Global, regional and national burden of inflammatory bowel disease in 204 countries and territories from 1990 to 2019: a systematic analysis based on the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019
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ABSTRACT

Objectives We aimed to provide the most updated estimates on the global burden of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) to improve management strategies.

Design We extracted data from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) 2019 database to evaluate IBD burden with different measures in 204 countries and territories from 1990 to 2019.

Setting Studies from the GBD 2019 database generated by population-representative data sources identified through a literature review and research collaborations were included.

Participants Patients with an IBD diagnosis.

Outcomes Total numbers, age-standardised rates of prevalence, mortality and disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs), and their estimated annual percentage changes (EAPCs) were the main outcomes.

Results In 2019, there were approximately 4.9 million cases of IBD worldwide, with China and the USA having the highest number of cases (914,050 and 762,890 (66.9 and 245.3 cases per 100,000 people, respectively)). Between 1990 and 2019, the global age-standardised rates of prevalence, deaths and DALYs decreased (EAPCs = –0.66, –0.69 and –1.04, respectively). However, the age-standardised prevalence rate increased in 13 out of 21 GBD regions. A total of 147 out of 204 countries or territories experienced an increase in the age-standardised prevalence rate. From 1990 to 2019, IBD prevalent cases, deaths and DALYs were higher among females than among males. A higher Socio-demographic Index was associated with higher age-standardised prevalence rates.

Conclusions IBD will continue to be a major public health burden due to increasing numbers of prevalent cases, deaths and DALYs. The epidemiological trends and disease burden of IBD have changed dramatically at the regional and national levels, so understanding these changes would be beneficial for policy makers to tackle IBD.

INTRODUCTION

Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs), including Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis, are debilitating and chronic inflammatory disorders of the gastrointestinal tract that have no cure. IBD is characterised by a relapsing-remitting course and a heterogeneous clinical presentation that includes episodes of abdominal pain, chronic diarrhoea, rectal bleeding and weight loss, which are often associated with systemic symptoms such as fatigue and occasional fever. Because IBD can cause significant morbidity and lead to complications such as strictures, fistulas, infections and cancer, this disorder leads to substantial healthcare costs and places great stress on healthcare systems. Although the cause of IBD remains unknown, the pathogenesis of IBD is suggested to be associated with genetic susceptibility of the host, intestinal microbiota, other environmental factors (eg, diet, smoking and physiological stress), and immunological abnormalities.

Traditionally, IBD has been regarded as a disease of the Western world; however, studies over the last two decades have shown a rapidly increasing incidence in newly industrialised
countries in the Middle East, Asia and South America. Conversely, IBD incidence rates appeared to plateau in the Western world in the 21st century. These substantial changes highlight the need for a comparable, consistent and systematic analysis of disease burden and trends concerning IBD in different regions and countries, which can be used to provide convincing evidence for rational allocation of resources and healthcare planning in reducing the global burden of IBD.

The Global Burden of Disease (GBD) Study 2019, a systematic worldwide epidemiological study, assessed the prevalence, morbidity, mortality and disability of 369 diseases by location, sex, age and year, which provides a unique opportunity to understand the state of IBD. The purpose of this study was to use data from the GBD 2019 study to determine the global, regional and national burden of IBD across 204 countries and territories from 1990 to 2019 by age, sex and Socio-demographic Index (SDI). Our study provides updated estimates on global IBD epidemiology and provides data on some regions not assessed previously or data-scarce locations, of which estimates mainly rely on predictive covariates or global trends with consideration of SDI level and data from a single country.

METHODS

The general methodology of GBD 2019 has been reported in detail in previous publications. In brief, the GBD Study collected data from censuses, household surveys, civil registration and vital statistics, disease registries, health service use, satellite imaging, disease notifications and other sources. A Bayesian meta-regression modelling tool, DisMod-MR 2.1, was used to model and derive estimates of the burden under several conditions in 204 countries and territories from 1990 to 2019. The model included all of the above available information for each disease and applied a correcting process for known bias to derive country-specific estimates of prevalence and burden of diseases, which have been described in previous studies. Uncertainty intervals (UIs) were generated for every metric using the 25th and 75th ordered 1000 draw values of the posterior distribution.

