EMPOWERED trial: protocol for a randomised control trial of digitally supported, highly personalised and measurement-based care to improve functional outcomes in young people with mood disorders
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ABSTRACT

Objectives Many adolescents and young adults with emerging mood disorders do not achieve substantial improvements in education, employment, or social function after receiving standard youth mental health care. We have developed a new model of care referred to as ‘highly personalised and measurement-based care’ (HP&MBC). HP&MBC involves repeated assessment of multidimensional domains of morbidity to enable continuous and personalised clinical decision-making. Although measurement-based care is common in medical disease management, it is not a standard practice in mental health. This clinical effectiveness trial tests whether HP&MBC, supported by continuous digital feedback, delivers better functional improvements than standard care and digital support.

Method and analysis This controlled implementation trial is a PROBE study (Prospective, Randomised, Open, Blinded End-point) that comprises a multisite 24-month, assessor-blinded, follow-up study of 1500 individuals aged 15–25 years who present for mental health treatment. Eligible participants will be individually randomised (1:1) to 12 months of HP&MBC or standardised clinical care. The primary outcome measure is social and occupational functioning 12 months after trial entry, assessed by the Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale. Clinical and social outcomes for all participants will be monitored for a further 12 months after cessation of active care.

Ethics and dissemination This clinical trial has been reviewed and approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Sydney Local Health District (HREC Approval Number: X22-0042 & 2022/ETH00725, Protocol ID: BMC-YMH-003-2018, protocol version: V3, 03/08/2022). Research findings will be disseminated through peer-reviewed journals, presentations at scientific conferences, and to user and advocacy groups. Participant data will be deidentified.

Trial registration number ACTRN12622000882729.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

⇒ To our knowledge, this is the first large-scale effectiveness trial that tests whether early intervention and secondary prevention deliver substantive improvements in functional outcomes for young people with major mood disorders.
⇒ The trial sample will be large, and the use of minimal eligibility criteria maximises the generalisability of these findings to other youth mental health settings.
⇒ The trial introduces new service roles (i.e., ‘digital navigator’, ‘clinical facilitator’) to help clinicians and clients access the optimal package of interventions.
⇒ Standard care packages are delivered in the same setting and by the same health professional as the intervention group. So cross-over effects may attenuate between groups differences.
⇒ The availability and access to specific interventions needed to deliver enhanced care to those in the intervention group may be variable across different trial sites.

INTRODUCTION

There has been increasing recognition of the premature death and persistent disability attributable to the major mental disorders.1,2 The largest proportion of this excessive morbidity is attributable to mood disorders.3,4

disorders, reflecting their early age of onset, high population prevalence, chronicity, and comorbidity. While significant investments have been made in youth mental health services internationally, there is a lack of substantive evidence for which models of care are optimal for improving illness outcomes.

Mood disorders place young people at risk of prolonged socioeconomic difficulties, even when their mental ill-health subsides. Our work has identified that up to two-thirds of young people in youth mental health services experience poor longer-term functional outcomes. Current evidence suggests that youth mental health services primarily benefit those in the earlier stages of illness and that while brief psychological interventions are effective for reducing psychological distress, they only marginally improve functioning. Further, current models of care do not appear to be well suited to those with comorbidities, mixed or attenuated symptomatology, or social and occupational complexity. Most treatment plans are focused narrowly on limited treatment choices or ‘steps’ for discrete disorders. They are based on average population effects or clinical experience, and are often inaccurate and inconsistent.

The differentiation of young people with ‘reasonable/good’ from ‘impaired/poor’ functioning at presentation is a key factor to be considered (alongside other clinical variables) to determine the need for highly personalised care with the appropriate type, intensity, sequence, and duration of multidisciplinary interventions. This approach aligns with optimal models of mental health care and should be a key component of youth mental health service provision. Yet, the evidence-base for health service models that guide personalised interventions for young people with mood disorders is sparse. Furthermore, it is not standard practice to use measurement-based care (MBC) for the monitoring of symptoms and functioning to drive continuous and personalised clinical decision-making. Highly personalised and MBC, which entails routine assessment of multidimensional outcomes and regular monitoring of an individual’s response to treatment, is a core component of the chronic care model and supports better-informed clinical decisions. These decisions may include the adjustment of treatment type and intensity. Despite good evidence for its effectiveness and its customary use in physical disease management, it remains largely absent from youth mental health care.

**Objectives of the study**

The primary objective of this large-scale clinical effectiveness trial is to assess the effectiveness of 12 months of intensive, personally tailored, assertive care (the digitally supported highly personalised and measurement-based care (HP&MBC) package), compared with digitally supported standard clinical care. We will test whether the HP&MBC package results in a greater improvement of social and occupational functioning compared with standard clinical care. The secondary objective is to assess the mental health status of all participants 12 months after the HP&MBC and standard care interventions.

We hypothesise that while the standard care packages will be an improved offering (through greater standardisation of assessment and access to digital technology), the HP&MBC treatment packages will be superior by implementing continuous and proactive monitoring and care coordination using digital technologies and providing extensive feedback to the clinical service, the treating clinician, the young person, and their family or carer.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

**Study design and setting**

This large-scale, prospective study aims to enrol 1500 mental health treatment-seeking young people with mood disorders. The trial was designed with the aid of young people with lived experience of mental illness and is a PROBE study (Prospective, Randomised, Open, Blinded End-point). It comprises a 24 month (12 months active treatment, 12 months additional follow-up), multisite, two-arm (HP&MBC package vs standardised clinical care), randomised (1:1), blinded outcome assessor, controlled implementation trial. The trial will be conducted at the Brain and Mind Centre (The University of Sydney, Australia) and affiliated youth centres that focus on treating young people with mental illnesses. As noted below, prior to commencing the randomised controlled trial (RCT), there will be a pretrial phase (figure 1).

**Pretrial phase**

The study includes a pretrial phase to allow the digital technology platform to be introduced to the clinical teams and integrated into the service procedures. This period will be used to work through any implementation issues prior to commencing the RCT. Also, it permits collection of pretrial data from each clinical service, including an audit of outcomes in routine clinical practice (e.g., rates of improvement or deterioration in social and occupational function in non-trial clinical cohorts).

**RCT and follow-up phase (~24 months)**

After the pretrial phase, the RCT phase of the study commenced in early 2023, with the first participant enrolled on 1 March 2023. Participation in the trial will be 24 months following enrolment (baseline assessment), including 12 months of active treatment and 12 months additional follow-up. Five independent assessments will be conducted: at baseline, 3 months, 6 months, 12 months, and 24 months. We anticipate recruitment and randomisation of 100% of the target sample size by the end of 2025, and we estimate data collection to be completed by late 2027.

