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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the efficacy and safety of eculizumab for patients with atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome (aHUS), compared with current treatment options.

Design: A systematic review was performed according to the general principles of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement. All study designs were included, except case histories.

Participants: All patients diagnosed with aHUS were included; no age restrictions were used.

Interventions: Eculizumab compared with current treatment options.

Identification of studies: 12 databases were searched. Additional searches were performed through the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Electronic Medicines Compendium websites, Google internet searches and contacting clinical experts. Reference lists of relevant articles were checked for additional studies.

Results: 2 small, uncontrolled prospective multinational, multicentre studies and one small uncontrolled multinational, multicentre retrospective study were included. No meta-analyses were performed. Compared with baseline measures, thrombotic microangiopathy event-free status was achieved in 84% of patients in the prospective studies. Adverse events, as documented by enrolling investigators were frequent, with upper respiratory tract infection affecting a third of patients. No deaths or episodes of meningitis or meningococcal septicaemia occurred in the prospective studies. Results of the study extension phases up to 114 weeks indicate that the benefits of the treatment are sustained.

Conclusions: Eculizumab is clinically effective for the treatment of aHUS. Further research is needed to evaluate eculizumab, ideally using patient-related clinical outcomes. If randomised studies are not feasible, study investigators should ensure that the threat of bias is minimised in future studies of eculizumab with respect to the reporting of patient recruitment and selection.

INTRODUCTION

Haemolytic uraemic syndrome (HUS) is defined as an acute onset of renal impairment with increased serum urea and creatinine levels, thrombocytopenia (platelet count <150×10^9/L) and microangiopathic haemolytic anaemia (haemoglobin <10 g/dL).12 It is most usefully classified according to the underlying aetiology.3 The commonest cause of HUS (90%) is Shiga toxin-mediated HUS (Stx-HUS), historically defined as ‘typical HUS’. A second type of HUS, known as atypical HUS (aHUS) is aetiologically distinct and may be familial (20%) or sporadic (80%).4 aHUS is classed as a rare or ultra-orphan disease, which in the UK describes conditions with a prevalence of <1 case/50 000 population.5 There are approximately 170 people with aHUS in the UK. The European community data estimate the incidence of aHUS to be 2 per million population and prevalence as 7 cases per million in children.6 Recent data have shown that the onset of aHUS is as frequent in adulthood (58.4%) as in childhood (41.6%). Seventy per cent of all cases studied (n=214) had presented by 40 years of age.7 aHUS commonly develops due to dysregulation of the alternative pathway of complement. To date, mutations in the complement regulatory genes factor H (CFH, 20–30%), membrane cofactor protein (CD46, 10–15%), factor I (CFI, 5–10%) and Thrombomodulin (THBD, 3–5%); the activatory genes factor B (CFB, 1–4%) and C3 (2–10%) and autoantibodies to CFH (6–10%)
have been described and account for 50–60% of aetio-
logically defined aHUS.4 8 Mutations causing an
aHUS-like phenotype are also reported in non-
complement pathway genes, for example, in MMACHC9
and MTHFR10 genes of the cobalamin pathway and the
lipid kinase diacylglycerol kinase epsilon (DGKE) gene.11

The natural history of aHUS relates to the underlying
genetic mutation, and historically it has been a condi-
tion with a poor prognosis. More than 60% of patients
with mutations in CFH, CFI, C3, FB or THBD die or
develop end-stage renal disease (ESRD) or death despite
active treatment within 1 year of presentation.12 Patients
with C9orf72 mutations have a better long-term outcome,
with 30% progressing to ESRD, despite a frequently
relapsing course.13 The mortality rate is higher in chil-
dren than in adults (6.7% vs 0.8% at 1 year, p=0.02), but
progression to ESRD was more frequent in adults (46% vs
16%, p<0.001).7

