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ABSTRACT

Objectives To explore the experience of caring for children with tracheostomies from the perspectives of parents and health professional caregivers.

Design Qualitative semistructured interview study.

Setting One region in England covered by a tertiary care centre that includes urban and remote rural areas and has a high level of deprivation.

Participants A purposive sample of health professionals and parents who care for children who have, or have had, tracheostomies and who received care at the tertiary care centre.

Intervention Interviews undertaken by telephone or video link.

Primary and secondary outcome measures Qualitative reflexive thematic analysis with QSR Nvivo 12.

Results This paper outlines key determinants and mediators of the experiences of caregiving and the impact on psychological and physical health and quality of life of parents and their families, confidence of healthcare providers and perceived quality of care. For parents, access to care packages and respite care at home as well as communication and relationships with healthcare providers are key mediators of their experience of caregiving, whereas for health professionals, an essential influence is multidisciplinary team working and support. We also highlight a range of challenges focused on the shared care space, including: a lack of standardisation in access to different support teams, care packages and respite care, irregular training and updates, and differences in health provider expertise and experiences across departments and shift patterns, exacerbated in some settings by limited contact with children with tracheostomies.

Conclusions Understanding the experiences of caregiving can help inform measures to support caregivers and improve quality standards. Our findings suggest there is a need to facilitate further standardisation of care and support available for parent caregivers and that this may be transferable to other regions. Potential solutions to be explored could include the development of a paediatric tracheostomy service specification, increasing use of paediatric tracheostomy specialist nurse roles, and addressing the emotional and psychological support needs of caregivers.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

⇒ By including perspectives of parents and healthcare professionals who care for children with tracheostomies, our analysis allowed for novel and valuable comparisons to be made around the shared care space.

⇒ The inclusion of accounts from healthcare professionals from primary, community, secondary and tertiary care allowed rich insight into carer experiences across organisational boundaries.

⇒ Our study took place across one large geographical region in England that covers rural and urban areas and has higher levels of deprivation than the rest of England; however, transferability to other settings may be dependent on local service structures.

⇒ We were not able to include perspectives of carers providing respite care and support based in social care and educational settings.

⇒ Despite participant accounts covering experiences from earlier time points, data collection took place during the COVID-19 pandemic which had an impact on the experiences of care and caregiving.

BACKGROUND

Tracheostomies are performed in children mainly to facilitate long-term ventilation, in cases of airway obstruction or neurological impairment.1–5 Only a quarter to half of children who rely on a tracheostomy are decannulated, and for those who are, the average time before decannulation is 2 years.1–5

Tracheostomies can be associated with potentially fatal risks such as airway obstruction, mucus plugging, tube displacement, bleeding and infection.6–7 Parents, professional healthcare providers (HCPs) and other carers must undergo a comprehensive training programme and competency assessments in order to manage required aspects of care, including providing suction, stoma care, tube changes and resuscitation.8–9 Training, knowledge and confidence in delivering this
type of care can remain a challenge for parents and HCPs alike.10–14

The burden of care on families caring for children with complex medical needs has been reported to be greater than understood by HCPs or the general public, prompting calls for better preparation and support for families.15 Quality of life of parents and carers of children requiring tracheostomy, who can also have a range of other complex healthcare needs, is poor and has been reported to be worse than those with children with other chronic conditions, such as diabetes, cancer and renal disease.16 Caring for a child with a tracheostomy has been shown to significantly affect carers’ sleep, emotional well-being, relationships and family life.7 10 17

A literature review in 2013 highlighted the lack of qualitative research investigating caregivers’ views and experiences of looking after a child with a tracheostomy.7 Since this review, there have been a limited number of studies completed within the UK setting, although these have made a valuable addition to our understanding of the burden of care on these families and their training needs.8 9 Qualitative research from other countries has also focused on specific experiences, such as decision-making around tracheostomy procedures and risk communication,12 18 19 transition to home,20–23 and the perspectives of children and young people themselves.24 These studies have mainly focused on the experiences of parents or other informal carers.25 Transferability of these findings to the UK healthcare setting, however, may be limited and there has been limited research that includes the perspectives of professional HCPs.

We therefore sought to explore the experiences of both parents and HCP caregivers, to allow valuable comparisons with a focus on the interface with the health and care system across healthcare and home settings. Through this exploration, we aimed to provide insights into care quality improvements and/or measures to improve the experience of caring, and quality of life, for carers.

**METHODS**

Qualitative semistructured interviews (n=34) were undertaken between July 2020 and February 2021 by telephone or video link with a maximal variation purposive sample of health professionals and parents of children with tracheostomies who had attended a tertiary care referral centre in the North of England. This centre serves a region that includes urban and remote rural areas and has an above average level of deprivation compared with the rest of England.26

**Participants and sampling**

All potential participants were approached by a clinician from a tertiary care centre with expertise in paediatric tracheostomy and provided information about the study in person, by email or post. Health professionals were purposively sampled to include variation of accounts in relation to professional roles (nurses, doctors, allied health professionals) and healthcare setting (covering primary, community, secondary and tertiary care) from across the North East of England and with different levels of experience of providing care for children with tracheostomies. Parents with experiences of caring for a child with a tracheostomy were purposively sampled to include diverse accounts in relation to age of child, range of additional care needs, time since the procedure and from those living across the large geographical region covered by the same tertiary care centre.

