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Long-term efficacy and safety of erenumab in Japanese patients with episodic and chronic migraine: results from a 28-week open-label treatment period of a randomised trial
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ABSTRACT

Objectives To evaluate the 1-year efficacy and safety of once-monthly erenumab 70 mg following a 24-week double-blind treatment period (DBTP) of a phase III randomised study of Japanese patients with episodic migraine (EM) or chronic migraine (CM).

Design Multicentre open-label study.

Setting A total of 41 centres in Japan.

Participants Patients completing the DBTP continued into the 28-week open-label treatment period (OLTP). 254 of 261 (97.3%) randomised patients continued into the OLTP; 244 (93.5%) completed treatment.

Interventions Once-monthly subcutaneous erenumab 70 mg.

Main outcome measures Changes from baseline in monthly migraine days (MMD) and monthly acute migraine-specific medication treatment days (MSMD) reported via patient eDiary; proportion of ≥50% and ≥75% responders in MMD reduction from baseline; incidence and exposure-adjusted incidence of treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs).

Results At week 24 of the DBTP, the mean (SE) change from baseline in MMD for the erenumab group was −3.8 (0.4) days (EM, −3.0 (0.4); CM, −5.2 (0.8)); in MSMD, −2.6 (0.4) days (EM, −2.1 (0.4); CM, −3.4 (0.7)). At the end of the OLTP (52 weeks postbaseline), the mean (SE) change from baseline in MMD was −4.7 (0.3) days (EM, −3.4 (0.3); CM, −6.9 (0.6)); in MSMD, −3.3 (0.3) days (EM, −2.4 (0.3); CM, −4.6 (0.5)). The proportion of ≥50% responders for MMD reduction in the erenumab group was 34.1% at week 24; 44.4% at week 52. The exposure-adjusted incidence of TEAEs was 219.7 per 100 patient-years during the OLTP (DBTP, 251.0 for the erenumab group). The most common TEAEs during the OLTP were nasopharyngitis, constipation and influenza. No new safety concerns were identified.

Conclusions Erenumab treatment was associated with reduced migraine frequency in Japanese patients with EM or CM for up to 1 year. Overall safety results from the OLTP were consistent with DBTP results.

Trial registration number NCT03812224.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

⇒ While the 28-week open-label treatment period (OLTP) was short relative to other studies in non-Japanese patients, this study represents the longest follow-up time with erenumab in Japanese patients with chronic migraine.

⇒ Patients and study staff remained blinded to assignment (placebo or erenumab) in double-blind treatment period (DBTP) during OLTP.

⇒ Reporting exposure-adjusted rates normalises the rates of adverse events occurring during the DBTP and OLTP to equal exposure periods (ie, events per 100 patient-years), and thus allows for proper comparison between the DBTP and OLTP.

⇒ The OLTP of this study lacked a comparator arm.

INTRODUCTION

Migraine is a common neurological disease worldwide and a leading cause of disability associated with significant personal and societal effects.1–3 In Japan, 6%–8% of the population is affected by migraine, which places a substantial burden on patients and society related to quality of life, work productivity and costs.4–7 Because of concerns related to inadequate efficacy and poor tolerability, the use of standard of care oral preventive medications is low and is associated with high rates of discontinuation.6 8–11 Therefore, there is an unmet need for new migraine preventive medications.

Erenumab (erenumab-aooe in the USA), a fully human monoclonal antibody against the calcitonin gene-related peptide receptor, has been approved for the preventive treatment of adult migraine in over 70 countries worldwide, including the USA (2018), Europe (2018) and Japan (2021).12–15 The sustained efficacy and safety of erenumab...
METHODS

Study design
This multicentre (41 centres across Japan), 28-week OLTP followed a 24-week, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III study of once-monthly erenumab 70 mg in patients with EM or CM in Japan (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT03812224) (online supplemental Figure S1; online supplemental table S1). Patients who completed the DBTP in each treatment group were eligible to participate in the OLTP and receive once-monthly erenumab 70 mg during a 28-week open-label treatment period (OLTP) after a 24-week double-blind treatment period (DBTP) of a phase III study, which demonstrated favourable efficacy and safety results for erenumab 70 mg in EM and CM.20

Endpoints and assessments
Efficacy outcomes during the OLTP included changes from baseline in MMD and monthly acute migraine-specific medication treatment days (MSMD), and the proportion of patients who achieved at least a 50% or 75% reduction in MMD from baseline.