Evaluating a role for eculizumab in the management
of aHUS requires an assessment of previous best prac-
tice. In addition to supportive care and dialysis, the
mainstay of treatment for acute or relapsing episodes
of aHUS was plasma infusion (PI) or plasma exchange
(PE) therapy. Outcomes of plasmapheresis for aHUS
have recently been reviewed4 14, although around 60%
of patients with aHUS respond initially,15 historical
reports suggest that 50% are left with chronic kidney
injury.16 In those with factor H autoantibody aHUS, the
antibody titre often rises after plasmapheresis is discon-
tinued and aHUS recurs. The chance of relapses may be
significantly reduced by the addition of an immuno-
suppressive therapy. The choice of agent is not currently
standardised but has included steroids, mycophenolate
mofetil, cyclophosphamide and rituximab.4

Overall, plasmapheresis is a safe procedure with a mor-
tality rate of less than 1 in 10 000 PE procedures.14 17
Potential complications include risks associated with vas-
cular access insertion, infection; fluid balance changes
during the procedure; hypersensitivity reactions; a small
risk of viral transmission from plasma; and reduced
patient tolerance over the longer term leading to treat-
ment withdrawal.14 18 Plasmapheresis in children has
recently been reviewed. It can be performed safely but
has additional technical challenges. There are high rates
of catheter-related thrombosis and infection and a need
to modify treatments to avoid complications from the
relatively large extracorporeal blood volumes needed to
use the automated apheresis equipment.19

For those with end-stage kidney disease on long-term
dialysis, options have been very restrictive. This is
because isolated renal transplantation in those with
soluble protein mutations in, for example, factor H or
factor I, is associated with extremely high rates of graft
loss due to the recurrence of aHUS. As such, isolated
renal transplantation cannot normally be recom-
An previous systematic review of HUS interventions (lit-
Herature included up to June 2006), was published in
2009. Seven randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in 476
young children, who predominantly had Stx-HUS were
included. There were many limitations, of which the
small number of participants with aHUS and the failure
of five of the seven trials to recruit and to report results
for atypical and typical HUS separately are of particular
relevance to this work. None of the interventions
studied were superior to supportive therapy in patients
with Stx-HUS.2 No RCTs specifically evaluating the
effectiveness of any intervention including PE or PI were
found for patients with aHUS.2

Eculizumab is a new therapy being tested in patients
with aHUS. Eculizumab (Soliris) is a humanised mono-
clonal IgG2/4k antibody produced from murine myeloma cells by recombinant DNA technology. It acts
as a complement inhibitor, binding specifically to com-
plement protein C5 with high affinity, thereby inhibiting
cleavage to C5a, a prothrombotic and proin-
flammatory molecule and C5b, preventing the generation of the ter-
minal complement complex C5b-9.22 It was originally
tested for rheumatoid arthritis without success, but is
now used routinely for paroxysmal nocturnal haemo-
globinuria (PNH).23 It is licensed by the European
Medicines Agency (EMA), and the US Food and Drug
Administration (FDA) for treatment of aHUS.24 The
drug is not yet widely available in the UK.

METHODS
We undertook a systematic review with the aim of asses-
sing the evidence for the efficacy and safety of eculizu-
mb in patients with aHUS, compared against current
treatment options. A protocol was developed and a sys-
tematic review performed according to the general prin-
ciples recommended in the Preferred Reporting Items
for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)
statement.25

Inclusion criteria
Studies were included if patients had a diagnosis of
aHUS (no age restrictions were used) and received ecu-
lizumab. Relevant comparators were PI, PE, dialysis and
renal transplantation. All study designs were included,
except case histories.

Identification of studies
We sought relevant studies by searching the following
databases: MEDLINE (1966 to January 2012), EMBASE
(1980 to January 2012), MEDLINE In-Process (January
2012), Biological Abstracts (1985 to January 2012), NHS
EED (January 2012), NHS HTA (January 2012), Web of Science (1899 to December 2010), CENTRAL/CCTR (January 2012), CDSR (January 2012), CINAHL (1982 to January 2012), Citation Indexes (Science and Social Sciences; January 2012), DARE (January 2012). Ongoing trials were sought using ClinicalTrials.gov, Current Controlled Trials and UKCRN Portfolio database. For grey literature, OpenGrey database was searched. Authors were contacted for additional data and searches of the US FDA and Electronic Medicines Compendium websites were also undertaken to obtain further data. An update search was undertaken in June 2013. The search strategy was designed to identify all studies with no language restriction (see online supplement 1).