**Data collection and analysis**

Topic guides were developed based on key areas from the extant literature. Parent and HCP topic guides differed in question wording and additional prompts, although key areas covered were the same. These included experiences, training, resources, support and impact of the pandemic with specific prompts around individual and environmental context, the healthcare setting, specific needs of the child(ren) being cared for, emotions, coping, interactions and outcomes. Questions were open-ended with a focus on pursuing opportunities for exploration of participant-led topics and discussion. Data collection and analysis continued iteratively and concurrently and continued until no new themes were evident within the data (data saturation). Although key questions from the topic guides did not change throughout the data collection, some further specific prompts were included, where relevant, to enable comparisons with earlier interviews. All interviews were recorded and transcribed verbatim and field notes were recorded after each interview. Transcripts were anonymised and coded with the help of NVivo QSR International software V.12, 2018.

Exploratory data analysis was conducted iteratively in line with Braun and Clarke’s six-phase approach to reflexive thematic analysis.27 This involved: familiarisation with the data, initial coding, generating ‘patterns of shared meaning’ (themes), reviewing and validating themes, defining and naming themes, and interpreting and reporting. The coding process was informed, but not restricted, by qualitative evidence on experiences of care providers of children with complex medical needs28 and key constructs from a theoretical model of the experiences of caregiving, the Informal Caregiving Integrative Model (ICIM).29 The ICIM was developed based on integration of evidence from work around informal caregiving stress and professional burnout. The processes described within this model were felt to be applicable to understanding the experience and impact of providing care more widely, despite stress and burnout not being a specific focus of our study. This informed our initial coding and theme development; however, the main emphasis of our analysis was placed on inductive coding to allow prominence to the voices of our participants. Initial coding was developed into key themes that described the determinants and mediators of the experience of caregiving in this particular context, as well as the impact this had on families, HCPs and children. The themes described in this paper
focus on the influences on the experiences of caregiving at the interface with the health and care system from the perspectives of HCPs and parent caregivers. An overall coding frame was developed that allowed comparisons between the data and key themes from within both parent and HCP accounts. Data collection took place during the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic, which had a substantial impact on the experiences of caregiving at the time of the interviews. This was an important contextual factor that was accounted for during the interpretation of our findings but was not the focus of this analysis and is described in more detail elsewhere.

Interviews and analysis were conducted by a post-doctoral researcher with expertise in qualitative methods, behavioural science and health services research (NH) positioned outside of both the health and social care provision and work context of participants. Analysis and findings were shared with the rest of the research team, who have a range of clinical experience and expertise in tracheostomy care and training as well as qualitative research, to support enrichment of interpretations and for sense-checking and validation. Minor changes were made to improve the focus of the analysis, clarity of the narrative and connections between themes.

**Patient and public involvement**

Carers of children with tracheostomies were consulted informally within clinical settings about their views on the importance of research exploring experiences of caregiving, but were not specifically involved in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination of the work.

**RESULTS**

Interviews (n=34) were completed with 17 parents (15 families) and 17 professional HCPs. Participant characteristics are summarised in tables 1 and 2. Most parents had children who had a range of complex needs in addition to their tracheostomy and one had recently been decannulated.

Interviews (n=34) lasted from 40 to 125 min. Accounts from HCPs and parents differed in relation to content and depth of narratives with most HCPs’ interviews being shorter. This reflected both the more limited experiences of caring for children with tracheostomies by some of the HCPs interviewed, but also that caregiving was experienced and described as part of their professional roles. For parents, on the other hand, experiences of caregiving were inextricably intertwined with their everyday lives and identities as parents. This involved the sharing of more personal accounts and additional thematic complexity.

Figure 1 illustrates our coding framework and proposed links between the themes identified. Themes centre around the wider context, determinants and mediators of caregiving experiences and related outcomes. These reflect a range of carer demands and resources associated with: lived or professional context, individual clinical and support needs of the child(ren) being cared for, and the social environment and clinical settings in which caregiving is situated. Participant accounts described how these are influenced, and influence, interactions within and across health and social care settings. Key themes and how they inter-relate are described in more depth below.

### Table 1 Participant characteristics: parents (n=17)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time since tracheostomy at interview</th>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Identifiers (parent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 year</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P1, P3, P8, P14, P13, P17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1–5 years</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P2, P4, P5, P7, P10, P11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 years</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>P9, P16, P19, P20, P21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child's age at tracheostomy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1–6 months</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>P2, P4, P7, P9, P10, P11, P14, P16, P17, P19, P20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–12 months</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>P1, P13, P21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1 year</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>P3, P5, P8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carer interviewed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P7, P8, P9, P14, P17, P16, P10, P19, P21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>P11, P13, P20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Participant characteristics: professional healthcare providers (n=17)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specialty</th>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Identifiers (professional healthcare provider)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Otorhinolaryngology</td>
<td>Surgeons and specialist nurse</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>HCP1, HCP11, HCP7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paediatrics</td>
<td>Respiratory clinicians/nurses</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HCP8, HCP9, HCP10, HCP6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allied health professionals, A&amp;E/intensive care nurses</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>HCP4, HCP12, HCP13, HCP14, HCP17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary care paediatrician</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HCP2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community/primary care</td>
<td>Community nurses</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>HCP3, HCP16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HCP15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Specialist transport services staff</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HCP5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A&E, Accident and Emergency; GP, general practitioner.
and evidenced with verbatim participant quotes qualified with anonymous identifiers.

**Determinants**

**Individual ‘back stories’**

Our theme of individual ‘back stories’ came from the words of one of our participants who used this term to refer to important aspects of their lived context and experience that impacted on their caregiving. This theme also maps to the caregiver demands and resources element of the ICIM model.29

As to be expected, key aspects of the ‘back stories’ reported by participants that influenced the experience of caregiving differed between parent caregivers and HCPs. These determinants impacted on various aspects of their caregiving experience and included: perceived ability, capacity and confidence in being able to provide high-quality care, perceived and actual burden of care, beliefs about the caregiving role, as well as interactions with and within the healthcare setting.

