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ABSTRACT
Objectives: Lipid abnormalities associated with antiretroviral therapy in people with HIV infection are more frequent with protease inhibitors (PI)-based regimens. Whether effects extend to patients receiving a PI subsequent to failure on non-nucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTI)-based regimen is still unknown. We investigated the effects of secondary treatment with a PI on the lipid profile in a group of patients with HIV infection in Cameroon.

Design: This was a cross-sectional study.

Setting: This study was carried out at the registered centre for HIV treatment of the Yaounde Jamot Hospital in Cameroon.

Participants: Participants were consecutively recruited between November 2009 and January 2010. There were 138 HIV-1 patients on initial treatment with an NNRTI regimen and 66 HIV patients on secondary treatment with a PI for at least 12 months. Lipid abnormalities were based on the National Cholesterol Education Program, Adult Treatment Panel III criteria.

Outcome measures: Levels of lipid parameters among patients on PI and NNRTI.

Results: Median (IQR) levels (mg/dl), NNRTI-treated versus PI-treated patients were 185 (149–225) and 189 (147–244) for total cholesterol, 46 (27–66) and 42 (28–82) for high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol, 121 (90–169) and 126.9 (71–176) for low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol, 134 (98–174) and 138 (111–167) for triglycerides, and 4.3 (2.9–6.2) and 5.1 (2.6–7.9) for total/HDL-cholesterol ratio (all p<0.32). The most frequent lipid abnormality in the two groups was high LDL-cholesterol (46.4% (NNRTI) vs 54.5% (PI)). The occurrence of lipid abnormalities was similar in the two groups (all p>0.29).

Conclusions: The use of PI does not appear to deteriorate the lipid profile of HIV patients above and beyond abnormalities induced by an unsuccessful initial treatment with NNRTI. Monitoring of lipid profile during HIV treatment regardless of the regimen would improve timely detection and management of abnormalities, to mitigate related risks.

INTRODUCTION
Antiretroviral treatments are associated with widely described abnormal changes in the lipid profile in people with HIV infection. Although more frequent during treatment with protease inhibitors (PI),
changes are also observed during treatment with stavudine and to a lesser extent with non-nucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTI).1,7 Such changes include raised total and low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-c), raised triglycerides (TG) and variable effects on high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-c) levels.1,6

The increasing rollout of antiretroviral treatment over the last 10 years has significantly improved survival among people living with HIV.8 This, however, has been achieved at the cost of increasing resistance to first-line antiretroviral therapies.9 10 In sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), the epicentre of HIV pandemic, the widely used first-line antiretroviral regimen, inspired by the WHO, combines two nucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitors (NRTI) with a NNRTI.5 PI are the compulsory components of the second-line treatment subsequent to the failure of the first-line one in this setting.11 12 The differential contribution of antiretroviral agents to lipid abnormalities suggests that hypothetically switching patients from first-line to second-line treatment in SSA may have deleterious effects on their lipid profile. However, such hypothesis has not yet been tested.

We compared the lipid profile of HIV-1 patients receiving the WHO recommended first-line therapy with that of patients on second-line therapy subsequent to first-line treatment failure.

PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS
Study setting and participants
This cross-sectional study was conducted at the registered centre for HIV treatment of the Yaounde Jamot Hospital in Cameroon. The study setting has been described in detail elsewhere.15 Participants were consecutively recruited between November 2009 and January 2010. Two groups of HIV-1-positive participants were selected. The first group included individuals who had received antiretroviral treatment for the 12 preceding months or more, based on the WHO first-line regimens or NNRTI-based regimen (NNRTI-based group). The second group included individuals who were receiving second-line antiretroviral treatment or PI-based regimen for at least 12 months after failure of the first-line regimen (PI-based group). First-line ART regimens applied to these participants combined lamivudine (3TC) and stavudine (d4T) or zidovudine (AZT) with nevirapine (NVP) or efavirenz (EFV). The PI-based regimens included abacavir (ABC), didanosine (DDI) and lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV-r) or indinavir (IDV). The choice of regimens is unrelated to potential factors that could induce a dyslipidaemia, since lipid profile assessment is a requirement in routine pre-ART treatment evaluation in this setting.14 Failure on first-line antiretroviral therapy in the study setting is based on persisting plasmatic HIV-1 viral load of 5000 copies/ml or greater, after a year on antiretroviral treatment. Diagnosis of therapeutic failure to the first-line ART is always confirmed after a reinforcement of the adherence for a period of 3 months.11 Patients who had had their treatment regimens changed during follow-up were excluded. Participants had to be at least 18 years of age and to have a treatment adherence rate ≥95%. Level of adherence was assessed by verbal administration of a standard series of questions adapted from Adult AIDS clinical trials group (AACTG) adherence instruments. The 95% rate of adherence is preferable to 4-day recall data.15 Participants were also required not to be on lipid-modifying therapies at their enrolment. All participants gave their informed consent and the study was approved by the Cameroon National Ethic Committee (ref. No. 150/CNE/SE/09).

