understand why and the potential impact this might have on widening pre-existing health inequalities.

Our Food Improvement Goals in Schools (FIGS) study is a focused ethnography exploring school-based food provision in ten primary schools in Tower Hamlets. It evaluates a new council initiative ‘Fantastic Food in Schools’ designed to improve and increase uptake of free school meals and promote whole school approaches to healthy food. FIGS sits within the UKPRP funded ActEarly programme, aiming to tackle child health inequalities through upstream interventions.

Beyond empirical findings, in this paper we reflect on the essential collaborative groundwork that enriches this project through the lens of knowledge encounters. Knowledge encounters represent inclusive interactions reflecting different sources and forms of knowledges in a partnership. We highlight how relational dynamics in our case are based on flexibility and commitment to make use of a shared pool of ‘insider-on-the-ground experience’ with ‘outsider-conceptual-evidence-based’ knowledges which permeate and enrich how we navigate various spaces. We argue these encounters are instrumental for research aimed at informing policy and practice, whilst ensuring that qualitative insights resonate with the communities we aim to serve. This work is timely in light of the Mayor of London’s recent extension of the universal free school meal offer to all primary school children across the city.
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*Background* There is a requirement for health and care systems and services to work on an equitable basis with people who use and provide integrated care. In response, co-production has become popular in the design and transformation of services. An array of approaches have been implemented to achieve this. This presentation explores how an exceptional combination of methods were used to systematically review global publications of co-production within integrated care.

*Methods* This systematic review used meta-ethnography with input from a patient and public involvement (PPI) advisory group comprising six members representing service users, family carers and the workforce. Meta-ethnography can generate theories by interpreting patterns between studies set in different contexts. Nine academic and four grey literature databases were searched for publications between 2012–2022. Data were extracted, analysed, translated and interpreted using the seven phases of meta-ethnography, with involvement of the advisory group throughout.

*Results* A total of 2,097 studies were identified. 10 met the inclusion criteria. Studies demonstrated a variety of integrated care provisions for diverse populations. The methods applied organically drew out new interpretations, namely an additional step on the ‘ladder of co-production’ is proposed; a cyclic co-delivery framework for application within integrated care.

*Conclusions* The use of meta-ethnography with input from an advisory group was successful in ensuring perceptions from lived experience and operational viewpoints were integral to the systematic review decisions and findings. Co-production was most effective through person-centred design, innovative planning, and collaboration. Key impacts on service transformation were structural changes, accessibility, and acceptability of service delivery. The cyclic co-delivery framework has the potential to enable better-sustained person-centred integrated care services.

**Open, Closed, and In-Between: A Worked Example of and Reflections on Data-Sharing Practices in Qualitative Interview Research**

Ann-Marie Creaven, Emma Kirwan. University of Limerick, Ireland

*Background* Modern open science initiatives have implications for qualitative researchers, particularly in relation to ‘open’ data.

*Aim* We describe the process of data-sharing for a qualitative interview study, to make transparent our thinking and decision-making around open data for our particular project.

*Methods* The initial project aimed to explore perspectives of young adults on what precipitates and maintains feelings of loneliness among this age group. Participants did not necessarily need to discuss personal experiences of loneliness. 27 virtual interviews were conducted with young adults between 18 and 25 years.

*Results* 26 participants consented to make their anonymised transcripts available via the Irish Qualitative Data Archive; 24 transcripts were archived. One excluded transcript contained very rich descriptions of niche social activities and rare life events that could identify the participant. However, redacting these details meant that there was scant information left, and what was left was divorced from the rich context in which it was provided. For a further transcript, the participant had a relatively rare combination of demographic characteristics. These, combined with the detailed discussion of participants’ own characteristics and activities in the interview, meant that risk of identification of the participant was too great, even if access was restricted to educational and research use. Interestingly, one participant (whose transcript was archived) used no identifying information that definitively merited redaction or replacement, suggesting that even when participants consent to data archiving, this might shape the way in which they engage with the interview.

*Conclusions* Data-sharing is more complex than ‘open’ or ‘closed’. Purposeful consideration of the why and how of data-sharing can support researchers to make optimal use of participant data while being mindful of the ways in which data sharing can shape the context of qualitative data collection.
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*Background* There is a requirement for health and care services to work on an equitable basis with people who use and provide integrated care. In response, co-production has become popular in the design and transformation of services. An array of approaches have been implemented to achieve this. This presentation explores how an exceptional combination of methods were used to systematically review global publications of co-production within integrated care.

*Methods* This systematic review used meta-ethnography with input from a patient and public involvement (PPI) advisory group comprising six members representing service users, family carers and the workforce. Meta-ethnography can generate theories by interpreting patterns between studies set in different contexts. Nine academic and four grey literature databases were searched for publications between 2012–2022. Data were extracted, analysed, translated and interpreted using the seven phases of meta-ethnography, with involvement of the advisory group throughout.

*Results* A total of 2,097 studies were identified. 10 met the inclusion criteria. Studies demonstrated a variety of integrated care provisions for diverse populations. The methods applied organically drew out new interpretations, namely an additional step on the ‘ladder of co-production’ is proposed; a cyclic co-delivery framework for application within integrated care.

*Conclusions* The use of meta-ethnography with input from an advisory group was successful in ensuring perceptions from lived experience and operational viewpoints were integral to the systematic review decisions and findings. Co-production was most effective through person-centred design, innovative planning, and collaboration. Key impacts on service transformation were structural changes, accessibility, and acceptability of service delivery. The cyclic co-delivery framework has the potential to enable better-sustained person-centred integrated care services.