What are the characteristics of excellent physicians and residents in the clinical workplace? A systematic review
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ABSTRACT

Objectives In order to recognise and facilitate the development of excellent medical doctors (physicians and residents), it is important to first identify the characteristics of excellence. Failure to recognising excellence causes loss of talent, loss of role models and it lowers work ethos. This causes less than excellent patient care and lack of commitment to improve the healthcare system.

Design Systematic review performed according to the Association for Medical Education in Europe guideline.

Information sources We searched Medline, Embase, Psycinfo, ERIC and CINAHL until 14 March 2022.

Eligibility criteria We included original studies describing characteristics of excellent medical doctors, using a broad approach as to what is considered excellence. Assuming that excellence will be viewed differently depending on the interplay, and that different perspectives (peers, supervisors and patients) will add to a complete picture of the excellent medical doctor, we did not limit this review to a specific perspective.

Data extraction and synthesis Data extraction and quality assessment were performed independently by two researchers. We used the Quality Assessment Tool for Different Designs for quality assessment.

Results Eleven articles were eligible and described the characteristics from different perspectives: (1) physicians on physicians, (2) physicians on residents, (3) patients on physicians and (4) mixed group (diverse sample of participants on physicians). The included studies showed a wide range of characteristics, which could be grouped into competencies (communication, professionalism and knowledge), motivation (directed to learning and to patient care) and personality (flexibility, empathy).

Conclusions In order to define excellence of medical doctors three clusters seem important: competence, motivation and personality. This is in line with Renzulli’s model of gifted behaviour. Our work adds to this model by specifying the content of these clusters, and as such provides a basis for definition and recognition of medical excellence.

INTRODUCTION

Some medical doctors (physicians and residents) are identified as being excellent by their peers, supervisors, teachers or patients. Studying these excellent medical doctors is important as it can help in recognising and suitably challenging them throughout their professional development. Failure to recognise excellence is known to cause low morale and prestige among clinicians, suboptimal clinical care, loss of talented clinicians, lack of commitment to improve patient care systems and fewer excellent clinician role models to inspire others.1 Knowing how excellence is characterised, supervisors and teachers could promote the development of excellent medical doctors, for example, by optimising their learning strategies using the characteristics of excellence.

There is no explicit definition of an ‘excellent doctor’ in the literature.2 3 The existing theories on excellence seem primarily aimed at describing excellent (or ‘gifted’) children and young adolescents.4 5 Although these theories seem broadly applicable, we do not know whether they also apply to the medical field. However, these models indicate there is more to ‘being excellent’, as excellence depends on ‘above average ability’, ‘creativity’ and ‘task commitment’ according to Renzulli. Therefore, as a first step to define excellence of medical doctors, we performed a systematic review to identify characteristics of excellence of medical. Assuming that, excellence may be viewed differently depending on the interplay one has with the clinician, and that
these different perspectives will add to a complete picture of the excellent clinician, we included different perspectives in this review. We aimed to identify building blocks for a definition on excellence of medical doctors.

### METHODS

This systematic review was performed according to the Association for Medical Education in Europe (AMEE) guide to approach systematic reviews and was aligned to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses 2020 checklist.5-7 This review was not registered prior to its start. However, the protocol is available as a supplementary document (see online supplemental appendix 1).

**Data sources and searches**

We searched Medline (Ovid), Embase (Ovid), Psycinfo (Ovid), ERIC (Ovid) and CINAHL (Ebscohost) for possible eligible studies from the earliest available date for each database. The search strategy was developed in collaboration with an information specialist (FvE-J) and was conducted on 14 March 2022. For the search strategy per database see online supplemental appendix 2.

**Terminology**

We consider the term ‘medical doctors’ to encompass ‘physicians’ and ‘residents’. Physicians are all who ‘are qualified by education and authorised by law to practice medicine’.8 Residents, or postgraduate medical trainees, are those who finished medical school, obtained their undergraduate medical degree and practice medicine in any setting (eg, a hospital or primary care setting).9

We did not use a definition of excellence, and instead chose to search broadly in the literature.

**Study selection**

No restrictions were applied concerning publication date, language or geography. Eligibility criteria are listed in table 1.

