ABSTRACT

Background The 10-item Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10) is a widely used measure of perceived stress that has been validated in various populations, yet with inconsistent results on its factor structure. The present study examines the reliability and validity of the PSS-10 in a population not previously examined: Chinese family caregivers of persons with schizophrenia, with a focus on factor analysis.

Methods A sample of 449 family caregivers of persons with schizophrenia was recruited for psychometric testing of the scale. The factor structure of PSS-10 was tested by randomly dividing the sample into two groups for both exploratory factor analysis (EFA) and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA). The scale was further tested for internal consistency, test–retest reliability, convergent validity, discriminant validity and concurrent validity.

Results EFA extracted two factors: perceived helplessness with six negative phrasing items and perceived efficacy with four positive phrasing items. CFA confirmed the structure of two factors with satisfactory model fit indices. Convergent validity was supported by high standard regression weight (0.78–0.92), average variance extracted (AVE=0.79–0.81) and composite reliability (0.88–0.94), while discriminant validity was confirmed by higher AVE estimates than the squared interconstruct correlations. The PSS-10 showed good internal consistency and test–retest reliability, with Cronbach’s alpha of 0.79 and intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.91, respectively. Concurrent validity was demonstrated by its significant positive correlations with stigma, depression and anxiety, as well as significant negative correlations with social support, family functioning and positive caregiving experiences.

Conclusion The two-factor PSS-10 has good psychometric characteristics assessing the perceived stress of family caregivers of people with schizophrenia. The findings indicate that the PSS-10 can be used to measure perceived stress in future research and practice among caregivers of people with schizophrenia, and potentially, other caregiving samples.
people with other prolonged illnesses, which is related to higher psychological distress, depression and anxiety.10 11 Caregivers’ stress may also result in conflict among family members and increased family dysfunction.8 12 These caregivers also report increased perceived stigma due to their loved one’s mental illness13–15 as well as insufficient social support.15 For example, family caregivers may report shame due to disruptive public behaviours of the family member with schizophrenia and seek to hide them from the public as much as possible.16 17 This can result in social isolation, lack of social support and social exclusion, which may further aggravate their stress.16 17 Thus, it is both important and meaningful to assess their stress levels using reliable and valid scales, which not only helps strengthen our understanding of their mental well-being for further intervention and support but also can guide the assessment of future intervention effects.

The Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) is one of the most widely used measures for assessing perceived stress in the world.18 19 The PSS measures the degree to which participants perceive unpredictability, lack of control, or overload in their lives. The original PSS included 14 items (PSS-14), which were further abbreviated into two short versions: PSS-10 and PSS-4.18 20 Although the original PSS-14 showed sufficient validity and reliability, the shorter version (PSS-10) has superior psychometric properties and is recommended for research.21 The PSS-10 has been translated into various languages and validated in various countries, such as Sweden,22 France,23 Korea,24 Mexico,25 the USA,26 Arabia,27 Serbia,28 Germany,29 Viet Nam,30 Brazil31 and Thailand.32 The PSS-10 was first translated into Simplified Chinese (the language of the Chinese mainland) by Yang and Huang in 2003,33 and approved by its original developer Cohen.34 The Simplified Chinese version of the PSS-10 has been used with various populations including university students,35 elderly service workers36 and cardiac patients,37 but its psychometric properties among family caregivers of individuals with prolonged illnesses, such as with schizophrenia, has not been examined in Chinese communities. In addition, although there are abundant psychometric testing studies on PSS-10, inconsistencies exist in the results of its factor structure. Although the original developer considered it as a unidimensional measure,18 20 dozens of subsequent studies have proposed a two-factor structure,38–40 and there are also a few studies showing a three-factor structure.41 42

Given the lack of validation of PSS-10 among family caregivers of people with schizophrenia and the conflicting evidence on its factor structure, we conducted the current study to run a comprehensive psychometric testing on the PSS-10 among a Chinese community sample of family caregivers of people with schizophrenia. Specifically, we tested the factor structure of PSS-10 by randomly dividing the sample into two groups for exploratory factor analysis (EFA) and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), respectively. In addition, we examined other psychometric properties of PSS-10 including internal consistency reliability, test–retest reliability, convergent validity, discriminant validity and concurrent validity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants and procedure