We extracted yearly crude and age-standardised estimates of different measures of the burden of IBD from the GBD database from 1990 to 2019 and the respective 95% UIs via the Global Health Data Exchange query tool (http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool). For the GBD 2019 assessment, IBD was defined according to the 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10 codes: K50–K51.319, K51.5–K52, K52.8–K52.9). The variables obtained from the database included prevalent cases, number of deaths, number of disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs), number of years of life lost (YLL), number of years lived with disability (YLD) and their corresponding age-standardised rates (ASRs) at the global, regional and national levels. The prevalence rate (per 100 000 people) was defined as aggregated cases (including new cases and previously diagnosed cases) divided by the population size; the mortality rate (per 100 000 people) was defined as the number of annual deaths divided by the total population size and DALYs were calculated as the sum of YLL and YLD. These data were stratified by age (1–4, 5–9, every 5-year age group up to 95 years and 95 years and older), calendar year (1990–2019), region and country (or territory). Geographically, the world was classified into 21 regions. Moreover, 204 countries and territories were divided into five categories according to the SDI. The SDI was constructed based on the geometric mean of three indicators: the total fertility rate, income per capita and average years of school among people aged 15 years or older, ranging from zero to one. The larger the SDI, the more developed the country.

Estimated average percentage changes (EAPCs) were used to evaluate trends in the ASRs of prevalence, deaths and DALYs over a specific period. The natural logarithm of the ASR was assumed to be linear along with time; that is, \( y = a + b \times x + \varepsilon \), where \( y = \ln (\text{rate}) \), \( x = \text{calendar year} \) and \( \varepsilon = \text{error term} \). Based on this formula, \( b \) represents the positive or negative ASR trends. The EAPC was calculated as \( 100 \times (\exp (b) − 1) \), and its 95% CI could also be calculated from this model. The age-standardised indicator was recognised to be experiencing an upward trend if the 95% CI of the corresponding EAPC estimation was >0, to be experiencing a downward trend if the 95% CI was <0 and to be stable if the 95% CI included 0. All statistical analyses and visualisations were conducted using the R statistical software program (V.4.1.0). A \( p<0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

This study followed the guidelines for a cross-sectional study described in the Guidelines for Accurate and Transparent Health Estimates Reporting.

Patient and public involvement

Patients and/or the public were not involved in the study design, data interpretation, manuscript drafting or dissemination plans of this research.

RESULTS

Prevalence of IBD

Globally, IBD accounted for 3.32 million estimated cases (95% UI 2.90 to 3.79) in 1990 and 4.90 million cases (4.35 to 5.50) in 2019, corresponding to an increase of 47.45% between 1990 and 2019 (online supplemental table 1 and figure 1A). The global age-standardised prevalence rate of IBD decreased from 73.23 per 100 000 people (63.86 to 83.63) in 1990 to 59.25 per 100 000 people (52.78 to 66.47) in 2019, with an EAPC of −0.66 (95% CI −0.75 to −0.57) (table 1; figure 1B,C; and figure 2A). Although graphical representation suggested homogeneity of data quality across the globe, this was not the case, and estimates were based on extremely limited data and should be treated with caution in some regions.
At the regional level in 2019, the largest number of prevalent cases was observed in Western Europe (1.03 million (95% UI 0.93 to 1.13)) (online supplemental table 1), and the highest age-standardised prevalence rate was observed in the high-income Asia Pacific region (210.54 per 100,000 people (183.69 to 239.68)) (figure 3A). From 1990 to 2019, an increase in total prevalent cases was seen across most GBD regions, as shown in figure 4A. However, the age-standardised prevalence rate increased in 13 GBD regions and decreased in 5 regions, with the highest upward trend in East Asia (EAPC=2.79 (95% CI 2.41 to 3.18)) and the largest decrease in high-income North America (EAPC=−1.32 (−1.75 to −0.89)) (figure 2B).