For parents, ability and capacity to deal with the demands and burden of caregiving included: their own existing physical and emotional health; family background and circumstances; complexity of their child’s care needs; and previous experiences within the healthcare setting. The following quote illustrates the processes in figure 1 from the perspective of one single parent who described how key aspects of her own ‘back story’ had shaped her experiences of caregiving and her perceived ability to deal with the learning requirements associated with providing tracheostomy care for her child. It also highlights how interactions within the healthcare setting were integral to this experience. In this example, she recalls how one interaction had exacerbated the burden of adapting to the demands associated with becoming the sole caregiver of a child requiring 24-hour care, while dealing with the associated biographical disruption to her own life.

Some of them listened to your back story … I probably am a bit slower than other people, do you know? there’s no shame in that like but, some of them I just think were just like, a bit rude to me. …I want to add I’m at me discharge meeting at me lowest ever ebb, and I’m so down [emotionally] and I just remember thinking you just don’t get it do you? You just really don’t get it like, this is what I’m gonna have to go home to, this is gonna be twenty-four seven to me. The carers they don’t get it neither because they clock off and go home. (Parent 5)

HCP narratives were primarily grounded within their professional rather than personal experiences, and their ‘back stories’ were mainly related to: HCP role and work setting; professional competence and confidence; level and frequency of caring for patients with tracheostomies; and knowledge and training in tracheostomy care. Depending on their professional role and work setting, commonly mentioned challenges included limited opportunities to gain experience of caring for tracheostomised children due to limited frequency of contact, as well as the importance of access to training and updates. One of the HCPs described how the learning from an initial training day was difficult to embed due to infrequent exposure,
which was more of an issue for those not working within specialist tertiary care paediatric wards where dealing with children with tracheostomies was more common.

So, like, you only get competent the more you see the patients, don’t you? … you go on the tracheic study day and then you’re expected to see so many patients to get signed off in order to be classed as competent for your trachies, but in this setting [emergency care], they’re almost quite few and far between and then we’ll have like little flurries, so you tend to get quite a lot of trachies through and then some where you feel like you don’t see a trachie for ages, so I think if it’s been a little while and say you get a pre-alert for a tracheic venting in resuss [Resuscitation], you think “oh God, it’s been a little while”, and you sometimes run through that thing in your head where you’re being like “what am I doing?” So, I think that’s probably the biggest challenge. (HCP 14)

Interactions: navigating and negotiating the shared care space

Children with tracheostomies often have a range of other complex care needs and require involvement from a number of different secondary and tertiary care specialties, community care, social care (including independent care agencies) as well as general local hospitals and primary care practitioners. For children of school age, training in tracheostomy care is also provided to staff in nursery and school settings. This involves the navigation of complex health and social care systems and negotiation around the shared care space. The processes and structures described in the section above are primarily aimed at enabling transfer of responsibility of day-to-day medical care of children with tracheostomies to their families and other carers. For many, the transfer of responsibility seemed to have been an accepted and taken-for-granted assumption, rather than an explicitly negotiated shared agreement. Key subthemes relating to the negotiation required within this complex shared care and cross-organisational space included communication, relationships, trust, empowerment and other power dynamics.

There was recognition within HCP and parent accounts of the importance and value of the expertise of parents in relation to the care of their child and the complexities and challenges around the sharing of medical expertise and shifting of responsibilities over time. This could also at times be incongruent with expectations and traditional norms around parenting roles and responsibilities.

When we were doing the training, the nurse – I remember the nurses like quite vividly saying “you’re going to be the experts at this soon”, and [father’s name] and I laughing, because I thought they were joking… but they were right. (Parent 4)

From HCP perspectives, an emphasis was placed on empowering parents to be able to care for their child on their own, while ensuring they were able to meet the required training competencies in tracheostomy care.
Table 3  Variability in care and services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtheme</th>
<th>Example quotes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial support packages and respite care</td>
<td>It’s very variable about how much care package you get, depending on where you live. It’s not standardised… if you live in [place X], you might get a bigger financial package and more hours [respite care support] than if you lived in [place Y] and it just doesn’t seem to be any rhyme nor reason towards that, it’s just dependent how much money and how many children who have got tracheostomies with special needs in the area. (HCP 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of care</td>
<td>Everything is always a constant battle. The same with even getting an OT out. At the moment we’ve got two fantastic OT’s who are really on the ball, which is great, but they don’t tend to stay more than a year. We had…there’s such a fast turnover in the system of- all specialists. It’s the constant change that can be an issue a lot of the time. (Parent 9) In hospital you become so dependent and reliant on people around you… and suddenly … It was just like, hospital into home with carers who wouldn’t have a clue how to care for a goldfish… Let alone a child with a trachie. (Parent 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access</td>
<td>Me and my husband both feel that we always say that we’re really lucky to live where we live and to have the [name] hospital as our local hospital … we’ve got access to such um you know specialist in our area, so we do feel very, very lucky …. we’ve always said that the level of care that we’ve got there has been so high, that we’re just lucky that we live close-by. (Parent 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training provision</td>
<td>We have quite a junior staff base. We get quite a lot of newly qualifieds in, and I think trachies are the one thing that I feel like everyone is pretty scared of when you haven’t got experience of them. And so I think until you get some supported learning and some supported practice with the trachies, they seem like a bit of an intimidating thing, which you can imagine [pause] for parents must be really challenging when you’re coming into an environment where – not that the parents would always know that, but I think as a department we’re quite good at now trying to get people on their trachie study day quite quickly. (HCP 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffing, skills and capacity</td>
<td>It’s very much kind of potluck, but when you’re a junior nurse, you may end up being more competent quicker than somebody else that you started with on the same week, just because the, the mentor that you’re working with, um, you just so happen to be getting more tracheostomy patients than somebody else. So, it’s just… luck of how often you get these patients, but how quickly you build up your skills and confidence in looking after these patients. (HCP 12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We always try to sort of empower the parents to take on the activities, once they feel able to do that rather than just being a spectator and, you know, the nurse coming in and washing and dressing the child and giving them medication, and maybe the play team come in to play with the child, it’s like, well you’re the mum and you’re the dad, you would’ve been doing all of this. So it’s very much about sort of empowering and sort of acknowledging their role, they are still the mummy and the daddy, and they need to look after this child, and helping them do that, but, so it’s a really kind of emotional time. (HCP 13)

