



Shame! Self-stigmatisation as an obstacle to sick doctors returning to work: a qualitative study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2012-001776
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	06-Jul-2012
Complete List of Authors:	HENDERSON, MAX; INSTITUTE OF PSYCHIATRY, KINGS COLLEGE LONDON, PSYCHOLOGICAL MEDICINE BROOKS, SAMANTHA; INSTITUTE OF PSYCHIATRY, KINGS COLLEGE LONDON, DEL BUSO, LILLIANA; OSTFOLD UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, Chalder, Trudie; INSTITUTE OF PSYCHIATRY, KINGS COLLEGE LONDON, HARVEY, SAMUEL; UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, HOTOPF, MATTHEW; INSTITUTE OF PSYCHIATRY, KINGS COLLEGE LONDON, MADAN, IRA; GUYS AND ST THOMAS NHS FOUNDATION TRUST, HATCH, STEPHANI; INSTITUTE OF PSYCHIATRY, KINGS COLLEGE LONDON,
Primary Subject Heading:	Occupational and environmental medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Mental health, Qualitative research
Keywords:	MENTAL HEALTH, OCCUPATIONAL & INDUSTRIAL MEDICINE, Adult psychiatry < PSYCHIATRY, QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

**Shame! Self-stigmatisation as an obstacle to sick doctors returning to work:
a qualitative study**

Dr Max Henderson [corresponding author]
Senior Lecturer in Epidemiological & Occupational Psychiatry
Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London
Department of Psychological Medicine
Weston Education Centre, Cutcombe Road
London SE5 9RJ UK
T: 020 3228 8564
F: 020 7848 5408
E: max.j.henderson@kcl.ac.uk

Dr Samantha Brooks
Research Assistant
Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London
London UK

Dr Lilliana del Busso
Associate Professor of Social Psychology
Østfold University College
Halden Norway

Professor Trudie Chalder PhD
Professor of Cognitive Behavioural Therapy
Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London
London UK

Dr Samuel B Harvey PhD
Senior Lecturer in Workplace Mental Health
School of Psychiatry, University of New South Wales
Sydney Australia

Professor Matthew Hotopf PhD
Professor of General Hospital Psychiatry
Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London
London UK

Dr Ira Madan MD
Consultant Occupational Physician
St Thomas' Hospital
London UK

Dr Stephani Hatch PhD
Lecturer in Social Epidemiology
Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London
London UK

[4202 words]

ABSTRACT

Objective

To explore the views of sick doctors on the obstacles preventing them returning to work.

Design

Qualitative study

Setting

Single participating centre recruiting doctors from all over the UK

Participants

Doctors who had been away from work for at least 6 months with physical or mental health problems, drug or alcohol problems, General Medical Council involvement, or any combination of these, were eligible. Eligible doctors were recruited in conjunction with the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund, the General Medical Council and the Practitioner Health Programme. These organisations approached 77 doctors; 19 participated. Each doctor completed an in-depth semi-structured interview. We used a constant comparison method to identify and agree on the coding of the data and the identification of a number of central themes

Results

The doctors described that being away from work left them isolated and sad. Many experienced negative reactions from their family and some deliberately concealed their problems. Doctors described a lack of support from colleagues and feared a negative response when returning to work. Self-stigmatisation was central to the participants' accounts; several described themselves as failures and appeared to have internalised the negative views of others.

Conclusions

Self-stigmatising views, which possibly emerge from the belief that "doctors are invincible", represent a major obstacle to doctors returning to work. From medical school onwards cultural

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

change is necessary to allow doctors to recognise their vulnerabilities so they can more easily generate strategies to manage if they become unwell.

[240 words]

For peer review only

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

- Doctors have rates of mental illness, drug and alcohol misuse and suicide at least as high as the general population though many doctors have difficulty accessing appropriate mainstream health care often due to fears about confidentiality.
- A number of studies have examined risk factors for doctors becoming ill but no studies have examined the difficulties faced by sick doctors in returning to work.
- Our qualitative study used in-depth semi-structured interviews to assess the views of doctors who had been away from work for at least six months on the obstacles to them returning to work.

Key Messages

- Illness, surprising to many doctors, forces an entire reappraisal of their view of the world and their place within it.
- Many doctors internalise the perceived negative responses of colleagues and others to their illness
- These factors contribute to the difficulties faced by doctors in returning to work.

Strengths and limitations

- We recruited 19 doctors from all over the UK in partnership with the General Medical Council, the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund and the Practitioner Health Programme.
- Our methodology meant that we have no way of knowing anything about the doctors who were approached by our partner organisations but decided not to take part. Further, we only have the doctors own accounts and no independent way of understanding for example the relationship between their initial reason for stopping work and their current problems.

Background

Daksha Emson, a trainee psychiatrist with bipolar affective disorder, killed herself and her daughter in October 2000. She was terrified that her career would be adversely affected if her illness was disclosed(1). Not long after this Dame Janet Smith who chaired the Shipman Inquiry heavily criticised the General Medical Council (GMC) for being more concerned with the interests of doctors than patients(2). In the last 15 years there has been a growing interest in the health of healthcare professionals(3-7). Whilst doctors have rates of mental illness, drug and alcohol misuse and suicide at least as high, if not higher, than the general population(8), for many reasons they struggle to engage with mainstream healthcare. In 2009 a pilot service, The Practitioner Health Programme, was established for doctors with psychiatric or physical health problems that were interfering with their work(9). In 2010 the Department of Health published *Invisible Patients*(10) which provided a detailed account of the difficulties faced by health professionals with mental health problems accessing appropriate care, and called on the regulator to provide greater consistency in the assessment of the impact of health difficulties on performance.

Notwithstanding this increased interest there have been few qualitative studies of doctors, and none specifically looking at the question of obstacles preventing return to work for doctors with complex needs. Fatholm interviewed 15 doctors who had successfully returned to work after a period of prolonged sick leave (11). Doctors commented on how hard they found it holding the identity of both a doctor and a patient. Several described having resisted sick leave fearing their own clinical competence would be questioned. A number reported 'negotiating' with their own doctor in an attempt to expedite their return to work. Fox spoke to doctors with significant long term illness (12). Further issues around personal identity emerged, as did comments about the culture of "invincibility" within medicine, and how sick doctors need to put on 'facade'. Ingstad (13) identified participants who had been asked by their doctor to make clinical decisions about their own health. The tension between the role of the patient and that of the doctor was also

1
2
3
4 discussed by McTeivitt (14) who described how some doctors find it difficult to cede control and
5
6 thereby cease being the "expert" in a two-person relationship. In contrast Stanton highlighted
7
8 how non-medical friends and colleagues can identify that "something is wrong" yet find
9
10 themselves disempowered by the doctor-patient's medical knowledge when trying to discuss
11
12 this(15).
13

14
15 Beyond the issues with access to appropriate services and the complications of regulatory
16
17 involvement, relatively little is known about the ability of doctors to be able to return to work
18
19 after a period of sick leave. We explored the views of doctors with a range of physical and
20
21 psychiatric health problems, with and without GMC involvement, on the obstacles that prevent
22
23 them from returning to work.
24

25 26 27 28 **Methods**

29
30 We carried out a qualitative study to examine the views of doctors with complex needs on the
31
32 obstacles they faced in returning to work. Ethical approval was granted by the South East
33
34 London Research Ethics Committee. Although the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund funded this
35
36 study, they had no role in the study design, data analyses, or data interpretation.
37

38
39 For this study we defined doctors with complex needs as those currently away from work more
40
41 than six months due to problems with their health, with or without involvement with the GMC,
42
43 or who had a similar period of absence within the last year. Doctors from across the UK were
44
45 eligible for inclusion. We excluded doctors who had any ongoing health problem, physical or
46
47 psychiatric, that would make an in-depth 90 minute interview distressing or uncomfortable.
48

49
50 We formed partnership arrangements with the General Medical Council, the Practitioner Health
51
52 Programme, and the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund. Recognising the importance of
53
54 confidentiality, these organisations agreed to an 'arms-length' arrangement whereby they would
55
56 identify potential participants, based on our eligibility criteria, and give them a letter of
57

1
2
3
4 introduction from the research team. The letter contained details of how the potential participant
5
6 could make contact with the research team if they wished to take part. The research team
7
8 therefore had no knowledge of potential participants who did not make contact. Moreover, the
9
10 partner organisations did not know which of the doctors they had identified made contact with
11
12 the research team. There was no exchange of information about the doctor between the research
13
14 team and the partner organisation.

15
16 A detailed interview guide was prepared initially by MJH and LdB, and then amended
17
18 following discussions with the rest of the research team. The content of this guide and its
19
20 performance were reviewed by the research team after 3 interviews, though no major changes
21
22 were felt necessary. The researchers (LdB and SB) determined eligibility and gained consent
23
24 from potential participants. Those interested were invited to take part in an in-depth semi-
25
26 structured interview. When the researchers met the participants the nature and purpose of the
27
28 study was explained again. Participants had the opportunity to ask questions. All participants
29
30 provided full written consent to take part in the study.

31
32 A total of 19 interviews were completed; the first ten interviews were completed by LdB and
33
34 the last nine by SB. Each interview lasted between one and two hours. Interviews were recorded
35
36 directly onto an encrypted drive on a laptop computer then transcribed verbatim. Using the
37
38 Nvivo software package(16), transcripts were analysed by thematic content analysis using the
39
40 constant comparison method(17). The two researchers (LdB and SB) each analysed all the
41
42 transcripts using a thematic analysis(17). Initially the researchers each completed the coding
43
44 procedure independently. They then compared codes and reached consensus on the emerging
45
46 themes by discussion leading to a final set of agreed codes. Emerging themes were discussed
47
48 regularly by the research team. In addition both researchers engaged in a process of reflexivity.
49
50 They each recorded details of the interviewing interaction, and reflected on their own
51
52 experience which may have had an impact on the interpretation of data.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Results

Recruitment

77 doctors were informed about the study by the partner organisations. No potential participants were believed to meet exclusion criteria. Given our recruitment methodology we do not know which partner organisation introduced each participant. A number of the doctors were known to more than one of the partners, though this does not necessarily mean they were contacted by each. Thirty of those provided with information about the study made contact with the researchers. Nineteen (25% of the 77) doctors took part in the study. At least two doctors who had initially expressed an interest subsequently became too unwell to participate. The reasons given by other potential participants for not taking part included not wishing to be recorded, and ongoing concerns, despite reassurance, regarding confidentiality. All doctors who took part were able to complete the interview.

The participants are described in Table 1. Their ages ranged from 20s to 60s. All but one doctor had a mental health or addiction problem, and 14 had involvement with the GMC.

(Table 1 about here)

Importance of work identity

Participants reported their job as an important part of their identity, and many described being deeply committed to their work and defined themselves in these terms. Often this related to the effort and sacrifice that went into becoming a doctor. For many of the participants going off work sick was associated with a fundamental change in identity. Many appeared to incorporate negative views of themselves into their new identity. While the loss of income was mentioned by some of the doctors, the loss of identity was rarely about the financial aspects alone.

“I want to work. I would have liked to have worked, for lots of reasons. I think it’s good for anybody to have a structure to their life and purpose. I have always wanted to be a doctor and I trained as a mature student in medicine. So I sacrificed a lot. And I

1
2
3
4 *loved my job. So for all those reasons it was really important to me to go back to*
5
6 *work.” [#11]*
7

8
9 *“You get to be part of your job, it becomes part of you...” [#17]*

10 Perhaps as a consequence, being away from work then left them feeling lost, isolated and sad.

11
12
13 *“..once you try and put that doctor persona aside, I realised there wasn't much left of*
14 *me” [#9]*
15

16
17
18 *“I can't, emotionally I can't retire. I mean, I gotta be involved. I feel a total emptiness”*
19
20 *[#10]*
21

22
23 *“..because I have spent all my entire adult life from the first year of my medical school*
24 *to 2008, I mean its nearly two decades, so and then everything is taken from you. You*
25 *have been left alone and no one can hear it if you no matter how loudly cry and so it is*
26 *very, very difficult, and you see hopeless helpless, and nowhere to go and you just*
27 *simply got lost in a, in a huge sea without any navigator and you don't know what to*
28 *do” [#12]*
29
30
31
32
33
34

35
36
37
38 *“I almost didn't want to do the normal things like going out, because I almost wanted*
39 *that to be on hold before I started working again, because work was so important...I*
40 *also I think with the sense that because I wasn't working I shouldn't be almost allowed*
41 *to do all the things that, or any fun things like because I wasn't working I shouldn't be*
42 *going out and having any sort – doing any sort of non-work-related, or non-serious sort*
43 *of activity” [#18]*
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 *Relationships with family and friends*
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 Many, though not all, doctors had absorbed negative responses they had received from family
5
6 and friends. The impact of no longer working appeared to be super-imposed on that of the
7
8 illness itself. Some even tried to conceal their difficulties from their close family.
9

10
11 A number of participants spoke about the change in the nature of their close relationships that
12
13 had been brought about by their difficulties.

14
15 *“My relationship with my children has changed very much and that’s an ongoing thing*
16
17 *which I’ll have to face as they get older and learn more about me and what I can and*
18
19 *can’t do. And obviously even my wife has completely changed, as you can imagine. But*
20
21 *I’ve been fortunate in the fact that they’ve all been very accepting and supportive of*
22
23 *me” [#11]*
24

25
26 There were several doctors who described very positive experiences of support.

