Effects of exenatide and liraglutide on heart rate, blood pressure and body weight: systematic review and meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To synthesise current evidence for the effects of exenatide and liraglutide on heart rate, blood pressure and body weight.

Design: Meta-analysis of available data from randomised controlled trials comparing Glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) analogues with placebo, active antidiabetic drug therapy or lifestyle intervention.

Participants: Patients with type 2 diabetes.

Outcome measures: Weighted mean differences between trial arms for changes in heart rate, blood pressure and body weight, after a minimum of 12-week follow-up.

Results: 32 trials were included. Overall, GLP-1 agonists increased the heart rate by 1.86 beats/min (bpm) (95% CI 0.85 to 2.87) versus placebo and 1.90 bpm (1.30 to 2.50) versus active control. This effect was more evident for liraglutide and exenatide long-acting release than for exenatide twice daily. GLP-1 agonists decreased systolic blood pressure by 1.79 mm Hg (−2.94 to −0.64) and −2.39 mm Hg (−3.35 to −1.42) compared to placebo and active control, respectively. Reduction in diastolic blood pressure failed to reach statistical significance (−0.54 mm Hg (−1.15 to 0.07) vs placebo and −0.50 mm Hg (−1.24 to 0.24) vs active control).

Body weight decreased by 3.31 kg (−4.05 to −2.57) compared to active control, but by only −1.22 kg (−1.51 to −0.93) vs placebo.

Conclusions: GLP-1 analogues are associated with a small increase in heart rate and modest reductions in body weight and blood pressure. Mechanisms underlying the rise in heart rate require further investigation.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

- Glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) agonists are increasingly used in the management of type 2 diabetes, but their long-term cardiovascular safety is not yet confirmed.
- These agents are known to reduce body weight and blood pressure, but are also associated with an elevation in heart rate that has not previously been quantified.

Key messages

- Our analysis confirms the weight and blood pressure reducing effects of liraglutide and exenatide, and reports a small rise in heart rate.
- The weight-reducing effects are substantially greater when compared with active control treatments than placebo, as alternative treatment options may promote weight gain.
- Heart rate rises were more evident for liraglutide than exenatide, and for exenatide long-acting release than exenatide twice daily.

Strengths and limitations

- We included unpublished data obtained from pharmaceutical companies, enabling the effects of GLP-1 agonists on heart rate to be quantified for the first time by meta-analysis.
- Our analysis is limited by significant heterogeneity between studies, and suggests the need for more detailed investigation using more accurate measurements of heart rate than those typically used in clinical practice.

INTRODUCTION

In contrast to the weight-increasing effects of several traditional antidiabetic drug classes,¹ Glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) analogues have been shown to reduce both body weight and blood pressure.² The mechanisms producing weight loss have been extensively investigated and involve improved satiety and reduced calorie ingestion, both through effects on the central nervous system and through delayed gastric emptying.³–⁵ Those leading to reduced blood pressure are less adequately understood, but this effect has been shown to occur as early as 2 weeks after the start of the therapy, preceding significant weight loss, suggesting that a direct
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A hypotensive effect is at least partly responsible. Experimental studies of GLP-1 analogues have also reported direct effects on blood pressure, possibly via interaction with the autonomic nervous system.

While a number of studies have reported heart rate increases, the associated mechanisms are unknown, and this effect is often dismissed as clinically unimportant. Given the safety implications attributed to raised heart rate in other contexts, there is a surprising lack of concern over its possible implications in this setting. A recent review of liraglutide by Bode acknowledges the effect, but a meta-analysis on the safety of incretin-based therapies published in 2010 did not mention heart rate, and neither did an overview of the LEAD trials of liraglutide by Blonde and Russell-Jones. A large nationwide audit of exenatide designed by the Association of British Clinical Diabetologists (ABCD) did not include heart rate as an outcome, despite citing evidence for the effect in the main published report. A subsequent (ongoing) ABCD audit of liraglutide also aims to identify unknown safety issues but has similarly omitted heart rate from the protocol.

GLP-1 analogues are an expanding drug class with the recent development of longer acting agents including the once weekly (LAR) form of exenatide, Bydureon. This drug has recently obtained approval from the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence for use in type 2 diabetes, and its use is likely to increase. A review of trial data from five long-acting GLP-1 agonists (exenatide once weekly, taspoglutide, albiglutide, LY2189265 and CJC-1134-PC) concluded that they were more likely than shorter acting formulations to raise the heart rate. A more recently published study of the long-acting GLP-1 agent PF-04603629 reported a substantial rise in the heart rate (a mean increase of 23 bpm at 24 h after injection of the higher dose studied), together with a rise in the diastolic blood pressure.

