

Appendix A: SRQR Checklist

Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research (SRQR)

O'Brien B.C., Harris, I.B., Beckman, T.J., Reed, D.A., & Cook, D.A. (2014). Standards for reporting qualitative research: a synthesis of recommendations. *Academic Medicine*, 89(9), 1245-1251.

No.	Topic	Item	Page and Lines
Title and abstract			
S1	Title	Concise description of the nature and topic of the study identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended	Page 1, Line 1
S2	Abstract	Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes objective, methods, results, and conclusions	Page 2, Line 1
Introduction			
S3	Problem formulation	Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	Page 5, Line 9
S4	Purpose or research question	Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	Page 5, Line 11
Methods			
S5	Qualitative approach and research paradigm	Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., positivist, constructivist/interpretivist) is also recommended	Page 5, Line 18
S6	Researcher characteristics and reflexivity	Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions,	Page 7, Line 21 throughout to Page 8, Line 16

	approach, methods, results, or transferability	
S7 Context	Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale ^a	Page 6, Line 8
S8 Sampling strategy	How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale ^a	Page 6, Line 1
S9 Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects	Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues	Page 6, Line 17
S10 Data collection methods	Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale ^a	Page 7, Line 2
S11 Data collection instruments and technologies	Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study	Page 7, Line 4
S12 Units of study	Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)	Page 9, Table 1
S13 Data processing	Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/deidentification of excerpts	Page 8, Line 5
S14 Data analysis	Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale ^a	Page 7, Line 21
S15 Techniques to enhance trustworthiness	Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale ^a	Page 8, Line 19
Results/Findings		
S16 Synthesis and interpretation	Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory	Page 9-15
S17 Links to empirical data	Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate	Page 9-15

	analytic findings	
Discussion		
S18 Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field	Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	Page 15-19
S19 Limitations	Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	Page 17-18
Other		
S20 Conflicts of interest	Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	No conflicts of interest
S21 Funding	Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	Funding received

^aThe rationale should briefly discuss the justification for choosing that theory, approach, method, or technique rather than other options available, the assumptions and limitations implicit in those choices, and how those choices influence study conclusions and transferability. As appropriate, the rationale for several items might be discussed together.