27
28 *“My friends were very supportive. I think they put up with a lot really in a sense*
29
30 *because I was very unwell on and off. In the same way as I got weary of it I’m sure they*
31
32 *did and there were crises. As I say, even when I was well I didn’t think I was that great,*
33
34 *but no, they were very supportive....They really stuck by me. I think they couldn’t really*
35
36 *understand what was happening. They had no background in psychiatry or anything but*
37
38 *they could see that none of these tablets were really helping things and I think it must*
39
40 *have been very, very frustrating for them. I think I owe them a lot really” [#14]*
41

42
43 *“I live with my husband and we have quite a few really good friends who live nearby ..*
44
45 *and they were, kind of, the people who got me through really. So, all of them were*
46
47 *people I could talk to who would understand, who would, kind of, pray for me, support*
48
49 *me, and really see, they saw the effect it was having on me because they knew me and I*
50
51 *could be open and honest with them. Yeah, without them I don’t know what, how it*
52
53 *would have gone really” [#5]*
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 In contrast, several doctors reported receiving less than adequate support from family and
5
6 friends.

7
8
9 *“Mostly he [partner] detached himself, carried on working and regarded me as a
10 nuisance...my family have really put me into the sort of bad category”* [#19]

11
12
13 *“I have gone down in their esteem because of the problems at work...they didn’t think
14 too highly of me for that”* [#7]

15
16
17 *“I am quite an outsider in my family”* [#5]

18
19
20 To avoid negative changes in close relationships, more than one doctor resorted to concealing
21
22 their difficulties from their families.

23
24
25 *“I heard that most doctors, they don’t actually want to involve anyone else, because it’s
26 just a stigma and a shame on themselves, that something happened, so even my close
27 family did not know what happened”* [#12]

28
29
30
31 *“Sometimes there’s a situation where I’m going to rush off to a [Alcoholic Anonymous]
32 meeting and they’re still in our house having a cup of tea and it’s like ‘Oh, I’m just off
33 to go and meet a friend’. I am kind of; I’m just not telling them the whole truth but I
34 don’t feel I’m lying. I’m just protecting them, protecting our relationship, protecting
35 our family”* [#9]

36
37
38
39
40
41
42 Professional relationships

43
44 Many participants reported a negative response to their situation from colleagues. Such
45
46 responses were more internalised than challenged and doctors used terms such as “failure”,
47
48 “uncomfortable”, “shame” and “guilt” when describing themselves.

49
50
51 Several doctors recalled the support they had received from colleagues – both doctors and other
52
53 healthcare professionals.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

“They came to visit me in the hospital. I remember one of the nurses commented she’d never seen so many doctors on the ward before at once. I was surrounded by about eight [specialty] registrars. They’d all come en masse to visit me. And my consultant direct educational supervisor boss at the time was being very nice. He’d occasionally ring to find out how things were going and so on. So they were very good” [# 11]

“The nursing staff, ancillary staff, other medical staff outside of the department were extremely supportive. They would come over and open the car door and shake my hand. Some of them sent me emails and phoned me and I really felt welcomed back. Less so with my own colleagues but having said that, they’ve been through the wars with me and they supported me big time before [year] and then I had a relapse and then I think they probably felt “That’s it, he’ll not be back.” Then I come back, it’s difficult for them and maybe that’s passing in time though” [#16]

“I was actually quite pleasantly surprised that a lot of my friends from work were very supportive. When asked for testimonials for GMC for me and wrote a lot of very nice things about me including those who turned up and actually spoke on my behalf” [#17]

However, doctors not caring about other doctors were mentioned by several participants.

Doctors described their experiences of support or the lack thereof, in contrast to the care doctors provide for their patients. Moreover the lack of caring was described as a cultural and attitudinal issue rather than purely situational. Many doctors observed and experienced this culture of support, both when others have gone off sick, and whilst they themselves have been ill.

“I mean we’re meant to be caring people [laughs] but we don’t, don’t seem to care about each other at all in my experience” [#3]

“Most of the consultants (...) were not bothered at all, and I don’t think they would really care unless you drop dead and they were only bothered about the work being done, regardless of what happened to you. And I think the only time they would get concerned is if the work wasn’t being done (...) if I was just talking to a friend, I would just say, “They do not care about junior doctors, consultants, and they don’t care about their welfare” [#18]

1
2
3
4 *"It was a bit unfortunate that at the time I went off sick one of my [specialty] training*
5 *colleagues went off sick as well and she went the week before me and she was*
6 *absolutely slammed, it was just, 'Oh it's disgusting, she shouldn't be going off sick,*
7 *there's nothing the matter with her,' and I was going, 'Well she's really stressed, she's*
8 *not sleeping,' all the things, she shouldn't be at work. 'Oh but you know...' and then of*
9 *course the next week it was me. But I just think unless you can change how doctors as a*
10 *generic body, nationally, perceive mental illness you are never going to change it" [#2]*

11
12
13
14
15
16 *"You're seen as being weak, and one comment I had from this... from the Head of*
17 *Department in my last job was when she found out I was going for psychotherapy and*
18 *she said, "I suppose if you need it," was her attitude, as if I were some inferior person*
19 *because I was having psychotherapy." [#7]*

20
21
22
23 It is therefore no surprise that the anticipation of their colleagues' response is an important
24 factor as a doctor gets ready to start the return to work system.

25
26 *"I've still got to get over the hurdle of meeting my colleagues, knowing what they've*
27 *said about me...knowing that is going to be difficult" [#2]*

28
29
30 *"I don't like it when I feel...that people know and that I am being judged...I find that*
31 *quite uncomfortable" [#3]*

32
33
34 *"I think there's a perception that doctors who experience medical conditions should not*
35 *be doc-, or at least there was a perception amongst the people, who I've... some of the*
36 *people of my experience, that they shouldn't be in the profession." [#18]*

37
38 Overall, feelings of being "a failure" became a generalised self-perception rather than specific to
39 the loss of the work role. For most participants, the experience of being a doctor away from
40 work culminated in an internalised, altered sense of self.

41
42
43
44
45 *"There was guilt, there was shame, there was fear...there was low self-esteem...there*
46 *was the uncertainty of medicine. Self-confidence – that plummeted" [#9]*

47
48
49 *"But my confidence has totally gone....I've felt a total failure and I still sometimes do"*
50 *[#5]*

51
52
53 *"I think I felt like a bit of a failure....if you even said to me that I would not be working,*
54 *about 2 years before this...I'd have said "What a loser!" [#18]*

1
2
3
4 Finally, for some doctors the impact of their experience with colleagues and in the work place
5
6 was exacerbated by stigmatisation based on existing disabilities.
7

8
9
10 *Er, I think, I always felt that there was, erm, so much wrong with me already, that I*
11 *didn't want to be seen as someone who, kind of, exaggerated or had loads of things*
12 *wrong with them, and always, kind of, moaned and, I just didn't want people to think I*
13 *was looking for sympathy or, I don't know, I just, I just thought, I just didn't want to be*
14 *treated any more differently and I thought if I tell somebody...they might not involve me*
15 *in certain conversations, or they might talk about me with each other, and I just didn't*
16 *want any of that, so... [#6]*
17

18
19
20 *Erm developing [physical disability] and erm ... and just a total dearth of information*
21 *about how you practice as a healthcare professional with [physical disability]*
22 *...Nobody I could find knew anything about that. ...but the huge thing was just erm*
23 *living...it was erm adapting to a new disability both socially and erm er professionally.*
24 *Erm there's a high rate of depression in [people with physical disability], much higher*
25 *than the general population, higher than [physical disability]. [#5]*
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 Discussion

33
34
35 We carried out in-depth interviews on 19 doctors who had been off work for six months or
36
37 more. These doctors had various combinations of physical and psychiatric disorders. The
38
39 majority, though not all, had had some dealings with the General Medical Council.
40
41 Commitment to, and identifying with, the role of a doctor was a common theme that emerged. It
42
43 is likely that medicine with its long training and long hours of work preferentially attracts
44
45 individuals likely to make a commitment to their work. The flip-side of such an approach is the
46
47 relative absence of an alternative structure or purpose if, for whatever reason, an individual is
48
49 unable to work.
50

51
52 The centrality of this role also seemed to be strengthened by the perception amongst doctors that
53
54 they are “invincible”, and that “illness is only for patients”, alluded to in both Cohen's review
55
56 for the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund (Cohen D – personal communication) and Harvey's
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 review for the National Clinical Assessment Service(8). It is understandable therefore that for
5
6 some doctors the recognition of illness presents a challenge over and above that of just the
7
8 disorder – it forces an entire reappraisal of their view of the world and their place within it. The
9
10 accounts given by several doctors convey a sense of great surprise, often only implicitly, that
11
12 they had suffered a health problem. Whilst these issues have been recognised as factors in
13
14 delaying or preventing a doctor seeking medical attention(8, 18), they have not previously been
15
16 considered as reasons for a doctor having difficulty in returning to work.
17

18
19 One of the most striking findings was the negative view the doctors had of themselves since ill
20
21 health became a part of their identity. This was clearly exacerbated by the views and
22
23 behaviours they perceived or encountered from family members and colleagues. The
24
25 participants in our study described having experienced negative interactions with their families
26
27 and colleagues during their illness, but also seemed to anticipate this as part of any return to
28
29 work process(19). It has been suggested that doctors stigmatise mental illness more than the
30
31 public(20) , but the self-stigmatisation seemed to extend to physical illness as well. As is
32
33 common with stigma, the doctors' accounts are likely, in part, to represent negative internalised
34
35 self-perceptions and their views about how others perceive them(21, 22).
36

37
38 Profound and potentially destructive negative self-images were shared by several participants.
39
40 Whilst low self esteem can be part of a depressive disorder, not all doctors had experienced a
41
42 depressive episode or were currently suffering from depression; a number had made a clinical
43
44 recovery from their health condition. Our findings went beyond low self esteem into the realm
45
46 of self-stigma. Self-stigma describes the phenomenon whereby people adopt and internalize
47
48 external social stigma and experience loss of self-esteem and self-efficacy(23, 24). As a result,
49
50 they refrain from taking an active role in various areas of life. Many of the doctors we
51
52 interviewed appeared to have absorbed negative views of themselves. Moreover, at least two
53
54 doctors described difficulties in dealing with having a disabling physical health problem, only to
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 then have to cope with yet more perceived criticism regarding a mental health problem. It is
5 possible that in absorbing them, doctors perpetuate and reinforce the negative views of others.
6
7

8
9 Any understanding of the difficulties faced by doctors in returning to work needs to be able to
10 incorporate both the omnipotent “doctors are invincible” view and the negative self-stigmatising
11 views elicited in our study. Although these may seem to be mutually exclusive, an alternative
12 hypothesis would be that the self-stigmatising views are a direct consequence of the unhealthy
13 “doctors are invincible” view. The competitive medical environment reinforces the need for
14 toughness and self-reliance which has become more of a wider cultural phenomenon rather than
15 a trait identified in a few. It is possible that, for some, this has a selective advantage – that is, for
16 some students and trainees this outlook assists in being able to manage the suffering of the
17 patients and families they are learning to treat. Its success in the short-term means it often
18 remains unchallenged. The incorporation of the “illness is for patients” view however reduces
19 the chances of alternative “healthier” narratives about the interchangeability of the patient and
20 doctor roles being generated. Thus when a doctor does become ill they are challenged on a
21 range of levels, dealing not only with the illness but also for some the loss of their self-image as
22 invincible.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36
37 A number of doctors though do not have access to strategies which will allow them to come to
38 terms with these issues whilst maintaining their personal integrity. A greater willingness to
39 accept the possibility that one might at some stage become a patient, together with greater input
40 from trainers and senior colleagues as to how this might be managed, could reduce the sense of
41 shock and bewilderment should illness strike.
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 As with all stigma, reducing social distance can help change minds(25). It is possible that recent
49 improvements in the quality of NHS occupational health services(26) and the services provided
50 to treat sick doctors, such as the Practitioner Health Programme, might mean that more doctors
51 who have had complex difficulties are able to return to active practice more rapidly. The
52 presence of these doctors in the workforce will therefore increase over time, improving the
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 chance that students and trainees will come into contact with such doctors, and this will act as a
5
6 counter-weight to the notion that “doctors are invincible”. But if we are to create an
7
8 environment which facilitates the return to work of doctors with complex needs, attention must
9
10 be paid to how the “invincible” culture in medicine is generated. The regulator, which now has
11
12 responsibility for UK medical undergraduates, the Deaneries and the medical schools must work
13
14 together to enable students and trainees to recognise their own vulnerabilities and facilitate the
15
16 generation of strategies should they become ill. Further, aspects of personal and colleague
17
18 health, especially mental health, should be part of the curriculum for all medical students.
19
20 Doctors must learn to provide themselves and their colleagues with the same level of excellent
21
22 care that they provide for their patients.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. North East London Strategic Health Authority. Report of an independent inquiry into the care and treatment of Daksha Emson and her daughter Freya. London: North East London Strategic Health Authority, 2003.
2. The Shipman Inquiry - Fifth Report. Safeguarding Patients: Lessons from the Past – Proposals for the Future. London: The Stationery Office, 2005.
3. Department of Health. Supporting Doctors Protecting Patients: A Consultation Paper on Preventing, Recognising and Dealing With Poor Clinical Performance of Doctors in the NHS in England. London: Department of Health, 1999.
4. Department of Health. Good doctors, safer patients: proposals to strengthen the system to assure and improve the performance of doctors and to protect the safety of patients. London: Department of Health, 2006.
5. Department of Health. Mental Health and Ill Health in Doctors. London: Department of Health, 2008.
6. Brooks SK, Chalder T, Gerada C. Doctors vulnerable to psychological distress and addictions: treatment from the Practitioner Health Programme. *J Ment Health*. 2011;20(2):157-64. Epub 2011/05/06.
7. Brooks SK, Gerada C, Chalder T. Review of literature on the mental health of doctors: are specialist services needed? *J Ment Health*. 2011;20(2):146-56. Epub 2011/02/01.
8. Harvey S, Laird B, Henderson M, Hotopf M. The mental health of healthcare professionals. London: Department of Health, 2009.
9. PHP. NHS Practitioner Health Programme: A Report on the 2 year Prototype Service. London: Practitioner Health Programme, 2010.
10. Department of Health. Invisible Patients: A report of the working group on the health of health professionals. London: Department of Health, 2010.
11. Fatholm Y. "Patients, not doctors, get sick": A study of fifteen Swedish physicians on long-term sick leave. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being*. 2007;2:19-32.