**Patient and public involvement**

Young people with lived experience of mental illness were invited to participate in the study design through consultations with the Brain and Mind Centre Lived Experience.
Working Group. The working group consists of culturally and linguistically diverse young people aged 16–30 years. The principles underpinning the trial, and the name for this trial ‘EMPOWERED’, were identified by young people with lived experience (box 1).

**Study population**

The study focuses on young people seeking help for psychological distress and presenting with early stage mood syndromes, characterised not only by the mix of anxiety or depressive symptoms and their impact on functioning, but also according to stage of illness criteria: stage 1a, non-specific anxiety and depressive syndromes; stage 1b, attenuated syndromes; or stage 2, first full-threshold, major, and discrete mood syndromes.

Recruitment is also based on the presentation to care and existing functional impairment. This approach is consistent with the National Institute of Mental Health recommendations for conducting more integrative clinical research. Approximately 10 000 individuals aged 15–25 years present to the Brain and Mind Centre and affiliated youth centres per year. We expect that 3000 individuals will meet the inclusion criteria and that about 50% of the eligible individuals will consent. In total, 1500 young people will be included (750 allocated to the active 12-month care package and 750 allocated to the standardised care package).

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

Participation in this study will be offered to adolescents and young adults aged 15–25 years seeking help for psychological distress and classified as clinical stage 1a, 1b, or 2. The participants must have an initial Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale (SOFAS) score of ≤70, indicating an impaired social and occupational functioning. Additional exclusion criteria includes acute suicidal or aggressive behaviour requiring alternative care or a depressive syndrome secondary to a primary medical condition. Young people who have a clinically evident intellectual disability (IQ<70 as per medical history review) will be excluded due to the likely difficulty in completing the assessments.

**Study course and procedures**

The clinical trial comprises 12 months active treatment and 12 months follow-up phase. That is, each subject will be followed for 2 years, whereby five blinded independent assessment visits will take place (figure 2).

Individuals referred to the trial will be contacted by a research team member who will provide information about the study and conduct a preliminary assessment.
of the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Potential participants interested in taking part in the study will then be provided with a copy of the participant information statement (online supplemental material 1), and an appointment will be scheduled for an enrolment visit. During the enrolment/baseline visit (Visit 0), the study will be explained in lay terms and any questions will be answered. Following informed consent, participants will be given relevant assessments to confirm that they meet the inclusion criteria.

Participants who meet the inclusion criteria will be randomised to one of the two treatment arms using a 1:1 individual person randomisation algorithm (using Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap))\(^\text{38}\) taking age, gender, and treatment centre as stratification factors into account. The care packages will be delivered within the first 12 months of the study by clinicians operating within each service. During this study phase, three study visits will take place: (1) Visit 1 (3 months after trial entry); (2) Visit 2 (6 months after trial entry); and (3) Visit 3 (12 months after trial entry). A follow-up visit (Visit 4) will be conducted 12 months after completion of the care packages (figure 2). All follow-up assessments will be carried out by blinded-independent assessors from the research team.

**Figure 2** Study flow diagram (consort style).
Care packages

To coordinate ongoing clinical care and functional recovery, real-time data feedback will be provided in both treatment arms using health information technology. As demonstrated in our longitudinal studies, those with attenuated syndromes often receive only brief interventions (six or fewer sessions of psychological support) and exit services with residual high levels of impairment. There is a fundamental mismatch between the time course of impairment (typically well-established by the time the young person presents to clinical care) and the brief clinical interventions provided by early intervention services. Therefore, we now use personalised technologies to tailor, plan, and track the relationships between clinical care delivery (and sequencing) and functional recovery strategies. The real-time data feedback will support optimal combinations of indirect and direct intervention strategies until the young person achieves: (1) syndromal remission and risk reduction and (2) social and occupational recovery. This real-time data feedback will be supported by the Innowell Platform.

The digitally supported HP&MBC package represents an intensive, personalised and assertive treatment package. It builds on the usual processes provided by the services at each centre, including systematic assessment and allocation of clinical care within multidisciplinary team environments. The HP&MBC package uses two key streams, namely (1) the therapeutic power of active and continuous feedback with regards to illness type, course, response to interventions, and social and economic impact of care; and (2) the capacity of new assessment and monitoring techniques to tailor treatment options— with the standardisation of those stepped-care options into an ongoing and proactive shared care plan.

The HP&MBC package includes:

1. Initial digital assessment covering the domains of symptoms, social and occupational functioning, self-harm and suicidal thoughts and behaviours (STBs), physical health, and alcohol and other substance misuse.
2. Feedback of the initial ‘dashboard’ of results to the user and treating clinician at baseline.
3. Continuous outcome monitoring and feedback—regular review of ‘dashboard’ to the user of care and family members, clinical services, and the principal treating clinician (figure 3).
4. More detailed online, clinical, neuropsychological, and lab-based testing as recommended by digital or clinical protocols, including the use of specific individual monitoring devices (e.g., wearable activity monitors, mood monitors) to inform broad diagnostic categorisation and then assign a more specific series of highly personalised treatment options.
5. Determination of indicative subtype of depressive syndrome by incorporation of clinical factors and life course, to link to specific intervention strategies.
6. Utilisation of online shared care planning by the user of care and family members, clinical services, and principal treating clinician.

Active and continuous feedback will guide evidence-based decision-making related to treatment plans as it supports the choice of optimal combinations of interventions. The measurement-based feedback will help detect unmet needs, increase the likelihood that clinicians identify young people who are non-responsive to treatment and facilitate the process to adjust the care plan to improve the young person’s outcomes.

The standard care package builds on the usual service systems (largely Medicare-funded psychological care), including systematic assessment and allocation of clinical care within multidisciplinary team environments. The standardised care package includes:

1. Initial digital assessment covering the domains of symptoms, social and occupational function, self-harm and STBs, physical health, and alcohol and other substance misuse.
2. Feedback of the initial ‘dashboard’ of results to the user and treating clinician at baseline.
3. Provision of standard multidisciplinary care options and ongoing access to other relevant psychological and pharmacological options.

In this study, the following targeted therapies (over and above standard psychological care), which have been shown in various studies to have beneficial effects, are of particular relevance.