**RESULTS**

The search yielded 7135 articles: 29 were identified as relevant after initial screening of titles and abstracts and 11 were included after reviewing the full texts (figure 1).13-23 The included articles were published between 2004 and 2017 with different designs (survey, interview, Delphi method) and from different countries (table 2). We found four different perspectives on excellent medical doctors; (1) physicians on physicians,13-17 (2) physicians on residents,18-20 (3) patients on physicians21 22 and (4) mixed group (diverse sample of participants on physicians).23 We will describe the characteristics of each perspective. The mean QATSDD scores for qualitative studies were 29.8/42,13 15-20 22 25 for the quantitative study 25/4226 and for the mixed-method study 35/48.14 Studies scored low (0 or 1 point) on ‘explicit theoretical framework’.13 15 16 18-22 Sample size considered in terms of

---

**Table 1** Inclusion and exclusion criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Describes excellence or synonym of excellence (eg, exceptional, high-achieving or talented) of an individual</td>
<td>1. Excellence was described of only a single competence level (ie, excellent communicators, excellent leaders in healthcare, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Describes what makes these individuals an excellent doctor</td>
<td>2. Excellence of an institute/department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Describes characteristics of excellence in clinical practice</td>
<td>3. Description of how to become excellent or effect of an intervention on excellence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Describes excellence of residents/trainees or medical specialists</td>
<td>4. Researchers view on excellence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Original articles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Country of origin</th>
<th>Participants (specialism)</th>
<th>Research sample</th>
<th>Concerning the excellence of the following group (specialism)</th>
<th>Characteristics of excellence (ranking, percentage or additional explanation when applicable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physicians on physicians</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Christmas et al 2008 | Interviews | USA | Physicians (Internal Medicine) | n=24 | Physicians (Internal Medicine) | Domains that emerged as major features of clinical excellence in academia (% of respondents):  
  ► Communication and interpersonal skills (92%)  
  ► Professionalism and humanism (88%)  
  ► Diagnostic acumen (58%)  
  ► Knowledge (42%)  
  ► Skilled negotiation of the healthcare system (33%)  
  ► Scholarly approach to clinical care (29%)  
  ► Other (7 %) (ie, comments relating to 'continual reflection on practice of medicine with efforts to improve clinical care' and 'development of systems or processes or measures to improve clinical care')  
  ► Passion for clinical medicine (21%) |
| Eva et al 2011 | Survey with closed and open-ended questions | Canada, USA | Physicians (Department of Medicine) | n=282 | Physicians (Internal Medicine) | Characteristics of outstanding practitioners (% of reasons provided):  
  ► Knowledge (45.1%)  
  ► Interpersonal characteristics related to patient interaction (18.7%)  
  ► Teaching skills (10.8%)  
  ► Research success (6.8%)  
  ► Interpersonal characteristics related to interactions with clinical team members (5.8%)  
  ► Other (9.3%) (inquisitive, dedication and thorough) |
| Mahant et al 2012 | Interviews | Canada | Physicians (Paediatrics) | n=13 | Physicians (Paediatrics) | What makes an excellent clinician, dominant themes:  
  ► Reflective clinical practice  
  ► Scholarship  
  ► Clinical skills  
  ► Cognitive ability  
  ► People skills  
  ► High intrinsic motivation  
  ► Engagement  
  ► Adaptability  
  ► Passion for patient care  
  ► Humility |
| Sprung et al 2015 | Open-ended survey with ranking | North America, Europe, South America, Australia, Hong Kong, and South Africa (Author: Israel) | Physicians (Intensive Care Medicine) | n=96 | Physicians (Intensive Care Medicine) | Ranking of 28 attributes of excellent practitioners, top 10:  
  ► Knowledge  
  ► Outstanding clinical skills  
  ► Communicator  
  ► Professional and integrity  
  ► Team work  
  ► Leadership skills  
  ► Outstanding teacher  
  ► Commitment  
  ► Enthusiasm  
  ► Compassionate |
| Kotwal et al 2017 | Interviews | USA | Physicians (Hospital Medicine) | n=26 | Physicians (Paediatric Specialists) | Themes relating to clinical excellence in hospital medicine:  
  ► Communicating effectively  
  ► Having superior clinical judgement  
  ► Being organised and efficient  
  ► Connecting with patients  
  ► Being professional and humanistic  
