

The impact of preprinted prescription forms on medication prescribing errors in an ophthalmology clinic in northeast Thailand: a non-randomised interventional study

Thuss Sanguansak,¹ Michael G Morley,² Yosanan Yospaiboon,¹ Alice Lorch,³ Bethany Hedt,⁴ Katharine Morley⁵

To cite: Sanguansak T, Morley MG, Yospaiboon Y, *et al*. The impact of preprinted prescription forms on medication prescribing errors in an ophthalmology clinic in northeast Thailand: a non-randomised interventional study. *BMJ Open* 2012;**2**:e000539. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2011-000539

► Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2011-000539>).

Received 28 October 2011
Accepted 23 January 2012

This final article is available for use under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 2.0 Licence; see <http://bmjopen.bmj.com>

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Katharine Morley;
kemorley@partners.org

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To understand the incidence and types of medication prescribing errors in a low resource setting ophthalmology clinic and to determine the impact of a preprinted prescription based on the hospital formulary (FormularyScript) on medication prescribing errors.

Design: Non-randomised interventional study.

Setting: Ophthalmology clinic in a teaching hospital in northeast Thailand.

Participants: 4349 handwritten prescriptions collected from October 2009 to December 2009, and 4146 FormularyScripts collected from February 2010 to May 2010.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: All prescriptions from the handwritten and FormularyScript groups were analysed for medication error rates by types (legibility, ambiguous, incomplete, abbreviation and accuracy) and subtypes (drug name, strength, which eye, route and dispensed amount).

Results: Comparison of error rates in the two groups showed a 10-fold reduction in the overall error rate using FormularyScript (32.9%–3.5%, $p<0.001$). FormularyScripts were associated with statistically significant ($p<0.001$) decreases in the following error types: legibility (16.1%–0.1%), incomplete (16.1%–0.1%) and abbreviation (3.1%–0.3%). There was no statistically significant change in accuracy errors (0.8%–0.6%, $p=0.21$). Ambiguous errors increased with FormularyScripts (0.6%–2.5%, $p<0.001$), likely due to the introduction of new ways to make errors. Decreases were seen in all legibility, abbreviation and accuracy error subtypes, and four out of six incomplete error subtypes. There were statistically significant increases in both ambiguous error subtypes: which eye (0.3%–2.5%, $p<0.001$) and drug name (0.3%–0.6%, $p=0.03$).

Conclusions: In our study population, outpatient medication prescribing errors were common and primarily due to legibility and incomplete error types. A preprinted prescription form has the potential to decrease medication prescribing errors related to legibility, incomplete prescribing information and use

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

- Little is known about the frequency and types of medication prescribing errors in developing countries, especially outpatient settings.
- Computerised prescribing systems are usually not feasible in low resource settings; however, a preprinted form may be an alternative.

Key messages

- Medication prescribing errors are common in outpatient ophthalmology clinics and are primarily due to legibility and incomplete information.
- Preprinted prescription forms have the potential to decrease medication prescribing errors related to legibility, incomplete prescribing information and use of unacceptable abbreviations without changing the overall rate of accuracy errors, but new error types can be introduced.
- Any new medication prescribing system needs to be carefully monitored for unintended consequences. Working closely with physicians and pharmacists to optimise design and providing adequate training for users are important considerations in minimising the introduction of new ways of making errors.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- The main strengths of this study are that it demonstrates that a low cost alternative to computerised prescribing exists and is effective at reducing the most common types of medication prescribing errors.
- Important limitations of this study are that it is a non-randomised study conducted at a single site, the subjective nature of determining and classifying error types such as legibility, the FormularyScript did not include all necessary medications and physicians were aware that the prescriptions were being analysed for prescribing errors.

of unacceptable abbreviations without changing the overall rate of accuracy errors. However, new error types can occur.

