



Dental status and incident falls among older Japanese: a prospective cohort study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2012-001262
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	04-Apr-2012
Complete List of Authors:	Yamamoto, Tatsuo; Kanagawa Dental College, Department of Social Dentistry Kondo, Katsunori; Nihon Fukushi University, Center for Well-being and Society Misawa, Jimpei; Nihon Fukushi University, Center for Well-being and Society Hirai, Hiroshi; Iwate University, Faculty of Engineering Nakade, Miyo; Tokaigakuen University, Faculty of Health and Nutrition Aida, Jun; Tohoku University Graduate School of Dentistry, Department of International and Community Oral Health Kondo, Naoki; University of Yamanashi, Department of Health Sciences Kawachi, Ichiro; Harvard School of Public Health, Department of Society Human Development and Hirata, Yukio; Kanagawa Dental College, Department of Social Dentistry
Primary Subject Heading:	Dentistry and oral medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Dentistry and oral medicine, Epidemiology, Geriatric medicine
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, GERIATRIC MEDICINE, ORAL MEDICINE

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 Dental status and incident falls among older Japanese: a prospective cohort study
4
5
6

7 Tatsuo Yamamoto, Katsunori Kondo, Jimpei Misawa, Hiroshi Hirai, Miyo Nakade, Jun Aida,
8
9 Naoki Kondo, Ichiro Kawachi, Yukio Hirata
10
11

12
13
14 Tatsuo Yamamoto, associate professor, Division of Sociological Approach in Dentistry,
15
16 Department of Dental Sociology, Kanagawa Dental College, 82 Inaoka-cho, Yokosuka-shi,
17
18 Kanagawa 238-8580, Japan; Katsunori Kondo, professor, Center for Well-being and Society,
19
20 Nihon Fukushi University, 5-22-35 Chiyoda, Naka-ku, Nagoya-shi, Aichi 460-0012, Japan;
21
22 Jimpei Misawa, chief researcher, Center for Well-being and Society, Nihon Fukushi
23
24 University, 5-22-35 Chiyoda, Naka-ku, Nagoya-shi, Aichi 460-0012, Japan; Hiroshi Hirai,
25
26 associate professor, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of
27
28 Engineering, Iwate University, 4-3-5 Ueda, Morioka-shi, Iwate 020-8551, Japan; Miyo
29
30 Nakade, associate professor, Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Health and Nutrition,
31
32 Tokaigakuen University, 2-901 Nakahira, Tenpaku-ku, Nagoya-shi, Aichi 468-8514, Japan;
33
34 Jun Aida, associate professor, Department of International and Community Oral Health,
35
36 Tohoku University Graduate School of Dentistry, 4-1 Seiryomachi, Aoba-ku, Sendai-shi,
37
38 Miyagi 980-8575, Japan; Naoki Kondo, junior associate professor, Department of Health
39
40 Sciences, Interdisciplinary Graduate School of Medicine and Engineering, University of
41
42 Yamanashi, 1559-1 Shimokato, Chuo-shi, Yamanashi 409-3898, Japan; Ichiro Kawachi, chair
43
44 and professor of social epidemiology, Department of Society, Human Development and
45
46 Health, Harvard School of Public Health, 677 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115-6096,
47
48 USA; Yukio Hirata, professor, Division of Sociological Approach in Dentistry, Department of
49
50 Dental Sociology, Kanagawa Dental College, 82 Inaoka-cho, Yokosuka-shi, Kanagawa
51
52 238-8580, Japan
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Corresponding Author: Yukio Hirata, hiratay@kdenet.ac.jp
4
5
6

7 Short title: Dental status and incident falls among older Japanese
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

ABSTRACT

Objective To examine if self reported number of teeth, denture use and chewing ability are associated with incident falls.

Design Longitudinal cohort study (the Aichi Gerontological Evaluation Study).

Setting 5 Japanese municipalities.

Participants 1 763 community dwelling individuals aged 65 and over without experience of falls within the previous year at baseline.

Main outcome measures Self-reported history of multiple falls during the past year at the follow-up survey about 3 years later. Baseline data on the number of teeth present and/or denture use and chewing ability were collected using self-administered questionnaires. Regression analyses controlled for sex, age, present illness related to falls, activities of daily living, functional disability during follow-up period, body mass index, use of sedatives, depression, self-rated health, exercise, frequency of outings, educational attainment and equivalized household income.

Results 86 (4.88%) subjects reported falls at the follow-up survey. Logistic regression models fully adjusted for all covariates showed that subjects having 19 or fewer teeth but not using dentures had a significantly increased risk for incident falls (odds ratio 2.98, 95% confidence interval 1.34 to 6.62; P=0.007) compared to those having 20 or more teeth. No significant association was observed between chewing ability and incident falls in the fully adjusted model.

Conclusion Having 19 or fewer teeth but not using dentures was associated with higher risk for the incident falls in older Japanese even after adjustment for multiple covariates. Dental care to prevent tooth loss and denture treatment for older people might prevent falls.

Article summary

Article focus

- An association has been reported between dental occlusion and physical function including lower extremity dynamic strength and balance.
- Whether number of teeth, denture use and chewing ability predict subsequent incidence of falls is unknown.
- The aim of this study is to examine if self reported number of teeth, denture use and chewing ability are associated with incident falls

Key messages

- Having 19 or fewer teeth but not using dentures was a strong independent predictor of incident falls in a community-dwelling older population.
- In addition to preventing tooth loss, denture treatment for older people might prevent falls.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Strengths of this study include large sample size, population-based sampling, and control for many potential confounding factors.
- The following limitations should be considered: the information on dental status and falls was self-reported and misclassification of cases is possible.

INTRODUCTION

Falls occur frequently in older people and adversely affect their quality of life. More than one-third of persons aged 60 and older fall each year in Japan,¹ England² and USA,³ and in half of cases, falls are recurrent.³ The consequences of falls are severe: 6% of falls lead to a fracture and 24% lead to other serious injuries.² Thus falls impose a burden on the sustainability of health and long-term care in Japan and many other countries, where population is rapidly aging.⁴ In Japan, the annual health and long-term care costs attributable to falls are about 730 thousand million Japanese yen in 2002, which amounts to roughly 5% of the entire health and long-term care costs.⁵

A number of studies⁶ including those using Japanese data⁷ have identified risk factors for falls, including female sex, older age, having a history of falls, arthritis, cerebrovascular disease, depression, and the impairment of muscle strength and/or balance. Although programs including exercise that challenge balance are effective,⁸ multifactorial fall-prevention programs to address these risk factors have not been successful in reducing falls.⁹ A recent systematic review concluded that there is limited evidence to suggest that multifactorial fall prevention programmes in primary care, community, or emergency care settings are effective in reducing the number of falls.¹⁰ Therefore, identification of additional modifiable risk factors may be helpful to establish more effective programs for fall prevention.

Unhealthy dental status is a candidate risk factor for falls.^{11 12} A longitudinal study showed that partial or complete loss of dental occlusion was associated with a decline in lower extremity dynamic strength and balance function.¹³ One 1-year prospective longitudinal study using 146 demented older people reported the association between dental occlusion and subsequent physical health.¹⁴ Researchers have argued that these potential links between dental health and physical health may be

1
2
3 because jaw position affects body posture.¹¹ However, whether or not dental occlusion and
4
5 chewing ability actually predict the subsequent incidence of falls is largely unknown.
6
7

8 Therefore, this prospective study aimed to determine the association between dental
9
10 health in terms of the number of teeth present, denture use, and chewing ability, and the
11
12 incidence of falls in a large cohort of older Japanese people.
13

14 15 16 **METHODS**

17 18 **Study population**

19
20 Our analyses were based on data from the Aichi Gerontological Evaluation Study (AGES)
21
22 Project, an on-going Japanese prospective cohort study.^{15 16} The detailed protocol of AGES
23
24 and baseline characteristics of the study participants have been published elsewhere.^{15 17} In
25
26 brief, AGES aims to investigate the factors related to the loss of healthy years, such as
27
28 functional decline, cognitive impairment, or death among non-institutionalized elderly. The
29
30 sample was restricted to those who did not already have a physical or cognitive disability at
31
32 baseline, defined by not receiving public long-term care insurance benefits. In October
33
34 2003, a baseline survey was mailed to a random sample of 8 123 community-dwelling
35
36 individuals aged 65 years or over residing in 5 municipalities in Aichi prefecture, Japan.
37
38 Responses were obtained from 3 998 subjects (49.2%). The follow-up survey was
39
40 conducted by mail between March 2006 and March 2007, and 3 471 subjects were responded
41
42 and 2 640 subjects were connected with baseline data using ID. After excluding 166 and
43
44 545 subjects who experienced multiple and single falls, respectively, as well as 106 subjects
45
46 without information of falls at baseline, we were left with 1 823 subjects who did not report
47
48 experiencing falls at baseline. After excluding 56 subjects without information on falls at
49
50 follow-up, 4 subjects without information on age, a total of 1 763 subjects formed the final
51
52 analytic population of this study. The AGES protocol was reviewed and approved by the
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Ethics Committee on Research of Human Subjects at Nihon Fukushi University.

Outcome variables

History of falls was ascertained by asking, “Have you had any falls over the past year?” with possible answers of “multiple times”, “once” or “none”. Multiple falls was used as an outcome and the last two categories were combined.³

Dental health variables

Dental status and chewing ability were assessed using a self-administered questionnaire. Respondents were asked to classify their dental status as having 20 or more teeth, having 19 or fewer teeth with dentures, having 19 or fewer teeth without dentures, having few teeth with dentures, or having few teeth without dentures.

