## Supplementary Table 1 – STROBE checklist

This protocol has been written according to the "Strengthening The Reporting of OBservational Studies in Epidemiology" (STROBE) guidelines. As there is no dedicated checklist for observational study protocols available (unlike the SPIRIT guidelines for clinical trials), we filled out the STROBE checklist below where applicable (leaving blank the results and discussion sections).

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1-2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary	2
		of what was done and what was found	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the	4-5
		investigation being reported	
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	5
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	7
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including	7
		periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of	7-8
		selection of participants	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential	8-11
		confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if	
		applicable	
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of	8-11
measurement		methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability	
		of assessment methods if there is more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	8,12
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	11
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses.	12
		If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to	11,12
		control for confounding	
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and	11,12
		interactions	
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	11,12
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	11,12
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	11,12

Results Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers	NA
	15	potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the	
		study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	NA
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	NA
Descriptive	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social)	NA
data	14	and information on exposures and potential confounders	11/
uuu		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	NA
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	NA
Main results	16	( <i>a</i> ) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which	NA
		confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	NA
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk	NA
		for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	NA
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	NA
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	NA
Other informati	ion		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study	16
		and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	

\*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.