Shared care and responsibility could, nevertheless, at times involve an unspoken and complex negotiation of power and trust that needed to be navigated in varying ways across different professionals, hospital departments and healthcare settings.

I was asking them [the nurses] at first but then … as time went by I just thought right I’ll bath her (baby) I’ll do it all myself and then I’ll give her a trachie change. And then they would come over and they’re like “oh has she had her trachie changed?” then that would knock me because I’d think “oh god should… did they need to know that I was doing that?” … They weren’t saying I was doing anything wrong, do you know what I mean? But it, do you know when you start to doubt yourself thinking “god should I have passed that by them or…”? (Parent 14)

The parent is very much the expert and I think we have to be guided by that quite a lot on here, which can be maybe a bit of a challenging thing to get your head around initially, because you feel like you’re the one who’s supposed to be like managing…. I think I always adopted the approach of, like, just be really transparent about it. Like talk to the parents about what’s their child’s normal. (HCP 14)

All parents over time seemed to recognise the importance of their role and their contribution their expertise in caring for their child brought to their overall care and their need to advocate for their child.

It’s so important for a doctor in general to listen to parents and the way I try to describe it to doctors, is that they’re the expert in medical needs and how the body works and what things need to be done to keep the body working well… but I’m the expert in [Child name]. (Parent 20)

Lack of trust and previous negative experiences of not being listened to, or their knowledge not being acknowledged, meant that many parents felt strongly that they
needed to advocate for their child to ensure they received the quality care required.

[child’s name] cannot speak out, so I have to be her advocate to make sure that things go well for her.
(Parent 8)

Being listened to and valued was seen as positive by parents. For one parent, who has been involved in a training event for HCPs, and for HCPs, there was acknowledgement of the value of sharing experiences (see box 1).

Parents who had been caring for their child at home were also routinely relied upon to provide tracheostomy care within healthcare settings. Parents’ narratives included examples of negative experiences that had resulted in a lack of trust and a need to check that the HCP looking after their child was competent in tracheostomy care.

I’ve noticed when parents know that you’re tracheostomy trained and experienced then they’re a little bit more relaxed and are happy to kind of leave… um they can then go and get a little bit of respite as such.
(HCP 5)

This additional burden and responsibility tended to be an accepted and expected part of caring for a child with a tracheostomy and was subject to variation across different care settings. Box 2 includes quotes that exemplify how reliance on the expertise of parents can be influenced by a lack of standardised training, infrequent exposure and the need for different care routines.

The importance of good communication and building relationships that support trust and enabling a collaborative approach to shared care was highlighted by many of the HCPs and parents alike (see table 4).

In the region from which our interviewees were based, a paediatric tracheostomy specialist nurse had been appointed to help provide oversight over family and HCP training, care standards, support with communication and coordination of care needs across health and care settings, and providing continuity of care and a key point of contact within a complex care system. This role was valued and was also seen to be important in avoiding over-reliance on parents as communication conduits between different elements of the healthcare system (see box 3).

Mediators
The examples provided in the sections above also provide reference to some key mediators of the experiences and outcomes of caregiving for both parent and professional caregivers, such as coping ability and styles; self-efficacy; availability of and/or access to physical resources such as equipment, funding, training and respite care; and social support.

Parents described how ongoing communication and relationships with HCPs become an integral part of everyday life. Most children, particularly those with other
families with similar experiences was reported to have had forums and support groups were variable, however, when of caregiving. Access to and perceived value of online for families and played a key role in parents’ experiences other social care providers was, however, a key challenge in managing multiple appointments and perspectives.

HCPs. This was often associated with practical difficulties complex medical needs, are seen by a wide range of HCPs. This was often associated with practical difficulties in managing multiple appointments and perspectives. The need for respite care, either from extended family or other social care providers was, however, a key challenge for families and played a key role in parents’ experiences of caregiving. Access to and perceived value of online forums and support groups were variable, however, when available, social and informational support from other families with similar experiences was reported to have had a range of positive impacts, including health outcomes for some children as well as emotional and physical health of the parents.

Tracheostomy carer training ensures parents have sufficient knowledge and met standard core competencies for meeting the physical care needs of tracheostomy care; however, perceived preparedness for other aspects of care and support with the burden of care was more varied. For example, psychological support was unavailable to many parents, or was only available through other routes such as through other hospital departments, including the special care baby units. Support with accessing and dealing with the management of respite care arrangements via local authority care plans, or the organisation of multiple multidisciplinary specialties appointments and follow-up plans was also reported to be inconsistent (see table 5).

