Protocol for the Northern Manhattan Diabetes Community Outreach Project. A randomised trial of a community health worker intervention to improve diabetes care in Hispanic adults
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Hispanics in the USA are affected by the diabetes epidemic disproportionately, and they consistently have lower access to care, poorer control of the disease and higher risk of complications. This study evaluates whether a community health worker (CHW) intervention may improve clinically relevant markers of diabetes care in adult underserved Hispanics.

Methods and analysis: The Northern Manhattan Diabetes Community Outreach Project (NOCHOP) is a two-armed randomised controlled trial to be performed as a community-based participatory research study performed in a Primary Care Setting in Northern Manhattan (New York City). 360 Hispanic adults with poorly controlled type 2 diabetes mellitus (haemoglobin A1c >8%), aged 35–70 years, will be randomised at a 1:1 ratio, within Primary Care Provider clusters. The two study arms are (1) a 12-month CHW intervention and (2) enhanced usual care (educational materials mailed at 4-month intervals, preceded by phone calls). The end points, assessed after 12 months, are primary = haemoglobin A1c and secondary = blood pressure and low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol levels. In addition, the study will describe the CHW intervention in terms of components and intensity and will assess its effects on (1) medication adherence, (2) medication intensification, (3) diet and (4) physical activity.

Ethics and dissemination: All participants will provide informed consent; the study protocol has been approved by the Institutional Review Board of Columbia University Medical Center. CHW interventions hold great promise in improving the well-being of minority populations who suffer from diabetes mellitus. The NOCHOP study will provide valuable information about the efficacy of those interventions vis-à-vis clinically relevant end points and will inform policy makers through a detailed characterisation of the programme and its effects.

Clinical trial registration number: NCT00787475 at clinicaltrials.gov

INTRODUCTION

The current diabetes epidemic affects US Hispanics disproportionately, both in prevalence and frequency of complications as compared with Caucasians.1 Hispanics suffer from less access to care and poorer control of their diabetes.23 On the other hand, there is a great paucity of culturally appropriate models of care that maximise access and enhance healthcare delivery in Hispanics.45 The lack of such models perpetuates the role of language and culture as ‘barriers to care’.
Thus, there is great interest in patient-centered interventions that, instead, embrace the culture and language of our patients. This paradigm shift has the potential to transform those perceived ‘barriers’ into integral components of the intervention, empowering patients and their families in dealing with diabetes mellitus and helping them navigate the complexities of our medical system.

Community health workers (CHWs, known as Promotores de Salud in Spanish) have been shown to be efficacious in improving healthcare delivery around the world, including Latin America and the USA. However, a better characterisation of the efficacy of CHW interventions is needed, particularly in regards to widely accepted clinical end points, such as serum haemoglobin A1c (A1c, a measure of blood glucose levels over the previous weeks), blood pressure and cholesterol levels. Several randomised controlled trials (RCTs) have been performed to assess the potential benefits of CHW interventions in improving the care of minority populations with diabetes. However, those trials recruited relatively small numbers of participants and were carried out for rather short periods of time.

Indeed, as recently reviewed, data from some of those studies suggest that short-term efficacy achieved in lowering A1c is later lost at 12 months. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses have also highlighted the need for larger and longer term RCTs in Hispanic and other underserved communities. In addition to clinically relevant end points (such as A1c lowering), there is a clear need for interventions that are well described both in nature and intensity and that target a well-defined population.

We describe here the protocol of a study designed to address those gaps in knowledge, the Northern Manhattan Diabetes Community Outreach Project (NOCHOP). The NOCHOP is a RCT to evaluate the efficacy of a 12-month CHW intervention to improve the care of Hispanics with poorly controlled type 2 diabetes mellitus. It is a two-arm study with an active control group, designed such that the control group receives enhanced standard care. It is a community-based participatory research enterprise designed and conducted by two partner institutions from Northern Manhattan: Alianza Dominicana, Inc., and Columbia University.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Study outcomes

The primary study outcome is glycaemic control, measured by A1c. The secondary outcomes are systolic and diastolic blood pressure and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol levels. We also are collecting data, which will allow examination of the putative mechanisms that may account for the hypothesised effects of the intervention in this population. Data are being collected during the intake and 1-year follow-up examination on the following mechanistic end points: (1) medication adherence, (2) medication intensification, (3) diet and (4) physical activity.