Chewing ability was ascertained by asking, “How is your ability to chew?” with possible answers being “I can chew anything I want”, “I can chew most foods with some exceptions”, “I can eat limited foods as I cannot chew very well”, “I can hardly chew anything”, and “I have liquid foods as I cannot chew at all”. Data from the last three categories were combined due to the small number of respondents.

Covariates

Studies suggest that falls are associated with sex,^{7 18} age,^{2 7} stroke,⁷ severe foot problems,² impaired vision and impaired hearing,² activities of daily living (ADL),^{3 6 18} body mass index (BMI),¹⁸ use of sedatives,² depression,² self-rated health,¹⁸ exercise,² mobility,² and socioeconomic status.¹⁹ Therefore, our regression analyses controlled for sex, age, present illness related to falls, ADL, BMI, use of sedatives, depression, self-rated health, exercise (how much they walked in minutes per day), frequency of outings, educational attainment

1
2
3 and equivalized household income. Self-reported current medical treatment of stroke,
4 osteoporosis, joint disease/ neuralgia, injury/ fracture, impaired vision and/or impaired
5 hearing was used as a variable for present illness related to falls. To evaluate functional
6 status, the survey asked whether the respondents had difficulty or needed someone's
7 assistance in performing any of the following ADL: basing, walking, and using the toilet.²⁰
8
9 Subjects without difficulty for all three ADL items were categorized into ADL without
10 limitation and those with at least one ADL item with difficulty into ADL without limitation.
11
12 BMI was categorized into three groups (less than 18.5, 18.5-24.9, 25.0 or more).
13
14 Depression was assessed with the short version of the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) -15
15 developed for self-administration in the community using a simple yes/no format,²¹ and was
16 categorized into three groups: 0-4 (no), 5-9 (mild) and 10-15 (moderate to severe). To
17 adjust household income for household size, equivalized income was calculated by dividing
18 the household income by the square root of the number of household members, and grouped
19 into one of three categories (1,999,999 yen or less, 2,000,000-3,999,999 yen, or 4,000,000
20 yen or higher). In addition to these covariates, data on functional disability during
21 follow-up period were collected from the public long-term care insurance database
22 maintained by each participating municipality and used as a covariate. Incidence of
23 functional disability was determined based on when a person newly qualified for the
24 insurance benefit; new registrations to the public long-term care insurance data base.²² The
25 distribution of each covariate at baseline for the overall AGES 2003 cohort (n=32 891) has
26 been reported elsewhere.¹⁵
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **Statistical analysis**

52 Logistic regression models were used to calculate the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence
53 intervals (CI) for the incident falls at the follow-up. First, univariate ORs were calculated
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 for each dental health variable and each covariate. Then, logistic regression analysis was
4
5 performed for each dental variable after including sex, age, present illness related to falls,
6
7 ADL, functional disability during follow-up period, BMI, use of sedatives, depression,
8
9 self-rated health, exercise, frequency of outings, educational attainment and equivalent
10
11 income as covariates. All statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics 19
12
13 (International Business Machines Co., New York, NY, USA).
14
15

16 17 18 **RESULTS**

19
20 A total of 86 (4.9%) out of 1 763 respondents reported the incidence of multiple falls at the
21
22 follow-up survey. Table 1 shows the rates of fallers for the incident falls according to dental
23
24 health variables and covariates.
25
26

27
28 Table 2 presents the non-adjusted and adjusted ORs for reporting multiple falls at
29
30 the follow up survey according to dental status at baseline. Univariate models
31
32 showed that poor dental status, chewing ability, male sex, older age, lower functional
33
34 disability during follow-up period, depression, poor self-rated health and low
35
36 educational attainment were each associated with incident falls. In the fully adjusted
37
38 model, subjects with 19 or fewer teeth without dentures had a 2.98 (95% CI 1.34 to
39
40 6.62)-fold increased risk for incident falls compared with those having 20 or more teeth.
41
42 No significant association was observed between incident falls and chewing ability
43
44 after adding all covariates in the logistic regression model. When the two dental
45
46 health variables were entered simultaneously into the same model with full adjustment
47
48 for all covariates, there was no change in the ORs for any of the dental status variables.
49
50
51
52
53

54 **DISCUSSION**

55
56 Results of the present study showed that subjects with 19 or fewer teeth without dentures had
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 a significantly higher risk for incident falls than those with 20 or more teeth, even after
4
5 adjusting for multiple potential confounding factors including demographics, physical and
6
7 mental health status, health behavior, and socioeconomic status. These findings are
8
9 consistent with those of a 1-year longitudinal study using 146 older patients with severe
10
11 dementia, showing that patients with functionally inadequate dental status had significantly
12
13 more-frequent falls than those with functionally adequate occlusion composed of natural
14
15 teeth, dentures, or both.¹⁴ Interestingly, among subjects with few teeth, their risk of falls
16
17 was not significantly elevated so long as they wore dentures. These results suggest that the
18
19 poor dental occlusion due to not using dentures after losing teeth is a strong risk factor for
20
21 falls among subjects with 19 or fewer teeth.
22
23
24

25
26 There are several possible pathways between not using dentures after losing teeth and
27
28 incident falls. One possibility is that the loss of occlusion due to not using dentures may
29
30 result in a decrease in functional balance and these functional declines lead to falls. A
31
32 cross-sectional study suggests that dental occlusal condition is associated with balance
33
34 function.¹² An 8-year longitudinal study showed that partial or complete loss of occlusion
35
36 was associated with a decrease in balance function.¹³ Because balance deficit is a
37
38 well-known risk factor for falls,⁶ it is plausible that poor balance may explain the increased
39
40 risk of falls among subjects with poor dental occlusion. Clinical studies suggest that dental
41
42 occlusion affects postural and gaze stabilization,²³ and denture use improves postural
43
44 swaying.²⁴
45
46

47
48 The second possibility is that there are some confounding factors affecting the
49
50 association between the loss of teeth not using dentures and incident falls. In fact, in the
51
52 present study depression was significantly associated with falls in the fully adjusted logistic
53
54 regression model in table 2. These results suggest that depression in part confounded the
55
56 association between loss of teeth without dentures and falls. A study suggests an increased
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 risk for impaired dental health including self-perceived dental treatment needs among
4 subjects with depressive symptoms.²⁵ Another study suggests that decayed and
5 missing teeth influence depression.²⁶ Because depression is a well-known risk factor
6 for falls,⁶ it is possible that residual confounding by depressive symptomatology may
7 account for the association between tooth loss and risk of falls. In the present study,
8 self-reported chewing ability was not associated with the incident falls in the present
9 study. This result disagreed with those from a cross-sectional study showing a
10 significant association between chewing ability judged from number of foods chewable,
11 and one-leg standing time.²⁷ Because self-reported mastication can be modified by
12 cooking (*e. g.*, cooking soft meal helps chewing ability) and is more subjective than
13 self-reported number of teeth present and denture use, this might dilute the association
14 between self-reported chewing ability and the incident falls. A study using 5 643
15 subjects aged 40-89 showed that number of functional teeth which differentiate
16 subjects with and without subjective dysphagia, defined as suffering any kind of
17 subjective impairment to eating function including biting difficulty, declined with age.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

²⁸ Additional studies using objective measures for chewing ability are required to clarify the relationship between chewing ability and the incident falls.

Strengths and limitations

We note some strength of the present study including large sample size, population-based sampling, and control for many potential confounding factors. However, the present study has a number of limitations. First, measurements of dental status were not based on clinical examination. However, validity and reliability of self-reported number of teeth is established by multiple studies and widely used in epidemiological surveys.²⁹ For example, validation studies in the United States and Japan have reported a high agreement between

1
2
3 self-reported and examined number of teeth (Pearson's correlation coefficient: $r=0.97$, and
4
5 0.93, respectively) in 50 community-dwelling individuals aged 70 or older and 2 496 subjects
6
7 with a mean age of 59.^{30 31} Second, self-report of falls may not be perfectly accurate.³²
8
9 However, the associations with demographic factors and other covariates are in the generally
10
11 expected direction, suggesting that there may be sufficient value in this outcome. Third,
12
13 although subjects having 19 or fewer teeth but not using dentures had significantly higher risk
14
15 for incident falls, those having few teeth but not using dentures did not. The
16
17 non-significance might be ascribed to small number of subjects. Additional study using
18
19 increased number of subjects is needed to confirm the results.
20
21
22
23
24

25 **Conclusion**

26
27 The primary implication of this study is the importance of maintaining the dental occlusion in
28
29 order to prevent falls among older adults. The loss of teeth might be an independent risk
30
31 factor for incident falls but it could be prevented by using dentures. Promoting dental care
32
33 including proper use of denture might be an additional option for the prevention of falls in
34
35 addition to current interventions targeting conventional risk factors, which warrants further
36
37 interventional studies testing the effects of dental care and denture use on the prevention of
38
39 falls
40
41
42
43
44