At the national and territorial levels in 2019, China had the highest number of prevalent cases (911,405 (95% UI 776,347 to 1,069,533) and 762,890...

Figure 1  The global prevalence burden of IBD in 204 countries and territories. (A) The absolute number of IBD prevalent cases in 2019. (B) The age-standardised prevalence rate (per 100,000 population) of IBD in 2019. (C) The EAPC of age-standardised prevalence rate for IBD between 1990 and 2019. EAPC, estimated annual percentage change; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>1990 no (95% CI)</th>
<th>2019 no (95% CI)</th>
<th>EAPC no (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>59.3 (56.9 to 61.8)</td>
<td>63.0 (60.8 to 65.3)</td>
<td>-1.03 (-1.06 to -0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>59.3 (56.9 to 61.8)</td>
<td>63.0 (60.8 to 65.3)</td>
<td>-0.09 (-0.11 to -0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI quintile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>23.4 (21.9 to 24.9)</td>
<td>26.3 (24.9 to 27.7)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0 to 0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High middle</td>
<td>18.2 (16.8 to 20.5)</td>
<td>20.0 (18.6 to 21.4)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.8 to 1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>12.9 (11.6 to 14.2)</td>
<td>14.8 (13.4 to 16.0)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.2 to 1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>9.6 (8.4 to 10.8)</td>
<td>11.4 (10.2 to 12.6)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.5 to 1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low middle</td>
<td>7.4 (6.2 to 8.7)</td>
<td>8.9 (7.7 to 10.1)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.6 to 1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDI region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia</td>
<td>8.2 (7.0 to 9.4)</td>
<td>10.1 (8.9 to 11.3)</td>
<td>-2.0 (1.4 to -2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Asia</td>
<td>26.9 (24.5 to 29.3)</td>
<td>29.4 (27.1 to 31.8)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.2 to 1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Europe</td>
<td>24.3 (22.1 to 26.4)</td>
<td>26.8 (24.7 to 28.9)</td>
<td>1.2 (1.0 to 1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Europe</td>
<td>20.5 (18.3 to 22.7)</td>
<td>22.8 (20.7 to 25.0)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.1 to 1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andean Latin America</td>
<td>16.5 (14.0 to 19.0)</td>
<td>18.0 (15.6 to 20.4)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.2 to 1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caribbean</td>
<td>27.9 (25.1 to 30.8)</td>
<td>30.4 (27.6 to 33.2)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.3 to 1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>44.1 (34.9 to 53.3)</td>
<td>46.4 (37.4 to 55.6)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.1 to 1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>21.7 (19.6 to 23.9)</td>
<td>23.3 (21.2 to 25.4)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.3 to 1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>27.2 (25.1 to 29.4)</td>
<td>30.3 (28.1 to 32.5)</td>
<td>1.2 (1.0 to 1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global SDI quintile</td>
<td>23.4 (21.9 to 24.9)</td>
<td>26.3 (24.9 to 27.7)</td>
<td>-1.0 (0.0 to 2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBD region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andean Latin America</td>
<td>16.5 (14.0 to 19.0)</td>
<td>18.0 (15.6 to 20.4)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.2 to 1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caribbean</td>
<td>27.9 (25.1 to 30.8)</td>
<td>30.4 (27.6 to 33.2)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.3 to 1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>44.1 (34.9 to 53.3)</td>
<td>46.4 (37.4 to 55.6)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.1 to 1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>21.7 (19.6 to 23.9)</td>
<td>23.3 (21.2 to 25.4)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.3 to 1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>27.2 (25.1 to 29.4)</td>
<td>30.3 (28.1 to 32.5)</td>
<td>1.2 (1.0 to 1.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Age-standardized prevalence, death, and DALY rates per 100,000 population for inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) in 1990 and 2019 and their temporal trends from 1990 to 2019.