**Study selection and data extraction**

Titles and abstracts were independently screened for relevance by two reviewers (JR and EK) and full text reports of potentially relevant studies were inspected for inclusion. Where disagreements occurred, resolution was achieved by discussion and where doubt remained, a third reviewer was consulted. Data were abstracted by two reviewers (JR and EK), quality assessed and cross-checked for accuracy.

**Assessment of study quality**

The prospective studies identified by the search were appraised using checklist criteria for non-randomised studies adapted from Chambers. The quality of the retrospective study was not assessed due to the inherent biases associated with this study design and the lack of a control group.

**RESULTS**

**Study selection**

The database search identified 1398 citations. Nine further citations were identified from additional searches from other sources. Once duplicates were removed, 1218 citations were excluded at the title/abstract stage and 189 articles were obtained for examination of the full text. Of these, 176 were excluded because they did not meet the inclusion criteria. In total, three studies were identified from the searches, relating to 13 citations (PRISMA diagram, see online supplement 2).

**Study characteristics**

Of the three studies, two were prospective, open-label, single-arm studies (C08-002 and C08-003). Study C08-002 (trial 1) included patients in the early phase of aHUS who were resistant to plasma therapy, while patients in C08-003 (trial 2) had longer term aHUS and were receiving maintenance plasma therapy. The third study was a retrospective single arm study (C09-001R) with 15 paediatric patients. Details of the study characteristics are shown in online supplement 3.

**Risk of bias assessment**

A summary of the risk of bias in studies C08-002 and C08-003 is presented in online supplement 4. Bias was assessed using a modified assessment criteria for case series. The quality of study C09-001R was not assessed due to the inherent biases associated with retrospective study designs.

Selection criteria were reported in both studies, but patient eligibility was not clearly described. It is unclear how patients were selected or whether all patients identified with aHUS who fitted the inclusion criteria were included in the studies. It is unclear whether study populations derived from multiple multinational specialist centres can be considered representative of patients with aHUS seen in routine clinical practice. Both studies restricted the population to include only patients with age >12 years.

Appropriate measures of variability were used in both studies, with CIs around the points estimate to indicate variability. Loss to follow-up and reasons for leaving the studies early were reported in both studies, and more than 90% of those included at baseline were followed up. It is not clear from the study reports whether patients were recruited prospectively. Patients were not recruited consecutively which introduces potential for recruitment bias. Prognostic factors such as complement abnormalities and biochemical tests were reported for both studies.

**Results of individual studies**

Table 1 shows the main efficacy results for the two prospective studies. In both prospective studies, change in platelet count from baseline to week 26 was significantly different at the 95% confidence level. In study C08-002, 14 of 17 patients (82%) were reported to have a normal platelet count. In study C08-003, platelet count normalisation occurred in 18 of 20 (90%) patients.

In study C08-002, 15 of 17 patients (88%) achieved thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA) event-free status, while in study C08-003, 80% of patients achieved TMA event-free status (defined as ≥12 weeks of stable platelet count, no PE/PI and no new dialysis). In studies C08-002 and C08-003, the daily median TMA intervention rate, defined as the number of PE or PI interventions and number of new dialyses required per patient per day, measured from pre-eculizumab to study endpoint was significantly different (p<0.0001). Both studies C08-002 and C08-003 reported chronic kidney disease (CKD) improvement by ≥1 stage. In study C08-002, 10 of 17 patients (59%) showed improvement. In study C08-003, 7 of 20 patients (35%) showed improvement in kidney function. The median estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) change at 26 weeks was 20 mL/min/1.73 m² (median range −1 to 98) in study C08-002. In study C08-003, the median change in eGFR was 5 mL/min/1.73 m² (median range −1 to 20). Improvement in eGFR of ≥15 mL/min was observed in 9 of 17 patients (53%) in study C08-002 and only one patient (5%) in study C08-003.
In study C08-002, haemoglobin level improved in 11 of 17 (65%) patients, although three patients did receive erythropoiesis stimulating agent (ESA) prior to receiving eculizumab. In study C08-003, 9 of 20 (45%) patients showed improvement in haemoglobin; however, eight patients received ESA which was discontinued in three patients during eculizumab therapy. In study C08-002, complete TMA response, defined as haematological normalisation, and a ≥25% reduction in serum creatinine sustained in ≥2 consecutive measurements for ≥4 weeks) occurred in 11 of 17 patients (65%); in C08-003, 25% (5/20) of patients achieved a complete TMA response. No deaths occurred in the two prospective studies at a median follow-up period of 64 weeks (study C08-002) and 62 weeks (study C08-003).