12. Fox FE, Rodham KJ, Harris MF, Taylor GJ, Sutton J, Scott J, et al. Experiencing "the other side": a study of empathy and empowerment in general practitioners who have been patients. *Qual Health Res.* 2009;19(11):1580-8. Epub 2009/10/22.
13. Ingstad B, Christie VM. Encounters with illness: the perspective of the sick doctor. *Anthropology & Medicine.* 2001;8:201-10.
14. McKeivitt C, Morgan M. Anomalous patients: the experiences of doctors with an illness. *Journal of Sociology of Health and Illness.* 1997;19:644-67.
15. Stanton J, Randal P. Doctors accessing mental-health services: an exploratory study. *BMJ Open.* 2011;1(1):e000017. Epub 2011/10/25.
16. Nvivo qualitative data analysis software. 8 ed: QSR International Pty Ltd.
17. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research.* 2006;3:77-101.
18. Setness PA. Is it real or is it memorex? Discerning whether job-related stress or mental illness is causing physician impairment. *Postgrad Med.* 2003;113(1):7-9. Epub 2003/01/28.
19. Knudsen AK, Henderson M, Harvey SB, Chalder T. Long-term sickness absence among patients with chronic fatigue syndrome. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2011;199(5):430-1. Epub 2011/09/10.
20. Lawrie SM, Parsons C, Patrick J, Masson S, Sussmann J, Cumming D, et al. A controlled trial of general practitioners' attitudes to patients with schizophrenia. *Health Bull (Edinb).* 1996;54(3):201-3. Epub 1996/05/01.
21. Rusch N, Angermeyer MC, Corrigan PW. Mental illness stigma: concepts, consequences, and initiatives to reduce stigma. *Eur Psychiatry.* 2005;20(8):529-39. Epub 2005/09/21.
22. Wilson A, Rosen A, Randal P, Pethebridge A, Codyre D, Barton D, et al. Psychiatrically impaired medical practitioners: an overview with special reference to impaired psychiatrists. *Australas Psychiatry.* 2009;17(1):6-10. Epub 2009/01/13.
23. Schomerus G, Matschinger H, Angermeyer MC. The stigma of psychiatric treatment and help-seeking intentions for depression. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2009;259(5):298-306. Epub 2009/02/19.

- 1
2
3
4 24. Brohan E, Gauci D, Sartorius N, Thornicroft G. Self-stigma, empowerment and
5 perceived discrimination among people with bipolar disorder or depression in 13
6 European countries: the GAMIAN-Europe study. *Journal of affective disorders*.
7 2011;129(1-3):56-63. Epub 2010/10/05.
8
9
10 25. Yamaguchi S, Mino Y, Uddin S. Strategies and future attempts to reduce stigmatization
11 and increase awareness of mental health problems among young people: a narrative
12 review of educational interventions. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2011;65(5):405-15. Epub
13 2011/08/20.
14
15 26. Henderson M, Harvey S, Rogers C, Williams S, Grant R, Peel P. *Depression Screening
16 and Management of Staff on Long Term Sickness Absence: A national clinical audit*.
17 London: Royal College of Physicians. 2009.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Participant characteristics

Participant number	Gender	Physical health problem?	Mental health problem/addiction?	GMC involvement?
1	M	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	F	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	F	No	Yes	Yes
4	M	No	Yes	Yes
5	F	Yes	Yes	No
6	F	Yes	Yes	No
7	F	No	Yes	Yes
8	F	No	Yes	Yes
9	M	No	Yes	Yes
10	M	No	Yes	No
11	M	Yes	No	No
12	M	No	Yes	Yes
13	F	No	Yes	Yes
14	F	No	Yes	Yes
15	M	Yes	Yes	Yes
16	M	No	Yes	Yes
17	M	No	Yes	Yes
18	F	No	Yes	No
19	F	Yes	Yes	Yes

STATEMENTS

Competing Interests

"All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare: Dr Brooks and Dr del Busso had financial support from Royal Medical Benevolent Fund for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous 3 years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work."

Ethics Approval

Approval for this study was granted by the South East London Research Ethics Committee [10/H0807/33].

Authors Contributions

Henderson, Hotopf, and Harvey had the initial idea for the study. Henderson, Hotopf, Harvey, Madan, Hatch, and Chalder refined the study methodology. Del Busso and Brooks carried out the initial analyses. Henderson, del Busso, and Brooks wrote the initial draft. Hotopf, Harvey, Madan, Hatch and Chalder revised the draft critically for important intellectual content.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the funding provided by the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund. We are grateful for the support of the General Medical Council, the Practitioner Health Programme and all the study participants who gave their time so generously.

This study was funded by a grant from the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund. The funder had no input into the design, analysis and interpretation of the data, the writing of the report or the decision to submit the article for publication. The funder contributed to the identification of

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

potential participants as described in the Methods section. All the researchers are independent of the funders.

Data

No additional data are available

For peer review only



Shame! Self-stigmatisation as an obstacle to sick doctors returning to work: a qualitative study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2012-001776.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	19-Aug-2012
Complete List of Authors:	HENDERSON, MAX; INSTITUTE OF PSYCHIATRY, KINGS COLLEGE LONDON, PSYCHOLOGICAL MEDICINE BROOKS, SAMANTHA; INSTITUTE OF PSYCHIATRY, KINGS COLLEGE LONDON, DEL BUSO, LILLIANA; OSTFOLD UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, Chalder, Trudie; INSTITUTE OF PSYCHIATRY, KINGS COLLEGE LONDON, HARVEY, SAMUEL; UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, HOTOPF, MATTHEW; INSTITUTE OF PSYCHIATRY, KINGS COLLEGE LONDON, MADAN, IRA; GUYS AND ST THOMAS NHS FOUNDATION TRUST, HATCH, STEPHANI; INSTITUTE OF PSYCHIATRY, KINGS COLLEGE LONDON,
Primary Subject Heading:	Occupational and environmental medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Mental health, Qualitative research
Keywords:	MENTAL HEALTH, OCCUPATIONAL & INDUSTRIAL MEDICINE, Adult psychiatry < PSYCHIATRY, QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

**Shame! Self-stigmatisation as an obstacle to sick doctors returning to work:
a qualitative study**

Dr Max Henderson [corresponding author]
Senior Lecturer in Epidemiological & Occupational Psychiatry
Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London
Department of Psychological Medicine
Weston Education Centre, Cutcombe Road
London SE5 9RJ UK
T: 020 3228 8564
F: 020 7848 5408
E: max.j.henderson@kcl.ac.uk

Dr Samantha K. Brooks
Research Assistant
Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London
London UK

Dr Lilliana del Busso
Associate Professor of Social Psychology
Østfold University College
Halden Norway

Professor Trudie Chalder PhD
Professor of Cognitive Behavioural Therapy
Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London
London UK

Dr Samuel B Harvey PhD
Senior Lecturer in Workplace Mental Health
School of Psychiatry, University of New South Wales
Sydney Australia

Professor Matthew Hotopf PhD
Professor of General Hospital Psychiatry
Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London
London UK

Dr Ira Madan MD
Consultant Occupational Physician
St Thomas' Hospital
London UK

Dr Stephani Hatch PhD
Lecturer in Social Epidemiology
Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London
London UK

[5071 words]

ABSTRACT

Objective

To explore the views of sick doctors on the obstacles preventing them returning to work.

Design

Qualitative study

Setting

Single participating centre recruiting doctors from all over the UK

Participants

Doctors who had been away from work for at least 6 months with physical or mental health problems, drug or alcohol problems, General Medical Council involvement, or any combination of these, were eligible. Eligible doctors were recruited in conjunction with the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund, the General Medical Council and the Practitioner Health Programme. These organisations approached 77 doctors; 19 participated. Each doctor completed an in-depth semi-structured interview. We used a constant comparison method to identify and agree on the coding of the data and the identification of a number of central themes

Results

The doctors described that being away from work left them isolated and sad. Many experienced negative reactions from their family and some deliberately concealed their problems. Doctors described a lack of support from colleagues and feared a negative response when returning to work. Self-stigmatisation was central to the participants' accounts; several described themselves as failures and appeared to have internalised the negative views of others.

Conclusions

Self-stigmatising views, which possibly emerge from the belief that "doctors are invincible", represent a major obstacle to doctors returning to work. From medical school onwards cultural

1
2
3
4 change is necessary to allow doctors to recognise their vulnerabilities so they can more easily
5
6 generate strategies to manage if they become unwell.
7
8
9

10 [240 words]
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

- Doctors have rates of mental illness, drug and alcohol misuse and suicide at least as high as the general population though many doctors have difficulty accessing appropriate mainstream health care often due to fears about confidentiality.
- A number of studies have examined risk factors for doctors becoming ill but no studies have examined the difficulties faced by sick doctors in returning to work.
- Our qualitative study used in-depth semi-structured interviews to assess the views of doctors who had been away from work for at least six months on the obstacles to them returning to work.

Key Messages

- Illness, surprising to many doctors, forces an entire reappraisal of their view of the world and their place within it.
- Many doctors internalise the perceived negative responses of colleagues and others to their illness
- These factors contribute to the difficulties faced by doctors in returning to work.

Strengths and limitations

- We recruited 19 doctors from all over the UK in partnership with the General Medical Council, the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund and the Practitioner Health Programme.
- Our methodology meant that we have no way of knowing anything about the doctors who were approached by our partner organisations but decided not to take part. Further, we only have the doctors own accounts and no independent way of understanding for example the relationship between their initial reason for stopping work and their current problems.

Background

Daksha Emson, a trainee psychiatrist with bipolar affective disorder, killed herself and her daughter in October 2000. She was terrified that her career would be adversely affected if her illness was disclosed(1). Not long after this Dame Janet Smith who chaired the Shipman Inquiry heavily criticised the General Medical Council (GMC) for being more concerned with the interests of doctors than patients(2). In the last 15 years there has been a growing interest in the health of healthcare professionals(3-7). Whilst doctors have rates of mental illness, drug and alcohol misuse and suicide at least as high, if not higher, than the general population(8), for many reasons they struggle to engage with mainstream healthcare. In 2009 a pilot service, The Practitioner Health Programme, was established for doctors with psychiatric or physical health problems that were interfering with their work(9). In 2010 the Department of Health published *Invisible Patients*(10) which provided a detailed account of the difficulties faced by health professionals with mental health problems accessing appropriate care, and called on the regulator to provide greater consistency in the assessment of the impact of health difficulties on performance.

Notwithstanding this increased interest there have been few qualitative studies of doctors, and none specifically looking at the question of obstacles preventing return to work for doctors with long term difficulties. There is a small literature on obstacles to a successful return to work for the general population. Dekkers-Sanchez (11) used focus group methodology to uncover four broad areas – personal factors, health-related factors, social obstacles and work-related obstacles. A range of perceptual issues were described in the context of personal factors, including self-efficacy and illness representations. Individual perceptions of self, work, and the ability to cope with returning to work emerged from Marhold’s study of Obstacles to Return-to-Work Questionnaire (12). These were further influenced by pain and mood. The study identified concerns that returning to work might lead to a worsening of symptoms as particularly relevant. Andersen recently published a meta-synthesis of qualitative studies examining return to work

1
2
3
4 for people with common mental disorders (13). Emerging themes were grouped as personal
5 factors, support in the workplace, and wider economic and societal issues. This important paper
6 emphasised that returning to work is a process into which past experience, current perception
7 and anticipation of the future all input.
8
9

10
11
12 Although to our knowledge no papers on doctors have had obstacles to returning to work as
13 their main focus, several studies exploring the general experience of being a sick doctor have
14 found that doctors emphasise the difficulty of being off work and the barriers faced in returning
15 to work after sickness absence. Fatholm interviewed 15 doctors who had successfully returned
16 to work after a period of prolonged sick leave (14). Doctors commented on how hard they found
17 it holding the identity of both a doctor and a patient. Several described having resisted sick
18 leave fearing their own clinical competence would be questioned. A number reported
19 'negotiating' with their own doctor in an attempt to expedite their return to work. Fox spoke to
20 doctors with significant long term illness (15). Further issues around personal identity emerged,
21 as did comments about the culture of "invincibility" within medicine, and how sick doctors need
22 to put on 'facade'. Ingstad (16) identified participants who had been asked by their doctor to
23 make clinical decisions about their own health. The tension between the role of the patient and
24 that of the doctor was also discussed by McTevitt (17) who described how some doctors find it
25 difficult to cede control and thereby cease being the "expert" in a two-person relationship. In
26 contrast Stanton highlighted how non-medical friends and colleagues can identify that
27 "something is wrong" yet find themselves disempowered by the doctor-patient's medical
28 knowledge when trying to discuss this(18).
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 Beyond the issues with access to appropriate services and the complications of regulatory
48 involvement, relatively little is known about the ability of doctors to be able to return to work
49 after a period of sick leave. We explored the views of doctors with a range of physical and
50 psychiatric health problems, with and without GMC involvement, on the obstacles that prevent
51 them from returning to work.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Methods

We carried out a qualitative study to examine the views of doctors with long term difficulties on the obstacles they faced in returning to work. Ethical approval was granted by the South East London Research Ethics Committee. Although the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund funded this study, they had no role in the study design, data analyses, or data interpretation.