### Table 1 Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Age-standardised prevalence rate per 100,000 population</th>
<th>Age-standardised death rate per 100,000 population</th>
<th>Age-standardised DALY rate per 100,000 population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1990 no (95% UI) 2019 no (95% UI) EAPC no (95% CI)</td>
<td>1990 no (95% UI) 2019 no (95% UI) EAPC no (95% CI)</td>
<td>1990 no (95% UI) 2019 no (95% UI) EAPC no (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>6.27 (5.25 to 7.68) 7.21 (6.01 to 8.82) 0.46 (0.42 to 0.50)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.52 to 1.01) 0.69 (0.50 to 0.93) −0.12 (−0.20 to −0.03)</td>
<td>22.58 (16.13 to 34.35) 21.94 (14.69 to 25.63) −0.46 (−0.54 to −0.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-income Asia Pacific</td>
<td>126.18 (105.73 to 149.32) 210.54 (183.69 to 239.68) 1.04 (0.68 to 1.41)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.24 to 0.47) 0.13 (0.11 to 0.18) −0.29 (−0.46 to −0.13)</td>
<td>26.43 (19.87 to 33.86) 35.55 (23.75 to 46.76) 0.35 (0.11 to 0.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-income North America</td>
<td>346.93 (303.63 to 392.66) 206.60 (195.48 to 224.46) −1.32 (−1.75 to −0.89)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.61 to 0.83) 0.97 (0.79 to 1.05) 1.43 (1.15 to 1.72)</td>
<td>65.62 (48.61 to 84.95) 51.27 (40.90 to 62.35) −0.46 (−0.72 to −0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Africa and Middle East</td>
<td>36.27 (31.06 to 41.91) 48.26 (40.98 to 56.94) 0.97 (0.84 to 1.10)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.24 to 0.49) 0.26 (0.22 to 0.30) −0.86 (−0.93 to −0.80)</td>
<td>14.36 (10.79 to 18.73) 13.26 (10.60 to 16.47) −0.30 (−0.34 to −0.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceania</td>
<td>4.34 (3.51 to 5.20) 3.87 (3.16 to 4.71) −0.54 (−0.72 to −0.35)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.42 to 0.86) 0.44 (0.33 to 0.60) −1.41 (−1.50 to −1.32)</td>
<td>20.60 (13.34 to 28.78) 14.93 (10.45 to 21.01) −1.29 (−1.38 to −1.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>19.68 (15.98 to 24.40) 20.11 (16.38 to 24.81) 0.26 (0.10 to 0.42)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.47 to 1.06) 0.44 (0.33 to 0.57) −1.91 (−2.07 to −1.74)</td>
<td>21.48 (14.77 to 29.47) 14.00 (10.70 to 17.52) −1.68 (−1.78 to −1.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Asia</td>
<td>4.40 (3.55 to 5.35) 6.69 (5.52 to 8.04) 1.58 (1.27 to 1.90)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.27 to 0.66) 0.28 (0.21 to 0.33) −2.36 (−2.52 to −2.19)</td>
<td>13.16 (8.47 to 15.9) 7.45 (6.06 to 8.76) −2.29 (−2.44 to −2.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Latin America</td>
<td>19.22 (15.96 to 22.60) 20.53 (17.36 to 24.81) 0.28 (0.08 to 0.49)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.27 to 0.34) 0.28 (0.25 to 0.34) −0.46 (−0.62 to −0.30)</td>
<td>11.10 (8.69 to 12.66) 10.06 (8.61 to 11.94) −0.40 (−0.50 to −0.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>10.44 (8.67 to 12.74) 11.78 (9.83 to 14.31) 0.53 (0.45 to 0.61)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.30 to 0.34) 0.43 (0.36 to 0.51) 0.25 (−0.16 to 0.65)</td>
<td>15.11 (10.88 to 18.87) 13.66 (11.37 to 15.90) −0.12 (−0.44 to 0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical Latin America</td>
<td>65.14 (57.26 to 74.08) 47.34 (41.21 to 54.02) −0.87 (−1.08 to −0.65)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.41 to 0.51) 0.44 (0.40 to 0.51) 0.03 (−0.14 to 0.21)</td>
<td>23.37 (19.94 to 27.30) 19.28 (16.53 to 22.44) −0.44 (−0.61 to −0.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Europe</td>
<td>156.46 (139.89 to 173.68) 188.22 (169.47 to 207.48) 0.37 (0.26 to 0.48)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.73 to 1.04) 1.11 (0.87 to 1.23) 1.51 (1.27 to 1.75)</td>
<td>39.24 (31.18 to 48.16) 46.79 (36.98 to 57.57) 0.59 (0.49 to 0.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>8.49 (7.03 to 10.33) 10.09 (8.38 to 12.23) 0.78 (0.66 to 0.90)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.33 to 0.73) 0.5 (0.35 to 0.67) −0.37 (−0.46 to −0.28)</td>
<td>19.69 (11.64 to 30.47) 16.77 (11.61 to 22.73) −0.57 (−0.66 to −0.48)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DALY, disability-adjusted life-year; EAPC, estimated annual percentage change; GBD, Global Burden of Disease; SDI, Socio-demographic Index; UI, uncertainty interval.