1. Social and Functional Recovery Therapies: interventions that target social recovery include direct support to return to work, re-engaging in education or training, and social skills training to reduce isolation and improve relationships with peers and family. Key components of these interventions include setting meaningful recovery goals, establishing the external resources to support recovery, and using outreach graded behavioural experiments to re-establish functioning.
2. Circadian Interventions: pharmacological (e.g., agomelatine, brexpiprazole), physical (e.g., light therapy), or behavioural interventions (e.g., sleep–wake rescheduling) that target dysregulation of sleep–wake behaviours and biological circadian rhythms.
3. Cognitive-Behaviour Therapies (CBT) and Social Therapies Groups: CBT teaches the individual to link their feelings, thoughts and patterns of behaviours to reduce psychological distress. A greater focus on social cognition training may be needed for those with social cognitive impairment.
4. Dialectic Behaviour Therapy (DBT): DBT is a modified version of CBT designed to treat symptoms often associated with emotional dysregulation and poor distress tolerance such as self-harm, suicidal behaviour, and substance use. The emphasis is on moving away from harmful coping behaviours and incorporates mindfulness, distress tolerance, emotional regulation, and interpersonal effectiveness strategies.
Healthy lifestyle and cardiometabolic health targeted treatments: the World Health Organisation (WHO) guidelines recommend that lifestyle behavioural interventions should be considered the first-line treatments for managing physical health (including cardiometabolic health) for those with severe mental illness. Psychoeducational interventions focusing on healthy lifestyle habits including diet, physical activity, and sleep practices have been shown to ameliorate both the physical and mental health concerns of young people with psychiatric disorders.

While these therapies will be available to participants in both treatment arms, those in the HP&MBC treatment group will be actively referred to the specific optimal treatment programme/programmes based on the outcomes of the continuous assessment data that will be made available to the participant and their treating clinician. In addition to the targeted therapies mentioned above, additional relevant therapies may be introduced over the course of the study as the clinical needs of participants become apparent.

Service roles

Two additional service roles will be employed for the trial (table 1). The first is a ‘clinical facilitator’ who is an independent clinician focused on ensuring optimal uptake of the HP&MBC by treating clinicians. This will be achieved by working collaboratively with clinicians with the aim of reducing the additional tasks associated with enhanced and rapid communication, and tracking, interpreting, and actioning feedback. The second role is a ‘digital navigator’ who will operate for participants across both arms of the trial. The primary focus of the role is to provide peer support for young people to motivate them to provide outcome data; regularly remind them of the purpose of collecting data and how it can improve their treatment journey; help the young person, carer, and clinician address technical issues; and provide guidance about useful e-tools to be used in treatment. Data in the form of research observations and field notes will be collected to document the process of how these roles function.
Table 1  Role descriptions of the facilitator team

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of roles</th>
<th>Tasks</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical facilitator</strong>&lt;br&gt;Only available for participants in the intervention arm&lt;br&gt;The purpose of this role is to facilitate the use of the HP&amp;MBC by clinicians. This is achieved by working collaboratively with clinicians with the aim of reducing burden associated with communication, tracking, and interpreting and actioning feedback. The main responsibilities of this role include:&lt;br&gt;1. Assisting clinicians to review and aid identification of any domains of concern (eg, increased risk or decreased social support).&lt;br&gt;2. Providing logistical support in making referrals for clients.&lt;br&gt;This role does not have any clinical responsibility towards clients as this is a support role.</td>
<td>► Ensure that each participant has a clear functional recovery plan that has been discussed with the young person and their clinician (i.e., what is the plan and who is involved?)&lt;br&gt;► Promote and assist with the use of routine client feedback to inform personalised treatment options&lt;br&gt;► Reduce time burden for clinicians by monitoring client progress using technology and alerting clinicians if significant deteriorations/risk arise&lt;br&gt;► Performing administrative tasks to facilitate referrals, and identify appropriate treatment options recommended by the youth model&lt;br&gt;► Regularly assess with clinicians how client feedback has been used in sessions to inform treatment&lt;br&gt;► Develop a good understanding of referral options in the relevant area including community organisations, schools, public health services, online services, and apps&lt;br&gt;► Assist with identifying appropriate care options and help with the logistics of the organisation of clinical care</td>
<td><strong>SOFAS deterioration</strong>&lt;br&gt;CF notes that patient X’s SOFAS has deteriorated 10 points since their last report 1 month ago. CF communicates with X’s psychiatrist, using their preferred communication method, letting them know that there has been a deterioration. Psychiatrist notifies CF that they have commenced a new course of treatment at their last appointment 2 weeks ago and will continue monitoring their symptoms. CF also communicates with psychologist to let them know about deterioration and notes that psychiatrist has changed medication recently. Psychologist notes that client X has recently begun exposure exercises in their weekly therapy sessions that they are finding highly distressing. One month later, the client reports further deterioration to SOFAS and that they have experienced an increase in passive suicidal ideation. CF communicates this to the psychiatrist and psychologist. Psychiatrist requests DBT and CF facilitates meeting between psychologist and psychiatrist to discuss options. CF also contacts three local community and public health services that offer DBT programmes and finds that Cremorne Health Centre has a spot available for client X. CF passes this information to psychiatrist to make referral.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Digital navigator</strong>&lt;br&gt;Available to participants in both arms of the trial&lt;br&gt;The primary focus of the role is to:&lt;br&gt;1. Provide peer support for clients to motivate them to provide outcome data—regularly remind the clients of the purpose of collecting data and how it can improve their treatment journey.&lt;br&gt;2. Help client, carer, and clinician to address other technical issues.&lt;br&gt;3. Provide guidance about useful e-tools (online resources and apps) to be used in treatment.</td>
<td>► Troubleshoot any issues related to technology for clients, caregivers and clinicians&lt;br&gt;► Remind clients to complete Innowell questionnaires. Routinely follow-up with clients, through their preferred method (eg., text, email, or face to face) to ensure regular data collection&lt;br&gt;► Research evidence-based e-tools that clinicians can confidently use as part of treatment</td>
<td><strong>Enrolment of a new participant</strong>&lt;br&gt;Client X newly joined the trial. The DN will organise a brief meeting with the client to introduce Innowell and to educate them on the purpose of using the platform and its potential benefits. After 1 month, DN follows up with client X to collect feedback about their experience of Innowell and whether regular reporting about their symptoms has been used by clinicians to inform treatment. Client X states that they liked how their functional scores were discussed during the session but wished that their physical health status was addressed. DN relays the feedback and suggests an app that can monitor client physical status to CF. CF alerts clinicians about client X’s physical scores and promotes active response.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CBT, cognitive-behaviour therapy; CF, clinical facilitator; DBT, dialectic behaviour therapy; DN, digital navigator; HP&MBC, highly personalised and measurement-based care; SOFAS, Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale.