For this study we defined doctors with long term difficulties as those currently away from work more than six months due to problems with their health, with or without involvement with the GMC, or who had a similar period of absence within the last year. Doctors from across the UK were eligible for inclusion. We excluded doctors who had any ongoing health problem, physical or psychiatric, that would make an in-depth 90 minute interview distressing or uncomfortable.

We formed partnership arrangements with the General Medical Council, the Practitioner Health Programme, and the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund. Recognising the importance of confidentiality, these organisations agreed to an ‘arms-length’ arrangement whereby they would identify potential participants, based on our eligibility criteria, and give them a letter of introduction from the research team. The letter contained details of how the potential participant could make contact with the research team if they wished to take part. The research team therefore had no knowledge of potential participants who did not make contact. Moreover, the partner organisations did not know which of the doctors they had identified made contact with the research team. There was no exchange of information about the doctor between the research team and the partner organisation.

A detailed interview guide was prepared initially by MJH and LdB, and then amended following discussions with the rest of the research team. The content of this guide and its performance were reviewed by the research team after 3 interviews, though no major changes were felt necessary. The researchers (LdB and SB) determined eligibility and gained consent

1
2
3
4 from potential participants. Those interested were invited to take part in an in-depth semi-
5
6 structured interview. When the researchers met the participants the nature and purpose of the
7
8 study was explained again. Participants had the opportunity to ask questions. All participants
9
10 provided full written consent to take part in the study.

11
12
13 A total of 19 interviews were completed; the first ten interviews were completed by LdB and
14
15 the last nine by SB. Each interview lasted between one and two hours. Interviews were recorded
16
17 directly onto an encrypted drive on a laptop computer then transcribed verbatim. Using the
18
19 Nvivo software package(19), transcripts were analysed by thematic content analysis using the
20
21 constant comparison method(20). The two researchers (LdB and SB) each analysed all the
22
23 transcripts using an inductive approach to thematic analysis(20), using NVivo to 'code' data in
24
25 order to build a set of 'themes' i.e. ideas or topics occurring at several points in the data corpus.
26
27 Initially the researchers each completed the coding procedure independently. They then
28
29 compared codes and reached consensus on the emerging themes by discussion leading to a final
30
31 agreed master list of themes and sub-themes. Emerging themes were discussed regularly by the
32
33 research team. This type of thematic analysis is inductive, i.e. the themes emerged from the
34
35 data itself and were not imposed by the researchers. In addition both researchers engaged in a
36
37 process of reflexivity. They each recorded details of the interviewing interaction, and reflected
38
39 on their own experience which may have had an impact on the interpretation of data.
40
41
42
43

44 **Results**

45 46 Recruitment

47
48 77 doctors were informed about the study by the partner organisations. No potential participants
49
50 were believed to meet exclusion criteria. Given our recruitment methodology we do not know
51
52 which partner organisation introduced each participant. A number of the doctors were known to
53
54 more than one of the partners, though this does not necessarily mean they were contacted by
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 each. Thirty of those provided with information about the study made contact with the
5
6 researchers. Nineteen (25% of the 77) doctors took part in the study. At least two doctors who
7
8 had initially expressed an interest subsequently became too unwell to participate. The reasons
9
10 given by other potential participants for not taking part included not wishing to be recorded, and
11
12 ongoing concerns, despite reassurance, regarding confidentiality. All doctors who took part
13
14 were able to complete the interview.

15
16
17 The participants are described in Table 1. Their ages ranged from 27 – 67 years and the median
18
19 age was 46. Diagnoses included depression, anxiety, bipolar affective disorder and alcohol
20
21 dependence. All but one doctor had a mental health or addiction problem, seven had a physical
22
23 health problem and 14 had involvement with the GMC.

24
25 (Table 1 about here)

26
27
28 Four main themes and a number of sub-themes were identified. The main themes which
29
30 emerged from the data were: work identity; relationships with family and friends; professional
31
32 relationships; and self perception. Sub-themes relating to work identity included identity being
33
34 bound up with career, and feelings of emptiness when not at work. Sub-themes relating to
35
36 relationships with family and friends included changes in relationships due to their difficulties;
37
38 positive support; feeling unsupported; and concealing difficulties from others. Sub-themes
39
40 relating to professional relationships included support; lack of caring; anticipating feeling
41
42 judged on return to work; and stigmatisation. Sub-themes relating to self perception included
43
44 sense of failure becoming generalised rather than specific to loss of work, and an altered sense
45
46 of self due to being away from work.

47 48 *Work identity*

49
50 Participants reported their job as an important part of their identity, and many described being
51
52 deeply committed to their work and defined themselves in these terms. Often this related to the
53
54 effort and sacrifice that went into becoming a doctor. For many of the participants going off
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 work sick was associated with a fundamental change in identity. Many appeared to incorporate
5
6 negative views of themselves into their new identity. While the loss of income was mentioned
7
8 by some of the doctors, the loss of identity was rarely about the financial aspects alone.
9

10
11 *“I want to work. I would have liked to have worked, for lots of reasons. I think it’s*
12 *good for anybody to have a structure to their life and purpose. I have always wanted to*
13 *be a doctor and I trained as a mature student in medicine. So I sacrificed a lot. And I*
14 *loved my job. So for all those reasons it was really important to me to go back to*
15 *work.” [#11]*
16
17

18
19
20
21 *“You get to be part of your job, it becomes part of you...” [#17]*
22

23
24 Perhaps as a consequence, being away from work then left them feeling lost, isolated and sad.

25
26 *“..once you try and put that doctor persona aside, I realised there wasn’t much left of*
27 *me” [#9]*
28

29
30
31 *“I can’t, emotionally I can’t retire. I mean, I gotta be involved. I feel a total emptiness”*
32 *[#10]*
33

34
35 *“..because I have spent all my entire adult life from the first year of my medical school*
36 *to 2008, I mean its nearly two decades, so and then everything is taken from you. You*
37 *have been left alone and no one can hear it if you no matter how loudly cry and so it is*
38 *very, very difficult (...) you just simply got lost in a, in a huge sea without any navigator*
39 *and you don’t know what to do” [#12]*
40
41
42
43

44
45
46 *“I almost didn’t want to do the normal things like going out, because I almost wanted*
47 *that to be on hold before I started working again, because work was so important...I*
48 *also I think with the sense that because I wasn’t working I shouldn’t be almost allowed*
49 *... I shouldn’t be going out and having any sort – doing any sort of non-work-related,*
50 *or non-serious sort of activity” [#18]*
51
52
53
54
55

1
2
3
4 *Relationships with family and friends*

5
6 Many, though not all, doctors had absorbed negative responses they had received from family
7 and friends. The impact of no longer working appeared to be super-imposed on that of the
8 illness itself. Some even tried to conceal their difficulties from their close family.
9

10
11 A number of participants spoke about the change in the nature of their close relationships that
12 had been brought about by their difficulties.
13

14
15
16
17
18 *“My relationship with my children has changed very much and that’s an ongoing thing*
19 *which I’ll have to face as they get older and learn more about me and what I can and*
20 *can’t do. And obviously even my wife has completely changed, as you can imagine. But*
21 *I’ve been fortunate in the fact that they’ve all been very accepting and supportive of*
22 *me”* [#11]
23
24
25
26
27

28 There were several doctors who described very positive experiences of support.
29

30
31 *“My friends were very supportive. I think they put up with a lot really in a sense*
32 *because I was very unwell on and off. They really stuck by me. I think they couldn’t*
33 *really understand what was happening. They had no background in psychiatry or*
34 *anything but they could see that none of these tablets were really helping things and I*
35 *think it must have been very, very frustrating for them. I think I owe them a lot really”*
36
37
38
39
40
41 [#14]
42

43
44 *“I live with my husband and we have quite a few really good friends who live nearby ..*
45 *and they were, kind of, the people who got me through really. So, all of them were*
46 *people I could talk to who would understand, who would, kind of, pray for me, support*
47 *me, and really see, they saw the effect it was having on me because they knew me and I*
48 *could be open and honest with them”* [#5]
49
50
51
52
53

54 In contrast, several doctors reported receiving less than adequate support from family and
55 friends.
56
57

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

“Mostly he [partner] detached himself, carried on working and regarded me as a nuisance...my family have really put me into the sort of bad category” [#19]

“I have gone down in their esteem because of the problems at work...they didn't think too highly of me for that” [#7]

“I am quite an outsider in my family” [#5]

To avoid negative changes in close relationships, more than one doctor resorted to concealing their difficulties from their families.

“I heard that most doctors, they don't actually want to involve anyone else, because it's just a stigma and a shame on themselves, that something happened, so even my close family did not know what happened” [#12]

“Sometimes there's a situation where I'm going to rush off to a [Alcoholic Anonymous] meeting and they're still in our house having a cup of tea and it's like 'Oh, I'm just off to go and meet a friend'. I am kind of; I'm just not telling them the whole truth but I don't feel I'm lying. I'm just protecting them, protecting our relationship, protecting our family” [#9]

Professional relationships

Many participants reported a negative response to their situation from colleagues. Such responses were more internalised than challenged and doctors used terms such as “failure”, “uncomfortable”, “shame” and “guilt” when describing themselves.

Several doctors recalled the support they had received from colleagues – both doctors and other healthcare professionals.

“They came to visit me in the hospital. I remember one of the nurses commented she'd never seen so many doctors on the ward before at once. (...) They'd all come en masse to visit me. And my consultant direct educational supervisor boss at the time was being

1
2
3
4 very nice. He'd occasionally ring to find out how things were going and so on. So they
5 were very good" [# 11]

6
7 "The nursing staff, ancillary staff, other medical staff outside of the department were
8 extremely supportive. Some of them sent me emails and phoned me and I really felt
9 welcomed back. Less so with my own colleagues but having said that, they've been
10 through the wars with me and they supported me big time before [year] and then I had
11 a relapse and then I think they probably felt "That's it, he'll not be back." Then I come
12 back, it's difficult for them and maybe that's passing in time though" [#16]

13
14 "I was actually quite pleasantly surprised that a lot of my friends from work were very
15 supportive. When asked for testimonials for GMC for me and wrote a lot of very nice
16 things about me including those who turned up and actually spoke on my behalf" [#17]

17
18
19
20 Some doctors felt less supported. In several cases, this was attributed to other medical
21 professionals lacking the knowledge and experience in dealing with sick doctors.

22
23
24
25 "Certainly within the medical profession I don't think the culture's there to accept
26 somebody who's severely disabled. They're not geared up towards it at all. (...) it's
27 going to be a big battle because the culture isn't there, the knowledge isn't there, the
28 experience isn't there to deal with somebody who is severely disabled." [#11]

29
30
31
32 However, doctors not caring about other doctors were mentioned by several participants.
33 Doctors described their experiences of support or the lack thereof, in contrast to the care doctors
34 provide for their patients. Moreover the lack of caring was described as a cultural and attitudinal
35 issue rather than purely situational. Many doctors observed and experienced this culture of
36 support, both when others have gone off sick, and whilst they themselves have been ill.

37
38
39
40 "I mean we're meant to be caring people [laughs] but we don't, don't seem to care
41 about each other at all in my experience" [#3]

42
43
44
45 "Most of the consultants (...) were not bothered at all, and I don't think they would
46 really care unless you drop dead and they were only bothered about the work being
47 done, regardless of what happened to you. And I think the only time they would get
48 concerned is if the work wasn't being done (...) if I was just talking to a friend, I would

1
2
3
4 just say, "They do not care about junior doctors, consultants, and they don't care about
5 their welfare" [#18]
6

7
8 "It was a bit unfortunate that at the time I went off sick one of my [specialty] training
9 colleagues went off sick as well and she went the week before me and she was
10 absolutely slammed, it was just, 'Oh it's disgusting, she shouldn't be going off sick,
11 there's nothing the matter with her,' (...) and then of course the next week it was me.
12 But I just think unless you can change how doctors as a generic body, nationally,
13 perceive mental illness you are never going to change it" [#2]
14

15
16
17
18 "You're seen as being weak, and one comment I had from this... from the Head of
19 Department in my last job was when she found out I was going for psychotherapy and
20 she said, "I suppose if you need it," was her attitude, as if I were some inferior person
21 because I was having psychotherapy." [#7]
22
23
24

25
26 It is therefore no surprise that the anticipation of their colleagues' response is an important
27 factor as a doctor gets ready to start the return to work system.

28
29 "I've still got to get over the hurdle of meeting my colleagues, knowing what they've
30 said about me...knowing that is going to be difficult" [#2]
31

32
33 "I don't like it when I feel...that people know and that I am being judged...I find that
34 quite uncomfortable" [#3]
35

36
37 "I think there's a perception that doctors who experience medical conditions should not
38 be doc-, or at least there was a perception amongst the people, who I've... some of the
39 people of my experience, that they shouldn't be in the profession." [#18]
40

41 For some doctors the impact of their experience with colleagues and in the work place was
42 exacerbated by stigmatisation based on existing disabilities.
43
44

45
46 "I always felt that there was, erm, so much wrong with me already, that I didn't want to
47 be seen as someone who, kind of, exaggerated or had loads of things wrong with them,
48 and always, kind of, moaned and, I just didn't want people to think I was looking for
49 sympathy or, I don't know, I just, I just thought, I just didn't want to be treated any
50 more differently and I thought if I tell somebody...they might not involve me in certain
51 conversations, or they might talk about me with each other, and I just didn't want any
52 of that, so..." [#6]
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 *"Developing [physical disability] and erm ... and just a total dearth of information*
5 *about how you practice as a healthcare professional with [physical disability]*
6 *...Nobody I could find knew anything about that. ...but the huge thing was just erm*
7 *living...it was erm adapting to a new disability both socially and erm er professionally.*
8 *Erm there's a high rate of depression in [people with physical disability], much higher*
9 *than the general population, higher than [physical disability]. [#5]*

14 *Self perception*

15
16
17 Overall, feelings of being "a failure" became a generalised self-perception rather than specific to
18 the loss of the work role.