Characteristics: Eastern sub-Saharan Africa, High-income Asia Pacific, High-income North America, North Africa and Middle East, Oceania, South Asia, Southeast Asia, Southern Latin America, Southern sub-Saharan Africa, Tropical Latin America, Western Europe, Western sub-Saharan Africa.
Figure 2  The EAPCs in age-standardised rates of prevalence (A, B), deaths (C, D), and DALYs (E, F) due to IBD from 1990 to 2019, both sexes, by GBD region and by SDI quintile. DALYs, disability-adjusted life-years; EAPC, estimated annual percentage change; GBD, Global Burden of Disease; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; SDI, Socio-demographic Index.
Figure 3  The age-standardised rates of prevalence (A), deaths (B), and DALYs (C) due to IBD by sex, across 21 regions, in 2019. Error bars indicate the 95% uncertainty interval (UI) for the age-standardised rates. DALYs, disability-adjusted life-years; GBD, Global Burden of Disease; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease.
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Figure 4  The absolute number of prevalent cases (A), deaths (B), and DALYs (C) due to IBD for all GBD regions from 1990 to 2019. DALYs, disability-adjusted life-years; GBD, Global Burden of Disease; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease.

(712,357 to 813,654), respectively) (online supplemental table 2 and figure 1A). In 2019, the highest age-standardised prevalence rates of IBD were observed in Norway (498.95 (429.41 to 570.55)) (online supplemental table 3 and figure 1B). Between 1990 and 2019, age-standardised prevalence rates showed an upward trend in 147 countries or territories, with the greatest increase in Taiwan (Province of China) (EAPC=4.29 (95% CI 3.71 to 4.86)) (figure 1C).

Overall, the global number of prevalent cases was higher among females than among males in 2019 (online supplemental table 1). The age-standardised prevalence rate was similar between males and females in 2019 (table 1). The highest peak IBD prevalence and age-specific prevalence rates occurred at ages 50–54 years and 60–64 years, respectively, among females and males in 2019 (figure 5A). From 1990 to 2019, the number of prevalent cases continued to increase for both sexes and was higher among females than among males in all years. The age-standardised prevalence rate decreased for both sexes during the same period (online supplemental figure 1).