Study participants

NOCHOP is an RCT of 360 Hispanic participants with poorly controlled type 2 diabetes, aged 35–70 years and who are currently receiving care at one of our primary care practice sites in Northern Manhattan. Participants are classified as having poorly controlled diabetes if their last A1c measurement (performed in the preceding 12 months) was $>8.0$. Exclusion criteria are (1) type 1 diabetes and/or diabetes with onset before age of 25; (2) subjects who do not self-identify as Hispanic; (3) any life-threatening or extreme medical comorbidity, such as an active cancer or end-stage cardiopulmonary disease; (4) having a diabetes diagnosis for $<1$ year; (5) planning to move out of the neighbourhood during the next year; (6) enrolment in any other study and (7) arm circum-

All participants provide informed consent prior to enrolment; the study protocol has been approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Columbia University Medical Center. Consent is obtained by trained study personnel, following IRB-approved procedures. Recruitment is performed within the Primary Care Clinics at Columbia University Medical Center, and it is centred around Primary Care Physicians (PCP), who approve all contacts with potential participants. This approach has proven successful for our group when recruiting, from the same population, for a recent RCT of innovative diabetes care management. Moreover, the collaboration with Alianza Dominicana, the largest and best-known community organisation in our neighbourhoods, probably enhances recruitment.

Participant attrition is usually a concern in trials enrolling underserved populations, as it may compromise the statistical power of the study. Thus, steps are taken in both arms to maximise retention. In the intervention arm, CHWs regularly stress the importance to all participants of undergoing their 12-month evaluation visit, and they use reminders when approaching the end of the intervention. In the control arm, the study coordi-

Participant attrition is usually a concern in trials enrolling underserved populations, as it may compromise the statistical power of the study. Thus, steps are taken in both arms to maximise retention. In the intervention arm, CHWs regularly stress the importance to all participants of undergoing their 12-month evaluation visit, and they use reminders when approaching the end of the intervention. In the control arm, the study coordi-
intervention (CHW intervention for 12 months) or to enhanced usual care (EUC) by the Research Department at the Hebrew Home at Riverdale, NY. Randomisation is clustered within PCP practice; the algorithm accounts for rolling enrolment within PCPs. Balance between the two study arms is checked periodically.

Procedures
All participants undergo two examination visits: one at baseline and another one at the end of the participation a year later. Personnel performing evaluation visits are blinded to randomisation status. Subjects are instructed to come to examinations fasting, and having held their diabetes medications, but taking their blood pressure medications. Questionnaire data are obtained during examination visits using a computer-assisted telephone interviewing system.

Measures
Height and weight are measured without shoes and wearing lightweight clothes and using a stadiometer and a validated digital scale. All measurements are recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm/0.1 kg. Specimens are analysed in blinded fashion by the Columbia University CRC Core Laboratory. A1c is measured using a latex agglutination assay (Hitachi 912 Polymedco Inc., Cortlandt Manor, New York, USA). Total cholesterol, triglyceride and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol is measured using enzymatic colorimetric methods (Vitros, Johnson & Johnson, New Brunswick, New Jersey, USA). LDL cholesterol is calculated using the Friedewald equation. For subjects with triglyceride level ≥300 mg/dl, LDL cholesterol is measured directly using a homogeneous assay (Polymedco, Cortlandt Manor, New York, USA). Resting blood pressure is measured using a BpTRU automated oscillometric device (VSM Tech Ltd, Coquitlam, BC, Canada). Three measurements are obtained following 5 min of rest. The average of the second and third measurements is recorded as the resting blood pressure. Other constructs measured include medication adherence, dosage and intensity, physical activity, diet and depression.

CHW intervention
Basic features
The CHW intervention is based on (1) existing consensus of successful diabetes interventions in vulnerable populations and (2) its promise as a sustainable generalisable intervention. Two full time CHWs based at Alianza Dominicana, Inc., are delivering a multicomponent intervention that includes home visits, group visits and telephone follow-up, the focus of the home visits is on assessment of existing barriers to healthcare (diabetes and non-diabetes), empowering the patient to overcome these barriers and then developing achievable goals for the upcoming year. The group visits focuses on nutrition and exercise activities. The phone intervention serves as a follow-up mechanism for adherence to the individualised plan and reinforcement. The intervention is summarised in figure 1 of the supplementary data. CHW intervention is flexible and tailored to each participant’s needs, but the goal will be to perform at least four home visits, 10 group sessions and 10 follow-up phone calls per subject over a 12-month period.