INTRODUCTION

Accurate medication prescribing is an important process in ensuring the best possible outcomes in the treatment of diseases. Research from developed countries suggests that most prescription errors result from illegibility and incomplete prescribing information. One study in the USA found a prescription medication error rate of 7.6% in the outpatient setting, with errors in frequency and dose being the most common type.¹ A study from an ophthalmic specialty hospital in England found 45% of all medication errors occurred in the outpatient department, with errors in prescription writing being the most common.² A preprinted order sheet was shown to reduce medication errors twofold in a randomised controlled trial in a paediatric emergency department in the USA.³

Little is known about the incidence and types of medication prescribing errors in developing countries. A review of the literature shows a handful of descriptive studies looking at prescribing errors in outpatient settings. Studies from Bahrain,⁴ Nepal,⁵ India,^{6,7} Saudi Arabia⁸ and Nigeria⁹ found a high incidence of errors in prescription writing, including illegibility and incomplete prescribing information. Other issues cited were failure to use generic medication names, non-adherence to national formulary and inadequate medication labelling. Solutions such as computerised physician ordering systems and electronic prescription writing programs are often used to address these issues. Research in the USA has suggested that advanced computerised physician order entry systems using decision support software have the greatest impact in preventing medication errors and adverse drug reactions.¹ However, computerised prescribing systems are usually not feasible in developing countries due to resource constraints.

The goals of this study were to determine the incidence and types of medication prescribing errors in an outpatient ophthalmology clinic in a low resource setting and to evaluate whether or not a preprinted prescription with prompts for prescribing information is an effective, low cost alternative for reducing medication prescribing errors.

METHODS

This is a non-randomised interventional study comparing medication prescribing errors before and after the intervention of a preprinted prescription form based on the hospital formulary (FormularyScript). The FormularyScript includes names and prescribing information for selected medications. It includes prompts for all prescribing information, such as dose, frequency and route (figure 1).

Study site and population

The study was conducted in the outpatient ophthalmology clinic of Srinagarind Hospital, Khon Kaen

University in northeast Thailand. A total of 28 ophthalmology residents, fellows and faculty participated in the study. All prescriptions written in the outpatient ophthalmology clinic during the study period were included, unless the patient did not consent or the physician was excluded from participation in the study. Physicians were excluded if they participated in the design and implementation of the research study. Four faculty ophthalmologists elected not to participate (table 1).

Data collection

During the first phase of the study, baseline data were collected on the frequency and types of medication prescribing errors on the handwritten prescription traditionally used in the clinic.

The target sample size of prescriptions was 3000 to achieve 80% power to detect a 30% reduction in rate of errors and assuming an error rate of 5% under the current system ($\alpha=0.05$). Medications were recorded on the data collection spreadsheet using the name written on the prescription. Prescriptions that were written but never filled were included in the study. Prescriptions were omitted if they were handwritten on a Formulary-Script (table 1).

After completion of the first phase, the Formulary-Script was introduced to the physicians in the ophthalmology clinic with instructions in its use. Over the next month, they were given feedback if it was not completed correctly, and design modifications were made based on the results. The second phase of the study collected data on the frequency and types of medication prescribing errors on the FormularyScript and used the same target number of prescriptions.

Prescription review process

Data for each prescription were entered into the study database along with a digital photo of the prescription and the prescribing information from the patient's chart. A three-step review process was used on all prescriptions. The first review was done by a pharmacist, who recorded any medication prescribing errors on the prescription when it was brought to the hospital pharmacy. Agreement between medication information on the prescription and in the chart was done by a research assistant trained as a pharmacy technician at the time of entry into the study database. The second reviewer, a pharmacy technician, reviewed all prescriptions and prescribing information from the patient chart using the digital photos. Discrepancies between the first and second reviews were flagged. All prescriptions were reviewed a third time by one of the investigators (KM), to make sure that there was agreement in classifying errors. If necessary, a fourth investigator was consulted to resolve disagreements.

Error types and definitions

Medication prescribing errors are any type of deviation from a complete, accurate and legible prescription. They

Figure 1 Reproduction of the FormularyScript. Patient demographic and accounting information is in Thai. Final version used in study had prescribing information in Thai. Three medications can be written on one form. A number is written next to each medication and corresponds with the prescribing information. Medications not listed can be written in blank spaces.