**Follow-up data week 40, and median week 114 (study C08-003)**

Nineteen patients continued into the extension phase and data analysis was reported on 19 patients using an intention-to-treat (ITT) design. At week 40, 16 of 20 patients (80%) remained TMA event free during eculizumab treatment. By median follow-up at 114 weeks, the 19 patients that continued eculizumab treatment remained TMA event free (95%). TMA intervention rate was significantly different (p<0.001) from baseline at week 40. Improvement in CKD stage was maintained in 7 of 19 patients (35%) achieving ≥1 CKD stage improvement at week 40, and this had increased to 60% (12/19) by median 114 weeks. Quality of life (QoL; EuroQoL 5D score) was significantly improved (p<0.0001) at week 40 with 73% achieving a minimally important difference in QoL. Further improvements in proteinuria (decrease ≥1 grade) occurred at 114 weeks in 80% (12/15) of patients; serum creatinine decrease (≥25%) was achieved in 55% of patients at week 114. The proportion of patients with improved renal function (eGFR ≥15 mL/min/1.73 m²) continued by a 2-year follow-up in 15 patients (88%) achieving and maintaining a platelet count of (150×10⁹/L). Haematological normalisation was seen in 88% of patients. TMA event-free status was also achieved in 15 patients (88%). Improvements in eGFR (increase of ≥15 mL/min/1.73 m²) continued by a 2-year follow-up in 10 of 17 patients (58%). Further improvements in CKD stage (≥1 stage) occurred in 71% of patients; serum creatinine decrease (≥25%) was achieved in 75% of patients, and proteinuria decrease by (≥1 grade) were seen in seven of nine patients. EuroQoL 5D score remained significantly improved (p=0.0001) at 2 years.

**Results of study C09-001R**

Results for the retrospective study are presented in table 2. No paediatric patient required new dialysis during treatment with eculizumab. Platelet count normalisation was observed.
for the majority of paediatric patients (14/15), and complete TMA response occurred in almost half of all patients (7/15). Improvement was observed in the daily TMA intervention rate which was lower during the eculizumab treatment compared with the intervention rate before eculizumab therapy. In 8 of 15 patients (53%), an improvement in eGFR of ($\geq 15$ mL/min/1.73 m$^2$) was observed.

Duration of current severe clinical TMA manifestation (C09-001R)

Paediatric patients that had a shorter duration of severe TMA (<2 months) were more likely to achieve complete TMA response and improvement in eGFR with eculizumab compared with patients with a longer duration (>2 months) of severe TMA (table 3).$^{31}$ Platelet count normalisation and TMA event-free status were similar between the two times for TMA manifestation.

Death

In the retrospective study C009-001R, two deaths occurred but the manufacturer did not relate these to eculizumab treatment. One patient died after carotid artery surgery due to arterial stenosis. The other patient died due to graft versus host disease after undergoing bone marrow transplantation.

Adverse events reported within study C08-002 and study C08-003

Hypertension and upper respiratory tract infection were the most frequently reported adverse events in the two prospective studies (table 4).$^{34}$ Headache and diarrhoea were also frequent problems experienced by patients. Leucopenia affected 16% of patients, although no reports of life-threatening infections occurred during the study phase.

Adverse events reported within study C09-001R

Fever and diarrhoea were the most frequently recorded adverse events in the retrospective study (table 5).$^{34}$ Upper respiratory tract infections were also common with 32% patients reporting this adverse event while receiving eculizumab.