For HCPs, a key mediator was the support they received from their immediate work colleagues as well as wider multidisciplinary teams. This played a key role across all settings in their experience of, and confidence in, providing high-quality care to children with tracheostomies. Narratives suggested that communication and relationships between health professionals are critical in supporting shared care, burden, responsibility and decision-making and are stronger within, rather than between, health and social care setting boundaries. The specialist nurse role mentioned above was seen to provide a valuable addition to helping improve access to standardised information and training and supporting communication between different care teams. Professional networks were also seen to offer reassurance and provision of up-to-date knowledge. Established clinical pathways, protocols and processes around all key stages of caregiving (eg, from assessment, decision to tracheostomy, training parents, discharge and follow-up) were also important mechanisms for supporting HCP roles and key to facilitating self-efficacy. Table 6 provides examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Relationships, communication trust and continuity of care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuity of care</td>
<td>I think having those relationships, it’s really important, especially, as I say, for children like [child’s name], that they have time to get to know the staff and the staff, we were going into A&amp;E once … and I always remember the girl was called (name) I think she’s a Ward Sister there now, and she just went straight away. ‘I’ll get you into a side room because I know [child’s name] doesn’t like lots of strangers’ and as we walked into the room she turned the lights out and she went, ‘She doesn’t like bright light neither, does she?’ and I was like, ‘Thank God we’ve got somebody here who understands and remembers, they know and could remember visits in the past when I’d said, ‘Can we lower the lights, because she struggles in a really bright light’?… that made a massive impact for us and our visit on that day… and it’s that, it’s that kind of, it might seem a small thing but it’s huge, it’s huge to parents to know… (Parent 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>I’m going to be seeing So and So, I can ask them anything that, you know, they’ll not think I’m stupid if I ask what I consider to be a daft question… as parents, if it’s something new you need to and if it’s got to be done in a particular way you need somebody who has got that relationship, can go, ‘Hang on a minute, no, that’s, that’s not right, don’t do it like that… because this could happen’… but if you’ve got somebody who you’ve got a relationship with,…who you trust … then you can, you can have very honest conversations and sometimes that’s what needs to happen from both sides. (Parent 8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A&E, Accident and Emergency; HCP, healthcare provider.

Box 3 Importance and value of specialist tracheostomy nurse role

Value of specialist tracheostomy nurse role

⇒ You need to have more (specialist nurse role) because…she’s the only trachie expert in the hospital… So, I think, you know, if you had other, possibly another two people who were trained, ready to step into that role, erm, and also to be there so parents get to know them before a change happens, erm, so that you can build that relationship… if you just have a child who was coming in who didn’t have any additional needs, was poorly, was getting a trachie, and you hadn’t been in the hospital system before, it, it can overwhelm you. So, I think … the most productive relationships are the ones that are based on trust and familiarity, so you know the person when you’re coming in, that’s a big thing and it’s a big thing for the children as well, to have somebody in that continuation. Not to be chopping and changing all the time. (Parent 8)

⇒ Once they’re discharged, we sail along and if things are going smoothly, we probably don’t need to have that communication very much with the hospital. The parents tend to tell you what’s happening. So they’re… you know, we’re going back in for a study or we’re going back in for a review or the trachie needs upsizing. So that… we tend to just get that all from the parents. Um but I must say, the last few months, [name], the specialist nurse, has been … giving us information that we would never have normally had. (HCP 16)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtheme</th>
<th>Example quotes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCP support</td>
<td>I had to push to be, escalated to the (name) hospital and [get the] support that I’ve had… but (consultant name) and (name) and the two of them that are just, the most amazing support that was offered to me… Even after, after her trachie do you know what I mean these two people, well not (name), cos I haven’t seen (name) for a while but (consultant name) He understands and I think it was having someone believe in you and understand you made it easier to then look after my daughter. Cos I just didn’t feel like it was all going wrong and I was getting it wrong and like other people knew better than me. (Parent 5) One of the problems you can have with a tracheostomy is over granulation. Unfortunately normally community nursing teams are meant to deal with that but our community nursing team don’t [offer specific treatment] … [specialist nurse] actually came out to our home and did the treatment at home, which was fantastic. (Parent 19) They do listen… the individual teams at [hospital name] seem to do that quite well and work together…what the problem is is that once you come outside of that one hospital, it doesn’t come together as well… that working together and taking account of all the, all the other needs together, isn’t there as much. (Parent 20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respite care</td>
<td>We had like an MDT in the hospital and it was agreed that we’d need a carer to come and help with (child’s name)’s care at home because obviously we’ve got another little one as well. It was agreed that (child’s name) could access respite facilities, um and this was all before the [covid-19] lockdown. So when we did get home, all of that discussion and all of those plans were put on hold because we couldn’t get any carers and it was due to the training, we couldn’t get anybody to the house, we couldn’t access respite. (Parent 3) It’s difficult. Ern, which is probably why we’ve managed to stick with one carer. We’ve had a few others come and go. Ern, that were kind of…you know…like [carer name]’s relief workers really and its awkward for everyone all round, isn’t it really. Having someone else in your home, but you tend to just get on with it, and like I say, if you click with that person then it-it works out… Yeah, she’s just like another family member now [laughter]. (Parent 9) If we don’t have [respite] care, if we’ve been up all night… meeting [child’s] care needs, how are we able to meet their care needs the next day? Me and the wife have both had treatment from the doctors for exhaustion… we’ve both got one or two health conditions, since we’ve been caring for [child]. Before, you know, I was fairly healthy… I’ve got to lift him everywhere…because of that I’ve er injured my neck and I’ve got constant pins and needles down the left hand side of my body. (Parent 20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online groups and support from other parents</td>
<td>I think as time’s gone on it’s all the practical things, I’ve found that Facebook [tracheostomy] support group really, really useful and there’s things that I’ve learnt from there that I wish somebody would have told me early on. (Parent 7) Most of them seem to end up on social media and go on to some scary Facebook page. So we try and avoid them to do that. We try and sort of say, look, at this one first, some more factual information and then some of them will just naturally then head towards Facebook groups for support. (HCP 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other social support</td>
<td>It depends on the families and it depends on the support systems they’ve got in place at home because I think if they’ve got family that are good and support them and happy to help out in any way, then… but I must admit, the last six months have been hard for families, haven’t they? Covid, not being able to get anyone else to, to give them a break. (HCP 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological support</td>
<td>We don’t have a psychologist on our ward for parents, which absolutely makes no sense when there’s a psychologist on most wards for, not minimal, but to our, our standard, minimal things. So it’s the parents that are dealing with the child that’s going to die and they have no psychological support, which is just ludicrous. We don’t understand it. Apparently, there’s no funding for it and for us to have one. So I feel like, if we had a psychologist, they would definitely feel… it would be nice to have someone who wasn’t… that’s always around them and to just talk through the situation, not from a medical point of view, just, you know, like first from a psychological point of view from the parents… (HCP 17) I had to arrange it [counselling] myself. I went for an assessment with the perinatal mental health team in [name] hospital and they wanted us to, seek some support at the [name] hospital from the psychologist on the trache team, but I wanted something that was completely separate to [child name], I don’t want… like, what I’m talking about, to, to be linked with [child name]. For me the [name] hospital has got a lot of bad memories, so I didn’t want the memory travelling to the [name] hospital for whatever support… so I’ve gone private with it. (Parent 17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HCP, healthcare provider; MDT, multidisciplinary team.