Assessments

A series of standardised clinical assessments will be conducted at the enrolment visit (Visit 0) to assess inclusion and exclusion criteria (table 2), including:

2. A framework for clinical staging will be applied to assess illness severity and differentiate those in the earliest phases with non-specific clinical presentations (stages 1a ‘seeking help’) from those at greater-risk with more specific, subthreshold presentations (stage 1b ‘attenuated syndromes’) and those who have reached a threshold for a progressive or recurrent disorder meeting diagnostic criteria (stage 2, 3 or 4).
3. SOFAS to record the clinician’s judgement of overall social and occupational function.

4. A mental risk assessment to assess acute suicidal behaviour.

As summarised in Table 2, individuals who fulfil all inclusion and exclusion criteria will undergo additional clinician/researcher-administered baseline assessments evaluating depressive symptomatology, personal social performance and self-report questionnaires which will be provided to collect information regarding demographics, mental and physical health history, quality of life, self-harm, STBs, alcohol and substance use, and physical health. Furthermore, blood samples will be collected to assess metabolic, inflammatory, and standard blood markers.

While social and occupational functioning, illness severity, and depressive symptoms will be assessed at every subsequent visit (Visits 1–4), the structured clinical interview will only be repeated at the end of the active treatment phase (12 months after trial entry, Visit 3; and 24 months after trial entry, Visit 4). Self-report questionnaires will be provided at each visit during the active treatment phase (Visits 1–3). Blood samples will be collected at baseline, 6 months, and 12 months after trial entry (i.e., at visits 0, 2, and 3) to monitor changes in metabolic, inflammatory, and standard blood markers. Blood test results for young people in the intervention arm will be immediately relayed to treating clinicians, to provide more data to determine the appropriate treatment for the participant. For example, non-specific immunosuppressive therapies or innovative immune therapies could be the optimal treatment approach for young people with atypical major mood or psychotic disorders.

### Table 2  Overview of research assessments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Administration</th>
<th>Time points (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical diagnosis</td>
<td>Structured Clinical Interview to assess for DSM-5 Mental Health and Substance Use Disorders</td>
<td>Researcher administered</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute suicidal and aggressive behaviour (exclusion criteria)</td>
<td>CAARMS (7.3 and 5.4)</td>
<td>Researcher administered</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social and occupational functioning</td>
<td>SOFAS</td>
<td>Researcher administered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social and occupational functioning</td>
<td>PSP</td>
<td>Researcher administered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive symptoms</td>
<td>QIDS-C</td>
<td>Researcher administered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illness severity</td>
<td>Clinical staging</td>
<td>Researcher administered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life</td>
<td>ReQoL-10</td>
<td>Self-report</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-harm/suicidal thoughts and behaviours</td>
<td>SIDAS/adaptation of the C-SSRS/B-NSS-AT</td>
<td>Self-report</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol and substance use</td>
<td>AUDIT-C: Alcohol use WHO-ASSIST: Alcohol and other substance use</td>
<td>Self-report</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical health</td>
<td>Height/weight/waist/BMI</td>
<td>Self-report</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical health</td>
<td>IPAQ (physical activity)</td>
<td>Self-report</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical health</td>
<td>Metabolic, inflammatory and standard clinical bloods</td>
<td>Researcher administered</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource use</td>
<td>Resource Use Questionnaire</td>
<td>Self-report</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AUDIT-C, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test – Consumption; BMI, Body Mass Index; B-NSS-AT, Brief Non-suicidal Self-injury Assessment Tool; CAARMS (7.3), Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental States – item 7.3; C-SSRS, Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale; DSM-5, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fifth edition; IPAQ, International Physical Activity Questionnaire – short version; PSP, Personal and Social Performance Scale; QIDS-C, Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology – Clinician-rated; ReQoL-10, Recovering Quality of Life Questionnaire (10-item version); SIDAS, Suicidal Ideation Attributes Scale; SOFAS, Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale; WHO-ASSIST, WHO Alcohol, Smoking and Substance Involvement Screening Test (version 3.1).
Resource use that will also be used to estimate costs will be measured using two main procedures:
1. Participants will be asked for access to administrative datasets including the Medicare Benefits Schedule and Pharmaceutical Benefits Schedule data for the duration of the study.
2. The resource use questionnaire, used in multiple mental health economic evaluations, captures the broad range of health and welfare services used by participants and is complementary to any administrative data also included in the evaluation. Microcosting techniques will be used to assess the costs of the intervention. Standardised economic evaluation techniques, including incremental analysis of mean differences using generalised linear models and bootstrapping to determine confidence intervals, will also be conducted. Lifetime and population cost-effectiveness will be also determined using economic modelling techniques.

**Primary and secondary outcomes**

**Primary efficacy endpoint:**
- Changes in social and occupational function from baseline to 12 months, as assessed by the SOFAS.

**Key secondary endpoints:**
- Change from baseline in self-harm, STBs (Brief Non-suicidal Self-Injury Assessment Tool, B-NSSI-AT, \(^{54}\) Suicidal Ideation Attributes Scale, SIDAS, \(^{55}\) C-SSRS, Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale). \(^{56}\)
- Change from baseline in depressive symptoms (Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology, QIDS). \(^{57}\)
- Change from baseline in quality of life (Recovering Quality of Life Questionnaire, ReQoL). \(^{58}\) \(^{59}\)
- Change from baseline in alcohol and substance use (WHO Alcohol, Smoking and Substance Involvement Screening Test, WHO-ASSIST, \(^{60}\) AUDIT-C, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test – Consumption). \(^{61}\)
- Change from baseline in physical health (International Physical Activity Questionnaire – short version, IPAQ, \(^{62}\) \(^{63}\) – height, weight, waist).
- Change from baseline in metabolic, inflammatory and standard blood measures (metabolic and inflammatory markers, e.g., assessment of triglycerides, cholesterol, glucose, iron).
- Resource use as well as lifetime and population cost-effectiveness.
- Costs of the treatment packages based on detailed economic evaluation.