Patient and public involvement statement
No patients or public representatives were involved in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination efforts of the study results.

Patients
Patients who completed the DBTP (parent study) in each treatment group were eligible to participate in the OLTP and receive once-monthly erenumab 70 mg. Japanese patients aged 20 through 65 years with a history of migraine with or without aura (based on medical records or patient self-report) for at least 12 months before screening, as defined in the third edition of the International Classification of Headache Disorders of the IHS, and a diagnosis of EM (<15 headache days/month, ≥4 monthly migraine days (MMD)) or CM (≥15 headache days/month, ≥8MMD) over the 3 months before screening, were included. Patients had to demonstrate at least 80% compliance with the eDiary during the baseline period prior to the DBTP. A detailed description of the eligibility criteria in the parent study has been described previously.20

End-of-study doses of erenumab were titrated to maintain efficacy and safety results for erenumab 70 mg in EM and CM.20

Sustained efficacy and safety of erenumab for up to 2 years in Japanese patients with EM have also been demonstrated.21

Here, we report on the long-term (up to 1 year) efficacy, safety and tolerability of once-monthly erenumab 70 mg during a 28-week open-label treatment period (OLTP) after a 24-week double-blind treatment period (DBTP) of a phase III study, which demonstrated favourable efficacy and safety results for erenumab 70 mg in EM and CM.20

This multicentre (41 centres across Japan), 28-week OLTP followed a 24-week, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III study of once-monthly erenumab 70 mg in patients with EM or CM in Japan (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT03812224) (online supplemental Figure S1; online supplemental table S1). Patients who completed the DBTP in each treatment group were eligible to participate in the OLTP and receive once-monthly erenumab 70 mg. The first patient entered the OLTP on 2 October 2019, and the last patient ended the OLTP on 20 November 2020. Randomisation was stratified by migraine status (EM or CM) and migraine preventive treatment status (ever used or never used) and was assigned by the sponsor using an interactive response technology system. During the DBTP, patients received once-monthly erenumab 70 mg or placebo in a 1:1 ratio; in the OLTP, all patients received once-monthly erenumab 70 mg. The study conforms to the guidelines set by the International Council for Harmonisation for Good Clinical Practice and by the Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency (PMDA). The study was designed according to the European Medicines Agency guideline on Clinical Investigation of Medicinal Products for the Treatment of Migraine, the International Headache Society (IHS) Guidelines for Controlled Trials of Drugs in Migraine, and advice given by the PMDA.22 23

Patient and public involvement statement
No patients or public representatives were involved in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination efforts of the study results.

Patients
Patients who completed the DBTP (parent study) in each treatment group were eligible to participate in the OLTP and receive once-monthly erenumab 70 mg. Japanese patients aged 20 through 65 years with a history of migraine with or without aura (based on medical records or patient self-report) for at least 12 months before screening, as defined in the third edition of the International Classification of Headache Disorders of the IHS, and a diagnosis of EM (<15 headache days/month, ≥4 monthly migraine days (MMD)) or CM (≥15 headache days/month, ≥8MMD) over the 3 months before screening, were included. Patients had to demonstrate at least 80% compliance with the eDiary during the baseline period prior to the DBTP. A detailed description of the eligibility criteria in the parent study has been described previously.20

Endpoints and assessments
Efficacy outcomes during the OLTP included changes from baseline in MMD and monthly acute migraine-specific medication treatment days (MSMD), and the proportion of patients who achieved at least a 50% or 75% reduction in MMD from baseline.

