)	14(36.8)
Government employee	2(25.0)	6(75.0)	3(37.5)	5(62.5)	3(37.5)	5(62.5)	2(25.0)	6(75.0)
Private Employee	4(10.8)	33(89.2)	15(40.5)	22(59.5)	18(48.6)	19(51.4)	19(51.4)	18(48.6)
Self-employed	2(28.6)	5(71.4)	4(57.1)	3(42.9)	2(28.6)	5(71.4)	5(71.4)	2(28.6)
Volunteer	1(50.0)	1(50.0)	2(100.0)	0(0.0)	1(50.0)	1(50.0)	2(100.0)	0(0.0)
Student	0(0.0)	4(100.0)	3(75.0)	1(25.0)	2(50.0)	2(50.0)	2(50.0)	2(50.0)
<b>Parity</b>								
2-Jan	9(17.6)	42(82.4)	20(39.2)	31(60.8)*	20(39.2)	31(60.8)*	22(43.1)	29(56.9)*
4-Mar	1(10.0)	9(90.0)	9(90.0)	1(10.0)	7(70.0)	3(30.0)	8(80.0)	2(20.0)
5 or more	0(0.0)	2(100.0)	2(100.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	2(100.0)	1(50.0)	1(50.0)

None	9(27.3)	24(72.7)	19(57.6)	14(42.4)	22(66.7)	11(33.3)	23(69.7)	10(30.3)
<b>HAART Regimen received</b>								
Atripla (FTC+TDF+EFV)	17(21.0)	64(79.0)*	43(53.1)	38(46.9)*	42(51.9)	39(48.1)*	48(59.3)	33(40.7)
CBV+NVP	1(16.7)	5(83.3)	2(33.3)	4(66.7)	3(50.0)	3(50.0)	1(16.7)	5(83.3)
CBV+Kaletra	1(20.0)	4(80.0)	5(100.0)	0(0.0)	4(80.0)	1(20.0)	4(80.0)	1(20.0)
TDF+FTC+Kaletra								
Others	0(0.0)	4(100.0)	0(0.0)	4(100.0)	0(0.0)	4(100.0)	1(25.0)	3(75.0)
<b>Reasons for receiving HAART</b>								
PMTCT	15(22.7)	51(77.3)	36(54.5)	30(45.5)	36(54.5)	30(45.5)	42(63.6)	24(36.4)*
Maternal Treatment	4(13.3)	26(86.7)	14(46.7)	16(53.3)	13(43.3)	17(56.7)	12(40.0)	18(60.0)
<b>Most important person in making decision on infant feeding</b>								
My father	2(66.7)	1(33.3)	3(100.0)	0(0.0)	1(33.3)	2(66.7)	3(100.0)	0(0.0)
My husband/partner	10(18.9)	43(81.1)	27(50.9)	26(49.1)	25(47.2)	28(52.8)	30(56.6)	23(43.4)
My mother	7(21.9)	25(78.1)	15(46.9)	17(53.1)	19(59.4)	13(40.6)	16(50.0)	16(50.0)
My Sister	0(0.0)	5(100.0)	4(80.0)	1(20.0)	2(40.0)	3(60.0)	4(80.0)	1(20.0)
My Aunt	0(0.0)	3(100.0)	1(33.3)	2(66.7)	2(66.7)	1(33.3)	1(33.3)	2(66.7)
<b>Concerned about AIDS stigma to HIV infection</b>								
Yes	16(19.0)	68(81.0)	47(56.0)	37(44.0)	47(56.0)	37(44.0)*	51(60.7)	33(39.3)*
No	3(27.3)	8(72.7)	3(27.3)	8(72.7)	2(18.2)	9(81.8)	3(27.3)	8(72.7)
<b>Received infant feeding counseling during ANC</b>								
Yes	18(27.3)	48(72.7)*	41(62.1)	25(37.9)*	42(63.6)	24(36.4)*	44(66.7)	22(33.3)*
No	1(3.6)	27(96.4)	8(28.6)	20(71.4)	7(25.0)	21(75.0)	10(35.7)	18(64.3)
<b>Believed breastfeeding transmits HIV to baby</b>								
Yes	14(25.9)	40(74.1)	38(70.4)	16(29.6)*	39(72.2)	15(27.8)*	—	—
No	5(19)	37(77)	12(28.6)	30(71.4)	10(23.8)	32(76.2)	—	—
<b>Knowledge of about PMTCT</b>								
High	13(26.0)	37(74.0)	—	—	—	—	38(76.0)	12(24.0)*
Low	6(13.0)	40(87.0)	—	—	—	—	16(34.8)	30(65.2)
<b>Knowledge about PMTCT related during to breastfeeding</b>								
High	9(18.4)	40(81.6)	—	—	—	—	39(79.6)	10(20.4)*
Low	10(21.3)	37(78.7)	—	—	—	—	15(31.9)	32(68.1)

\*Pearson Chi-Square or Fisher's Exact Test was used to compare results between groups.

\*P<0.05

**Table 3: Multi logistic analysis of factors associated with choice of infant feeding options, knowledge of PMTCT, and knowledge of PMTCT practices related to breastfeeding**

Variable	Choice of exclusive breastfeeding OR(95%CI)*	High knowledge of PMTCT OR(95%CI)**	High knowledge of PMTCT during breastfeeding OR(95%CI)**	Breastfeeding could transmit HIV to baby*
<b>Marital status</b>				
Single	—	1	—	—
Married	—	34.37 (0.72,46.77)	—	—
Cohabiting	—	8.17(0.07, 13.00)	—	—
Separated	—	0.75(0.02, 31.77)	—	—
<b>Educational level</b>				
Primary school	—	1	—	—
Junior secondary	—	8.81 (0.63, 21.89)	—	—
Junior secondary with additional training	—	6.24 (0.65, 64.38)	—	—
Senior secondary	—	1.49(0.011,20.57)	—	—
College or vocational training	—	1.77 (0.019, 15.82)	—	—
University	—	—	—	—
<b>Concerned about HIV stigma to HIV infection</b>				
Yes	—	—	1	—
No	—	—	5.91(1.69, 15.56)	—
<b>Received infant feeding counseling during ANC</b>				
No	1	—	1	—
Yes	5.38 (1.83, 15.81)	—	5.91(1.06, 34.31)	—
<b>Knowledge of about PMTCT related to during breastfeeding</b>				
Yes	—	—	—	1
No	—	—	—	9.73 (3.37, 28.08)

\*Adjusted for age and education.

\*\* Adjusted for age

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Add space between paragraphs of the same style

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cross-sectional studies*

	Item No	Recommendation	Page # in Manuscript
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2 & 4
<b>Introduction</b>			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	5-6
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any pre-specified hypotheses	6-7
<b>Methods</b>			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	8
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	8
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	8-9
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	9-10
Data sources/measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	9-10
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	10
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	8-9
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	9-10
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	10-11
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	10-11
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	N/A
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	N/A
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	N/A

<b>Results</b>			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	11
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	N/A
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	11-12
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	N/A
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	11-12
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	12-13
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	N/A
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	N/A
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	N/A
<b>Discussion</b>			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	13-17
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	18
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	18
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	18-19
<b>Other information</b>			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	N/A

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2 \*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.  
3

4 **Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and  
5 published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely  
6 available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at  
7 <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is  
8 available at [www.strobe-statement.org](http://www.strobe-statement.org).  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For peer review only