โรงพยาบาลศรีนครินทร์ คณะแพทยศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยขอนแก่น

HN. _____	ใบสั่งยาผู้ป่วยนอก	ส่วนนี้ใช้ติด sticker ราคาและข้อมูลผู้ป่วย																																																																																																																														
ชื่อ _____ อายุ _____																																																																																																																																
วันที่ _____ สิทธิผู้ป่วย _____																																																																																																																																
<input type="radio"/> คำบริการ 50 บาท	ผู้ป่วยบัตรทองหรือประกันสังคม หลังการพบแพทย์แล้วให้ตรวจสอบสิทธิที่ช่อง 13-18 ก่อนติดต่อห้องยา																																																																																																																															
antibiotic _____ poly oph drop _____ vigamox drop _____ chlor oph drop _____ kemicitin oint _____ terramycin onit _____ amphotericin B 0.3% drop _____ ketoconazole 2% drop _____ natamycin 5% drop _____ zovirax 3% oint _____ cloxacillin.....mg cap _____ doxycillin 100 mg cap anti inflammation _____ inf oph 1% drop _____ FML drop _____ flucon drop _____ pred forte 1% drop _____ efemolin drop _____ dexamethasone 0.1% drop _____ flucithalmic gel _____ restasis drop _____ voltaren drop _____ nevanac drop tear _____ genteal drop _____ systane drop _____ tear naturale free drop _____ vislube drop _____ genteal gel _____ vidisic gel _____ lac oph	anti glaucoma _____ pilocarpine 2% drop _____ glauco oph 0.5% drop _____ alphagan 0.2% drop _____ lumigan0.03% drop _____ xalatan 0.005% drop _____ travatan 0.004% drop _____ alphagan-P 0.15% drop _____ xalacom drop _____ azopt 1% drop _____ cosopt drop _____ combigan drop _____ diamox 250 mg tab 50%glycerine soln anti allergy _____ hista oph drop _____ spersallerge drop _____ alomide 0.1% _____ vividrin drop _____ zaditen drop antibiotic + steroid _____ dexoph drop _____ trobradex drop _____ maxitral oint other _____ atropine.....% drop _____ NaCl% drop _____ paracetamalmg tab _____ solcoseryl 20% gel	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><th colspan="6">Drug 1</th></tr> <tr><th>Dose</th><th>Route</th><th>Times/day</th><th>Every # hours</th><th colspan="2">จำนวน</th></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/> 1 หยด</td><td><input type="radio"/> ตาขวา</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>6</td><td>ขวด</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/> 1 เม็ด</td><td><input type="radio"/> 2 ตา</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>8</td><td>หลอด</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/> 2 เม็ด</td><td><input type="radio"/> ตาซ้าย</td><td>3</td><td>3</td><td>12</td><td>เม็ด</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/>cc</td><td><input type="radio"/> กิน <input type="radio"/> ac <input type="radio"/> pc</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td></td><td>กล่อง</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/></td><td><input type="radio"/> เปลือกตา</td><td><input type="radio"/> pm</td><td><input type="radio"/> hs</td><td></td><td></td></tr> </table> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><th colspan="6">Drug 2</th></tr> <tr><th>Dose</th><th>Route</th><th>Times/day</th><th>Every # hours</th><th colspan="2">จำนวน</th></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/> 1 หยด</td><td><input type="radio"/> ตาขวา</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>6</td><td>ขวด</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/> 1 เม็ด</td><td><input type="radio"/> 2 ตา</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>8</td><td>หลอด</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/> 2 เม็ด</td><td><input type="radio"/> ตาซ้าย</td><td>3</td><td>3</td><td>12</td><td>เม็ด</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/>cc</td><td><input type="radio"/> กิน <input type="radio"/> ac <input type="radio"/> pc</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td></td><td>กล่อง</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/></td><td><input type="radio"/> เปลือกตา</td><td><input type="radio"/> pm</td><td><input type="radio"/> hs</td><td></td><td></td></tr> </table> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><th colspan="6">Drug 3</th></tr> <tr><th>Dose</th><th>Route</th><th>Times/day</th><th>Every # hours</th><th colspan="2">จำนวน</th></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/> 1 หยด</td><td><input type="radio"/> ตาขวา</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>6</td><td>ขวด</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/> 1 เม็ด</td><td><input type="radio"/> 2 ตา</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>8</td><td>หลอด</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/> 2 เม็ด</td><td><input type="radio"/> ตาซ้าย</td><td>3</td><td>3</td><td>12</td><td>เม็ด</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/>cc</td><td><input type="radio"/> กิน <input type="radio"/> ac <input type="radio"/> pc</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td></td><td>กล่อง</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/></td><td><input type="radio"/> เปลือกตา</td><td><input type="radio"/> pm</td><td><input type="radio"/> hs</td><td></td><td></td></tr> </table>	Drug 1						Dose	Route	Times/day	Every # hours	จำนวน		<input type="radio"/> 1 หยด	<input type="radio"/> ตาขวา	1	1	6	ขวด	<input type="radio"/> 1 เม็ด	<input type="radio"/> 2 ตา	2	2	8	หลอด	<input type="radio"/> 2 เม็ด	<input type="radio"/> ตาซ้าย	3	3	12	เม็ด	<input type="radio"/>cc	<input type="radio"/> กิน <input type="radio"/> ac <input type="radio"/> pc	4	4		กล่อง	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> เปลือกตา	<input type="radio"/> pm	<input type="radio"/> hs			Drug 2						Dose	Route	Times/day	Every # hours	จำนวน		<input type="radio"/> 1 หยด	<input type="radio"/> ตาขวา	1	1	6	ขวด	<input type="radio"/> 1 เม็ด	<input type="radio"/> 2 ตา	2	2	8	หลอด	<input type="radio"/> 2 เม็ด	<input type="radio"/> ตาซ้าย	3	3	12	เม็ด	<input type="radio"/>cc	<input type="radio"/> กิน <input type="radio"/> ac <input type="radio"/> pc	4	4		กล่อง	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> เปลือกตา	<input type="radio"/> pm	<input type="radio"/> hs			Drug 3						Dose	Route	Times/day	Every # hours	จำนวน		<input type="radio"/> 1 หยด	<input type="radio"/> ตาขวา	1	1	6	ขวด	<input type="radio"/> 1 เม็ด	<input type="radio"/> 2 ตา	2	2	8	หลอด	<input type="radio"/> 2 เม็ด	<input type="radio"/> ตาซ้าย	3	3	12	เม็ด	<input type="radio"/>cc	<input type="radio"/> กิน <input type="radio"/> ac <input type="radio"/> pc	4	4		กล่อง	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> เปลือกตา	<input type="radio"/> pm	<input type="radio"/> hs		