Patients used an eDiary to report clinical outcome assessments daily during weeks 33–36 and weeks 49–52. Clinical outcome assessments included the date and time of headache start and end; the worst pain severity of the headache; pain features (eg, one-sided, throbbing, worsens with exercise/physical activity); associated symptoms (eg, aura, nausea, vomiting, photophobia, phonophobia), and use of acute headache medication. A migraine day was defined as a migraine (with or without aura) that lasted for at least 4 hours and had at least two of the following pain features: unilateral, throbbing, moderate to severe or exacerbated with exercise or physical activity; or was associated with nausea, vomiting or phonophobia and phonophobia. A migraine day also included a day in which a patient took a migraine-specific medication during aura or to treat a headache regardless of the duration and associated symptoms. A qualified headache day was a day characterised by onset, continuation or recurrence of a headache and met one of the following criteria: a migraine headache treated with acute migraine-specific medication, a non-migraine headache that lasted for at least 4 hours, or a headache for which acute headache treatment was used. An acute migraine-specific medication treatment day was defined as any day during which migraine-specific medication was used.

Safety endpoints included the incidence and exposure-adjusted incidence of treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs), clinical laboratory values and vital signs, and the incidence of anti-erenumab antibodies. Exposure-adjusted rates (per 100 patient-years) were calculated by dividing the number of patients with at least one reported occurrence of the TEAE of interest by the total time at risk for reporting the TEAE (patient-year) multiplied by 100. The time at risk was defined as the time from the first dose of erenumab to the onset of the TEAE or the end of study date. Reporting exposure-adjusted rates normalises the rates of adverse events occurring during the DBTP and OLTP to equal exposure periods (ie, events per 100 patient-years), and thus allows for a proper comparison between the DBTP and OLTP.

Table 1  Baseline demographics and characteristics of the OLTP population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total (N=254)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD), years</td>
<td>44.3 (9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, female, n (%)</td>
<td>220 (86.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migraine type*, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>155 (61.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>99 (39.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migraine preventive treatment use, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever used (including prior and/or current users)</td>
<td>197 (77.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never used</td>
<td>57 (22.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMD, mean (SE)</td>
<td>12.2 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSMD, mean (SE)</td>
<td>9.4 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHD, mean (SE)</td>
<td>13.8 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=number of patients in the analysis set. n=number of patients with observed data.

*Based on actual data collected instead of randomisation stratification.

CM, chronic migraine; EM, episodic migraine; MHD, monthly headache days; MMD, monthly migraine days; MSMD, monthly acute migraine-specific medication treatment days; OLTP, open-label treatment period; SE, standard error of the mean.

Statistical analysis

Analysis was performed after all patients had completed safety follow-up at the end of the study and included patients who received at least one dose of erenumab 70 mg in the OLTP. Efficacy and safety data were tabulated by the double-blind treatment group. Efficacy endpoints were analysed by using descriptive statistics based on observed data without imputation and were tabulated by visit. No formal testing was conducted. Patient incidence and exposure-adjusted incidence of TEAEs were tabulated by treatment group and by system organ class and preferred term. All analyses were performed using SAS System V.9.4 (SAS Institute).

RESULTS

Patients

Of the 261 patients enrolled and randomised in the parent study (erenumab 70 mg, n=130; placebo, n=131), 254 (97.3%) entered the OLTP and received at least one dose of the investigational product (IP) and 244 (95.5%) completed the IP. Ten patients (3.8%) discontinued the IP for the following reasons: patient request (n=4; 1.5%), COVID-19 control measures (n=4; 1.5%), adverse event (n=1; 0.4%) and pregnancy (n=1; 0.4%) (online supplementary figure S2). In the OLTP population, the mean age of patients was 44.3 years, 86.6% were female, and the majority (77.6%) had used or were using migraine preventive treatment at baseline (table 1).