45 **Contributors:** TY and YH had the idea for the study, participated in its design, performed the
46
47 statistical analysis, and drafted the manuscript, and YH is guarantor. KK is coordinator of
48
49 Aichi Gerontological Evaluation Study (AGES) Project, helped develop the idea of the study,
50
51 participated in acquiring the data and with design, and edited the manuscript. JM
52
53 participated in design of study and edited manuscript. HH and MN participated in acquiring
54
55 the data and with design, and critically revised the manuscript. JA, NK and IK participated
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 in the design of the study, deciding on statistical methods used, helped in interpreting the
4
5 results, and revising the manuscript.
6
7
8

9
10 The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on
11
12 behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non exclusive for government employees) on a
13
14 worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd and its Licensees to permit this article (if
15
16 accepted) to be published in BMJ editions and any other BMJ PGL products and sublicences
17
18 to exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence.
19
20
21

22
23 **Funding:** This study was supported in part by a grant of Strategic Research Foundation
24
25 Grant-aided Project for Private Universities from Ministry of Education, Culture, Sport,
26
27 Science, and Technology, Japan (MEXT), 2009-2013 and Health Labour Sciences Research
28
29 Grant, Comprehensive Research on Aging and Health (H22-Choju-Shitei-008) from the
30
31 Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare.
32
33

34
35
36 **Competing interests:** All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form at
37
38 www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf (available on request from the corresponding author) and
39
40 declare (1) No financial support for the submitted work from anyone other than their
41
42 employer; (2) No financial relationships with commercial entities that might have an interest
43
44 in the submitted work; (3) No spouses, partners, or children with relationships with
45
46 commercial entities that might have an interest in the submitted work; (4) No non-financial
47
48 interests that may be relevant to the submitted work.
49
50
51

52
53
54 **Ethical approval:** The Aichi Gerontological Evaluation Study (AGES) protocol including
55
56 the present study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee on Research of
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Human Subjects at Nihon Fukushi University.
4
5
6

7 **Data sharing:** No additional data available.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

REFERENCES

- 1 Matsuda R. Life-style choices and falls. In: Kondo K, ed. Health inequalities in Japan: an empirical study of older people. Trans Pacific Press, 2010.
- 2 Tinetti ME, Speechley M, Ginter SF. Risk factors for falls among elderly persons living in the community. *N Engl J Med* 1988;319:1701-7.
- 3 Nevitt MC, Cummings SR, Kidd S, Black D. Risk factors for recurrent nonsyncopal falls: A prospective study. *JAMA* 1989;261:2663-8.
- 4 Department of Economic and Social Affairs, United Nations. World Population Ageing: 1950-2050. <http://www.un.org/esa/population/publications/worldageing19502050/>.
- 5 Hayashi Y. Prevention of the falls in the elderly. *Jpn J Geriatr* 2007;44:591-4 (in Japanese).
- 6 American Geriatric Society, British Geriatric Society, and American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Panel on Falls Prevention. Guideline for the prevention of falls in older persons. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2001;49:664-72.
- 7 Ueno M, Kawai S, Mino T, Kamoshita H. Systematic review of fall-related factors among the house-dwelling elderly in Japan. *Jpn J Geriatr* 2006;43:92-101 (in Japanese).
- 8 Sherrington C, Whitney JC, Lord SR, Herbert RD, Cumming RG, Close JC. Effective exercise for the prevention of falls: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2008;56:2234-43.
- 9 Shaw FE, Bond J, Richardson DA, Dawson P, Steen IN, McKeith IG, *et al*. Multifactorial intervention after a fall in older people with cognitive impairment and dementia presenting to the accident and emergency department: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2003;326:73-5.
- 10 Gates S, Fisher JD, Cooke MW, Carter YH, Lamb SE. Multifactorial assessment and targeted intervention for preventing falls and injuries among older people in community

- 1
2
3 and emergency care settings: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2008;336:130-3.
4
5
6 11 Bracco P, Deregibus A, Piscetta R. Effects of different jaw relations on postural stability
7
8 in human subjects. *Neurosci Lett* 2004;356:228-30.
9
10 12 Yamaga T, Yoshihara A, Ando Y, Yoshitake Y, Kimura Y, Shimada M, *et al.* Relationship
11
12 between dental occlusion and physical fitness in an elderly population. *J Gerontol A Biol*
13
14 *Sci Med Sci* 2002;57:M616-20.
15
16 13 Okuyama N, Yamaga T, Yoshihara A, Nohno K, Yoshitake Y, Kimura Y, *et al.* Influence
17
18 of dental occlusion on physical fitness decline in a healthy Japanese elderly population.
19
20 *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2011;52:172-6.
21
22 14 Yoshida M, Morikawa H, Kanehisa Y, Taji T, Tsuga K, Akagawa Y. Functional dental
23
24 occlusion may prevent falls in elderly individuals with dementia. *J Am Geriatr Soc*
25
26 2005;53:1631-2.
27
28 15 Kondo K. Introducing a survey on health of older people in Japan: AGES (Aichi
29
30 Gerontological Evaluation Study) project. In: Kondo K, ed. Health inequalities in Japan:
31
32 an empirical study of older people. Trans Pacific Press, 2010.
33
34 16 Nishi A, Kondo K, Hirai H, Kawachi I. Cohort profile: the AGES 2003 cohort study in
35
36 Aichi, Japan. *J Epidemiol* 2011;21:151-7.
37
38 17 Aida J, Hanibuchi T, Nakade M, Hirai H, Osaka K, Kondo K. The different effects of
39
40 vertical social capital and horizontal social capital on dental status: a multilevel analysis.
41
42 *Soc Sci Med* 2009;69:512-8.
43
44 18 Grundstrom AC, Guse CE, Layde PM. Risk factors for falls and fall-related injuries in
45
46 adults 85 years of age and older. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2011 Aug 19. [Epub ahead of
47
48 print] doi:10.1016/j.archger.2011.06.008.
49
50 19 Marshall SW, Runyan CW, Yang J, Coyne-Beasley T, Waller AE, Johnson RM, *et al.*
51
52 Prevalence of selected risk and protective factors for falls in the home. *Am J Prev Med*
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 2005;28:95-101.
4
5 20 Murata C, Kondo T, Tamakoshi K, Yatsuya H, Toyoshima H. Factors associated with life
6 space among community-living rural elders in Japan. *Public Health Nursing*
7 2006;23:324-31.
8
9
10
11 21 Burke WJ, Roccaforte WH, Wengel SP. The short form of the Geriatric Depression Scale:
12 a comparison with the 30-item form. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* 1991;4:173-8.
13
14
15 22 Aida J, Kondo K, Hirai H, Nakade M, Yamamoto T, Hanibuchi T, *et al.* Association of
16 dental status and incident disability among an older Japanese population. *J Am Geriatr*
17 *Soc* 2012; 60:338-43.
18
19
20
21 23 Gangloff P, Louis JP, Perrin PP. Dental occlusion modifies gaze and posture stabilization
22 in human subjects. *Neurosci Lett* 2000;293:203-6.
23
24
25
26 24 Maruya M, Shimizu K, Ohnuma T, Koji T, Morita O. The effect of wearing denture and
27 changes of occlusal position on body sway in edentulous patient. *J Jpn Prosthodont Soc*
28 2000;44:781-5.
29
30
31
32 25 Anttila S, Knuuttila M, Ylöstalo P, Joukamaa M. Symptoms of depression and anxiety in
33 relation to dental health behavior and self-perceived dental treatment need. *Eur J Oral*
34 *Sci* 2006;114:109-14.
35
36
37
38 26 Coles E, Chan K, Collins J, Humphris GM, Richards D, Williams B, *et al.* Decayed and
39 missing teeth and oral-health-related factors: predicting depression in homeless people. *J*
40 *Psychosom Res* 2011;71:108-12.
41
42
43
44 27 Takata Y, Ansai T, Awano S, Hamasaki T, Yoshitake Y, Kimura Y, *et al.* Relationship of
45 physical fitness to chewing in an 80-year-old population. *Oral Dis* 2004;10:44-9.
46
47
48
49 28 Fukai K, Takiguchi T, Ando Y, Aoyama H, Miyakawa Y, Ito G, *et al.* Critical tooth
50 number without subjective dysphagia. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2011;11:482-7.
51
52
53
54 29 Pitiphat W, Garcia RI, Douglass CW, Joshipura KJ. Validation of self reported oral health
55
56
57
58
59
60

measures. *J Public Health Dent* 2002;62:122–8.

30 Douglass CW, Berlin J, Tennstedt S. The validity of self-reported oral health status in the elderly. *J Public Health Dent* 1991;51:220–2.

31 Ando Y, Ikeda S, Yoshihara A. The reliability of self-assessment of number of remaining teeth using questionnaires. *J Dent Health* 1997;74:657-62. (in Japanese).

32 Cummings SR, Nevitt MC, Kidd S. Forgetting falls. The limited accuracy of recall of falls in the elderly. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1988;36:613-6.