**Sample size calculation**

This trial seeks to recruit 1500 young people, with 750 allocated to active 12-month intervention and 750 to standard clinical care. We anticipate an attrition rate of approximately 10%-20% over short-term follow-up (first 12 months) and up to 30% over the longer-term follow-up (at 24 months). Therefore, we would expect 1350 participants at 6 months follow-up (675 in each arm), 1200 participants at 12 months follow-up (600 in each arm) and 1050 participants at 2 years follow-up (525 in each arm). Assuming that we have at least 434 young people at the 2-year follow-up time point for the primary outcome analysis only, and conservatively assuming a small effect size difference of 0.2 in favour of those young people receiving the active intervention, with \(\alpha=0.05\), we have 95% power. For categorical secondary analyses with a small effect size of 0.2, \(\alpha=0.05\), and power=95%, the sample size at 2-year follow-up is 325 participants. There are also embedded subgroups for secondary analyses (e.g., by baseline suicidal behaviours, depressive subtype, alcohol or other substance misuse, and baseline SOFAS bands). For these subgroups, assuming that we have at least 195 young people at the 2-year follow-up time point for the primary outcome analysis only, and conservatively assuming a medium effect size difference of 0.3 in favour of those young people receiving the active intervention, with \(\alpha=0.05\), we have 95% power. For categorical secondary analyses with a medium effect size of 0.3, \(\alpha=0.05\), and power=95%, the sample size at 2-year follow-up is 144 participants.

**Data analysis plan**

The primary outcome will be analysed using a repeated-measure linear mixed model including all available SOFAS scores measured at months 0, 3, 6, 12, and 24. Fixed effects will include the randomised group, visit as a categorical variable, and the interaction between group and visit. The baseline SOFAS score will be included as a covariate alongside sex, age, and site (stratification variables). To account for correlations between repeated measures, a random patient intercept will be included. In case of convergence issues with the inclusion of the random effect, we will replace the random effect with a compound symmetry covariance structure. This model will be used to derive the effect of the intervention at 12 months, expressed as the adjusted mean difference and its 95% confidence interval. The effect of the intervention at other timepoints will be estimated using a similar approach.

Secondary outcomes will be analysed using a similar approach. For binary outcomes, logistic regression (binomial distribution with logit link) will be used in place of linear regression. The effect of the intervention will be estimated as the odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals and converted to an absolute risk difference using the Hummel and Wiseman method. \(^{64}\) Given that linear mixed models use all data available and make valid inference under the assumption that data are missing at random, the primary analysis will not impute missing data; however, sensitivity analyses will be conducted to assess the robustness of the results under different assumptions about the missing data mechanism. A detailed statistical analysis plan including mock tables will be developed prior to unblinding and database lock.

The economic evaluation of the HP&MBC package is critical to translate this research into practice. It will comprise both a ‘within-trial’ design whereby the individual level costs and outcomes of the two groups...
(HP&MBC and Standard Care packages) will be included in the evaluation over the duration of the trial. A modelled evaluation will be undertaken to capture full costs and consequences of HP&MBC using the results of this trial and the broader epidemiological literature to estimate likely longer-term health gains, cost impacts, and scale-up costs at the population level. The calculation of quality-adjusted life years will be conducted, thus enabling a cost-utility analysis to be undertaken. Cost-utility analyses are useful to decision-makers as they are associated with inherent value for money connotations. Detailed costing of the HP&MBC approach along with how it has been implemented within each site will be undertaken using information from the researchers, clinical staff, and budgetary personnel.

Data management and security
All data collected for the purposes of the study will be linked to unique study ID codes and will not contain identifiable information. Data collection will be conducted only by authorised members of study staff, to whom this duty has been allocated and who are named on the Human Ethics application and Governance approvals for the trial. Research data will be stored in REDCap and electronic data generated by participant outcomes will be electronically stored via the Innowell Platform.

Any publications or reports based on this study will include only pooled results from participants. Routine internal audits of data files will ensure completeness of data collection. Data for which hardcopies are generated will be stored in both original hard copy and electronic form. Hardcopies will be retained so that comparison between electronic and original data is possible to ensure accuracy of data entry and resolve issues concerning spurious data in the electronic file. This data will be kept under (1) lock and key at trial site or (2) electronic file that is password protected and accessible only by research staff responsible for data entry or monitoring.

Monitoring will be done by investigator Yun Ju Christine Song as she is removed from the day-to-day activities and has clinical research associate experience. This will be at site initiation, after the first 50 patients are enrolled and then 6 monthly after, and at the close-out visit. The monitoring visits will involve a self-audit checklist, 10% source data verification, review of adverse events and serious adverse events, inclusion and exclusion criteria review, and a protocol deviation review.

Participant safety
Safety reporting is subject to the National Health and Medical Research Council’s guidance on Safety Monitoring and Reporting in non-therapeutic good trials. Participants do not give up any legal rights to compensation by participating in this study. If a participant suffers any injuries or complications as a result of the research project, they will be advised to contact the study team and will be assisted with arranging appropriate medical treatment.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION
The study will be performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki (2008) and the International Conference on Harmonisation—Good Clinical Practice (ICH-GCP) and has been reviewed and approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC) of the Sydney Local Health District (HREC Approval Number: X22-0042 & 2022/ETH00725, Protocol ID: BMC-VMH-003-2018, protocol version: V.3, 03/08/2022). The study has been registered in the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trial Registry (ACTRN12622000882729). Any amendments will be submitted to the HREC for review prior to implementation as per HREC guidelines.

The results of this study will be disseminated as widely as possible into the scientific and broader community, including publication in peer-reviewed journals, scholarly book chapters, presentation at conferences and publication in conference proceedings. This will include one paper investigating the primary outcome measure of this study (SOFAS scores), one paper determining the economic feasibility of the HP&MBC package, and a series of papers investigating secondary outcomes (e.g., depression, suicidality). For each paper, all authors will satisfy the Vancouver criteria for authorship.
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Participant Information Sheet/Consent Form

Interventional Study - Adult providing own consent

Mind Plasticity – Brain and Mind Centre

Title
A large-scale clinical effectiveness (health services) trial to determine whether personalised health care packages, combined with digitally-supported measurement-based care, improve functional outcomes in young people with mood disorders.

Short Title
EMPOWERED Trial.

Protocol Number
BMC-YMH-003-2018

Project Sponsor
The University of Sydney

Coordinating Principal Investigator/Principal Investigator
Professor Ian B. Hickie

Location
Brain and Mind Centre, University of Sydney
Mind Plasticity, Surry Hills

Part 1 What does my participation involve?

1 Introduction

You are invited to take part in this research project which is investigating whether more personalised health care packages, linked with continuous digital feedback, deliver better functional improvements at 12 months (and follow-up for a further 12 months after cessation of active care) than digitally-supported assessment linked to standard care packages.