Efficacy

In the OLTP population (N=254; EM, n=155; CM, n=99), the mean (SE of the mean (SE)) MMD at baseline was 12.2 (0.4) days (EM, 8.3 (0.2) days; CM, 18.2 (0.4) days) and the mean (SE) MSMD was 9.4 (0.4) days (EM, 6.8 (0.3) days; CM, 13.6 (0.6) days) (table 1). At the end of the DBTP at week 24, the mean (SE) change from baseline in MMD for the erenumab 70 mg group was –3.8 (0.4) days (EM, –3.0 (0.4) days; CM, –5.2 (0.8) days) and –1.7 (0.5) days for the placebo group; at the end of the OLTP at week 52, the mean (SE) change was –4.7 (0.3) days (EM, –3.4 (0.3); CM, –6.9 (0.6)) (figure 1, table 2).

At the end of the DBTP at week 24, the mean (SE) change from baseline in MSMD for the erenumab 70 mg group was –2.6 (0.4) days (EM, –2.1 (0.4); CM, –3.4 (0.7)) and –0.7 (0.4) days for the placebo group; at the end of the OLTP at week 52, the mean (SE) change was –3.3 (0.3) days (EM, –2.4 (0.3) days; CM, –4.6 (0.5) days) (figure 1, table 2). Throughout the 28-week OLTP, erenumab 70 mg demonstrated persistent efficacy in MMD and MSMD reduction in patients with EM or CM.

At week 24 of the DBTP, the proportion of patients who achieved at least a 50% reduction in MMD from baseline was 34.1% with erenumab 70 mg (EM, 39.7%; CM, 25.5%) and 19.1% with placebo (figure 2, table 2). The response was maintained and numerically higher throughout the OLTP than it was during the DBTP. At week 36 of the OLTP, 52.8% of the patients achieved the 50% threshold for MMD reduction (EM, 58.8%; CM, 43.0%); at week 52, it was 44.4% (EM, 46.3%; CM, 41.7%). The results were similar for patients responding at the 75% threshold for MMD reduction (figure 2).

Safety

The mean (SD) exposure to erenumab 70 mg during the OLTP was 192.6 (20.0) days (total exposure to open-label treatment, 133.9 patient-years). The majority of patients (92.1%) received all seven doses of erenumab 70 mg during the OLTP.

During the OLTP, the incidence of TEAEs was 71.3% (181/254) (table 3). The exposure-adjusted incidence of TEAEs during the OLTP was 219.7 per 100 patient-years, which is similar to that in the erenumab group (251.0 per 100 patient-years) and in the placebo group (197.7 per 100 patient-years) during the DBTP. The majority of patients (62.2% (158/254)) experienced TEAEs of grade 2 or less. The most common (≥5 per 100 patient-years) TEAEs reported with erenumab (OLTP vs DBTP) were nasopharyngitis (32.8 vs 67.2 per 100 patient-years), constipation (7.8 vs 10.3 per 100 patient-years), influenza (6.6 vs 1.7 per 100 patient-years), gastroenteritis (6.5 vs 6.8 per 100 patient-years) and urticaria (5.9 vs 1.7 per 100 patient-years). Seven patients (2.8%) reported serious adverse events with erenumab during the OLTP, corresponding to an exposure-adjusted rate of 4.1 per 100 patient-years, which is similar to the rate reported during the DBTP in each treatment group (3.4 per 100 patient-years). During the OLTP, one
Figure 1  Change in (A) MMD and (B) MSMD from baseline. The mean (SE) change from baseline in MMD and MSMD during the DBTP and OLTP is shown for the treatment groups. For the OLTP, data are shown for the total population. The dotted line indicates that patients in the placebo group during the DBTP received erenumab 70 mg during the OLTP starting at week 24. *The number of patients in the efficacy analysis set during the DBTP. Error bars represent SE. n=number of patients with observed data. DBTP, double-blind treatment period; MMD, monthly migraine days; MSMD, monthly acute migraine-specific medication treatment days; OLTP, open-label treatment period.
patient with a serious adverse event discontinued treatment because of a grade 3 serious adverse event of drug eruption, which was considered by the investigator to be unrelated to erenumab treatment. No deaths were reported during the study. No clinically significant changes in laboratory values or vital signs were observed throughout the OLTP.