Deaths related to IBD

In 2019, there were 409,987 deaths (95% UI 349,33 to 44,661) due to IBD worldwide, which increased by 68.75% (47.12% to 94.62%) from 24,295 (20,258 to 28,376) in 1990 (online supplemental table 1 and online supplemental

Figure 5  Age patterns by sex of the total number and age-standardised rates of prevalent cases (A), deaths (B) and DALYs (C) due to IBD at the global level in 2019. Error bars indicate the 95% uncertainty interval (UI) for the number of cases. Shading indicates the 95% UI for the rates. DALYs, disability-adjusted life-years; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease.
The global age-standardised death rate was 0.54 per 100,000 people (0.46 to 0.59) in 2019, which decreased from 0.67 per 100,000 people (0.57 to 0.78) in 1990, with an EAPC of 0.69 (95% CI: −0.81 to −0.57) (table 1, figure 2C and online supplemental figure 3−4).

At the regional level, Western Europe was also the region with the largest number of deaths (11,981 (95% UI: 9,244 to 13,315)) and the highest age-standardised death rate (1.11 per 100,000 people (0.87 to 1.23)) in 2019 (online supplemental table 1 and figure 3B). From 1990 to 2019, most GBD regions experienced an increase in total deaths from IBD (figure 4B). However, the age-standardised death rate declined in more than 60% of GBD regions, such as the high-income Asia Pacific region (EAPC=−4.29 (95% CI: −4.64 to −3.93)), East Asia (EAPC=−3.98 (−4.33 to −3.63)) and Andean Latin America (EAPC=−2.74 (−3.16 to −2.32)) (figure 2D).

At the national and territorial levels in 2019, China and the USA also had the highest number of deaths (4,676 (95% CI: 3,774 to 5,461) and 3,910 (4,622 to 6,464), respectively) (online supplemental table 2 and online supplemental figure 2). The Netherlands had the highest age-standardised death rate in 2019 (2.08 per 100,000 people (1.58 to 2.40)) (online supplemental table 3) and online supplemental figure 3). Between 1990 and 2019, more than 60% of countries or territories experienced a decrease in the age-standardised death rate of IBD, and the largest decrease was noted in the Republic of Korea (EAPC=−7.96 (−8.67 to −7.25)) (online supplemental figure 4).

Globally, the number of deaths was higher among females than among males in 2019 (online supplemental table 3). The age-standardised death rate was 0.56 per 100,000 people (95% UI: 0.45 to 0.62) among males and 0.52 per 100,000 people (0.43 to 0.58) among females (table 1). In 2019, the highest number of deaths was observed among males aged 80–84 years and females aged 85–89 years, and the highest age-specific death rate was observed in the oldest age group (295 years) for both sexes (figure 5B). Between 1990 and 2019, deaths related to IBD also continued to increase for both sexes and were higher among females than among males in all years. However, the age-standardised death rate decreased for both sexes from 1990 to 2019 (online supplemental figure 5).

DALYs of IBD
Globally, 1.62 million (95% UI: 1.36 to 1.92) DALYs, 0.73 million (0.49 to 0.99) YLD and 0.90 million (0.78 to 1.00) YLL were due to IBD in 2019, which increased from 1990 by 29.98%, 47.96% and 18.31%, respectively (online supplemental table 1), online supplemental table 4 and online supplemental figures 6−9). The global age-standardised DALY rate decreased from 27.20 per 100,000 people (21.70 to 32.39) in 1990 to 20.15 per 100,000 people (16.86 to 23.71) in 2019, with an EAPC of −1.04 (95% CI: −1.06 to −1.01) (table 1, figure 2E and online supplemental figures 10−11). The same downward trend was found in the age-standardised YLL rate (EAPC=−1.33 (−1.37 to −1.30)) and the age-standardised YLD rate (EAPC=−0.62 (−0.70 to −0.53)) (online supplemental table 5 and online supplemental figures 12−14).