Drug 1																																																																																																																																
Dose	Route	Times/day	Every # hours	จำนวน																																																																																																																												
<input type="radio"/> 1 หยด	<input type="radio"/> ตาขวา	1	1	6	ขวด																																																																																																																											
<input type="radio"/> 1 เม็ด	<input type="radio"/> 2 ตา	2	2	8	หลอด																																																																																																																											
<input type="radio"/> 2 เม็ด	<input type="radio"/> ตาซ้าย	3	3	12	เม็ด																																																																																																																											
<input type="radio"/>cc	<input type="radio"/> กิน <input type="radio"/> ac <input type="radio"/> pc	4	4		กล่อง																																																																																																																											
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> เปลือกตา	<input type="radio"/> pm	<input type="radio"/> hs																																																																																																																													
Drug 2																																																																																																																																
Dose	Route	Times/day	Every # hours	จำนวน																																																																																																																												
<input type="radio"/> 1 หยด	<input type="radio"/> ตาขวา	1	1	6	ขวด																																																																																																																											
<input type="radio"/> 1 เม็ด	<input type="radio"/> 2 ตา	2	2	8	หลอด																																																																																																																											
<input type="radio"/> 2 เม็ด	<input type="radio"/> ตาซ้าย	3	3	12	เม็ด																																																																																																																											
<input type="radio"/>cc	<input type="radio"/> กิน <input type="radio"/> ac <input type="radio"/> pc	4	4		กล่อง																																																																																																																											
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> เปลือกตา	<input type="radio"/> pm	<input type="radio"/> hs																																																																																																																													
Drug 3																																																																																																																																
Dose	Route	Times/day	Every # hours	จำนวน																																																																																																																												
<input type="radio"/> 1 หยด	<input type="radio"/> ตาขวา	1	1	6	ขวด																																																																																																																											
<input type="radio"/> 1 เม็ด	<input type="radio"/> 2 ตา	2	2	8	หลอด																																																																																																																											
<input type="radio"/> 2 เม็ด	<input type="radio"/> ตาซ้าย	3	3	12	เม็ด																																																																																																																											
<input type="radio"/>cc	<input type="radio"/> กิน <input type="radio"/> ac <input type="radio"/> pc	4	4		กล่อง																																																																																																																											
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> เปลือกตา	<input type="radio"/> pm	<input type="radio"/> hs																																																																																																																													
แพทย์ _____	คิดเงิน _____	ติดฉลากยา _____																																																																																																																														
เลขที่ใบอนุญาตประกอบวิชาชีพเวชกรรม _____	จัดยา _____	ตรวจสอบยา _____																																																																																																																														
	ส่งมอบยา _____	ผู้รับยา _____																																																																																																																														
<p style="text-align: center;">ยื่นใบสั่งยา-คิดราคา-รับเอกสารแล้ว ดำเนินการ ดังนี้</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● ผู้ป่วยบัตรจ่ายตรงหรือชำระเงินสด ติดต่อ ห้องการเงิน หมายเลข 33-38 <p>เมื่อดำเนินการเรียบร้อยแล้ว นำเอกสารนี้ไปนํารับยา</p> <p>(เจ้าหน้าที่การเงิน กรอกข้อมูล)</p>		ส่วนนี้ใช้ติด sticker ราคาและข้อมูลผู้ป่วย																																																																																																																														
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● ผู้ป่วยบัตรทอง/ผู้ป่วยประกันสังคม ให้ถือเอกสารนี้ไปนํารับยา 																																																																																																																																