Of the 254 patients in the OLTP, 9 (3.5%) developed antierenumab binding antibodies for the first time (negative or no result before the first OLTP dose), which is consistent with that observed during the DBTP (5.4%) (table 3). Of the nine patients who were positive for binding antibodies during the OLTP, six received placebo during the DBTP and three received erenumab during the DBTP and the OLTP. During the entire study, 16 patients (6.3%) developed antierenumab binding antibodies after erenumab treatment, of which 6 (37.5%) had transient antibodies (negative result at the last assessment). No patients developed antierenumab neutralising antibodies.

**Table 2** Efficacy results during the OLTP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EM (N=155)</th>
<th>CM (N=99)</th>
<th>Total (N=254)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change from baseline in MMD, mean (SE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 24*</td>
<td>n=78</td>
<td>n=51</td>
<td>n=129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−3.0 (0.4)</td>
<td>−5.2 (0.8)</td>
<td>−3.8 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 36</td>
<td>n=153</td>
<td>n=93</td>
<td>n=246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−3.7 (0.3)</td>
<td>−8.0 (0.6)</td>
<td>−5.3 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 52</td>
<td>n=147</td>
<td>n=96</td>
<td>n=243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−3.4 (0.3)</td>
<td>−6.9 (0.6)</td>
<td>−4.7 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from baseline in MSMD, mean (SE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 24*</td>
<td>n=78</td>
<td>n=51</td>
<td>n=129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−2.1 (0.4)</td>
<td>−3.4 (0.7)</td>
<td>−2.6 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 36</td>
<td>n=153</td>
<td>n=93</td>
<td>n=246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−2.8 (0.3)</td>
<td>−5.2 (0.5)</td>
<td>−3.7 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 52</td>
<td>n=147</td>
<td>n=96</td>
<td>n=243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−2.4 (0.3)</td>
<td>−4.6 (0.5)</td>
<td>−3.3 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achievement of ≥50% MMD response, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 24*</td>
<td>n=78</td>
<td>n=51</td>
<td>n=129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31 (39.7)</td>
<td>13 (25.5)</td>
<td>44 (34.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 36</td>
<td>n=153</td>
<td>n=93</td>
<td>n=246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90 (58.8)</td>
<td>40 (43.0)</td>
<td>130 (52.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 52</td>
<td>n=147</td>
<td>n=96</td>
<td>n=243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68 (46.3)</td>
<td>40 (41.7)</td>
<td>108 (44.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Efficacy by EM and CM subgroups at week 24 of the DBTP and during the OLTP. N=number of patients in the open-label analysis set; n=number of patients with observed data.

*Data are shown for patients in the erenumab 70 mg group at week 24 of the DBTP in the efficacy analysis set.

CM, chronic migraine; DBTP, double-blind treatment period; EM, episodic migraine; MMD, monthly migraine days; MSMD, monthly acute migraine-specific medication treatment days; OLTP, open-label treatment period; SE, standard error of the mean.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this 28-week OLTP study of erenumab 70 mg in Japanese patients with EM or CM demonstrated a persistence of efficacy for up to 1 year and a safety profile similar to that reported during the DBTP. From week 24 of the DBTP to the end of the OLTP at week 52, the reduction from baseline in MMD and MSMD, and the proportion of ≥50% and ≥75% responders in MMD reduction were maintained.

The incidence and exposure-adjusted incidence of TEAEs during the OLTP were consistent with those from the DBTP and previous studies, except for influenza and urticaria, which were numerically higher during the OLTP than they were during the DBTP. Furthermore, although the exposure-adjusted rates of constipation during the OLTP (7.8 per 100 patient-years) were consistent with those during the DBTP (10.0 per 100 patient-years), they were higher than those reported during the OLTP of the phase II study in Japanese patients with EM (2.6 per 100 patient-years). The development of antierenumab antibodies in 6.3% patients over the entire study was consistent with the 5.8% seen in the global CM OLE study and was lower than the 13.1% in the global EM OLE study. Neutralising antibodies were uncommon in the global studies and were not observed in this study. In addition, no new safety concerns regarding clinically relevant changes in laboratory assessments and vital signs were identified throughout the OLTP. Of the patients who entered the OLTP, 3.9% discontinued IP including I for an AE. The high proportion of patients completing erenumab treatment through both DBTP and OLTP (93.5%) reflects the excellent tolerability and sustained efficacy. In the pivotal topiramate trials, 28.7% of participants withdrew during the 8-month OLE, more than 40% of these due to AEs. The high retention rate also reduces the potential for bias that may be seen in open-label extension studies where patients may drop out for diminished efficacy, thus skewing the efficacy results over time.