DISCUSSION

Results from the two prospective single arm studies comparing pre-eculizumab baseline measures with follow-up at 26 weeks showed TMA event-free status was achieved by 88% of patients in study C08-002 and 80% in study C08-003. Both prospective studies reported a statistically significant improvement in TMA intervention rate by the end of the study period (p<0.0001). CKD stage improvement by $\geq 1$ stages was observed in both prospective studies, and median change in eGFR occurred in both studies (20 mL/min/1.73 m$^2$ in study C08-002, and 5 mL/min/1.73 m$^2$ in study C08-003). QoL scores were significantly improved in the short and long term.

### Table 2 Efficacy results in paediatric patients enrolled in aHUS (C009–001R)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Efficacy parameters</th>
<th>Patients &lt;2 years (n=5)</th>
<th>Patients 2 to &lt;12 years (n=10)</th>
<th>Patients &lt;12 years (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requiring new dialysis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with platelet count normalisation, n (%)</td>
<td>4/5 (80)</td>
<td>10/10 (100)</td>
<td>14/15 (93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete TMA response*, n (%)</td>
<td>2/5 (40)</td>
<td>5/10 (50)</td>
<td>7/15 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily TMA intervention rate†, median (range) Before eculizumab</td>
<td>1 (0, 2)</td>
<td>&lt;1 (0.07, 1.46)</td>
<td>&lt;1 (0, 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On eculizumab treatment</td>
<td>&lt;1 (0, &lt;1)</td>
<td>0 (0, &lt;1)</td>
<td>0 (0, &lt;1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with eGFR improvement $\geq 15$ mL/min/1.73 m$^2$, n (%)</td>
<td>2/5 (40)</td>
<td>6/10 (60)</td>
<td>8/15 (53)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*TMA response is haematological normalisation plus at least a 25% reduction in serum creatinine for a minimum of 4 weeks.†TMA intervention rate is the number of plasma exchange or plasma infusion interventions and the number of new dialyses required per patient per day.

### Table 3 Results in paediatric patients (C09-001R) according to duration of current severe clinical TMA manifestation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of current severe TMA manifestation</th>
<th>&lt;2 months, N=10 (%)</th>
<th>&gt;2 months, N=5 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Platelet count normalisation</td>
<td>9 (90)</td>
<td>5 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMA event-free status*</td>
<td>8 (80)</td>
<td>3 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete TMA response†</td>
<td>7 (70)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR improvement $\geq 15$ mL/min/1.73 m$^2$</td>
<td>7 (70)</td>
<td>0†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*TMA event-free status is $\geq 12$ weeks of stable platelet count, no PE/PI and no new dialysis.†Complete TMA response is haematological normalisation plus at least a 25% reduction in serum creatinine for a minimum of 4 weeks.

Source: Electronic Medicines Compendium.$^{31}$ aHUS, atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; TMA, thrombotic microangiopathy.
No deaths were reported during the study period or during the study extension phase in both prospective studies. The 40 and 114-week follow-up data (C08-003) indicated that this improvement was maintained. In the retrospective study (C09-001R), none of the paediatric patients needed new dialysis during treatment with eculizumab, and platelet count normalisation was observed in 14 of the 15 patients in this uncontrolled study. Additional analysis suggested that patients treated with eculizumab by <2 months had a better response to treatment. The maintenance of the beneficial effects of eculizumab over time in the aHUS trial is consistent with the experience of 195 PNH patients over 66 months on eculizumab. There were no treatment-related deaths and treatment was well tolerated with no evidence for cumulative toxicity and a decreasing occurrence of adverse effects over time. Importantly, PNH patients also showed a time-dependent improvement in renal function with 93.1% of patients showing improvement or stabilisation of a CKD score at 36 months.43

Thus, overall, eculizumab appears to be a highly effective treatment for aHUS, although it was not compared head to head with optimal plasmapheresis (current best practice in the UK). However, the absence of the requirement for new dialysis or deaths over 114-week follow-up in C08-002 suggests that the outcome for eculizumab is significantly better than that recently