At the regional level, Western Europe had the greatest number of DALYs (310,691 (95% UI: 255,911 to 371,106)) in 2019 (online supplemental table 1). The highest age-standardised DALY rates in 2019 were observed in high-income North America (31.27 per 100,000 people (95% UI: 40.90 to 62.35)) (figure 3C). As shown in figure 4C, an increase in total DALYs was found across most GBD regions from 1990 to 2019. Most of the GBD regions had a downward trend in the age-standardised DALY rate, with the largest decrease in Andean Latin America (EAPC=−3.68 (95% CI: −4.17 to −3.18)) (figure 2F).

In 2019, the global number of DALYs was also higher among females than among males (online supplemental table 1). The age-standardised DALY rate was 20.6 per 100,000 people (95% UI: 17.2 to 24.3) among males and 19.7 per 100,000 people (16.2 to 23.5) among females (table 1). The number of DALYs was highest among males aged 50–54 years and females aged 55–59 years (figure 5C). The highest number of YLL occurred in the group aged 65–69 years (75,234, (63,865 to 83,022)), while the highest number of YLD occurred in the group aged 45–49 years (80,776, (53,187 to 113,688)) (online supplemental figure 15). As with prevalent cases and deaths, the DALYs continued to increase for both sexes and were higher among females than among males in all years from 1990 to 2019. The age-standardised DALY rate decreased for both sexes between 1990 and 2019 (online supplemental figure 16).

Burden of IBD by SDI
A higher SDI was associated with higher age-standardised prevalence rates of IBD, with values that were higher than the global rate in high and high-middle SDI quintiles and lower than the global rate in the other three SDI quintiles (table 1). The high-SDI quintile had the highest age-standardised prevalence rate, death rate and DALY rate in 2019. Between 1990 and 2019, the age-standardised death rate and age-standardised DALY rate decreased in all SDI quintiles except the high-SDI quintile, where they increased (EAPC=0.82 (95% CI: 0.64 to 1.01)) and remained stable (EAPC=0.00 (−0.06 to 0.05)), respectively (table 1 and figure 2E). However,
the age-standardised prevalence rate decreased in the high and high-middle SDI quintiles and increased in the other three SDI quintiles during the observation period (table 1 and figure 2A).

The observed global and regional age-standardised prevalence, death, and DALY rates in relation to SDI are shown in figure 6, expressed in the annual time series from 1990 to 2019. Regions generally followed the trend of increased death and DALY rates with a higher SDI. However, more than 60% of the regions showed an upward trend in the age-standardised prevalence rate during the study period.

Figure 6 The age-standardised rates of IBD prevalence (A), deaths (B), and DALYs globally and for 21 GBD regions by SDI from 1990 to 2019. The expected age-standardised rates in 2019 based solely on SDI were represented by the black line. For each region, points from left to right depict estimates from each year from 1990 to 2019. DALYs, disability-adjusted life-years; GBD, Global Burden of Disease; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; SDI, Socio-demographic Index.
DISCUSSION

In this study, we comprehensively analysed the temporal trend in IBD burden at the global, regional and national levels. GBD 2019 reported 4.90 million IBD cases worldwide in 2019 and 4.79 million in 2017, while GBD 2017 reported 6.85 million in 2017. This discrepancy could be partly attributed to the improved specificity of case definition since GBD 2019 adjusted administrative data inputs towards expected stringent diagnosis using previously published IBD data with ICD codes. In addition, GBD 2019 improved model fit prevalence and incidence by setting more plausible bounds on excess mortality rate and passing less-informative priors to lower levels of the geographical hierarchy in DisMod-MR 2.1. Indeed, the GBD 2019 study provides the most current overview of the burden of IBD. It incorporates more data sources, with some from regions not previously assessed, providing updated estimates on global IBD epidemiology. In addition, GBD 2019 updated the bias adjustment, which further improved data reliability. After these adjustments, our study confirmed two epidemiological shifts in IBD since 1990. The number of prevalent cases has increased globally since 1990, with China and the USA having the highest number of cases. The ASR of death and DALYs decreased, implying substantial improvements in IBD management. The changing trends and geographical patterns have implications for policy-makers to prioritise IBD management, especially in newly industrialised countries.