19
20
21
22 *"There was guilt, there was shame, there was fear...there was low self-esteem...there*
23 *was the uncertainty of medicine. Self-confidence – that plummeted" [#9]*

24
25 *"But my confidence has totally gone....I've felt a total failure and I still sometimes do"*
26 *[#5]*

27
28
29 For most participants, the experience of being a doctor away from work culminated in an
30 internalised, altered sense of self.

31
32 *"But once you try and put that doctor persona aside, I realised there wasn't much left of*
33 *me. I realised I was kind of a doctor, but what else do I do?"*

34
35
36 *"I think I felt like a bit of a failure....if you even said to me that I would not be working,*
37 *about 2 years before this...I'd have said "What a loser!" [#18]*

38
39
40 Feelings of emptiness, guilt, shame and of being a 'failure' were prominent in many
41 doctors' accounts. Self esteem appeared to be worsened by illness and loss of work.
42 Doctors tended to blame themselves for their situations and felt like failures when
43 experiencing difficulties with work and encountering obstacles to returning to work,
44 often resulting in a loss of confidence. This, in turn, further worsened self esteem and
45 created a vicious circle where the doctor needs work to improve their self esteem but
46 cannot work due to their self esteem being so low. *"If I tried to get a job in [specialty], I*
47 *don't know if I'd remain as well. I probably wouldn't, and so I would get into that*
48 *vicious circle again of health affecting my performance and my performance, lower*
49 *performance affecting my mood and everything spiralling down again." [#7]*
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Discussion

We carried out in-depth interviews on 19 doctors who had been off work for six months or more. These doctors had various combinations of physical and psychiatric disorders. The majority, though not all, had had some dealings with the General Medical Council. A number of potential participants declined to participate in the study, with many suggesting they were concerned about confidentiality and anonymity. This is interesting in itself and shows a distrust of how the findings might be used, despite reassurance about the purpose of the study and how confidentiality would be maintained.

Many of our participants had a mixture of physical and mental health difficulties. The interviews which were semi structured and therefore to some degree led by the interviewee. They tended to focus on the mental health issues, which is why these appear more prominent in the analysis.

Commitment to, and identifying with, the role of a doctor was a common theme that emerged. It is likely that medicine with its long training and long hours of work preferentially attracts individuals likely to make a commitment to their work. The flip-side of such an approach is the relative absence of an alternative structure or purpose if, for whatever reason, an individual is unable to work.

The centrality of this role also seemed to be strengthened by the perception amongst doctors that they are “invincible”, and that “illness is only for patients”, alluded to in both Cohen’s review for the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund (Cohen D – personal communication) and Harvey’s review for the National Clinical Assessment Service(8). It is understandable therefore that for some doctors the recognition of illness presents a challenge over and above that of just the disorder – it forces an entire reappraisal of their view of the world and their place within it. The accounts given by several doctors convey a sense of great surprise, often only implicitly, that they had suffered a health problem. Whilst these issues have been recognised as factors in

1
2
3
4 delaying or preventing a doctor seeking medical attention(8, 21), they have not previously been
5
6 considered as reasons for a doctor having difficulty in returning to work.
7

8
9 One of the most striking findings was the negative view the doctors had of themselves since ill
10
11 health became a part of their identity. This may have been exacerbated by the views and
12
13 behaviours they perceived from family members and colleagues: many felt unsupported or
14
15 judged. It is important to note that these feelings are the perceptions of the participants; as no
16
17 significant others were interviewed, we do not have information about their views. The
18
19 participants in our study described having experienced negative interactions with their families
20
21 and colleagues during their illness, but also seemed to anticipate this as part of any return to
22
23 work process(22). It has been suggested that doctors stigmatise mental illness more than the
24
25 public(23) , but the self-stigmatisation seemed to extend to physical illness as well. As is
26
27 common with stigma, the doctors' accounts are likely, in part, to represent negative internalised
28
29 self-perceptions and their views about how others perceive them(24, 25).
30

31
32 Profound and potentially destructive negative self-images were shared by several participants.
33
34 Whilst low self esteem can be part of a depressive disorder, not all doctors had experienced a
35
36 depressive episode or were currently suffering from depression; a number had made a clinical
37
38 recovery from their health condition. Our findings went beyond low self esteem into the realm
39
40 of self-stigma. Self-stigma describes the phenomenon whereby people adopt and internalize
41
42 external social stigma and experience loss of self-esteem and self-efficacy (26-28). As a result,
43
44 they refrain from taking an active role in various areas of life. Many of the doctors we
45
46 interviewed appeared to have absorbed negative views of themselves. Moreover, at least two
47
48 doctors described difficulties in dealing with having a disabling physical health problem, only to
49
50 then have to cope with yet more perceived criticism regarding a mental health problem. It is
51
52 possible that in absorbing them, doctors perpetuate and reinforce the negative views of others.
53
54 An alternative suggestion may be that the doctors have internalised the view of themselves as
55
56 invincible to the extent that they view themselves as failures, and cannot conceive that anyone
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 else might view their illness differently. So rather than absorbing negative views of others, they
5
6 may in fact be externalising their own negative views. A future study would benefit from
7
8 assessing the views held by those close to doctors.
9

10
11 We are not aware of a literature describing why doctors may be more prone to self-stigmatise
12
13 and are therefore restricted to hypothesising. It is possible that it reflects a general tendency to
14
15 stigmatise – doctors have been shown to stigmatise others with mental health problems (23). It
16
17 may in part be connected to the issue of “invincibility” which is constructed in binary fashion –
18
19 you are either invincible, or you are completely useless. It may also reflect a more widespread
20
21 aspect of medical culture where doctors develop a sensitivity to the views of others. Normally
22
23 this is the positive views of colleagues and patients which can be quite intoxicating. Doctors
24
25 may also be predisposed to internalise negative views of others.
26

27
28 Any understanding of the difficulties faced by doctors in returning to work needs to be able to
29
30 incorporate both the omnipotent “doctors are invincible” view and the negative self-stigmatising
31
32 views elicited in our study. Although these may seem to be mutually exclusive, an alternative
33
34 hypothesis would be that the self-stigmatising views are a direct consequence of the unhealthy
35
36 “doctors are invincible” view. The competitive medical environment reinforces the need for
37
38 toughness and self-reliance which has become more of a wider cultural phenomenon rather than
39
40 a trait identified in a few. It is possible that, for some, this has a selective advantage – that is, for
41
42 some students and trainees this outlook assists in being able to manage the suffering of the
43
44 patients and families they are learning to treat. Its success in the short-term means it often
45
46 remains unchallenged. The incorporation of the “illness is for patients” view however reduces
47
48 the chances of alternative “healthier” narratives about the interchangeability of the patient and
49
50 doctor roles being generated. Thus when a doctor does become ill they are challenged on a
51
52 range of levels, dealing not only with the illness but also for some the loss of their self-image as
53
54 invincible.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 A number of doctors though do not have access to strategies which will allow them to come to
5
6 terms with these issues whilst maintaining their personal integrity. A greater willingness to
7
8 accept the possibility that one might at some stage become a patient, together with greater input
9
10 from trainers and senior colleagues as to how this might be managed, could reduce the sense of
11
12 shock and bewilderment should illness strike.

13
14 As with all stigma, reducing social distance can help change minds(29). It is possible that recent
15
16 improvements in the quality of NHS occupational health services(30) and the services provided
17
18 to treat sick doctors, such as the Practitioner Health Programme, might mean that more doctors
19
20 who have had complex difficulties are able to return to active practice more rapidly. The
21
22 presence of these doctors in the workforce will therefore increase over time, improving the
23
24 chance that students and trainees will come into contact with such doctors, and this will act as a
25
26 counter-weight to the notion that “doctors are invincible”. But if we are to create an
27
28 environment which facilitates the return to work of doctors with long term difficulties, attention
29
30 must be paid to how the “invincible” culture in medicine is generated. The regulator, which now
31
32 has responsibility for UK medical undergraduates, the Deaneries and the medical schools must
33
34 work together to enable students and trainees to recognise their own vulnerabilities and facilitate
35
36 the generation of strategies should they become ill. Further, aspects of personal and colleague
37
38 health, especially mental health, should be part of the curriculum for all medical students.
39
40 Doctors must learn to provide themselves and their colleagues with the same level of excellent
41
42 care that they provide for their patients.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. North East London Strategic Health Authority. Report of an independent inquiry into the care and treatment of Daksha Emson and her daughter Freya. London: North East London Strategic Health Authority, 2003.
2. The Shipman Inquiry - Fifth Report. Safeguarding Patients: Lessons from the Past – Proposals for the Future. London: The Stationery Office, 2005.
3. Department of Health. Supporting Doctors Protecting Patients: A Consultation Paper on Preventing, Recognising and Dealing With Poor Clinical Performance of Doctors in the NHS in England. London: Department of Health, 1999.
4. Department of Health. Good doctors, safer patients: proposals to strengthen the system to assure and improve the performance of doctors and to protect the safety of patients. London: Department of Health, 2006.
5. Department of Health. Mental Health and Ill Health in Doctors. London: Department of Health, 2008.
6. Brooks SK, Chalder T, Gerada C. Doctors vulnerable to psychological distress and addictions: treatment from the Practitioner Health Programme. *J Ment Health*. 2011;20(2):157-64. Epub 2011/05/06.
7. Brooks SK, Gerada C, Chalder T. Review of literature on the mental health of doctors: are specialist services needed? *J Ment Health*. 2011;20(2):146-56. Epub 2011/02/01.
8. Harvey S, Laird B, Henderson M, et al. The mental health of healthcare professionals. London: Department of Health, 2009.
9. PHP. NHS Practitioner Health Programme: A Report on the 2 year Prototype Service. London: Practitioner Health Programme, 2010.
10. Department of Health. Invisible Patients: A report of the working group on the health of health professionals. London: Department of Health, 2010.
11. Dekkers-Sanchez PM, Wind H, Sluiter JK, et al. A qualitative study of perpetuating factors for long term sick leave and promoting factors for return to work: chronic work disabled patients in their own words. *J Rehabil Med*. 2010;42(6):544-52. Epub 2010/06/16.
12. Marhold C, Linton SJ, Melin L. Identification of obstacles for chronic pain patients to return to work: evaluation of a questionnaire. *Journal of occupational rehabilitation*. 2002;12(2):65-75. Epub 2002/05/17.
13. Andersen MF, Nielsen KM, Brinkmann S. Meta-synthesis of qualitative research on return to work among employees with common mental disorders. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2012;38(2):93-104. Epub 2011/10/26.
14. Fatholm Y. "Patients, not doctors, get sick": A study of fifteen Swedish physicians on long-term sick leave. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being*. 2007;2:19-32.
15. Fox FE, Rodham KJ, Harris MF, et al. Experiencing "the other side": a study of empathy and empowerment in general practitioners who have been patients. *Qual Health Res*. 2009;19(11):1580-8. Epub 2009/10/22.
16. Ingstad B, Christie VM. Encounters with illness: the perspective of the sick doctor. *Anthropology & Medicine*. 2001;8:201-10.
17. McKevitt C, Morgan M. Anomalous patients: the experiences of doctors with an illness. *Journal of Sociology of Health and Illness*. 1997;19:644-67.
18. Stanton J, Randal P. Doctors accessing mental-health services: an exploratory study. *BMJ open*. 2011;1(1):e000017. Epub 2011/10/25.
19. Nvivo qualitative data analysis software. 8 ed: QSR International Pty Ltd.
20. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research*. 2006;3:77-101.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4 21. Setness PA. Is it real or is it memorex? Discerning whether job-related stress or mental
- 5 illness is causing physician impairment. *Postgrad Med*. 2003;113(1):7-9. Epub
- 6 2003/01/28.
- 7 22. Knudsen AK, Henderson M, Harvey SB, et al. Long-term sickness absence among
- 8 patients with chronic fatigue syndrome. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2011;199(5):430-1. Epub
- 9 2011/09/10.
- 10 23. Lawrie SM, Parsons C, Patrick J, et al. A controlled trial of general practitioners'
- 11 attitudes to patients with schizophrenia. *Health Bull (Edinb)*. 1996;54(3):201-3. Epub
- 12 1996/05/01.
- 13 24. Rusch N, Angermeyer MC, Corrigan PW. Mental illness stigma: concepts,
- 14 consequences, and initiatives to reduce stigma. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2005;20(8):529-39.
- 15 Epub 2005/09/21.
- 16 25. Wilson A, Rosen A, Randal P, et al. Psychiatrically impaired medical practitioners: an
- 17 overview with special reference to impaired psychiatrists. *Australas Psychiatry*.
- 18 2009;17(1):6-10. Epub 2009/01/13.
- 19 26. Schomerus G, Matschinger H, Angermeyer MC. The stigma of psychiatric treatment
- 20 and help-seeking intentions for depression. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*.
- 21 2009;259(5):298-306. Epub 2009/02/19.
- 22 27. Watson AC, Corrigan P, Larson JE, et al. Self-stigma in people with mental illness.
- 23 *Schizophr Bull*. 2007;33(6):1312-8. Epub 2007/01/27.
- 24 28. Ritsher JB, Otilingam PG, Grajales M. Internalized stigma of mental illness:
- 25 psychometric properties of a new measure. *Psychiatry research*. 2003;121(1):31-49.
- 26 Epub 2003/10/24.
- 27 29. Yamaguchi S, Mino Y, Uddin S. Strategies and future attempts to reduce stigmatization
- 28 and increase awareness of mental health problems among young people: a narrative
- 29 review of educational interventions. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2011;65(5):405-15. Epub
- 30 2011/08/20.
- 31 30. Henderson M, Harvey S, Rogers C, et al. Depression Screening and Management of
- 32 Staff on Long Term Sickness Absence: A national clinical audit. London: Royal
- 33 College of Physicians., 2009.
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

Table 1. Participant characteristics

Participant number	Gender	Physical health problem?	Mental health problem/addiction?	GMC involvement?
1	M	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	F	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	F	No	Yes	Yes
4	M	No	Yes	Yes
5	F	Yes	Yes	No
6	F	Yes	Yes	No
7	F	No	Yes	Yes
8	F	No	Yes	Yes
9	M	No	Yes	Yes
10	M	No	Yes	No
11	M	Yes	No	No
12	M	No	Yes	Yes
13	F	No	Yes	Yes
14	F	No	Yes	Yes
15	M	Yes	Yes	Yes
16	M	No	Yes	Yes
17	M	No	Yes	Yes
18	F	No	Yes	No
19	F	Yes	Yes	Yes

STATEMENTS

Competing Interests

"All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare: Dr Brooks and Dr del Busso had financial support from Royal Medical Benevolent Fund for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous 3 years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work."