  ► Committing to continued growth and development  
  ► Appreciating partnerships and collaboration |
| **Physicians on residents** | | | | | | |
| Ginsburg et al 2010 | Interviews | Canada | Physicians (Internal Medicine) | n=19 | Residents (Internal Medicine) | Characteristics most referred to when discussing excellent residents (when compared with problematic residents):  
  ► Patient communication  
  ► Leadership  
  ► Work ethic  
  ► Sense of humour, nice person, not artificial, down to earth and impact on staff |
### Table 2  Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Country of origin</th>
<th>Participants (specialism)</th>
<th>Research sample</th>
<th>Concerning the excellence of the following group (specialism)</th>
<th>Characteristics of excellence (ranking, percentage or additional explanation when applicable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Regehr et al 2012 | Phase 1: Interviews Phase 2: Pilot review Phase 3: Ranking of profiles (derived from the interviews) | Canada | Physicians (Department of Medicine) | Phase 1: n=19 Phase 2: n=6 to 9 (not exactly specified) Phase 3: n=14 | Residents (Department of Medicine) | 16 narrative profiles generated: profile A and H ‘Outstanding/excellent/exemplary’  
Characteristics of profile A:  
► Has a knowledge base with some gaps, but undergoes significant growth; with growth, he/she applies knowledge effectively to individual cases  
► Is always on time, does not leave until everything is under control  
► Knows what is going on, even when things are busy  
► Is always in control of patient data  
► Has good organisational skills  
► Assigns workload effectively (taking into account the limitations of junior residents)  
► Gives appropriate supervision  
► Has good interaction with patients (and families): explains things carefully, relates information clearly and comprehensively, has a warm and empathic connection, and comes across as caring and knowledgeable  
► Communicates well with other house staff, nurses and team members; treats them with respect and is well liked  
► Takes a keen interest, takes every opportunity to read, learns from cases and asks questions  
► When he/she does not know the answer, he/she takes initiative to read up on an issue and seems to want to develop his/her understanding of what is going on  
► Appears excited, curious and enthusiastic  
► Welcomes challenges and regards difficult clinical problems as learning opportunities, not threats  
► Exudes warmth and caring for everyone around  
► Has effective non-verbal communication skills  
Characteristics of profile H:  
► Listens well and respectfully to juniors and provides helpful and objective feedback; takes the initiative with juniors (embraces opportunities to teach and provide guidance); and is always available and approachable  
► Is proactive in anticipating problems concerning patient care  
► Is prepared to deal with complicated situations calmly and efficiently, assesses effectively difficult cases and prioritises well  
► Does not have extensive knowledge, but the knowledge is pragmatic and well applied  
► Connects with patients: he/she is well-rounded and has interests beyond the profession; is interested in patients; and patients feel comfortable  
► Has a deep sense of responsibility  
► Can be trusted/relied on (this increases during the rotation)  
► Knows limits of his/her competences and is not afraid to ask for help  
► Becomes increasingly sure of him/herself over time; becomes more confident in his/her own judgement  
► Is the sort of doctor that you would want your family to go to  
► Gets along with everyone  
► Has a sense of humour and warm personality |
Table 2  Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Country of origin</th>
<th>Participants (specialism)</th>
<th>Research sample</th>
<th>Concerning the excellence of the following group (specialism)</th>
<th>Characteristics of excellence (ranking, percentage or additional explanation when applicable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Oerlemans et al 2017 | Interviews | The Netherlands | Physicians (General Practice) | n=18 Residents (General Practice) | Characteristics of excellent General Practitioner trainees: | ◁ Medical expertise: performs well on individual skills and capable of integrating them; and able to select diagnostic/therapeutic tools needed in specific encounters  
▷ Able to reflect  
▷ Digs deeper into what is really going on with the patient  
▷ Listens actively  
▷ Does not stop until story is complete  
▷ Inquisitive, genuinely interested  
▷ Broad social interest in daily life  
▷ Development of consistent behaviour: ability to pick up patient cues early in the training programme (= flexible)  
▷ possesses empathy |