Table 4  Patient incidence of adverse events reported in at least 10% of patients (US Food and Drug Administration (FDA))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C08-002 (n=17)</th>
<th>C08-003 (n=20)</th>
<th>Total (n=37)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension*</td>
<td>8 (47)</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
<td>13 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infections and infestations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper respiratory tract infection†</td>
<td>5 (29)</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
<td>13 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infection</td>
<td>4 (24)</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>6 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhoea</td>
<td>6 (35)</td>
<td>6 (30)</td>
<td>12 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>5 (29)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>8 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>4 (24)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>7 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nervous system disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>7 (41)</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>11 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood and lymphatic system disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaemia</td>
<td>6 (35)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>9 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucopenia</td>
<td>4 (24)</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>6 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td>4 (24)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>5 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>2 (12)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>5 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharyngolaryngeal pain</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>5 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General disorders and administration site conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>3 (18)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral oedema</td>
<td>3 (18)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>3 (18)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear and labyrinth disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertigo</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain in extremity</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes the preferred terms hypertension, accelerated hypertension and malignant hypertension.
†Includes the preferred terms upper respiratory tract infection and nasopharyngitis.

Source: US FDA.34

Table 5  Adverse reactions occurring in at least 15% of patients less than 18 years of age enrolled in aHUS study C09-001R

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>&lt;2 years (n=5)</th>
<th>2 to &lt;12 years (n=10)</th>
<th>12 to &lt;18 years (n=4)</th>
<th>Total (n=19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>4 (80)</td>
<td>4 (60)</td>
<td>1 (25)</td>
<td>9 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhoea</td>
<td>1 (20)</td>
<td>4 (40)</td>
<td>1 (25)</td>
<td>6 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>2 (40)</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td>1 (25)</td>
<td>4 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper respiratory tract infection*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>3 (60)</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>5 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal congestion</td>
<td>2 (40)</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tachycardia</td>
<td>2 (40)</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes the preferred terms upper respiratory tract infection and nasopharyngitis.

Source: US FDA.34

aHUS, atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome; FDA, Food and Drug Administration.
reported for aHUS treated with plasmapheresis alone in adults with respect to rates of death (0.8%) or ESRD (46% at 1 year). Likewise, the absence of death, lack of need for new dialysis and improvement in eGFR in the children treated in the retrospective trial C009-001R is encouraging given previous reports of 1-year mortality of 6.7% and ESRD rate of 16%. However, there are some indications for caution with a longer term use of eculizumab. First, erythrocytes and platelets have extensive iC3b and C3d deposited on them through opsonisation, following inhibition of complement at the C5 level. This can shorten their half-life with increased clearance through the reticuloendothelial system and has led to renal biopsy performed in three patients with either dense deposit disease or C3 glomerulopathy before and after eculizumab treatment all showed no significant reduction in C3 or C5b-9 staining and de novo monoclonal staining for IgGx in the same distribution as C3 and C5b-9, mimicking monoclonal immunoglobulin deposition disease on post-treatment biopsies. Staining of the γ heavy chain was restricted to the IgG2 and IgG4 subclasses, suggesting binding of the monoclonal eculizumab to C5 in renal tissue. The long-term effects of this drug–tissue interaction are not known.

Most orphan drugs submitted for regulatory approval are based on RCTs that follow generally accepted rules and guidance. However, randomising patients with ultra-orphan diseases such as aHUS may be impractical due to the difficulty of recruiting sufficient patients, and because the risk of disease progression or death is relatively high in this population ethical considerations may prevent the use of RCTs, or patient withdrawal studies. Therefore, transparent reporting of patient recruitment and selection are needed to inform decision makers if the findings are applicable to all patients with aHUS. Results may not be generalisable to non-specialist centres and non-expert use. The limitations of the prospective studies are that the average age of patients was 28 years with an age range of 13–68 years. A more representative sample would have included a paediatric population to reflect this important group of patients with the disease. Owing to the absence of a control group, inference of treatment effects may be confounded and it remains uncertain whether all patients would respond to eculizumab or even require treatment as reports indicate that some patients with aHUS, for example, those with CD46 mutations, experience natural recovery without any therapy. There is also potential for publication bias in evaluating the retrospective study. The initial two case reports of treatment of aHUS with eculizumab were published in the *N Engl J Med* in 2009 and 2010 and have been followed by numerous other positive case reports which have contributed significantly to the field in informing best use of eculizumab. However, it is less clear if negative data would be similarly published. Second, the case reports which preceded the prospective trials by 4 years have the potential for skewing perception in the field. An example of this may exist with extrapolation of use of eculizumab to Stx-HUS. Here, despite an initial case report suggesting a benefit of eculizumab in three children with *Escherichia coli* O157-mediated Stx-HUS, the summary of results from a large subgroup of adults affected with *E coli* O104-mediated Stx-HUS (n=491) was that eculizumab and PE (n=193) offered no additional advantage compared to PE (n=241) or to best practice supportive care alone (n=57) in a non-randomised trial, where the most severely affected adult patients received eculizumab in addition to PE. It is therefore necessary to examine this question in a formal RCT looking at children and adults diagnosed with Stx-HUS.