While there is no evidence to date that these agents (short-acting or long-acting) increase cardiovascular event rates, safety data are limited by short follow-up duration. A longer term follow-up is underway but will take a number of years to complete.

We aimed to identify and synthesise all available heart rate data from both published and unpublished sources to quantify the effect of GLP-1 analogues on heart rate, as well as that on blood pressure and body weight.

### METHODS

#### Literature searches

The following resources were systematically searched to identify completed, new or ongoing controlled trials of liraglutide or exenatide: Clinical Trials Gov (http://www.clinicaltrials.gov); Entertrials.co.uk; Clinicaltrialssearch.

---

**Figure 1**  PRISMA flow diagram. GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Comparisons</th>
<th>Duration (weeks)</th>
<th>Study population/ethnicity</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Body weight groups included</th>
<th>Balanced male/female?</th>
<th>Mean age</th>
<th>Standardised diet/exercise</th>
<th>Background OAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apovian et al</td>
<td>EX/PLAC</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>OW</td>
<td>&gt;60% F</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>MET and/or SU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnett et al</td>
<td>EX/IG</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>Multinational</td>
<td>N/OV/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MET or SU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergens et al</td>
<td>EX/BIAsp</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>N/OV</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MET and SU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergens et al</td>
<td>EX LAR vs PIO</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Multinational</td>
<td>N/OV/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buse et al</td>
<td>EX/PLAC</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>OW/OB</td>
<td>60% M</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>SU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buse et al</td>
<td>IG + EX/IG +PLAC</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Multinational</td>
<td>N/OV/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MET or PIO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davies et al</td>
<td>EX/IG</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>GB</td>
<td>OW/OB</td>
<td>&gt;60% M</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Two or three OADS: MET, SU, or TZD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeFronzo et al</td>
<td>EX/PLAC</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>OW/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeFronzo et al</td>
<td>EX vs ROSI</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>OW/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derosa et al</td>
<td>EX/GLIB</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>IT</td>
<td>OW/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>MET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derosa et al</td>
<td>EX/GLIM</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>CAUC</td>
<td>IT</td>
<td>OW/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>MET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamant et al</td>
<td>EX LAR/IG</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>Multinational</td>
<td>OW/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallwitz et al</td>
<td>EX/BIAsp</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>GER</td>
<td>OW/OB</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallwitz et al</td>
<td>EX/GLIM</td>
<td>Up to 4.5 years</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>Multinational</td>
<td>OW/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gao et al</td>
<td>EX/PLAC</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>C/I/K/T</td>
<td>Multinational</td>
<td>N/OV/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MET and/or SU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garber et al</td>
<td>LIR/GLIM</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>US/MEX</td>
<td>N/OV/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Nil—previous OAD withdrawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gill et al</td>
<td>EX/PLAC</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>CAN/NL</td>
<td>OW/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MET and/or TZD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heine et al</td>
<td>EX/IG</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>Multinational</td>
<td>OW/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MET and SU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kadowaki et al</td>
<td>EX/PLAC</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>JP</td>
<td>N/OV/OB</td>
<td>&gt;60% M</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>SU, with or without either BG or TZD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kendall et al</td>
<td>EX/PLAC</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>OW/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>MET and SU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al</td>
<td>EX LAR/PLAC</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>OW/OB</td>
<td>60% M</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>MET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liutkus et al</td>
<td>EX/PLAC</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>Multinational</td>
<td>OW/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MET or without TZD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marre et al</td>
<td>LIR/PLAC/ROSI</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>Multinational</td>
<td>N/OV/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>SU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morett et al</td>
<td>EX/PLAC</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>Multinational</td>
<td>OW/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>DRUG NAIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauck et al</td>
<td>EX/PIA</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>Multinational</td>
<td>OW/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>SU and MET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauck et al</td>
<td>LIR/GLIM/PLAC</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>Multinational</td>
<td>N/OV/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MET</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Comparisons</th>
<th>Duration (weeks)</th>
<th>Study population/ethnicity</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Body weight groups included</th>
<th>Balanced male/female?</th>
<th>Mean age</th>
<th>Standardised diet/exercise</th>
<th>Background OAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pratley et al8</td>
<td>LIR/SIT</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>Multinational</td>
<td>N- OW-OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell-Jones et al19</td>
<td>LIR/IG/PLAC</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>Multinational</td>
<td>N/OV/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MET and SU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell-Jones et al10</td>
<td>EX LAR/MET</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>Multinational</td>
<td>N/OV/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>DRUG NAIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al11</td>
<td>LIR/GLIM</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>C/K/I</td>
<td>Multinational</td>
<td>N/OV/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinman et al12</td>
<td>EX/PLAC</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>Multinational</td>
<td>OW/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>T2D with or without MET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinman et al13</td>
<td>LIR/PLAC</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>US/CAN</td>
<td>N/OV/OB</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MET and ROSI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BG, Biguanide; BIAsp, biphasic insulin aspart; C, Chinese; CAN, Canada; CAUC, Caucasian; EX LAR, exenatide long-acting release; EX, exenatide; GB, Great Britain; GER, Germany; GLIB, glibenclamide; GLIM, glimepiride; I, Indian; IG, insulin glargine; IT, Italy; JP, Japan; JP, Japanese; K, Korean; LIR, lixisenatide; MET, metformin; MEX, Mexico; MR, Multiracial; N, normal weight; NL, the Netherlands; OAD, oral antidiabetic drug; OB, obese; OW, overweight; PIO, pioglitazone; PLAC, placebo; ROSI, rosiglitazone; SITA, sitagliptin; T, Taiwanese; US, the USA; W, White.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Apovian et al42†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greater than 20% attrition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Barnett et al43†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open label cross-over study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bergenstal et al44†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open label. Greater than 20% attrition and higher attrition in the exenatide group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bergenstal et al45†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greater than 20% attrition. Outcome assessors unblinded after finalisation of the analysis plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Buse et al46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greater than 20% attrition. Higher attrition in the placebo arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Buse et al47†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Groups not balanced for sex and concomitant medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Davies et al48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DeFronzo et al49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open label. Greater than 20% attrition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>DeFronzo et al50†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Single blind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Derosa et al51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Single blind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Derosa et al52†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Single blind</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Diamant et al</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open label. Higher attrition in the exenatide arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Gallwitz et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Gallwitz et al</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open label. Greater than 20% attrition. Higher attrition in the exenatide arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Gao et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greater than 20% attrition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Garber et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Greater than 20% attrition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Gill et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Heine et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Open label. Higher attrition in the exenatide arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Kadowaki et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Kendall et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Kim et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Liutkus et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Marre et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Higher attrition in the placebo arm. Restriction of glimipiride and rosiglitazone in some countries precluded maximal dose regimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Moretto et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diet and exercise regimes not standardised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Nauck et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Nauck et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Higher attrition in the liraglutide 1.8 mg and placebo arms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Pratley et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open label, but statistician was masked to the allocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Russell-Jones et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Insulin glargine arm-open label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Russell-Jones et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Yang et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Higher attrition in the liraglutide groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Zinman et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Greater than 20% attrition. Higher attrition in the exenatide group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Zinman et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Greater than 20% attrition. Higher attrition in the placebo group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Included studies were assessed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool for factors which may cause bias in the trial outcomes and subsequent evaluation by meta-analysis: (A) randomisation, (B) allocation concealment, (C) blinding of participants/investigators/sponsors, (D) blinding outcome assessment, (E) incomplete outcome data, (F) selective outcome reporting and (G) other bias.