OPD 801-ยหน้า update 26-01-53

refer only to errors on the prescription, not the prescribing decision or dispensed medication. The error types and definitions used in this study were based on the prescription error classifications used at Srinagarind Hospital. They are as follows: (1) legibility: element of prescription difficult to decipher by pharmacist because writing on prescription was not clear; (2) ambiguous: element of prescription that was clearly written but could be misinterpreted by pharmacist (eg, use of ® for proprietary drugs could be misinterpreted as right eye); (3) incomplete: omission of required prescription element as determined by the medication; (4) abbrevi-

ation: use of unacceptable abbreviation, as determined by pharmacy, for medication name on prescription and (5) accuracy: element of prescription with different information than was written in chart or based on dosing requirements for that medication. Discrepancies between generic and trade names were not considered errors. The error subtypes, based on the required prescription elements for a given medication, were medication name, strength, route, which eye, frequency and dispense amount. Some medications only have one form or strength, in which case these elements would not be required. The same error types were used in both

Table 1 Description of study population

	Handwritten	Formulary-Script
Number of prescriptions		
Total collected	4349	4893
Not filled at pharmacy	935 (21.5%)	246 (5.0%)
Handwritten medication on FormularyScript	NA	747
Total in study population	4349	4146
% Prescriptions written by physician training level		
First-year resident physicians	23.2	26.6
Second-year resident physicians	28.9	29.2
Third-year resident physicians	28.9	31.7
Fellows (subspecialty training after residency)	6.3	2.5
Faculty physicians	12.7	10.0

handwritten and FormularyScript. However, what was considered a legibility or ambiguous error differed in the two groups. For example, a legibility error on Formulary Script occurred if the circle marked overlapped two medication names, and an ambiguous error on Formulary Script occurred if there was a question about the number next to drug name correlating with the prescribing information.