Ethics Approval

Approval for this study was granted by the South East London Research Ethics Committee [10/H0807/33].

Authors Contributions

Henderson, Hotopf, and Harvey had the initial idea for the study. Henderson, Hotopf, Harvey, Madan, Hatch, and Chalder refined the study methodology. Del Busso and Brooks carried out the initial analyses. Henderson, del Busso, and Brooks wrote the initial draft. Hotopf, Harvey, Madan, Hatch and Chalder revised the draft critically for important intellectual content.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the funding provided by the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund. We are grateful for the support of the General Medical Council, the Practitioner Health Programme and all the study participants who gave their time so generously.

This study was funded by a grant from the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund. The funder had no input into the design, analysis and interpretation of the data, the writing of the report or the decision to submit the article for publication. The funder contributed to the identification of

1
2
3
4 potential participants as described in the Methods section. All the researchers are independent
5
6 of the funders.
7

8 **Data**
9

10 No additional data are available
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

**Shame! Self-stigmatisation as an obstacle to sick doctors returning to work:
a qualitative study**

Dr Max Henderson [corresponding author]
Senior Lecturer in Epidemiological & Occupational Psychiatry
Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London
Department of Psychological Medicine
Weston Education Centre, Cutcombe Road
London SE5 9RJ UK
T: 020 3228 8564
F: 020 7848 5408
E: max.j.henderson@kcl.ac.uk

Dr Samantha K. Brooks
Research Assistant
Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London
London UK

Dr Lilliana del Busso
Associate Professor of Social Psychology
Østfold University College
Halden Norway

Professor Trudie Chalder PhD
Professor of Cognitive Behavioural Therapy
Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London
London UK

Dr Samuel B Harvey PhD
Senior Lecturer in Workplace Mental Health
School of Psychiatry, University of New South Wales
Sydney Australia

Professor Matthew Hotopf PhD
Professor of General Hospital Psychiatry
Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London
London UK

Dr Ira Madan MD
Consultant Occupational Physician
St Thomas' Hospital
London UK

Dr Stephani Hatch PhD
Lecturer in Social Epidemiology
Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London
London UK

[50714202 words]

ABSTRACT

Objective

To explore the views of sick doctors on the obstacles preventing them returning to work.

Design

Qualitative study

Setting

Single participating centre recruiting doctors from all over the UK

Participants

Doctors who had been away from work for at least 6 months with physical or mental health problems, drug or alcohol problems, General Medical Council involvement, or any combination of these, were eligible. Eligible doctors were recruited in conjunction with the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund, the General Medical Council and the Practitioner Health Programme. These organisations approached 77 doctors; 19 participated. Each doctor completed an in-depth semi-structured interview. We used a constant comparison method to identify and agree on the coding of the data and the identification of a number of central themes

Results

The doctors described that being away from work left them isolated and sad. Many experienced negative reactions from their family and some deliberately concealed their problems. Doctors described a lack of support from colleagues and feared a negative response when returning to work. Self-stigmatisation was central to the participants' accounts; several described themselves as failures and appeared to have internalised the negative views of others.

Conclusions

Self-stigmatising views, which possibly emerge from the belief that "doctors are invincible", represent a major obstacle to doctors returning to work. From medical school onwards cultural

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

change is necessary to allow doctors to recognise their vulnerabilities so they can more easily generate strategies to manage if they become unwell.

[240 words]

For peer review only

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

Formatted: Underline

- Doctors have rates of mental illness, drug and alcohol misuse and suicide at least as high as the general population though many doctors have difficulty accessing appropriate mainstream health care often due to fears about confidentiality.
- A number of studies have examined risk factors for doctors becoming ill but no studies have examined the difficulties faced by sick doctors in returning to work.
- Our qualitative study used in-depth semi-structured interviews to assess the views of doctors who had been away from work for at least six months on the obstacles to them returning to work.

Key Messages

- Illness, surprising to many doctors, forces an entire reappraisal of their view of the world and their place within it.
- Many doctors internalise the perceived negative responses of colleagues and others to their illness
- These factors contribute to the difficulties faced by doctors in returning to work.

Strengths and limitations

- We recruited 19 doctors from all over the UK in partnership with the General Medical Council, the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund and the Practitioner Health Programme.
- Our methodology meant that we have no way of knowing anything about the doctors who were approached by our partner organisations but decided not to take part. Further, we only have the doctors own accounts and no independent way of understanding for example the relationship between their initial reason for stopping work and their current problems.

Background

Daksha Emson, a trainee psychiatrist with bipolar affective disorder, killed herself and her daughter in October 2000. She was terrified that her career would be adversely affected if her illness was disclosed(1). Not long after this Dame Janet Smith who chaired the Shipman Inquiry heavily criticised the General Medical Council (GMC) for being more concerned with the interests of doctors than patients(2). In the last 15 years there has been a growing interest in the health of healthcare professionals(3-7). Whilst doctors have rates of mental illness, drug and alcohol misuse and suicide at least as high, if not higher, than the general population(8), for many reasons they struggle to engage with mainstream healthcare. In 2009 a pilot service, The Practitioner Health Programme, was established for doctors with psychiatric or physical health problems that were interfering with their work(9). In 2010 the Department of Health published *Invisible Patients*(10) which provided a detailed account of the difficulties faced by health professionals with mental health problems accessing appropriate care, and called on the regulator to provide greater consistency in the assessment of the impact of health difficulties on performance.

Notwithstanding this increased interest there have been few qualitative studies of doctors, and none specifically looking at the question of obstacles preventing return to work for doctors with complex needs/long term difficulties. There is a small literature on obstacles to a successful return to work for the general population. Dekkers-Sanchez (11) used focus group methodology to uncover four broad areas – personal factors, health-related factors, social obstacles and work-related obstacles. A range of perceptual issues were described in the context of personal factors, including self-efficacy and illness representations. Individual perceptions of self, work, and the ability to cope with returning to work emerged from Marhold’s study of Obstacles to Return-to-Work Questionnaire (12). These were further influenced by pain and mood. The study identified concerns that returning to work might lead to a worsening of symptoms as particularly relevant. Andersen recently published a meta-synthesis of qualitative studies examining return to work

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 [for people with common mental disorders](#) (13). Emerging themes were grouped as personal
8 [factors, support in the workplace, and wider economic and societal issues. This important paper](#)
9 [emphasised that returning to work is a process into which past experience, current perception](#)
10 [and anticipation of the future all input.](#)

11
12
13
14 [Although to our knowledge no papers on doctors have had obstacles to returning to work as](#)
15 [their main focus, several studies exploring the general experience of being a sick doctor have](#)
16 [found that doctors emphasise the difficulty of being off work and the barriers faced in returning](#)
17 [to work after sickness absence.](#) Fatholm interviewed 15 doctors who had successfully returned
18
19
20
21
22 to work after a period of prolonged sick leave (14). Doctors commented on how hard they found
23
24 it holding the identity of both a doctor and a patient. Several described having resisted sick
25
26 leave fearing their own clinical competence would be questioned. A number reported
27
28 'negotiating' with their own doctor in an attempt to expedite their return to work. Fox spoke to
29
30 doctors with significant long term illness (15). Further issues around personal identity emerged,
31
32 as did comments about the culture of "invincibility" within medicine, and how sick doctors need
33
34 to put on 'facade'. Ingstad (16) identified participants who had been asked by their doctor to
35
36 make clinical decisions about their own health. The tension between the role of the patient and
37
38 that of the doctor was also discussed by McTevitt (17) who described how some doctors find it
39
40 difficult to cede control and thereby cease being the "expert" in a two-person relationship. In
41
42 contrast Stanton highlighted how non-medical friends and colleagues can identify that
43
44 "something is wrong" yet find themselves disempowered by the doctor-patient's medical
45
46 knowledge when trying to discuss this(18).

47
48 Beyond the issues with access to appropriate services and the complications of regulatory
49
50 involvement, relatively little is known about the ability of doctors to be able to return to work
51
52 after a period of sick leave. We explored the views of doctors with a range of physical and
53
54 psychiatric health problems, with and without GMC involvement, on the obstacles that prevent
55
56 them from returning to work.

Methods

We carried out a qualitative study to examine the views of doctors with [complex needs long term difficulties](#) on the obstacles they faced in returning to work. Ethical approval was granted by the South East London Research Ethics Committee. Although the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund funded this study, they had no role in the study design, data analyses, or data interpretation.

For this study we defined doctors with [complex needs long term difficulties](#) as those currently away from work more than six months due to problems with their health, with or without involvement with the GMC, or who had a similar period of absence within the last year.

Doctors from across the UK were eligible for inclusion. We excluded doctors who had any ongoing health problem, physical or psychiatric, that would make an in-depth 90 minute interview distressing or uncomfortable.

We formed partnership arrangements with the General Medical Council, the Practitioner Health Programme, and the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund. Recognising the importance of confidentiality, these organisations agreed to an ‘arms-length’ arrangement whereby they would identify potential participants, based on our eligibility criteria, and give them a letter of introduction from the research team. The letter contained details of how the potential participant could make contact with the research team if they wished to take part. The research team therefore had no knowledge of potential participants who did not make contact. Moreover, the partner organisations did not know which of the doctors they had identified made contact with the research team. There was no exchange of information about the doctor between the research team and the partner organisation.

A detailed interview guide was prepared initially by MJH and LdB, and then amended following discussions with the rest of the research team. The content of this guide and its performance were reviewed by the research team after 3 interviews, though no major changes

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 were felt necessary. The researchers (LdB and SB) determined eligibility and gained consent
8
9 from potential participants. Those interested were invited to take part in an in-depth semi-
10
11 structured interview. When the researchers met the participants the nature and purpose of the
12
13 study was explained again. Participants had the opportunity to ask questions. All participants
14
15 provided full written consent to take part in the study.

16
17 A total of 19 interviews were completed; the first ten interviews were completed by LdB and
18
19 the last nine by SB. Each interview lasted between one and two hours. Interviews were recorded
20
21 directly onto an encrypted drive on a laptop computer then transcribed verbatim. Using the
22
23 Nvivo software package(19), transcripts were analysed by thematic content analysis using the
24
25 constant comparison method(20). The two researchers (LdB and SB) each analysed all the
26
27 transcripts using [an inductive approach to thematic analysis\(20\), using NVivo to 'code' data in](#)
28
29 [order to build a set of 'themes' i.e. ideas or topics occurring at several points in the data corpus.-](#)

30
31 Initially the researchers each completed the coding procedure independently. They then
32
33 compared codes and reached consensus on the emerging themes by discussion leading to a final
34
35 [set of agreed codes/agreed master list of themes and sub-themes](#). Emerging themes were
36
37 discussed regularly by the research team. [This type of thematic analysis is inductive, i.e. the](#)
38
39 [themes emerged from the data itself and were not imposed by the researchers](#). In addition both
40
41 researchers engaged in a process of reflexivity. They each recorded details of the interviewing
42
43 interaction, and reflected on their own experience which may have had an impact on the
44
45 interpretation of data.

46 **Results**

47 Recruitment

48
49
50 77 doctors were informed about the study by the partner organisations. No potential participants
51
52 were believed to meet exclusion criteria. Given our recruitment methodology we do not know
53
54

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 which partner organisation introduced each participant. A number of the doctors were known to
8 more than one of the partners, though this does not necessarily mean they were contacted by
9 each. Thirty of those provided with information about the study made contact with the
10 researchers. Nineteen (25% of the 77) doctors took part in the study. At least two doctors who
11 had initially expressed an interest subsequently became too unwell to participate. The reasons
12 given by other potential participants for not taking part included not wishing to be recorded, and
13 ongoing concerns, despite reassurance, regarding confidentiality. All doctors who took part
14 were able to complete the interview.

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 The participants are described in Table 1. Their ages ranged from ~~20s to 60s~~ 27 – 67 years and
23 the median age was 46. Diagnoses included depression, anxiety, bipolar affective disorder and
24 alcohol dependence~~addiction~~. All but one doctor had a mental health or addiction problem,
25
26
27 seven had a physical health problem and 14 had involvement with the GMC.