This Participant Information Sheet (PIS) tells you about the research project. It explains the tests and treatments involved. Knowing what is involved will help you decide if you want to take part in the research.

Please read this information carefully. Ask questions about anything that you don’t understand or want to know more about. Before deciding whether or not to take part, you might want to talk about it with a relative, friend, or your local doctor.

Participation in this research is voluntary. If you don’t wish to take part, you don’t have to. You will receive the best possible care whether or not you take part.
If you decide you want to take part in the research project, you will be asked to sign the consent section. By signing it you are telling us that you:

• Understand what you have read
• Consent to take part in the research project
• Consent to have the tests and treatments that are described
• Consent to the use of your personal and health information as described.

You will be given a copy of this Participant Information and Consent Form to keep.

2 What is the purpose of this research?

This study aims to assess in 1500 young people with mood syndromes, whether more personalised health care packages, linked with continuous digital feedback (i.e. highly personalised and measurement based care (HP&MBC), deliver better functional improvements at 12 months (and follow-up for a further 12 months after cessation of active care), than digitally-supported assessment linked to standard care packages.

Although the standard care packages are an improved offering (through greater standardisation of assessment and feedback of those assessments), we hypothesise that the HP&MBC treatment packages are superior, by implementing continuous monitoring and care coordination through the use of digital technologies, and providing extensive feedback to the clinical service, the treating clinician, and the young person and their family or carer. The continuous feedback will detect unmet care, increase the likelihood of identifying young persons that do not respond to treatment, and facilitate the process to optimise care and increase the engagement of young people in their own care.

This research has been initiated by the investigator, Professor Ian B. Hickie, Co-Director and Consultant Psychiatrist, Brain and Mind Centre, The University of Sydney. This research is being conducted by the Brain and Mind Centre and Mind Plasticity. The study has been funded by NHMRC 2020 Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies (Application ID: 2001568).

3 What does participation in this research involve?

If you consent to participate, you will be taking part in a randomised controlled research project. Sometimes we do not know which treatment is best for treating a condition. To find out we need to compare different treatments. We put people into groups and give each group a different treatment. The results are compared to see if one is better. To try to make sure the groups are the same, each participant is put into a group by chance (at random).

You have been invited to take part in this trial as your clinician indicated that you may be eligible and interested in taking part. This clinical trial comprises 12-months of an active treatment care package and a 12-month follow-up phase, meaning the duration of the trial is expected to be 24 months from your baseline visit. If you decide to take part, an appointment will be scheduled for an enrolment visit at the Brain and Mind Centre (BMC).

Enrolment Visit (time commitment: 60 minutes)

During your enrolment visit, a staff member will confirm that you have read and understood this PIS, the study will also be verbally explained to you, and you will be given the opportunity to ask any questions you may have. If you consent to participating you will be asked to sign a written consent form.
Once your consent is provided, you will be asked some relevant questions to confirm that you meet the other inclusion and exclusion criteria for the study. If you are eligible to take part you will be invited to attend a baseline visit.

Once enrolled in the study, you will be randomly assigned to receive one of two treatment packages for a 12 month duration.

You will have approximately equal chance of being assigned to one of the following two care packages:

1. The **Highly Personalised and Measurement Based Care (HP&MBC) Package**: This includes:
   - Initial e-health assessment with feedback provided to yourself, your treating clinician, and the clinical service.
   - Continuous monitoring via e-health assessment and monthly feedback over the 12-month treatment duration to yourself, your treating clinician, and the clinical service.
   - Personalised referrals over the 12-month treatment duration, to specific treatment programs that may be beneficial to you, based on the outcome of continuous assessment data.

2. **Standardised care package**: This includes:
   - Initial e-health assessment with feedback provided to yourself, your treating clinician, and the clinical service.
   - Provision of standard multidisciplinary care options and ongoing access to other relevant psychological and pharmacological options.
   - Additional e-health assessments at 3, 6 and 12-months.

**Baseline Visit (time commitment: 60-90 minutes)**

During your baseline visit, you will be asked questions about your day-to-day activities, mood, and behaviour.

You will also be asked to complete a series of online self-report assessments that will include further questions about your mental health symptoms, physical activity & physical health, sleep, and the quality of your relationships and social supports. These self-report questions will be accessed online and completed via iPad.

After the baseline assessment, you will be required to visit your local pathology centre for a blood test for the assessment of metabolic, inflammatory, and standard blood markers. The results will be sent to the study doctor.

**Follow-up assessments (3, 6, 12, 24 months) (time commitment: 60-90 minutes)**

You will be invited back for follow-up research assessments 3, 6, 12 and 24 months after the commencement of your treatment. Interview and online questionnaire assessments will again be conducted, including questions about your day-to-day activities, mood, and behaviour.

At the 6 & 12-month time points you will also be required to visit your local pathology centre for a blood test which will again be sent to the study doctor.
Additional Costs

Throughout the research project specific treatment programs may be recommended to you based on the outcome of continuous assessment data. There may be additional costs associated with these treatment options. Any additional costs will be discussed with you in advance, and you will have the option to decline.

If you have a local doctor, we recommend that you inform them of your participation in this research project. In addition, the researchers would like to have access to your medical record to obtain information relevant to this study.

You will be reimbursed for your time in this study. Reimbursement will be $50 and this will be provided to you in the form of a Coles/Myer voucher.

4 What do I have to do?

To participate in this study you must meet some criteria, including:

- Aged 15-25 years old, seeking help for psychological distress
- Classified as suitable for the intervention based on the enrolment assessment
- Written informed consent

You will not be able to participate in the study if you meet certain criteria, including:

- Acute suicidal or aggressive behaviour requiring alternative care
- Depressive syndrome secondary to a primary medical condition
- Intellectual disability

5 Other relevant information about the research project

This study will involve 1500 participants, and will primarily be conducted at The Brain and Mind Centre, University of Sydney, 94-100 Mallet Street, Camperdown and Mind Plasticity, Suite 517, Level 5, 50 Holt St, Surry Hills NSW 2010.

6 Do I have to take part in this research project?

Participation in any research project is voluntary. If you do not wish to take part, you do not have to. If you decide to take part and later change your mind, you are free to withdraw from the project at any stage; you don’t have to give a reason. If you do want to take part now, but change our mind later, you can pull out of the study at any time.

