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Background The current guidelines of the American Heart Association and European Society of Cardiology recommend that patients experiencing RVMI are not administered nitrates, due to the risk that decreasing preload in the setting of already compromised right ventricular ejection fraction may reduce cardiac output and precipitate hypotension. The cohort study (n = 1206), suggesting that this topic merits systematic review.

Method The protocol was registered on PROSPERO and published in Evidence Synthesis. Six databases were systematically searched in January 2021: PubMed, Embase, MEDLINE Complete, Cochrane CENTRAL Register, CINAHL, and Google Scholar. Identified studies were assessed for quality and bias and data extracted by two investigators using JBI tools and methods. Risk ratios and 95% confidence intervals were calculated, and meta-analysis performed using the random effects inverse variance method.

Results Five studies (n=1113) were suitable. Outcomes included haemodynamics, GCS, syncpe, arrest, and death. Arrest and death did not occur in the RVMI group. Meta-analysis was possible for sublingual nitroglycerin 400 mcg (2 studies, n=1050) and found no statistically significant difference in relative risk to combined inferior and RVMI at 1.31 (95%CI 0.81–2.12, p=0.27), with an absolute effect of 2 additional adverse events per 100 treatments. Results remained robust under sensitivity analysis. Other studies are severely limited by sample sizes well below optimal information size.

Conclusion This review suggests that the contraindication on nitrate administration during RVMI is not supported by the evidence informing this appraisal for 400 mcg sublingual nitroglycerin. Key limitations include not evaluating beneficial effects, low certainty of evidence, and only two studies being included. A further study of sublingual nitroglycerin. Key limitations include not evaluating beneficial effects, low certainty of evidence, and only two studies being included. A further study of sublingual nitroglycerin.
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Background The COVID-19 Ambulance Response Assessment (CARA) study was a prospective, longitudinal survey of UK ambulance staff during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. CARA aimed to evaluate perceptions of preparedness and wellbeing, and to collect staff suggestions to benefit working practices and conditions.

Method Three online questionnaires were presented, coinciding with the acceleration, peak and deceleration phases of the first COVID-19 wave in 2020. Inductive thematic analysis was employed to represent 14,237 free text responses from 3,717 participants to 18 free-text questions overall. This report focuses on experiences of IPC practices.

Results Many participants lacked confidence in using PPE because of low familiarity, an inadequate evidence-base and changing policy. Some experienced insufficient supply, items of poor quality and suboptimal fit-testing procedure. PPE use was further influenced by discomfort, urgency, and perceptions of risk. Various suggestions were made to improve IPC practices, including decontamination personnel, staff ‘bubbles’ and limiting exposure through public education and remote triage improvements.

Conclusion Repeated poor experiences of implementing IPC practices demand that lessons are learnt from this pandemic. PPE developed with specific regard for ambulance staff’s unique working environment and for them to receive regular familiarization training in its use would likely benefit performance and confidence. Overall, ambulance staff emphasised the need for IPC policies to be pragmatic, evidence-based and communicated with clarity.
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Background The first Resuscitation Academy Germany (RAD) aims to improve the outcomes after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) systematically and sustainably according to Eisenberg’s 10-step program developed 2008 in Seattle/King County, USA. Participating Emergency Medical Services