This cross-sectional study used baseline data from a large community sample who agreed to participate in an intervention to support family caregivers of people with schizophrenia.43 A total of 449 family caregivers of people with schizophrenia were recruited from 12 communities affiliated with the Changsha Psychiatric Hospital through the ‘686 programme’. ‘686 programme’ is China’s largest demonstration project aimed at integrating hospital and community services for serious mental illness.44 The Changsha Psychiatric Hospital has provided mental health services to the 12 communities, including free antipsychotic medicine delivery. Every month, a medical team from the Changsha Psychiatric Hospital went to each community health centre to distribute free medicine and run routine health check-ups for registered clients with serious mental illnesses. Inclusion criteria of family caregivers were: (1) caring for a family member registered in the ‘686 programme’ and satisfied the Chinese classification of Mental Disorders-3 or the International Classification of Schizophrenia-10 criteria for schizophrenia; (2) living with the care recipient for at least the last two years; (3) aged ≥18 years and (4) able to read and communicate and complete the questionnaire. Exclusion criteria included: (1) care recipient not registered in the 686 programme; (2) care recipient diagnosed with a mental illness other than schizophrenia, such as depression and epilepsy; (3) care recipient living alone; (4) family caregiver having a serious physical or mental illness and thus were unable to communicate and complete the interview and (5) family caregiver younger than 18 years. Our final sample size was 449 participants, satisfying the sample size requirement of at least 10 participants for each item in psychometric testing of scales.45

Data collection was conducted from May 2019 and September 2019. Family caregivers were approached during the free medicine delivery process by the medical team and invited to participate in the study. The medical team explained in detail about the study and referred interested caregivers to our research team. The research team fully explained the research to each family caregiver and fully informed the benefits and risks of participation, as well as their right to withdraw at any time. After providing written informed consent, the caregivers received face-to-face interviews conducted by our research team and completed a battery of questionnaires. The entire interview took approximately 20–40 min, and each participant was reimbursed RMB20 (US$2.80) for the completion of the questionnaire.

Patient and public involvement statement

None.
Instruments

Perceived Stress Scale
The 10-item PSS (PSS-10) is a self-administered measure of psychological stress experienced over the past 30 days. The scale includes six negative items (items 1, 2, 3, 6, 9 and 10) assessing the degree of lack of control and negative reactions (also named as negative stress, perceived helplessness or perceived stress), as well as four positive items (items 4, 5, 7 and 8) assessing one’s ability to cope with existing stressors (also named as positive stress, perceived efficacy or perceived control). Each answer is scored on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (never) to 4 (very often) and the 4 positive items are reverse-coded so that higher scores indicate higher stress. The total score of PSS-10 ranges from 0 to 40, with higher scores indicating greater perceived stress and scores of 10 or more indicating moderate to high perceived stress. The Chinese version of PSS-10 used in the present study showed satisfactory internal consistency, with a Cronbach’s α of 0.79.

Perceived Devaluation and Discrimination Scale
The 12-item Perceived Devaluation and Discrimination Scale (PDD), originated from the Link disease stigma scale series, is a widely used scale for measuring perceived stigma. The PDD includes two factors: devaluation (5 items) and discrimination (7 items). Each item is scored on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (fully agree) to 5 (totally disagree). Items 1, 2, 3, 4, 8 and 10 are reverse-coded. The total score ranges from 12 to 60, with higher scores indicating higher levels of perceived stigma. The PDD showed good reliability with a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.78 in the original study. The Chinese version of PDD also showed good reliability in other studies. In the current study, the PDD showed acceptable internal consistency with a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.70.