If you do decide to take part, you will be given this Participant Information and Consent Form to sign and you will be given a copy to keep.
Your decision whether to take part or not to take part, or to take part and then withdraw, will not affect your relationship with those treating you or your relationship with the Mind Plasticity and/or Brain and Mind Centre, The University of Sydney.

7 What are the alternatives to participation?

You do not have to take part in this research project to receive treatment. Participation in this research is not your only option. Other options are available; these include engaging in / continuing with the standard treatment options offered. The study doctor will discuss these options with you before you decide whether or not to take part in this research project. You can also discuss the options with your local doctor and/or treating clinician.

8 What are the possible benefits of taking part?

We cannot guarantee or promise that you will receive any direct benefits from being in this study. However, the aim of this study is to further the knowledge and treatment of mood disorders in young people.

9 What are the possible risks and disadvantages of taking part?

We anticipate that the possible risks associated with this study are no more than low risk. You may feel anxious during some of the assessment procedures. If you become upset or distressed as a result of your participation in the research, the study doctor will be able to arrange for counselling or other appropriate support. Any counselling or support will be provided by a qualified staff who are not members of the research project team.

Having a blood sample taken may cause some discomfort, bruising, minor infection or bleeding. If this happens, it can be easily treated by your local doctor.

The study doctor will review the results of your blood test. In the event that the results of your blood test require further investigation, you will be referred to your local doctor.

10 What will happen to my test samples?

You will be asked to provide blood samples at baseline, 6 and 12 months post baseline. Blood samples will be collected in a fasting state by a trained phlebotomist at your local pathology centre. Standard infection control procedures will be followed to avoid harm to participants. Blood samples will be used to identify the following:
- Metabolic blood measures
- Inflammatory markers
- Standard clinical blood measures

All blood test results will be labelled with your study ID code only, and no identifying information.

Your data can only be obtained and used by researchers who have their study approved by a Human Research Ethics Committee. Any scientists who wish to use your data must also agree to protect your privacy and store data securely.

All blood test results will be reviewed by the study doctor. If there are any abnormalities identified in the blood results, you will be notified and asked to see your local treating GP for
review. Blood samples will not be stored as part of this study and therefore samples will not be retained for future use.

11 What if new information arises during this research project?

Sometimes during the course of a research project, new information becomes available about the treatment that is being studied. If this happens, your study doctor will tell you about it and discuss with you whether you want to continue in the research project. If you decide to withdraw, your study doctor will make arrangements for your regular health care to continue. If you decide to continue in the research project you will be asked to sign an updated consent form.

Also, on receiving new information, your study doctor might consider it to be in your best interests to withdraw you from the research project. If this happens, he/she will explain the reasons and arrange for your regular health care to continue.

12 What if I withdraw from this research project?

You can withdraw from the study at any time by contacting research staff. Your decision whether to participate will not affect your current or future relationship with the researchers or anyone else at The University of Sydney nor your current or future involvement with the mental health service.

If you decide to withdraw from the project, please notify a member of the research team before you withdraw. This notice will allow that person or the research supervisor to discuss any health risks or special requirements linked to withdrawing.

You will be asked about the reason(s) for your discontinuation and about the presence of any adverse events. You will be invited to attend the Brain and Mind Centre for a final close-out visit to complete all assessments normally completed at the final study visit (i.e., 12-months post baseline).

Further, relevant information about your health status as judged by the investigator, which comes up after the screening visit may justify a subsequent exclusion from the study. Relevant information could be information concerning inclusion or exclusion criteria or information that implies that the treatment schedule is not suitable.

If you do withdraw your consent during the research project, relevant study staff will not collect additional personal information from you, although personal information already collected will be retained to ensure that the results of the research project can be measured properly and to comply with law. You should be aware that data collected up to the time you withdraw will form part of the research project results. If you do not want them to do this, you must tell them before you join the research project.

13 Could this research project be stopped unexpectedly?

In the unlikely event that the local regulatory/health authorities suspend the trial, you would still be able to access your treatment as usual. It won’t impact your relationship with the University of Sydney or the service from which you are accessing care.
Part 2  How is the research project being conducted?

14  What will happen to information about me?

By signing the consent form you consent to the study doctor and relevant research staff collecting and using personal information about you for the research project. Any information obtained in connection with this research project that can identify you will remain confidential. Your information will only be used for the purpose of this research project and it will only be disclosed with your permission, except as required by law.

Information about you may be obtained from your health records held at this and other health services for the purpose of this research. By signing the consent form you agree to the study team accessing health records if they are relevant to your participation in this research project.

Your health records and any information obtained during the research project are subject to inspection (for the purpose of verifying the procedures and the data) by the relevant authorities and authorised representatives of the Sponsor, The University of Sydney, or as required by law. By signing the Consent Form, you authorise release of, or access to, this confidential information to the relevant study personnel and regulatory authorities as noted above.

It is anticipated that the results of this research project will be published and/or presented in a variety of forums. In any publication and/or presentation, information will be provided in such a way that you cannot be identified, except with your permission.

Information about your participation in this research project may be recorded in your health records.

In accordance with relevant Australian and NSW privacy and other relevant laws, you have the right to request access to your information collected and stored by the research team. You also have the right to request that any information with which you disagree be corrected. Please contact the study team member named at the end of this document if you would like to access your information.

Any information obtained for the purpose of this research project and for the future research described in Section 14 that can identify you will be treated as confidential and securely stored. It will be disclosed only with your permission, or as required by law.

All study records will be stored for a minimum of 20 years post study completion and then securely destroyed.

All data collected for the purposes of the study will be linked to unique study ID codes and will not contain identifying information. Data will be stored separately from any identifying information (e.g., signed consent forms). One senior research staff member at each site will have an electronic password protected file linking participant names and identification codes (i.e., data will be re-identifiable). Individuals will not be named in any reports or publications resulting from the study, and no document containing identifying information will leave the study site. Any publications based on the study will include only pooled results from participants.

Data collection will be conducted only by authorised members of study staff, to whom this duty has been allocated and who are named on the Human Ethics application and Governance approvals for the trial. Only sufficiently trained and supervised research staff will be delegated to enter and analyse data. We will be using a RedCap database to enter the research data. Data for which hardcopies are generated will be stored in both original hard copy and electronic form. This data will be kept under 1) lock and key at trial site or 2) electronic file that is password
protected and accessible only by research staff responsible for data entry or monitoring. Electronic data generated by participant outcomes will be electronically stored via the Innowell Online Platform. The information stored via this online platform will be de-identified and subject to privacy policies and the Research Code of Conduct. All data will be analysed by study staff at the Brain and Mind Centre, The University of Sydney. There will be no sharing or pooling of data with other collaborators.