*Open label.
†Method of randomisation/allocation concealment consisted of a computer random-number generator and voice-response or telephone system.
‡Permuted block randomisation.
§Randomised according to the baseline biochemical values or background pharmacological agent.
¶Randomised according to coded envelopes designed by a statistician

High risk; low risk; unclear risk.
org; Centerwatch; Drugsontrial; WebMD; MEDLINE (from 1960); EMBASE (from 1960); Cochrane Library Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL). We used a search strategy to capture ‘exenatide’, ‘liraglutide’ or ‘glucagon-like peptide-1’ in any field, limited to ‘Randomised Controlled Trial’, ‘Clinical Trial’ or ‘Controlled Clinical Trial’. Conference proceedings (British Endocrinology Society, Diabetes UK, European Association for the Study of Diabetes) and websites (American Diabetes Association, Federal Drug Agency and European Medicines Agency) were examined, and the reference lists of trials, meta-analyses and reviews were searched for further studies. Novo Nordisk and Amylin Pharmaceuticals were contacted directly to request unpublished data. The review is up to date at July 2012.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
A. Participants: We only included trials involving participants with type 2 diabetes.
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B. Study designs: We included all randomised trials with a minimum follow-up of 12 weeks. We excluded ‘open-label’ extension studies of phase 3 trials.