Table 1 Associations of dental health variables and covariates with incident falls

		Total	Fallers	
		n	n	%
Dental status	≥20 teeth	586	17	2.9
	≤19 teeth with dentures	521	19	3.6
	≤19 teeth without dentures	148	13	8.8
	Few teeth with dentures	437	30	6.9
	Few teeth without dentures	50	4	8.0
	Missing	21	3	14.3
Chewing ability	Can chew anything	719	30	4.2
	Can chew most foods	935	47	5.0
	Cannot chew very well	97	9	9.3
	Missing	12	0	0.0
Sex	Female	853	32	3.8
	Male	910	54	5.9
Age (years)	65-69	707	23	3.3
	70-74	569	27	4.7
	75-79	325	18	5.5
	80-84	120	12	10.0
	≥85	42	6	14.3
Present illness related to falls ^a	No	1224	58	4.7
	Yes	539	28	5.2
Activities of daily living	Without limitation	1669	81	4.9
	With limitation or missing	94	5	5.3
Functional disability during follow-up period	No	1734	81	4.7
	Yes	29	5	17.2
Body mass index	≤18.4	113	8	7.1
	18.5-24.9	1196	52	4.3
	≥25.0	380	21	5.5
	Missing	74	5	6.8
Use of sedatives	No	1602	80	5.0
	Yes	161	6	3.7
Depression	No	1143	39	3.4
	Mild	311	21	6.8
	Moderate to severe	77	8	10.4
	Missing	232	18	7.8
Self-rated health	Excellent	162	6	3.7
	Good	1192	50	4.2
	Fair	321	21	6.5
	Poor	59	9	15.3
	Missing	29	0	0.0

Exercise	≥60	430	20	4.7
(minute-walk per day)	30-59	569	16	2.8
	<30	564	38	6.7
	Missing	200	12	6.0

Frequency of outings	Almost everyday	843	37	4.4
	2-3 times a week	532	27	5.1
	Once a week or less	335	20	6.0
	Missing	53	2	3.8

Educational attainment	≥13	184	4	2.2
(years)	10-12	506	23	4.5
	6-9	953	49	5.1
	<6	53	4	7.5
	Missing	67	6	9.0

Equivalized household	<500,000	53	0	0.0
income (yen)	500,000-999,999	108	7	6.5
	1,000,000-1,499,999	139	7	5.0
	1,500,000-1,999,999	262	15	5.7
	2,000,000-2,999,999	429	16	3.7
	3,000,000-3,999,999	263	15	5.7
	≥4,000,000	164	5	3.0
	Missing	345	21	6.1

^a Stroke, osteoporosis, joint disease/ neuralgia, injury/ fracture, impaired vision and/or impaired hearing.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Table 2 Logistic regression models for dental health variables and incident falls

		Univariate models				Fully adjusted models ^b										
		OR	95% CI		p	OR	95% CI		p	OR	95% CI		p			
Dental status	≥20 teeth	1.00				1.00										
	≤19 teeth with dentures	1.27	0.65	-	2.46	0.486	1.06	0.53	-	2.11	0.870					
	≤19 teeth without dentures	3.22	1.53	-	6.80	0.002	2.98	1.34	-	6.62	0.007					
	Few teeth with dentures	2.47	1.34	-	4.53	0.004	1.88	0.96	-	3.65	0.064					
	Few teeth without dentures	2.91	0.94	-	9.01	0.064	1.91	0.56	-	6.50	0.300					
	Missing	5.58	1.50	-	20.76	0.010	7.23	1.36	-	38.48	0.020					
Chewing ability	Can chew anything	1.00								1.00						
	Can chew most foods	1.22	0.76	-	1.94	0.414				1.05	0.64	-	1.74	0.840		
	Cannot chew very well	2.35	1.08	-	5.11	0.031				1.70	0.71	-	4.04	0.231		
	Missing	0.00	0.00	-		0.999				0.00	0.00	-		0.999		
Sex	Female	1.00				1.00				1.00						
	Male	1.62	1.03	-	2.53	0.035	1.84	1.12	-	3.02	0.016	1.84	1.13	-	3.01	0.014
Age (years)	65-69	1.00				1.00				1.00						
	70-74	1.48	0.84	-	2.61	0.175	1.31	0.72	-	2.38	0.371	1.42	0.79	-	2.55	0.247
	75-79	1.74	0.93	-	3.28	0.084	1.43	0.72	-	2.86	0.306	1.69	0.86	-	3.32	0.129
	80-84	3.30	1.60	-	6.84	0.001	3.07	1.35	-	6.99	0.008	3.64	1.62	-	8.18	0.002
	≥85	4.96	1.90	-	12.93	0.001	4.04	1.27	-	12.82	0.018	5.17	1.69	-	15.82	0.004

Present illness related to falls ^a	No	1.00				1.00				1.00						
	Yes	1.10	0.69	-	1.75	0.682	0.83	0.49	-	1.41	0.492	0.78	0.47	-	1.32	0.359
Activities of daily living	Without limitation	1.00				1.00				1.00						
	With limitation or missing	1.10	0.44	-	2.79	0.838	0.71	0.23	-	2.23	0.556	0.95	0.33	-	2.77	0.928
Functional disability during follow-up period	No	1.00				1.00				1.00						
	Yes	4.25	1.58	-	11.43	0.004	2.46	0.74	-	8.20	0.143	2.32	0.71	-	7.53	0.163
Body mass index	≤18.4	1.68	0.78	-	3.62	0.189	1.25	0.54	-	2.91	0.602	1.28	0.55	-	2.96	0.570
	18.5-24.9	1.00				1.00				1.00						
	≥25.0	1.29	0.77	-	2.17	0.342	1.44	0.83	-	2.50	0.199	1.45	0.84	-	2.52	0.182
	Missing	1.59	0.62	-	4.12	0.336	1.10	0.37	-	3.32	0.862	1.06	0.35	-	3.19	0.921
Use of sedatives	No	1.00				1.00				1.00						
	Yes	0.74	0.32	-	1.72	0.478	0.51	0.20	-	1.28	0.151	0.51	0.21	-	1.27	0.148
Depression	No	1.00				1.00				1.00						
	Mild	2.05	1.19	-	3.54	0.010	2.03	1.11	-	3.70	0.022	2.02	1.11	-	3.68	0.021
	Moderate to severe	3.28	1.48	-	7.29	0.004	2.54	1.01	-	6.41	0.049	2.55	1.02	-	6.36	0.044
	Missing	2.38	1.34	-	4.24	0.003	2.43	1.20	-	4.96	0.014	2.12	1.04	-	4.29	0.038
Self-rated health	Excellent	1.00				1.00				1.00						
	Good	1.14	0.48	-	2.70	0.769	1.16	0.47	-	2.86	0.752	1.12	0.46	-	2.74	0.808
	Fair	1.82	0.72	-	4.60	0.206	1.50	0.54	-	4.13	0.437	1.44	0.52	-	3.95	0.482
	Poor	4.68	1.59	-	13.80	0.005	2.78	0.80	-	9.63	0.106	2.80	0.82	-	9.60	0.101
	Missing	0.00	0.00	-		0.998	0.00	0.00	-		0.998	0.00	0.00	-		0.998
Exercise (minute-walk per day)	≥60	1.00				1.00				1.00						
	30-59	0.59	0.30	-	1.16	0.126	0.57	0.28	-	1.16	0.121	0.57	0.28	-	1.13	0.109
	<30	1.48	0.85	-	2.58	0.167	1.29	0.71	-	2.36	0.407	1.30	0.72	-	2.36	0.385
	Missing	1.31	0.63	-	2.73	0.474	1.31	0.58	-	2.95	0.511	1.41	0.63	-	3.13	0.405

Frequency of outings	Almost everyday	1.00				1.00				1.00						
	2-3 times a week	1.17	0.70	-	1.94	0.557	1.04	0.60	-	1.80	0.898	0.97	0.57	-	1.67	0.924
	Once a week or less	1.38	0.79	-	2.42	0.256	0.85	0.46	-	1.58	0.614	0.80	0.43	-	1.49	0.484
	Missing	0.85	0.20	-	3.65	0.831	0.45	0.10	-	2.14	0.317	0.47	0.10	-	2.28	0.350
Educational attainment (years)	≥13	1.00					1.00					1.00				
	10-12	2.14	0.73	-	6.28	0.165	2.77	0.89	-	8.59	0.078	2.90	0.94	-	8.93	0.064
	6-9	2.44	0.87	-	6.84	0.090	2.33	0.78	-	6.99	0.130	2.61	0.88	-	7.73	0.084
	<6	3.67	0.89	-	15.22	0.073	1.99	0.38	-	10.40	0.414	2.57	0.52	-	12.74	0.247
	Missing	4.43	1.21	-	16.21	0.025	3.15	0.68	-	14.53	0.141	3.52	0.77	-	16.06	0.104
Equivalentized household income (yen)	<500,000	0.00	0.00	-		0.997	0.00	0.00	-		0.997	0.00	0.00	-		0.997
	500,000-999,999	1.00					1.00					1.00				
	1,000,000-1,499,999	0.77	0.26	-	2.25	0.627	0.91	0.28	-	2.93	0.874	0.90	0.28	-	2.83	0.850
	1,500,000-1,999,999	0.88	0.35	-	2.21	0.780	1.31	0.47	-	3.64	0.602	1.17	0.43	-	3.16	0.762
	2,000,000-2,999,999	0.56	0.22	-	1.39	0.213	0.95	0.35	-	2.59	0.926	0.85	0.32	-	2.25	0.737
	3,000,000-3,999,999	0.87	0.35	-	2.20	0.773	1.58	0.56	-	4.43	0.388	1.41	0.52	-	3.87	0.501
	≥4,000,000	0.45	0.14	-	1.47	0.187	0.65	0.18	-	2.32	0.512	0.60	0.17	-	2.10	0.428
	Missing	0.94	0.39	-	2.26	0.882	0.92	0.33	-	2.52	0.868	0.98	0.37	-	2.62	0.967

^a Stroke, osteoporosis, joint disease/ neuralgia, injury/ fracture, impaired vision and/or impaired hearing.