Patients on physicians

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Country of origin</th>
<th>Participants (specialism)</th>
<th>Research sample</th>
<th>Concerning the excellence of the following group (specialism)</th>
<th>Characteristics of excellence (ranking, percentage or additional explanation when applicable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Schattner et al 2004 | Questionnaire | Israel | Patients (Not specified) | n=445 Physicians (Not specified) | Most desirable attributes of an excellent physician (% patients): | ◁ Experienced (50%)  
▷ Informing the patient (36%)  
▷ Physician's attentiveness (30%)  
▷ Representing the patient's interests (29%)  
▷ Respecting patient's preferences (25%)  
▷ Being up-to-date (28%)  
▷ Being truthful (28%)  
▷ Patience of physician (38%) |

Anderson et al 2007 | Survey | USA | Patients (Primary Care) | n=2917 Physicians (Primary Care) | Qualities for providing a rating of excellence for their physician, having outstanding: | Access, communication skills, personality and demeanour, medical care, and follow-up, referrals and care continuity |

Mixed group: diverse sample of participants on physicians

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Country of origin</th>
<th>Participants (specialism)</th>
<th>Research sample</th>
<th>Concerning the excellence of the following group (specialism)</th>
<th>Characteristics of excellence (ranking, percentage or additional explanation when applicable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Smith et al 2011 | Two-round modified Delphi process: open-ended survey, 1 day focused group interview and discussion workshop with ranking | UK | Tutors, advisors and heads of Schools of Anaesthesia and trainee members (Anaesthesia) | Phase 1: n=45 (consultants n=43, trainees n=2)  
Phase 2: n=16 (of the 45 initial responders) | Physicians (Anaesthesia) | The 18 most highly ranked attributes of an excellent anaesthetist, top 10: | ◁ Knowledge  
▷ Clinical skills  
▷ Good communicator  
▷ Leadership  
▷ Judgement  
▷ ‘Strives for excellence’  
▷ Innovative/original  
▷ Flexible  
▷ ‘Can do’ attitude  
▷ Liked and respected |
Many studies described excellence through competencies. And some of these competencies were mentioned across all included studies. We considered some competencies mentioned by the different studies, for example ‘Patient communication’ and ‘communicating effectively’ as the same and placed them under the umbrella of ‘Communication’ according to the CanMEDS framework. In table 2 we provide all data extracted per study.

Physicians on physicians
Christmas et al, Mahant et al and Kotwal et al interviewed physicians who were identified by their peers as being excellent, to identify their characteristics. Eva et al distributed a survey with closed and open-ended questions to identify characteristics of outstanding practitioners. Sprung et al administered a survey in different parts of the world, and subsequently ranked the identified attributes of excellent practitioners.

All studies mentioned competencies as an important characteristic of excellence. The most prominent competencies described in the aforementioned studies were knowledge, communication, professionalism and leadership skills. Less prominently mentioned competencies were related to research (research success or research skills, and scholarship or scholarly approach), being an outstanding teacher and reputation among peers. All articles mentioned a form of motivation (eg, high level of intrinsic motivation, dedication, commitment and enthusiasm) as a characteristic of excellence. Besides motivation in general, motivation to learn was specifically mentioned (committed to continued growth and development) as well as motivation for patient care (passion for clinical medicine and passion for patient care). Mahant et al and Sprung et al also mentioned personality characteristics related to excellence: humility, flexibility and empathy.

Physicians on residents
Ginsburg et al interviewed 19 experienced physicians to identify characteristics of excellent residents. Regehr et al created 16 narrative profiles, based on the interviews conducted by Ginsburg et al, and subsequently rated them in multiple phases. The final ranking showed two profiles (profile A and H) categorised as ‘outstanding/excellent/exemplary’. Oerlemans et al conducted
interviews with supervisors to identify consistent behaviours of trainees during consultations. Their aim was to develop a framework to describe narrative profiles of perceived behavioural patterns in excellent and underperforming General Practice trainees, to guide future learning and assessment of clinical performance. Two dimensions emerged from these interviews: (1) ‘doctor–patient interaction’ and (2) ‘medical expertise’.

Competencies such as communication,18–20 knowledge,18–20 professionalism18–20 and leadership18 were important in order to be considered as an excellent resident. Residents were also considered excellent based on their personality characteristics: impact on staff (how the resident affected the staff supervisor, sense of humour and a resident who is fun to work with),18 a warm personality or exudes warmth19 and possesses a great deal of empathy.20 Physicians also mentioned characteristics related to motivation, such as work ethics,18 genuine interest,20 appearing excited19 20 and enthusiastic.19 Finally, we found aspects related to motivation to learn such as ‘wants to develop his/her understanding of what is going on’,19 being inquisitive20 and being curious.19 20

Patients on physicians
Schattner et al21 asked hospitalised and day clinic patients to select those attributes from a list that they considered the most important and most wanted to see in their own physician. In a qualitative study by Anderson et al,22 almost 3000 patients rated their physician on several dimensions of healthcare experiences and provided specific comments about aspects of care that were excellent or most in need of improvement.