Procedures
For each participant, data were collected on the sociodemographic background, medical history including the use of medications that could modify the lipid profile and active or history of tuberculosis. Lymphocytes count for all participants used flux cytometry methods implemented with BD FASCOUNT automate (BD Biosciences, Le pont de Claix, France). Lipid profile was assessed through enzymatic methods (Linear Chemicals, Montgat, Spain) and included total cholesterol (TC), HDL-c, LDL-c and TG. To this end, blood sample was collected after an overnight fast (12 h) and centrifuged at 3000 cycles/min, and the serum obtained was then used for lipid determination. The TC/HDL-c ratio was also calculated. Abnormal lipid profile was defined in accordance with the US National Cholesterol Education Program, Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP-ATP III) guidelines, as TC≥200 mg/dl, HDL-c<40 mg/dl, LDL-c≥130 mg/dl, TG≥150 mg/dl and TC/HDL-c ratio ≥ 5.1,6

Statistical analysis
Sample size was determined assuming a 35% prevalence of TC≥200 mg/dl in the NNRTI-based group, a minimum detectable unadjusted OR of 2, a Type I error of 5% and a power of 80%.17 Based on the above, the required sample size was 84 participants (42 NNRTI-treated patients and 42 PI-treated patients). Data analysis used the Statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) V.17 for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Differences in means and proportions for participants’ characteristics were assessed using Student’s t-test, Mann-Whitney U test and χ² tests. A probability threshold of p<0.05 was used to characterise statistical significant results.

RESULTS
Study population
The total number of participants included in each study group was 138 (NNRTI-based regimen) and 66 (PI-based regimen). NNRTI-based regimens were d4T/3TC/NVP (61 patients), d4T/3TC/EFV (32 patients), AZT/3TC/NVP (31 patients) and AZT/3TC/EFV (14 patients), while PI-based regimens were DDI/ABC/INV (35 patients) and DDI/ABC/LPV-r (31 patients).
The characteristics of participants are summarised in table 1. The median duration on ART was higher among participants in the PI-regimen group than among those on NNRTI regimens (36 vs 28 months, p=0.001). This included a 22-month period on NNRTI regimens and 14-month duration on PI-based regimens. Sex distribution, mean age, body mass index and median CD4 count were similar between the two study groups (table 1).

**Lipid profile**

Median levels of TC, HDL-c, LDL-c, TG and lipid ratio were similar between participants on PI-based regimens and those on NNRTI-based regimens (table 2). The prevalence of lipid abnormalities (NNRTI-based vs PI-based regimens) was 38% versus 44% for TC≥200 mg/dl (p=0.39), 46% versus 56% for LDL-c≥130 mg/dl (p=0.30), 40% versus 46% for HDL-c<40 mg/dl (p=0.54), 44% versus 36% for TG≥150 mg/dl (p=0.33) and 36% versus 29% for TC/HDL-c≥5 (p=0.34). The pattern was similar in men and women, participants above and below median age, and after adjustment for age, sex and total duration on ART in linear and logistic regression analyses.

Among patients on NNRTI, median (IQR) levels (mg/dl) of lipid variables, d4T-treated versus AZT-treated patients were 180.3 (149.2–227.1) and 227.3 (187.7–270.7), p=0.692 for total cholesterol, 133.1 (96.4–167.7) and 136.0 (136.0–101.5–189.3), p=0.389 for TG, 46.6 (27–67.2) and 45.2 (26.3–59.8), p=0.624 for HDL-c, 116.8 (87.7–163.7) and 134.9 (90.6–172.7), p=0.280 for LDL-c and 4.1 (2.5–5.9) and 4.6 (3.3–6.9), p=0.341 for total cholesterol/HDL-c ratio.

**DISCUSSION**

There is abundant literature on the improvement of lipid profile of people with HIV subsequent substitution of NNRTI or NRTI for PI in the treatment regimens. Among patients on protease inhibitors, median (IQR) levels (mg/dl) of lipid variables, IDV-treated versus LPV/r-treated patients were (171.9 (146.8–253.0) vs 193.8 (146.0–239.8), p=0.959) for TC, (135.2 (108.2–167.4) and 138.6 (112.4–162.2), p=0.96) for TG, 45.1 (32.1–60.1) and 39.6 (24.0–53.8), p=0.23 for HDL-c, 114.7 (54.4–176.7) and 130.5 (85.6–171.5), p=0.64 for LDL-c and 4.8 (2.4–7.6) and 5.5 (2.9–8.0), p=0.576 for TC/HDL-c ratio.