CHW medical service/patient navigator activities
Self-management is key to the CCM, and fundamental to this is empowering patients to make effective use of the healthcare system. This requires a positive and productive relation with the PCP, wherein the patient is comfortable in asking questions and speaking honestly about concerns regarding medications. Through individual and group activities, the CHWs help the participants develop communication and self-advocacy skills to be able to take a more active role in their visits. They teach participants how to maximise their time with the provider, how to advocate for themselves and what questions they should ask. Additional patient navigator activities by CHWs during the home visit may include reminding patients of their next appointments and, if needed, setting up home medication reminder systems for patients such as refrigerator charts noting when it is time to get refills.

Referrals
The CHWS also assist the participants in accomplishing their goals by connecting them to needed services. The CHWS make referrals to community-based resources, both for social and healthcare services. One example would be if the participant is facing eviction or experiencing problems such as domestic violence. Alianza has specific programmes for housing and domestic violence and the CHWS facilitate referrals; if not available through Alianza, they are referred to other community partners where such services would be available.

Informatics support for CHWs
The CHWs have remote real-time access to participant data, in a HIPAA-compliant manner, through secure access to a dedicated database.

EUC arm of the study
Patients randomised to the control group receive usual care from their PCP. This includes routine monitoring and care from the PCP and the possibility of referral to several existing diabetes management resources, among them the multidisciplinary comprehensive Naomi Berrie Diabetes Center at Columbia University Medical Center. The N. Berrie Center resources comprise a multidisciplinary team of endocrinologists, certified diabetes educators and nurse case managers who provide intensive diabetes case management requiring very involved patient participation. Providers can also refer patients to the Visiting Nurse Service of New York. The Visiting Nurse Service programme includes nurses, nutritionists and diabetes educators who can deliver home-based diabetes care and education to patients. This service is ideal for those older patients who are home-bound. In addition, our primary care clinic has a certified diabetes
health educator nurse who provides on site individual diabetes education, group diabetes classes and, if requested, can assist providers with case management. In NOCHOP, PCPs remain free to use any of these existing resources for their patients, at their discretion. The usual care received will be enhanced by providing patients with three sets of Spanish language educational materials published by the National Institutes of Health. These materials include information on communication between physician and patient, diabetes management, mental health and a diabetes cookbook. During the 12-month period, a project coordinator calls EUC patients four times. The goals of the phone calls are to (1) ensure that the participants have received the mailed brochures and that they find those brochures appropriate for their own literacy and (2) maximise retention in the study, aiming to reduce attrition in this group.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS METHODS
Sample size and power
The sample size was chosen to ensure sufficient power to detect clinically meaningful effects associated with a change in A1c as a continuous outcome. The calculations performed indicate that the proposed sample size of 360 (180 per arm) will provide sufficient power for the main study hypotheses, under a variety of assumptions. The power calculations, including a detailed description of assumptions and scenarios, are described in detail in the supplementary file.

Unit of analysis and clustering
The patient will constitute the unit of analysis for the study. Patients will be randomised within PCP; therefore, sample sizes must be larger to account for unreliability of measures and for design features.32–34 Both correlation among repeated measures over time on the same subject and correlation due to clustering of patients within providers (characteristics of the providers or practice which may influence outcomes among their patients) will be taken into account. This dependency among members of the cluster will inflate the variance of the effect of the intervention.35

Adjustment for multiple comparisons
Adjustment for multiple comparisons is an area of controversy.36–40 Following recent guidelines for clinical trials,41 we propose to treat primary and secondary outcomes as separate clusters, setting a 0.05 level of significance to the primary outcome within each cluster. A Bonferroni or Benjamini–Hochberg correction would be applied to secondary treatment outcomes. Thus, a 0.05 level will be assigned to the A1c outcome and a 0.01 level to the three secondary outcomes (LDL, diastolic blood pressure and systolic blood pressure).