28
29
30 (Table 1 about here)

31
32 Four main themes and a number of sub-themes were identified. The main themes which
33 emerged from the data were: work identity; relationships with family and friends; professional
34 relationships; and self perception. Sub-themes relating to work identity included identity being
35 bound up with career, and feelings of emptiness when not at work. Sub-themes relating to
36 relationships with family and friends included changes in relationships due to their difficulties;
37 positive support; feeling unsupported; and concealing difficulties from others. Sub-themes
38 relating to professional relationships included support; lack of caring; anticipating feeling
39 judged on return to work; and stigmatisation. Sub-themes relating to self perception included
40 sense of failure becoming generalised rather than specific to loss of work, and an altered sense
41 of self due to being away from work.

42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50 Importance of work identity~~Work identity~~

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 Participants reported their job as an important part of their identity, and many described being
8 deeply committed to their work and defined themselves in these terms. Often this related to the
9 effort and sacrifice that went into becoming a doctor. For many of the participants going off
10 work sick was associated with a fundamental change in identity. Many appeared to incorporate
11 negative views of themselves into their new identity. While the loss of income was mentioned
12 by some of the doctors, the loss of identity was rarely about the financial aspects alone.
13
14
15
16
17

18 *"I want to work. I would have liked to have worked, for lots of reasons. I think it's*
19 *good for anybody to have a structure to their life and purpose. I have always wanted to*
20 *be a doctor and I trained as a mature student in medicine. So I sacrificed a lot. And I*
21 *loved my job. So for all those reasons it was really important to me to go back to*
22 *work."* [#11]
23
24
25
26

27 *"You get to be part of your job, it becomes part of you..."* [#17]
28
29

30 Perhaps as a consequence, being away from work then left them feeling lost, isolated and sad.
31

32 *"..once you try and put that doctor persona aside, I realised there wasn't much left of*
33 *me"* [#9]
34
35

36 *"I can't, emotionally I can't retire. I mean, I gotta be involved. I feel a total emptiness"*
37 *[#10]*
38
39

40 *"..because I have spent all my entire adult life from the first year of my medical school*
41 *to 2008, I mean its nearly two decades, so and then everything is taken from you. You*
42 *have been left alone and no one can hear it if you no matter how loudly cry and so it is*
43 *very, very difficult, and you see hopeless helpless, and nowhere to go and (...) you just*
44 *simply got lost in a, in a huge sea without any navigator and you don't know what to*
45 *do"* [#12]
46
47
48
49

50 *"I almost didn't want to do the normal things like going out, because I almost wanted*
51 *that to be on hold before I started working again, because work was so important...I*
52
53
54

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 *also I think with the sense that because I wasn't working I shouldn't be almost allowed*
8
9 *... I shouldn't be going out and having any sort – doing any sort of non-work-related,*
10 *or non-serious sort of activity” [#18]*
11
12
13

14
15
16
17
18 *“I almost didn't want to do the normal things like going out, because I almost wanted*
19 *that to be on hold before I started working again, because work was so important...I*
20 *also I think with the sense that because I wasn't working I shouldn't be almost allowed*
21 *to do all the things that, or any fun things like because I wasn't working I shouldn't be*
22 *going out and having any sort – doing any sort of non work related, or non serious sort*
23 *of activity” [#18]*
24
25
26
27

28 Relationships with family and friends

29
30
31 Many, though not all, doctors had absorbed negative responses they had received from family
32 and friends. The impact of no longer working appeared to be super-imposed on that of the
33 illness itself. Some even tried to conceal their difficulties from their close family.
34
35

36 Relationships with family and friends

37
38
39
40
41 Many, though not all, doctors had absorbed negative responses they had received from family
42 and friends. The impact of no longer working appeared to be super imposed on that of the
43 illness itself. Some even tried to conceal their difficulties from their close family.
44
45

46
47 A number of participants spoke about the change in the nature of their close relationships that
48 had been brought about by their difficulties.
49

50
51 *“My relationship with my children has changed very much and that's an ongoing thing*
52 *which I'll have to face as they get older and learn more about me and what I can and*
53
54

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 can't do. And obviously even my wife has completely changed, as you can imagine. But
8 I've been fortunate in the fact that they've all been very accepting and supportive of
9 me" [#11]
10
11

12 There were several doctors who described very positive experiences of support.

13
14
15 "My friends were very supportive. I think they put up with a lot really in a sense
16 because I was very unwell on and off. ~~In the same way as I got weary of it I'm sure they~~
17 ~~did and there were crises. As I say, even when I was well I didn't think I was that great,~~
18 ~~but no, they were very supportive....~~ They really stuck by me. I think they couldn't really
19 understand what was happening. They had no background in psychiatry or anything but
20 they could see that none of these tablets were really helping things and I think it must
21 have been very, very frustrating for them. I think I owe them a lot really" [#14]
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 "I live with my husband and we have quite a few really good friends who live nearby ..
29 and they were, kind of, the people who got me through really. So, all of them were
30 people I could talk to who would understand, who would, kind of, pray for me, support
31 me, and really see, they saw the effect it was having on me because they knew me and I
32 could be open and honest with them" ~~Yeah, without them I don't know what, how it~~
33 ~~would have gone really" [#5]~~
34
35
36
37
38

39 In contrast, several doctors reported receiving less than adequate support from family and
40 friends.
41

42
43 "Mostly he [partner] detached himself, carried on working and regarded me as a
44 nuisance...my family have really put me into the sort of bad category" [#19]
45

46
47 "I have gone down in their esteem because of the problems at work...they didn't think
48 too highly of me for that" [#7]
49

50
51 "I am quite an outsider in my family" [#5]
52
53
54

To avoid negative changes in close relationships, more than one doctor resorted to concealing their difficulties from their families.

“I heard that most doctors, they don’t actually want to involve anyone else, because it’s just a stigma and a shame on themselves, that something happened, so even my close family did not know what happened” [#12]

“Sometimes there’s a situation where I’m going to rush off to a [Alcoholic Anonymous] meeting and they’re still in our house having a cup of tea and it’s like ‘Oh, I’m just off to go and meet a friend’. I am kind of; I’m just not telling them the whole truth but I don’t feel I’m lying. I’m just protecting them, protecting our relationship, protecting our family” [#9]

Professional relationships

Many participants reported a negative response to their situation from colleagues. Such responses were more internalised than challenged and doctors used terms such as “failure”, “uncomfortable”, “shame” and “guilt” when describing themselves.

Several doctors recalled the support they had received from colleagues – both doctors and other healthcare professionals.

“They came to visit me in the hospital. I remember one of the nurses commented she’d never seen so many doctors on the ward before at once. ~~I was surrounded by about eight [specialty] registrars.(...)~~ They’d all come en masse to visit me. And my consultant direct educational supervisor boss at the time was being very nice. He’d occasionally ring to find out how things were going and so on. So they were very good” ——— [# 11]

“The nursing staff, ancillary staff, other medical staff outside of the department were extremely supportive. ~~They would come over and open the car door and shake my hand—~~Some of them sent me emails and phoned me and I really felt welcomed back. Less so with my own colleagues but having said that, they’ve been through the wars with me and they supported me big time before [year] and then I had a relapse and then

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 *I think they probably felt “That’s it, he’ll not be back.” Then I come back, it’s difficult*
8 *for them and maybe that’s passing in time though” [#16]*
9

10
11 *“I was actually quite pleasantly surprised that a lot of my friends from work were very*
12 *supportive. When asked for testimonials for GMC for me and wrote a lot of very nice*
13 *things about me including those who turned up and actually spoke on my behalf” [#17]*
14

15
16 Some doctors felt less supported. In several cases, this was attributed to other medical
17 professionals lacking the knowledge and experience in dealing with sick doctors.
18

19
20 *“Certainly within the medical profession I don’t think the culture’s there to accept*
21 *somebody who’s severely disabled. They’re not geared up towards it at all. (...) it’s*
22 *going to be a big battle because the culture isn’t there, the knowledge isn’t there, the*
23 *experience isn’t there to deal with somebody who is severely disabled.” [#11]*
24

25
26
27 However, doctors not caring about other doctors were mentioned by several participants.

28
29 Doctors described their experiences of support or the lack thereof, in contrast to the care doctors
30 provide for their patients. Moreover the lack of caring was described as a cultural and attitudinal
31 issue rather than purely situational. Many doctors observed and experienced this culture of
32 support, both when others have gone off sick, and whilst they themselves have been ill.
33
34

35
36
37 *“I mean we’re meant to be caring people [laughs] but we don’t, don’t seem to care*
38 *about each other at all in my experience” [#3]*
39

40
41 *“Most of the consultants (...) were not bothered at all, and I don’t think they would*
42 *really care unless you drop dead and they were only bothered about the work being*
43 *done, regardless of what happened to you. And I think the only time they would get*
44 *concerned is if the work wasn’t being done (...) if I was just talking to a friend, I would*
45 *just say, “They do not care about junior doctors, consultants, and they don’t care about*
46 *their welfare” [#18]*
47

48
49 *“It was a bit unfortunate that at the time I went off sick one of my [specialty] training*
50 *colleagues went off sick as well and she went the week before me and she was*
51 *absolutely slammed, it was just, ‘Oh it’s disgusting, she shouldn’t be going off sick,*
52
53

there's nothing the matter with her,' ~~and I was going, 'Well she's really stressed, she's not sleeping,' all the things, she shouldn't be at work. 'Oh but you know...'~~(...) and then of course the next week it was me. But I just think unless you can change how doctors as a generic body, nationally, perceive mental illness you are never going to change it" [#2]

"You're seen as being weak, and one comment I had from this... from the Head of Department in my last job was when she found out I was going for psychotherapy and she said, "I suppose if you need it," was her attitude, as if I were some inferior person because I was having psychotherapy." [#7]

It is therefore no surprise that the anticipation of their colleagues' response is an important factor as a doctor gets ready to start the return to work system.

"I've still got to get over the hurdle of meeting my colleagues, knowing what they've said about me...knowing that is going to be difficult" [#2]

"I don't like it when I feel...that people know and that I am being judged...I find that quite uncomfortable" [#3]

"I think there's a perception that doctors who experience medical conditions should not be doc-, or at least there was a perception amongst the people, who I've... some of the people of my experience, that they shouldn't be in the profession." [#18]

For some doctors the impact of their experience with colleagues and in the work place was exacerbated by stigmatisation based on existing disabilities.

"I always felt that there was, erm, so much wrong with me already, that I didn't want to be seen as someone who, kind of, exaggerated or had loads of things wrong with them, and always, kind of, moaned and, I just didn't want people to think I was looking for sympathy or, I don't know, I just, I just thought, I just didn't want to be treated any more differently and I thought if I tell somebody...they might not involve me in certain conversations, or they might talk about me with each other, and I just didn't want any of that, so..." [#6]

"Developing [physical disability] and erm ... and just a total dearth of information about how you practice as a healthcare professional with [physical disability] ...Nobody I could find knew anything about that. ...but the huge thing was just erm living...it was erm adapting to a new disability both socially and erm er professionally.

Erm there's a high rate of depression in [people with physical disability], much higher than the general population, higher than [physical disability]. [#5]

Self perception

Overall, feelings of being “a failure” became a generalised self-perception rather than specific to the loss of the work role. ~~For most participants, the experience of being a doctor away from work culminated in an internalised, altered sense of self.~~

“There was guilt, there was shame, there was fear...there was low self-esteem...there was the uncertainty of medicine. Self-confidence – that plummeted” [#9]

“But my confidence has totally gone...I've felt a total failure and I still sometimes do” [#5]

~~For most participants, the experience of being a doctor away from work culminated in an internalised, altered sense of self.~~

“But once you try and put that doctor persona aside, I realised there wasn't much left of me. I realised I was kind of a doctor, but what else do I do?”