**Table 1** Profile of 138 patients on NNRTI-based regimens and 66 patients on protease inhibitors-based regimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>NNRTI-based regimen (n=138)</th>
<th>PI-based regimen (n=66)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women, n (%)</td>
<td>90 (65.2)</td>
<td>39 (59.1)</td>
<td>0.396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, years (SD)</td>
<td>40.6 (8.7)</td>
<td>42.5 (8.7)</td>
<td>0.154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean body mass index, kg/m² (SD)</td>
<td>24.6 (4.7)</td>
<td>23.8 (3.9)</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median CD4 count, per mm³ (IQR)</td>
<td>333 (206–459)</td>
<td>330 (172–451)</td>
<td>0.747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median duration on ART, months (IQR)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>14 (12–20)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Median levels of different lipid parameters in patients on NNRTI-based and PI-based regimens in Yaounde, Cameroon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lipid variable</th>
<th>NNRTI-based regimen (n=138)</th>
<th>PI-based regimen (n=66)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mg/dl</td>
<td>184.7 (149.3–225.0)</td>
<td>189.5 (146.7–243.8)</td>
<td>0.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol, mg/dl</td>
<td>45.84 (27.0–66.0)</td>
<td>42.16 (27.6–82.3)</td>
<td>0.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol, mg/dl</td>
<td>121.4 (89.7–168.7)</td>
<td>126.9 (70.8–176.5)</td>
<td>0.767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides, mg/dl</td>
<td>134.5 (98.3–174.0)</td>
<td>138.0 (111.1–166.6)</td>
<td>0.468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total/HDL-cholesterol ratio</td>
<td>4.3 (2.9–6.2)</td>
<td>5.1 (2.6–7.9)</td>
<td>0.329</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Protease inhibitors and dyslipidaemia**

Among patients on protease inhibitors, median (IQR) levels (mg/dl) of lipid variables, IDV-treated versus LPV/r-treated patients were (171.9 (146.8–253.0) vs 193.8 (146.0–239.8), p=0.959) for TC, (135.2 (108.2–167.4) and 138.6 (112.4–162.2), p=0.96) for TG, 45.1 (32.1–60.1) and 39.6 (24.0–53.8), p=0.23 for HDL-c, 114.7 (54.4–176.7) and 130.5 (85.6–171.5), p=0.64 for LDL-c and 4.8 (2.4–7.6) and 5.5 (2.9–8.0), p=0.576 for TC/HDL-c ratio.
the WHO’s recommendations,11,12 enforced at the country level in Cameroon14 and elsewhere, suggest that only those HIV patients on a PI should be screened for dyslipidaemia. These recommendations are applied against a background of scarcity of comparative studies on lipid profile of patients and NNRTI with that of those on a PI. By demonstrating findings suggesting that continuation treatment with a PI may not necessarily induce lipid derangements above and beyond those induced by prior NNRTI, our study emphasises the need to extend screening for dyslipidaemia in this setting to any patient on antiretroviral therapy regardless of the regimens. Such an approach may help in the early detection of lipid abnormalities and mitigation of related risks.

High LDL-c was the most common lipid abnormality found in our study. High LDL-c is a major lipid abnormality, and a treatment target for cardiovascular prevention.22 That lipid abnormalities in the general population in Cameroon and other countries in the region are generally rare suggests that lipid abnormalities in our patients were induced by antiretroviral therapy. It is also possible that high LDL-c was at least in part the result of the ‘catch-up phenomenon’. Indeed, untreated HIV infection is associated with low LDL-c,23–25 and levels generally increase subsequent to starting antiretroviral therapy. The derangements of the lipid profile were similar in our patients treated with the regimen containing IDV or LPV-r. Likewise, the levels of lipid fractions were similar between patients receiving d4T-based regimens and those receiving AZT-based regimens. Thus, d4T does not seem to induce more dyslipidaemia in our patients as described in other studies.15 In this study, HDL-c level was similar between patients treated with NNRTI and those secondary treated with PI. Thus, switching of NNRTI regimens to PI regimens was not associated with increase of the HDL-c level. However, the results of the SMART study have shown that the use of PI is associated with a decrease of HDL-c level compared with the use of NNRTI, resulting in a higher atherogenic risk in patients treated with PI.26

TC/HDL-c ratio, a commonly used indicator of the atherogenic potential of the lipid profile27 was similar between patients on a PI and those on NNRTI regimens. This suggests that, at least in the medium term, treatment with a PI does not deteriorate the atherogenic potential of the lipid profile of patients previously on NNRTI-based regimens.

Our study has some limitations. The cross-sectional design precludes any inference about causality. It is unlikely that patients who failed on first-line antiretroviral therapy in this setting are those with a favourable lipid profile, and that our findings may actually reflect subsequent deterioration, causing their profile to be similar to that of a broader population on NNRTI. Indeed, our previous investigations in this setting suggest that markers of disease severity such as CD4 counts are not associated with lipid abnormalities.18 It is also possible that with a much large sample, some of the small differences could become significant. This, however, would not invalidate the conclusions from the study. Previous studies have demonstrated lipid abnormalities to be more frequent in NNRTI than in ART-naive patients in this setting,19 precluding the need for an ART-naive control group in the present study. Our study also has major advantages, for instance, by demonstrating perhaps for the first time that transition from an NNRTI-based regimen to a PI-based regimen should not necessarily invite changes in the monitoring protocol for lipid abnormalities.

In conclusion, treatment of HIV-1 patients with PI-based regimens subsequent to failure on NNRTI-based regimens may not deteriorate the lipid profile above and beyond derangements caused by prior NNRTI in our setting. Recommendations for lipid abnormalities in HIV patients on treatment should apply to everyone on antiretroviral treatment in this setting. Cohort data are needed to refine the findings from this study and monitor the adverse consequence of lipid derangements.
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