The lack of use of an RCT for aHUS may also be questionable despite the caveats regarding recruitment with orphan diseases. The 20 patients in trial C08-003 on chronic plasmapheresis were all removed from treatment and converted to eculizumab. It would have been possible to perform a prospective randomised crossover trial with these patients in two groups of 10 which would have yielded useful information. For example, a recent double blind placebo controlled crossover trial performed in 14 patients with myasthenia gravis showed that 6 of 7 patients treated with eculizumab for 16 weeks (86%) achieved the primary end point of a three-point reduction in Quantitative Myasthenia Gravis (QMG) score. When both treatment periods were examined, overall change in mean QMG score was significantly different between eculizumab and placebo (p<0.0001). Complete reporting is needed in orphan diseases to facilitate the evaluation of the clinical and cost effectiveness of treatment and provide clinicians and policy makers with sufficient evidence to make informed decisions. Establishing global patient registries, or utilising data from the International Registry of Recurrent and Familial HUS established in Italy would provide insights into the natural history of this rare disease. Long-term follow-up data need to be made available for all outcomes. Many outcomes were biological markers. Ideally, where surrogate outcomes are substituted for clinical endpoints in order to predict clinical benefit, these should be validated to demonstrate the relationship between surrogate and patient-related outcome.

The safety data indicated that adverse events in the uncontrolled prospective studies are frequent, with hypertension and upper respiratory tract infection affecting about a third of patients, though it remains unclear if these are treatment-related or complications of aHUS. The potentially serious complication of leucopenia affected 16% of patients, although no serious meningococcal infections were reported in the first phase or follow-up period. Only adverse events occurring in at least 10% of patients were reported and therefore, rare but serious complications of treatment could remain unreported. In the retrospective study C09-001R, adverse events were only reported using a higher threshold of

≥15% of patients. Fever was a frequently reported adverse event in 47% of patients. Upper respiratory tract infection and diarrhoea affected about a third of patients. One case of meningococcal infection was reported in a patient with aHUS, which occurred in study C09-001R after the data cut-off period. The patient fully recovered and remained on eculizumab. Thus, the safety data in the short-term treatment of aHUS is reassuring. However, risks of meningococcal sepsis remain throughout treatment duration because late complement pathway deficiencies predispose to meningococcal infections by the absence of meningococcal lysis through classical and alternative pathways. Consequently, protection against meningococcal disease by antibody-mediated killing becomes essential. All patients need to be vaccinated against Neisseria meningitidis and many physicians also provide antibiotic prophylaxis, for example with ciprofloxacin as the commonest European meningococcal serotype, (type B), is not included in the tetravalent vaccine. Patient education about this potential complication of eculizumab treatment will be critical to facilitate rapid treatment with appropriate antibiotics as soon as there is any suspicion of meningococcal disease. Levels of side effect monitoring and awareness of meningococcus are very high within trials but may not be maintained outside trial settings.

Poor response to meningococcal vaccination has been reported in two patients with renal transplants on immunosuppression, one of whom developed meningococcal septicaemia which responded to prompt resuscitation and empiric antibiotic treatment. Subsequent repeat vaccination, including a different conjugate vaccine preparation, also failed to induce immunity. A further transplant patient had waning but protective titres to meningococcus C vaccination. Therefore, if eculizumab becomes used more widely to facilitate renal transplantation for patients with underlying aHUS, there needs to be an awareness of the potential for complication by meningococcal disease despite prior vaccination. Also, the efficacy of vaccination in eculizumab-treated patients receiving immunosuppressive therapy cannot be assumed. Patients should preferably be vaccinated before receiving immunosuppressive therapy. The trial data may also not be extrapolatable to proposed use of eculizumab to facilitate de novo transplantation in those patients with aHUS established long term on dialysis. Patients on dialysis have poor response to vaccinations, for example, failed seroconversion and loss of immunity with time after hepatitis B vaccination.