Analyses
A parallel group design with equivalent baseline values as a result of randomisation is proposed. Calculations are provided for the primary outcome treated as continuous and as binary. The main analyses will be performed using continuous data. Analyses of A1c treated as binary assumes intent-to-treat and that all dropout and missing data are considered as failures. Thus, the proportion of successes is based on the entire sample randomised. However, in the context of cost limitations, large samples are required to examine small effects when continuous data are treated as binary because of the severe loss of power associated with dichotomising a normally distributed dependent variable such as A1c. Dichotomisation of skewed variables leads to even greater loss of power.42 Additionally, there are theoretical considerations that include the fact that in health disparities research, stringent goals, for example, complete glycaemic control, may not be realised and one may consider smaller average reductions in outcomes as clinically meaningful.

The general approach to the analyses is guided by our own experience in the analyses of such data43 44 and by recent reviews of best methods for analyses of longitudinal data from clinical trials.45 Because the design is to randomise individuals to groups within PCP strata, some baseline imbalance in the outcome might occur; in this case, the basic analytic approach will be analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) model that adjusts for baseline values of the outcome, as well as for the design feature of clustering. In order to determine the best approach, two basic models could be examined. One is a basic t test or ANCOVA approach, with inclusion of a random effect for PCP to model the clustered data. The second is a repeated measures approach that examines time as continuous. The latter allows inclusion of more subjects, however, with only two waves of data (and if 90% of the subjects are interviewed within ±2 months of the 12-month mark), it is not clear that there will be sufficient benefits associated with the approach. The post-treatment values of continuous outcomes will be modelled as functions of baseline values, treatment and the interaction of baseline and treatment. A general longitudinal mixed effects model, using SAS PROC MIXED, will be used to allow for the correlation between subjects within a PCP. Additionally, the group heterogeneity in cluster and residual variances may require modelling to satisfy model assumptions and improve model fit. (There may be violations of the more rigid assumptions involved in ANCOVA, such as homoscedasticity, so that modelling the group heterogeneity in cluster and residual variances will be necessary.) Based on prior analytic experience with the outcome variables, the need to transform them is not anticipated. Although the primary analysis is to examine A1c as a continuous measure, it is also proposed to treat A1c as a binary outcome defining those with poor glycaemic control as A1c ≥9.0. In this case, dichotomous outcome measures will be analysed using generalised estimating equations to account for potentially correlated outcomes of subjects with the same PCP (PROC GLIMMIX in SAS). Prior to analyses,
The Data and safety monitoring board (DSMB, also known as a Data Monitoring Committee) is an independent four-member multidisciplinary group consisting of biostatisticians and clinicians that collectively have experience in the management of patients and in the conduct and monitoring of randomised clinical trials. It is responsible for safeguarding the interests of trial participants, assessing the safety and efficacy of the interventions during the trial and for monitoring the overall conduct of the clinical trial. A detailed description of the NOCHOP DSMB and its duties can be found in the DSMB Charter, in the supplementary data file.

Protection of participant privacy

The NOCHOP study adheres to the privacy rules instituted by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA). All examination data are electronically transmitted using encryption software at the end of the visit, and all data are stored in firewall-protected servers. Data analysis will be performed in de-identified data sets (i.e., sets that contain no participant identifiers, as defined by HIPAA). Moreover, only de-identified data sets will be shared using IRB-authorised procedures or (upon request) with the National Institutes of Health.

Relevance and dissemination

Our project seeks to examine the efficacy of a CHW intervention to improve the care of adult Hispanics with type 2 diabetes in Northern Manhattan. CHW interventions are rooted in over 30 years of culturally tailored public health service delivery in Hispanic communities. The acceptability of this approach to enhance healthcare delivery has been shown in Hispanic populations in numerous studies. An additional strength of the community-based design is that it will not further tax the already limited resources of health providers caring for low-income minority populations, such as inner-city clinics. In addition, this project will help characterise the specific components of a CHW intervention that lead to an improvement in clinically and socially relevant outcomes in this high-risk population. In doing so, NOCHOP is expected to make a substantial contribution to the ongoing national debate about the sustainability and optimal design of CHW programmes.
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