With consent, we may use data collected in this study for future research purposes.

15 Complaints and compensation

If you suffer any injuries or complications as a result of this research project, you should contact the study team as soon as possible and you will be assisted with arranging appropriate medical treatment. If you are eligible for Medicare, you can receive any medical treatment required to treat the injury or complication, free of charge, as a public patient in any Australian public hospital.

In addition, you may have a right to take legal action to obtain compensation for any injuries or complications resulting from the study. Compensation may be available if your injury or complication is sufficiently serious and is caused by unsafe equipment, or by the negligence of one of the parties involved in the study (for example, the researcher, the clinic, or the treating clinician). You do not give up any legal rights to compensation by participating in this study.

16 Who is organising and funding the research?

This research project is being conducted by Professor Ian Hickie at The Brain and Mind Centre, Sydney University.

This trial is an investigator-initiated trial funded by the NHMRC – 2020 Clinical Trials and Cohort Studies, Application ID: 2001568.

You will not benefit financially from your involvement in this research project.

No member of the research team will receive a personal financial benefit from your involvement in this research project (other than their ordinary wages).

17 Who has reviewed the research project?

All research in Australia involving humans is reviewed by an independent group of people called a Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC). The ethical aspects of this research project have been approved by the HREC of the Sydney Local Health District (RPAH Zone). This research project has been designed to make sure the researchers interpret the results in a fair and appropriate way and avoid study researchers or participants jumping to conclusions.

This project will be carried out according to the National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research (2007). This statement has been developed to protect the interests of people who agree to participate in human research studies.

18 Further information and who to contact

The person you may need to contact will depend on the nature of your query.
Clinical contact person

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Professor Ian Hickie</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Principal Investigator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>(02) 93510810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lan.hickie@sydney.edu.au">lan.hickie@sydney.edu.au</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For matters relating to research at the site at which you are participating, the details of the local site complaints person are:

Complaints contact person/s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Ms Alissa Nichles, Ms Natalia Zmicerevska</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Senior Clinical research Officers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>(02) 9114 4100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Alissa.nichles@sydney.edu.au">Alissa.nichles@sydney.edu.au</a>, <a href="mailto:Natalia.zmicerevska@sydney.edu.au">Natalia.zmicerevska@sydney.edu.au</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you have any complaints about any aspect of the project, the way it is being conducted or any questions about being a research participant in general, then you may contact:

This study has been approved by the Ethics Review Committee (RPAH Zone) of the Sydney Local Health District. Any person with concerns or complaints about the conduct of this study should contact the Executive Officer on 02 9515 6766 and quote Protocol number X22-0042.
Consent Form - Adult providing own consent

Title
A large-scale clinical effectiveness (health services) trial to determine whether personal-ised health care packages, combined with digitally-supported measurement-based care, improve functional outcomes in young people with mood disorders.

Short Title
EMPOWERED Trial.

Protocol Number
BMC-YMH-003-2018

Project Sponsor
The University of Sydney

Coordinating Principal Investigator/Principal Investigator
Professor Ian B. Hickie

Location
Brain and Mind Centre, University of Sydney
Mind Plasticity, Surry Hills

Declaration by Participant
I have read the Participant Information Sheet or someone has read it to me in a language that I understand.

I understand the purposes, procedures and risks of the research described in the project.

I give permission for my doctors, other health professionals, hospitals or laboratories outside this hospital to release information to Brain and Mind Centre, University of Sydney concerning my disease and treatment for the purposes of this project. I understand that such information will remain confidential.

I understand that my participation in this study will allow the researchers and others, as described in the Information for Participants, to have access to my medical record, and I agree to this.

I have had an opportunity to ask questions and I am satisfied with the answers I have received.

I freely agree to participate in this research project as described and understand that I am free to withdraw at any time during the study without affecting my future health care.

I understand that I will be given a signed copy of this document to keep.

I understand that, if I decide to discontinue the study treatment, I may be asked to attend follow-up visits to allow collection of information regarding my health status. Alternatively, a member of the research team may request my permission to obtain access to my medical records for collection of follow-up information for the purposes of research and analysis.

Consent of participant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In addition, I also give consent for my health information to be used for future research purposes:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I give permission for the research data collected about me in this study, to be linked with data from any other research study I participate in that is run as part of the Youth Mental Health Research Program led by Professor Ian Hickie.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I would like to receive an overall summary of the results of this current study (via newsletter) once they are made available:

If yes, please provide email address:________________________@___________________

Name of Participant (please print) ____________________________________________
Signature __________________________ Date ____________________

Name of Witness* to Participant’s Signature (please print) ________________________
Signature __________________________ Date ____________________

* Witness is not to be the investigator, a member of the study team or their delegate. In the event that an interpreter is used, the interpreter may not act as a witness to the consent process. Witness must be 18 years or older.

Declaration by Study Doctor/Senior Researcher†

I have given a verbal explanation of the research project, its procedures and risks and I believe that the participant has understood that explanation.

Name of Study Doctor/Senior Researcher† (please print) __________________________________
Signature __________________________ Date ____________________

† A senior member of the research team must provide the explanation of, and information concerning, the research project.

Note: All parties signing the consent section must date their own signature.
Form for Withdrawal of Participation

A large-scale clinical effectiveness (health services) trial to determine whether personalised health care packages, combined with digitally-supported measurement-based care, improve functional outcomes in young people with mood disorders.

Title

Title

Short Title

EMPOWERED Trial.

Protocol Number

BMC-YMH-003-2018

Project Sponsor

The University of Sydney

Principal Investigator

Professor Ian B. Hickie

Location

Brain and Mind Centre, University of Sydney

Mind Plasticity, Surry Hills

Declaration by Participant

I wish to withdraw from participation in the above research project and understand that such withdrawal will not affect my routine treatment, my relationship with those treating me or my relationship with Brain and Mind Centre/Mind Plasticity.

Name of Participant (please print) ________________________________

Signature __________________________ Date _______________________

IF NECESSARY: Description of circumstances of withdrawal below (to be written by Study Doctor/Senior Researcher)


Declaration by Study Doctor/Senior Researcher†

I have given a verbal explanation of the implications of withdrawal from the research project and I believe that the participant has understood that explanation.

Name of Study Doctor/Senior Researcher† (please print) ________________________________
Signature ____________________________ Date ____________________________

† A senior member of the research team must provide the explanation of and information concerning withdrawal from the research project.

Note: All parties signing the consent section must date their own signature.