of how different types of support can impact on HCPs’ experiences. Key challenges described, however, included variable capacity of teams and differences in expertise and experience across departments and even in some cases, shift patterns. Some HCPs found dealing with the emotions of parents and children more challenging than others; this seemed to be partly due to individuals but also...
to expectations relating to their professional roles. The following quote explains how this can be affected by a range of factors, including the needs and age of the child.

I found that a lot harder because it, not only were you dealing with the parents’ anxiety and fear, but hers as well, um, because she was that much older, she’d got all of this experience of not needing a tracheostomy. So she was really fearful, she was really anxious. Every time we would come near her, I mean I can’t even begin to imagine what she, the feeling of having a tracheostomy suctioned, you know, it must feel horrendous, so, and you could see it on her face. She, she was just terrified the whole time that we were near her… a few of us went down to see on the ward afterwards and she’d totally got used to having that tracheostomy. So she was really fearful, she was really anxious. (HCP 12)

Table 6  Mechanisms of support for HCPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtheme</th>
<th>Example quote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Team working</td>
<td>If we have difficulties at a weekend and I was really struggling with a problem I’m sure one of them [other members of the team off duty] wouldn’t be concerned if I gave them a call and asked for advice, or even you know to come in and give me a hand if there was a particular issue. So, we have a good support network. (HCP 1) Think some of the challenges will be in… in terms of making that decision, and making sure that you’ve, involved the parents fully in the discussion. you’ll… kind of, go through the different options that might be available, you’ve explored those options, you’ve discussed with, like, the relevant teams. You know, whichever teams… other teams might be involved. So as a collective, I suppose you can come to, an understanding and a decision about what’s in the best interests what’s needed for the child at that time. (HCP 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional networks</td>
<td>You can gain an insight in terms of what’s going on, nationally, I suppose, through discussions that you might have with peers, or at, conferences, or other events that you might attend, … and there’s an opportunity to attend, study days. (HCP 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical pathways and protocols</td>
<td>Having a protocol has really helped, so everyone does the tracheostomies in exactly the same way, in a very stepwise manner… that really helped me in terms of my understanding and learning of the steps, is that I always had that structured method of doing things… it’s not sort of a national protocol, but everyone works along the same sort of guidelines. (HCP 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training and exposure</td>
<td>So there’s the trachee study day, which is delivered or arranged by the airway specialist nurse, so there’s that training, but kind of training as an OT [occupational therapist] sort of from university, you, you wouldn’t get any specialist training. I think most of it is kind of learning on the job, and any in house training that you, you can be party of and, you know, the specialist nurses are very good, and are very willing to kind of go through things, and, you know, should you need to know like anything more. (HCP 13) I think the trainees are getting more exposure to more complex paediatric ENT procedures than they used to. I think that is certainly something that is good and compared to it was say ten years ago. (HCP 11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Outcomes: individual and general**

As illustrated in figure 1 and described above, reported experiences of caregiving include wide-ranging impacts on the caregiver, the child, and in some case also immediate and extended family, including siblings, grandparents, etc. The burden of care on parents had far-reaching impact on all aspects of their and their families’ lives, including their psychological and physical health, quality of life and employment opportunities, as well as the cultural and societal norms and expectations associated with parenthood. These experiences in turn can influence their perceived ability and capacity to cope, which can then reinforce and further impact negative effects of stress on health and well-being and the capacity and ability to provide quality care.

Although a number of challenges were described by HCPs, there was little perceived impact of providing care for children with tracheostomies, due mainly to this being an expected part of their professional roles. Where emotional impact was reported, this was not seen to be specific to caregiving for children with tracheostomies and often described as ‘part of the job’. Although impact was not a major theme in the HCP accounts, when it was mentioned, this related mainly to confidence, perceived quality of care provided and job satisfaction.