^b Adjusted for sex, age, present illness related to falls, activities of daily living, functional disability during follow-up period, body mass index, use of sedatives, depression, self-rated health, exercise, frequency of outings, educational attainment and equivalentized household income.



Dental status and incident falls among older Japanese: a prospective cohort study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2012-001262.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	13-Jun-2012
Complete List of Authors:	Yamamoto, Tatsuo; Kanagawa Dental College, Department of Social Dentistry Kondo, Katsunori; Nihon Fukushi University, Center for Well-being and Society Misawa, Jimpei; Nihon Fukushi University, Center for Well-being and Society Hirai, Hiroshi; Iwate University, Faculty of Engineering Nakade, Miyo; Tokaigakuen University, Faculty of Health and Nutrition Aida, Jun; Tohoku University Graduate School of Dentistry, Department of International and Community Oral Health Kondo, Naoki; University of Yamanashi, Department of Health Sciences Kawachi, Ichiro; Harvard School of Public Health, Department of Society Human Development and Hirata, Yukio; Kanagawa Dental College, Department of Social Dentistry
Primary Subject Heading:	Dentistry and oral medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Dentistry and oral medicine, Epidemiology, Geriatric medicine
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, GERIATRIC MEDICINE, ORAL MEDICINE

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 Dental status and incident falls among older Japanese: a prospective cohort study
4
5
6

7 Tatsuo Yamamoto, Katsunori Kondo, Jimpei Misawa, Hiroshi Hirai, Miyo Nakade, Jun Aida,
8
9 Naoki Kondo, Ichiro Kawachi, Yukio Hirata
10
11

12
13
14 Tatsuo Yamamoto, associate professor, Division of Sociological Approach in Dentistry,
15 Department of Dental Sociology, Kanagawa Dental College, 82 Inaoka-cho, Yokosuka-shi,
16 Kanagawa 238-8580, Japan; Katsunori Kondo, professor, Center for Well-being and Society,
17 Nihon Fukushi University, 5-22-35 Chiyoda, Naka-ku, Nagoya-shi, Aichi 460-0012, Japan;
18 Jimpei Misawa, chief researcher, Center for Well-being and Society, Nihon Fukushi
19 University, 5-22-35 Chiyoda, Naka-ku, Nagoya-shi, Aichi 460-0012, Japan; Hiroshi Hirai,
20 associate professor, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of
21 Engineering, Iwate University, 4-3-5 Ueda, Morioka-shi, Iwate 020-8551, Japan; Miyo
22 Nakade, associate professor, Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Health and Nutrition,
23 Tokaigakuen University, 2-901 Nakahira, Tenpaku-ku, Nagoya-shi, Aichi 468-8514, Japan;
24 Jun Aida, associate professor, Department of International and Community Oral Health,
25 Tohoku University Graduate School of Dentistry, 4-1 Seiryomachi, Aoba-ku, Sendai-shi,
26 Miyagi 980-8575, Japan; Naoki Kondo, junior associate professor, Department of Health
27 Sciences, Interdisciplinary Graduate School of Medicine and Engineering, University of
28 Yamanashi, 1559-1 Shimokato, Chuo-shi, Yamanashi 409-3898, Japan; Ichiro Kawachi, chair
29 and professor of social epidemiology, Department of Society, Human Development and
30 Health, Harvard School of Public Health, 677 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115-6096,
31 USA; Yukio Hirata, professor, Division of Sociological Approach in Dentistry, Department of
32 Dental Sociology, Kanagawa Dental College, 82 Inaoka-cho, Yokosuka-shi, Kanagawa
33 238-8580, Japan
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Corresponding Author: Yukio Hirata, hiratay@kdenet.ac.jp
4
5
6

7 Short title: Dental status and incident falls among older Japanese
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

ABSTRACT

Objective To examine if self reported number of teeth, denture use and chewing ability are associated with incident falls.

Design Longitudinal cohort study (the Aichi Gerontological Evaluation Study).

Setting 5 Japanese municipalities.

Participants 1 763 community dwelling individuals aged 65 and over without experience of falls within the previous year at baseline.

Main outcome measures Self-reported history of multiple falls during the past year at the follow-up survey about 3 years later. Baseline data on the number of teeth present and/or denture use and chewing ability were collected using self-administered questionnaires. Logistic regression analyses controlled for sex, age, functional disability during follow-up period, depression, self-rated health and educational attainment.

Results 86 (4.88%) subjects reported falls at the follow-up survey. Logistic regression models fully adjusted for all covariates showed that subjects having 19 or fewer teeth but not using dentures had a significantly increased risk for incident falls (odds ratio 2.50, 95% confidence interval 1.21 to 5.17; P=0.013) compared to those having 20 or more teeth. Among subjects with 19 or fewer teeth, their risk of falls was not significantly elevated so long as they wore dentures (odds ratio 1.36, 95% confidence interval 0.76 to 2.45; P=0.299). No significant association was observed between chewing ability and incident falls in the fully adjusted model.

Conclusion Having 19 or fewer teeth but not using dentures was associated with higher risk for the incident falls in older Japanese even after adjustment for multiple covariates. Dental care to prevent tooth loss and denture treatment for older people might prevent falls, [although we cannot exclude the possibility that the association is due to residual confounding.](#)

Article summary

Article focus

- An association has been reported between dental occlusion and physical function including lower extremity dynamic strength and balance.
- Whether number of teeth, denture use and chewing ability predict subsequent incidence of falls is unknown.
- The aim of this study is to examine if self reported number of teeth, denture use and chewing ability are associated with incident falls

Key messages

- Having 19 or fewer teeth but not using dentures was a strong independent predictor of incident falls in a community-dwelling older population.
- In addition to preventing tooth loss, denture treatment for older people might prevent falls.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Strengths of this study include large sample size, population-based sampling, and control for many potential confounding factors.
- The following limitations should be considered: the information on dental status and falls was self-reported and misclassification of cases is possible. [Moreover, we cannot exclude the possibility that the association is due to residual confounding.](#)

INTRODUCTION

Falls occur frequently in older people and adversely affect their quality of life. More than one-third of persons aged 60 and older fall each year in Japan,¹ England² and USA,³ and in half of cases, falls are recurrent.³ The consequences of falls are severe: 6% of falls lead to a fracture and 24% lead to other serious injuries.² Thus falls impose a burden on the sustainability of health and long-term care in Japan and many other countries, where population is rapidly aging.⁴ In Japan, the annual health and long-term care costs attributable to falls are about 730 thousand million Japanese yen in 2002, which amounts to roughly 5% of the entire health and long-term care costs.⁵

A number of studies⁶ including those using Japanese data⁷ have identified risk factors for falls, including female sex, older age, having a history of falls, arthritis, cerebrovascular disease, depression, and the impairment of muscle strength and/or balance. Although **exercise** programs including balance **training** are effective,⁸ multifactorial fall-prevention programs to address these risk factors have not been successful in reducing falls.⁹ A recent systematic review concluded that there is limited evidence to suggest that multifactorial fall prevention programmes in primary care, community, or emergency care settings are effective in reducing the number of falls.¹⁰ Therefore, identification of additional modifiable risk factors may be helpful to establish more effective programs for fall prevention.

Unhealthy dental status is a candidate risk factor for falls.^{11 12} A longitudinal study showed that partial or complete loss of dental occlusion was associated with a decline in lower extremity dynamic strength and balance function.¹³ One 1-year prospective longitudinal study using 146 demented older people reported the association between dental occlusion and subsequent physical health.¹⁴ Researchers have argued that these potential links between dental health and physical health may be

1
2
3 because jaw position affects body posture.¹¹ Proprioceptive receptors of the masticatory
4 muscular system and dentoalveolar ligaments provide sensory afferent input,¹⁵ and hence
5 poor dental occlusion may decrease that proprioception, thereby interfering with the stability
6 of head posture (and increasing the risk of falling). However, whether or not dental
7 occlusion and chewing ability actually predict the subsequent incidence of falls is largely
8 unknown.
9

10
11 Therefore, this prospective study aimed to determine the association between dental
12 health in terms of the number of teeth present, denture use, and chewing ability, and the
13 incidence of falls in a large cohort of older Japanese people.
14

15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 **METHODS**

26 27 **Study population**

28
29 Our analyses were based on data from the Aichi Gerontological Evaluation Study (AGES)
30 Project, an on-going Japanese prospective cohort study.^{16 17} The detailed protocol of AGES
31 and baseline characteristics of the study participants have been published elsewhere.^{16 18} In
32 brief, AGES aims to investigate the factors related to the loss of healthy years, such as
33 functional decline, cognitive impairment, or death among non-institutionalized elderly. The
34 sample was restricted to those who did not already have a physical or cognitive disability at
35 baseline, defined by not receiving public long-term care insurance benefits and self-reported
36 dependence in walking, toileting, and bathing.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 The sampling frame for the AGES cohort was selected as follows. In 2003, the
48 residential registers of 5 municipalities in Aichi prefecture were obtained with the
49 cooperation of city officials. From these comprehensive registers, we selected a random
50 sample of one in three citizens aged 65 years or over in 4 towns (1 281, 1 537, 1 766 and 1
51 873) and a random sample 1 666 of in a city. They (N=8 123) were then mailed the baseline
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

questionnaire, inviting them to participate in the AGES cohort study. Responses were obtained from 3 998 subjects (49.2%) and 3 981 subjects were identified using ID. We mailed a follow-up survey between March 2006 and March 2007 to the 3 471 subjects after excluding 510 subjects who died or started receiving insurance benefits due to certified disability (N=472), or could not be traced (N=38). 2 640 subjects responded to the follow-up survey (76%), and formed the analytic sample for our study. After excluding 166 and 545 subjects who experienced multiple and single falls, respectively, as well as 106 subjects without information of falls at baseline, we were left with 1 823 subjects who did not report experiencing falls at baseline. After excluding 56 subjects without information on falls at follow-up, 4 subjects without information on age, a total of 1 763 subjects formed the final analytic population of this study. The AGES protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee on Research of Human Subjects at Nihon Fukushi University.