C. Interventions: Trials of liraglutide (1.2 or 1.8 mg daily), exenatide (5 or 10 µg twice daily) or exenatide LAR, either alone or in combination with an

Figure 3 Effect of exenatide on heart rate in patients with type 2 diabetes.
oral anti-diabetic drug (OAD) or insulin, were included. These doses were chosen to coincide with those most commonly used in clinical practice.

D. Comparison groups(s): Comparators included placebo, OAD, lifestyle intervention or insulin.

E. Outcomes: We included all studies reporting heart rate, blood pressure or body weight outcomes.

Data extraction

Retrieved studies were assessed for inclusion by two researchers independently using the above criteria, and any discrepancies were resolved by consensus. Information on the participants, intervention, comparison group, outcomes and trial quality was extracted from included studies by two researchers independently.
Where necessary, clarification of data was obtained by correspondence with trial co-ordinators.

**Risk of bias**

We used the Cochrane tool to determine risk of selection bias (success of sequence generation and allocation concealment); performance bias (success of blinding to treatment received); detection bias (blinding of outcome assessment); attrition bias (incomplete outcome data and selective outcome reporting) and other biases. Funnel plots were used to detect publication bias.

**Analysis**

Means and SDs for baseline and outcome values for blood pressure, heart rate and body weight were extracted. Mean effect data from crossover trials were extracted at the end of the initial phase. Where SDs for the outcome were not available, they were imputed according to the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic reviews V.5. SDs for changes from baseline were derived where necessary to account for correlation of baseline to follow-up measurements within individuals, and where the correlation coefficient could not be calculated, methods were employed as recommended by Follman et al. Study results were combined using RevMan V.5.2. Heterogeneity was estimated using the $\chi^2$ test and $I^2$ statistic. Fixed and random effects weighted mean difference models using the Inverse Variance technique were used to compare outcomes between the study drug and comparator with 95% CI. Interaction effects were evaluated using prespecified subgroup analyses (comparing various doses of the study drug to active control or placebo) and type of GLP-1 agonist (liraglutide, exenatide twice daily and exenatide LAR preparations). Results are described using the random effects approach due to the heterogeneity of the included studies. Analyses were stratified by active control or placebo. We compared

**Figure 4**

GLP-1 agonists’ effect on systolic blood pressure in patients with type 2 diabetes. GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1.
heterogeneity measures between these subgroups and according to the GLP-1 agent. We also undertook sensitivity analyses to investigate the influence of trial designs on heterogeneity measures, including the background OAD treatment common to both arms. Funnel plots were assessed for asymmetry.

RESULTS
Figure 1 describes the identification of the studies included. A total of 521 articles were screened. Of these, 472 were excluded on the basis of the title or abstract being irrelevant to the aims of this review. Forty-nine studies were examined in full text. Of these, four were
excluded because the comparator was another form of GLP-1. 

In three cases, the doses were not as specified in our inclusion criteria, and in a further two, the study involved further analysis of data from trials that were already included. Finally, eight were open label extension studies. This left 32 trials included in our review (figure 1 and table 1). Most studies did not report all of the outcomes of interest, or they did not provide them as usable numerical data. Data were therefore obtained, where available, directly from the pharmaceutical companies.

Methodological quality and risk of bias

Results of risk of bias assessment are given in table 2. Explanation of sequence generation and allocation concealment was adequate for all trials. In nine trials, at least one arm was open label. Attrition was adequately described and was greater than 20% in nine studies. The proportion of the intention-to-treat population completing the study varied in range 65.4–99.6% and had a median of 83.7%. None of the trials were terminated prematurely. Funnel plots were broadly symmetrical with no evidence of publication bias.

Heterogeneity

The trials varied in terms of duration of follow-up, location, type of active comparator drug and background therapy. One study was a crossover trial and another was of a prolonged follow-up. The mean age of the participants ranged from 52.3 to 60.3 years. For most outcomes, we found significant heterogeneity (figures 2–6). We, therefore, chose to report results using the random effects approach, although the differences between random effects and fixed effect results were very small. Heterogeneity varied significantly between comparisons. For the effect of liraglutide on heart rate compared with placebo, the I² value was 55%.

Figure 5  GLP-1 agonists’ effect on diastolic blood pressure in patients with type 2 diabetes. GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1.
However, this value reduced to 0% when the data from a single trial (LEAD-1) were withheld.