Following completion of the systematic review, the Advisory Group for National Specialised Services in the UK has advised government ministers that there is evidence of clinical effectiveness for the treatment of aHUS; ministers have since requested further data on its affordability and findings are expected to be reported by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) at the end of 2013.

CONCLUSIONS

The results from three, small, uncontrolled studies indicate that eculizumab is clinically effective in patients with aHUS and reduces TMA activity as measured by TMA event-free status, and normalises platelet count in the majority of patients. No severe life-threatening adverse events were associated with treatment. Study extension results suggest the benefits of treatment are sustained. However, due to the study design limitations, inference of treatment effects may be confounded by the lack of a control group.
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Supplement 1: MEDLINE search strategy

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to February Week 3 2013>

Search Strategy:
1  atypical hemolytic ur?emic syndrome$.tw.
2  ahus.tw.
3  1 or 2
Supplement 2: PRISMA (adapted) flow chart of included and excluded studies.

Records identified through database search (n =1398)

Additional records identified through other sources (n =9)

Records after duplicates removed (n =1407)

Records screened (n =1407)

Records excluded (n =1218)

Full-text articles assessed for eligibility (n =189)

Full-text articles excluded, with reasons (n =176)

Studies included in the review (n=3)
(citations n=13)
Supplement 3: Study characteristics for studies C08-002, C08-003 and C09-001R

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Study C08-002 N=17</th>
<th>Study C08-003 N=20</th>
<th>Study C09-001R N=15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient age</td>
<td>Median 28 years (range 17-68 years)</td>
<td>Median 28 years (range 13-63 years)</td>
<td>Range 2 months-12 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender - male, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (29)</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of illness months (CI)</td>
<td>Median 10 months (0.26 – 236)</td>
<td>Median 48 months (0.66 – 286)</td>
<td>Median 14 months (range &lt;1, - 110 months).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma resistance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other diagnoses</td>
<td>Ongoing systemic thrombotic microangiopathy, hemolytic anemia,</td>
<td>Established chronic renal impairment, without apparent evidence of systemic thrombotic microangiopathy</td>
<td>Current thrombotic microangiopathy manifestation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH level, median (U/l) (CI)</td>
<td>269 (134 – 634)</td>
<td>200 (151 – 391)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline platelet count (× 109/L), median (range)</td>
<td>118 (62, 161)</td>
<td>218 (105, 421)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test</td>
<td>Value 1</td>
<td>Value 2</td>
<td>Value 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin — g/liter Median</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine — μmol/liter Median</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>234</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAMTS-13 level &gt;5%, n (%)</td>
<td>17 (100)</td>
<td>20 (100)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR level &lt;30 ml/min/1.73m2 n (%)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>11 (55)</td>
<td>7 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutation and/or auto-antibodies identified, n (%)</td>
<td>13 (76)</td>
<td>14 (70)</td>
<td>7 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous kidney transplant</td>
<td>7 (41)</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of dialysis, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (35)</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dosage</td>
<td>eculizumab 900 mg every 7 ± 2 days for 4 weeks, followed by 1,200 mg 7 ± 2 days later, then 1,200 mg every 14 ± 2 days</td>
<td>≥ 1 eculizumab dose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost to follow-up</td>
<td>2*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n/a - not available
* 1 pt with undiagnosed SLE, and 1 pt due to an adverse event.
## Supplement 4: Quality assessment of included prospective studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria used for quality assessment</th>
<th>C08-002</th>
<th>C08-003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Were selection/eligibility criteria adequately reported?</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Was the selected population representative of that seen in normal practice?</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Was an appropriate measure of variability reported?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Was loss to follow-up reported or explained?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Were at least 90% of those included at baseline followed up?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Were patients recruited prospectively?</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Were patients recruited consecutively?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Did the study report relevant prognostic factors?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>