Outcome variables

History of falls was ascertained by asking, “Have you had any falls over the past year?” with possible answers of “multiple times”, “once” or “none”. Multiple falls was used as an outcome and the last two categories were combined because previous studies have found that single fallers are more similar to nonfallers than to recurrent fallers on a range of medical, physical and psychological risk factors.^{3 19 20}

Dental health variables

Dental status and chewing ability were assessed using a self-administered questionnaire. Respondents were asked to classify their dental status as having 20 or more teeth, having 19 or fewer teeth with dentures, having 19 or fewer teeth without dentures, having few teeth

with dentures, or having few teeth without dentures. Data from having 19 or fewer teeth with dentures and those from having few teeth with dentures were combined. Data from having 19 or fewer teeth without dentures and those from having few teeth without dentures were also combined.

Chewing ability was ascertained by asking, “How is your ability to chew?” with possible answers being “I can chew anything I want”, “I can chew most foods with some exceptions”, “I can eat limited foods as I cannot chew very well”, “I can hardly chew anything”, and “I have liquid foods as I cannot chew at all”. Data from the last three categories were combined due to the small number of respondents.

Covariates

Studies suggest that falls are associated with sex,^{7 21} age,^{2 7} stroke,⁷ severe foot problems,² impaired vision and impaired hearing,² activities of daily living (ADL),^{3 6 21} body mass index (BMI),²¹ use of sedatives,² depression,² self-rated health,²¹ exercise,² mobility,² and socioeconomic status.²² Therefore, associations of incident fall with sex, age, present illness related to falls, ADL, BMI, use of sedatives, depression, self-rated health, exercise (how much they walked in minutes per day), frequency of outings, educational attainment and equivalized household income were analyzed. Self-reported current medical treatment of stroke, osteoporosis, joint disease/ neuralgia, injury/ fracture, impaired vision and/or impaired hearing was used as a variable for present illness related to falls. To evaluate functional status, the survey asked whether the respondents had difficulty or needed someone’s assistance in performing any of the following ADL: basing, walking, and using the toilet.²³ Subjects without difficulty for all three ADL items were categorized into ADL without limitation and those with at least one ADL item with difficulty into ADL without limitation. BMI was categorized into three groups (less than 18.5, 18.5-24.9, 25.0 or more).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

²⁴ Depression was assessed with the short version of the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS)-15 developed for self-administration in the community using a simple yes/no format,²⁵ and was categorized into three groups: 0-4 (no), 5-9 (mild) and 10-15 (moderate to severe). To adjust household income for household size, equivalized income was calculated by dividing the household income by the square root of the number of household members, and grouped into one of three categories (1,999,999 yen or less, 2,000,000-3,999,999 yen, or 4,000,000 yen or higher). In addition to these covariates, data on functional disability during follow-up period were collected from the public long-term care insurance database maintained by each participating municipality and used as a covariate. Incidence of functional disability was determined based on when a person newly qualified for the insurance benefit; new registrations to the public long-term care insurance data base.²⁶ The distribution of each covariate at baseline for the overall AGES 2003 cohort (n=32 891) has been reported elsewhere.¹⁶

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables that included missing data were recorded by reassigning missing values to separate “missing” categories in order to maximize the number of subjects included in the statistical analysis and thereby maximize statistical power. Logistic regression models were used to calculate the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the incident falls at the follow-up. First, univariate ORs were calculated for each dental health variable and each covariate. Variables that were marginally significant ($p < 0.10$) in the univariate analyses were selected as covariates for subsequent multivariate analysis. Then, logistic regression analysis was performed for each dental variable after including sex, age, functional disability during follow-up period, depression, self-rated health and educational attainment as covariates. All statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics 19

(International Business Machines Co., New York, NY, USA).

RESULTS

A total of 86 (4.9%) out of 1 763 respondents reported the incidence of multiple falls at the follow-up survey. Table 1 shows the rates of fallers and non-adjusted ORs for reporting multiple falls at the follow up survey according to dental health variables and covariates. Univariate models showed that poor dental status, chewing ability, male sex, older age, lower functional disability during follow-up period, depression, poor self-rated health and low educational attainment were each associated with incident falls.

In the fully adjusted model, subjects with 19 or fewer teeth without dentures had a 2.50 (95% CI 1.21 to 5.17)-fold increased risk for incident falls compared with those having 20 or more teeth. No significant association was observed between incident falls and chewing ability after adding all covariates in the logistic regression model. When the two dental health variables were entered simultaneously into the same model with full adjustment for all covariates, there was no change in the ORs for any of the dental status variables.

DISCUSSION

Results of the present study showed that subjects with 19 or fewer teeth without dentures had a significantly higher risk for incident falls than those with 20 or more teeth, even after adjusting for multiple potential confounding factors including demographics, physical and mental health status, and socioeconomic status. These findings are consistent with those of a 1-year longitudinal study using 146 older patients with severe dementia, showing that patients with functionally inadequate dental status had significantly more-frequent falls than those with functionally adequate occlusion composed of natural teeth, dentures, or both.¹⁴ Interestingly, among subjects with 19 or fewer teeth, their risk of falls was not significantly

1
2
3 elevated so long as they wore dentures. These results suggest that the poor dental occlusion
4
5 due to not using dentures after losing teeth is a strong risk factor for falls among subjects with
6
7 19 or fewer teeth.
8

9
10 There are several possible pathways between not using dentures after losing teeth
11
12 and incident falls. One possibility is that the loss of occlusion due to not using
13
14 dentures may result in a decrease in functional balance and these functional declines
15
16 lead to falls. A cross-sectional study suggests that dental occlusal condition is
17
18 associated with balance function.¹² An 8-year longitudinal study showed that partial
19
20 or complete loss of occlusion was associated with a decrease in balance function.¹³
21
22 Because balance deficit is a well-known risk factor for falls,⁶ it is plausible that poor
23
24 balance may explain the increased risk of falls among subjects with poor dental
25
26 occlusion.
27
28

29
30 A clinical study showed that dental occlusion affects postural and gaze
31
32 stabilization because proprioceptive receptors of the masticatory muscular system and
33
34 dentoalveolar ligaments provide sensory afferent input, and hence poor dental
35
36 occlusion may decrease that proprioception and interfere with the stability of head
37
38 posture.¹⁵ Another clinical study showed that denture use improves postural swaying.
39
40²⁷ Because using dentures reduced the OR for incident falls in the present study,
41
42 proprioceptive receptors of the masticatory muscular system might be more strongly
43
44 associated with balance function and falls than those of dentoalveolar ligaments.
45
46

47
48 Some subjects with 20 or more teeth may have had dentures in the present study;
49
50 however, the information was not obtained. Subjects with 20 or more teeth without
51
52 dentures may be more appropriate than those with 20 or more teeth with/without
53
54 dentures as a reference, and lack of the information might underestimate the
55
56 association between dental status and incident falls. However, studies show that
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 people having at least 20 teeth usually can eat anything even they do not wear dentures.^{28,29}

4
5 Therefore, the lack of the information of with/without dentures in subjects having 20 or more
6
7
8 teeth may be negligible.

9
10 We excluded individuals with past history of falls because we wanted to examine
11
12 prospectively the risk of incident falls. In addition, there is a theoretical possibility that past
13
14 history of falls might confound the association between number of teeth and risk of future
15
16 falls, *i.e.* history of falls in the past can be a prior common cause of (a) number of teeth
17
18 (because some people may break teeth when they fall) and (b) past falls predict future falls.
19
20 For these reasons, we felt it was justified to exclude those with fall history at baseline.

21
22
23 In the present study, self-reported chewing ability was not associated with the incident
24
25 falls in the present study. This result disagreed with those from a cross-sectional study
26
27 showing a significant association between chewing ability judged from number of foods
28
29 chewable, and one-leg standing time.³⁰ Because self-reported mastication can be modified
30
31 by cooking (*e. g.*, cooking soft meal helps chewing ability) and is more subjective than
32
33 self-reported number of teeth present and denture use, this might dilute the association
34
35 between self-reported chewing ability and the incident falls. A study using 5 643 subjects
36
37 aged 40-89 showed that number of functional teeth which differentiate subjects with and
38
39 without subjective dysphagia, defined as suffering any kind of subjective impairment to
40
41 eating function including biting difficulty, declined with age.³¹ Additional studies using
42
43 objective measures for chewing ability are required to clarify the relationship between
44
45 chewing ability and the incident falls.