Patient Health Questionnaire
The 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) is one of the most widely used screening tools for assessing depressive symptoms over the previous two weeks. Each item is scored on a four-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day). The total score ranges from 0 to 27, with higher scores indicating more severe depressive symptoms and a cut-off value of 10 distinguishing between anxiety and non-anxiety. The PHQ-9 was first translated into Chinese by Yeung et al. and showed good reliability and validity in the Chinese population. The Chinese version of PHQ-9 shows good internal consistency in the current study, with a Cronbach’s Coefficient of 0.93.

Generalised Anxiety Disorder Scale
The seven-item Generalised Anxiety Disorder scale (GAD-7) is one of the most widely used screening tools for assessing anxiety symptoms during the previous two weeks. Each item is scored on a four-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day). The total score ranges from 0 to 21, with higher scores indicating more severe anxiety symptoms and a cut-off value of 10 distinguishing between anxiety and non-anxiety. The GAD-7 was first translated into Chinese by He et al. and showed good reliability and validity in the Chinese population. The Chinese version of GAD-7 showed good internal consistency in the current study, with a Cronbach’s Coefficient of 0.95.

Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support
The 12-item Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS) is a widely used scale to measure the strength of support respondents received from three different sources: family, friends and significant others. Each item is scored on a seven-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (very strongly disagree) to 7 (very strongly agree). The total score ranges from 12 to 84, with higher scores indicating higher social support. The MSPSS was first translated into Chinese by Huang et al. It showed good reliability with a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.85–0.91 in the original study. The Chinese version of MSPSS in the current study showed good internal consistency with a Cronbach’s Coefficient of 0.95.

Family Adaptation Partnership Growth Affection and Resolve Index Scale
The five-item Family Adaptation Partnership Growth Affection and Resolve Index Scale (APGAR) is a widely used scale to measure one’s satisfaction with their family functionality. Each item is scored on a three-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (ver strongly disagree) to 3 (very strongly agree). The total score ranges from 0 to 10, with a higher score indicating higher satisfaction with family functioning. The APGAR has been widely used and well validated in many previous studies, with Cronbach α of 0.86 in the initial rating. The Chinese version of APGAR in the current study showed good internal consistency with a Cronbach’s Coefficient of 0.95.

Caregiving rewarding feelings
The 12-item caregiving rewarding feelings (CRF) measures the positive emotions of caregivers during the care of a family member with schizophrenia. CRF was initially developed based on qualitative interviews with 30 primary caregivers of people with schizophrenia, then validated in a larger sample. The development and validation of the CRF have been described elsewhere. Some sample items include whether caring for a family member with schizophrenia makes them become ‘more loving and patient’ ‘gain a lot of respect’ ‘more active and optimistic’ ‘more responsible’. Each item is scored on a four-point Likert scale ranging from 0 ‘never’ to 3 ‘nearly always’. The total score ranges from 0 to 36, with higher scores indicating more positive feelings. The CRF in the current study showed good reliability with a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.96.

Statistical analyses
Ams V.22.0 (SPSS) and IBM SPSS statistics V.23 (IBM) were used for statistical analyses. The sociodemographic
characteristics of the samples were examined using descriptive statistics, including mean and SD for continuous variables, and frequency and percentage for categorical variables.

The factor structure of the PSS-10 was evaluated by both EFA and CFA. The total sample was randomly and equally divided into group 1 for EFA to build the model and group 2 for CFA to verify the model. Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) and Bartlett’s test of sphericity were used to test whether our data were suitable for factor analysis. In EFA, principal component factor analysis with oblique rotation was conducted to assess the underlying structure of the PSS-10. Factors were extracted based on two criteria: (1) factors with eigenvalues greater than 1 and (2) items with factor loadings greater than 0.40.

The theoretical model identified in EFA was further tested by CFA using the second sample. Kline and Byrne suggested the following CFA goodness-of-fit measures for model fit evaluation: goodness-of-fit index (GFI) > 0.9, adjusted GFI (AGFI) > 0.9, comparing fit index (CFI) > 0.9, non-normal fit index (NNFI) > 0.9, standard root mean square residual (SRMR) < 0.08, root mean square error of approximate (RMSEA) < 0.08, Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) > 0.9. Once a good fit was established, construct validity was further tested by calculating the following indicators: standard regression weight (SRW), average variance extracted (AVE) and composite reliability (CR).