Both studies mentioned competencies as important characteristics of excellent physicians: medical expert (being an experienced physician21 and up-to-date21) and professionalism (physician’s attentiveness,21 communication,21 22 demeanour,22 being truthful21,22 respecting patients preferences21 and being patient21). Schattner et al21 also specifically mentioned behaviour related to organising care and characteristics of excellence (access, follow-up, referrals and care continuity).

Mixed group (diverse sample of participants on physicians)
Based on a two-round modified Delphi study with medical consultants and trainees, Smith et al described a ranking list of characteristics of an excellent anaesthetist.23 They identified characteristics of excellent medical doctors related to competencies (clinical skills, knowledge, good communication, show leadership, good judgement) and personality characteristics (being liked, respected, flexible, innovative and original).23 Finally, the authors mentioned motivation, such as a ‘can do’ attitude, and striving for excellence.

DISCUSSION
The aim of this systematic review was to identify building blocks for a definition of excellence in medical doctors. The included studies showed a wide range of characteristics of excellent physicians from four different perspectives. Irrespective of the perspective, characteristics related to competencies were mentioned (medical knowledge,13–17 19–23 communication,13–20 22 23 and professionalism,15 16 18 21). Also, according to the reviewed studies, excellent physicians are motivated, as they are engaged,15 committed16 and enthusiastic.16 Specifically, we found two aspects related to motivation, namely motivation to learn (being able to continuously reflect on the practice of medicine with efforts to improve clinical care13 15 17 20 and having a high intrinsic motivation20) and motivation related to patient care (passion for clinical medicine15 and for patient care16). Also, our results indicate that personality characteristics seem important, such as humility,15 being empathic,16 19 20 having a sense of humour19 and being flexible.15 20 23

Our findings feature building blocks for a definition of excellence. Many models exist for defining excellence.5 24 25 of which Renzulli’s is most prominently used.24 However, these models were directed at defining excellence or gifted behaviour in children. Renzulli defines giftedness, or rather gifted behaviour, as the outcome of the interaction between three clusters of traits: (1) above average abilities, (2) task commitment and (3) creativity. The first cluster is ‘above average ability’. Within this first cluster Renzulli distinguishes general abilities (process information, integrate experiences and abstract thinking) and specific abilities (acquire knowledge or perform in an activity). The second cluster is ‘task commitment’, which he defines as a motivational energy including perseverance, endurance, hard work, self-confidence, perceptiveness and special fascination for a subject. The last cluster is ‘creativity’, which means fluency, flexibility, originality of thought, openness to experience, sensitivity to stimulations and willingness to take risks. Our findings indicate three clusters of excellence, namely competencies, motivation and personality characteristics, thus our findings have common ground with Renzulli’s model.15 20 23 Additionally, our results seem to be an extension and specification of Renzulli’s model, by giving a focused direction towards defining excellence in the medical field. The first cluster, ‘competencies in the medical field’ is often described using the CanMEDS framework.26 We did not find new elements or competencies to add to the CanMEDS framework concerning excellent physicians and residents. Our findings show that all competencies were mentioned at least in one of the perspectives. However, some competencies were mentioned most across all studies, and it seems these are considered important competencies belonging to excellent physicians and residents, these included medical knowledge, communication and professionalism. Also leadership (the leader), scientific quality (the innovator) and organisational qualities (the collaborator) were mentioned across different studies. From our data, however, it does not become clear to what extent one has to perform within a certain competency, in order to
be considered excellent. Only Oerlemans et al., who studied trainees, mentioned that there should be room for growth within competencies. We also found motivation in general (engaged, committed and enthusiastic), and motivation specifically related to learning (eg, being able to continuously reflect on their practice of medicine with efforts to improve clinical care), and related to patient care (eg, passion for clinical medicine). Lastly, also flexibility was recurrently named as a characteristic in the included studies and we also found that medical doctors are judged based on other personality characteristics of which empathy and flexibility seems a particular interesting one related to patient care. We found personality characteristics, motivation to learn and motivation for patient care. Motivation to learn is an important characteristic in the medical field, as physicians undertake life-long learning activities, also known as continuous professional development, in order to maintain, update or develop their knowledge, skills and attitudes in everyday clinical practice.