46
47
48 Using dentures does not always recover chewing ability. For example, a
49
50 cross-sectional study showed that biting forces among removable partial and complete
51
52 denture wearers were 35 and 11% respectively, when expressed as a percentage of the
53
54 subjects with natural dentition.³² These results may explain the different associations of
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 dental status and chewing ability with incident falls in the present study. When both
4 dental status and chewing ability were simultaneously entered in the fully adjusted
5 logistic regression model, only dental status was still significantly associated with
6 incident falls (data not shown).
7
8
9

10
11 Males were at increased risk of falls in the present study, which disagrees with a
12 meta analysis⁷ based on people aged 60 or older showing that female sex was a risk
13 factor. On the other hand, our study result is also similar to another large study of 12
14 684 individuals aged 85 or older.²¹ We feel that the association between sex and risk
15 of falls might vary according to the study population. Indeed current clinical
16 guidelines for the prevention of falls do not include sex as a risk factor,^{6 33} and thus it
17 may not be a settled question.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 **Strengths and limitations**

30
31 We note some strength of the present study including large sample size, population-based
32 sampling, and control for many potential confounding factors. However, the present study
33 has a number of limitations. First, measurement of dental status was based on self-report,
34 not based on clinical examination. However, the validity and reliability of self-reported
35 number of teeth has been established by multiple studies and widely used in epidemiological
36 surveys.³⁴ For example, validation studies in the United States and Japan have reported a
37 high agreement between self-reported and examined number of teeth (Pearson's correlation
38 coefficient: $r=0.97$, and 0.93 , respectively) in 50 community-dwelling individuals aged 70 or
39 older and 2 496 subjects with a mean age of 59.^{35 36} Second, self-report of falls may not be
40 perfectly accurate.³⁷ However, the associations with demographic factors and other
41 covariates are in the generally expected direction, suggesting that there may be sufficient
42 value in this outcome.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Conclusion

The primary implication of this study is the importance of maintaining the dental occlusion, especially with natural teeth, in order to prevent falls among older adults. The loss of teeth might be an independent risk factor for incident falls but it could be prevented by using dentures. Promoting dental care including proper use of denture might be an additional option for the prevention of falls in addition to current interventions targeting conventional risk factors, which warrants further interventional studies testing the effects of dental care and denture use on the prevention of falls

Contributors: TY and YH had the idea for the study, participated in its design, performed the statistical analysis, and drafted the manuscript, and YH is guarantor. KK is coordinator of Aichi Gerontological Evaluation Study (AGES) Project, helped develop the idea of the study, participated in acquiring the data and with design, and edited the manuscript. JM participated in design of study and edited manuscript. HH and MN participated in acquiring the data and with design, and critically revised the manuscript. JA, NK and IK participated in the design of the study, deciding on statistical methods used, helped in interpreting the results, and revising the manuscript.

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd and its Licensees to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in BMJ editions and any other BMJ PGL products and sublicences to exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence.

1
2
3 **Funding:** This study was supported in part by a grant of Strategic Research Foundation
4 Grant-aided Project for Private Universities from Ministry of Education, Culture, Sport,
5 Science, and Technology, Japan (MEXT), 2009-2013 and Health Labour Sciences Research
6 Grant, Comprehensive Research on Aging and Health (H22-Choju-Shitei-008) from the
7 Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare.
8
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16 **Competing interests:** All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form at
17 www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf (available on request from the corresponding author) and
18 declare (1) No financial support for the submitted work from anyone other than their
19 employer; (2) No financial relationships with commercial entities that might have an interest
20 in the submitted work; (3) No spouses, partners, or children with relationships with
21 commercial entities that might have an interest in the submitted work; (4) No non-financial
22 interests that may be relevant to the submitted work.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32
33
34 **Ethical approval:** The Aichi Gerontological Evaluation Study (AGES) protocol including
35 the present study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee on Research of
36 Human Subjects at Nihon Fukushi University.
37
38
39
40
41

42 **Data sharing:** No additional data available.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

REFERENCES

- 1 Matsuda R. Life-style choices and falls. In: Kondo K, ed. Health inequalities in Japan: an empirical study of older people. Trans Pacific Press, 2010.
- 2 Tinetti ME, Speechley M, Ginter SF. Risk factors for falls among elderly persons living in the community. *N Engl J Med* 1988;319:1701-7.
- 3 Nevitt MC, Cummings SR, Kidd S, Black D. Risk factors for recurrent nonsyncopal falls: A prospective study. *JAMA* 1989;261:2663-8.
- 4 Department of Economic and Social Affairs, United Nations. World Population Ageing: 1950-2050. <http://www.un.org/esa/population/publications/worldageing19502050/>.
- 5 Hayashi Y. Prevention of the falls in the elderly. *Jpn J Geriatr* 2007;44:591-4 (in Japanese).
- 6 American Geriatric Society, British Geriatric Society, and American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Panel on Falls Prevention. Guideline for the prevention of falls in older persons. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2001;49:664-72.
- 7 Ueno M, Kawai S, Mino T, Kamoshita H. Systematic review of fall-related factors among the house-dwelling elderly in Japan. *Jpn J Geriatr* 2006;43:92-101 (in Japanese).
- 8 Sherrington C, Whitney JC, Lord SR, Herbert RD, Cumming RG, Close JC. Effective exercise for the prevention of falls: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2008;56:2234-43.
- 9 Shaw FE, Bond J, Richardson DA, Dawson P, Steen IN, McKeith IG, *et al*. Multifactorial intervention after a fall in older people with cognitive impairment and dementia presenting to the accident and emergency department: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2003;326:73-5.
- 10 Gates S, Fisher JD, Cooke MW, Carter YH, Lamb SE. Multifactorial assessment and targeted intervention for preventing falls and injuries among older people in community

- and emergency care settings: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2008;336:130-3.
- 11 Bracco P, Deregibus A, Piscetta R. Effects of different jaw relations on postural stability in human subjects. *Neurosci Lett* 2004;356:228-30.
- 12 Yamaga T, Yoshihara A, Ando Y, Yoshitake Y, Kimura Y, Shimada M, *et al.* Relationship between dental occlusion and physical fitness in an elderly population. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2002;57:M616-20.
- 13 Okuyama N, Yamaga T, Yoshihara A, Nohno K, Yoshitake Y, Kimura Y, *et al.* Influence of dental occlusion on physical fitness decline in a healthy Japanese elderly population. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2011;52:172-6.
- 14 Yoshida M, Morikawa H, Kanehisa Y, Taji T, Tsuga K, Akagawa Y. Functional dental occlusion may prevent falls in elderly individuals with dementia. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005;53:1631-2.
- 15 Gangloff P, Louis JP, Perrin PP. Dental occlusion modifies gaze and posture stabilization in human subjects. *Neurosci Lett* 2000;293:203-6.
- 16 Kondo K. Introducing a survey on health of older people in Japan: AGES (Aichi Gerontological Evaluation Study) project. In: Kondo K, ed. Health inequalities in Japan: an empirical study of older people. Trans Pacific Press, 2010.
- 17 Nishi A, Kondo K, Hirai H, Kawachi I. Cohort profile: the AGES 2003 cohort study in Aichi, Japan. *J Epidemiol* 2011;21:151-7.
- 18 Aida J, Hanibuchi T, Nakade M, Hirai H, Osaka K, Kondo K. The different effects of vertical social capital and horizontal social capital on dental status: a multilevel analysis. *Soc Sci Med* 2009;69:512-8.
- 19 Lord SR, Clark RD, Webster IW. Physiological factors associated with falls in an elderly population. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1991;39:1194-200.
- 20 Ivers RQ, Cumming RG, Mitchell P, Attebo K. Visual impairment and falls in older

- adults: the Blue Mountains Eye Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1998;46:58-64.
- 21 Grundstrom AC, Guse CE, Layde PM. Risk factors for falls and fall-related injuries in adults 85 years of age and older. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2012;54:421-8..
- 22 Marshall SW, Runyan CW, Yang J, Coyne-Beasley T, Waller AE, Johnson RM, *et al.* Prevalence of selected risk and protective factors for falls in the home. *Am J Prev Med* 2005;28:95-101.
- 23 Murata C, Kondo T, Tamakoshi K, Yatsuya H, Toyoshima H. Factors associated with life space among community-living rural elders in Japan. *Public Health Nursing* 2006;23:324-31.
- 24 WHO Expert Consultation. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. *Lancet* 2004;363:157-163.
- 25 Burke WJ, Roccaforte WH, Wengel SP. The short form of the Geriatric Depression Scale: a comparison with the 30-item form. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* 1991;4:173-8.
- 26 Aida J, Kondo K, Hirai H, Nakade M, Yamamoto T, Hanibuchi T, *et al.* Association of dental status and incident disability among an older Japanese population. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2012;60:338-43.
- 27 Maruya M, Shimizu K, Ohnuma T, Koji T, Morita O. The effect of wearing denture and changes of occlusal position on body sway in edentulous patient. *J Jpn Prosthodont Soc* 2000;44:781-5.
- 28 Käyser AF. Shortened dental arches and oral function. *J Oral Rehabil* 1981;8:457-62.
- 29 Yoshihara A, Watanabe R, Nishimuta M, Hanada N, Miyazaki H. The relationship between dietary intake and the number of teeth in elderly Japanese subjects. *Gerodontology* 2005;22:211-8.
- 30 Takata Y, Ansai T, Awano S, Hamasaki T, Yoshitake Y, Kimura Y, *et al.* Relationship of physical fitness to chewing in an 80-year-old population. *Oral Dis* 2004;10:44-9.