Internal consistency reliability was assessed using Cronbach α for the total scale of PSS-10 and its subscales. A Cronbach α level of ≥ 0.70 indicates good reliability. Test–retest reliability was calculated in a subsample of these participants (n=25) who were randomly assessed again 2 weeks later to allow calculation of the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). An ICC value of ≥ 0.75 indicates good test–retest reliability.

Concurrent validity of the PSS-10 was assessed using Pearson product–moment correlations with expected positive correlations with perceived stigma (as measured by PDD), depression (as measured by PHQ-9) and anxiety (as measured by GAD-7); as well as expected significant negative correlations with social support (as measured by MSPSS), family functioning (as measured by APGAR) and positive caregiving experiences (as measured by CRF).

### RESULTS

#### Sample demographics

Table 1 shows the sociodemographic characteristics of the sample. The caregivers had a mean (SD) age of 60.90 (12.28) years. Most caregivers were spouses or parents (80.18%), not employed (85.6%), of middle and high school education (61.5%), and married/cohabited (75.7%). Over half were female (54.1%) and had an annual income of lower than RMB20 000 (56.6%).

#### Exploratory factor analysis

The underlying factor structure of the PSS-10 was first examined using EFA on the first half sample (N=218). KMO test showed a KMO value of 0.93, indicating good sampling adequacy. Bartlett’s test of sphericity ($\chi^2=1896.319$; df=45, p<0.001) also suggested that inter-item correlations were large enough to perform EFA.

Further EFA yielded a two-factor solution with two initial eigenvalues above 1 (3.48/1.80) and all items with factor loadings > 0.40, which satisfied the predetermined factor extraction criteria (table 2). The two-factor structure accounted for 89.5% of the total variance in the sample. The first factor was labelled as ‘perceived efficacy’, with an explained variance of 65.6%, and included all 6 negative items, with factor loadings ranging from 0.70 to 0.82. The second factor was labelled as ‘perceived efficacy’, with an explained variance of 29.9%, and included all 4 negative items, with factor loadings ranging from 0.60 to 0.78. The interfactor correlation was 0.60, suggesting overall high intercorrelations between the two factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Demographic characteristics of the participants (N=449)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td>M (SD)/N(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>18–59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60–100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>60.90 (12.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td>Employed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not employed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Primary and below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle and high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College and above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>Single</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Married/cohabited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Else (divorced/ separated/ windowed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinship</td>
<td>Parents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Siblings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (RMB/year)</td>
<td>20 000 or less</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 001–40 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40 001 or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Confirmatory factor analysis

The two-factor structure of PSS-10 identified in EFA was further tested using CFA on the second half sample (N=218). The two-factor structure was supported by the following goodness-of-fit statistics: $\chi^2$/df=2.628<3, p<0.001; GFI=0.963; AGFI=0.937; CFI=0.972; NNFI=0.961; TLI=0.961; RMSEA=0.061; SRMR=0.061. All these indexes indicated a good or acceptable model fit. Figure 1 shows a visualisation of the two-factor model.

Table 3 shows correlations between CFA factors, CR and AVE. The two subscales of the PSS-10 were all significantly associated with the total PSS-10 scale as well as each other, with correlation coefficients >0.50, indicating a large effect size. The CFA demonstrated good convergent validity of the PSS-10, with statistically significant SRW>0.50 (0.78–0.92, table 2), AVE>0.50 (0.79–0.81, table 3) and CR>0.70 (0.88–0.94, table 3). In addition, the CFA also confirmed good discriminant validity of the CRF, with each factor AVE estimate being higher than the squared interconstruct correlations with which it was associated (table 3).

Reliability

Internal consistency reliability, as measured by Cronbach’s alpha coefficient, was 0.79 for the total score of the PSS-10, 0.88 for the subscale of perceived helplessness and 0.79 for the subscale of perceived efficacy. These results indicate good internal consistency reliability. The ICC for the total score was 0.91 (p<0.001), exceeding the recommended standard of 0.75 and indicating good test-retest reliability.