“I think I felt like a bit of a failure....if you even said to me that I would not be working, about 2 years before this...I'd have said “What a loser!” [#18]

~~Feelings of emptiness, guilt, shame and of being a ‘failure’ were prominent in many doctors’ accounts. Self esteem appeared to be worsened by illness and loss of work. Doctors tended to blame themselves for their situations and felt like failures when experiencing difficulties with work and encountering obstacles to returning to work, often resulting in a loss of confidence. This, in turn, further worsened self esteem and created a vicious circle where the doctor needs work to improve their self esteem but cannot work due to their self esteem being so low.~~

“If I tried to get a job in [specialty], I don't know if I'd remain as well. I probably wouldn't, and so I would get into that vicious circle again of health affecting my performance and my performance, lower performance affecting my mood and everything spiralling down again.” [#7]

~~Finally, for some doctors the impact of their experience with colleagues and in the work place was exacerbated by stigmatisation based on existing disabilities.~~

Er, I think, I always felt that there was, erm, so much wrong with me already, that I didn't want to be seen as someone who, kind of, exaggerated or had loads of things wrong with them, and always, kind of, moaned and, I just didn't want people to think I was looking for sympathy or, I don't know, I just, I just thought, I just didn't want to be treated any more differently and I thought if I tell somebody...they might not involve me in certain conversations, or they might talk about me with each other, and I just didn't want any of that, so... [#6]

Erm developing [physical disability] and erm ... and just a total dearth of information about how you practice as a healthcare professional with [physical disability] ...Nobody I could find knew anything about that. ...but the huge thing was just erm living...it was erm adapting to a new disability both socially and erm er professionally. Erm there's a high rate of depression in [people with physical disability], much higher than the general population, higher than [physical disability]. [#5]

Discussion

We carried out in-depth interviews on 19 doctors who had been off work for six months or more. These doctors had various combinations of physical and psychiatric disorders. The majority, though not all, had had some dealings with the General Medical Council. [A number of potential participants declined to participate in the study, with many suggesting they were concerned about confidentiality and anonymity. This is interesting in itself and shows a distrust of how the findings might be used, despite reassurance about the purpose of the study and how confidentiality would be maintained.](#)

[Many of our participants had a mixture of physical and mental health difficulties. The interviews which were semi structured and therefore to some degree led by the interviewee. They tended to focus on the mental health issues, which is why these appear more prominent in the analysis.](#)

Commitment to, and identifying with, the role of a doctor was a common theme that emerged. It is likely that medicine with its long training and long hours of work preferentially attracts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 individuals likely to make a commitment to their work. The flip-side of such an approach is the
8 relative absence of an alternative structure or purpose if, for whatever reason, an individual is
9 unable to work.
10

11
12 The centrality of this role also seemed to be strengthened by the perception amongst doctors that
13 they are “invincible”, and that “illness is only for patients”, alluded to in both Cohen’s review
14 for the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund (Cohen D – personal communication) and Harvey’s
15 review for the National Clinical Assessment Service(8). It is understandable therefore that for
16 some doctors the recognition of illness presents a challenge over and above that of just the
17 disorder – it forces an entire reappraisal of their view of the world and their place within it. The
18 accounts given by several doctors convey a sense of great surprise, often only implicitly, that
19 they had suffered a health problem. Whilst these issues have been recognised as factors in
20 delaying or preventing a doctor seeking medical attention(8, 21), they have not previously been
21 considered as reasons for a doctor having difficulty in returning to work.
22
23

24
25 One of the most striking findings was the negative view the doctors had of themselves since ill
26 health became a part of their identity. This ~~was clearly may have been~~ exacerbated by the views
27 and behaviours they perceived ~~or encountered~~ from family members and colleagues: many felt
28 unsupported or judged. It is important to note that these feelings are the perceptions of the
29 participants; as no significant others were interviewed, we do not have in
30 formation about~~sight~~
31 ~~into their views.~~ The participants in our study described having experienced negative
32 interactions with their families and colleagues during their illness, but also seemed to anticipate
33 this as part of any return to work process(22). It has been suggested that doctors stigmatise
34 mental illness more than the public(23) , but the self-stigmatisation seemed to extend to physical
35 illness as well. As is common with stigma, the doctors’ accounts are likely, in part, to represent
36 negative internalised self-perceptions and their views about how others perceive them(24, 25).
37
38

39
40 Profound and potentially destructive negative self-images were shared by several participants.
41

42
43 Whilst low self esteem can be part of a depressive disorder, not all doctors had experienced a
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 depressive episode or were currently suffering from depression; a number had made a clinical
8 recovery from their health condition. Our findings went beyond low self esteem into the realm
9 of self-stigma. Self-stigma describes the phenomenon whereby people adopt and internalize
10 external social stigma and experience loss of self-esteem and self-efficacy (26-28). As a result,
11 they refrain from taking an active role in various areas of life. Many of the doctors we
12 interviewed appeared to have absorbed negative views of themselves. Moreover, at least two
13 doctors described difficulties in dealing with having a disabling physical health problem, only to
14 then have to cope with yet more perceived criticism regarding a mental health problem. It is
15 possible that in absorbing them, doctors perpetuate and reinforce the negative views of others.

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23 An alternative suggestion may be that the doctors have internalised the view of themselves as
24 invincible to the extent that they view themselves as failures, and cannot conceive that anyone
25 else might view their illness differently. So rather than absorbing negative views of others, they
26 may in fact be externalising their own negative views. A future study would benefit from
27 assessing the views held by those close to doctors.

28
29
30
31
32
33 We are not aware of a literature describing why doctors may be more prone to self-stigmatise
34 and are therefore restricted to hypothesising. It is possible that it reflects a general tendency to
35 stigmatise – doctors have been shown to stigmatise others with mental health problems (23). It
36 may in part be connected to the issue of “invincibility” which is constructed in binary fashion –
37 you are either invincible, or you are completely useless. It may also reflect a more widespread
38 aspect of medical culture where doctors develop a sensitivity to the views of others. Normally
39 this is the positive views of colleagues and patients which can be quite intoxicating. Doctors
40 may also be predisposed to internalise negative views of others.

41
42
43
44
45
46
47 Any understanding of the difficulties faced by doctors in returning to work needs to be able to
48 incorporate both the omnipotent “doctors are invincible” view and the negative self-stigmatising
49 views elicited in our study. Although these may seem to be mutually exclusive, an alternative
50 hypothesis would be that the self-stigmatising views are a direct consequence of the unhealthy
51
52
53
54

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 “doctors are invincible” view. The competitive medical environment reinforces the need for
8 toughness and self-reliance which has become more of a wider cultural phenomenon rather than
9 a trait identified in a few. It is possible that, for some, this has a selective advantage – that is, for
10 some students and trainees this outlook assists in being able to manage the suffering of the
11 patients and families they are learning to treat. Its success in the short-term means it often
12 remains unchallenged. The incorporation of the “illness is for patients” view however reduces
13 the chances of alternative “healthier” narratives about the interchangeability of the patient and
14 doctor roles being generated. Thus when a doctor does become ill they are challenged on a
15 range of levels, dealing not only with the illness but also for some the loss of their self-image as
16 invincible.
17

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

A number of doctors though do not have access to strategies which will allow them to come to terms with these issues whilst maintaining their personal integrity. A greater willingness to accept the possibility that one might at some stage become a patient, together with greater input from trainers and senior colleagues as to how this might be managed, could reduce the sense of shock and bewilderment should illness strike.

As with all stigma, reducing social distance can help change minds(29). It is possible that recent improvements in the quality of NHS occupational health services(30) and the services provided to treat sick doctors, such as the Practitioner Health Programme, might mean that more doctors who have had complex difficulties are able to return to active practice more rapidly. The presence of these doctors in the workforce will therefore increase over time, improving the chance that students and trainees will come into contact with such doctors, and this will act as a counter-weight to the notion that “doctors are invincible”. But if we are to create an environment which facilitates the return to work of doctors with complex needslong term difficulties, attention must be paid to how the “invincible” culture in medicine is generated. The regulator, which now has responsibility for UK medical undergraduates, the Deaneries and the medical schools must work together to enable students and trainees to recognise their own

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

vulnerabilities and facilitate the generation of strategies should they become ill. Further, aspects of personal and colleague health, especially mental health, should be part of the curriculum for all medical students. Doctors must learn to provide themselves and their colleagues with the same level of excellent care that they provide for their patients.

For peer review only

References

1. North East London Strategic Health Authority. Report of an independent inquiry into the care and treatment of Daksha Emson and her daughter Freya. London: North East London Strategic Health Authority, 2003.
2. The Shipman Inquiry - Fifth Report. Safeguarding Patients: Lessons from the Past – Proposals for the Future. London: The Stationery Office, 2005.
3. Department of Health. Supporting Doctors Protecting Patients: A Consultation Paper on Preventing, Recognising and Dealing With Poor Clinical Performance of Doctors in the NHS in England. London: Department of Health, 1999.
4. Department of Health. Good doctors, safer patients: proposals to strengthen the system to assure and improve the performance of doctors and to protect the safety of patients. London: Department of Health, 2006.
5. Department of Health. Mental Health and Ill Health in Doctors. London: Department of Health, 2008.
6. Brooks SK, Chalder T, Gerada C. Doctors vulnerable to psychological distress and addictions: treatment from the Practitioner Health Programme. *J Ment Health*. 2011;20(2):157-64. Epub 2011/05/06.
7. Brooks SK, Gerada C, Chalder T. Review of literature on the mental health of doctors: are specialist services needed? *J Ment Health*. 2011;20(2):146-56. Epub 2011/02/01.
8. Harvey S, Laird B, Henderson M, Hotopf M. The mental health of healthcare professionals. London: Department of Health, 2009.
9. PHP. NHS Practitioner Health Programme: A Report on the 2 year Prototype Service. London: Practitioner Health Programme, 2010.
10. Department of Health. Invisible Patients: A report of the working group on the health of health professionals. London: Department of Health, 2010.
11. Dekkers-Sanchez PM, Wind H, Sluiter JK, Frings-Dresen MH. A qualitative study of perpetuating factors for long term sick leave and promoting factors for return to work: chronic work disabled patients in their own words. *J Rehabil Med*. 2010;42(6):544-52. Epub 2010/06/16.
12. Marhold C, Linton SJ, Melin L. Identification of obstacles for chronic pain patients to return to work: evaluation of a questionnaire. *Journal of occupational rehabilitation*. 2002;12(2):65-75. Epub 2002/05/17.
13. Andersen MF, Nielsen KM, Brinkmann S. Meta-synthesis of qualitative research on return to work among employees with common mental disorders. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2012;38(2):93-104. Epub 2011/10/26.
14. Fatholm Y. "Patients, not doctors, get sick": A study of fifteen Swedish physicians on long-term sick leave. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being*. 2007;2:19-32.
15. Fox FE, Rodham KJ, Harris MF, Taylor GJ, Sutton J, Scott J, et al. Experiencing "the other side": a study of empathy and empowerment in general practitioners who have been patients. *Qual Health Res*. 2009;19(11):1580-8. Epub 2009/10/22.
16. Ingstad B, Christie VM. Encounters with illness: the perspective of the sick doctor. *Anthropology & Medicine*. 2001;8:201-10.
17. McKevitt C, Morgan M. Anomalous patients: the experiences of doctors with an illness. *Journal of Sociology of Health and Illness*. 1997;19:644-67.
18. Stanton J, Randal P. Doctors accessing mental-health services: an exploratory study. *BMJ open*. 2011;1(1):e000017. Epub 2011/10/25.
19. Nvivo qualitative data analysis software. 8 ed: QSR International Pty Ltd.
20. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research*. 2006;3:77-101.

21. Setness PA. Is it real or is it memorex? Discerning whether job-related stress or mental illness is causing physician impairment. *Postgrad Med*. 2003;113(1):7-9. Epub 2003/01/28.
22. Knudsen AK, Henderson M, Harvey SB, Chalder T. Long-term sickness absence among patients with chronic fatigue syndrome. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2011;199(5):430-1. Epub 2011/09/10.
23. Lawrie SM, Parsons C, Patrick J, Masson S, Sussmann J, Cumming D, et al. A controlled trial of general practitioners' attitudes to patients with schizophrenia. *Health Bull (Edinb)*. 1996;54(3):201-3. Epub 1996/05/01.
24. Rusch N, Angermeyer MC, Corrigan PW. Mental illness stigma: concepts, consequences, and initiatives to reduce stigma. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2005;20(8):529-39. Epub 2005/09/21.
25. Wilson A, Rosen A, Randal P, Pethebridge A, Codyre D, Barton D, et al. Psychiatrically impaired medical practitioners: an overview with special reference to impaired psychiatrists. *Australas Psychiatry*. 2009;17(1):6-10. Epub 2009/01/13.
26. Schomerus G, Matschinger H, Angermeyer MC. The stigma of psychiatric treatment and help-seeking intentions for depression. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2009;259(5):298-306. Epub 2009/02/19.
27. Watson AC, Corrigan P, Larson JE, Sells M. Self-stigma in people with mental illness. *Schizophr Bull*. 2007;33(6):1312-8. Epub 2007/01/27.
28. Ritsher JB, Otilingam PG, Grajales M. Internalized stigma of mental illness: psychometric properties of a new measure. *Psychiatry research*. 2003;121(1):31-49. Epub 2003/10/24.
29. Yamaguchi S, Mino Y, Uddin S. Strategies and future attempts to reduce stigmatization and increase awareness of mental health problems among young people: a narrative review of educational interventions. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2011;65(5):405-15. Epub 2011/08/20.
30. Henderson M, Harvey S, Rogers C, Williams S, Grant R, Peel P. Depression Screening and Management of Staff on Long Term Sickness Absence: A national clinical audit. London: Royal College of Physicians., 2009.

Table 1. Participant characteristics

Participant number	Gender	Physical health problem?	Mental health problem/addiction?	GMC involvement?
1	M	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	F	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	F	No	Yes	Yes
4	M	No	Yes	Yes
5	F	Yes	Yes	No
6	F	Yes	Yes	No
7	F	No	Yes	Yes
8	F	No	Yes	Yes
9	M	No	Yes	Yes
10	M	No	Yes	No
11	M	Yes	No	No
12	M	No	Yes	Yes
13	F	No	Yes	Yes
14	F	No	Yes	Yes
15	M	Yes	Yes	Yes
16	M	No	Yes	Yes
17	M	No	Yes	Yes
18	F	No	Yes	No
19	F	Yes	Yes	Yes

STATEMENTS

Competing Interests

"All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare: Dr Brooks and Dr del Busso had financial support from Royal Medical Benevolent Fund for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous 3 years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work."

Ethics Approval

Approval for this study was granted by the South East London Research Ethics Committee [10/H0807/33].

Authors Contributions

Henderson, Hotopf, and Harvey had the initial idea for the study. Henderson, Hotopf, Harvey, Madan, Hatch, and Chalder refined the study methodology. Del Busso and Brooks carried out the initial analyses. Henderson, del Busso, and Brooks wrote the initial draft. Hotopf, Harvey, Madan, Hatch and Chalder revised the draft critically for important intellectual content.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the funding provided by the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund. We are grateful for the support of the General Medical Council, the Practitioner Health Programme and all the study participants who gave their time so generously.

This study was funded by a grant from the Royal Medical Benevolent Fund. The funder had no input into the design, analysis and interpretation of the data, the writing of the report or the decision to submit the article for publication. The funder contributed to the identification of

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 potential participants as described in the Methods section. All the researchers are independent
8
9 of the funders.

10 **Data**

11
12 No additional data are available
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60