- 1
2
3 31 Fukai K, Takiguchi T, Ando Y, Aoyama H, Miyakawa Y, Ito G, *et al.* Critical tooth
4 number without subjective dysphagia. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2011;11:482-7.
5
6
7 32 Miyaura K, Morita M, Matsuka Y, Yamashita A, Watanabe T. Rehabilitation of biting
8 abilities in patients with different types of dental prostheses. *J Oral Rehabil*
9 2000;27:1073-6.
10
11
12 33 Moreland J, Richardson J, Chan DH, O'Neill J, Bellissimo A, Grum RM, *et al.*
13 Evidence-based guidelines for the secondary prevention of falls in older adults.
14 *Gerontology* 2003;49:93-116.
15
16
17 34 Pitiphat W, Garcia RI, Douglass CW, Joshipura KJ. Validation of self reported oral health
18 measures. *J Public Health Dent* 2002;62:122-8.
19
20
21 35 Douglass CW, Berlin J, Tennstedt S. The validity of self-reported oral health status in the
22 elderly. *J Public Health Dent* 1991;51:220-2.
23
24
25 36 Ando Y, Ikeda S, Yoshihara A. The reliability of self-assessment of number of
26 remaining teeth using questionnaires. *J Dent Health* 1997;47:657-62. (in Japanese).
27
28
29 37 Cummings SR, Nevitt MC, Kidd S. Forgetting falls. The limited accuracy of recall of
30 falls in the elderly. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1988;36:613-6.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1 Univariate associations of dental health variables and covariates with incident falls

		Total	Fallers		OR	95% CI		p
		n	n	%				
Dental status	≥20 teeth	586	17	2.9	1.00			
	≤19 teeth with dentures	958	49	5.1	1.80	1.03 -	3.16	0.039
	≤19 teeth without dentures	198	17	8.6	3.14	1.57 -	6.28	0.001
	Missing	21	3	14.3	5.58	1.50 -	20.76	0.010
Chewing ability	Can chew anything	719	30	4.2	1.00			
	Can chew most foods	935	47	5.0	1.22	0.76 -	1.94	0.414
	Cannot chew very well	97	9	9.3	2.35	1.08 -	5.11	0.031
	Missing	12	0	0.0	0.00	0.00 -		0.999
Sex	Female	853	32	3.8	1.00			
	Male	910	54	5.9	1.62	1.03 -	2.53	0.035
Age (years)	65-69	707	23	3.3	1.00			
	70-74	569	27	4.7	1.48	0.84 -	2.61	0.175
	75-79	325	18	5.5	1.74	0.93 -	3.28	0.084
	80-84	120	12	10.0	3.30	1.60 -	6.84	0.001
	≥85	42	6	14.3	4.96	1.90 -	12.93	0.001
Present illness related to falls ^a	No	1224	58	4.7	1.00			
	Yes	539	28	5.2	1.10	0.69 -	1.75	0.682
Activities of daily living	Without limitation	1669	81	4.9	1.00			
	With limitation or missing	94	5	5.3	1.10	0.44 -	2.79	0.838
Functional disability during follow-up period	No	1734	81	4.7	1.00			
	Yes	29	5	17.2	4.25	1.58 -	11.43	0.004
Body mass index	≤18.4	113	8	7.1	1.68	0.78 -	3.62	0.189
	18.5-24.9	1196	52	4.3	1.00			

	≥25.0	380	21	5.5	1.29	0.77	-	2.17	0.342
	Missing	74	5	6.8	1.59	0.62	-	4.12	0.336
Use of sedatives	No	1602	80	5.0	1.00				
	Yes	161	6	3.7	0.74	0.32	-	1.72	0.478
Depression	No	1143	39	3.4	1.00				
	Mild	311	21	6.8	2.05	1.19	-	3.54	0.010
	Moderate to severe	77	8	10.4	3.28	1.48	-	7.29	0.004
	Missing	232	18	7.8	2.38	1.34	-	4.24	0.003
Self-rated health	Excellent	162	6	3.7	1.00				
	Good	1192	50	4.2	1.14	0.48	-	2.70	0.769
	Fair	321	21	6.5	1.82	0.72	-	4.60	0.206
	Poor	59	9	15.3	4.68	1.59	-	13.80	0.005
	Missing	29	0	0.0	0.00	0.00	-		0.998
Exercise (minute-walk per day)	≥60	430	20	4.7	1.00				
	30-59	569	16	2.8	0.59	0.30	-	1.16	0.126
	<30	564	38	6.7	1.48	0.85	-	2.58	0.167
	Missing	200	12	6.0	1.31	0.63	-	2.73	0.474
Frequency of outings	Almost everyday	843	37	4.4	1.00				
	2-3 times a week	532	27	5.1	1.17	0.70	-	1.94	0.557
	Once a week or less	335	20	6.0	1.38	0.79	-	2.42	0.256
	Missing	53	2	3.8	0.85	0.20	-	3.65	0.831
Educational attainment (years)	≥13	184	4	2.2	1.00				
	10-12	506	23	4.5	2.14	0.73	-	6.28	0.165
	6-9	953	49	5.1	2.44	0.87	-	6.84	0.090
	<6	53	4	7.5	3.67	0.89	-	15.22	0.073
	Missing	67	6	9.0	4.43	1.21	-	16.21	0.025
Equivalized household income (yen)	<500,000	53	0	0.0	0.00	0.00	-		0.997
	500,000-999,999	108	7	6.5	1.00				

1,000,000-1,499,999	139	7	5.0	0.77	0.26	-	2.25	0.627
1,500,000-1,999,999	262	15	5.7	0.88	0.35	-	2.21	0.780
2,000,000-2,999,999	429	16	3.7	0.56	0.22	-	1.39	0.213
3,000,000-3,999,999	263	15	5.7	0.87	0.35	-	2.20	0.773
≥4,000,000	164	5	3.0	0.45	0.14	-	1.47	0.187
Missing	345	21	6.1	0.94	0.39	-	2.26	0.882

^a Stroke, osteoporosis, joint disease/ neuralgia, injury/ fracture, impaired vision and/or impaired hearing.

Table 2 Multivariate adjusted OR and 95% CI for the association of dental status and chewing ability with incident falls

		OR	95% CI	p	OR	95% CI	p
Dental status	≥20 teeth	1.00					
	≤19 teeth with dentures	1.36	0.76 - 2.45	0.299			
	≤19 teeth without dentures	2.50	1.21 - 5.17	0.013			
	Missing	5.75	1.23 - 26.78	0.026			
Chewing ability	Can chew anything				1.00		
	Can chew most foods				0.97	0.59 - 1.59	0.910
	Cannot chew very well				1.47	0.64 - 3.37	0.361
	Missing				0.00	0.00 -	0.999
Sex	Female	1.00			1.00		
	Male	1.86	1.16 - 2.96	0.010	1.86	1.16 - 2.96	0.009
Age (years)	65-69	1.00			1.00		
	70-74	1.31	0.73 - 2.34	0.366	1.36	0.76 - 2.42	0.302
	75-79	1.42	0.74 - 2.74	0.290	1.57	0.82 - 3.02	0.178
	80-84	2.51	1.17 - 5.39	0.018	2.84	1.34 - 6.04	0.007
	≥85	3.78	1.27 - 11.19	0.017	4.63	1.59 - 13.49	0.005
Functional disability during follow-up period	No	1.00			1.00		
	Yes	2.30	0.75 - 7.06	0.144	2.11	0.70 - 6.37	0.184
Depression	No	1.00			1.00		
	Mild	1.82	1.01 - 3.26	0.046	1.82	1.01 - 3.26	0.045
	Moderate to severe	2.47	1.02 - 5.97	0.045	2.49	1.03 - 6.02	0.042
	Missing	2.14	1.09 - 4.17	0.026	2.07	1.06 - 4.04	0.032
Self-rated health	Excellent	1.00			1.00		
	Good	1.14	0.47 - 2.77	0.767	1.07	0.44 - 2.59	0.877

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

	Fair	1.43	0.54	-	3.78	0.474	1.33	0.50	-	3.54	0.568
	Poor	2.71	0.83	-	8.77	0.097	2.60	0.81	-	8.34	0.109
	Missing	0.00	0.00	-		0.998	0.00	0.00	-		0.998

Educational attainment	≥13	1.00					1.00				
(years)	10-12	2.49	0.83	-	7.45	0.102	2.59	0.87	-	7.72	0.089
	6-9	2.21	0.77	-	6.33	0.140	2.50	0.87	-	7.12	0.087
	<6	1.77	0.37	-	8.56	0.476	2.24	0.49	-	10.27	0.299
	Missing	2.78	0.68	-	11.38	0.156	3.17	0.77	-	13.01	0.110

For peer review only

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1, 3
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	3
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	5-6
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	6
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	6
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	6
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	6-7
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	NA
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	7-9
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	6-7
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	6-9
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	6-7
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	7-9
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	7-9
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	NA
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	9
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	6-7
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	NA
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	10
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	NA
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	NA
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	10, Tab. 1
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Tab. 1,2
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	NA
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Tab. 1

1	Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	Tab. 2
2			(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	Tab. 1,2
3			(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	NA
4	Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	NA
5	Discussion			
6	Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	10
7	Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	13
8	Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	10- 13
9	Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	13
10	Other information			
11	Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	14

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.