**Heart rate**

A total of 22 studies provided heart rate data. Overall, GLP-1 agonists produce a significant increase in heart rate with a weighted mean difference of 1.86 bpm (0.85 to 2.87) versus placebo and 1.90 bpm (1.30 to 2.50) versus active control. Looking at specific agents, liraglutide increases heart rate by 2.71 bpm (1.43 to 3.97) versus placebo and 2.49 (1.77 to 3.21) versus active control. Data from the LEAD trials of liraglutide\(^5^7\) \(^6^4\) \(^6^7\) \(^6^9\) \(^7^3\) were initially grouped into quartiles of baseline heart rate and demonstrated significant variations in effect between these subgroups, with the greatest increase seen in those with the lowest baseline values. Exenatide twice daily increased the heart rate by 0.82 bpm (−0.15 to 1.79) versus active control and by 0.88 bpm (−0.47 to 2.22) versus placebo, which did not reach statistical significance (figure 3). Exenatide LAR produced a more significant change (2.14 bpm (1.11 to 3.17) versus active control), but the number of studies involving this formulation was small.

**Blood pressure**

We included 31 trials measuring blood pressure changes (figures 4 and 5). GLP-1 agonists reduced systolic blood pressure by −1.79 mm Hg (−2.94 to −0.64) compared to placebo and by −2.39 (−3.35 to −1.42) compared to active control. Reductions in diastolic blood pressure failed to reach statistical significance and were −0.54 mm Hg (−1.15 to 0.07) compared to placebo and −0.50 mm Hg (−1.24 to 0.24) compared to active control.

**Body weight**

Twenty-one trials measuring changes in weight were included (figure 6). We confirm a small but highly significant reduction in body weight as a result of GLP-1 therapy. Weight changed by −3.31 kg (−4.05 to −2.57)
compared to active control but by only −1.22 kg (−1.51 to −0.93) compared to placebo.

**DISCUSSION**

We have confirmed and quantified the effects of liraglutide and exenatide on heart rate, blood pressure and body weight. Our analysis benefited from the inclusion of unpublished data supplied by Novo Nordisk and Amylin Pharmaceuticals, as these were often missing from published trial reports. It was limited by the significant heterogeneity of effect size measurements between individual studies. We examined prespecified subgroups according to the GLP-1 agent and type of comparator (placebo or active control). Active control treatments varied between trials and included different classes of OAD and insulins, which may explain some of the variation in measured effect. Other potential sources of heterogeneity include the characteristics of background OAD treatments common to both arms as these treatments differed between trials. For the heart rate effect of liraglutide versus placebo, the heterogeneity was largely attributable to a single trial (LEAD-1), but the cause of the higher heart rate effect in this trial is unclear.

The weight-reducing effects of these agents are a welcome contrast to the weight-promoting effects of other treatment options, including sulphonylureas, thiazolidinediones and insulin. We have derived a similar

---

**Figure 6** GLP-1 agonists’ effects on body weight. GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1.
effect size to a previously reported value for weight loss, although our study has distinguished between placebo and active comparators, in which effect sizes differ substantially. Together with the reduction in blood pressure, this may improve longer term cardiovascular risk. However, the small rise in heart rate is a reason for caution, as it might potentially be associated with adverse outcomes. This rise was more evident for liraglutide than exenatide twice daily, but exenatide LAR may produce a greater response than the twice-daily formulation. The clinical significance of this heart rate rise is still unknown from the perspective of cardiovascular risk.

For most GLP-1 trials, heart rate is a secondary outcome measured as part of safety assessment, and is reported inconsistently. In clinic, it is often measured using a very short sampling interval (perhaps 1 min of data). One study was designed specifically to examine the effects of exenatide twice daily on change in heart rate as the primary outcome using 24 h ambulatory monitoring. The mean change from baseline at 12 weeks was 2.1 bpm for exenatide twice daily and 0.7 bpm for placebo. The sample size (54 randomised participants) in this pilot study was relatively small and the difference was not significant (p=0.16), but it is similar to the values we have obtained generally for GLP-1 agonists in our meta-analysis. Measurement of heart rate using this 24 h technique (compared with a traditional heart rate measurement in clinic) substantially improves the accuracy of measurement as heart rate is very variable within the individual. This technique could be used as a basis for a larger study powered to detect such a difference and to investigate the influence of alternative background medications.

This review highlights the need to improve our understanding of the physiological mechanisms through which GLP-1 agonists act, while the results of longer term safety studies are awaited. Both autonomic nervous system-dependent and system-independent effects have been suggested in animal studies as a basis for the rise in heart rate. The heart rate response in the presence or absence of autonomic neuropathy in human patients might therefore justify further study. There is also a clear need to improve the comprehensive reporting of all outcome data measured during clinical trials of antidiabetic agents, particularly those relevant to cardiovascular risk.
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