The highest age-standardised prevalence rates were observed in high-income North America, Western Europe and the high-income Asia Pacific region. This pattern was also shown in high-SDI countries such as Norway and Canada. This is consistent with several previous meta-analyses and might correlate with several risk factors for IBD. Heavily processed foods with low fibre and high fat content and sugar are a risk factor for decreased microbial species. Other risk factors include smoking, hygiene and latitude resulting in vitamin D/sun exposure. The largest reduction in the age-standardised prevalence rate was also observed in high-income North America, which was consistent with the results of some previous reports, indicating the shift in the epidemiological stages of IBD in Western countries.

Despite increasing numbers of prevalent cases, the global age-standardised prevalence rate showed a downward trend. This discrepancy reflects the denominator effects of an increasing global population and age-specific effects. Coward et al. reported that the prevalence rate among elderly individuals and adults was ten times greater than that among paediatric patients in Canada. The trend in the age-standardised prevalence rate differed substantially between GBD regions. The greatest upward trend was observed in East Asia. At the national level, China, with a total number of 911 405 IBD patients, was a prominent contributor to the total number of global IBD cases. This pattern is consistent with several previous reports, which is related mainly to urbanisation, industrialisation and cultural Westernisation in some areas of China.

With epidemiological surveillance strategies shifting from paper records to electronic healthcare databases using ICD codes, advances in healthcare facilities such as the implementation of endoscopy and the detection and diagnosis of IBD have also increased.

IBD causes body disability. Consistent with a previous study, the age-standardised DALY and mortality rates showed a downward trend globally, especially in East Asia, likely resulting from improved treatment strategies, such as the early use of biological agents and patient support programmes. The introduction of biological agents improved quality of life and reduced the surgery rate. Unfortunately, the cost of biological therapy is considerable, estimated at more than US$25 000 per year. More attention should be given to optimising patient selection, dosing and withdrawal.

There are some limitations of our study. First, the accuracy of the estimated IBD burden largely depends on the availability and quality of data acquired, which could be partially compensated by statistical methods. However, in regions with scarce data, especially in low-SDI countries, estimates could only rely on predictive covariates or global trends with consideration of SDI level and data from a single country, which is less representative. Extra caution should be taken when interpreting data from these areas. Additional high-quality population-based studies should be performed, especially in countries with scarce data. Second, estimate discrepancies compared with the results of some of the previously published studies could be further improved, although GBD 2019 has improved model fit for prevalence and incidence, partly overcoming the discrepancies. For example, the prevalence rate in Canada was only 46–57 per 100 000 people in GBD 2017. However, a rate of approximately 520 per 100 000 people was reported by a previous large population-based study in Canada. GBD 2019 reported a rate of 357.73 per 100 000 people after adjustment. Third, UC-specific and CD-specific trends were not assessed due to the lack of relevant data. The association between IBD and certain risk factors should also be included in the future. Nevertheless, GBD 2019 provides the most up-to-date global IBD epidemiology estimates for the last three decades and implications for new hypotheses. It covers 204 countries. Therefore, GBD 2019 generates more representative data and can compensate for biases in individual studies.

CONCLUSIONS

Despite the reduction in ASRs of prevalence, deaths and DALYs, IBD will continue to be a major public health burden due to increasing numbers of prevalent cases worldwide. However, the trend has shifted substantially since 1990. Although the age-standardised prevalence rate has increased rapidly in newly industrialised countries, especially in East Asia, the incidence has stabilised or even decreased in Western countries such as those in high-income North America. The prevalence of IBD in
newly industrialised countries is quickly approaching that in Western countries. More systematic epidemiological monitoring strategies, especially in low-SDI countries, and the integration of risk factors into the estimate model of the GBD Study would further facilitate IBD management. Our findings might help some policy makers justify medical resource allocation, especially in low-SDI countries.
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