**DISCUSSION**

In line with theoretical frameworks for understanding the impact of informal caregiving, our findings highlight key determinants (family capacity and ability; health provider capacity and ability; system factors; and factors relating to the interface with the health and care system) and mediators (coping and appraisal; self-efficacy; physical resources; and social, team and professional support) that influence how people deal with caregiving and the
impact this has. For parents, access to care packages and respite care at home are key influences on their experience and quality of life. For HCPs, the importance of multidisciplinary team and colleague support, established clinical pathways and processes, and access to training and updates were most important. The related impacts of caregiving (such as psychological and physical health and quality of life of parents and their families, and confidence and perceived quality of care) can in turn influence reappraisal of the situation, self-efficacy and coping resources. This is in line with established evidence and theory on stress and coping, whereby, with any response to a potential threat or stressor, there is an ongoing process of reappraisal of an individual’s initial or primary appraisal of the threat as well as the resources and coping strategies at their disposal to respond to it.31

Our findings map closely to the constructs included within the ICIM,29 which informed our initial coding framework. Although this model was developed to explain informal caregiver burnout, which was not a key focus of our study and did not appear in the narratives of HCPs, we feel that the processes and constructs within it were able to be more widely applied to the general psychological and emotional impact of caregiving in this context. Our analytical approach was therefore theoretically informed but allowed flexibility and ensured that emergent themes reflecting the voices of our participants were incorporated into our final coding framework and findings.

A key theme threaded throughout our findings was the lack of standardisation of many aspects of health and care settings in which caregiving experiences were situated and the ad-hoc nature of some key mediators. Examples included: geographical variation in access to different support teams, care packages and respite care; differences in access to regular training and updates; variation in health provider expertise and experiences across roles, settings and even shift patterns, which can be exacerbated in healthcare settings with limited frequency of contact with children with tracheostomies. Other wider policy and organisational influences included resource and funding constraints, existing relationships and communication between primary, community, secondary, tertiary and social care. As a consequence of the timing of data collection, the COVID-19 pandemic was also a key theme, and this has been described elsewhere.30

Our findings concur with previous work highlighting the significant burden placed on parents who care for children with complex medical needs, and in particular children with tracheostomies.7 8 10 17 Negative impacts on quality of life, physical and mental health and family function have previously been reported in families with children who rely on medical technologies15 21 and tracheostomies more specifically.7 20 This includes effects on sleep, relationships, social life and ability to work.18 19 Our findings also complement qualitative research from other countries focused on specific experiences, such as decision-making around tracheostomy procedures and risk communication,12 18 19 transition to home,20–23 home care,32 social support33 and healthcare seeking.34 These studies have focused mainly on the experiences of informal caregivers and on intensive care settings.25

Our study included a range of perspectives from families with children of different ages, care needs and time since tracheostomy, as well as the inclusion of perspectives of a range of HCPs, and a focus on the interaction within the shared care spaces across health and social care and home settings.

Findings from UK-based studies have previously identified a need for regular revision of skills and information around paediatric tracheostomy clinical care for informal carers9 46 and HCPs.13 A need for improved tracheostomy education among paediatric HCPs to help improve knowledge, confidence and skills has also been identified in the USA.35 36 Our findings suggest that standardised and regular training provision and availability, in particular for HCPs who do not have consistent exposure to providing paediatric tracheostomy care, still remain an issue.

A recent qualitative phenomenological study focusing on the facilitators and barriers to the tracheostomy decision-making process18 highlighted the importance of communication and team working. Our findings suggest that this is an important aspect of the caregiving experience for families and HCPs across the entire tracheostomy care journey. We also highlight the challenges associated with clinical care responsibilities being transferred to parents and the need to acknowledge and include parents as experts. Our findings concur with those from a qualitative study on the experiences of parents of children with rare diseases,37 other complex health conditions38–40 or who require complex medical care at home,15 whereby key challenges for parents were navigating the healthcare system and the added burden of the additional role of care coordinator. Work in the USA and Canada has shown that the use of patient navigators41 or family-centred care coordination16 can be helpful in supporting patients and their caregivers in navigating the healthcare system to improve access and integration of care and improving quality of life.

Findings from a study exploring the mechanisms through which an interprofessional team approach can improve the management of patients with a tracheostomy found that communication and the use of standardised practice protocols were important.14 We are not aware of any research that includes family carers as experts within the multidisciplinary team, and Baumbusch et al.17 highlight that parents’ roles as expert caregivers are rarely acknowledged by HCPs. In contrast, our findings suggest that this was a fairly widely accepted narrative across our HCP sample. For example, there was a reported acknowledgement of reliance on the expertise of the parents in the tracheostomy care of their child across a range of healthcare situations and settings, particularly when HCP expertise or knowledge of individual children’s care needs is lacking. How to negotiate this complex
power dynamic was not straightforward and is not often explicitly addressed. Kirk\(^4\) proposes that expectations of parental involvement, feelings of obligation and a lack of community resources can act as barriers to negotiation of care roles and that it is important that changes in power dynamics and parent–professional relationships are based on partnership rather than conflict. Our findings highlight how this process is also likely to be closely intertwined with parents navigating their own biographical disruption as a result of their child’s needs and what it means to be a parent, particularly when expectations and responsibilities normally associated with parenting are temporarily taken over by HCPs, as also described in previous work with parents of children with mental health conditions.\(^1\)