The OLTP of this study was non-randomised and lacked a comparator arm, thus limiting the ability to distinguish study drug-specific effects on efficacy and safety from other factors. In addition, the duration of the 28-week OLTP was short relative to some other studies in non-Japanese patients. However, the study does represent the longest follow-up experience with erenumab in Japanese patients with CM and shows long-term efficacy and safety that are comparable to that seen in a global long-term study of erenumab in patients with CM. In the global CM study, the reduction in MMD and MSMD after 52 weeks for the erenumab 70 mg group was −7.8 days and −5.8 days, respectively; 47.4% of the patients achieved at least a 50% reduction from baseline in MMD. In the global EM study, the reduction in MMD and MSMD after the 52-week open-label period (study week 64) for the erenumab 70 mg group was −5.0 days and −2.4, respectively; 65% of the patients achieved at least a 50% reduction from baseline in MMD. This is comparable to the
Figure 2  Patients achieving a (A) ≥50% and (B) ≥75% reduction in MMD from baseline. For the OLTP, data are shown for the total population. The dotted line indicates that patients in the placebo group during the DBTP received erenumab 70 mg during the OLTP starting at week 24. *The number of patients in the efficacy analysis set during the DBTP. n = number of patients with observed data. DBTP, double-blind treatment period; MMD, monthly migraine days; OLTP, open-label treatment period.
 reductions in MMD and MSMD in this study at overall week 52 of −4.74 days and −3.26, respectively; 44.4% of the patients achieved at least a 50% reduction from baseline in MMD. These data support long-term treatment with erenumab in Japanese patients with EM and CM.

CONCLUSION

Treatment with erenumab was associated with a reduction in migraine frequency that was maintained for up to 1 year in Japanese patients with EM or CM. Erenumab had a safety profile similar to that observed in the DBTP; no new safety signals were identified during the OLTP.
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Table 3  Safety results during the DBTP and OLTP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DBTP</th>
<th>Erenumab 70 mg</th>
<th>OLTP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo (N=131)</td>
<td>Erenumab (N=130)</td>
<td>Total (N=254)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All TEAEs, n (%) (r)</td>
<td>78 (59.5) (197.7)</td>
<td>86 (66.2) (251.0)</td>
<td>181 (71.3) (219.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade ≥2</td>
<td>67 (51.1) (159.2)</td>
<td>72 (55.4) (180.6)</td>
<td>158 (62.2) (159.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade ≥3</td>
<td>2 (1.5) (3.4)</td>
<td>4 (3.1) (6.8)</td>
<td>12 (4.7) (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious AEs</td>
<td>2 (1.5) (3.4)</td>
<td>2 (1.5) (3.4)</td>
<td>7 (2.8) (4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading to IP discontinuation</td>
<td>0 (0.0) (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0) (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (0.4) (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatal AEs</td>
<td>0 (0.0) (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0) (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0) (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade ≥3</td>
<td>2 (1.5) (3.4)</td>
<td>2 (1.5) (3.4)</td>
<td>7 (2.8) (4.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N is number of patients in the analysis set; n is number of patients with at least one occurrence of a TEAE or number of patients who developed anti-erenumab antibodies; n’ is patients with a postbaseline result during the DBTP or OLTP; r is exposure-adjusted patient incidence rate per 100 patient-years.

*A negative result was reported at the patient’s last time point within the study period.
†A negative result was reported at the patient’s last time point within the study period.
‡A negative result was reported at the patient’s last time point within the study period.
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