Concurrent validity

The concurrent validity of PSS-10 was verified using correlational analysis. As shown in table 4, the scores of
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**Figure 1** Standardised factor loadings for the two-factor model of the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10) (N=218). F1, perceived stress; F2, perceived efficacy.
and GAD-PSS—psychometric properties of the Chinese version of PSS—two factors being proposed. This study tested the inconsistencies in its factor structures, with one, two factor analysis by randomly dividing the sample into two groups for EFA and CFA.

**DISCUSSION**

PSS-10 is one of the most widely used scales for stress assessment and has been translated into more than 20 languages.21 However, PSS-10 has never been validated among family caregivers of people living with schizophrenia in China, an important yet understudied population that has been providing free and high-quality care to their loved ones with schizophrenia. In addition, factor analysis of the PSS-10 by previous studies has shown inconsistencies in its factor structures, with one, two and three factors being proposed. This study tested the psychometric properties of the Chinese version of PSS-10 among family caregivers of people with schizophrenia, with a focus on factor analysis by randomly dividing the sample into two groups for EFA and CFA.

Our results supported a two-factor structure of the PSS-10, with EFA yielding a two-factor structure, which was further verified by CFA with satisfactory model fit. Convergent validity was supported by high SRW, AVE and construct reliability (CR), while discriminant validity was confirmed by higher AVE estimates than the squared interconstruct correlations. Overall, the PSS-10 showed good internal consistency with a high Cronbach α coefficient, and good test–retest reliability with a high ICC. The concurrent validity of the PSS-10 was also supported by its significant positive correlations with stigma, depression and anxiety, and significant negative relationships with social support, family functioning and CRF. Thus, the two-factor PSS-10 demonstrated psychometrically sound properties for assessing the subjective experience of stress among caregivers of people with schizophrenia.

Our preliminary EFA showed a two-factor structure of PSS-10: perceived helplessness and perceived efficacy. Perceived helplessness includes six negative phrasing items (items 1, 2, 3, 6, 9 and 10) that reflect a lack of control and negative emotions, while perceived efficacy includes four positive phrasing items (items 4, 5, 7 and 8) that reflect confidence in dealing with things and positive emotions. Also, in line with our theoretical hypothesis, all items of PSS-10 had high factor loadings on their specified factors, further showing the robustness of the two-factor structure of the PSS-10. Subsequent CFA also showed favourable model fit indices, further corroborating the two-factor structure of PSS-10. In addition, the CFA also demonstrated good convergent validity of the CRF, with statistically significant SRW>0.50, AVE>0.50 and construct reliability (CR)>0.70, as well as good discriminant validity, with each factor AVE estimate being higher than the squared interconstruct correlations. Our findings were consistent with previous research showing a similar two-factor structure of PSS-10 in other populations, such as university students.35 55 77 general adult samples34 36 79–80

### Table 3 Correlations between PSS-10 factors, CR and AVE (N=218)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>AVE</th>
<th>CR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perceived helplessness</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived efficacy</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVE</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AVE, average variance extracted; CR, composite reliability; PSS-10, 10-item Perceived Stress Scale.

PSS-10 and its two subscales were significantly positively correlated with PDD (r: 0.07–0.16), PHQ-9 (r: 0.17–0.54) and GAD-7 (r: 0.14–0.62). The scores of PSS-10 and its two subscales were significantly negatively correlated with MSPSS-12 (r: –0.24 to –0.13), APGAR-5 (r: –0.35 to –0.19) and CRF-12 (r: –0.45 to –0.33). All the correlation coefficients were significant at p=0.01, which confirmed the concurrent validity of PSS-10.