Data analysis

The frequency of the error types and error subtypes across all prescription elements between handwritten and Formulary Scripts was compared using a two-sample test of proportions. A two-sample test of proportions was also used to compare the frequency of error types on each element of the prescription. Statistical analysis was performed using Stata V.9 statistical software (StataCorp. 2005, *Stata Statistical Software: Release 9*; StataCorp LP.). Because the required elements for a prescription varied by medication, a table of the possible error types for each medication was generated. If an error type was not possible, it was not included in the denominator when the error rates were calculated.

RESULTS

Error types

A total of 4349 handwritten prescriptions and 4146 FormularyScripts were included in the data analysis. The overall rate of any medication prescribing error on a prescription using handwritten prescriptions was 32.9% compared with 3.5% using FormularyScripts ($p < 0.001$). The rates of the five error types on a prescription were calculated and compared for handwritten and FormularyScripts (table 2). Statistically significant decreases ($p < 0.001$) using FormularyScripts were seen in the following error types: legibility (16.1%–0.1%), incomplete (16.1%–0.1%) and abbreviation

Table 2 Rates by error type and subtype in handwritten versus FormularyScript

Error type and subtype	Handwritten, N (%)	FormularyScript, N (%)	Δ %	p Value	CI
Legibility	4349 (16.1)	4146 (0.1)	16.0	<0.001	14.9 to 17.1
Drug name	4349 (5.7)	4146 (0.1)	5.6	<0.001	4.9 to 6.3
Strength	1183 (5.8)	904 (0.0)	5.8	<0.001	4.5 to 7.2
Route	564 (2.3)	161 (0.0)	2.3	0.052	1.1 to 3.5
Which eye	3785 (6.5)	3985 (0.0)	6.5	<0.001	5.7 to 7.3
Frequency	4336 (4.6)	4144 (0.0)	4.6	<0.001	4.0 to 5.2
Dispense amount	4336 (1.3)	4144 (0.0)	1.3	<0.001	0.9 to 1.6
Ambiguous	4349 (0.6)	4146 (2.5)	−1.9	<0.001	−2.4 to −1.3
Drug name	4336 (0.3)	4144 (0.6)	−0.3	0.03	−0.6 to −0.0
Which eye	3785 (0.3)	3985 (2.5)	−2.2	<0.001	−2.6 to −1.6
Incomplete	4349 (16.1)	4146 (0.1)	16.1	<0.001	14.8 to 17.0
Medication name	4344 (12.3)	4145 (0.0)	12.3	<0.001	11.3 to 13.2
Strength	1184 (6.0)	904 (0.0)	6.0	<0.001	4.6 to 7.3
Route	563 (15.3)	161 (1.2)	14.1	<0.001	10.6 to 17.5
Which eye	3785 (0.2)	3986 (0.1)	0.0	0.70	−0.1 to 0.2
Frequency	4346 (0.5)	4146 (0.0)	0.5	<0.001	0.3 to 0.7
Dispense amount	4346 (0.1)	4146 (0.0)	0.0	0.34	−0.0 to 0.0
Abbreviation	4349 (3.1)	4146 (0.3)	2.9	<0.001	2.3 to 3.4
Drug name	4346 (3.1)	4146 (0.3)	2.9	<0.001	2.3 to 3.4
Accuracy	4349 (0.8)	4146 (0.6)	0.2	0.21	−0.1 to 0.6
Drug name	4336 (0.1)	4146 (0.1)	−0.1	0.28	−0.2 to 0.1
Strength	1187 (0.2)	911 (0.0)	0.2	0.21	−0.1 to 0.4
Route	558 (0.2)	154 (0.0)	0.2	0.60	−0.2 to 0.5
Which eye	3789 (0.5)	3986 (0.1)	0.4	0.001	0.2 to 0.7
Frequency	4349 (0.2)	4146 (0.2)	0.0	0.90	−0.2 to 0.2
Dispense amount	4349 (0.0)	4146 (0.2)	−0.2	0.004	−0.3 to −0.1
Any error	4349 (32.9)	4146 (3.5)	29.4	<0.001	27.9 to 30.9

(3.1%–0.3%). There was a statistically significant increase in ambiguous errors with FormularyScripts (0.6%–2.5%, $p<0.001$). There was no statistically significant change in accuracy errors (0.8%–0.6%, $p=0.21$).