Perspectives on excellent medical doctors
There are similarities between the four different perspectives. Patients and physicians both value medical expertise, communication and professionalism. This finding is in line with previous research performed by Price et al., who showed that several qualities such as clinical judgement, being up-to date, communication and professionalism were mentioned by medical and non-medical respondents. There are, however, also differences between the perspectives, as patients mentioned only organisational skills, while physicians also mentioned leadership, scientific qualities and teachings skills to judge the excellence of other physicians. Furthermore, physicians mentioned motivation to learn (eg, reflection on clinical practice, scholarship, engagement and commitment to continued growth and development), which was not mentioned by patients. Another difference between the patient and physician perspective is that medical specialists considered residents to be excellent based on their impact on staff (how the resident affected the staff supervisor, sense of humour, being fun to work with) and their warm personality. These differences arise because it can be argued that one is only able to recognise and mention characteristics of excellence that are visible from one’s position. Thus, when trying to identify excellent professionals, opinions should be sought from multiple stakeholders as different assessors can identify different characteristics and contribute to a holistic view.

Strength and limitations
The strength of our review is the inclusion of studies with multiple designs and different perspectives to give a complete view on excellence in medical doctors. Furthermore, we tried to explain our finding by using an existing model of excellence. However, this review also has limitations. The term ‘excellence’ has a wide semantic variance and as a result excellence can be differently expressed by different individuals, and assessors may assign different gradients or thresholds to it. Because of the wide semantic variance, we may have missed articles in our review. Also because of the wide semantic variance, some of the articles found through the search described clinical excellence as an outcome related to patient care, rather than excellence related to the performance of a physician. While this could be a limitation, in view of our broad search strategy, however, we consider this unlikely. It is remarkable, however, that we did not find studies from the nursing perspective. As multiple perspectives can identify different characteristics and contribute to holistic view on excellence. Another limitation of this study is the variable quality of the included studies. Two studies had less than half of the total amount of points on the QATSDD. Even though we found many characteristics attributed to excellence, from this work it does not become clear to what extent a physician should perform within a certain characteristic. For instance, within a competency, whether it is sufficient to be above average, good or really excellent. Neither is it clear if you should possess all competencies to a high extent or if a selection would be sufficient. This also applies to the other characteristics, and asks for further research. Finally, we did not look at the grey literature in this systematic review.

Recommendations and future research
Our work indicates that excellence of medical doctors is related to competencies, motivation and personality. Interestingly, a few of the included studies mentioned motivation to learn when describing excellent medical doctors. Perhaps, excellent medical doctors may be more adapted to optimally benefit from the clinical workplace as learning environment and also engage in deliberate training for practice, thus being ‘active learners’. Future research should shed light on the learning characteristics and (environmental) preconditions of excellence, which might result in the development of methods for optimising learning in the clinical setting, talent recognition and facilitate the development of excellent physicians. This understanding might give us insight in the barriers and facilitators of talent development, for those who do possess certain talent(s), but have not yet been stimulated to further develop it. Also, studying to what extent a medical doctor should perform within a certain competency and whether some competencies are more important than others, seems an interesting aspect for further research. Finally, future research should shed light on which didactical improvements and possible recommendations for training excellent medical doctors are suitable, in order to help supervisors (teachers and trainers) to suitably challenging excellent medical doctors in their professional development.

Conclusion
We tentatively conclude that in order to define excellence of medical doctors, the competencies ‘knowledge’,
‘communication’ and ‘professionalism’ are crucial. Furthermore, motivation related to patient care and learning, with an emphasis on motivation to learn as physicians engage in life-long learning activities. Also, personality characteristics seem important, such as flexibility and empathy. These findings are in line with Renzulli’s ‘three-ring model of giftedness’ and add to his work by specifying it for the excellent medical doctors. By providing building blocks for a definition of excellence we took a step towards talent recognition and facilitating the development of excellent physicians.
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