### Table 4 Correlations of PSS-10 and its two subscales with other variables (N=449)†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSS-10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived helplessness—sub</td>
<td>0.88*</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived efficacy—sub</td>
<td>0.51*</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDD</td>
<td>0.16*</td>
<td>0.14*</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-9</td>
<td>0.54*</td>
<td>0.54*</td>
<td>0.17*</td>
<td>–0.02</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-7</td>
<td>0.62*</td>
<td>0.65*</td>
<td>0.14*</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.72*</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSPSS-12</td>
<td>–0.22*</td>
<td>–0.13*</td>
<td>–0.24*</td>
<td>–0.31*</td>
<td>–0.11†</td>
<td>–0.09</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APGAR-5</td>
<td>–0.30*</td>
<td>–0.19*</td>
<td>–0.35*</td>
<td>–0.27*</td>
<td>–0.18*</td>
<td>–0.17*</td>
<td>0.56*</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF-12</td>
<td>–0.33*</td>
<td>–0.15*</td>
<td>–0.45*</td>
<td>–0.27*</td>
<td>–0.12*</td>
<td>–0.08</td>
<td>0.54*</td>
<td>0.66*</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.01
†p<0.05
‡Spearman correlation using pairwise deletion for missing values.

APGAR, Family Adaptation, Partnership, Growth, Affection and Resolve Index Scale; CRF, caregiving rewarding feelings; GAD-7, Generalised Anxiety Disorder Scale-7; MSPSS, Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support; PDD, Perceived Devaluation and Discrimination Scale; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire-9; PSS, Perceived Stress Scale.
and clinical patients.\textsuperscript{37,38} What is noteworthy is that there has been wide debate about whether PSS-10 should be used as a full scale,\textsuperscript{20,31,34} or two separate subscales. Some researchers believe that the two subscales measure different components of the stress experience\textsuperscript{80} and have suggested using them separately.\textsuperscript{82} In the current study, the high correlation coefficient of 0.60 between the two subscales implies that the two factors are highly correlated yet not redundant with each other. As a result, it is suggested that the PSS-10 can be used as a whole scale or as two subscales depending on the research questions under study.

The Cronbach’s $\alpha$ value for the total scale and two subscales of PSS-10 exceeded the recommended 0.70, indicating high internal consistency reliability. This finding is consistent with previous studies of Chinese\textsuperscript{34,36} and non-Chinese samples, such as Japan,\textsuperscript{83} South Korea,\textsuperscript{84} the USA,\textsuperscript{85} and Sweden.\textsuperscript{22} High test–retest reliability was supported by a high ICC of the total score, also showing the stability of PSS-10 in assessing perceived stress over time. However, test–retest reliability findings must be interpreted with caution because of the relatively small sample size. Future research may benefit from using a larger sample size to examine test–retest reliability.

The concurrent validity of PSS-10 was demonstrated by its significant positive correlations with PDD, PHQ-9 and GAD-7, as well as significant negative correlations with MSPSS12, PAGAR, and CRF. This finding is consistent with previous studies showing negative health outcomes related to high perceived stress among caregivers of people with schizophrenia, such as increased depression and anxiety, decreased family functioning and rewarding feelings, which may be related to their increased feelings of stigma and reduced social support.\textsuperscript{11,13,26} Understanding the relationship between perceived stress and health outcomes of family caregivers may guide further family caregiver interventions.

The present study also had several limitations. First, the sample was recruited from 12 urban communities of Changsha city and thus may not be representative of other areas, especially rural communities. Future multicentre studies may address this issue. Second, the cross-sectional design of the study may preclude testing of sensitivity to change for the PSS-10; subsequent longitudinal research should examine this. Third, test–retest reliability was based on a relatively small sample size, which may be further confirmed in a larger sample.

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, the PSS-10 has good psychometric characteristics assessing the perceived stress of family caregivers of people living with schizophrenia, including good internal consistency, test–retest reliability, convergent validity, discriminant validity and concurrent validity. Both EFA and CFA supported a two-factor structure: perceived helplessness and perceived efficacy in the current population. Our findings provide reliable evidence for the use of PSS-10 in future studies to assess perceived stress among caregivers of people living with schizophrenia, and potentially, other caregiving situations.
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