Error subtypes

Error subtype rates were calculated and compared for handwritten and FormularyScripts based on the required fields for the different medications (table 2). Key findings from this analysis were statistically significant ($p<0.001$) decreases in all legibility, incomplete and abbreviation error subtypes, except for legibility route, and incomplete which eye and dispensed amount. All accuracy error subtypes except dispensed amount showed a decrease or no change in error rates using FormularyScript, but only the decrease in which eye subtype reached statistical significance (0.5%–0.1%, $p<0.001$). There were statistically significant increases in the error rates in both ambiguous error subtypes using FormularyScripts, which eye (0.3%–2.5%, $p<0.001$) and drug name (0.3%–0.6%, $p=0.03$).

Prescription elements

The data were also analysed to determine the rate of any type of error in the required elements on each prescription (table 3). The presence of any type of error in that element was counted as an error. The denominator of possible errors was determined based on the required elements for that medication. There was a statistically significant decrease ($p<0.001$) using FormularyScripts in all prescription elements: drug name (20.8%–1.1%), route (17.0%–1.2%), strength (11.8%–0.0%), which eye (7.5%–2.6%), frequency (5.4%–0.3%) and dispensed amount (1.3%–0.2%).

DISCUSSION

These results indicate that medication prescribing errors are common in the outpatient ophthalmology clinic at Srinagarind Hospital and are primarily due to legibility and incomplete information. This is similar to the findings of studies done in developed countries, which found that legibility and incomplete information are the most common types of medication prescribing errors,^{1 2} and error rates of 7.6%–21%.^{1 10 11} Legibility errors, incomplete prescribing information and use of unacceptable abbreviations were decreased using FormularyScript without changing the overall rate of accuracy

errors. However, there was an increase in ambiguous type of error suggesting that new ways of creating medication prescribing errors can occur.

As a non-randomised single-site interventional study, we acknowledge that this study is limited in its conclusions and is not generalisable. In addition, there are several other important limitations that need to be mentioned. Determining and classifying prescribing errors is a subjective process, especially legibility errors. We tried to address this issue through using multiple reviewers to maximise the consistency of our classification. We acknowledge that an experienced pharmacist could decipher the correct information on most of these prescriptions, but the potential for misinterpretation existed. Because of this, the anticipated impact on medication prescribing errors that could result in actually dispensing the incorrect medication is less than predicted by the study's results. Another limitation is that medications lost to follow-up (prescriptions written but not filled at the hospital pharmacy) were included in our calculations, so that 21.5% of handwritten and 5.0% of FormularyScript medications did not receive the second review by the pharmacy technician. We elected to include them because our results with and without them were unchanged. We believe that the design of the FormularyScript contributed to some ambiguous and accuracy errors as up to three medications could be written on one FormularyScript, and having only one medication per page may reduced some of the ambiguous and accuracy errors. While most physicians found the FormularyScript design acceptable and easy to use, occasionally some physicians wrote some prescriptions on the FormularyScript, even though the medications were preprinted. These were excluded from analysis, but this may have created some selection bias. The physicians in the study were aware that the prescriptions were being analysed for error rates, although the exact types and details were not disclosed. We acknowledge that this could have resulted in modification of their behaviour, or Hawthorn effect, which may have affected overall error rates in both handwritten prescriptions and FormularyScripts.