The importance of standardisation of care and training to help improve care quality has been previously highlighted.\(^5\) Initiatives such as the Global Tracheostomy Collaborative,\(^6\) and work looking at the potential of virtual and online training as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic\(^5\) may help address some of these issues in this setting. In England, the multidisciplinary National Tracheostomy Safety Project has developed a standardised universal approach to improve the management of tracheostomy and laryngectomy critical incidents.\(^6\)\(^4\) However, there are currently no paediatric tracheostomy-specific service specifications, which are clearly defined standards of care expected from organisations funded by National Health Service England, to provide specialised care for certain specialist conditions or interventions. This means that the levels of care and support are only defined on a local level. We would advocate the development of a paediatric tracheostomy service specification, including extensive multidisciplinary support. Children with tracheostomies often have a range of other complex medical needs, so it is important that the challenges of families in navigating the complexities of shared care arrangements, appointments and support across hospital departments and different health and care organisations are taken into consideration. Our findings suggest that a key area to be addressed for families is accessing funding support, care packages and respite care across different local authority areas. This does not seem to be specific to the UK and has been identified as an issue elsewhere.\(^5\)\(^2\)

In addition, supporting access to formal psychological support for families, and wider social support more generally, may also help mediate the consequences associated with burden of care.\(^5\)\(^6\) Supporting the emotional needs of parents and children was also one of the areas that HCPs found challenging in our interviews. Emotional support has also been identified as one of the key areas carers feel needed to be addressed in tracheostomy care training.\(^9\)

The interviewees in our study were recruited from a region covered by a tertiary care centre benefitting from a fairly recently implemented paediatric tracheostomy specialist nurse role supporting HCPs and families. This type of role may help address challenges associated with some important mediators of the caregiving experience and quality of care identified in our study, such as the availability of standardised clinical pathways, processes and guidance, as well as relationships, teamwork and communication across families, health, care and educational organisations supporting children with tracheostomies. Such roles may also be beneficial for developing trust and providing a key point of contact and supporting continuity of care, which has also been highlighted as an important issue for parents caring for children with other complex chronic health conditions.\(^3\)\(^8\)

Further research would be required to assess whether national standards of care, or the expansion of novel specialist nurse roles, or changes to training provision may help to improve quality of care. Multiple measurable outcomes could be used, such as reducing the significant healthcare utilisation and cost burden associated with hospital readmissions in children with tracheostomies\(^8\) or outcomes such as morbidity, mortality, and quality of life for children and families.

**Strengths and limitations**

Despite clear evidence of open and honest accounts from all participants, it is likely that HCPs may have felt sensitive about disclosures that could have been linked to the provision of care or professional practice perceived to be substandard. This may have been minimised by the researcher (NH) being positioned outside of both the health and social care provision and work context of participants; however, it is possible that awareness of the involvement of other clinical members of the research team may have influenced participants’ narratives. Coding and analysis were completed mainly by NH with reconciliation of inductive and deductive findings involving thoughtful engagement with the analytical process and the data. In line with reflexive thematic analysis,\(^27\) it is recognised that the researcher’s expertise and behavioural science background will have played an active role in interpretation, coding and knowledge production. Having not previously provided care to a child with a tracheostomy or worked clinically within the healthcare setting allowed a ‘fresh’ perspective not influenced by prior knowledge of the caregiver setting and environmental context. At the same time, this may have limited understanding of the experiences being described. Consultation with JP, who has clinical and setting insight, and NR, who has expertise in qualitative data analysis and patient and health professional experiences of healthcare, provided the opportunity to sense-check ideas and enrich interpretations of the data, which were then further contextualised by the rest of the clinical research team.

Due to the demands on caregivers’ time, interviews were chosen for pragmatic concerns to allow more flexibility to fit in with individual availability. Interactions within focus groups may have provided additional understanding around shared experiences than our individual interviews were able to.

As data collection took place during the pandemic restrictions, all interviews were completed over the phone...
or online, which may have influenced participation and engagement. Only three of the parents who agreed to be interviewed were fathers. This is likely to be reflective of the tendency of responsibility for care to be gendered. Although training in tracheostomy care is required by all parents, our findings reflect those of Harden that even in dual-parent families, the mother tended to generally be the primary carer and was usually, but not always, the main point of contact with health and care services. Further research around the impact of caregiving in this setting on parenting roles, including consequences on employment and financial security, identity and biographical disruption, may be valuable.

Inequities associated with respite care and associated funding and resources were important themes throughout the interviews. HCPs included were from healthcare settings, and professionals and informal caregivers from social care and education settings were not interviewed. These are likely to have different experiences and needs. Exploring these different perspectives may provide additional insight and be a valuable avenue for further research. Other significant and crucial aspects of parents’ experiences of caregiving evident in our interviews were not covered in depth within this paper as they were outside the main aims of the analysis but would also benefit from future attention. These include the consequences on identities, biographical disruption and parenting roles.

Our study includes experiences of caring for children with a wide range of complex care needs that have a tracheostomy. The complexity of their care needs was a key factor in experiences of caregiving and although we were confident that no new themes were emerging from our final interviews, our findings may not be representative of all carers’ experiences. Furthermore, our study was completed in a region in England served by one tertiary care centre and may not be fully transferable to other regions.

CONCLUSION

Our findings highlight some theoretically informed issues specific to caregivers of children with tracheostomies that are also likely be of relevance to carers of children with other complex medical issues. Integration of perspectives from both informal carers (parents) as well as formal HCPs can help to understand how to support system-level changes to improve the experience of caregiving by improving communication and relationships and reducing the burden and potential psychological and physical impact on informal caregivers. We advocate the development of a paediatric tracheostomy service specification, including extensive multidisciplinary support that accounts for identified key priorities, such as recognition of the involvement and expertise of parents, training and ongoing education needs of HCPs and families, increasing use of paediatric tracheostomy specialist nurse roles, and addressing the emotional and psychological support needs of caregivers.
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