In conclusion, we believe that this study suggests that a preprinted prescription form has the potential to decrease medication prescribing errors related to legibility, incomplete prescribing information and use of unacceptable abbreviations without changing the overall rate of accuracy errors. Additional investigation is

Table 3 Error rate by prescription element in handwritten versus FormularyScript

Prescription element	Handwritten, N (%)	FormularyScript, N (%)	Δ %	p Value	CI
Drug name	4349 (20.8)	4146 (1.1)	19.7	<0.001	18.5 to 21.0
Strength	1188 (11.8)	911 (0.0)	11.8	<0.001	9.9 to 13.6
Route	565 (17.0)	161 (1.2)	15.7	<0.001	12.2 to 19.3
Which eye	3789 (7.5)	3986 (2.6)	4.9	<0.001	4.0 to 5.9
Frequency	4349 (5.4)	4146 (0.3)	5.1	<0.001	4.4 to 5.8
Dispense amount	4349 (1.3)	4146 (0.2)	1.1	<0.001	0.7 to 1.5

indicated to determine whether or not these benefits can be realised in other settings. Even though there are several important limitations to this study, we feel that it brings attention to an important opportunity to address medication prescribing errors in low resource settings where electronic prescribing systems are not feasible. Our finding that new error types occur highlights the need to monitor any new medication prescribing system for unintended consequences. By working closely with physicians and pharmacists, design and process modifications can be made to minimise this concern, in addition to providing adequate training for users.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Srinagarind Hospital, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand

²Ophthalmic Consultants of Boston, Center for Eye Research and Education, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

³Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁴Department of Global Health and Social Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁵Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Acknowledgements We thank the WHO Patient Safety Research Small Grants for funding and technical support. All views expressed in this presentation are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the WHO.

Contributors TS (principle investigator): project design, oversight, implementation and manuscript preparation. MGM (co-investigator): project design, oversight and data analysis. YY (co-investigator): project oversight and implementation. AL: project design and implementation. BH: statistical analysis and manuscript preparation. KM (co-investigator): project design, oversight, data analysis and manuscript preparation.

Funding This work was supported by the WHO Patient Safety Research Small Grants (PS08169 to TS) and the Center for Eye Research and Education.

Competing interests None.

Patient consent Informed consent was obtained from all patients and staff participating in the study.

Ethics approval All procedures and protocols were approved by the Khon Kaen University Ethics Committee for Human Research and the Research Ethics Review Committee of the WHO.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement There are no additional data available.

REFERENCES

1. Gandhi TK, Weingart SN, Seger AC, *et al*. Outpatient prescribing errors and the impact of computerized prescribing. *J Gen Intern Med* 2005;20:837–41.
2. Mandal K, Fraser SG. The incidence of prescribing errors in an eye hospital. *BMC Ophthalmol* 2005;225:4.
3. Kozer E, Scolnik D, MacPherson A, *et al*. Using a preprinted order sheet to reduce prescription errors in a pediatric emergency department: a randomized, controlled trial. *Pediatrics* 2005;116:1299–302.
4. Al Khaja KA, Al-Ansari TM, Sequeira RP. An evaluation of prescribing errors in primary care in Bahrain. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2005;43:294–301.
5. Alam K, Mishra P, Prabhu M, *et al*. A study on rational drug prescribing and dispensing in outpatients in a tertiary care teaching hospital of Western Nepal. *Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ)* 2006;4:436–43.
6. Biswas NR, Jindal S, Siddiquei MM, *et al*. Patterns of prescription and drug use in ophthalmology in a tertiary hospital in Delhi. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2001;51:267–9.
7. Hazra A, Tripathi SK, Alam MS. Prescribing and dispensing activities at the health facilities of a non-governmental organization. *Natl Med J India* 2000;13:177–82.
8. Irshaid YM, Al Homrany M, Hamdi AA, *et al*. Compliance with good practice in prescription writing at outpatient clinics in Saudi Arabia. *East Mediterr Health J* 2005;11:922–8.
9. Oshikoya KA, Ojo OI. Medication errors in paediatric outpatient prescriptions of a teaching hospital in Nigeria. *Nig Q J Hosp Med* 2007;17:74–8.
10. Meyer TA. Improving the quality of the order-writing process for inpatient orders and outpatient prescriptions. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 2000;57(Suppl 4):S18–22.
11. Shaughnessy AF, Nickel RO. Prescription-writing patterns and errors in a family medicine residency program. *J Fam Pract* 1989;29:290–5.

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cross-sectional studies*

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses
Methods		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses
Results		
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses

Discussion		
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results
Other information		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.